The Role of Subcutaneous Depot Medroxyprogesterone Acetate in Equitable **Contraceptive Care**

A Lesson From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) **Pandemic**

Audrey M. Burlando, CRNP, WHNP-BC, Anne N. Flynn, MD, MSHP, Sarah Gutman, MD, MSPH, Arden McAllister, MPH, Andrea H. Roe, MD, MPH, Courtney A. Schreiber, MD, MPH, and Sarita Sonalkar, MD, MPH

Since the beginning of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, health care professionals have made swift accommodations to provide consistent and safe care, including emphasizing remote access to allow physical distancing. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate intramuscular injection (DMPA-IM) prescription is typically administered by a health care professional, whereas DMPA-subcutaneous has the potential to be safely self-injected by patients, avoiding contact with a health care professional. However, DMPA-subcutaneous is rarely prescribed despite its U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval in 2004 and widespread coverage by both state Medicaid providers and many private insurers. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate users are disproportionately non-White, and thus the restriction in DMPAsubcutaneous prescribing may both stem from and contribute to systemic racial health disparities. We review evidence on acceptability, safety, and contin-

this contraceptive method, and provide recommendations for implementing DMPA-subcutaneous prescribing. (Obstet Gynecol 2021;138:574-7) DOI: 10.1097/AOG.00000000000004524

uation rates of DMPA-subcutaneous, consider sour-

ces of implicit bias that may impede prescription of

S elf-administration of subcutaneous depot medrox-yprogesterone acetate (DMPA) is a feasible, acceptable, and safe option for patients in the United States and internationally, and is endorsed by the World Health Organization. 1,2 In May 2021, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention released an update to their Selected Practice Recommendations Contraceptive Use, also supporting selfadministration of DMPA-subcutaneous.3 Robust randomized controlled trials as well as a meta-analysis definitively show that self-injection of DMPAsubcutaneous results in 20% higher continuation rates at 1 year compared with in-clinic administration.^{4–7} Given that fewer than 60% of users continue DMPA-intramuscular injection (IM) at 1 year, 8,9 these results are compelling. Online patient resources for DMPA-subcutaneous are available to guide patients through safe self-injection, 10,11 making DMPAsubcutaneous self-injection a convenient contraceptive option and particularly well suited to care delivery current context. our medroxyprogesterone acetate has very few contraindications, 12 does not require office evaluation to determine safe usage, and, since 2004, has come in a formulation that can be safely used at home.

From the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Each author has confirmed compliance with the journal's requirements for authorship.

Corresponding author: Sarita Sonalkar, MD MPH, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA; email: Sarita.sonalkar@pennmedicine.upenn.edu.

Financial Disclosure

Sarita Sonalkar reports money was paid to her institution from the NIH and World Health Organization. Audrey Burlando received funds from Sebela Pharmaceuticals and Contraceptive Technology. The other authors did not report any potential conflicts of interest.

© 2021 by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 0029-7844/21

OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY

DEPOT MEDROXYPROGESTERONE ACETATE PRESCRIPTION DURING THE CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19) PANDEMIC

As the reality and implications of the novel coronavirus became apparent in the United States in early 2020, medical professionals across the country were forced to modify their approach to patient care. Many medical centers initially restricted care delivery to urgent appointments, often excluding contraception. The majority of adequately resourced centers have now implemented accommodations to their practice that allow them to provide routine care. Although no one could have prevented or predicted the current global health emergency, we wondered whether access to DMPA could be improved if we did not consistently default to office administration of DMPA-IM over home administration of DMPA-subcutaneous. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate-subcutaneous is an evidence-based option that is theoretically ideal for home use. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate-IM and DMPA-subcutaneous are similar medications, differing only in dosage and amount due to their distinct administration routes. These DMPA formulations do not differ in efficacy, timing of administration and onset, or in their criteria for patient selection.¹³ Although DMPA-subcutaneous is not currently approved for self-injection by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, it is intended for self-injection, 10 and generally used accordingly.¹⁴ Most insurance companies will cover one dose at a time, such that patients return to the pharmacy for doses every 3 months. Until injection, DMPA-subcutaneous should be stored in a cool dry place, at room temperature, away from direct sunlight.

