



# **Emergency General Surgery and COVID-19 Pandemic: Are There Any Changes? A Scoping Review**

Eleni Karlafti <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Evangelia Kotzakioulafi <sup>2</sup>, Dimitrios-Christos Peroglou <sup>3,\*</sup>, Styliani Gklaveri <sup>3</sup>, Petra Malliou <sup>3</sup>, Aristeidis Ioannidis <sup>3</sup>, Stavros Panidis <sup>3</sup>, Smaro Netta <sup>3</sup>, Christos Savopoulos <sup>2</sup>, Antonios Michalopoulos <sup>3</sup> and Daniel Paramythiotis <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Emergency Department, University Hospital of Thessaloniki AHEPA, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54636 Thessaloniki, Greece
- <sup>2</sup> 1st Propaedeutic Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, AHEPA Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54636 Thessaloniki, Greece
- <sup>3</sup> 1st Propaedeutic Surgical Department, University Hospital of Thessaloniki AHEPA, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54636 Thessaloniki, Greece
- \* Correspondence: linakarlafti@hotmail.com (E.K.); dimitris\_per15@yahoo.gr (D.-C.P.); Tel.: +30-698-447-6107 (D.-C.P.)

Abstract: Background and Objectives: The pandemic of SARS-CoV-19 has affected the overall spectrum of General Surgery, either in the case management part, or in the type of cases. The purpose of this review is to gather all the parameters affected and to compare these changes between the pandemic period and the corresponding time frame of the previous year. Materials and Methods: A review of literature in two electronic databases (PubMed and Scopus) was performed examining studies during the pre-pandemic (March to May 2019) and pandemic (March to May 2020) period about emergency surgeries. The differences in case presentation in emergency rooms, patient characteristics, length of hospitalization, type of surgery, complications and mortality rate were compared. Results: The comparison of the studies revealed significant results highlighting the differences between the two time periods for each parameter. There has been observed an overall decrease in the number of cases presented for emergency and urgent surgery. In terms of age, sex, and BMI, there were no significant variations amongst the patients. About the length of hospitalization, the patients hospitalized longer during the pandemic period. In terms of pathologies, the most common types of surgery were appendectomy, gastrointestinal, and colorectal resection. Mortality did not differ between the two study periods. Conclusions: COVID-19 affected a large part of Emergency General Surgery mainly concerns the type of operations performed. The hospitalization of patients, the complications that may have arisen and the recognition of emergencies were the most important issues faced by health care officials in hospitals during the period of COVID-19; however, there were parameters like mortality and patients' characteristics that did not appear to differ with prepandemic era.

Keywords: emergency general surgery; SARS-CoV-19 pandemic; comparison; COVID-19

# 1. Introduction

The SARS-CoV-19 pandemic began in December 2019 in China, when a group of people with pneumonia of unknown cause was discovered and connected to a seafood market in Wuhan. A betacoronavirus, that was identified for the first time, called 2019 novel coronavirus, was discovered through unbiased sequencing and isolation of airway epithelial cells, and it became the seventh member of the coronavirus family infecting humans [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) had proclaimed a Public Health Emergency of International Concern by the end of January 2020. The severe symptoms of COVID-19 have been connected to an increase in the number and rate of deaths, notably in



Citation: Karlafti, E.; Kotzakioulafi, E.; Peroglou, D.-C.; Gklaveri, S.; Malliou, P.; Ioannidis, A.; Panidis, S.; Netta, S.; Savopoulos, C.; Michalopoulos, A.; et al. Emergency General Surgery and COVID-19 Pandemic: Are There Any Changes? A Scoping Review. *Medicina* 2022, 58, 1197. https://doi.org/10.3390/ medicina58091197

Academic Editor: Pierpaolo Di Micco

Received: 3 July 2022 Accepted: 29 August 2022 Published: 1 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). China's epidemic zone [2]. On 22 January 2020, the China National Health Commission released the details of the first 17 deaths [2].

Italy was the first European country to be seriously affected by the virus. Since the first case of SARS-CoV-19 was recorded on February 21st in the Lodi/Codogno area, the situation in Italy had been rapidly deteriorated, with the highest number of confirmed cases and deaths in the Northern areas, where the health system had been under tremendous stress. Following China's lead, Italy, as most of the European countries, took a variety of social distancing measures, varying from social distancing at first to a complete lockdown extended to the whole country [3].

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic our lives have been drastically changed and, particularly, the pandemic had a profound long-term impact on healthcare services all over the world. To adjust to the rising number of emergency admissions for respiratory syndromes, the majority of which required intensive care, every healthcare system experienced considerable changes. To deal with this unprecedented disaster, each country devised its own guidelines and procedures.

Even though most elective services had been stopped, the emergency cases had to be treated anyway. In particular, general surgery's acute abdomen admissions and trauma continued to need emergency treatment as a necessity. Acute appendicitis and gallbladder disorders were two of the most common reasons for seeking urgent care and emergency medical treatment [4].

With this review, we aim to examine the influence of the SARS-CoV-19 pandemic on the surgical domain, especially in Emergency General Surgery. We summarized the results of 23 studies and articles concerning the differences in presented cases in emergency operation rooms, the characteristics and comorbidities of the patients included in the studies, the length of hospitalization, the type of surgery, the complications and the mortality rate.

#### 2. Methods

This review examines the changes in Emergency General Surgeries during the pandemic in comparison with the pre-COVID era. According to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 42 states and territories were under mandatory stay-at-home commands from 1 March to 31 May (2020) during the start of the pandemic of SARS-CoV-19, having an impact on 2355 (73%) of 3233 U.S. countries [2–8]. Mainly, the months under investigation, were March to May 2019 and 2020. We defined the time frame of March-May 2019 as the 'pre-pandemic period' or 'pre-pandemic era', which is the respective era of the previous year to the SARS-CoV-19 pandemic period and the time of March–May 2020 as the 'pandemic group' and 'pandemic era' throughout the whole following text.

