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#### Abstract

A novel protocol for the synthesis of tetrasubstituted olefins through a biomimetic approach has been explored. Both mono- and diarylations were performed under ambient oxygen pressure, giving a range of highly hindered tetrasubstituted alkenes. For diarylation of disubstituted substrates, it was demonstrated that the second arylation is the rate-limiting step of the overall transformation.




## INTRODUCTION

Tetrasubstituted olefins constitute an important class of compounds since many of these olefins show significant biological activities (Figure 1). For example, (Z)-Tamoxifen displays effects against breast cancer ${ }^{1}$ while Rofecoxib is a powerful nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug. ${ }^{2}$ Dibenzoxapin and related compounds have been evaluated as nuclear hormone receptor modulators, ${ }^{3}$ and finally, tetrasubstituted isocombretastatins A-4 have been recently identified as new tubulin inhibitors. ${ }^{4}$

Reported efficient methods for accessing such unsaturated structures are mainly based on the use of transition-metal catalysis via carbofunctionalization of alkynes, ${ }^{5}$ olefin metathesis, ${ }^{6}$ or cross-coupling reactions. ${ }^{7}$ Among the latter, the oxidative Heck coupling has been frequently employed for the preparation of disubstituted alkenes. ${ }^{8}$ However, only a few examples of successful Heck arylation have been reported regarding the synthesis of tri- or tetrasubstituted olefins. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{e}}$ There are several problems associated with the oxidative Heck coupling between aromatic heterocycles and trisubstituted olefins to give tetrasubstituted olefins. The problems with the latter reaction can be rationalized by the low reactivity of the trisubstituted substrates. Due to steric hindrance around the unsaturated core, the latter alkenes are not reactive enough to undergo the required carbopalladation. Another problem is associated with the regeneration of the active catalyst. In general, the use of strong oxidants or additives-such as TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine- N -oxyl radical) derivatives ${ }^{7 e}$ and/ or inorganic salts ${ }^{7 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{is}$ required, thus reducing the applicability and sustainability of the reaction. In addition, in the dehydrogenative version of the Heck reaction-the Fujiwara-Moritani reaction ${ }^{9}$-the challenging metal insertion into the aromatic C H bond makes the synthetic task even more difficult. Therefore, there is a demand for improvements of the synthesis of
tetrasubstituted alkenes via the dehydrogenative Heck reaction approach.

In the past few years, we have been involved in the development of new sustainable $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ couplings via $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activations using a biomimetic approach. ${ }^{10}$ Following this concept, the high kinetic barrier preventing the catalyst regeneration is circumvented by the use of catalytic amounts of electron-transfer mediators (ETMs). ${ }^{11}$ In this way, the reduced catalyst can be reoxidized by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at atmospheric pressure, producing water as the sole byproduct of the reaction. On the basis of this strategy, we have previously established protocols for the dehydrogenative Heck reaction that have the following advantages: (i) relative low palladium and arene loadings, (ii) utilization of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ under ambient pressure as the oxidant, and (iii) extension of the scope to nonbiased olefins and heterocycles. Our continued interest in this field prompted us to explore the preparation of tetrasubstituted olefins via a biomimetic approach, and our contribution is reported herein.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We first planned to prepare a trisubstituted olefin via a dehydrogenative Heck reaction that could be used as starting material for the synthesis of tetrasubstituted olefins. In our previously reported arylation of nonbiased olefins, ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ we showed that acridine as a ligand dramatically enhances the reaction rate and totally controls the site selectivity in the coupling with veratrole. We initiated our studies with a 1:10 ratio of alkene 1a and veratrole ( $\mathbf{2 a}$ ) using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ as catalyst, acridine ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) as ligand, and benzoquinone (BQ) ( 10 mol $\%)$ and iron phthalocyanine $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Pc})(2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ as electrontransfer mediators in a mixture of acetic acid:dioxane (1:1, v:v)
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Figure 1. Representative drugs containing tetrasubstituted olefins.
Table 1. Optimization of the Synthesis of Tetrasubstituted Olefins ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\mathbf{1 a}(0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( 10 or 15 equiv) in the appropriate catalytic system for 24 h under $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (balloon). ${ }^{b}$ NMR yield using an internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ Yield after flash chromatography.
for 24 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under ambient oxygen pressure (Table 1, entry 1). Interestingly, we found that formation of the trisubstituted alkene 3aa was accompanied by the tetrasubstituted alkene 4aa. This reaction shows that the biomimetic approach is a viable strategy for providing access to tetrasubstituted olefins. Taking into account that there are not many examples in the literature for the diarylation of alkenes, ${ }^{10 f, 12}$ it was highly interesting to develop a one-pot double arylation of $\mathbf{1 a}$.

