RESEARCH

Open Access

Clinical, radiological and functional outcomes in patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia: a prospective observational study



Pietro Gianella^{1,2}, Elia Rigamonti^{1†}, Marco Marando^{1*†}, Adriana Tamburello¹, Lorenzo Grazioli Gauthier¹, Gianluca Argentieri³, Carla Puligheddu³, Alberto Pagnamenta^{4,5}, Marco Pons^{1,2,6} and Tanja Fusi-Schmidhauser¹

Abstract

Background: All over the world, SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia is causing a significant short-term morbidity and mortality, but the medium-term impact on lung function and quality of life of affected patients are still unknown.

Methods: In this prospective observational study, 39 patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia were recruited from a single COVID-19 hospital in Southern Switzerland. At three months patients underwent radiological and functional follow-up through CT scan, lung function tests, and 6 min walking test. Furthermore, guality of life was assessed through self-reported questionnaires.

Results: Among 39 patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, 32 (82% of all participants) presented abnormalities in CT scan and 25 (64.1%) had lung function tests impairment at three months. Moreover, 31 patients (79.5%) reported a perception of poor health due to respiratory symptoms and all 39 patients showed an overall decreased quality of life.

Conclusions: Medium-term follow up at three months of patients diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia shows the persistence of abnormalities in CT scans, a significant functional impairment assessed by lung function tests and a decreased quality of life in affected patients. Further studies evaluating the long-term impact are warranted to guarantee an appropriate follow-up to patients recovering from SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia.

Keywords: COVID-19, 3-Month outcome, Chest CT, Pulmonary function tests

Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality [1]. After three days, more than 75% of all infected patients have signs of viral interstitial pneumonia on chest CT scan [2]. Abnormalities in pulmonary function tests and radiological alterations were highlighted in patients affected by severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus (SARS-CoV) between three

*Correspondence: marco.marando@eoc.ch

⁺E. Rigamonti and M. Marando have contributed equally to this work ¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Ospedale Regionale Di Lugano, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Via Tesserete 46, 6900 Lugano, Switzerland Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

to 24 months after discharge from hospital [3-10]. Since interstitial lung diseases and pulmonary vascular diseases are likely to be the most important respiratory complications, in a state-of-the-art review George PM et al. recently proposed a structured respiratory follow-up of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia [11]. However, the medium-term functional and radiological outcomes in SARS-CoV-2 survivors are still unknown.

Aims of the study

Our study aim was to describe clinical, radiological, lung function parameters and self-reported quality of life (QoL) of patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, both at diagnosis and at three-month follow-up.



© The Author(s) 2021. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Methods

Case definition

Study participants were diagnosed on the result of a positive real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) assay for SARS-CoV-2.

Participants and study design

In this prospective observational single-center study we enrolled 39 consecutive laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients with pathological findings on a chest ultralow dose (uld) CT scan performed at hospital admission between March 1 and April 15, 2020. A written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. Exclusion criteria were age < 18 years, pregnancy and absence of a written informed consent. For all included patients we collected epidemiological, clinical and laboratory data. Prior to hospital discharge a follow-up visit was planned at three months after the admission. At followup all patients underwent lung function tests (LFTs), 6-min Walk Test (6MWT), a chest uld CT scan and self-reported QoL questionnaires (St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire [SGRQ] and Short Form-12 [SF-12]) (Fig. 1). The study was approved by the ethics committee of Southern Switzerland and it was performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Chest CT protocol

Uld CT has proven to be more sensitive for COVID-19 lesions than chest X-ray (CXR) [12] and international guidelines have also made recommendations in favour of CT for the diagnostic work-up of COVID-19 [13]. In addition, experts highlighted the issue of exposition to radiation doses and encouraged the use of low-dose CT scans [14]. All patients underwent chest uldCT in supine position at full inspiration, without intravenous contrast medium, using two multi-detector scanners: Siemens Somatom Definition Flash and Siemens Somatom Definition Edge (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Scan parameters for uld CT were optimized for a patient with a normal body mass index (BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m²) and with an effective dose varying from 0.14



