1	CONTEXT-DEPENDENT VARIABILITY OF HIF HETERODIMERS INFLUENCES
2	INTERACTIONS WITH MACROMOLECULAR AND SMALL MOLECULE
3	PARTNERS
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Joseph D. Closson<sup>1,2</sup>, Xingjian Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Meiling Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Tarsisius T. Tiyani<sup>1,2</sup>, Leandro Pimentel Marcelino<sup>1,3</sup>, Eta A. Isiorho<sup>1</sup>, Jason S. Nagati<sup>4,5</sup>, Joseph A. Garcia<sup>4,5</sup>, Kevin H. Gardner<sup>1,3,6,*</sup></li> <li>*: direct correspondence to kgardner@gc.cuny.edu</li> <li><sup>1</sup>: Structural Biology Initiative, CUNY Advanced Science Research Center, New York, NY 10031</li> <li><sup>2</sup>: Ph.D. Program in Biochemistry, The Graduate Center – City University of New York, NW 10031</li> <li><sup>3</sup>: Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, City College of New York, New York, NY 10031</li> <li><sup>4</sup>: Department of Medicine, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY 10032</li> <li><sup>5</sup>: Departments of Medicine and Research &amp; Development, James J. Peters Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Bronx, NY 10468</li> <li><sup>6</sup>: Ph.D. Programs in Biochemistry, Biology, and Chemistry, The Graduate Center – City University of New York, New York, NY 1003</li> </ul>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	ORCIDs:           Joseph D. Closson: 0000-0002-4618-3119 / Xingjian Xu: 0000-0002-9609-4755 / Meiling           Zhang: 0000-0002-0670-6018 / Tarsisius T. Tiyani: 0000-0002-2806-4079 / Leandro Pimentel           Marcelino: 0000-0001-6067-0725 / Eta A. Isiorho: 0000-0002-6242-9297 / Jason S. Nagati:           0000-0002-4507-6581 / Joseph A. Garcia: 0000-0002-5621-7538 / Kevin H. Gardner: 0000-0002-8671-2556
30	Keywords: Hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs), aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator
31	(ARNT), clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC), hypoxia, transcription factors, small molecule
32	binding, higher order complex formation
55 24	
34 35	<b>Kunning uue:</b> Variability of HIF complexes influences intermolecular interactions

2

#### 36 Abstract

37 Hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) are transcription factors that coordinate cellular responses to low oxygen levels, functioning as an  $\alpha/\beta$  heterodimer which binds a short hypoxia 38 39 response element (HRE) DNA sequence. Prior studies suggest HIF/HRE complexes are 40 augmented by the binding of additional factors nearby, but those interactions are not well 41 understood. Here, we integrated structural and biochemical approaches to investigate several 42 functionally relevant HIF assemblies with other protein, small molecule, and DNA partners. 43 First, we used cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) to establish HIF-1 and HIF-2 form novel 44 "dimer-of-heterodimers" (DoHD) complexes on extended human EPO enhancer sequences, 45 showing that one heterodimer bound at a canonical HRE site with the second binding in an 46 inverted fashion to an HRE-adjacent sequence (HAS) 8 bp away. Consistent with ARNT PAS-B 47 domains predominating interactions within a DoHD, we found HIF-1 and HIF-2 assemble mixed 48 DoHD complexes on the same DNA. Second, we saw substantial variability among ligands for 49 isolated ARNT or HIF-2 $\alpha$  PAS-B domains to bind larger complexes: for example, the ARNT 50 PAS-B binding KG-548 and KG-279 ligands both bound the simpler HIF-2 heterodimer but 51 exhibited differential binding to a HIF-2 DoHD. Finally, we combined cryo-EM and hydrogen-52 deuterium exchange by mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) to show how HIF-1 and HIF-2 53 heterodimers engage the transforming acidic coiled-coil containing protein 3 (TACC3) 54 coactivator via both ARNT and HIF- $\alpha$  subunits, though this was unseen in the larger DoHD. Our 55 findings highlight the importance of both molecular context and dynamics in biomolecular 56 complex formation, adding to the complexities of potential regulation. 57

#### 3

#### 58 Significance Statement

59 Hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) are transcription factors that regulate oxygen-dependent cellular processes with implications in certain types of cancers. Current molecular structures of 60 61 HIFs bound to short DNA fragments provide insights into their function, but leave open 62 questions about how they bind longer natural DNA fragments and interact with small molecules 63 and protein coactivators. Integrating structural and biochemical techniques, we discovered a 64 novel assembly in which two HIFs bind together on a single extended DNA fragment, forming a 65 "dimer-of-heterodimers", and ascertained how structural differences arising from higher-ordered 66 complex formation affect ligand and coactivator binding. Our studies highlight how functional 67 contexts can shift structural paradigms and provide greater insight into the mechanisms by which 68 HIFs and similar transcription factors operate.

