

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Tumour characterisation, staging and operability assessment in ovarian carcinoma: whole body diffusion-weighted MRI versus CT

K Michielsen^{1*}, I Vergote², R Vanslembrouck¹, E Mussen¹, F Amant², K Leunen², P Moerman³, S Fieuws⁴, F De Keyzer¹, G Souverijns⁵, S Dymarkowski¹, V Vandecaveye¹

From International Cancer Imaging Society Meeting and 15th Annual Teaching Course (ICIS 2015) London, UK. 5-7 October 2015

Aim

To prospectively evaluate whole body diffusion-weighted MRI (WB-DWI/MRI) for tumour characterisation, staging and prediction of complete (R0)-resection compared with computed tomography (CT) in patients with suspected ovarian carcinoma.

Methods

One-hundred-sixty-six patients suspected for ovarian carcinoma underwent 3T WB-DWI/MRI using 2 b-values ($b=0-1000$ s/mm²), T2-weighted and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted sequences in addition to contrast-enhanced CT. WB-DWI/MRI and CT were independently and blindly evaluated and correlated with pathological findings at surgery as reference standard. Superiority was assessed using two-tailed McNemar tests for following parameters: characterisation of the malignant nature and primary origin of the ovarian mass, assessment of disease extent according to FIGO stage and prediction of R0-resection according to predefined operability criteria. Inter observer agreement for WB-DWI/MRI and CT was determined using Cohen's kappa statistics.

Results

For characterisation of malignancy, WB-DWI/MRI showed significantly higher accuracy compared with CT (93 versus 82%, $p=0.001$). WB-DWI/MRI correctly depicted a non-ovarian malignant mass in 24/32 (75%) of cases compared to only 6/32 (19%) for CT ($p<0.001$). WB-DWI/MRI assigned more ovarian carcinoma patients to the correct

FIGO stage (71/94, 76%) compared with CT (39/94, 41%). For prediction of R0-resection, WB-DWI/MRI showed significantly higher sensitivity (95 versus 80%), specificity (92 versus 74%) and accuracy (94 versus 77%) compared with CT ($p=0.039$, $p=0.012$ and $p<0.001$, respectively). Interobserver agreement was moderate to almost perfect ($\kappa = 0.53-1.00$) for WB-DWI/MRI and slight to moderate ($\kappa = 0.04-0.52$) for CT.

Conclusion

WB-DWI/MRI is superior to CT for lesion characterisation, staging and operability assessment of ovarian cancer justifying its development for pre-operative assessment of ovarian cancer patients.

Authors' details

¹Department of Radiology, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium. ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Division of Gynaecologic Oncology, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium. ³Department of Pathology, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium. ⁴Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium. ⁵Department of Radiology, Jessa Hospitals, Hasselt, Belgium.

Published: 2 October 2015

doi:10.1186/1470-7330-15-S1-P40

Cite this article as: Michielsen *et al.*: Tumour characterisation, staging and operability assessment in ovarian carcinoma: whole body diffusion-weighted MRI versus CT. *Cancer Imaging* 2015 **15**(Suppl 1):P40.

* Correspondence: katrijn.michielsen@med.kuleuven.be

¹Department of Radiology, Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Belgium

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article