



Effect of TTN Mutations on Immune Microenvironment and Efficacy of Immunotherapy in Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients

Zhe Wang[†], Chunguang Wang[†], Shengcheng Lin^{*} and Xin Yu^{*}

National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital & Shenzhen Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Shenzhen, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Linlang Guo, Southern Medical University, China

Reviewed by:

Xinfeng Wang, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China Zhengang Qiu, First Affiliated Hospital of Gannan Medical University, China

*Correspondence:

Shengcheng Lin sirius.hzoo@gmail.com Xin Yu doctoryuxin@163.com [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 15 June 2021 Accepted: 29 July 2021 Published: 26 August 2021

Citation:

Wang Z, Wang C, Lin S and Yu X (2021) Effect of TTN Mutations on Immune Microenvironment and Efficacy of Immunotherapy in Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients. Front. Oncol. 11:725292. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.725292 Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) effectively treat lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) with fewer side effects. However, for LUAD patients, the lack of predictive markers for ICIs makes their clinical benefits less than ideal. Despite reports suggesting that a TTN (titin) mutation plays an important role in immunotherapy of solid tumors and gastric cancer, the relationship between the TTN mutation and LUAD immunotherapy has not been determined. We collected a LUAD cohort with whole-exome sequencing (WES) and immunotherapy prognosis. The ICI cohort was used to explore the relationship between TTN mutation status and prognosis. Then, the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)-LUAD and Chen-LUAD cohorts were downloaded from the cbioportal website. We also used CIBERSORT, gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA), and single-sample GSEA (ssGSEA) to evaluate the proportion of immune cells and the degree of pathway activation in LUAD patients, respectively. DDR signaling pathways obtained from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB), tumor mutation burden (TMB), and NAL were used to evaluate the immunogenicity of LUAD patients. In the ICI cohort, TTN-mutant (TTN-MT) had significantly longer overall survival (OS) than TTN-wildtype (TTN-WT) (P = 0.009). Univariate and multivariate COX models showed that TTN mutation status can independently predict immunotherapy prognosis. Notably, the results of tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) analysis showed that TTN-MT patients had inflammatory TIME, which showed enriched activated immune cells and higher immune scores. Immunogenicity analysis showed higher immunogenicity in TTN-MT patients, which indicated high levels of gene mutations in TMB, NAL, and DDR pathways. GSEA and ssGSEA results showed that TTN-MT was substantially enriched in chemokine secretion, inflammatory factor secretion, and antigen presentation. Some pathways related to immunosuppression and immune depletion were significantly downregulated. TTN-MT is associated with significantly prolonged OS in LUAD patients. Additionally, TTN-MT is related to high immunogenicity and inflammatory TIME, suggesting that TTN-MT may be a potential predictive marker for patients with LUAD to accept ICIs.

Keywords: TTN, lung adenocarcinoma, immune checkpoint inhibitors, tumor microenvironment, immunogenicity

INTRODUCTION

At present, lung cancer tumor malignancy presents with the highest rates of morbidity and mortality, while lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the main subclass of primary lung cancer (about 85%) (1). LUAD has a long incubation period, mild early symptoms, and a high degree of malignancy. Usually, LUAD patients progress to the advanced stage at the time of diagnosis and miss the chance for treatment (2). With the development of various targeted drugs and their application in LUAD, the 5-year survival rate of LUAD patients has been somewhat improved, especially with immunotherapy drug applications such as the programmed cell death protein 1/ programmed cell death protein ligand 1 (PD-1/PD-L1). However, there are new challenges, and the response rate of some patients to immunotherapy is not high (3, 4). Therefore, it is imperative to identify new biomarkers to predict the curative effect of immunotherapy.

Increasingly more studies have started looking for the predictive markers of ICIs, in an attempt to maximize the clinical benefits of immunotherapy for patients. The research shows that traits such as PD-L1 expression, TMB, microsatellite instability (MSI), DNA damage repair (DDR), tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) may be predictors of patients' responses to immunotherapy. However, the widespread implementation of these markers has numerous limitations. For example, the PD-L1 detection methods lack standardization and consistency, TMB is heterogeneous among different laboratories and platforms, only a small number of patients with lung cancer contain the MSI-H phenotype (< 1%) (5-9), and results are based on the nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) evaluation of different panels. These factors suggest that finding new markers to predict immunotherapy efficacy is an urgent problem to be solved in precision immunotherapy.