In March 2020, our center began offering DMPAsubcutaneous with instructions for self-injection to new and established DMPA users, to adapt our practice to physical distancing safety guidance. Although we anticipated certain insurance barriers and a greater need for patient support and guidance, we found that the transition to DMPA-subcutaneous had other challenges. A small number of home users seamlessly obtained and self-administered DMPAsubcutaneous, but most faced significant delays or a complete lack of access. Some of this was due to disparate insurance coverage with higher out-ofpocket cost for the subcutaneous formulation, but the majority of patients encountered supply-line issues. Pharmacies had either low or no stock of DMPA-subcutaneous, were not familiar with the subcutaneous formulation, and many were met with warnings of expected delays from distributors when they attempted to obtain the medication. Supply line issues increased the workload for our nursing staff and

delayed injection timing for both new and established users, making us question the utility of offering this option at all.

In a recent implementation study to expand access to self-administered DMPA-subcutaneous at a single institution in California, 58% of interested DMPA-IM users completed a DMPA-subcutaneous self-injection. Reasons for lack of receipt of DMPA-subcutaneous were varied, including both personal and systemic reasons. 15 Although this effort was successful, it was the result of a rapid policy change by California's public health program to cover DMPA-subcutaneous as a pharmacy benefit without prior approval during the COVID-19 pandemic, along with the efforts of five medical and pharmacy students tasked to navigate hurdles with patients. Many states and institutions do not have this level of support for contraceptive access.

RACIAL DISPARITIES AND IMPLICIT BIAS IN CONTRACEPTIVE PROVISION

Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate is used by 4.9% of all U.S. contraceptive users (2.3 million DMPA users), and 12% of contraceptive users who are Black.^{16,17} Thus, Black patients are disproportionately bearing the burdens created when a method that can be safely used at home is consistently prescribed for office use only. One response to this burden has been the promotion of long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC) methods. Black women, historically and currently, are the target audience of LARC-focused contraceptive counseling, and are often met with resistance and barriers when requesting LARC discontinuation. 18,19 Undoubtedly, the high continuation rates of LARC methods²⁰ can be at least partially attributed to the burden of the office visit as a general requirement for discontinuation.²¹ Paradoxically, the same burden is encountered for the continuation of DMPA when the subcutaneous formulation is not offered. When these individual method weaknesses meet our prescribing practices, they describe a pattern of reproductive control, at the intersection of racism and sexism, that we aim to eliminate.¹⁸ Although likely unintended and subconscious, this practice is inconsistent with equitable care delivery.

One explanation for this inconsistency in practice is that health care professionals, with all of our influence on contraceptive access, are not DMPA users. A 2015 survey of contraceptive use by family planning health care professionals found that only a single respondent among 488 used DMPA, and 41.7% used a LARC method.²² Perhaps we minimize the benefits of increasing access to a method we do not

VOL. 138, NO. 4, OCTOBER 2021

Burlando et al DMPA and Health Disparities During COVID-19 575



prefer. Reluctance to prescribe DMPA may also stem from other factors: the lower efficacy and rates of continuation of DMPA-IM compared with LARC methods, the potential for time-consuming teaching, administrative coordination with insurance companies and pharmacies, or that self-injection of DMPA-subcutaneous is off label.^{7,15} However, DMPA has high efficacy, prescribing contraceptive methods for off-label use is common in family planning, and many subcutaneous self-injections for common medical conditions are labeled for safe home injection. Additionally, DMPA-subcutaneous is covered by Medicaid in more than 40 states, and by many private insurance plans.^{17,23}

It is also possible that we are prioritizing Black patients' risk of unintended pregnancy over their preference for a method or making inaccurate assumptions about whether DMPA-using patients can correctly self-inject their own medication. In the United States, where Black non-Hispanic women are 3.3 times more likely to die as a result of pregnancy than any other race, ²⁴ it is crucial to recognize the role of high-quality family planning counseling and method delivery. ^{25–27} If we intend to reduce inequities in contraceptive care and maternal health outcomes, we must recognize that patients of color often use non-LARC methods. Our role in reducing unintended pregnancy is to provide unrestricted access to all safe methods.