to 0.5 mSv as reported in the current literature [15, 16]. Image analysis and final scores were performed by consensus by two radiologists (G.A., and C.P., with 15 and 20 years of experience in thoracic radiology, respectively) who scored independently and blinded to clinical data. Images were reviewed on a professional picture archiving and communication system (PACS) PC workstation (Philips Intellispace PACS). A semiquantitative scoring system based on the method proposed by Pan et al. [17] was used to estimate the global pulmonary involvement of all abnormalities on the basis of the area involved. For each lobe the presence of a predominant pattern for ground-glass opacity (GGO), consolidation, fibrosis or parenchymal bands was determined and each of the five lung lobes was visually scored on a scale of 0-5, with 0 indicating no involvement; 1, less than 5% involvement; 2, 5-25% involvement; 3, 26-49% involvement; 4, 50-75% involvement; and 5, more than 75% involvement. The total CT score was the sum of the individual lobar scores and ranged from 0 (no involvement) to 25 (maximum involvement). Presence of a pleural effusion, thoracic lymphadenopathy (defined as lymph node size of 10 mm in short-axis dimension) or underlying lung disease such as emphysema or fibrosis were noted but not scored.

LFTs and QoL assessment

LFTs were conducted in the Pneumology Department using the Vyntus BODY Plethysmograph (Vyaire Medical, IL, USA) according to the European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines [18, 19]. We measured both static and dynamic volumes, other than performing bronchodilation tests and assessing diffusing lung capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO). Since interstitial lung disease and pulmonary vascular diseases are considered the most important lung complications of COVID-19 [11], we defined as abnormal LFT the presence of a DLCO < 75% than predicted and/or of a TLC < 80% than predicted. Thereafter, patients underwent a 6MWT and self-reported QoL questionnaires (SGRQ and SF-12) were submitted [20, 21]. While the SGRQ is widely used to evaluate QoL in patients with respiratory diseases, SF-12 provides a more global assessment of patients, especially with regard to their role limitations as a result of emotional problems, mental health, bodily pain, and general health perception.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative data were summarised as median with interquartile range (IQR) or mean with standard deviation (SD), whereas qualitative data as absolute numbers with percentages. Comparisons between groups (patients with radiological improvement versus patients without radiological improvement on the basis of the total CT score) were performed with the Kruskal–Wallis test, chisquared test or Fisher exact test, as appropriate. All tests were performed two-sided and a p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata version 15 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

An overview of participants' main demographic and clinical characteristics is shown in Table 1. On admission, all enrolled patients presented abnormalities on CT scans. The most frequently reported abnormal findings were GGO (89.5% of all participants), followed by fibrous bands (71.8%) and consolidations (43.6%). According to the CT score, the mean lobe injury was 2.45 and the overall lung injury was 12.26. At three months, 82% of the cohort had persisting abnormalities on CT scans, mostly fibrous bands (69.2%) and GGO (58%), the mean lobe injury was 1.39 and the overall lung injury was 6.95 (Tables 2, 3). At follow-up, we reported a statistically significant reduction in the CT score, both overall and per lobe and in GGO and consolidation incidence, while fibrous bands remained almost unaltered. LFTs abnormalities (i.e. reduced DLCO and/or restriction) were found in 25 (64.1%) patients, specifically a reduced DLCO (<75% than predicted) in 22 (56.4%) patients and restriction in 3 (7.7%) patients. Furthermore, an overall homogeneous low effort SpO₂ during 6MWT was also noted $(91.3\% \pm 3.5)$ (Table 4).

Concerning patients-reported QoL, 31 patients (79.5%) presented an abnormal total score on the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire and all patients reported an abnormal SF-12 score. The mean St. George total score was 16.97 (normal value 6) and the mean SF-12 score was 30.97 (normal value 50). These results show a significantly altered QoL, comparing to the general population (p < 0.0001) (Table 5). A sub-analysis of the SGRQ highlights the socio-economic impact of COVID-19: in effect, at 3-month follow-up, 4 patients (10.2%) declared to have stopped their working activity due to the effects of COVID-19. On the other hand, 32 (82%) of patients continued to work without complaining any reduction of their performance. The remaining 3 patients (7.8%) declared that they did not work at all both before and after COVID-19 - 2 patients due to invalidity and 1 patient declared herself a housewife.