A thorough literature search in 2 electronic databases, PubMed and Scopus was conducted in March 2022 with the following search terms: 'surger\*' AND 'emergency' AND 'emergency surger\*' AND 'pandemic' between 1 January 2020 and 9 March 2022.

For an article to be eligible should be published in this time frame, written in English, mentioning emergency surgeries and provide data regarding presented cases in emergency operation rooms, the characteristics and comorbidities of the patients included in the studies, the length of hospitalization, the type of surgery, the complications and the mortality rate.

The selected articles regard the comparison between the time frame of the COVID-19 'wave' and the respective era of the previous year. The prime objective of this review is to find out if emergency case procedures in General Surgical departments have changed during the mentioned period; this review is focused mainly on the general surgery specialty and its subspecialties.

All resulting studies were screened by D.C.P., S.G., P.M., A.I., S.P. and S.N., and eligible articles were recorded using standardized data forms in Microsoft Excel in order to present the data more clearly. Results of the literature search is presented in Figure 1. All available data are presented in Tables A1–A3 in Appendix A [5–28].



Figure 1. PRISMA Flow chart.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Characteristics of Studies

We summarized the results of 23 studies and articles concerning the differences in presented cases in emergency operation rooms, the characteristics and comorbidities of the patients included in the studies, the length of hospitalization, the type of surgery, the complications and the mortality rate. As is shown in Table A2 there were no significant differences in age and gender of patients presented in emergency departments.

# 3.2. Differences in Presented Cases in Emergency Operation Rooms

In the scoping of literature, there has been observed an overall decrease in the number of the cases presented for emergency and urgent surgery in the field of General Surgery between the two periods. According to S. Wades et al. the biggest single drop in emergency caseload was recorded in General Surgery which, along with trauma and orthopedics, accounted for the majority of patients handled at their trust; this discovery was not singular; in the month after the Italian government's lockdown order, a study involving three large hospitals in northern Italy reported an 86% decrease in surgical emergencies [6]; as also seen in Germany [9] this phenomenon of a decrease in the activity of surgeries on a global scale had not previously been observed in studies other than two specific papers referring to the period of the Ebola epidemic [7].

However, there have been some studies with inconsistent results regarding the number of surgeries during the pandemic. For instance, H. Drysdale et al. observed an increase of 13.9% in emergency laparoscopic cholecystectomies and emergency colonoscopies that had been performed. There were no significant changes in laparoscopic cholecystectomies [10].Two more studies on surgical emergencies in Greece and Italy observed decrease in emergency surgeries [11,12].

According to G. van Aert's et al. research, the rise in acute emergency trauma surgery on the elderly is linked to prescribed measures for isolation, resulting in reduced family and nurse attendance for the elderly, increasing the danger of falling [13]. The number of patients who underwent emergency abdominal surgery at Aizawa Hospital (Matsumoto, Japan) was indifferent between the two study periods [17]. Furthermore, emergency reconstruction surgery for hernias showed an increase [27].

The results from four studies showed that the average duration of symptoms prior to presenting to the ERs (Table A3) was increased, either due to difficult assessments in acute medical services as a result of the strict lockdown measures or bigger consideration of COVID-19 risk patients who presented significantly later after the onset of their symptoms [7,9,24,25]. In contrast, the study of Y. Nishida et al. concluded that this time remained unchanged [17].

For the time that elapsed between hospital admission and surgery (Table A3) was greater in the pandemic era compared to the pre-pandemic period [5]. Even though the mean delay of the surgical procedure was increased, the percentage of people who were operated on the day of their admission (urgent surgery, 24 h) did not vary significantly. [13].

#### 3.3. Patient's Characteristics—Comorbidities

We summarized the basic patient characteristics involved in the majority of the studies. We scoped via data searching (Tables A1–A3). In terms of age, gender, and BMI, we compared the demographic data from the two groups. For age, we estimated the mean age of both study groups and found that during the pre-pandemic era (2019) and the pandemic period (2020), these parameters for patients undergoing emergency procedures were 50.9 years and 49.5 years, respectively, noting no significant difference.

Regarding sex, most emergency surgeries were slightly more prevalent in males, with the exception of two particular types of surgical emergency pathological disorders, acute appendicitis and diverticulitis, which were more common for female patients [20,21]. Regarding BMI, the majority of patients were normal or overweight, with no quantitatively significant difference between the two periods [8,22,23].

In the studies, there was a small percentage of patients who had comorbidities. The most prevalent comorbidity was hypertension [7,8,22]. Other comorbidities most commonly included were dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular diseases and COPD. According to Zoilo Madrazo et al. study's patients who were positive for SARS-CoV-19, they had an extra 10% of people with comorbidities in the pandemic period (2020), whereas SARS-CoV-19 negative patients and patients from 2019 showed no difference in the percentages of patients who had comorbidities [22].

#### 3.4. Length of Hospitalization

Patients were hospitalized longer during the pandemic period than those during the pre-pandemic era [7,8,16] (Table A3). There was no change in hospital stay duration between the two periods for patients who did not contract COVID-19 [8]. The mean days of hospitalization among the different types of surgeries during the pre-COVID-19 and pandemic era showed an increase in Abdominal surgeries, Hernia surgeries and a decrease in Hepatobiliary surgeries [11]. The results of the two studies showed no differences in length of stay [9,16].

#### 3.5. Type of Surgeries According to Pathological Status and Surgical Approach

During the pre-pandemic era, the most prevalent types of surgery regarding the pathologies were appendicectomy, gastrointestinal and colorectal resection due to obstruction, hernia reparation and soft tissue infection [5,7,11,24]. Casella et al. reported that the most common types of surgery in their hospital during the pandemic period were GI and colorectal resection (25%), abscess drainage (18.8%), and adhesiolysis (18.8%).