TTN is used to express myosin, which is connected by unstructured peptide sequences and is responsible for maintaining muscle tension (10), while actin is the third richest protein in muscle. Because the TTN gene contains a long sequence, any mutation in the TTN gene may lead to the dysfunction of myosin, leading to the abnormal growth of muscle fibers (10). Many previous studies have only focused on a TTN mutation associated with skeletal muscle dystrophy and familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (11). However, in recent years, increasingly more studies have started examining the relationship between a TTN mutation and the immunotherapy response of solid tumors (12, 13). Jia et al. revealed a significant positive correlation between objective response rate (ORR) and the TTN mutation frequency after receiving anti-PD-1/PD-L1/ CTLA-4 monotherapy (P = 0.0015; R = 0.5796). They also found that the OS of TTN-mutant (TTN-MT) patients was significantly longer than that of TTN-wildtype (TTN-WT) patients in the immunotherapy cohort of melanoma (log-rank P = 0.0113) and observed a notable reduction in the risk of adverse reactions (13).

Additionally, Yang and his colleagues found that MUC4, MUC16, and TTN mutations were associated with the immune prognosis of gastric cancer in the immunotherapy cohort (12). With the development of clinical trials for LUAD

immunotherapy, the relationship between TTN-MT and immunotherapy prognosis and LUAD response remains unclear. To explore the relationship between TTN-MT and the clinical prognosis of LUAD patients after receiving ICIs, we collected and analyzed a LUAD cohort following anti-PD-1/PD-L1/CTLA-4 monotherapy, a TCGA-LUAD cohort, and another published LUAD cohort. This paper attempts to explore the relationship between TTN mutations and immunotherapy within the TIME.

METHODS

Collection of LUAD Cohort

We downloaded a LUAD cohort (ICIs-cohort) with ICIs-based treatment plans from the supplementary materials of a published study (12). The cohort's treatment plan and sequencing method are detailed in this study. In the follow-up analysis, we used the mutation data (WES) and clinical data of this cohort. Additionally, we acquired RNA-seq and mutation data from the Genomic Data Commons (GDC; https://portal.gdc.cancer. Gov/) database of TCGA-LUAD cohort. Using cbioportal (http://www.cbioportal.org/), we downloaded another LUAD cohort (Chen-LUAD), which includes expression data and mutation data (WES) (14). The pathological diagnoses are detailed in the **Supplementary Methods**.

Analysis of TIME and Immunogenicity

The relative proportion of 22 immune cells were evaluated using the CIBERSORT algorithm (15), which can be implemented in http://cibersort.stanford.edu/. The CIBERSORT algorithm analyzes the expression data in the TCGA-LUAD cohort according to LM22 (22 immune cells) signature and 1,000 permutations. Additionally, they play corresponding roles in the immune response (e.g., antigen processing and presentation, inflammatory response, chemokines, and immune exhaustion) obtained from the supplementary materials of published research (16, 17). TMB, NAL, and immune scores were obtained from a published study (17), while the DDR pathway gene set was obtained from the MsigDB database (18).

Pathway Analysis

Based on the GSEA, we used the R package "limma" to analyze the difference between RNA-seq data of TCGA-LUAD and Chen-LUAD. TCGA-LUAD, Chen-LUAD, logFC, and ENTREZID were used as GSEA input files in the difference analysis (19). The R package "ClusterProfiler" was used to analyze the path sets of input data (rank logFC and ENTREZID) in the GO-BP, GO-CC, GO-MF, KEGG, and REACTOME databases (20). For the ssGSEA, we used the R package "GSVA" (21) to analyze RNA-seq data of TCGA-LUAD and Chen-LUAD, and the set of pathways used includes c2.cp.kegg.v7.1.Symbols.gmt, c2.cp.reactiome.v7.1.symbols.gmt, and c5.all.v7.1.symbols.gmt, which were obtained from the MsigDB database (18).

Statistical Analysis

The Mann-Whitney-U test was used to compare the differences in continuous variables between two groups: TMB, NAL; the ratio of immune cell infiltration; and the expression of immunerelated genes. Additionally, we used Fisher's exact test to compare the differences in classification variables between the two groups, such as gene mutation frequency. The Kaplan-Meier (KM) method (22) was used to compare the difference in survival time between the two groups, while the log-rank P-value represents the statistical difference between the KM analyses. GSEA analysis used the P-value and Enrichment score to evaluate the statistical differences in the GSEA results. Next, we used the R package "limma" to compare the differences in related pathological pathways between the two groups.