Attempts to increase the rate of the reaction by the use of pure acetic acid as the solvent were unsuccessful and led to only $17 \%$ yield of 4aa (Table 1, entry 2). An increase of the reaction temperature to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under the standard conditions resulted in an improvement and gave olefin $4 \mathbf{a a}$ in a $35 \%$ yield, (Table 1 , entry 3). However, a further increase of the reaction temperature to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decreased the amount of 4aa to $3 \%$ (entry 4). The dramatic decrease of 4aa may be due to decomposition at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under the acidic conditions. An increase of the catalytic amount of ETMs did not significantly affect the yield of the coupling, and modifications of the solvent ratio led to decreased yields (entries $5-7)$. We were pleased to find that the use of a higher catalyst loading (entry 8) or an increase of the arene loading (entry 9)
improved the yield of 4 aa up to $66 \%$. Considering the importance of the choice of solvent in the Fujiwara-Moritani reaction, we also evaluated the role of a range of cosolvents such as acetonitrile instead of dioxane, ${ }^{13}$ or pivalic acid or propionic acid instead of acetic acid, but none of these changes increased the yield of 4 aa (entries 10-12). We chose to conclude our optimization studies with an additional screening of ETMs, but these alternative catalytic systems were not more efficient than those used in the standard conditions (entries 13-14).

The double dehydrogenative sequence for the conversion of disubstituted alkene 1a into trisubstituted alkene 3aa and tetrasubstituted alkene 4aa was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2). The reaction profile indicates that olefin $\mathbf{1 a}$ is almost totally consumed after only 2 h , mostly giving 3aa with only trace amounts of 4aa. Then, the concentration of 3aa is decreasing slowly with concomitant formation of 4aa, demonstrating that the rate-limitating step of the sequence is the formation of the desired tetrasubstituted product. The steric hindrance around the double bond certainly slows down the carbopalladation.


Figure 2. Reaction profile of the biomimetic double dehydrogenative sequence between alkene $\mathbf{1 a}$ and arene $\mathbf{2 a}$ using the reaction conditions of entry 9, Table 1.

We chose to continue our studies with a range of one-pot diarylations using the optimum conditions (Scheme 1). In most cases, the introduction of directing groups-such as acyl groups-can only be employed to partially control the regioand the stereoselectivity of tetrasubstituted alkenes. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~d}, 14}$ Indeed, simple arenes can potentially undergo metalation at several
reactive sites, generating complicated mixtures of isomers after a double cross-coupling. Usually, the site selectivity is controlled by (i) electronic factors with a preference for the most electronrich carbon, (ii) steric factors with a preference for the lesshindered carbon. First, the influence of the substituents in 1,1disubstituted alkene substrates was examined by reaction with veratrole $\mathbf{2 a}$ as the aromatic coupling partner. We were pleased to find that a range of esters smoothly underwent the diarylation, giving $\mathbf{4 a a}-\mathbf{4 c a}$ in good yields. An acetate and a ketone are both tolerated in the double dehydrogenative cross-coupling, albeit in lower yields (4da and 4ea). An olefin substrate containing an isatin moiety underwent a smooth reaction, resulting in the formation of $4 \mathbf{f a}$ in $55 \%$ yield. To our satisfaction, the site selectivity of the reaction with 1,2 -diethoxybenzene and $o$-xylene was complete, leading to two highly substituted scaffolds $4 \mathbf{a b}$ and 4ac. However, no diarylated product was observed when 1,4dimethoxybenzene 2 d was employed as coupling partner, the reaction yielding only the trisubstituted olefin 3ad in an $83 \%$ yield. The second arylation is apparently suppressed due to steric reasons. The lack of reactivity due to steric effects was confirmed by using 1,3 -dimethoxybenzene $\mathbf{2 e}$ as coupling partner. Indeed, a 63:37 mixture in favor of the ortho-isomer 3ae- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ (the most reactive site) was isolated, accompanied by roughly $5 \%$ of a diarylated scaffold. In this example, due to its steric hindrance,