Acute appendicitis revealed no significant difference in case presentation, despite a decrease in the number of procedures [7,15,24–26]; it is worth noting that the pandemic group had a significantly greater rate of complicated appendicitis [7,15]. Karlafti et al. noted that the most frequent types of surgery were hepatobiliary surgeries and soft tissue surgeries in the pandemic era [11].

For bowel obstruction, there has been a significant increase in the number of surgeries but Surek et al. observed a reduction [7,12,15,24]. As regards acute cholecystitis, there has been an overall decline in the number of surgeries that have been performed [7,15,24]. Although, an increase was noticed on the laparoscopic approach for cholecystectomies during the pandemic era [10,19]. Surek et al. found a 92% reduction in surgeries regarding hernias reparation [15]. On the other hand, Cano-Valderramaa et al. observed a minor increase in the percentage of hernia surgeries [7].

#### 3.6. Complications

The majority of studies showed no statistically significant difference in complications between the two mentioned periods (Table A3) [8,9,15,16,21,25]. D'Urbano et al. found a substantial increase in complications for the pandemic group but noted that the number of patients involved in the study was small and could not be statistically relevant [19]. Casella's et al. results, which described an increase, were likewise inconsistent. Kamil et al. observed that for patients with acute appendicitis who underwent appendectomy, there was a statistically significant rise compared with the Clavien-Dindo morbidity scale between the two groups [25].

#### 3.7. Mortality

There was no difference in fatality rates between the pre-pandemic and pandemic group in most studies [7,8,10,11,18,23]; however, certain studies show considerable discrepancies when compared to the plurality of other studies (Table A3). For instance, G. Casella et al. discovered a statistically significant increase in mortality [5]. In the study by A. Surek et al., the pandemic group had a marginally higher fatality rate. [15]. Z. Madrazo et al. reported 30-day mortality as statistically greater in a number of patients, yet there were individuals positive for SARS-CoV-19 were included in the pandemic group [22]. F. D'Urbano et al. reported a minor decrease in mortality rates; however, as we previously stated, their findings are not statistically significant because of the small number of patients in their research [19].

## 4. Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic posed an unprecedented challenge for medical professionals all across the world, especially during the first wave of SARS-CoV-19 during the pandemic period, when knowledge about the new strain of the virus was little. Emergency General Surgery was one of the surgical specialties that was severely impacted, considering the fact that it is a sector in which a vast amount of emergency cases are being treated in everyday medical practice.

In Greece, emergency general surgeries took a stand and dealt with a burdenous situation. Due to a lack of hospital beds, many operating rooms reverted to hospital beds with enhanced care for patients, therefore, resulting to emergencies ran late for appropriate care. As the pandemic withholds, many patients are not provided with appropriate care or even delay their diagnoses; these circumstances may appeal to other countries also but as smaller a healthcare system is, the bigger these disparities will arise.

In the field of Emergency General Surgery internationally, we found that there were disparities but not majorly differences in the number of patients who arrived for emergency or urgent surgery, the length of symptoms, the period between admission and operation, and the types of surgeries performed. We also must mention that there is lack of data in order to provide more clear results. Nonetheless, we observe an increase in duration of symptoms before asking for care, inconsistent data regarding length of stay and no change in the time between admission and surgery. There were no considerable differences in patient's characteristics, complications or fatality between the two periods that this review examines; this means that the pandemic influenced mostly the perception of patients and delayed them from seeking care in freight of COVID-19. Nevertheless, their delay hopefully did not result in more deaths or complications.

As is shown in the past, Koutserimpas et al., in their analysis showed that emergencies in economic crisis rise but admissions decreased [29]; this also was shown in pediatric patients by Gkentzi et al., where children came more to the hospital with fever or respiratory disorders but this did not affect admissions rate [30]. Regarding diagnosis, periods of economic instability is a predictor for a delayed diagnosis and there is a need for more access to primary healthcare [31]. What is more, Karavokyros et al., presented an increase in emergency inguinal hernioplasty and more prevalent in younger patients in an economic crisis time in Greece, addressing the redistribution of surgical workload in urban hospitals [32]; this is in concordance with our findings that more younger patients needed emergency surgeries but came late in the emergency department.

The limitations of this review are the scarce and little available data regarding emergency general surgeries during the COVID-19 pandemic and the lack of known registries regarding surgeries throughout the world. One more limitation of our study is that we did not proceeded with meta-analysis. Possibly, a meta-analysis could provide a more clear result of the impact of the pandemic in the field. Therefore, we proceeded to a scoping review because the data were not sufficient enough to meta-analyse. The strengths of our review are that it is the first review about the change in emergency general surgery during COVID-19.

All these findings suggest improving access to healthcare systems for all patients, especially during hard times and the need for more hospitals and primary health centers that will aid in better and earlier diagnoses.

#### 5. Conclusions

COVID-19 was an unprecedented challenge for medical professionals and healthcare systems throughout the world which severely impacted also Emergency General Surgery. Our findings suggest a slight difference in age of patients seeking care and delay but all these did not conclude to more complications or increased mortality; this crisis should act as a start for the better adaptation of healthcare systems during crisis.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, E.K. (Eleni Karlafti) and D.P.; investigation, E.K. (Eleni Karlafti), D.-C.P., S.G. and P.M.; writing—original draft preparation, E.K. (Eleni Karlafti), D.-C.P. and S.G.; writing—review and editing, E.K. (Eleni Karlafti), E.K. (Evangelia Kotzakioulafi), A.I., S.P. and S.N.; supervision, C.S., A.M. and D.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Appendix A

