

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Original Research

Maternal and perinatal outcomes in high compared to low risk pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection (phase 2): the World Association of Perinatal Medicine working group on coronavirus disease 2019



Francesco D'Antonio, MD; Cihat Sen, MD; Daniele Di Mascio, MD; Alberto Galindo, MD; Cecilia Villalain, MD; Ignacio Herraiz, MD; Resul Arisoy, MD; Ali Ovayolu, MD; Hasan Eroğlu, MD; Manuel Guerra Canales, MD; Subhashini Ladella, MD; Liviu Cojocaru, MD; Ozhan Turan, MD; Sifa Turan, MD; Eran Hadar, MD; Noa A. Brzezinski-Sinai, MD; Sarah Dollinger, MD; Ozlem Uyaniklar, MD; Sakine Rahimli Ocakouglu, MD; Zeliha Atak, MD; Tanja Premru-Srsen, MD; Lilijana Kornhauser-Cerar, MD; Mirjam Druškovič, MD; Liana Ples, MD; Reyhan Gündüz, MD; Elif Ağaçayak, MD; Javier Alfonso Schvartzman, MD; Mercedes Negri Malbran, MD; Marco Liberati, MD; Francesca Di Sebastiano, MD; Ludovica Oronzi, MD; Chiara Cerra, MD; Danilo Buca, MD; Angelo Cagnacci, MD; Arianna Ramone, MD; Fabio Barra, MD; Andrea Carosso, MD; Chiara Benedetto, MD; Stefano Cosma, MD; Axelle Pintiaux, MD; Caroline Daelemans, MD; Elena Costa, MD; Ayşegül Özel, MD; Murat Muhçu, MD; Jesús S Jimenez Lopez, MD; Clara Alvarado, MD; Anna Luengo Piqueras, MD; Dolores Esteban Oliva, MD; Giovanni Battista Luca Schera, MD; Nicola Volpe, MD; Tiziana Frusca, MD; Igor Samardjiski, MD; Slagjana Simeonova, MD; Irena Aleksioska Papestiev, MD; Javier Hojman, MD; Ilgin Turkcuoglu, MD; Antonella Cromi, MD; Antonio Simone Laganà, MD; Fabio Ghezzi, MD; Angelo Sirico, MD; Alessandra Familiari, MD; Giovanni Scambia, MD; Zulfiya Khodjaeva Gennady T. Sukhikh, MD; Ksenia A. Gorina, MD; Renato Augusto Moreira de Sa, MD; Mariana Vaz, MD; Otto Henrique May Feuerschuette, MD; Anna Nunzia Della Gatta, MD; Aly Youssef, MD; Gaetana Di Donna, MD; Alicia Martinez-Varea, MD; Gabriela Loscalzo, MD; José Morales Roselló, MD; Vedran Stefanovic, MD; Irmeli Nupponen, MD; Kaisa Nelskylä, MD; Rodrigo Ayala, MD; Rebeca Garrote Molpeceres, MD; Asunción Pino Vázquez, MD; Fabrizio Sandri, MD; Ilaria Cataneo, MD; Marinella Lenzi, MD; Esra Tustas Haberal, MD; Erasmo Huertas, MD; Amadeo Sanchez, MD; Pedro Arango, MD; Amanda Bermejo, MD; María Monica Gonzalez Alcantara, MD; Gökhan Göynümer, MD; Erhan Okuyan, MD; Ciuhodaru Madalina, MD; Ana Concheiro Guisan, MD; Alejandra Martínez Schulte, MD; Valentina Esposito, MD; Valentina De Robertis, MD; Snezana Zdjelar, MD; Milan Lackovic, MD; Sladjana Mihajlovic, MD; Nelly Jekova, MD; Gabriele Saccone, MD; Mehmet Musa Aslan, MD; Maria Carmela Di Dedda, MD; Maisuri Chalid, MD; Jose Enrique Moros Canache, MD; George Daskalakis, MD; Panos Antsaklis, MD; Enrique Criado Vega, MD; Elisa Cueto, MD; Chiara Taccaliti, MD; Yeliz Aykanat, MD; Şerife Özlem Genç, MD; Bernd Froessler, MD; Petya Angelova Radulova, MD; Danila Morano, MD; Beatrice Bianchi, MD; Maria Giulia Lombana Marino, MD; Gabriella Meccariello, MD; Bindu Rohatgi, MD; Antonio Schiattarella, MD; Maddalena Morlando, MD; Nicola Colacurci, MD; Andrea Villasco, MD; Nicoletta Biglia, MD; Ana Luiza Santos Marques, MD; Alessandra Gatti, MD; Daniela Luvero, MD; Roberto Angioli, MD; Alejandro Pittaro, MD; Albert Lila, MD; Blanka Zlatohlávková, MD; On the behalf of the World Association of Perinatal Medicine working group on coronavirus disease 2019

Cite this article as: D'Antonio F, Sen C, Mascio DD, et al. Maternal and perinatal outcomes in high compared to low risk pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection (phase 2): the World Association of Perinatal Medicine working group on coronavirus disease 2019. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2021;3:100329.