RESULTS

TTN-MT Is Related to the Prognosis of LUAD Patients Receiving Immunotherapy

To explore TTN-MT's predictive role in the prognosis of LUAD patients after ICI therapy, we used a univariate COX regression model and multivariate COX regression model for the follow-up analyses. The two forest maps show the results of the univariate COX analysis and multivariate COX analysis, respectively. Figure 1A shows that only TTN mutations can be used to predict the prognosis of LUAD patients in the univariate COX regression model (P = 0.014; HR: 0.37). We found that other clinical characteristics (such as gender, age, pack years) and TMB, a known factor related to the immunotherapy prognosis, were not related to the prognosis of LUAD patients. Figure 1B shows that only the TTN mutation status can be used as an independent predictor of immunotherapy for LUAD patients in the multivariate COX regression model (P = 0.0108; HR: 0.24). Then, we used KM analysis to further reveal the role of TTN mutation in the OS time of LUAD patients. Compared with TTN-WT LUAD, patients with TTN-MT LUAD had significantly improved OS time [log-rank P = 0.009, HR = 0.43; 95% Cl: 0.2-0.93 (Figure 1C)]. Additionally, LUAD has a higher proportion of clinical benefits of immunotherapy than improved OS time, TTN-MT (Figures 1D, E). Additionally, we found that patients with TTN-MT LUAD had significantly increased pack years in comparison to TTN-WT LUAD (Figure 1F).

Overview of Mutated Driver Genes in the TTN Mutation Status

To explore the profiles of mutated driver genes in different TTN mutation statuses, we analyzed the 20 driver genes with the highest mutation frequency in LUAD patients in the ICI-cohort and TCGA cohorts, respectively. Most of the driver gene mutation types in the ICI cohort are mainly missense mutations [such as TP53, MUC16, KRAS, LRP1B, CTNNA2, KEAP1, NF1, CDH10, AKAP9, FAT4, PTPRB, PTPRD, SETBP1, STK11, ATM, and CTNND2 (**Figure 2A**)]. Furthermore, most of the ICI cohort's top 20 driver genes with high mutation frequencies belong to an oncogene, and few belong to TSGs.

Additionally, the mutation frequencies of AKAP9 (27.3 *vs.* 4%) and CUX1 (22.7 *vs.* 0%) in the TTN-MT group were significantly higher than those in the TTN-WT group (P < 0.05).

Conversely, the STK11 mutation frequency (0 vs. 24%) in the TTN-MT group was significantly lower than that in the TTN-WT group (P < 0.05). In the TCGA cohort, most driver genes belong to an oncogene, while very few driver genes belong to TSG. We found that the mutation frequencies of most driver genes in the TTN-MT group were significantly higher than those in the TTN-WT group. These frequencies include TP53 (61.9 vs. 36.4%), TTN (100 vs. 0%), MUC 16 (56.8 vs. 25.2%), CSMD 3 (52.9 vs. 23%), LRP1B (47.1 vs. 20%), USH2A (45.1 vs. 18%), ZFHX4 (44.4 vs. 17.7%), FLG (35 vs. 16.4%), XIRP2 (38.1 vs. 13.8%), SPTA1 (33.9 vs. 16.4%), NAV3 (30 vs. 11.8%), FAT3 (29.6 vs. 11.8%), COL11A1 (28.8 vs. 12.1%), ZNF536 (29.6 vs. 11.1%), ANK2 (28.8 vs. 11.1%), CSMD1 (27.2 vs. 12.1%), PCLO (30 vs. 9.5%), and PCDH15 [29.2 vs. 9.8% (Figures 2B)]. Additionally, we analyzed and visualized the mutual exclusion and co-occurrence status of the top 20 mutated driver genes in the ICI-cohort and TCGA cohort, respectively (Figures 2C, D).

TIME Under TTN Mutation

TIME is an integral part of cancer patients' post-immunotherapy response. Consequently, we compared the TIME under different TTN mutation statuses from the perspective of TIME components, such as immune infiltrating cells, examination molecules, and scores. First, CIBERSORT was used to evaluate the relative abundance of 22 immune cell infiltrations in LUAD patients. By comparing the differences in immune cell ratio in different TTN mutation statuses (Figure 3A), we found that the M0-type macrophages, M1-type macrophages, activated memory CD4 T cells, and CD8+ T cells were significantly enriched TIME of the TTN-MT group. In contrast, the TIME of the TTN-WT group was enriched with some immune cells with a static function such as resting DCs, resting mast cells, and resting memory CD4 T cells. The results of immune checkpoints showed no significant difference in the expression level of most immune checkpoints between TTN-WT and TTN-MT groups. The expression of LAG3 in the TTN-MT group was significantly higher than that in the TTN-WT group (Figure 3B). Figure 3C shows typical cases for each TPS level (TTN: two MT vs. two WT cases). Additionally, we also compared some immune scores related to immune response, and the results showed that the TTN-MT group was partly altered. The scores of homologous recombination defects and Th2 cells were significantly higher than those of the TTN-WT group (Figure 3D).