# Table A1. Summary of findings for the included studies.

| Study ID                 | Diag   | Results  |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
|                          | Pre  | Pan  |  |
| Balla et al.             | Bowel ischemia (0%), Bowel occlusion (12%),<br>Bowel perforation (12%), Abdominal trauma (4%),<br>Appendectomy (16%), Cholecystectomy (12%),<br>Abdominal wall (4%), Pneumothorax (16%),<br>Urology (12%), Other (12%)   | Bowel ischemia (11.8%), Bowel occlusion (11.8%),<br>Bowel perforation (17.6%), Abdominal trauma<br>(5.8%), Appendectomy (11.8%), Cholecystectomy<br>(11.8%), Abdominal wall (11.8%), Pneumothorax<br>(5.8%), Urology (11.8%), Other (0%)   | There were no differences in emergency general surgeries.  |
| Cano-Valderrama et al.   | Acute appendicitis (30.9%), Perianal abscess<br>(12.6%), Acute cholocystitis (12.3%), Complication<br>of an elective procedure (14.4%), Complication of<br>an elective procedure (14.4%), Bowel obstruction<br>(6.7%), Abdominal wall hernia (5.6%),<br>Other (17.5%)  | Acute appendicitis (34.2%), Perianal abscess<br>(14.5%), Acute cholocystitis (2.6%), Complication<br>of an elective prosedure (6.8%), Bowel obstruction<br>(12.8%), Abdominal wall hernia (9.4%),<br>Other (19.7%)   | There was a change in the gender (M > F) during<br>pandemic period. Avarage duration of symptoms<br>prior to presenting in the emergency department<br>was increased ( $p < 0.001$ ).  |
| Carpio Colmenares et al. | Acute appendicitis (55.5%), Acute lithiasic<br>cholecystitis (37.7%), Hernias (6.7%), Gastric<br>perforation (0%), Intestinal obstruction (0%)   | Acute appendicitis (50.8%), Acute lithiasic<br>cholecystitis (42.4%), Hernias (3.4%), Gastric<br>perforation (1.7%), Intestinal obstruction (1.7%)   | In this study there was an increase in length of<br>stay. The degree of severity of emergency<br>abdominal surgical pathology in pandemic has<br>not increased, except for post<br>operative complications.  |
| Casella et al.           | Appendicectomy (17%), Gastrointenstinal or<br>Colorectal resections (18.2%)  | Gastrointenstinal or Colorectal resections (25%),<br>Abscess drainage (18.8%), Adhesiolesis (18.8%)  | The time elapsed between hospital admission and<br>surgery was increased. The percentage of patients<br>who experience post operative complications was<br>increased, $p = 0.019$ . The mortality was increased<br>(p < 0.0001)  |
| D'Urbano et al.          | <ul> <li>Cholecystectomy (21.7%), Appendectomy (19.7%),<br/>Hemothorax/Pneumothorax/Pleural effusion<br/>(8.6%), GI perforation (10.9%), Bowel obstruction<br/>(15.2%), Bowel infraction (6.5%),</li> <li>Hemoperitoneum evacuation (6.5%), GI bleeding<br/>(2.2%), Fasciotomy/Abcess drainage/Hematoma<br/>drainage (6.5%), Other (2.2%)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Cholecystectomy (22.2%), Appendectomy (0%),<br/>Hemothorax/Pneumothorax/Pleural effusion<br/>(14.9%), GI perforation (11.1%), Bowel obstruction<br/>(33.3%), Bowel infraction (3.7%),<br/>Hemoperitoneum evacuation (0%), GI bleeding<br/>(3.7%), Fasciotomy/Abcess drainage/Hematoma<br/>drainage (11.1%), Other (0%)</li> </ul> | D'Urbano et al. found a reduction in the number<br>of patients who were hospitalized and underwent<br>emergency surgery. Mean age was higher in the<br>pre-pandemic period. The complications were<br>increased in the pandemic era in 2020. The fatality<br>rate after surgery decreased. |
| Drysdale et al.          | Laparoscopic appendicectomy (50%), Soft tissue<br>infection (41%), Laparoscopic cholecystectomy<br>(26%), Laparotomy (22%), Hernia repair (8%),<br>Gastroscopy (16%), Colonoscopy (7%), ERCP (7%)  | Laparoscopic appendicectomy (48%), Soft tissue<br>infection (46%), Laparoscopic cholecystectomy<br>(40%), Laparotomy (21%), Hernia repair (7%),<br>Gastroscopy (5%), Colonoscopy (13%), ERCP (6%)  | Drysdale et al. reported a 13.9% rise in emergency<br>laparoscopic cholecystectomies and emergency<br>colonoscopies; this study did not found any other<br>differences between the two periods.  |

Table A1. Cont. Study ID Diagnoses Results Pre Pan Patients who underwent surgery during pandemic had a higher rate of severe peritonitis. The surgery lasted longer in the pandemic period. The mean time elapsed between hospital admission and surgery was greater in the Fallani et al. Secondary peritonitis pandemic period. In the pandemic era there was a Secondary peritonitis bigger proportion of secondary peritonitis caused by appendicitis and a smaller proportion caused by perforated peptic ulcer. Complications and length of stay were increased in the pandemic group. A decline was seen in the proportion of emergency procedures in general surgery; this Fowler et al. study says the total number of procedures did not significantly decreased in emergency general. surgery. There was a decrease in the cases of Acute diverculitis. The proportion of patients who Hossain et al. Acute diverculitis Acute diverculitis underwent emergency surgery was significantly higher during the pandemic period (p = 0.04). Hospital admissions decreased in March and Kamil et al. Cholecystitis, Appendicitis, Diverculitis Cholecystitis, Appendicitis, Diverculitis increased in April and May. The treatment method was primarily conservative in 2020. During the pandemic period, mortality rates Digestive system surgeries (19.7%), Hernia repair Digestive system surgeries (16.6%), Hernia repair nearly doubled (2.2% vs. 4%), although the total Karlafti et al. (18.4%), Soft tissue infection surgeries (26%), (22.6%), Soft tissue infection surgeries (28.3%), number was significantly lower than during the Hepatobiliary surgeries (21.7%) Hepatobiliary surgeries (30.5%) control period. The cases were lower in the pandemic period. The average time of symptom onset to arrival at the Kumaira Fonseca et al. Acute appendicitis Acute appendicitis emergency department was higher in the pandemic. There was a higher proportion of complicated cases during the pandemic.