2589-9333/\$36.00 © 2021 Published by Elsevier Inc. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100329 **BACKGROUND:** It has still to be ascertained whether severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection in pregnancy is associated with worse maternal and fetal outcomes compared to low risk gestations.

OBJECTIVE: This study aimed to evaluate maternal and perinatal outcomes in high- and low-risk pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection.

STUDY DESIGN: This was a multinational retrospective cohort study involving women with laboratory-confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection from 76 centers from 25 countries in Europe, the United States, South America, Asia, and Australia from April 4, 2020, to October 28, 2020. The primary outcome was a composite measure of maternal mortality and morbidity, including admission to the intensive care unit, use of mechanical ventilation, or death. The secondary outcome was a composite measure of adverse perinatal outcome, including miscarriage, fetal loss, neonatal and perinatal death, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit. All outcomes were assessed in high- and low-risk pregnancies. Pregnancies were considered high risk in case of either preexisting chronic medical conditions in pregnancy or obstetrical disorders occurring in pregnancy. The Fisher exact test and logistic regression analysis were used to analyze the data.

RESULTS: A total of 887 singleton pregnancies who tested positive for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens were included in the study. The risk of composite adverse maternal outcomes was higher in high-risk pregnancies than in low-risk

pregnancies (odds ratio, 1.52; 95% confidence interval, 1.03-2.24; P=.035). In addition, women carrying high-risk pregnancies were at higher risk of hospital admission (odds ratio, 1.48; 95% confidence interval, 1.07-2.04; P=.002), presence of severe respiratory symptoms (odds ratio, 2.13; 95% confidence interval, 0.41-3.21; P=.001), admission to the intensive care unit (odds ratio, 2.63; 95% confidence interval, 1.42-4.88), and invasive mechanical ventilation (odds ratio, 2.65; 95% confidence interval, 1.19-5.94; P=.002). When exploring perinatal outcomes, high-risk pregnancies were at high risk of adverse perinatal outcomes (odds ratio, 1.78; 95% confidence interval, 0.15-2.72; *P*=.009). However, such association was mainly because of the higher incidence of miscarriage in high-risk pregnancies compared with that in low-risk pregnancies (5.3% vs 1.6%, P=.008); furthermore, there was no difference in other explored outcomes between the 2 study groups. At logistic regression analysis, maternal age (odds ratio, 1.12; 95% confidence interval, 1.02-1.22; P=.023) and high-risk pregnancy (odds ratio, 4.21; 95% confidence interval, 3.90-5.11; P<.001) were independently associated with adverse maternal outcomes.

CONCLUSION: High-risk pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection were at higher risk of adverse maternal outcomes than low-risk pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection.

Key words: coronavirus, coronavirus disease 2019, infection, pregnancy, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

AJOG MFM at a Glance

Why was this study conducted?

To elucidate whether high-risk pregnancies complicated by SARS-CoV-2 infection are at higher risk of adverse outcome compared to low-risk gestations.

Key findings

High-risk pregnancies complicated by SARS-CoV-2 infection are at higher risk of severe respiratory symptoms, invasive mechanical ventilation and admission to intensive care unit compared to low-risk gestations.

What does this add to what is known?

High-risk pregnancy represents an independent risk factor for severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. Accurate risk stratification in these women is warranted in order to maximize maternal outcome.

Introduction

S evere acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection spread toward the end of 2019 and nowadays is still a major issue of public health, with new cases of infection, hospitalization, admission to the intensive care unit (ICU), and death increasing daily worldwide. 1,2

From the beginning of the pandemic, pregnant women have been claimed as

having a higher risk of maternal mortality and morbidity compared with the general population.^{3–9}

The severity of SARS-COV-2 infection in the general population has been reported to be significantly influenced by different risk factors. Among these, age and comorbidities were found to be the strongest predictors of hospital admission, critical illness, and mortality.¹⁰

Despite the multitude of reports published on SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy, only few studies were designed to ascertain whether the presence of either preexisting or pregnancy-related conditions (ie, those usually considered high-risk pregnancies) might increase the risk of both maternal and fetal adverse outcomes.