In the univariate analysis we did not find any variable as predictor of favorable CT improvement.

Regarding the clinical significance of the CT scan improvement, we have found a positive association between FEV1 volume and CT scan improvement, with a difference of up to 20% in FEV1 volume between the two subgroups. Finally, patients with CT scan improvement did not report statistically significant better scores in QoL questionnaires.

Discussion

In our cohort of patients recovering from SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, 82% of patients still present radiological abnormalities (mostly fibrous bands and GGO) and 64.1% show impairment in LFTs, mostly a reduced DLCO at a three-month follow-up. In addition, 79.5% of all patients report an abnormal score on the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire and all patients have an abnormal SF-12 score. These results reveal the extent of the noxious effects of SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia on survivors.

Other authors have recently reported mid-term sequelae in patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, specifically Tabatabae et al. report residual disease in CT scans in 42.3% of patients at 3 months, mostly in the subgroup admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) [22], Daher et al. report persistent fatigue without any abnormality in lung function at 6 weeks in a cohort of patients who did not require mechanical ventilation [23].

We observed that while GGO are consistently reduced and consolidations tend to resolve after three months, fibrous lesions remain almost unchanged, a find that might be the expression of a pre-existing lung injury. In literature, GGO and consolidations are reported to increase in the first two to three weeks after admission [24] and the development of lung fibrosis was described as early as at one-week [25] and at one-month [26], regardless of the severity of COVID-19. Nevertheless, the fibrotic burden in our cohort at baseline was very impressive, being as high as 71.8%.

Lung functions abnormalities in SARS-CoV-2 survivors have recently been reported [27, 28], mostly in convalescent patients after COVID-19 pneumonia. The most frequently identified abnormalities were restriction and reduced DLCO. In our study the most frequent functional abnormality was reduced DLCO (<75% of predicted), found in 22 (56.4%) patients. The mean DLCO value was 71.3% \pm 15.5 of the predicted values. Moreover, pulmonary restriction was noted in 3 (7.7%) patients. The univariate analysis showed a significant decrease in FEV1 volume in the subgroup of patients without radiological improvement, with volume reduction of up to 20%. Whether this is associated with a future development of a restrictive or obstructive pattern it is actually unknown. In heavy smokers it has been described that FEV1 decline is a marker of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) development [29], but further research on the role of FEV1 decline meaning in predisposing to airway or lung diseases is indeed warranted. Nonetheless, we could not identify a significance between overall LFTs