TTN-MT Group Has Higher Immunogenicity

High immunogenicity is beneficial for the body in identifying and killing tumors and in producing clinical benefits from immunotherapy. Therefore, to determine immunogenicity, we compared the differences in varying TTN mutation statuses. For TMB, the TTN-MT group had a significantly higher TMB level than the TTN-WT group in both the ICI-cohort and TCGA-LUAD cohort (**Figures 4A, B**; all P < 0.05). Then, we compared











FIGURE 3 | An association of TTN status and immune infiltration in the LUAD patients. (A) Comparison of 22 immune cells between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in the TCGA-LUAD cohort. (B) Comparison of immune checkpoint molecules between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in the TCGA-LUAD cohort. (C) The typical cases for each TPS level between the TTN-MT (two samples; high PD-L1 TPS) and TTN-WT (o samples; no PD-L1 TPS) groups in the Local-LUAD. Using HE- and PD-L1-stained slides, we manually assessed the number of tumor cells, the sample size (diameter), the crush rate with a cutoff value of <1% (no PD-L1 TPS), 1–50% (low PD-L1 TPS), 50%< (high PD-L1 TPS), and the TPS for each biopsy sample using the slide that contained the most tumor cells. The TPS level was evaluated by pathologists who completed training courses in TPS estimation. (D) Comparison of immune-related scores between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in the TCGA-LUAD cohort. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ***P < 0.0001, ns, not significant.



FIGURE 4 | An association of TTN status and immunogenicity in the LUAD patients. Comparison of TMB between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in the ICI-cohort (A) and the TCGA-LUAD cohort (B). (C) Comparison of NAL between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in the TCGA-LUAD cohort. (D) Comparison of mutation counts of DDR signaling pathways between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in the TCGA-LUAD cohort. *P < 0.05; ****P < 0.0001.

NAL in the TCGA cohort, and **Figure 4C** shows that the NAL level of the TTN-MT group was significantly higher than that of the TTN-WT group (**Figure 4C**; P < 0.0001). The DNA damage repair system plays a vital role in correctly repairing DNA damage and preventing excessive accumulation of incorrect DNA. We used eight DDR-related pathways collected from the MsigDB database and merged them into one overall DDR signal. Also, we found that the number of gene mutations in DDR-related pathways in the TTN-MT group was significantly higher than in the TTN-WT group (**Figure 4D**; all P < 0.05).

Degree of Immune and Pathological Pathway Activation in TTN Mutation Status

The degree of activation in LUAD patients' immune- and pathological-related pathways is related to their prognosis of receiving immunotherapy. Therefore, we used GSEA and ssGSEA to evaluate the activity of pathways in LUAD patients. We found that the activity of immune-related pathways in the TTN-MT group was significantly higher than in the TTN-WT group (Figures 5A, B). This activity is inclusive of several processes: the chemokine biosynthetic process, chemokine secretary, tumor necrosis factor production, positive regulation of cytokine production in the tumor necrosis factor superfamily, MHC class II protein complex, cytokine receptor activity, Th17 cell differentiation, the Toll-Like Receptor TLR1:TLR2 Cascade, and the Toll-Like Receptor 2 (TLR2) Cascade. In contrast, in the DDR-related pathway (Figures 5A, B), the TTN-MT group's activity was significantly lower than the TTN-WT group in DNA repair, double-strand break repair, double-strand break repair.

Additionally, we used the ssGSEA algorithm to evaluate the pathway activity of every LUAD patient. Pathological pathway activity is related to carcinogenesis, and immune depletion in the TTN-MT group was significantly lower than in the TTN-WT group, in both the TCGA (**Figure 5C**) and Chen-LUAD (**Figure 5D**) cohorts. This activity includes canonical Wnt signaling, PI3K signaling, ERK/MAPK signaling, vasculogenesis, and TGF beta.



cohort (**B**). The color of the curve corresponds to the font color of the pathway. The GSEA of hallmark gene sets was downloaded from the MSigDB, and each run was performed with 1,000 permutations. Enrichment results with significant differences between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors are shown. Heatmap depicting the mean differences in ssGSEA score between TTN-MT and TTN-WT in the TCGA-LUAD (**C**) and the Chen-LUAD cohort (**D**). The y-axis indicates the ssGSEA score of pathways. Each square represents the fold change or difference of each indicated ssGSEA score of pathways between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in LUAD. Red indicates upregulation, while blue indicates downregulation. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ***P < 0.0001.