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Tetrasubstituted Olefins via a Double Aerobic Direct C-H Activation ${ }^{a, b, c, d, e}$
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${ }^{a}$ For reaction conditions, see Table $1 .{ }^{b} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, acridine $(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%) .{ }^{c} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, acridine $(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%) .{ }^{d}$ Ratio of isomers ( $\alpha: \beta$ or $o: m: p$ ) determined by NMR spectroscopy of isolated product. ${ }^{e}$ Ratio of regioisomers, which could not be assigned and are thus given in no particular order (determined by NMR of isolated product).

3ae- $\alpha$ is already too crowded to perform a second arylation with $\mathbf{2 e}$. In addition, 3ae- $\beta$ can only react with the $\beta$ position of $2 \mathbf{e}$, which is unfortunately the less reactive carbon of the arene.

We also confirmed the influence of steric hindrance starting from trisubstituted alkenes $\mathbf{1 g}$ and $\mathbf{1 h}$ (Scheme 2). Reaction of $\mathbf{1 g}$ with arene 2 d did not deliver the desired product 5gd, the starting materials being mostly recovered and no identifiable byproduct being detected. Furthermore, with arene 2e, only one isomer 5 ge was obtained in a $16 \%$ yield, whereas $57 \%$ of olefin 1 g remained intact. These systematic studies on these sterically hindered substrates led to some instructive results: ortho- $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization of simple arenes is very slow with trisubstituted olefins, illustrating the influence of steric effects on the rate. The reaction was also conducted with 1,3-benzodioxole (1f) as coupling partner, and surprisingly, the selectivity of the coupling was not complete. The desired alkenes $\mathbf{5 g f}$ were isolated as a mixture of isomers in a $18: 82$ ratio in favor of the $\beta$-alkenylated scaffold. In light of these results, it was of interest to establish the selectivity of anisole as coupling partner. Anisole is known to mainly undergo palladium insertion at (i) para, (ii) ortho, (iii) meta positions. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 13} \mathrm{As}$ expected, no coupling occurred at the ortho position of anisole, and 5 gg was isolated as a mixture of isomers in a 0:46:54 (o:m:p) ratio. Similarly, toluene and chlorobenzene were also successfully employed and only two regioisomers were detected in each case ( $\mathbf{5 g h}$ and $\mathbf{5 g i}$ ). To
further explore the scope of this transformation, the coupling reaction with $\mathbf{1 g}$ (or $\mathbf{1 h}$ ) was conducted with difunctionalized arenes such as veratrole, naphtalene, or $o$-xylene, which furnished a range of densely substituted alkenes $5 \mathrm{ga}, 5 \mathrm{gj}, 5 \mathrm{gc}$, and 5 ha with synthetically useful yields and complete selectivity. ${ }^{15}$

## CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed an operationally simple protocol for the synthesis of tetrasubstituted olefins via mono or double aerobic dehydrogenative Heck couplings through a biomimetic approach. It was shown that the steric hindrance around the unsaturated core plays a key role in the selectivity of the reaction, and a range of highly substituted alkenes were isolated with complete chemoselectivity around the double bond and with partial to complete regioselectivity depending on the arene. Remarkably, the reaction involves readily available nonfunctionalized reagents and proceeds at ambient oxygen pressure.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis using silica gel ( 60 F254) plates. Compounds were visualized by UV irradiation and/or spraying with a solution of potassium permanganate, followed by charring at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh, $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer at $400 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$. Chemical shifts are given in
parts per million from tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. The following abbreviations are used for the proton spectra multiplicities: s: singulet, d: doublet, t: triplet, q: quartet, qu: quintuplet, sex:sextet, m: multiplet. Coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. HRMS were recorded using ESI-TOF techniques. Dry solvents were obtained from a VAC solvent purifier. All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers unless otherwise stated.