4

#### 70 Background

71 The hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) are basic helix-loop-helix Per-ARNT-Sim (bHLH-72 PAS) transcription factors that regulate oxygen dependent processes for higher eukaryotes under 73 hypoxic conditions, controlling the expression of hundreds of genes in erythropoiesis, cell 74 growth, metabolism, and other pathways involved in adaptation to low oxygen levels (3, 10). 75 HIFs are heterodimers comprised of one of three isoforms of an oxygen-sensitive  $\alpha$ -subunit 76 (HIF-1, 2,  $3\alpha$ ) and a constitutively expressed  $\beta$ -subunit, aryl hydrocarbon nuclear translocator 77 (ARNT, also known as HIF-1 $\beta$ ) (3, 11). Under normoxia, HIF- $\alpha$  subunits are degraded and 78 inactivated through O<sub>2</sub>-dependent enzymatic hydroxylations of specific proline and asparagine 79 residues in the HIF- $\alpha$  C-terminus (4-6). These hydroxylation marks recruit the Von Hippel-80 Lindau (VHL) E3 ubiquitin ligase (Pro-OH) and block recruitment of p300/CBP transcriptional 81 coactivators (Asn-OH), coordinately repressing HIF activity via independent mechanisms (3). 82 These hydroxylation events cannot occur under hypoxia, allowing HIF- $\alpha$  to accumulate, enter the 83 nucleus, and heterodimerize with ARNT, resulting in a HIF- $\alpha$ /ARNT complex (HIF complex) 84 bound to a 5 bp hypoxia response element (HRE) box (5'-RCGTG) in the promoter or enhancer 85 regions of oxygen-regulated genes to regulate transcription (Fig. 1A) (3, 10, 12, 13). DNA-86 bound HIF complexes recruit other regulatory components such as p300 or CREB-binding 87 protein (CBP) coactivators, as well as a class of coiled-coil coactivators (CCCs), as integral parts 88 of transcriptional regulation (Fig. 1) (10, 14, 15). 89 Functionally, HIF-1 and 2 play major roles in natural cellular hypoxic responses, with 90 their dysfunction implicated in a range of cancers and other diseases (3, 10, 16-18). Though their 91 expression profiles tend to overlap, HIF-1 is typically associated with expression of glycolytic 92 enzymes ubiquitously, whereas HIF-2 is associated with expression of erythropoietin and

5



**Figure 1. Schematic of HIF pathway regulation and components.** (A) Under normoxic conditions, HIF- $\alpha$  is inactivated in the cytosol through parallel oxygen-dependent post-translational hydroxylations of residues in the protein C-terminus. These include a proline-directed mechanism (HIF-1 $\alpha$ : Pro402 and 562, HIF-2 $\alpha$ : Pro405 and 531) which leads to the recruitment of the von Hippel-Lindau E3 ubiquitin ligase, leading to poly-ubiquitination and proteosomal degradation (3, 4). A second route focuses on an asparagine residue (HIF-1 $\alpha$ : Asn803, HIF-2 $\alpha$ : Asn851) in the C-terminal transactivation domain (CTAD), preventing recruitment of CBP/p300 coactivators essential for HIF-driven transcriptional activation (5, 6). Under hypoxic conditions, neither of these HIF- $\alpha$  hydroxylations occur, allowing the fully functional protein to accumulate, translocate into to the nucleus, and heterodimerizes with ARNT. The HIF- $\alpha$ -ARNT complex then associates with specific HRE sequences in the promoter or enhancer regions of oxygen-dependent genes and recruits coactivators, leading to transcriptional activation. (B) Schematic depicting the domain arrangements of human HIF- $2\alpha$  and ARNT, as well as indicating which domains interact with HRE DNA as well as CCC- and CBP/p300-type transcriptional coactivators.