Table 1 Clinical characteristics on admission

Parameters	Normal range	Over all (39)	CT improving at 3 month (31)	CT not improving at 3 month (8)	
Age (years, median and IQR)	≥18	62.5 (51.3–71)	59.2 (50.2–71)	69.4 (60.2–71.7)	
Sex (female, n and %)		9 (23.1)	7 (22.6)	2 (25)	
$BMI > 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (n and %)		27 (69.2)	21 (67.7)	6 (75)	
Active smokers (n and %)		3 (7.7)	2 (6.4)	1 (12.5)	
Previous smokers (n and %)		12 (30.8)	8 (25.8)	4 (50)	
Smoking burden (p/y, mean \pm SD)		10.4 ± 16.6	7.8 ± 14.9	20.7 ± 19.9	
Allergies (n and %)		11 (28.2)	9 (29)	2 (33.3)	
Flu vaccination on adm. (n and %)		12 (30.8)	9 (29)	4 (50)	
Pneumococcal vaccination on adm. (n and %)		1 (2.6)	0 (0)	1 (12.5)	
Length of stay (days, median and IQR)		15 (12–22)	15 (11–21)	15.5 (12–28.7)	
Hypertension (n and %)		11 (28.2)	9 (29)	5 (62.5)	
Diabetes (n and %)		5 (12.8)	4 (12.9)	1 (12.5)	
Cardiovascular diseases (n and %)		7 (17.9)	5 (16.1)	2 (33.3)	
Coronary heart disease (n and %)		4 (10.2)	2 (6.4)	2 (33.3)	
Chronic respiratory diseases (n and %)		8 (20.5)	6 (19.4)	2 (33.3)	
COPD (n and %)		3 (7.7)	2 (6.4)	1 (12.5)	
Asthma (n and %)		5 (12.8)	4 (12.9)	1 (12.5)	
Chronic kidney disease (n and %)		3 (7.7)	2 (6.4)	1 (12.5)	
Malignancy (n and %)		4 (10.2)	3 (9.7)	1 (12.5)	
Intensive care unit admission (n and %)		10 (25.6)	9 (29)	1 (12.5)	
Invasive mechanical ventilation (n and %)		7 (17.9)	6 (19.4)	1 (12.5)	
Rehab. after discharge (n and %)		7 (17.9)	6 (19.4)	1 (12.5)	
Peak PCR (mg/l) (mean \pm SD)	1-5	185.7 ± 147.4	178.5 ± 137.4	213.4 ± 189.6	
Peak LDH (U/I) (mean \pm SD)	< 500	653.2 ± 348.5	693.4±364.8	502.4 ± 240.3	
Peak leukocytes (G/I) (mean \pm SD)	4.2-10	8.9 ± 4.8	8.3 ± 3.8	11.1 ± 7.5	
Peak lymphopenia (G/l) (mean \pm SD)	1.5 – 2.5	0.7 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.3	
Peak thrombopenia (G/I) (mean \pm SD)	150-400	185.5 ± 81.9	187.6±72.6	177 ± 117	
Peak d-dimer (mg/l) (mean \pm SD)	< 0.5	4.8±10	5.3 ± 11.5	2.9 ± 2.9	
Lympho. on adm. (G/l) (mean \pm SD)	1.5-2.5	0.8 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.3	0.67 ± 0.3	
Leuko. on adm. (G/l) (mean \pm SD)	4.2-10	5.5 ± 2.3	5.5 ± 2.3	5.5 ± 2.4	
Thrombo on adm. (G/I) (mean \pm SD)	150-400	189.9 ± 74.7	190 ± 64.2	189.4 ± 112.4	
PaO2 on adm. (kPa) (mean \pm SD)	>8	9.3 ± 1.4	9.3 ± 1.5	9.2±0.6	
nt-proBNP on adm. (ng/l) (mean \pm SD)	<450	275.7 ± 253.7	229.5 ± 219.3	488±318.4	
D-dimer (mg/l) (mean ± SD)	< 0.5	1.1 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.9	0.9 ± 0.6	
Antibiotics (n and %)		24 (61.5)	21 (67.7)	8 (37.5)	
Hydroxychloroquine (n and %)		32 (82)	24 (77.4)	8 (100)	
Remdesevir (n and %)		2 (5.1)	2 (6.4)	0 (0)	
Tocilizumab (n and %)		4 (10.2)	4 (12.9)	0 (0)	
Lopinavir-Ritonavir (n and %)		21 (53.8)	19 (61.3)	2 (25)	
ACE-I, ARB treatment (n and %)		11 (28.2)	8 (25.8)	3 (37.5)	
Anticoag. on adm. (n and %)		4 (10.2)	2 (6.4)	2 (25)	
Antiplt. on adm. (n and %)		7 (17.9)	6 (19.4)	1 (12.5)	
GGO on adm (n and %)		34 (89.5)	27 (87.1)	7 (87.5)	
Consolidations on adm (n and %)		17 (43.6)	15 (48.4)	2 (25)	
Fibrous bands on adm (n and %)		28 (71.8)	22 (71)	6 (75)	

 Table 2
 Radiological characteristics on admission and at three months

Parameters	CT on admission (39)	CT at 3 months (39)	P value
GGO (n and %)	34 (89.5)	23 (58)	0.006
Consolidations (n and %)	17 (43.6)	1 (2.6)	< 0.0001
Fibrous bands (n and %)	28 (71.8)	27 (69.2)	0.81
Pathological CT scans (n and %)	39 (100)	32 (82)	0.01

abnormalities and CT scan improvement, as the events per covariate were too few to draw a final conclusion.