Protecting alkenes 1 were prepared following a two-step sequence Baylis-Hillman reaction (giving products 6)/Mitsunobu reaction as described below.
tert-Butyl 2-(Hydroxymethyl)acrylate (6b). The title compound was prepared via Baylis-Hillman reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{16}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{16}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 165.8,140.9,125.0,81.5,63.0,28.2$.
tert-Butyl 2-(Hydroxymethyl)acrylate (6c). The title compound was prepared via Baylis-Hillman reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{16}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{17}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.25(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{q}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{qu}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{sex}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.5,139.6,125.7,64.9$, 62.8, 30.7, 19.2, 13.8.

Methyl 2-(Hydroxymethyl)acrylate (6d). The title compound was prepared via Baylis-Hillman reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{16}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.23(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{q}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.8,139.4,125.9,62.4,52.0$.

3-(Hydroxymethyl)but-3-en-2-one (6e). The title compound was prepared via a Baylis-Hillman reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{18}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{18}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{t}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{q}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 200.5,147.3,126.2,62.3,26.0$.

Methyl 2-(Hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (6g). The title compound was prepared via Baylis-Hillman reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{19}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{19}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $7.39-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{q}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{t}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.9,142.1$, 141.4, 128.6, 128.0, 126.7, 126.3, 73.4, 52.1.

Methyl 2-((4-Chlorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)acrylate (6h). The title compound was prepared via Baylis-Hillman reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{19}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{19}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{t}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right):$ $\delta 166.7,141.7,139.9,133.6,128.6,128.1,126.4,72.7$, 52.1.

Ethyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)acrylate (1a). Compound 1a was prepared via a Mitsunobu reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{20}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{21}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{t}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{t}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 167.8, 165.4, 134.7, 134.2, 132.1, 125.9, 123.5, 61.2, 38.4, 14.2.
tert-Butyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)acrylate (1b). Compound $\mathbf{1 b}$ was prepared via a Mitsunobu reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{20}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{20}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 7.88 (dd, $J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $1.4,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 167.9,164.6,136.1,134.2$, 132.1, 124.6, 123.6, 81.6, 38.5, 28.2.

Butyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)acrylate (1c). Compound 1c was prepared via a Mitsunobu reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{20}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6$, $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{td}, J=1.4,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$5.56(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 167.8,165.5,134.8,134.3,132.1,125.9$, 123.6, 65.1, 38.4, 30.7, 19.3, 13.8.

Methyl 2-(Acetoxymethyl)acrylate (1d). Compound 1d was prepared according to a literature procedure..$^{22}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 6.34(\mathrm{q}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{q}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 170.4, 165.7, 135.3, 127.6, 62.5, 52.1, 20.9.

2-(2-Methylene-3-oxobutyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (1e). Compound 1 e was prepared via a Mitsunobu reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{20}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.87$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 198.2,168.0,142.6,134.4,134.3,123.7,123.6,37.7,26.0$.