IMPLEMENTATION OF DEPOT MEDROXYPROGESTERONE ACETATE-SUBCUTANEOUS PRESCRIBING AND USE

Based on our experience, we suggest the following steps to improve provision of DMPA-subcutaneous. We recommend organizing patient education materials to support clinical staff in teaching self-injection (in person and virtually). Health care professionals and staff should familiarize themselves with their patients' most commonly used insurance plans and DMPA coverage and identify and use pharmacies that reliably stock this medication. Given that pharmacists may be unfamiliar with DMPA-subcutaneous, we recommend a note within prescriptions that clarifies the intention to prescribe DMPA-subcutaneous, not IM, as well as written assurance that the patient is educated in safe product use. In cases where the patient is present in the office at the time of prescription, we recommend administration of DMPA-IM during their visit, allowing pharmacies a 3-month lead time to obtain the subcutaneous product.

Though challenging, our implementation of DMPA-subcutaneous use in an urban setting is

unlikely to compare with the broader challenges of more rural regions. Achieving equitable contraceptive access regardless of region requires both individual and national effort. In individual communities, clinicians can simply offer DMPA-subcutaneous as an alternative to DMPA-IM when discussing options with their patients, prescribe it when chosen and provide support and education for ongoing use, based on published guidance.²⁸ As increased prescriptions for DMPA-subcutaneous expand pharmacy awareness and demand, supply is likely to follow. On a larger scale, medical, nursing, and other allied health education programs with a focus on reproductive health care can incorporate comprehensive contraceptive education, promote implicit bias awareness and teach the importance of shared decision making in all aspects of patient care. Lastly, we can support initiatives to make DMPA subcutaneous more accessible across the United States by promoting prescriber and pharmacist awareness of the method, encouraging and petitioning state-level insurance coverage of the subcutaneous formulation, and by supporting efforts to change the U.S. Food and Drug Administration labeling of DMPA-subcutaneous for home administration of this safe and user-friendly method.²⁹

CONCLUSION

Provision of DMPA-subcutaneous supports patient autonomy and is likely to have health benefits, given the proven effects on contraceptive continuation. Improving access to DMPA-subcutaneous is an opportunity to reduce disparities that were highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic, but that can be expanded into a post-COVID world to promote equitable care of all women.

REFERENCES

- Cover J, Ba M, Lim J, Drake JK, Daff BM. Evaluating the feasibility and acceptability of self-injection of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) in Senegal: a prospective cohort study. Contraception 2017;96:203–10. doi: 10. 1016/j.contraception.2017.06.010
- Consolidated guideline on self-care interventions for health: sexual and reproductive health and rights. World Health Organization; 2019.
- Curtis KM, Nguyen A, Reeves JA, Clark EA, Folger SG, Whiteman MK. Update to U.S. Selected practice recommendations for contraceptive use: self-administration of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:739–43. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7020a2
- Cover J, Namagembe A, Tumusiime J, Nsangi D, Lim J, Naki-ganda-Busiku D. Continuation of injectable contraception when self-injected vs. administered by a facility-based health worker: a nonrandomized, prospective cohort study in Uganda. Contraception 2018;98:383–8. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2018.03.

