## Letter to the Editor Concerning "Augmented Reality Device for Preoperative Marking of Spine Surgery Can Improve the Accuracy of Level Identification," by Aoyama et al.

Tomohito Yoshihara, Tadatsugu Morimoto, Masatsugu Tsukamoto, Hirohito Hirata, Takaomi Kobayashi and Masaaki Mawatari

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Saga University, Saga, Japan

## **Keywords:**

augmented reality, wrong-site spine surgery, misidentification of the spinal level, level confirmation with marking

Spine Surg Relat Res 2023; 7(1): 116-117 dx.doi.org/10.22603/ssrr.2022-0128

To the Editor:

We were interested in the article of Aoyama et al.<sup>1</sup>, who concluded that identification of the spinal level using augmented reality (AR) is effective in preventing wrong-site spine surgery. Although we entirely support the use of this novel technology for level confirmation, we have some concerns regarding the outcomes of AR-assisted marking and the validation of its usefulness.

First, given that there was no statement of statistical significance concerning the misidentification rate or misidentification range, the usefulness of this technique cannot be strongly asserted.

Second, the match between the three-dimensional data and reality was manually adjusted, which can lead to registration errors-hence the need for ingenuity in optimizing size and match accuracy. As the author pointed out, the difference between the posture in the preoperative image and the intraoperative posture can also cause errors. Therefore, the usefulness of this technique may also be proven by examining the inter-rater reliability based on the years of experience.

Third, AR-assisted studies also need to investigate workflow and cost-effectiveness<sup>2)</sup>. For this technique to be widely used in the future, it is necessary to evaluate the additional time and radiation exposure caused by this technique. To improve the error, intraoperative computed tomography using an O-Arm or other methods is necessary at present, and the issue of equipment cost needs to be addressed. In addition, the workload should also be evaluated, including head mount display suitability, visual discomfort, fatigue, motion sickness, and other learning-related workloads applied to surgeons using a questionnaire (i.e., System Usability score and NASA-Task load index).

Finally, the present study included only one case involving the thoracic spine; however, in actual practice, misidentifications in the thoracic spine are frequent and embarrassing to surgeons. Therefore, we would like to request that the authors accumulate more data on the thoracic spine and provide further output.

We would appreciate your comments on these concerns so that we can further corroborate the results of this important study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no relevant conflicts of interest.

## Sources of Funding: None

Author Contributions: Tadatsugu Morimoto was responsible for report design and organization. All authors have read, reviewed, and approved the article.

## References

- 1. Aoyama R, Anazawa U, Hotta H, et al. Augmented reality device for preoperative marking of spine surgery can improve the accuracy of level identification. Spine Surg Relat Res. 2022;6(3):303-9.
- **2.** Morimoto T, Kobayashi T, Hirata H, et al. XR (Extended reality: virtual reality, augmented reality, mixed reality) technology in spine medicine: status quo and quo vadis. J Clin Med. 2022;11(2): 470.

Corresponding author: Tadatsugu Morimoto, sakiyuki0830@gmail.com

Received: September 6, 2022, Accepted: September 7, 2022, Advance Publication: December 12, 2022

Copyright © 2023 The Japanese Society for Spine Surgery and Related Research

Spine Surgery and Related Research is an Open Access journal distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view the details of this license, please visit (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).