Methyl 2-((2,3-Dioxoindolin-1-yl)methyl)acrylate (1f). To a solution of (hydroxymethyl)acrylate $\mathbf{6 d}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 5.17 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in diethyl ether ( 25 mL ) was added dropwise phosphorus tribromide (535 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 5.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 50 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting allylic bromide $(270 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}$, equiv) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 15 mL ) in the presence of indoline-2,3-dione ( 260 mg , $1.81 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.2$ equiv) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.81$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). The resulting solution was stirred for 20 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was then added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 $\times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The desired product 1f was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=6: 4$ to $5: 5$ ) and isolated as an orange solid in $26 \%$ yield over two steps $(327 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5,1.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{t}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 182.9,165.9,158.3$, 150.5, 138.6, 133.1, 127.5, 125.5, 124.1, 117.7, 111.1, 52.4, 40.7. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4}$ 268.0586, found 268.0589.
(E)-Methyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-phenyl Acrylate (1g). Compound $\mathbf{1 g}$ was prepared via a Mitsunobu reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{20}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{21}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5$, $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 168.0,167.1,143.4,134.8,133.9,132.1$, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 126.5, 123.5, 52.1, 35.9.
(E)-Methyl 3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)acrylate (1h). Compound 1 h was prepared via a Mitsunobu reaction according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{20}$ Experimental data were in accordance with those reported in the previous literature. ${ }^{21}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (dd, $J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 168.0,166.9,142.0,134.1,133.2,132.0,130.4,128.9,127.1$, 123.7, 123.3, 52.4, 35.8.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Functionalized Olefins 3, 4, or 5. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, acridine ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $p$ benzoquinone ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), iron phtalocyanine ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), olefin 1 ( 1 equiv), arene 2 ( 15 equiv), and AcOH :dioxane ( $1: 1,1.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were charged in a Schlenk tube. The resulting mixture was degassed three times under reduced pressure before introducing oxygen gas with a balloon. After vigorous stirring at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with AcOEt, filtered through Celite, rinsed with AcOEt , and concentrated under vacuum. Products were purified by flash chromatography with hexane/ethyl acetate to yield the desired functionalized olefins 3,4 , or 5 .

Ethyl 3,3-Bis(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)acrylate (4aa). Prepared following the general procedure. Compound 4aa was obtained as a red solid in $62 \%$ yield ( 66 mg ) after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 7:3 to 5:5). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.2,167.9,149.1,149.0,148.9,148.7$, 148.4, 134.6, 133.9, 132.5, 132.1, 125.5, 123.2, 122.4, 121.7, 112.8, 112.1, 110.9, 110.5, 60.8, 56.1, 55.9, 55.9, 55.9, 39.4, 13.7. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}$ 554.1785, found 554.1782 ( 0.6 ppm).
(E)-Ethyl 3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl)methyl)acrylate (3aa). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.88$ (s, 1 H ), $7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14$ (d, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3,2.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 168.0, 166.9, 149.6, 148.9, 143.0, 133.9, 132.1, 127.5, 125.2, 123.2, 122.7, 112.4, 111.0, 61.1, 56.0, 55.9, 36.0, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} 418.1261$, found 418.1241 ( 4.8 ppm ).
tert-Butyl 3,3-Bis(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoiso-indolin-2-yl)methyl)acrylate (4ba). Prepared following the general procedure. Compound 4ba was obtained as a red solid in $53 \%$ yield (59 mg ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 7:3 to 6:4). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 167.9,167.8,148.8,148.6,148.6,148.3$, 147.0, 134.8, 133.9, 132.6, 132.1, 127.3, 123.1, 122.2, 121.7, 112.6, 112.2, 101.8, 110.4, 81.1, 56.0, 55.9, 55.8, 55.8, 39.3, 27.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8} 582.2098$, found 582.2122 ( -4.0 ppm).

Butyl 3,3-Bis(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-$2-\mathrm{yl}$ )methyl)acrylate (4ca). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine $(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were used. Compound 4 ca was obtained as a red solid in $60 \%$ yield $(67 \mathrm{mg})$ after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 7:3 to $5: 5) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68$ (dd, $J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.67(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.5,167.9,149.1,149.0,148.9,148.7$, 148.5, 134.7, 134.0, 132.5, 132.2, 125.5, 123.3, 122.5, 121.8, 112.8, 112.1, 110.9, 110.5, 64.9, 56.1, 55.9, 55.9, 55.9, 39.5, 30.3, 18.9, 13.6. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}$ 582.2098, found 582.2093 ( 0.8 ppm ).

Methyl 2-(Acetoxymethyl)-3,3-bis(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acrylate (4da). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine $(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were used. Compound 4da was obtained as a red solid in $27 \%$ yield $(23 \mathrm{mg})$ after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 6:4 to 4:6). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.83-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.7,170.3$, 153.4, 150.0, 150.0, 148.6, 148.6, 134.2, 132.3, 125.0, 123.0, 122.1, 113.1, 112.3, 110.8, 110.5, 60.0, 56.0, 56.0, 56.0, 55.9, 52.0, 21.1. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{8} 453.1520$, found 453.1524 ( -0.9 ppm).