93 angiogenic genes localized to the kidney, lung, heart and small intestine (19, 20). Conversely,

94 HIF-3 has been implicated in fatty acid metabolism and potentially as a negative regulator of

- 95 hypoxic gene expression (21, 22). Constitutively high levels of HIFs can result in increased
- 96 levels of cellular growth, leading to tumorigenesis and specific types of cancers such as renal cell
- 97 carcinoma (HIF-2) and breast cancer (HIF-1), while the naturally hypoxic environment of tumors

6

98	can also upregulate HIF activity, exacerbating tumor progression through activation of hypoxic
99	pathways (10, 16-18, 23). Therefore, HIFs are an attractive target in the development of
100	anticancer agents with one such method of inhibition being the disruption of HIF-ARNT
101	complex formation, the proposed mechanism of action for the FDA approved HIF-2 inhibitor
102	and renal cell carcinoma/Von Hippel Lindau syndrome treatment, belzutifan (24-26).
103	Several protein/DNA crystal structures of bHLH-PAS transcription factors provide
104	structural insights into HIF/DNA binding interactions, most relevantly including several
105	structures of murine HIF-1 and HIF-2 bound to artificial 20 bp DNA fragments centered on
106	canonical 5 bp HRE boxes (5'-RCGTG) (9). Collectively, these structures show expected
107	bHLH/DNA interactions, as well as extensive association between the bHLH and PAS domains
108	on both protein subunits (Fig. S1A,B) (9). More broadly, this structural arrangement is highly
109	conserved among crystallographic and cryo-EM structures of various HIF complexes, as
110	swapping HIF-1/2/3 $\alpha$ isoforms or ARNT homologs (to brain and muscle ARNT-like, BMAL1),
111	leads to only minor perturbations (Fig. S1) (9, 27, 28). Substantial changes in organization are
112	only seen with shifts of $\alpha$ subunits, as seen between HIF-2 $\alpha$ /BMAL1 and CLOCK/BMAL1 (28,
113	29) complexes, which reorganize 4° arrangements of PAS domains within the heterodimer
114	assembly.
115	While these structural studies improved the understanding of protein/protein and
116	protein/DNA interactions in HIF complexes, several fundamental gaps in knowledge remain.

117 Foremost among these is the inability to explain long-standing data showing the importance of

118 DNA sequences adjacent to canonical HREs for HIF-driven transcriptional regulation. Initially

119 identified by deletion/mutation experiments of an enhancer region 3' to the human EPO gene,

120 full HIF-1 activity depends on the presence not only the HRE-box, but also an additional short

7

121 sequence (5'-CAC-3') 8 bp downstream, dubbed the HRE adjacent sequence (HAS) (13, 30). 122 Deletion or mutagenesis of the HAS markedly reduced HIF activity in cells (30); recent follow-123 up studies showed that even minor changes to the length of the 8 bp HRE-HAS intervening 124 sequence similarly ablates HIF activity in cells, strongly implying cooperativity between these 125 regions (13, 30). While initially suggested that HAS sequences might function by recruiting a 126 separate transcription factor complex such as CREB-1/ATF-1, more recent studies suggest the 127 HAS might bind a second HIF heterodimer due to its similarity to the HRE (13, 31, 32). Such 128 higher order complexes have not been seen in existing structures of HIFs bound to short HRE-129 only DNA fragments, leaving the arrangements of such higher-order HIF complexes an open 130 topic. 131 A second open area relates to the binding of small molecules within bHLH-PAS 132 complexes, which can naturally or artificially modulate their function. Most such compounds 133 bind within the PAS domains themselves, particularly into substantially-sized internal cavities 134 can exist (e.g. 100-500 Å<sup>3</sup> in HIF- $\alpha$  PAS-B domains (27, 33)), providing sites for specific 135 binding to disrupt protein/protein interactions. This strategy has been successfully used for the 136 HIF-2a specific inhibitor belzutifan, now clinically used to treat various forms of clear cell renal 137 cell carcinoma (19, 21, 24-26, 34). Additional binding sites are on PAS domain surfaces (1), 138 providing alternative routes to such regulation. However, almost all binding information on these 139 compounds have been determined on isolated PAS domains (1, 7, 35) or DNA-free bHLH-PAS 140 heterodimers (9, 24, 27). As such, substantive questions remain open about potential linkages 141 between small molecule binding into various HIF complexes. 142