The exploratory analysis did not show any predictor of CT scan improvement on the basis of CT score. Many variables have shown a promising trend toward significance in forecasting CT scan improvement: younger age, female sex, fewer overall burden of smoking, absence of hypertension, higher lymphocyte count at admission, lower N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (nt-proBNP) at admission, therapy with lopinavir/ritonavir. Similar associations were reported in other studies [30]. Moreover, patients with radiological improvements tend

Table 3 CT score (0–5) per lobe and overall (0–25) on admission and at three months

Parameters	CT on admission	CT at 3 months	P value
Right upper lobe (mean \pm SD)	2.5±1.2	1.4±1.2	< 0.0001
Middle lobe (mean \pm SD)	2.0 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 1.1	0.0002
Right lower lobe (mean \pm SD)	2.7 ± 1.1	1.2 ± 1.2	< 0.0001
Left upper lobe (mean \pm SD)	2.4 ± 1.4	1.4 ± 1.3	< 0.0001
Left lower lobe (mean \pm SD)	2.7 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 1.3	< 0.0001
CT score per lung lobe (mean \pm SD)	2.4 ± 1.2	1.4 ± 1.2	< 0.0001
CT score overall (mean \pm SD)	12.3±4.6	6.9 ± 5.0	< 0.0001

Table 4 LFTs results and clinical evaluation at three months

Parameters	Normal range	Overall (39)	CT improving at 3 month (31)	CT not improving at 3 month (8)	P value
FEV 1 (I) (mean ± SD)		2.9±0.7	3.0±0.7	2.6 ± 0.7	0.045
FEV 1 (%±SD)		93.4 ± 16.1	95.1 ± 14.8	89.6 ± 15.6	0.52
FVC (I) (mean \pm SD)		3.7 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 1.1	0.97
Obstruction (n and %)		3 (7.7)	1 (3.2)	2 (25)	0.10
Restriction (n and %)		3 (7.7)	2 (6.5)	1 (12.5)	0.50
Abnormal DLCO (n and %)		22 (56.4)	18 (58.1)	4 (50)	0.71
DLCO (%, mean \pm SD)	>75	71.3 ± 15.5	70.5 ± 11.5	74.1 ± 26.5	0.62
LFTs abnormalities (n and %)		25 (64.1)	20 (64.6)	5 (62.5)	1
6MWT (m, mean ± SD)		539.3 ± 102.8	545.8 ± 96.6	514 ± 134.1	0.33
SpO ₂ at rest at 3 month (%, mean \pm SD)	95-100	95.6 ± 1.6	95.7 ± 1.7	95 ± 1.2	0.10
SpO ₂ effort at 3 month (%, mean \pm SD)	95-100	91.3 ± 3.5	91.2 ± 3.9	91.4 ± 1.9	0.43
mMRC score (\geq 2) at 3 month (n and %)		6 (15.4)	4 (12.9)	2 (25)	0.58

Table 5 QoL assessment at three months

Parameters	Healthy subjects	Overall (39)	P value	CT improving at 3 month (31)	CT not improving at 3 month (8)	P value
St George symptoms (mean \pm SD)	12	21.7 ± 18.6	0.0015	2±19.4	20.4 ± 16	0.83
St George activity (mean \pm SD)	9	27.1 ± 24.1	< 0.0001	23.2 ± 21.4	42.1 ± 29.5	0.09
St George impact (mean \pm SD)	2	9.8 ± 17.9	0.8367	7.2 ± 15.8	20.0 ± 22.7	0.13
St George total (mean \pm SD)	6	17 ± 17.4	< 0.0001	14.44 ± 15.3	26.8 ± 22.4	0.15
Abnormal St. George total (n and %)		31 (79.5)	NA	24 (77.4)	7 (87.5)	1
SF-12 score (mean \pm SD)	50	31 ± 1.6	< 0.0001	30.9 ± 1.7	31.3 ± 1.2	0.60
Abnormal SF-12 score (n and %)		39 (100)	NA	31 (100)	8 (100)	1

to have less airways obstruction, a higher SpO₂ at rest and a better perceived quality of life, as assessed by lower total scores on the St. George Respiratory Questionnaire. Nevertheless, p-values did not reach significance for any of these aforementioned variables probably as a consequence of the small sample size.