2-(2-(Bis(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methylene)-3-oxobutyl)-isoindoline-1,3-dione (4ea). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine $(7.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%)$ at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were used. Compound 4 ea was obtained as a brown solid in $41 \%$ yield ( 41 mg ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate, $5: 5$ to $4: 6) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 205.3, 168.2, 150.1, 149.1, 149.0, 148.9, 148.8, 134.4, 134.1, 134.0, 132.9, 132.1, 123.5, 123.3, 122.7, 112.9, 112.8, 111.0, 110.8, 56.1, 56.1, 56.0, 56.0, 40.4, 30.6. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NNaO}_{7}$ 524.1680 , found $524.1682(-0.5 \mathrm{ppm})$.

Methyl 3,3-Bis(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((2,3-dioxoindolin-1-yl)methyl)acrylate (4fa). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were used. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ fa was obtained as a red solid in $55 \%$ yield ( 57 mg ) after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 6:4 to 4:6). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.53-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.70-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 183.0,170.2,157.9,150.8,150.8,149.6,149.5,148.9$, 148.6, 137.9. 134.2, 131.7, 125.1, 123.6, 123.1, 122.2, 121.7, 117.9, 112.3, 112.0, 111.3, 111.2, 110.5, 56.1, 56.1, 55.9, 55.9, 52.3, 41.2. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8} 540.1629$, found 540.1637 ( -1.5 ppm ).

Ethyl 3,3-Bis(3,4-diethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2$\mathrm{yl})$ methyl)acrylate (4ab). Prepared following the general procedure. Compound $4 \mathbf{a b}$ was obtained as a yellow solid in $42 \%$ yield ( 49 mg ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $7: 3$ to $5: 5) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ ( $\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.4,168.0,149.5,148.8$, 148.7, 148.3, 148.1, 134.8, 133.9, 132.6, 132.2, 125.0, 123.3, 122.6, 121.9, $114.9,114.3,112.8,112.5,64.6,64.6,64.5,64.5,60.8,39.5,14.9,14.9$, 14.9, 14.8, 13.7. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}$ 610.2411, found 610.2438 ( -4.4 ppm ).