DISCUSSION

Immune-system tumor immunotherapy mainly kills tumor cells by activating the body's antitumor immune function, which has the characteristics of long-lasting curative effects and few adverse reactions (23). In this study, we proved that the nonsynonymous mutation status of TTN is related to the high level of immunogenicity, which is mainly manifested in high TMB, high NAL, and the higher mutation level of the DDR pathway. Additionally, we found that LUAD patients with a TTN mutation have an inflammatory TIME characterized by more activated immune cell infiltration and higher immune scores. Most importantly, the mutation status of TTN can be used as an independent predictor of immunotherapy for LUAD patients.

This discovery will help clinicians select patients who are more likely to benefit from ICIs in future clinical practice.

Caused by genomic instability, high immunogenicity is especially important in predicting the prognosis of patients receiving immunotherapy (24, 25). As one of the most widely studied markers, many studies have shown that a high level of TMB is closely related to the improved prognosis of patients receiving immunotherapy (25-27). The increased TMB can promote the production of new NAL. DCs can treat and present this new antigen, further mediating the transformation of T cells into mature and activated cytotoxic T cells and finally mediating the antitumor activity of the immune system and enhancing patients' response to treatment with ICIs (13, 28). Therefore, NAL, another important biomarker, is significantly correlated with the efficacy of immunotherapy (29, 30). Recent research revealed that the DDR-related pathway is another factor that plays an important role in genome stability because it reduces false mutations by repairing incorrect DNA damage (31).

Teo et al. proved that the mutation status of the DDR pathway is related to the improved prognosis of bladder cancer patients being treated with immunotherapy (32). We found that TTN-MT LUAD patients had a higher mutation number in the DDR pathway, and the activity of the DDR-related pathway in their TIME showed a significant downward trend. In this study, we found that LUAD patients with TTN-MT showed higher immunogenicity, such as high TMB, high NAL, and a higher number of non-synonymous mutations in DDR-related pathways. This factor may explain TTN-MT LUAD patients' improved OS and clinical benefit ratio from immunotherapy.

Along with immunogenicity, studies have shown that inflammatory TIME can also increase patients' response to immunotherapy (33-35). Reports suggest that CD4+ and CD8+ TILs highly enriched in the tumor are related to a higher response rate after receiving immunotherapy (36, 37). Intratumor tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) can be reprogrammed under the action of TIME and can be switched between the M1 type for antitumor immunity and the M2 type for promoting tumor proliferation. Invasion of high M1 TAM in the tumor is related to a good prognosis (38). Additionally, in the TIME of TTN-MT, chemokine analysis showed higher activity, TNF secretion. Studies have shown that high TNF levels can promote the secretion of a large number of IFN-y by effector T cells. This cytokine further upregulates the expression of MHC molecules by activating the STAT1 signaling pathway. Finally, it can prompt the immune system to recognize NALs (39). Additionally, chemokines CXCL9 and CXCL10 can recruit activated T-cells and NK cells and finally trigger their entry into tumors and further exert antitumor activity (40, 41).

In contrast, the activities of some pathological pathways leading to immune depletion are significantly downregulated in TTN-MTs, such as ERK/MAPK, PI3K/Akt, WNT, transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β), FGFR, and lipid metabolism. Williams et al. proved that PI3K inhibitor could alleviate the TIME of immune exhaustion and increase the sensitivity of breast cancer to immune checkpoint blocking treatment (42). Wang et al. discovered that tumor TIME could reverse immunosuppression by blocking abnormally activated PI3K/mTOR signaling (43).

Additionally, the WNT/ β signaling pathway plays an important role in forming immune heterogeneity, such as inhibiting T cell activation and infiltration, promoting T cell apoptosis, inhibiting antigen treatment and degree, and inhibiting the killing effect of the immune system on tumor cells (44). TGFB inhibits tumor infiltration by CXCR3 and CD8+T cells (45). Inhibition of TGF β is beneficial to the recruitment and infiltration of T cells into the tumor center and further plays a role in antitumor activity (46). Cytokines such as the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), and TGFB can lead to abnormal tumor blood vessels and reduce immune cell infiltration in tumor tissues (47, 48). Cholesterol can further inhibit T cell antitumor activity by binding with the TCR β transmembrane region and interfering with the TCR signaling pathway (49, 50). These results suggest that the inflammatory TIME in TTN-MT may lead to improved OS time and better clinical benefits for these patients.