Thus, the aim of this secondary analysis was to elucidate whether high-risk pregnancies were at higher risk of adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes in a multinational cohort of pregnant women who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Methods

Study design and participants

This was a multinational, prospective cohort study involving all pregnant women with a laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, diagnosed from April 4, 2020, to October 28, 2020. This study was designed as an open and web-based database study in 76 centers from 25 countries (Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Colombia, the Czech

Republic, Finland, Germany, Greece, Israel, Italy, North Macedonia, Peru, Portugal, the Republic of Kosovo, Romania, Russia, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, Turkey, and the United States) by the World Association of Perinatal Medicine (WAPM) working group on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The study was endorsed by the WAPM. The first phase of the study has already been published, which composed of data from April 4, 2020, to June 1, 2020.4 After that, some additional information for the study was added to the database and reevaluated by the contributors accordingly for the new database as WAPM COVID-19 Study Phase 2. Only confirmed cases with a real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test were included in the evaluation.

SARS-CoV-2 was diagnosed on the basis of the World Health Organization interim guidance.11 A confirmed case of SARS-CoV-2 infection was defined as a positive result on a RT-PCR assay of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens. 12,13 The inclusion criteria were women who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 after an RT-PCR assay of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens because of symptoms or exposure to infected individuals. Neonates from mothers who are positive of SARS-CoV-2 were usually tested within 24 hours after delivery with an RT-PCR assay of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens.

Data on current exposure history, clinical symptoms or signs, laboratory findings, and maternal and perinatal outcomes were collected. All medical records were anonymized and sent to the coordinator center at the University of Naples Federico II (Naples, Italy) through the WAPM data platform or via an encrypted research electronic data capture data management platform. Data were entered into a computerized database and cross-checked. In case of missing data, requests for clarification were sent to the coordinator of each participating center.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of this study was to compare the incidence of a composite measure of maternal mortality and morbidity, including at least 1 of the following: admission to the ICU, use of mechanical ventilation (defined as intubation, need for continuous positive airway pressure, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation), severe respiratory symptoms (including dyspnea and shortness of breath), or death in high-risk vs low-risk pregnancies.

The secondary outcomes were a composite score of adverse perinatal outcome, including miscarriage, intrauterine death, neonatal death (NND), admission to the neonatal ICU (NICU), and individual components of both primary and secondary outcomes. Miscarriage was defined as pregnancy loss before 22 weeks of gestation or fetal loss at or after 22 weeks of gestation, whereas NND was defined as death of a live-born infant within the first 28 days of life. Perinatal death was defined as fetal loss and NND.

Further details on the criteria for maternal admission to the ICU and neonatal admission to the NICU are more extensively described elsewhere.⁹

All these outcomes were assessed in high-risk pregnancies and compared with outcomes in low-risk pregnancies. Pregnancies were considered high risk in case of either preexisting chronic medical conditions in pregnancy (pregestational diabetes mellitus, chronic hypertension, or autoimmune disease) or obstetrical disorders occurring in pregnancy (preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, or gestational diabetes mellitus). Regarding the specific medical complications affecting pregnancy, chronic hypertension was defined as hypertension that precedes pregnancy or was present on at least 2 occasions before the 20th week of gestation. Preeclampsia was defined as the new onset of hypertension and proteinuria or the new onset of hypertension and significant end-organ dysfunction with or without proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation or after birth in a previously normotensive woman, whereas gestational hypertension was defined as a blood pressure of ≥140/90 mm Hg on 2 occasions (at least 4 hours apart) during pregnancy after 20 weeks of gestation in a previously normotensive patient, without the presence of proteinuria or other clinical features suggestive of preeclampsia. Finally, gestational diabetes mellitus was defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy after 75 g or 100 g of oral glucose tolerance test according to each country-specific guideline.

To elucidate the rate of vertical transmission, all newborns included in this cohort were tested at birth.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 19.0; IBM Inc, Armonk, NY) and using Stata (version 13.1; Stata Corp, College Station, TX). Continuous variables were reported as mean±standard deviation, whereas categorical variables were reported as number (percentage). Univariate comparisons of dichotomous data were performed with the use of the chi-squared test with continuity correction. Comparisons between the groups were performed with the use of the t test to test group means by assuming equal within-group variances for parametric data and with the use of Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney tests for nonparametric data. Multivariate analysis was performed to evaluate potential predictors of the primary outcome. Logistic regression was reported as adjusted odds ratio (aOR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). A P value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

General characteristics of the included women

During the study period, 887 women with singleton viable high-risk pregnancies (122 with chronic preexisting complications and 86 with medical complications occurring during gestation) at the time of assessment, positive for SARS-CoV-2 after RT-PCR of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens, in 72 centers from 22 countries were included in the study.