Ethyl 3,3-Bis(3,4-dimethylphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl )methyl)acrylate (4ac). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were used. Compound 4ac was obtained as a yellow solid in $56 \%$ yield ( 52 mg ) after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 6:4). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.88 (dd, $J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.1,167.9,150.6,139.6,137.6,136.6,136.5$, 136.4, 136.0, 133.9, 132.3, 130.5, 129.8, 129.6, 129.3, 126.9, 126.2, 125.3, 123.2, 60.7, 39.1, 19.8, 19.8, 19.7, 19.6, 13.5. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} 490.1989$, found 490.1994 ( -1.0 ppm).
(E)-Ethyl 3-(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2$\mathbf{y l})$ methyl)acrylate (3ad). Prepared following the general procedure. Compound 3ad was obtained as a brown solid in $83 \%$ yield ( 66 mg ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 7:3 to 6:4). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ (d, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 167.9,166.5$, 153.3, 151.6, 139.5, 133.8, 132.2, 127.3, 124.5, 123.2, 115.4, 115.3, 111.9, 61.1, 56.2, 55.9, 36.1, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} 418.1261$, found 418.1254 ( 1.7 ppm ).
(E)-Ethyl 3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2$\mathrm{yl})$ methyl)acrylate (3ae- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ). Prepared following the general procedure. Compounds 3ae- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and 3ae- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ were obtained and separated as brown solids in $82 \%$ yield ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, \alpha: \beta=63: 37$ ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 7:3 to 6:4). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 167.9$, 166.5, 160.8, 142.8, 136.7, 134.4, 133.9, 132.1, 127.3, 123.7, 123.1, 106.6, $100.8,62.2,55.5,55.5,36.0,14.2$. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} 418.1261$, found 418.1256 ( 1.1 ppm ).
(E)-Ethyl 3-(2,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl)methyl)acrylate (3ae- $\alpha$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.98$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ (dd, $J=8.4,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.46 (dd, $J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 168.0$, 166.9, 161.8, 158.9, 139.4, 133.8, 132.2, 131.1, 125.2, 123.1, 116.7, 104.5, 98.5, 60.9, 55.6, 55.5, 36.3, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} 418.1261$, found 418.1281 ( -4.7 ppm ).
(E)-Methyl 3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-phenyl Acrylate (5ge). Prepared following the general procedure. Compound 5ge was obtained as an orange solid in $16 \%$ yield ( 15 mg ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate/toluene, 5:3:2). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.80$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.1,167.8$, 160.8, 149.8, 141.5, 141.2, 134.4, 134.0, 132.1, 128.3, 128.1, 123.7, 123.3, 107.2, 100.3, 55.5, 51.9, 39.2. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} 480.1418$, found $480.1430(-2.6 \mathrm{ppm})$.
(E)-Methyl 3-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)-2-((1,3-dioxoiso-indolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-phenyl Acrylate (5gf- $\alpha$ ) and ( $E$ )-Methyl 3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)3 -phenyl Acrylate ( $5 \mathrm{gf}-\beta$ ). Prepared following the general procedure. Compounds $5 \operatorname{gf}-\alpha$ and $5 \mathrm{gf}-\boldsymbol{\beta}$ were obtained as an inseparable mixture of isomers as a red solid in $59 \%$ yield ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, \alpha: \beta=18: 82$ ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $75: 25$ to $6: 4$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2.44 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2.44 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, 3.66 H ), $7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2.44 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 0.44 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.22 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $0.44 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 0.44 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 0.66 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.2,169.2,167.9,167.8,150.2,147.8$, 147.7, 147.6, 141.7, 140.5, 134.0, 133.9, 133.5, 132.2, 132.1, 129.2, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 127.8, 123.9, 123.4, 123.4, 123.3, 122.6, 121.9, 121.2, 110.0, 109.8, 108.5, 108.3, 101.3, 101.2, 52.0, 51.8, 39.2, 38.7. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} 464.1105$, found 464.1102 ( 0.5 ppm ).
(E)-Methyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl Acrylate ( $5 \mathrm{gg}-\mathrm{m}$ ) and ( $E$ )-Methyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3phenyl Acrylate ( $5 \mathrm{gg}-\mathrm{p}$ ). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine $(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were used. Compounds 5gg- $m$ and $5 \mathrm{gg}-\mathrm{p}$ were obtained as an inseparable mixture of isomers as a yellow solid in $51 \%$ yield ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, o: m: p=0: 46: 54$ ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $75: 25$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3.70 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3.70 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-$ 7.13 (m, 12.45H), 6.98 (dd, $J=2.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.85 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2.85 \mathrm{H})$, 6.81 (ddd, $J=8.3,2.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.85 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 2.55H), 3.39 (s, 2.55H), $3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.4,169.1,168.0,167.8,159.7,159.6,150.6$, 150.0, 142.1, 141.4, 141.0, 134.0, 134.0, 132.2, 132.2, 132.2, 131.0, 129.6, 129.1, 128.7, 128.4, 128.1, 128.1, 128.1, 128.1, 126.4, 125.4, 123.3, 121.6, 114.6, 114.0, 113.9, 55.4, 55.3, 51.9, 51.8, 39.3, 39.2. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} 450.1312$, found 450.1331 ( -4.9 ppm).