However, this research has some limitations. First, we have only explored the relationship between the TTN mutation status and immunotherapy prognosis and response in one COAD cohort of patients treated with ICIs. We still need to verify these results in several cohorts of LUAD receiving ICIs. Second, this study lacks cell and animal experiments for the verification of follow-up mechanisms. We hope that the potential mechanism between TTN mutation and the prognosis of patients receiving immunotherapy can be verified by cell experiments and animal experiments in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we found that TTN-MT may be a predictive marker of immunotherapy for LUAD patients. TTN-MT is significantly associated with significantly improved immunotherapy prognoses in LUAD patients who also have significantly increased immunogenicity and inflammatory tumor TIME.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, SL, XY. Formal analysis, ZW. Visualization, ZW. Writing—original draft, CW. Writing—review and editing, SL, XY, ZW, CW. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks to the English language polishing contributions from TopScience Editing. We appreciate the technique of imformatic analysis by Genetron Health (Beijing) Co. Ltd.

REFERENCES

- Niu Y, Lin A, Luo P, Zhu W, Wei T, Tang R, et al. Prognosis of Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients With NTRK3 Mutations to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. Front Pharmacol (2020) 11:1213. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.01213
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin (2020) 70:7–30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21590
- Gibney GT, Weiner LM, Atkins MB. Predictive Biomarkers for Checkpoint Inhibitor-Based Immunotherapy. *Lancet Oncol* (2016) 17:e542–51. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30406-5
- Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, Leighl N, Balmanoukian AS, Eder JP, et al. Pembrolizumab for the Treatment of Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med (2015) 372:2018–28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501824
- Meléndez B, Van Campenhout C, Rorive S, Remmelink M, Salmon I, D'Haene N. Methods of Measurement for Tumor Mutational Burden in Tumor Tissue. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* (2018) 7:661–7. doi: 10.21037/ tlcr.2018.08.02
- Endris V, Buchhalter I, Allgäuer M, Rempel E, Lier A, Volckmar A-L, et al. Measurement of Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB) in Routine Molecular Diagnostics: *In Silico* and Real-Life Analysis of Three Larger Gene Panels. *Int J Cancer* (2019) 144:2303–12. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32002
- Zhang J, Zhou N, Lin A, Luo P, Chen X, Deng H, et al. ZFHX3 Mutation as a Protective Biomarker for Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2021) 70:137–51. doi: 10.1007/ s00262-020-02668-8
- Warth A, Körner S, Penzel R, Muley T, Dienemann H, Schirmacher P, et al. Microsatellite Instability in Pulmonary Adenocarcinomas: A Comprehensive Study of 480 Cases. *Virchows Arch* (2016) 468:313–9. doi: 10.1007/s00428-015-1892-7
- Lin A, Zhang J, Luo P. Crosstalk Between the MSI Status and Tumor Microenvironment in Colorectal Cancer. Front Immunol (2020) 11:2039. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.02039
- Savarese M, Maggi L, Vihola A, Jonson PH, Tasca G, Ruggiero L, et al. Interpreting Genetic Variants in Titin in Patients With Muscle Disorders. JAMA Neurol (2018) 75:557–65. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.4899
- Cao X, Liu B, Cao W, Zhang W, Zhang F, Zhao H, et al. Autophagy Inhibition Enhances Apigenin-Induced Apoptosis in Human Breast Cancer Cells. *Chin J Cancer Res* (2013) 25:212–22. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.1000-9604.2013.04.01
- Miao D, Margolis CA, Vokes NI, Liu D, Taylor-Weiner A, Wankowicz SM, et al. Genomic Correlates of Response to Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Microsatellite-Stable Solid Tumors. *Nat Genet* (2018) 50:1271–81. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0200-2
- Jia Q, Wang J, He N, He J, Zhu B. Titin Mutation Associated With Responsiveness to Checkpoint Blockades in Solid Tumors. *JCI Insight* (2019) 4(10):e127901. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.127901
- Chen J, Yang H, Teo ASM, Amer LB, Sherbaf FG, Tan CQ, et al. Genomic Landscape of Lung Adenocarcinoma in East Asians. *Nat Genet* (2020) 52:177– 86. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0569-6

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2021. 725292/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Table 1 | The Fisher's exact test result of the Top 20 mutated driver genes between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in the ICI-cohort.

Supplementary Table 2 | The Fisher's exact test result of the Top 20 mutated driver genes between TTN-MT and TTN-WT tumors in the TCGA-LUAD cohort.

Supplementary Table 3 | The co-occurrence (or mutually exclusive relation) results between pairs of mutated driver genes in the ICI cohort.

Supplementary Table 4 | The co-occurrence (or mutually exclusive relation) results between pairs of mutated driver genes in the TCGA-LUAD cohort.

- Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, Gentles AJ, Feng W, Xu Y, et al. Robust Enumeration of Cell Subsets From Tissue Expression Profiles. *Nat Methods* (2015) 12:453–7. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3337
- Rooney MS, Shukla SA, Wu CJ, Getz G, Hacohen N. Molecular and Genetic Properties of Tumors Associated With Local Immune Cytolytic Activity. *Cell* (2015) 160:48–61. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.12.033
- Thorsson V, Gibbs DL, Brown SD, Wolf D, Bortone DS, Ou Yang T-H, et al. The Immune Landscape of Cancer. *Immunity* (2018) 48:812–30.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.023
- Liberzon A, Subramanian A, Pinchback R, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Tamayo P, Mesirov JP. Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) 3.0. *Bioinformatics* (2011) 27:1739–40. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr260
- Smyth GK. Limma: Linear Models for Microarray Data. In: . *bioinformatics* and Computational Biology Solutions Using R and Bioconductor. New York, NY: Springer (2005). p. 397–420.
- Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y. Clusterprofiler: An R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. OMICS (2012) 16:284-7. doi: 10.1089/omi.2011.0118
- Hänzelmann S, Castelo R, Guinney J. GSVA: Gene Set Variation Analysis for Microarray and RNA-Seq Data. BMC Bioinf (2013) 14:7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-14-7
- Goel MK, Khanna P, Kishore J. Understanding Survival Analysis: Kaplan-Meier Estimate. Int J Ayurveda Res (2010) 1:274–8. doi: 10.4103/0974-7788.76794
- Suresh K, Naidoo J, Lin CT, Danoff S. Immune Checkpoint Immunotherapy for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Benefits and Pulmonary Toxicities. *Chest* (2018) 154:1416–23. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2018.08.1048
- Riaz N, Havel JJ, Kendall SM, Makarov V, Walsh LA, Desrichard A, et al. Recurrent SERPINB3 and SERPINB4 Mutations in Patients Who Respond to Anti-CTLA4 Immunotherapy. *Nat Genet* (2016) 48:1327–9. doi: 10.1038/ ng.3677
- Hellmann MD, Nathanson T, Rizvi H, Creelan BC, Sanchez-Vega F, Ahuja A, et al. Genomic Features of Response to Combination Immunotherapy in Patients With Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Cell* (2018) 33:843–852.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2018.03.018
- 26. Rizvi H, Sanchez-Vega F, La K, Chatila W, Jonsson P, Halpenny D, et al. Molecular Determinants of Response to Anti-Programmed Cell Death (PD)-1 and Anti-Programmed Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1) Blockade in Patients With Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Profiled With Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol (2018) 36:633–41. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.75.3384
- Samstein RM, Lee C-H, Shoushtari AN, Hellmann MD, Shen R, Janjigian YY, et al. Tumor Mutational Load Predicts Survival After Immunotherapy Across Multiple Cancer Types. *Nat Genet* (2019) 51:202–6. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0312-8
- Lin W, Lin A, Li Z, Zhou C, Chen C, Chen B, et al. Potential Predictive Value of SCN4A Mutation Status for Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Melanoma. *BioMed Pharmacother* (2020) 131:110633. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110633