TABLE 1
Comparison of different characteristics in high- vs low-risk pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection

High-risk pregnancies(n=208)	No high-risk pregnancies(n=679)	<i>P</i> value
34.16±6.80	31.39±5.50	<.001 ^a
30.26±9.80	29.65±9.60	.425
75 (36.1)	219 (32.3)	.313
11 (5.3)	21 (3.1)	.140
33 (15.9)	61 (9.0)	.007 ^a
14 (6.7)	27 (4.0)	.129
145 (69.7)	527 (77.6)	.026ª
63 (30.3)	152 (22.4)	.026 ^a
106 (51.0)	411 (60.5)	.016 ^a
102 (49.0)	268 (39.5)	.016 ^a
50 (24.0)	206 (30.3)	.081
20 (9.6)	14 (2.1)	<.001 ^a
52 (25.0)	185 (27.2)	.591
28 (13.5)	129 (19.0)	.078
11 (5.3)	47 (6.9)	.521
4 (1.9)	23 (3.9)	.360
34 (16.3)	20 (2.9)	<.001 ^a
109 (52.4)	311 (45.8)	.096
27 (13.0)	31 (4.6)	<.001 ^a
29 (13.9)	24 (3.5)	<.001 ^a
58 (27.9)	125 (18.4)	.139
61 (29.3)	209 (30.8)	.731
67 (32.2)	142 (20.9)	<.001 ^a
46 (22.1)	122 (18.0)	.189
	34.16±6.80 30.26±9.80 75 (36.1) 11 (5.3) 33 (15.9) 14 (6.7) 145 (69.7) 63 (30.3) 106 (51.0) 102 (49.0) 50 (24.0) 20 (9.6) 52 (25.0) 28 (13.5) 11 (5.3) 4 (1.9) 34 (16.3) 109 (52.4) 27 (13.0) 29 (13.9) 58 (27.9) 61 (29.3) 67 (32.2)	34.16±6.80 30.26±9.80 29.65±9.60 75 (36.1) 219 (32.3) 11 (5.3) 21 (3.1) 33 (15.9) 61 (9.0) 14 (6.7) 27 (4.0) 145 (69.7) 527 (77.6) 63 (30.3) 152 (22.4) 106 (51.0) 411 (60.5) 102 (49.0) 268 (39.5) 50 (24.0) 206 (30.3) 20 (9.6) 14 (2.1) 52 (25.0) 185 (27.2) 28 (13.5) 129 (19.0) 11 (5.3) 47 (6.9) 4 (1.9) 23 (3.9) 34 (16.3) 20 (2.9) 109 (52.4) 311 (45.8) 27 (13.0) 31 (4.6) 29 (13.9) 46 (22.1) 21 (20.9) 46 (22.1) 21 (218.0)

CT, computerized tomography; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin.

D'Antonio. Outcome of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in high- vs low-risk pregnancies. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2021.

General characteristics of the study population are reported in Table 1. Maternal age was higher in high-risk pregnancies than in low-risk pregnancies (34.16 \pm 6.80 vs 31.39 \pm 5.50; P<.001); however, there was no difference in the mean gestational age at diagnosis of infection (P=.425), nulliparity (P=.313), and smoking status (P=.140) (Table 1). Regarding the obstetrical outcomes,

women with high-risk pregnancies had a higher incidence of preterm delivery at <37 weeks of gestation than women with non—high-risk pregnancies (15.9% vs 9.0%; *P*=.007); however, there was no difference between the 2 groups regarding the occurrence of preterm delivery at <34 weeks of gestation. Furthermore, high-risk pregnancies were more likely delivered by cesarean delivery compared

with controls (30.3% vs 22.4%; *P*=.026). In addition, there were 3 cases of maternal deaths. The first maternal death occurred in a high-risk pregnancy with type II diabetes mellitus. The woman presented to the hospital at 33 weeks of gestation with stillbirth. The woman was febrile and unconscious. Chest radiography showed pulmonary infiltrates and atelectasis with elevated left

^a Values are statistically significant.