As reported for SARS infection [31, 32] and influenza [33, 34], it seems that the SARS-CoV-2 infection provokes long-term consequences. In our analysis we report the findings in our cohort of patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia up to three months after the hospital admission: a longer follow-up could be of use to clarify the long-term effects of COVID-19 on lung function and perceived quality of life.

Our study has several limitations. The study is monocentric, the sample is relatively small, and the threemonth follow-up could be considered not sufficient to fully elucidate the long-term consequences. Furthermore, every patient in the study cohort presented with pneumonia at diagnosis and approximately 75% of the included patients were not admitted to ICU, thus the external validity of our results is limited for asymptomatic or critically ill patients. Nonetheless, we believe that this study adds some important information about the medium-term outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, while justifying further research focusing on long-term consequences of this condition.

Conclusions

Three months after recovering from SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, significant radiological abnormalities and LFTs impairment were found respectively in about 80% and 64% of patients. Moreover, about 80% of patients reported a poor perceived health due to respiratory symptoms and every patient presented an overall decreased quality of life.

According to these results, considering the relevant impairment in survivors and the great number of people recovering from SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia all over the world, a longer follow-up is warranted to assess and clarify the long-term consequences of this condition.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all the colleagues, the nurses and the staff of the Ospedale Italiano in Lugano for their relentless support and their precious work in facing this dreadful pandemic.

Authors' contributions

Data collecting ER, LG, AT and PG. Image analysis GA e CP. Manuscript preparation MM and PG. Statistical analysis AP and MM. Manuscript conception PG, MP, TF. All authors have revisioned, read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the the *Area Formazione Accademica, Ricerca e Innovazione* (AFRI) of th EO C(Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale) (FONDO ricerca COVID19 AFRI SOC 509.99001). The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing of the article, or in the decision to submit for publication.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the ethics committee of Southern Switzerland. A written informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Author details

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Ospedale Regionale Di Lugano, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Via Tesserete 46, 6900 Lugano, Switzerland. ²Division of Pneumology, Ospedale Regionale Di Lugano, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Lugano, Switzerland. ³IIMSI - Radiology Department, Ospedale Regionale Di Lugano, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Lugano, Switzerland. ⁴Department of Intensive Care, Intensive Care Unit Ospedale Regionale Di Mendrisio, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Lugano, Switzerland. ⁵Unit of Biostatistics, Bellinzona, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Lugano, Switzerland. ⁶Division of Pneumology, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.

Received: 12 January 2021 Accepted: 15 April 2021 Published online: 26 April 2021

References

- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020.
- Bernheim A, Mei X, Huang M, et al. Chest CT findings in coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19): relationship to duration of infection. Radiology. 2020;20:200463.
- Ong KC, Ng AW, Lee LS, Kaw G, Kwek SK, Leow MK, Earnest A. Pulmonary function and exercise capacity in survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Eur Respir J. 2004;24(3):436–42.
- Wong KT, Antonio GE, Hui DS, Ho C, Chan PN, Ng WH, Shing KK, Wu A, Lee N, Yap F, Joynt GM, Sung JJ, Ahuja AT. Severe acute respiratory syndrome: thin-section computed tomography features, temporal changes, and clinicoradiologic correlation during the convalescent period. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2004;28(6):790–5.
- Wu X, Dong D, Ma D. Thin-section computed tomography manifestations during convalescence and long-term follow-up of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Med Sci Monit. 2016;8(22):2793–9.
- Ng CK, Chan JW, Kwan TL, To TS, Chan YH, Ng FY, Mok TY. Six month radiological and physiological outcomes in severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) survivors. Thorax. 2004;59(10):889–91.
- Hui DS, Joynt GM, Wong KT, Gomersall CD, Li TS, Antonio G, Ko FW, Chan MC, Chan DP, Tong MW, Rainer TH, Ahuja AT, Cockram CS, Sung JJ. Impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) on pulmonary function, functional capacity and quality of life in a cohort of survivors. Thorax. 2005;60(5):401–9.
- Hui DS, Wong KT, Ko FW, Tam LS, Chan DP, Woo J, Sung JJ. The 1-year impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on pulmonary function, exercise capacity, and quality of life in a cohort of survivors. Chest. 2005;128(4):2247–61.
- Ong KC, Ng AW, Lee LS, Kaw G, Kwek SK, Leow MK, Earnest A. 1-year pulmonary function and health status in survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Chest. 2005;128(3):1393–400.