.

| Study ID          | Diag   | Results  |   |
|-------------------|--|--|---|
|                   | Pre  | Pan  |   |
| Madzaro et al.    | Complexity: Minor (749)/Moderate (1311)/Major<br>(848)/Major+ (82)   | Complexity: Minor (508)/Moderate (1081)/Major<br>(712)/Major+ (57)   | There were differences in complications in the<br>lenght of stay and in post-operative mortality; this<br>study finds post-operative mortality of COVID-19<br>positive patients was greater for Minor, Moderate,<br>Major and Major+ procedures.  |
| Malik et al.      | Hernia repair  | Hernia repair  | There was an 18% increase in the number of<br>surgeries for Hernia reparation in the pandemic<br>era. The duration of symptoms prior to<br>presenting in the ER was slightly increased in<br>2020. In this study is mentioned that the<br>pandemic resulted to cancellations of non-urgent<br>elective procedures for hernias and that is why<br>emergency hernia operations were increased in<br>the pandemic era, considering the acute<br>presentation of symptomatic hernias. |
| Osorio et al.     | -  | -  | This multi-centered study concluded no<br>differences in the results between the two periods,<br>but points out that patients infected with<br>SARS-CoV-19 had worse outcomes after<br>the surgery.   |
| Rashdan et al.    | Acute appendicitis, Acute cholecystitis, Acute<br>pancreatitis, Intestinal obtruction, Complicated<br>hernia, For observation, Perianal pain, Soft tissue<br>infection, Burn, Trauma, Others | Acute appendicitis, Acute cholecystitis, Acute<br>pancreatitis, Intestinal obtruction, Complicated<br>hernia, For observation, Perianal pain, Soft tissue<br>infection, Burn, Trauma, Others | Admissions decreased. There were more male<br>patients in the pandemic group. Duration of<br>symptoms before the ER visit was longer in<br>the pandemic.  |
| Rausei et al.     | Appendicitis, Cholecystitis, Bowel obstruction,<br>Bowel perforation, GI bleeding, Proctologic<br>diseases, Abdominal trauma   | Appendicitis, Cholecystitis, Bowel obstruction,<br>Bowel perforation, GI bleeding, Proctologic<br>diseases, Abdominal trauma   | Emergency surgical admissions and surgical<br>operations significantly decreased from March<br>2019 to March 2020, no other changes found.  |
| Salgaonkar et al. | Acute appendicitis   | Acute appendicitis   | The only differences on appendicectomy was that<br>percentage of surgical site infections was<br>increased in the pandemic period.  |

Table A1. Cont.

Table A1. Cont.

Study ID Diagnoses Results Pre Pan There was a 59.1% reduction in the number of Trauma (11), GI bleeding (9), Acute mesenteric emergency surgeries and 50% decrease in the Trauma (12), GI bleeding (7), Acute mesenteric ischemia (2), Perforations (14), Acute mechanical number of non-operatively followed patients in ischemia (2), Perforations (18), Acute mechanical the pandemic group. There was a 47.3% and a Surek et al. intestinal obstruction (25), Incarcerated hernia intestinal obstruction (24), Incarcerated hernia (2), (25), Acute cholecystitis (55), Acute 73% reduction in the number of patients who had Acute cholecystitis (29), Acute appendicitis (42) appendicitis (155) surgery for acute cholecystitis and for acute appendicitis, respectivly. There was an overall decrease in trauma-related admissions. The age was significantly higher in 2020 with fewer adolecents and more senior patients. In 2020, more patients underwent minor Minor trauma (2.5%), Major trauma (17.9%), Minor trauma (8.1%), Major trauma (21.4%), Polytrauma (5.6%), Neck of Femur (53.7%), Soft Polytrauma (4.6%), Neck of Femur (50.3%), Soft surgery. Comparingly in 2020 there was a bigger van Aert et al. tissue trauma (3.7%), Pediatric trauma (16.7%) tissue trauma (5.8%), Pediatric trauma (9.8%) proportion of patients falling from standing high than 2019; furthermore, trauma-related surgeries were increased in 2020. There was a reduction of the number of car and motorcycle accidents. Wade et al. The overall number of patients decreased. Avarage duration of symptoms prior to Wilms et al. Appendicitis Appendicitis presenting in the emergency department was increased. Acute appendicitis (41%), Acute cholecystitis Acute appendicitis (45%), Acute cholecystitis (18%), Strangulated small bowel obstruction This study found not statistically significant (12%), Strangulated small bowel obstruction (5%), (14%), Colon perforation (1%), GI perforation Yasunori Nishida et al. differences of the involved parameters of Colon perforation (10%), GI perforation (11%), (5%), Malignant bowel obstruction (6%), our study. Malignant bowel obstruction (4%), Others (8%) Others (4%)

Abbreviations: pre: Pre pandemic period, pan: pandemic period.