TABLE 2 Comparison of the different maternal and fetal outcomes in high- vs low-risk pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection

Outcome	High-risk pregnancies(n=208)	No high-risk pregnancies(n=679)	<i>P</i> value
Composite adverse maternal outcome	46 (22.1)	107 (15.8)	.036ª
In-hospital admission	85 (40.9)	216 (31.8)	.019 ^a
Severe respiratory symptoms	44 (21.2)	76 (11.2)	<.001 ^a
Admission to the intensive care unit	19 (9.1)	25 (3.7)	.003 ^a
Invasive ventilation	11 (5.3)	14 (2.1)	.027ª
Composite adverse fetal outcome	37 (17.8)	74 (10.9)	.012 ^a
Miscarriage	11 (5.3)	11 (1.6)	.008 ^a
Intrauterine death	2 (1.0)	3 (0.4)	.334
Neonatal death	2 (1.0)	6 (0.8)	1.000
Perinatal death	4 (2.0)	9 (1.2)	.516
Admission to the neonatal intensive care unit	18 (8.7)	54 (8.0)	.772

a Values are statistically significant.

D'Antonio. Outcome of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in high- vs low-risk pregnancies. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2021.

hemidiaphragm. The woman was admitted to the ICU and intubated but died with acute kidney injury and cardiac arrest. The second and third deaths occurred in 2 non-high-risk pregnant women aged 25 and 27 years old, respectively, presenting to the emergency department with severe respiratory symptoms requiring admission to the ICU. Details of these 3 maternal deaths are reported in a previous study from our group.4

The risk of composite adverse maternal outcomes was higher in high-risk pregnancies than in low-risk pregnancies with an OR of 1.52 (95% CI, 1.03-2.24; P=.035) (Table 2). In addition, women carrying high-risk pregnancies were at higher risk of the following: admission to the hospital (OR, 1.48; 95% CI, 1.07-2.04; P=.002), presence of severe respiratory symptoms (aOR, 2.13; 95% CI, 1.41 -3.21; P=.001), admission to the ICU (aOR, 2.63; 95% CI, 1.42-4.88), and invasive mechanical ventilation (OR, 2.65; 95% CI, 1.19-5.94; *P*=.002).

When exploring perinatal outcomes, high-risk pregnancies were at high risk of adverse perinatal outcomes with an aOR of 1.78 (95% CI, 0.15-2.72; P=.009). However, such association was mainly because of the higher incidence of miscarriage in high-risk pregnancies

than in low-risk pregnancies (5.3% vs 1.6%; P=.008); however, there was no difference in the occurrence of fetal loss (P=.334), NND (P=1.000), perinatal death (P=.516), and admission to the NICU (P=.772) between high- and lowrisk pregnancies complicated by SARS-CoV-2 infection.

At logistic regression analysis, maternal age (aOR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.02-1.22 per 10 year increase; P=.023) and the presence of high-risk pregnancies (aOR, 4.21; 95% CI, 3.90-5.11; P<.001) were independently associated with adverse maternal outcomes; furthermore, maternal age (aOR, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.19-1.47 per 10 year increase; P=.019) was the only factor associated with adverse perinatal outcome.

Discussion Main findings

This secondary analysis of the WAPM study—a multinational cohort study, including 388 pregnant women with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection from 72 centers—showed that the rates of composite adverse maternal outcomes, severe respiratory symptoms, and invasive ventilation in high-risk pregnancies were significantly higher than in lowrisk pregnancies. Conversely, there was no difference found when assessing for fetal outcomes in high-risk pregnancies compared with that in low-risk pregnancies.

Strengths and limitations

The strength and limitation of this analvsis were essentially those inherent in the primary analysis.4 The enrollment of only women with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, the large sample, the inclusion of both university and community hospitals from different countries, and the multitude of outcomes explored represented the major strengths of this study. The major limitation of this study was that the study population mostly came from women referred for suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection, because of symptoms or exposure, and consequently tested for RT-PCR of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens, thus leading to an intuitively lower percentage of asymptomatic women in the study cohort. More importantly, the inclusion of women mainly presenting with symptoms or being tested positive for close contact with people with SARS-CoV-2 represented an inclusion bias, and it may be entirely possible that the rate of adverse outcomes reported in women with high-risk pregnancy from this series may represent an overestimation of the actual occurrence of these outcomes in the overall general population of pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Another major limitation was represented by the fact that we could not stratify the analysis according to the specific pregnancy or prepregnancy comorbidity because the small number of cases per subgroup category would have affected the robustness of the results. Furthermore, different income levels of countries and healthcare systems and the heterogeneity in the management of both the mother and fetus might have independently affected perinatal outcomes. Finally, the contribution of each center in providing the data and definitions of the different pregnancy complications (ie, gestational diabetes mellitus) were not homogenous. In this scenario, it may be entirely possible that the study population included the most severe spectrum of SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy.