- Ngai JC, Ko FW, Ng SS, To KW, Tong M, Hui DS. The long-term impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on pulmonary function, exercise capacity and health status. Respirology. 2010;15(3):543–50.
- 11. George PM, Barratt SL, Condliffe R, et al. Respiratory follow-up of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Thorax. 2020;75:1009–16.
- Yoon SH, Lee KH, Kim JY, et al. Chest radiographic and CT findings of the 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19): analysis of nine patients treated in Korea. Korean J Radiol. 2020.
- Rubin GD, Ryerson CJ, Haramati LB, Sverzellati N, Kanne JP, et al. The role of chest imaging in patient management during the COVID-19 pandemic: a multinational consensus statement from the fleischner society. Chest. 2020.
- 14. Kang Z, Li X, Zhou S. Recommendation of low-dose CT in the detection and management of COVID-2019. Eur Radiol. 2020.
- Kim Y, Kim YK, Lee BE, et al. Ultra-low-dose CT of the thorax using iterative reconstruction: evaluation of image quality and radiation dose reduction. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2015;204:1197–202.
- 16. Vilar-Palop J, Vilar J, Hernández-Aguado I, et al. Updated effective doses in radiology. J Radiol Prot. 2016;6:975–90.
- Pan F, Ye T, Sun P, et al. Time course of lung changes on chest CT during recovery from 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pneumonia. Radiology. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200370.
- Wanger J, Clausen JL, Coates A, et al. Standardisation of the measurement of lung volumes. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(3):511–22.
- 19. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(2):319–38.
- Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM. The St George's Respiratory Questionnaire. Resp Med. 1991;85(suppl):25–31.
- Ware J, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-item short-form health survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care. 1996;34(3):220–33.
- 22. Tabatabae SMH, Rajebi H, Moghaddas F, et al. Chest CT in COVID-19 pneumonia: What are the findings in mid-term follow-up? Emerg Radiol. 2020;27(6):711–9.
- Daher A, Balfanz P, Cornelissen C, et al. Follow-up of patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): pulmonary and extrapulmonary disease sequelae. Respir Med Nov-Dec. 2020;174:106197.

- 24. Han X, Cao Y, Jiang N, et al. Novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) progression course in 17 discharged patients: comparison of clinical and thin section CT features during recovery. Clin Infect Dis 2020.
- Xiong Y, Sun D, Liu Y, et al. Clinical and high-resolution CT features of the COVID-19 infection: comparison of the initial and follow-up changes. Invest Radiol. 2020;55(6):332–9.
- 26. Wei J, Lei P, Yang H, et al. Analysis of thin-section CT in patients with coronavirus disease (COVID-19) after hospital discharge. Clin Imaging 2020.
- Raghu G, Wilson KC. Online ahead of print. COVID-19 interstitial pneumonia: monitoring the clinical course in survivors. Lancet Respir Med. 2020.
- Zhao Y, Shang Y, Song W, et al. Follow-up study of the pulmonary function and related physiological characteristics of COVID-19 survivors three months after recovery. EClinicalMedicine. 2020;15:100463.
- Petersen H, Sood A, Polverino F, et al. The course of lung function in middle- aged heavy smokers: incidence and time to early onset of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;198:1449–51.
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du, , et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1054–62.
- Chan KS, Zheng JP, Mok YW, et al. SARS: prognosis, outcome and sequelae. Respirology. 2003;8(Suppl):S36-40.
- 32. Spagnolo P, Balestro E, Aliberti S, S, , et al. Pulmonary fibrosis secondary to COVID-19: a call to arms? Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(8):750–2.
- Mineo G, Ciccarese F, Modolon C, et al. Post ARDS pulmonary fibrosis in patients with H1N1 pneumonia: role of follow-up CT. Radio Med. 2012;117(2):185–200.
- Wang Q, Jiang H, Xie Y, et al. Long term clinical prognosis of human infections with avian influenza A(H7N9) viruses in China after hospitalization. EClin Med. 2020;20:100282.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