576 Burlando et al DMPA and Health Disparities During COVID-19

OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY



- Burke HM, Chen M, Buluzi M, Fuchs R, Wevill S, Venkatasubramanian L, et al. Effect of self-administration versus provideradministered injection of subcutaneous depot medroxyprogesterone acetate on continuation rates in Malawi: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Glob Health 2018;6:e568–78. doi: 10. 1016/S2214-109X(18)30061-5
- Kohn JE, Simons HR, Della Badia L, Draper E, Morfesis J, Talmont E, et al. Increased 1-year continuation of DMPA among women randomized to self-administration: results from a randomized controlled trial at Planned Parenthood. Contraception 2018; 97:198–204. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2017.11.009
- Kennedy CE, Yeh PT, Gaffield ML, Brady M, Narasimhan M. Self-administration of injectable contraception: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Glob Health 2019;4:e001350. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001350
- 8. Peipert JF, Zhao Q, Allsworth JE, Petrosky E, Madden T, Eisenberg D, et al. Continuation and satisfaction of reversible contraception. Obstet Gynecol 2011;117:1105–13. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31821188ad
- Trussell J, Vaughan B. Contraceptive failure, method-related discontinuation and resumption of use: results from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. Fam Plann Perspect 1999; 31:64–93. doi: 10.2307/2991641
- DEPO-SUBQ PROVERA-medroxyprogesterone acetate injection, suspension. Pfizer Pharmacia and Upjohn Company LLC; 2020.
- Reproductive Health Access Project. Depo-provera sub-Q user guide. Accessed May 15, 2020. https://www.reproductiveaccess.org/resource/depo-subq-user-guide/
- Curtis KM, Tepper NK, Jatlaoui TC, Berry-Bibee E, Horton LG, Zapata LB, et al. U.S. medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use, 2016. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2016.
- National Family Planning & Reproductive Health Association. Self-administration of injectable contraception. 2nd ed. Accessed June 4, 2021. https://www.nationalfamilyplanning.org/file/documents-service-delivery-tools/NFPRHA-Depo-SQ-Resource-guide-FINAL-FOR-DISTRIBUTION.pdf
- PATH. The power to prevent pregnancy in women's hands: DMPA-SC injectable contraception. Accessed November 11, 2020. https://www.path.org/articles/dmpa-sc/
- Katz M, Newmark RL, Aronstam A, O'Grady N, Strome S, Rafie S, et al. An implementation project to expand access to self-administered depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA). Contraception 2020;102:392–5. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception. 2020.09.001
- Daniels K, Abma JC. Current contraceptive status among women aged 15–49: United States, 2015–2017. NCHS Data Brief, no. 327. National Center for Health Statistics; 2018.
- DMPA contraceptive injection: use and coverage. Accessed February 23, 2021. https://www.kff.org/womens-health-policy/fact-sheet/dmpa-contraceptive-injection-use-and-coverage/

- 18. Roberts DE. Killing the Black body: race, reproduction, and the meaning of liberty. 1st ed. Pantheon Books; 1997.
- Higgins JA, Kramer RD, Ryder KM. Provider bias in longacting reversible contraception (LARC) promotion and removal: perceptions of young adult women. Am J Public Health 2016;106:1932–7. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2016.303393
- Winner B, Peipert JF, Zhao Q, Buckel C, Madden T, Allsworth JE, et al. Effectiveness of long-acting reversible contraception. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1998–2007. doi: 10.1056/NEJ-Moa1110855
- Foster DG, Grossman D, Turok DK, Peipert JF, Prine L, Schreiber CA, et al. Interest in and experience with IUD selfremoval. Contraception 2014;90:54–9. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2014.01.025
- Stern LF, Simons HR, Kohn JE, Debevec EJ, Morfesis JM, Patel AA. Differences in contraceptive use between family planning providers and the U.S. population: results of a nationwide survey. Contraception 2015;91:464–9. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2015.02.005
- Walls JG K, Ranji U, Salganicoff A, Gomez I. Medicaid coverage of family planning benefits: results from a state survey. Accessed February 23, 2021. http://files.kff.org/attachment/Report-Medicaid-Coverage-of-Family-Planning-Benefits-Results-from-a-State-Survey
- 24. Oribhabor GI, Nelson ML, Buchanan-Peart KR, Cancarevic I. A mother's cry: a race to eliminate the influence of racial disparities on maternal morbidity and mortality rates among Black women in America. Cureus 2020;12:e9207. doi: 10.7759/cureus.9207
- Jackson AV, Wang LF, Morse J. Racial and ethnic differences in contraception use and obstetric outcomes: a review. Semin Perinatol 2017;41:273–7. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2017.04.003
- Minkoff H. You don't have to be infected to suffer: COVID-19 and racial disparities in severe maternal morbidity and mortality. Am J Perinatol 2020;37:1052–4. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1713852
- Power K. The COVID-19 pandemic has increased the care burden of women and families. Sustainability: Sci Pract Pol 2020;16:67–73. doi: 10.1080/15487733.2020.1776561
- Marx M. Evidence-based guidance for self-administration of injectable contraception. J Midwifery Womens Health 2021; 66:108–12. doi: 10.1111/jmwh.13190
- Reproductive Health Access Project. Expanding access to the self-administered contraceptive injection. Accessed February 23, 2021. https://www.reproductiveaccess.org/2020/07/contraceptive-injection/

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

Received March 22, 2021. Received in revised form June 7, 2021. Accepted June 17, 2021. Peer reviews and author correspondence are available at http://links.lww.com/AOG/C414.



© 2021 by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.