Implications for clinical practice and research

Since the beginning of the pandemic outbreak, pregnancy has been extensively evaluated as a potential high-risk condition because of physiological changes that might predispose pregnant women to a more severe clinical course of COVID-19 compared with the non-pregnant population.^{4,5}

One of the largest systematic reviews currently published on this topic showed that pregnant and recently pregnant women affected by COVID-19 were significantly more likely to need admission to the ICU and invasive ventilation than nonpregnant women of reproductive age and that increased maternal age, higher body mass index, chronic hypertension, and preexisting diabetes mellitus were all significantly associated with a more severe course of COVID-19 in pregnancy. Moreover, preexisting maternal comorbidities represented a risk factor for admission to the ICU and for invasive ventilation.9

The presence of higher risk of adverse outcomes in patients affected by COVID-19 is a well-known issue in the general population and has been

reported early in the beginning of the pandemic: cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and obesity have been all shown to be strong predictors of mortality and severe morbidity in people with SARS-CoV-2 infection, particularly in patient with increasing age, 14–17 although the strength of this association persists when considering only young adults. 18,19

The findings from this study confirmed what was previously shown in both the general population and pregnant women, as the presence of either preexisting or obstetrical conditions was associated with a significantly higher risk of composite adverse maternal outcomes, severe respiratory morbidity, and need for invasive ventilation. Conversely, the association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and high-risk pregnancy did not significantly influence perinatal outcomes.

Conclusions

In this study, high-risk pregnancies, complicated by SARS-CoV-2 infection, were at higher risk of adverse outcomes, mostly respiratory, than low-risk pregnancies. Accurate risk stratification of women presenting with suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy is warranted to identify a subset of women who may benefit from a tailored management, to improve maternal outcomes.

References

- **1.** Perlman S. Another decade, another coronavirus. N Engl J Med 2020;382:760-2.
- 2. World Health Organization. WHO coronavirus disease (COVID-19) dashboard. Available at: https://covid19.who.int/. Accessed 26 October 2020.
- **3.** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Data on COVID-19 during pregnancy: birth and infant outcomes. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-up dates/special-populations/birth-data-on-covid-19.html. Accessed December 2020.
- **4.** Di Mascio D, Khalil A, Saccone G, et al. Outcome of coronavirus spectrum infections (SARS, MERS, COVID-19) during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2020;2:100107.

- **5.** WAPM (World Association of Perinatal Medicine) Working Group on COVID-19. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of pregnant women with SARS-COV-2 infection. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2020;57:232–41.
- **6.** Di Mascio D, Sen C, Saccone G, et al. Risk factors associated with adverse fetal outcomes in pregnancies affected by coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a secondary analysis of the WAPM study on COVID-19. J Perinat Med 2020:48:950–8.
- **7.** Huntley BJF, Huntley ES, Di Mascio D, Chen T, Berghella V, Chauhan SP. Rates of maternal and perinatal mortality and vertical transmission in pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-Co-V-2) infection: a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol 2020;136:303–12.
- **8.** Dubey P, Reddy SY, Manuel S, Dwivedi AK. Maternal and neonatal characteristics and outcomes among COVID-19 infected women: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2020;252:490–501.
- **9.** Juan J, Gil MM, Rong Z, Zhang Y, Yang H, Poon LC. Effect of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on maternal, perinatal and neonatal outcome: systematic review. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2020;56:15–27.
- **10.** Allotey J, Stallings E, Bonet M, et al. Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2020;370:m3320.
- **11.** Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, et al. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2020;369:m1966.
- **12.** World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected. Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/10665-332299. Accessed December 2020.
- **13.** Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020;382:1708–20.
- **14.** Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395:497–506.
- **15.** Harrison SL, Fazio-Eynullayeva E, Lane DA, Underhill P, Lip GYH. Comorbidities associated with mortality in 31,461 adults with COVID-19 in the United States: a federated electronic medical record analysis. PLoS Med 2020;17: e1003321.
- **16.** Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, et al. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2020;369:m1966.
- **17.** CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Preliminary estimates of the prevalence of selected underlying health conditions among patients with coronavirus disease 2019 United

States, February 12-March 28, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep, 69; 2020. p. 2020382-6.