|                        |                 | , 0             | 1      |                       |                       |       |              |              |       |  |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------|--|
|                        | Age (           | Years)          |        | Gei                   | nder                  |       | BMI          |              |       |  |
| Study ID               | Pre             | Pan             | p      | Pre                   | Pan                   | р     | Pre          | Pan          | р     |  |
| Cano-Valderrama et al. | 55              | 52.6            | 0.276  | F (50.88%)            | F (33.8%)             | 0.001 |              |              |       |  |
| D'Urbano et al.        | 65              | 63.5            |        | M (54.3%)             | M (55.5%)             |       |              |              |       |  |
| Fallani et al.         | 44              | 49              | 0.223  | M (53%)               | M (63.1%)             | 0.065 | $25.2\pm2.4$ | $25.3\pm2.1$ | 0.675 |  |
| Hossain et al.         | 63.3            | 62.6            | 0.762  | M (47.7%)             | M (46.2%)             | 0.867 |              |              |       |  |
| Karlafti et al.        | $51.2 \pm 17.6$ | $49.3 \pm 17.1$ |        | M (53.9%)             | M (58.2%)             | 0.284 |              |              |       |  |
| Kumaira Fonseca et al. | $34.3\pm5.8$    | $38.2 \pm 18.1$ | ns     | F (62.2%)             | F (55.6%)             | Ns    |              |              |       |  |
| Osorio et al.          | 57              | 56              |        | M (58.6%)             | M (59.8%)             |       | 27.3         | 27.3         | ns    |  |
| Surek et al.           | $46.1\pm17.9$   | $46.6 \pm 18.9$ | 0.890  | M (63.5%)             | M (65%)               | 0.928 |              |              |       |  |
| Wilms et al.           | $35\pm19$       | $36\pm20$       | 0.24   | M (N:510)             | M (N:468)             | 0.18  |              |              |       |  |
| van Aert et al.        | 42              | 48              | >0.001 | F(18.517)<br>F(47.8%) | F (18:420)<br>F (52%) | 0.088 |              |              |       |  |

| <b>Table A2.</b> Jummary multips with characteristics of patients of the included studies | s of the included studies. | patients of t | characteristics of | v findings with | Table A2. Summary |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|---|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|

Abbreviations: M: male, F: female, ns: non-significant, pre: Pre pandemic period, pan: pandemic period.

# **Table A3.** Results of the included studies.

| Study ID                    | Country   | Publication<br>Year | Study Period                      | Pati<br>Inclu | ents<br>uded | Num<br>Surg | ber of<br>geries | Average l<br>Sympton<br>Presenting | Duration of<br>ns Prior to<br>in Emergency | Time (Hor<br>between Hos<br>and S | urs) Elapsed<br>pital Admission<br>Surgery | Length of       | Stay (Days)   | Comp<br>% c<br>Exper<br>Comp | lications<br>of pts<br>riencing<br>lications | Mor   | tality |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|--|-------|--------|
|                             |           |                     |                                   | Pre           | Pan          | Pre         | Pan              | Pre                                | Pan  | Pre                               | Pan  | Pre             | Pan           | Pre                          | Pan  | Pre   | Pan    |
| Balla et al.                | Italy     | Junuary<br>2021     | 8/3-4/5/2019-<br>8/3-4/5/2020     | 99            | 41           | 25          | 17               |                                    |  |                                   |  |                 |               |                              |  |       |        |
| Cano-<br>Valderrama et al.  | Spain     | July 2020           | 11/3–21/4/2019–<br>11/3–21/4/2020 | 285           | 117          | 285         | 117              | 44.6 h                             | 71 h                                       | 12.4<br>(N: 285)                  | 12.3 (N: 117)                              | 12.2            | 8.5           |                              |  | 4.27% | 6.67%  |
| Carpio<br>Colmenares et al. | Peru      | 2021                | 11/3-8/6/2019-<br>11/3-8/6/2020   | 45            | 59           | 45          | 59               |                                    |  |                                   |  | $1.73 \pm 1.07$ | $2.74\pm2.80$ |                              |  |       |        |
| Casella et al.              | Italy     | February<br>2022    | 9/3–9/5/2019–<br>9/3–9/5/2020     | 476           | 79           | 88          | 16               |                                    |  | $16.73 \pm 1.76$ (N: 88)          | 22.56 ± 11.12<br>(N:16)                    |                 |               |                              |  | 0%    | 31.3%  |
| D'Urbano et al.             | Italy     | September<br>2020   | 9/3-9/4/2019-<br>9/3-9/4/2020     | 46            | 27           | 46          | 27               |                                    |  |                                   |  |                 |               | 36.9%                        | 55.5%  | 19.6% | 11.1%  |
| Drysdale et al.             | Australia | July 2020           | 1/4–19/5/2019–<br>30/3–17/5/2020  | 506           | 475          | 180         | 205              |                                    |  |                                   |  |                 |               |                              |  | 1 pt  | 1 pt   |
| Fallani et al.              | Italy     | December<br>2020    | 23/3-4/5/2019-<br>23/3-4/5/2020   | 183           | 149          | 183         | 149              |                                    |  |                                   |  |                 |               | 18%                          | 35.6%  | 4.9%  | 6%     |

Table A3. Cont.

Complications Time (Hours) Elapsed Average Duration of Patients Number of Publication % of pts Study ID **Study Period** Country Symptoms Prior to between Hospital Admission Length of Stay (Days) Mortality Year Included Surgeries Experiencing Presenting in Emergency and Surgery Complications December 1/3-24/4/2019-Fowler et al. Australia 1574 1240 694 596 2020 1/3-24/4/2020 1/3-30/6/2019-Hossain et al. UK 2020 107 52 1 4 1/3-30/6/2020  $3.13 \pm 2.67$ 1/3-31/5/2019- $2.70\pm2.86$ Kamil et al. Ireland 94 84 33 June 2021 138 1/3-31/5/2020 days days 3/2019-2/2020-November Karlafti et al. Greece 456 223 456 223  $4\pm 8.6$  $4.6\pm10.3$ 2.2% 4% 2021 3/2020-2/2021 March-April Kumaira Brazil 2020 2019-March-April 82 36 82 36 13.4% 11.1% Fonseca et al. 2020 November 1/3-30/6/2019 Madzaro et al. 2800 2188 2800 2188 < 0.001 Spain 1/3-30/6/2020 2021 1/1-31/12/2019-Malik et al. UK June 2021 32 39 32 39 1/1-31/12/2020 September 1/3-30/6/2019-4 4 5.2% Osorio et al. Spain 2992 2315 2992 2315 3.2% 2021 1/3-30/6/2020 March–June  $57 \pm 64.4$  $95.32 \pm 148.62$ Rashdan et al. May 2021 143 154 60 Jordan 2019–March–June 201 min min 2020 August Rausei et al. 515 USA 3/2019-3/2020 869 475 302 2020 March 1/3-5/6/2019-Salgaonkar et al. UK 206 132 206 132 0% 0.7% 2021 1/3-5/6/2020 453 November 14/3-15/5/2019-(total Surek et al. Germany 453 252 103 17.06% 24.27% 1.19% 4.85% 2020 14/3-15/5/2020 in both) The Nether-February 11/3-10/5/2019van Aert et al. 1717 1182 162 173 (N:162) (N:173) lands 2021 11/3-10/5/2020 23/3-10/5/2019-Wade et al. England June 2020 193 64 -23/3-10/5/2020 Februarv-Janruary March/2019-Wilms et al. Germany 1027 888 1027 888  $37.5 \pm 45.8 \text{ h}$   $41.1 \pm 55.9 \text{ h}$  $4.5 \pm 4.1$  $4.6 \pm 4.0$ 2021 February-March/2020 1/3-30/6/2019-Yasunori December 90 89 90 Japan 89 Nishida et al. 2020 1/3-30/6/2020