8

143	Finally, questions remain open regarding interactions between the HIF- $\alpha$ /ARNT
144	heterodimer and other proteins involved in transcriptional regulation, such as transcriptional
145	coactivators. While some of these, such as p300/CBP, are well-known to interact with C-terminal
146	disordered regions in HIF-1 $\alpha$ and HIF-2 $\alpha$ (36), other coactivators are thought to directly interact
147	with the ordered bHLH/PAS core. These include the CCCs, three of which are known
148	components of ARNT-containing transcriptional complexes: transforming acidic coiled-coil
149	containing protein 3 (TACC3), thyroid hormone receptor/retinoblastoma interacting protein 230
150	(TRIP230) and coiled-coil coactivator (CoCoA) (2, 15, 37-39). Prior biochemical and structural
151	studies from our group suggested that ARNT/CCC interactions are driven by the ARNT PAS-B
152	domain binding to a C-terminal conserved "TACC box" in TACC3, CoCoA, and TRIP230 (14,
153	15, 37, 40). However, understanding how TACC boxes bind to bHLH/PAS heterodimers has
154	been complicated by the lack of characterization of CCC-bound bHLH/PAS complexes, as
155	studies on TACC/ARNT PAS-B complexes (including binding, NMR, and crystallographic
156	analyses (14, 15)) and crystal structures of CCC-free bHLH-PAS heterodimers (9) have
157	conflicting views of the accessibility of proposed binding sites.
158	Together, these results suggest that current structural models of HIF heterodimers may
159	not fully explain existing data about larger HIF complexes that form in different functional
160	contexts with additional DNA, proteins, or small molecules bound. To address this possibility,
161	we explored different HIF complexes using cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) together with a
162	variety of biochemical and biophysical approaches. Specifically, we compared cryo-EM models
163	of human HIF-2 bound to either a synthetic 20 bp HRE fragment or extended DNAs from the
164	human EPO enhancer region which naturally contain both the HRE and HAS boxes. While we

165 observed a very similar HIF-2/HRE cryo-EM structure (3.61 Å) as previously seen by X-ray

9

166 crystallography, the longer HIF-2/HRE+HAS cryo-EM structure (3.74 Å) adopted a novel larger 167 configuration, with two individually assembled HIF-2 heterodimers binding each other 168 symmetrically while engaging the HRE and HAS boxes of a single DNA fragment. These larger 169 "dimer of heterodimer" (DoHD) complexes are chiefly mediated by ARNT-ARNT interactions 170 between the adjacent HIF-2 heterodimers, implying that they could form in other HIF complexes 171 as well. Consistent with this, we observed longer HRE+HAS DNAs efficiently forming similar 172 large complexes for HIF-1 and even mixed HIF-1/HIF-2 complexes. Additionally, we 173 investigated the ability of both HIF-2 complexes to bind small molecules known to bind isolated 174 HIF-2α and ARNT PAS-B domains, finding variability in binding which we attribute to more 175 dynamics within HIF complexes than appreciated to date. Finally, we propose a binding interface 176 for CCCs on HIF-1 and HIF-2 bound to 20 bp DNA fragments through cryo-EM modeling and 177 hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS). This binding, while observed in 178 heterodimers, is seemingly impaired in the larger DoHD complex, again suggesting differences 179 in dynamics among various complexes. Taken together, our findings suggest that the molecular 180 context of the bHLH-PAS HIF complexes can substantially modulate their abilities to bind other 181 functionally-important molecules which regulate their function. 182

183 Results

# 184 Cryo-EM of HIF-2/HRE complexes show similar structures, but more dynamics, than 185 crystallographically

186 Initially, we set out to determine a cryo-EM structure of human HIF-2 bHLH/PAS

187 heterodimers bound to a synthetic 20 bp HRE DNA fragment previously used for

188 crystallographic studies (9), mixed with C-terminal fragments of human TACC3 or CoCoA.

189	Such a structure would allow direct comparisons between these human complexes and prior
190	structures of murine proteins (Fig. S1) (9), while also giving insights into CCC binding modes.
191	The resulting datasets showed a high degree of heterogeneity, including a substantial number of
192	particles that appeared to be HIF-2 complexes without bound CCCs. Focusing first on these HIF-
193	2/HRE complexes, we used cryoSPARC (41, 42) to pick ~1,980,000 particles and progressively
194	filtered out undesired ones through iterative processes of 2D classification, ab initio
195	reconstruction, and 3D refinement (Fig. S3). We observed a mix of 2D class averages from this
196	analysis, including those clearly corresponding to HIF-2/HRE complexes like the prior crystal
197	structures (Fig. 2A). Intriguingly, we also saw classes that exhibited greater conformational
198	dynamics than previously reported, including those that appeared to be DNA-free (with
199	correspondingly disordered bHLH domains) and DNA-bound complexes with a detached or
200	blurred portion similar in size to a PAS domain (Fig. 2B). Given the organization of HIF
201	bHLH/PAS heterodimers, we interpret this as a ARNT PAS-B domain which has separated from
202	the rest of the HIF-2 $\alpha$ /ARNT heterodimer.