(E)-Methyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-phenyl-3( $m$-tolyl)acrylate and ( $E$ )-Methyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-methyl)-3-phenyl-3-( $p$-tolyl)acrylate (5gh). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine ( 7.5 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) were used. Compounds 5 gh were obtained as an inseparable mixture of isomers as a yellow solid in $57 \%$ yield ( 47 mg , ratio that could not be assigned and is thus given in no particular order $=0: 40: 60$ ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/toluene, 5:3:2). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3.4 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3.4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 14.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $2.1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2.1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 169.3, 169.3, 167.9, 167.8, 150.7, 150.7, 141.9, 141.7, 139.7, 138.2, 138.2, 136.9, 134.0, 132.2, 132.2, 129.8, 129.4, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.1, 126.3, 126.0, 125.7, 125.4, 123.3, 123.3, 51.9, 51.9, 39.2, 39.1, 21.5, 21.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} 434.1363$, found $434.1372(-2.2 \mathrm{ppm})$.
(E)-Methyl 3-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-methyl)-3-phenyl Acrylate and (E)-Methyl 3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-phenyl Acrylate (5gi). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) and acridine ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were used. Compounds 5 gi were obtained as an inseparable mixture of isomers as a yellow solid in $36 \%$ yield ( 31 mg , ratio which could not be assigned and is thus given in no particular order $=0: 63: 37)$ after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/toluene, 5:3:2). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3.16 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3.16 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 14.22 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1.16 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1.74 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 168.9,168.8,167.8,167.8,148.9,148.5,141.4,141.2,140.9$, 138.1, 134.5, 134.4, 134.1, 134.1, 132.1, 132.1, 130.8, 129.9, 129.3, 128.8, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 127.5, 127.2, 126.7, 123.4, 123.4, 52.0, 52.0, 39.0, 39.0. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNNaO}_{4} 454.0817$, found $454.0827(-2.2 \mathrm{ppm})$.
(E)-Methyl 3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-phenyl Acrylate (5ga). Prepared following the general procedure. Compound 5 ga was obtained as a red solid in $55 \%$ yield ( 50 mg ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 7:3). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 169.3,167.9,150.2,149.0$, 148.7, 141.9, 134.0, 132.4, 132.1, 128.6, 128.1, 128.1, 125.8, 123.3, 122.2, 112.7, 110.9, 56.1, 55.9, 51.8, 39.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} 480.1418$, found 480.1433 ( -3.3 ppm ).
(E)-Methyl 2-((1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-(naph-thalen-2-yl)-3-phenyl Acrylate ( 5 gj ). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine $(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were used. Compound 5 g was obtained as a brown solid in $83 \%$ yield $(74 \mathrm{mg})$ after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/toluene, 7:3:2). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.91$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.43 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 169.2,167.9,150.2,141.6$, 137.1, 134.0, 133.1, 133.0, 132.1, 128.7, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.2, 127.8, 127.1, 126.6, 126.6, 126.5, 123.2, 51.9, 39.2. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} 470.1363$, found 470.1343 (4.2 ppm).
(E)-Methyl 3-(3,4-Dimethylphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2$\mathrm{yl})$ methyl)-3-phenyl Acrylate ( 5 gc ). Prepared following the general procedure, except that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and acridine $(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were used. Compound 5 gc was obtained as a yellow solid in $60 \%$ yield $(51 \mathrm{mg})$ after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/toluene, 7:3:2). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.4,167.8,150.8,142.0$, 137.2, 136.8, 136.6, 133.9, 132.2, 130.4, 129.7, 128.5, 128.0, 127.9, 126.8, 125.5, 123.2, 51.7, 39.2, 19.8, 19.8. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} 448.1519$, found $448.1537(-4.0 \mathrm{ppm})$.
(Z)-Methyl 3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)methyl)acrylate (5ha). Prepared following the general procedure. Compound 5 ha was obtained as a red solid in $55 \%$ yield ( 54 mg ) after flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 7:3). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.4,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{32}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 169.0, 167.9, 149.2, 149.0, 148.9, 140.4, 134.2, 134.1, 132.1, 132.0, 130.0, 128.4, 126.3, 123.3, 122.3, 112.6, 111.0, 56.1, 56.0, 52.0, 39.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClNNaO}_{6} 514.1028$, found 514.1021 ( 1.3 ppm ).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ For reaction conditions, see Table 1. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, acridine ( $\left.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right) .{ }^{c} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, acridine ( $\left.7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right)$. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{Reaction}$ performed at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{e}$ Ratio of isomers $(\alpha: \beta)$ determined by NMR spectroscopy of isolated product.