Results in bold were statistically significant (p < 0.05).

## References

- 1. Zhu, N.; Zhang, D.; Wang, W.; Li, X.; Yang, B.; Song, J.; Zhao, X.; Huang, B.; Shi, W.; Lu, R.; et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *382*, 727–733. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rothan, H.A.; Byrareddy, S.N. The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. *J. Autoimmun.* 2020, 109, 102433. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Baccini, M.; Cereda, G.; Viscardi, C. The first wave of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in Tuscany (Italy): A SI2R2D compartmental model with uncertainty evaluation. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0250029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Pedziwiatr, M.; Lasek, A.; Wysocki, M.; Mavrikis, J.; Mysliwiec, P.; Bobowicz, M.; Karcz, W.; Michalik, M.; Makarewicz, W.; Major, P.; et al. Complicated appendicitis: Risk factors and outcomes of laparoscopic appendectomy–results from Pol-LA (Polish Laparoscopic Appendectomy) multicenter large cohort study. *Ulus. Travma Ve Acil Cerrahi Derg. = Turk. J. Trauma Emerg. Surg. TJTES* 2018, 25, 129–136. [CrossRef]
- Casella, G.; Castagneto-Gissey, L.; Lattina, I.; Ferrari, P.; Iodice, A.; Tesori, C.; Catani, M.; Assenza, M.; Mingoli, A.; LA Torre, F. Repercussions of covid-19-related national lockdown on emergency surgery department: A longitudinal cohort monocentric study. *Minerva Surg.* 2022, 71, 22–29. [CrossRef]
- 6. Wade, S.; Nair, G.; Ayeni, H.A.; Pawa, A. A Cohort Study of Emergency Surgery Caseload and Regional Anesthesia Provision at a Tertiary UK Hospital During the Initial COVID-19 Pandemic. *Cureus* **2020**, *12*, e8781. [CrossRef]
- Cano-Valderrama, O.; Morales, X.; Ferrigni, C.J.; Martín-Antona, E.; Turrado, V.; García, A.; Cuñarro-López, Y.; Zarain-Obrador, L.; Duran-Poveda, M.; Balibrea, J.M.; et al. Acute Care Surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain: Changes in volume, causes and complications. A multicentre retrospective cohort study. *Int. J. Surg.* 2020, *80*, 157–161. [CrossRef]
- Osorio, J.; Madrazo, Z.; Videla, S.; Sainz, B.; Rodríguez-González, A.; Campos, A.; Santamaría, M.; Pelegrina, A.; González-Serrano, C.; Aldeano, A.; et al. Analysis of outcomes of emergency general and gastrointestinal surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br. J. Surg.* 2021, *108*, 1438–1447. [CrossRef]
- Willms, A.G.; CAMIN Study Group; Oldhafer, K.J.; Conze, S.; Thasler, W.E.; von Schassen, C.; Hauer, T.; Huber, T.; Germer, C.-T.; Günster, S.; et al. Appendicitis during the COVID-19 lockdown: Results of a multicenter analysis in Germany. *Langenbeck's Arch.* Surg. 2021, 406, 367–375. [CrossRef]
- 10. Drysdale, H.R.E.; Ooi, S.; Nagra, S.; Watters, D.A.; Guest, G.D. Clinical activity and outcomes during Geelong's general surgery response to the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *ANZ J. Surg.* 2020, *90*, 1573–1579. [CrossRef]
- Karlafti, E.; Benioudakis, E.S.; Paramythiotis, D.; Sapalidis, K.; Kaiafa, G.; Didangelos, T.; Michalopoulos, A.; Kesisoglou, I.; Savopoulos, C. Does the COVID-19 Pandemic Affect Morbidity and Mortality Rates of Emergency General Surgery? A Retrospective Study from a Single-Center Tertiary Greek Hospital. *Medicina* 2021, 57, 1185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Rausei, S.; Ferrara, F.; Zurleni, T.; Frattini, F.; Chiara, O.; Pietrabissa, A.; Sarro, G. Dramatic decrease of surgical emergencies during COVID-19 outbreak. *J. Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2020, *89*, 1085–1091. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van Aert, G.J.; van der Laan, L.; Winter, L.J.B.-D.; Berende, C.A.; de Groot, H.G.; van Hensbroek, P.B.; Schormans, P.M.; Winkes, M.B.; Vos, D.I. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic during the first lockdown in The Netherlands on the number of trauma-related admissions, trauma severity and treatment: The results of a retrospective cohort study in a level 2 trauma centre. *BMJ Open* 2021, *11*, e045015. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Fowler, S.; Zahir, S.F.; Manning, W.; Kearney, A.; Sturgess, D. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic first wave and public policy on elective and emergency surgery provision in Southern Queensland. *ANZ J. Surg.* **2021**, *91*, 249–254. [CrossRef]
- 15. Surek, A.; Ferahman, S.; Gemici, E.; Dural, A.C.; Donmez, T.; Karabulut, M. Effects of COVID-19 pandemic on general surgical emergencies: Are some emergencies really urgent? Level 1 trauma center experience. *Eur. J. Trauma Emerg. Surg.* 2021, 47, 647–652. [CrossRef]