18. Zhou F. Yu T. Du R. et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1054-62.

19. Cunningham JW, Vaduganathan M, Claggett BL, et al. Clinical outcomes in young US adults hospitalized with COVID-19. JAMA Intern Med 2020. [Epub ahead of print].

Author and article information

From the 1 Centre for High-Risk Pregnancy and Fetal Care, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Chieti, Chieti, Italy (Dr D'Antonio); 2 Perinatal Medicine Foundation, Department of Perinatal Medicine, Memorial Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey (Dr Sen); 3 Department of Maternal and Child Health and Urological Sciences. Sapienza University of Rome. Rome. Italy (Dr. Mascio); 4 Fetal Medicine Unit, Maternal and Child Health and Development Network, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital 12 de Octubre, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain (Dr Galindo, Dr Villalain, and Dr Herraiz); 5 Department of Perinatal Medicine, Memorial Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey (Dr Arisoy); 6 Cengiz Gokcek Women's and Children's Hospital, Gaziantep, Turkey (Dr Ovayolu and Dr Eroğlu); 7 Hospital Clinico San Jose, Chile Santiago, Chile (Dr Canales); 8 Community Medical Centers, University of California, San Francisco Fresno, Fresno, CA (Dr Ladella); 9 Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of Maryland Medical Center, Baltimore, MD (Dr Cojocaru, Dr O Turan, and Dr S Turan); 10 Petach-Tikva and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Rabin Medical Center, Helen Schneider Hospital for Women, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel (Dr Hadar, Dr Brzezinski-Sinai, and Dr Dollinger); 11 Bursa City Hospital, Bursa, Turkey (Dr Uyaniklar, Dr Ocakouglu, and Dr Atak); 12 Medical Faculty, Department of Perinatology, University Medical Center, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia (Dr Premru-Srsen, Dr Kornhauser-Cerar, and Dr Druškovič); 13 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Saint John Hospital, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania (Dr Ples); 14 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Dicle, Diyarbakır, Turkey (Dr Gündüz and Dr Ağaçayak); 15 Centro de Educación Médica e Investigaciones Clínicas "Norberto Quirno," Buenos Aires, Argentina (Dr Schvartzman and Dr Malbran); 16 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Centre for High-Risk Pregnancy and Fetal Care, University of Chieti, Chieti, Italy (Dr Liberati, Dr Sebastiano, Dr Oronzi, Dr Cerra, and Dr Buca); 17 Academic Unit of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy (Dr Cagnacci, Dr Ramone, and Dr Barra); 18 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sant'Anna Hospital, University of Turin, Turin, Italy (Dr Carosso, Dr Benedetto, and Dr Cosma); 19 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hospital Erasme, Cliniques Universitaires de Bruxells, Brussels, Belgium (Dr Pintiaux, Dr Daelemans, and Dr Costa); 20 University Of Health Sciences, Umranive Training And Research Hospital, Turkey, Istanbul (Dr Özel and Dr Muhçu); 21 Hospital Regional Universitario de Málaga, Malaga, Spain (Dr Lopez); 22 Clínica del Country, Bogotá, Colombia (Dr Alvarado); 23 Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona, Spain (Dr Piqueras and Dr Oliva); 24 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Parma, Parma, Italy (Dr Schera, Dr Volpe, and Dr Frusca); 25 University Clinic of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Skopje, North Macedonia (Dr Samardjiski, Dr Simeonava, and Dr Papestiev); 26 División Obstetricia/Hospital de Clínicas "José de San Martín," Buenos Aires, Argentina (Dr Hojman); 27 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sanko University School of Medicine, Gaziantep, Turkey (Dr Turkcuoglu); 28 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, "Filippo Del Ponte" Hospital, University of Insubria, Varese, Italy (Dr Cromi, Dr Laganà, and Dr Ghezzi); 29 Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A Gemelli IRCCS -Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy (Dr Sirico, Dr Familiari, and Dr Scambia); 30 National Medical Research Center for Obstetrics, Gynecology and Perinatology, Moscow, Russia (Dr Sukhikh and Dr Gorina); 31 Assistência Obstétrica do Grupo Perinatal, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (Dr de Sa and Dr Vaz); 32 Departamento de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia, Hospital Universitário Polydoro Ernani, Santiago, Brazil (Dr Feuerschuette); 33 