classes of HIF-2 complexes, with individual PAS and bHLH domains and DNA visible. (B) Some 2D classes of HIF-2 complexes showed either an apparent ARNT PAS-B detached from the rest of the HIF-2 $\alpha$ -ARNT core (left) or destabilized bHLH domains lacking bound DNA (right). (C) 3.61 Å EM map (262,466 particles, 13.3% of all collected particles) was generated from refinement of the most populated *ab initio* volume into which an AlphaFold 2 model of human HIF-2 was fit. (D) Overlay of the mouse HIF-2 crystal structure (PDB code: 4ZPK, (9)) and human HIF-2 cryo-EM structure show highly similar domain architectures. (E) Local resolutions mapped onto the HIF-2 cryo-EM density map show a region of particularly low local resolution/high flexibility in the ARNT PAS-B (F $\alpha$ -helix, G $\beta$ -strand, H $\beta$  strand) domain and bHLH domains.

205	Using ~262,000 particles (13.3% of the total particles picked) from the HIF-2/DNA class
206	noted above, we obtained a 3.61 Å EM map which we successfully fit with an AlphaFold-
207	generated model of human HIF-2 $\alpha$ -ARNT-HRE DNA (Fig. S4A) without requiring any large-
208	scale rearrangements (Fig. 2C). Aside from the termini of the HRE fragment, which were not
209	well resolved in the cryo-EM map, fits between the model and experimental map were quite
210	good. Similar to HIF crystal structures (9, 27), several long protein loops were unresolved, with
211	the most notable being the ARNT PAS-A/PAS-B linker (ARNT residues 346-359), likely due to
212	high flexibility in these regions.
213	Notably, while our cryo-EM structure superimposed well with the murine crystal
214	structure, as expected from the > 95% sequence identities of the HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT bHLH/PAS
215	regions, we observed that the structures slightly differ in the location of the ARNT PAS-B
216	domains. This difference seems to be a domain-level shift of the cryo-EM ARNT PAS-B domain
217	away from the adjacent HIF-2 $\alpha$ PAS-B domain and the rest of the heterodimer (Fig. 2D).
218	Supporting this, local resolution analysis of the cryo-EM map estimated most regions had
219	resolutions between 3.2-4.0 Å, with the lowest protein-associated resolutions corresponding to
220	the ARNT PAS-B domain and in some of the extended loops, again consistent with local
221	dynamics in these regions (Fig. 2E). Together with our observation of even larger movements of
222	ARNT PAS-B in the 2D class averages (Fig. 2B), we suggest that this domain has markedly
223	more dynamics than previously appreciated, with potential functional links we explore below.
224	
225	HIF2α-ARNT forms a heterotetrameric structure on EPO enhancer DNAs
226	As noted above, previous studies indicated that additional DNA sequences adjacent to
227	HRE boxes are functionally important for full activation of certain oxygen-regulated gene

228	promoters (13, 30). To explore the structural basis of this observation, we used cryo-EM to
229	examine HIF-2 complexes bound to longer $\sim$ 50 bp human EPO enhancer DNA sequences,
230	previously demonstrated to include both a HRE and HAS box used for full activation. Using
231	DNAs including both HRE and HAS boxes with additional flanking sequences on either the 5'
232	(dubbed BS1, 51 bp) or 3' (dubbed BS2, 52 bp) ends, we collected cryo-EM datasets of human
233	HIF-2 complexes bound to these longer DNAs, mixed with C-terminal TACC3 fragments. As
234	with our studies of the shorter 20 bp HRE complexes, most particles were TACC3-free, so we
235	focus here on complexes of HIF-2 bound to the 51 bp BS1 DNA fragments.
236	Early in our analysis, we observed 2D class averages that were markedly different than
237	seen for HIF-2 $\alpha$ -ARNT bound to 20 bp HRE (Fig. 3A). Instead, we saw classes with much larger
238	protein density arranged more symmetrically about the DNA, prompting further structural
239	examination of these complexes. Final refinement of data from 164,000 particles (25.0% of all
240	particles picked) (Fig. S5), led to the determination of a 3.74 Å structure which neatly fit two
241	individually assembled HIF-2 $\alpha$ -ARNT heterodimers as well as the 51 bp BS1 DNA fragment
242	into a "dimer-of-heterodimers" (DoHD) conformation within our density map without needing
243	any large scale domain rearrangement (Fig. 3B). We underscore DoHD complexes were
244	observed by size exclusion chromatography before freezing (Fig. S6) and we did not see any
245	comparable higher-order assemblies in our HIF-2/20 bp DNA cryo-EM results, supporting that
246	formation of this larger complex can occur in vitro, is dependent on the bound DNA component,
247	and is not an artifact of cryo-EM processing.