- Colmenares, Y.T.C.; de Castilla, D.C.R.; Barrionuevo, L.A.G.; Valencia, M.R.L.; Doria, P.M.; Nole, V.M.; Escalante, F.P.; Saenz, C.R.; Borda-Luque, G. Emergency Abdominal Laparoscopic Surgery During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: Experience in a Private Center in Peru. J. Laparoendosc. Adv. Surg. Tech. 2021, 31, 261–265. [CrossRef]
- 17. Nishida, Y.; Otagiri, N.; Tauchi, K. Emergency abdominal surgeries remain unchanged in the COVID-19 affected environment: A single-center experience at a community hospital in Japan. *Acute Med. Surg.* **2021**, *8*, e623. [CrossRef]
- Salgaonkar, H.; Aladeojebi, A.; Murcott, D.; Nnaji, M.; Tsiamis, A.; Cheruvu, C.V. Emergency appendicectomy during COVID-19 pandemic—A single UK centre experience. *Pol. J. Surg.* 2021, *93*, 33–39. [CrossRef]
- 19. D'Urbano, F.; Fabbri, N.; Radica, M.K.; Rossin, E.; Carcoforo, P. Emergency surgery in COVID-19 outbreak: Has anything changed? Single center experience. *World J. Clin. Cases* 2020, *8*, 3691–3696. [CrossRef]
- Hossain, N.; Naidu, V.; Hosny, S.; Khalifa, M.; Mathur, P.; Al Whouhayb, M. Hospital Presentations of Acute Diverticulitis During COVID-19 Pandemic may be More Likely to Require Surgery due to Increased Severity: A Single-Centre Experience. *Am. Surg.* 2020, *81*, 133–139. [CrossRef]
- Fonseca, M.K.; Trindade, E.N.; Filho, O.P.C.; Nácul, M.P.; Seabra, A.P. Impact of COVID-19 Outbreak on the Emergency Presentation of Acute Appendicitis. *Am. Surg.* 2020, *86*, 1508–1512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Madrazo, Z.; Osorio, J.; Videla, S.; Sainz, B.; Rodríguez-González, A.; Campos, A.; Santamaría, M.; Pelegrina, A.; González-Serrano, C.; Aldeano, A.; et al. P-POSSUM as mortality predictor in COVID-19-infected patients submitted to emergency digestive surgery. A retrospective cohort study. *Int. J. Surg.* 2021, *96*, 106171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Fallani, G.; Lombardi, R.; Masetti, M.; Chisari, M.; Zanini, N.; Cattaneo, G.M.; Filosa, M.; Zanzi, F.; Guerra, E.; Bonilauri, S.; et al. Urgent and emergency surgery for secondary peritonitis during the COVID-19 outbreak: An unseen burden of a healthcare crisis. *Updat. Surg.* 2021, *73*, 753–762. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rashdan, M.; Al-Taher, R.; Al-Qaisi, M.; Khrais, I.; Salameh, M.; Obaidat, I.; Abbad, M.; Alsaadi, T.; Hani, A.B. The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on emergency surgery in a tertiary hospital in Jordan. A cross sectional study. *Ann. Med. Surg.* 2021, 66, 102339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Kamil, A.M.; Davey, M.G.; Marzouk, F.; Sehgal, R.; Fowler, A.L.; Corless, K.; O'Neill, M.; Collins, C.G. The impact of COVID-19 on emergency surgical presentations in a university teaching hospital. *Ir. J. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *191*, 1059–1065. [CrossRef]
- Surgical management protocol during the COVID-19 pandemic in an Italian non-referral center. *Minerva Surg.* 2021, 76, 281–285. [CrossRef]
- Malik, A.; Zohdy, M.; Ahmad, A.; Seretis, C. Single-centre experience of emergency hernia surgery during COVID-19 pandemic: A comparative study of the operative activity and outcomes before and after the outbreak. *Med. Glas. Off. Publ. Med. Assoc. Zenica-Doboj Canton Bosnia Herzeg.* 2021, 18, 462–467. [CrossRef]
- Moreland, A.; Herlihy, C.; Tynan, M.A.; Sunshine, G.; Mccord, R.F.; Hilton, C.; Poovey, J.; Werner, A.K.; Jones, C.D.; Fulmer, E.B.; et al. Timing of State and Territorial COVID-19 Stay-at-Home Orders and Changes in Population Movement— United States, March 1–May 31, 2020. MMWR. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 2020, 69, 1198–1203. [CrossRef]
- 29. Koutserimpas, C.; Agouridakis, P.; Velimezis, G.; Papagiannakis, G.; Keramidis, I.; Ioannidis, A.; Samonis, G. The burden on public emergency departments during the economic crisis years in Greece: A two-center comparative study. *Public Health* **2019**, *167*, 16–20. [CrossRef]
- Gkentzi, D.; Katsoula, V.; Fouzas, S.; Mentis, M.; Karatza, A.; Dimitriou, G. Economic Recession and Attendances in the Pediatric Emergency Department. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2019, 2019, 4186486. [CrossRef]
- Petrovici, I.; Ionica, M.; Neagoe, O. Economic Crisis: A Factor for the Delayed Diagnosis of Breast Cancer. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2021, 18, 3998. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Karavokyros, I.G.; Kirkilessis, G.I.; Schizas, D.; Chelidonis, G.; Pikoulis, E.; Griniatsos, J. Emergency inguinal hernioplasties in a tertiary public Hospital in Athens Greece, during the economic crisis. *BMC Surg.* **2019**, *19*, 18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]