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sant'Orsola-Malpighi University Hospital, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy (Dr Gatta, Dr Youssef, and Dr Donna); 34 Servicio de Obstetricia y Ginecología, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Valencia, Spain (Dr Martinez-Varea, Dr Loscalzo, and Dr Roselló); 35 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Neonatology and Intensive Care, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland (Dr Stefanovic, Dr Nupponen, and Dr Nelskylä); 36 ABC Medical Center, Mexico City, Mexico (Dr Ayala); 37 University Clinic Hospital of Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain (Dr Molpeceres and Dr Vázquez); 38 Unit of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ospedale Maggiore, Bologna, Italy (Dr Sandri, Dr Cataneo, and Dr Lenzi); 39 Hisar Intercontinental Hospital, Instanbul, Turkey (Dr Haberal); 40 Instituto Nacional Materno Perinatal. Lima, Peru (Dr Huertas, Dr Sanchez, and Dr Arango); 41 Hospital Universitario de Móstoles, Mostoles, Spain (Dr Bermejo); 42 Hospital Juan A. Fernandez, Buenos, Argentina (Dr Alcantara); 43 Düzce University Medicine Faculty, Department of Perinatology, Düzce, Turkey (Dr Göynümer); 44 Batman Maternity and Child Health Hospital, Batman, Turkey (Dr Okuyan); 45 Universitatea de Medicină si Farmacie Grigore T. Popa lasi, lasi, Romania (Dr Madalina); 46 Alvaro Cunqueiro University Hospital of Vigo, Vigo, Spain (Dr Guisan); 47 Hospital Angeles Lomas, Mexico City, Mexico (Dr Schulte); 48 University of Milan, Milan, Italy (Dr Esposito); 49 Fetal Medicine Unit, Di Venere Hospital, Bari, Italy (Dr Robertis); 50 KBC Dr Dragisa Misovic Dedinje Belgrade, Serbia (Dr Zdjelar, Dr Lackovic, and Dr Mihajlovic); 51 Department of Neonatology. Obstetrics and Gynecology. University Hospital. Sofia, Bulgaria (Dr Jekova); 52 Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry, School of Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy (Dr Saccone); 53 Sakarya University Education and Research Hospital, Sakarya, Turkey (Dr Aslan); 54 Department Gynecology and Obstetrics, Fornaroli Hospital, Magenta, Italy (Dr Dedda); 55 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia (Dr Chalid); 56 Departamento De Ginecologia Y Obstetricia, Centro Medico La Paz De Bata, Bata, Guinea Ecuatorial (Dr Canache); 57 Alexandra Hospital - National and Kapodistrian, University of Athens, Athens, Greece (Dr Daskalakis and Dr Antsaklis); 58 Division of Neonatology, Hospital Clínico "San Carlos." Madrid, Spain (Dr Vega); 59 Hospital Virgen De La Luz, Cuenca, Spain (Dr Cueto); 60 Ospedale Generale Regionale "F. Miulli," Acquaviva delle Fonti, Italy (Dr Taccaliti); 61 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa Medical School, Istanbul, Turkey (Dr Aykanat); 62 Karaman Public Hospital, Karaman, Turkey (Dr Genç); 63 Department of Anaesthesia, Lyell McEwin Hospital, Adelaide, Australia (Dr Froessler); 64 University Hospital of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sofia, Bulgaria (Dr Radulova); 65 Section of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Medical Sciences, Azienda Ospedaliera-Universitaria Sant' Anna, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy (Dr Morano, Dr Bianchi, and Dr Marino); 66 Ostetricia e Ginecologia Universitaria - Ospedale S.Anna e S.Sebastiano, Caserta, Italy (Dr Meccariello); 67 Sulochana clinic, Kolkata India (Dr Rohatgi); 68 Department of Woman, Child and General and Specialized Surgery, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy (Dr Schiattarella, Dr Morlando, and Dr Colacurci); 69 Academic Division of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mauriziano Umberto I Hospital, University of Turin, Turin, Italy (Dr Villasco and Dr Biglia); 70 Instituto de Med-Fetal e Diagnóstico por Imagem do Amazonas, Manausi, Brazil (Dr Marques); 71 Campus Bio Medico, University of Rome, Rome, Italy (Dr Gatti, Dr Luvero, and Dr Angioli); 72 Hospital Raul F. Larcade, Buenos Aires, Argentina (Dr Pittaro); 73 Regional Hospital Gjakova, Kosovo, Republic of Kosovo (Dr Lila); 74 Division of Neonatology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, General Hospital in Prague and First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic (Dr Zlatohlávková).

Received Nov. 12, 2020; revised Feb. 1, 2021; accepted Feb. 10, 2021.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Ethical Committee of Federico II University of Naples, number 145/2020.

Corresponding author: Francesco D'Antonio, MD, PhD. francesco.dantonio@unich.it