Figure 3. Structural and biochemical analyses of HIF dimer-of-heterodimer complexes. (A) 2D cryo-EM class averages from analyses of data collected on HIF- $2\alpha$ -ARNT heterodimers bound to 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS DNA fragments, showing markedly different (and often, more symmetrical) arrangements than comparable 2D class averages of HIF-2 bound to 20 bp HRE DNAs. (B) A 3.74 Å EM map (164,352 particles, 25.0% of all collected particles) was generated from refinement of the most populated *ab initio* volume into which two individually assembled human HIF-2 heterodimers were fit, along with the corresponding 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS DNA fragment. (C) Local resolution data mapped onto the HIF-2 dimer-of-heterodimers cryo-EM map showed that the ARNT PAS-B  $F\alpha$ -helix and G $\beta$ -strand were higher relative resolution than in corresponding sections of the 20 bp HRE-bound HIF-2 EM map, suggestive of ordering between the heterodimer and dimer-ofheterodimer complexes. (D) The 3.90 Å cryo-EM map (71,802 particles, 27.3% of all collected particles) of HIF-1 bound to a 52 bp BS2 HRE/HAS DNA fragment showed a highly similar domain arrangement to HIF-2, though the ARNT PAS-A domains were less resolved. (E) Highlighted residues were suspected to form interactions between the opposing ARNT PAS-B domains in the HIF-2 supercomplex (basic residues in blue, acidic residues in red, uncharged residues in magenta) (F) SEC-MALS data showed expected differences in the molecular weights of HIF-2 on 20 bp HRE DNA fragments (96.7±6.3 kDa) and 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS DNA fragments (214.0±14.1 kDa), but revealed an intermediate value (144.0±6.9 kDa) for a HIF-2 variant with mutations in four key ARNT PAS-B F $\alpha$ -helix and G $\beta$ -strand residues which are at the ARNT/ARNT interface essential to the dimer-of-heterodimers complex. (G) Luciferase reporter assays containing the wildtype (WT) or mutant versions of the human EPO enhancer with changes in the upstream GC-rich region (GC-rich-mut), HRE (HRE-mut), or HAS (HAS-mut) site were performed in HEK293T cells in conjunction with ectopic expression of oxygen-independent (PPN) HIF-1a or HIF-2a. Empty pIRES vector expression was measured to ensure empty vector would not contribute to reporter response. Mutations to either the HRE or HAS site substantially blunted reporter induction by either PPN HIF-1α and PPN HIF-2α.

249	This intriguing higher-order complex orients the two HIF-2 heterodimers around a
250	pseudo two-fold rotation axis, with one heterodimer $\sim 180^{\circ}$ rotated from the other. The first of
251	these heterodimers (1° heterodimer) bound to the HRE box through the HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT
252	bHLH domains as expected from the minimal complexes with 20 bp HRE-only DNAs. The $2^{\circ}$
253	heterodimer bound the HAS box through its respective bHLH domains (Fig. 3B,S6) from the
254	opposite side of the DNA as the 1° heterodimer, as expected from the spacing between the HRE
255	and HAS boxes. Protein/protein interactions between the two heterodimers were chiefly
256	mediated through the ARNT PAS-B domains, utilizing residues in the F $\alpha$ -helix and G $\beta$ -strand
257	with potential interactions between Leu407, Gln414, and Met426 of both ARNT PAS-B domains
258	that could be unambiguously placed at the protein/protein interface despite the limited resolution
259	of the cryo-EM map. Additional interactions may come from the HIF-2 $\alpha$ PAS-A EF loops as
260	well (Fig. S6). Cryo-EM data for the central 27 basepairs of the 51 bp fragment were clearly
261	resolved to allow us to confidently place both the HRE and HAS boxes into the cryo-EM map,
262	with slight upward curvature in next to the HAS-box before losing resolution at the 3' terminus,
263	possibly supporting binding of the 2° heterodimer to the DNA.
264	We also performed local resolution analysis of this dimer-of-heterodimers cryo-EM map,
265	which spanned 3.2-4.2 Å resolution (Fig. 3C). While similar overall to our analysis from the 20
266	bp HRE-bound HIF-2, we observed two substantial changes. First, we saw higher resolution
267	density in the dimer-of-heterodimers map corresponding to the ARNT PAS-B domains
268	compared to the 20 bp HRE-bound HIF-2 map, specifically around the $\beta$ -sheet surface and F $\alpha$ -
269	helix located at the dimer/dimer interface. In contrast, the dimer-of-heterodimers map appears to
270	show relatively low resolution in the ARNT PAS-A domains of both heterodimers, likely due to
271	being distal to the protein/protein and protein/DNA interactions which stabilize the complex.