- Snyder A, Makarov V, Merghoub T, Yuan J, Zaretsky JM, Desrichard A, et al. Genetic Basis for Clinical Response to CTLA-4 Blockade in Melanoma. N Engl J Med (2014) 371:2189–99. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1406498
- Wang S, He Z, Wang X, Li H, Liu X-S. Antigen Presentation and Tumor Immunogenicity in Cancer Immunotherapy Response Prediction. *Elife eLife* (2019) 8:e49020. doi: 10.7554/eLife.49020
- 31. Luo P, Lin A, Li K, Wei T, Zhang J. DDR Pathway Alteration, Tumor Mutation Burden, and Cisplatin Sensitivity in Small Cell Lung Cancer: Difference Detected by Whole Exome and Targeted Gene Sequencing. J Thorac Oncol Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer (2019) 14:e276–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2019.08.2509
- 32. Teo MY, Seier K, Ostrovnaya I, Regazzi AM, Kania BE, Moran MM, et al. Alterations in DNA Damage Response and Repair Genes as Potential Marker of Clinical Benefit From PD-1/PD-L1 Blockade in Advanced Urothelial Cancers. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol (2018) 36:1685–94. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.75.7740
- 33. Si Y, Lin A, Ding W, Meng H, Luo P, Zhang J. CARD11 Alteration as a Candidate Biomarker of Skin Cutaneous Melanoma Treated With Immune Checkpoint Blockade. Am J Transl Res (2021) 13:286–300.
- 34. Yi R, Lin A, Cao M, Xu A, Luo P, Zhang J. ATM Mutations Benefit Bladder Cancer Patients Treated With Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors by Acting on the Tumor Immune Microenvironment. *Front Genet* (2020) 11:933. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.00933
- 35. Lin A, Qiu Z, Zhang J, Luo P. Effect of NCOR1 Mutations on Immune Microenvironment and Efficacy of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Patient With Bladder Cancer. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:630773. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2021.630773
- 36. Jiménez-Reinoso A, Nehme-Álvarez D, Domínguez-Alonso C, Álvarez-Vallina L. Synthetic TILs: Engineered Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes With Improved Therapeutic Potential. Front Oncol (2020) 10:593848. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.593848
- 37. Uryvaev A, Passhak M, Hershkovits D, Sabo E, Bar-Sela G. The Role of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes (TILs) as a Predictive Biomarker of Response to Anti-PD1 Therapy in Patients With Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer or Metastatic Melanoma. *Med Oncol* (2018) 35:25. doi: 10.1007/ s12032-018-1080-0
- Sami E, Paul BT, Koziol JA, ElShamy WM. The Immunosuppressive Microenvironment in BRCA1-IRIS-Overexpressing TNBC Tumors Is Induced by Bidirectional Interaction With Tumor-Associated Macrophages. *Cancer Res* (2020) 80:1102–17. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-2374
- Kobayashi KS, van den Elsen PJ. NLRC5: A Key Regulator of MHC Class I-Dependent Immune Responses. Nat Rev Immunol (2012) 12:813–20. doi: 10.1038/nri3339
- Tokunaga R, Zhang W, Naseem M, Puccini A, Berger MD, Soni S, et al. CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11/CXCR3 Axis for Immune Activation - A Target for Novel Cancer Therapy. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2018) 63:40–7. doi: 10.1016/ j.ctrv.2017.11.007
- House IG, Savas P, Lai J, Chen AXY, Oliver AJ, Teo ZL, et al. Macrophage-Derived CXCL9 and CXCL10 Are Required for Antitumor Immune Responses Following Immune Checkpoint Blockade. *Clin Cancer Res an Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res* (2020) 26:487–504. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1868

- 42. Williams CB, Nebhan CA, Yang J, Starnes LS, Yan C, Vilgelm AE, et al. Correlative Studies Investigating Effects of PI3K Inhibition on Peripheral Leukocytes in Metastatic Breast Cancer: Potential Implications for Immunotherapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2020) 184:357–64. doi: 10.1007/ s10549-020-05846-5
- 43. Wang Z, Goto Y, Allevato MM, Wu VH, Saddawi-Konefka R, Gilardi M, et al. Disruption of the HER3-PI3K-mTOR Oncogenic Signaling Axis and PD-1 Blockade as a Multimodal Precision Immunotherapy in Head and Neck Cancer. Nat Commun (2021) 12:2383. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-22619-w
- Wang B, Tian T, Kalland K-H, Ke X, Qu Y. Targeting Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* (2018) 39:648– 58. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2018.03.008
- Gunderson AJ, Yamazaki T, McCarty K, Fox N, Phillips M, Alice A, et al. Tgfβ Suppresses CD8(+) T Cell Expression of CXCR3 and Tumor Trafficking. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11:1749. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15404-8
- 46. Mariathasan S, Turley SJ, Nickles D, Castiglioni A, Yuen K, Wang Y, et al. Tgfβ Attenuates Tumour Response to PD-L1 Blockade by Contributing to Exclusion of T Cells. *Nature* (2018) 554:544–8. doi: 10.1038/nature25501
- Huang Y, Kim BYS, Chan CK, Hahn SM, Weissman IL, Jiang W. Improving Immune-Vascular Crosstalk for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2018) 18:195–203. doi: 10.1038/nri.2017.145
- Liu Z, Wang Y, Huang Y, Kim BYS, Shan H, Wu D, et al. Tumor Vasculatures: A New Target for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* (2019) 40:613–23. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2019.07.001
- Baek AE, Yu Y-RA, He S, Wardell SE, Chang C-Y, Kwon S, et al. The Cholesterol Metabolite 27 Hydroxycholesterol Facilitates Breast Cancer Metastasis Through Its Actions on Immune Cells. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8:864. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00910-z
- Swamy M, Beck-Garcia K, Beck-Garcia E, Hartl FA, Morath A, Yousefi OS, et al. A Cholesterol-Based Allostery Model of T Cell Receptor Phosphorylation. *Immunity* (2016) 44:1091–101. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.04.011

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer XW declared a shared affiliation, with no collaboration, with the authors, to the handling editor at the time of the review.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Wang, Wang, Lin and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.