16

')'	1	')
7	/	4

#### 273 Implications and validation of dimer-of-heterodimer assembly on other HIFs 274 The central role of ARNT in mediating these dimer-of-heterodimer complexes has 275 several implications. First, analogous HIF-1 complexes should be able to form on longer DNAs, 276 given the shared role of ARNT in both HIF-1 and HIF-2. Second, point mutations at the ARNT 277 PAS-B domain, which provides the majority of protein/protein interface in the cryo-EM 278 structure, should destabilize dimer-of-heterodimer formation. Finally, "mixed" dimer-of-279 heterodimers should be evident in vitro, combining HIF-1 and HIF-2 heterodimers bound to the 280 same DNA. We address each of these implications in turn below. 281 Starting with the ability of HIF-1 to form dimer-of-heterodimer structures, we collected a 282 cryo-EM dataset of human HIF-1 bHLH/PAS fragments bound to 52 bp BS2 HRE/HAS DNA 283 fragments, as initial characterization of HIF-1/DNA complexes appeared more homogenous with 284 BS2 (Fig. S7). 2D classes from this dataset were very similar to those from our prior HIF-2-BS1 285 studies above, suggesting similar structure formation (Fig. S7B). Further data refinement from 286 71,800 particles led to a 3.90 Å cryo-EM map, into which we could fit two individually 287 assembled HIF-1 AlphaFold-generated models using an inverted repeat-type arrangement as in 288 the HIF-2 dimer-of-heterodimers (Fig. 3B,D; Fig. S6,S7). As before, the ARNT PAS-B Fα-helix 289 and $G\beta$ -strand elements appear to provide the bulk of protein/protein interaction surface within 290 the HIF-1 complex, consistent with the ARNT PAS-B domain playing a role in dimerizing HIF-1 291 as well as HIF-2. The HIF-1 $\alpha$ PAS-A EF loops again appear to potentially play a further role in 292 stabilizing the DoHD complex, notably with apparent EM density between nearby cysteines 293 (Cys118) in these loops. While this implies the formation of a stabilizing disulfide bond in this 294 complex (Fig. S7G), its formation in vivo would likely require a change in the naturally reducing

295	cellular environment, such as could be achieved with increased reactive oxygen species (as
296	commonly seen in cancer cells where HIF-1 activity would be elevated (43)). Interestingly, the
297	ARNT PAS-A domains of this complex appeared to be of a much worse resolution than in the
298	HIF-2 DoHD complex, leading to fewer residues of this domain being confidently fit into the
299	EM map ( <b>Fig. S7E</b> ).
300	
301	The ARNT PAS-B domain serves as a major interface for HIF-2α-ARNT
302	homodimerization
303	Our cryo-EM structures and local resolution analyses of the HIF dimer-of-heterodimers
304	support the ARNT PAS-B domain as a dimerization interface between the two HIF- $\alpha$ -ARNT
305	heterodimers (Fig. 3B-D). Independently, the ARNT PAS-B domain has been suggested to
306	participate in higher order HIF assemblies via analyses of intermolecular contacts in HIF crystal
307	structures (13), albeit in a different arrangement not supported by our cryo-EM structure. To test
308	the role of ARNT PAS-B a potential dimerization interface, we mutated specific residues at the
309	ARNT PAS-B/ARNT PAS-B interface of our dimer-of-heterodimer structure. These residues,
310	located in the ARNT PAS-B F $\alpha$ -helix and neighboring $\beta$ -strands, are both close to each other and

- 311 show improved local resolution in the dimer-of-heterodimers compared to the simpler
- 312 heterodimer structure, implying these regions are stabilized through direct interactions (Fig. 3C).
- 313 At this interface, we observed several sets of salt-bridges (Glu362 to Gln414/Lys417;
- 314 Asp410/Ser 411 to Arg440) and nonpolar clusters (Leu423 to Leu423; Met426 to
- 315 Leu407/Val425) (Fig. 3E) which are evolutionarily conserved among vertebrate ARNs (Fig. S8).
- 316 To disrupt these interactions, we mutated Glu362 and Arg440 to alanine and Leu423 and Met426
- 317 to lysine, developing a quad mutant ARNT (ARNT E362A/L423K/M426K/R440A) which we

318	predicted to have lower dimer-of-heterodimer stability than wildtype. Using size exclusion
319	chromatography coupled with multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS), we examined the
320	average molecular weights of the wildtype and mutant complexes with the 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS
321	DNA fragment. For wildtype HIF-2, this complex had an average molecular weight of
322	214.0±14.1 kDa, similar to the expected 202.7 kDa for two HIF-2 heterodimers bound to a 51 bp
323	DNA duplex and effectively double the 96.7±6.3 kDa observed for a HIF-2 heterodimer bound
324	to a 20 bp HRE fragment (Fig. 3F). In contrast, HIF-2 containing the quad
325	E362A/L423K/M426K/R440A mutant ARNT bound to 51 bp BS1 DNA showed a later-eluting
326	SEC peak with an average 144.0±6.9 kDa molecular weight (Fig. 3F). Though higher than the
327	expected 117.0 kDa for a single HIF-2 heterodimer stably bound to a 51 bp DNA duplex, our
328	SEC and MALS data strongly support an equilibrium shift towards a single heterodimer.
329	Combining these results to the cryo-EM structure and local resolution analysis suggest the
330	importance of the ARNT PAS-B F $\alpha$ -helix and adjacent $\beta$ -strands as an interface in the formation
331	of dimer-of-heterodimers.
332	
333	ARNT-mediated formation of mixed HIF-1/HIF-2 dimers-of-heterodimers
334	As the last of the three implications of our dimer-of-heterodimer structures, we asked if
335	the commonality of ARNT in mediating comparable HIF-1 and HIF-2 dimers-of-heterodimers
336	could facilitate HIF-1 and HIF-2 complexing together to form a "heterodimer-of-heterodimers"
337	complex on extended DNAs. To test this, we created a fusion protein of HIF-1 $\alpha$ tagged on the N-
338	terminus with E. coli maltose binding protein (MBP) to increase its molecular weight by about
339	45 kDa, allowing us to distinguish HIF-1 and HIF-2 complexes by SDS-PAGE and size
340	exclusion chromatography.

19

341	After copurifying this MBP-tagged HIF-1 with untagged HIF-2, we bound them to 51 bp
342	BS1 HRE/HAS DNA fragments, and ran this mixed sample over SEC. We observed two peaks
343	in the resulting chromatogram (Fig. S9A), with SDS-PAGE analysis showing all three subunits
344	(MBP-HIF-1 $\alpha$ , HIF-2 $\alpha$ , ARNT) in the first, earlier-eluting peak and only HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT in
345	the later peak (Fig. S9D). This supports the formation of a tandem HIF-1/HIF-2 heterodimer-of-
346	heterodimers on an extended DNA fragment. Intriguingly, we did not see a peak corresponding
347	to MBP-HIF-1/MBP-HIF-1 heterodimers, which we would expect to form based on our cryo-EM
348	data and have confirmed through SEC of MBP-HIF-1 heterodimers bound to 51 bp HRE/HAS
349	DNA fragments (Fig. S9A).
350	To explore the DNA sequence requirements for forming these higher order structures, we
351	repeated these experiments using 51 bp BS1 DNA fragments with mutations into either the HRE
352	or HAS-box to reduce protein binding to those sites (Fig. S9B). As expected, mutation of the
353	HRE-box prevented almost any heterodimer formation, suggesting the HAS box alone is
354	insufficient to stably recruit either single heterodimers or dimer-of-heterodimers; protein that is
355	not bound to DNA cannot stably form heterodimers, and therefore elutes in the void (Fig.
356	<b>S9C,S9E</b> ). In contrast, mutation of the HAS-box increased the presence of a later elution peak
357	we attribute to a single heterodimer on DNA, though dimer of heterodimer formation was
358	reduced but still evident (Fig. S9C,S9E).
359	Coupled with the inability to observe stable dimers-of-heterodimers on 20 bp HRE-only

360 DNAs (by either cryo-EM or X-ray crystallography), we interpret these data as giving us insights

361 into the coupled protein/protein and protein/DNA interactions needed to assemble higher-order

362 HIF complexes: HIF/HRE interactions are key for 1° heterodimer recruitment, with additional

20

363 DNA interactions – ideally from an appropriately-spaced HAS box – needed to stabilize the
 364 presence of a 2° heterodimer (13).

365

#### 366 Formation of HIF dimer-of-heterodimers is important to cellular function

367 Building on our observation of HIF dimers-of-heterodimers in vitro, we conducted 368 further studies to determine if these complexes would assemble in cells, and if they are 369 functionally relevant. We generated luciferase reporter plasmids driven by EPO enhancer region 370 sequences or variants containing mutations in the HRE, HAS or 5' GC-rich regions, and 371 cotransfected these into HEK293T cells together with expression plasmids for oxygen-invariant 372 "PPN" variants of human HIF-1a or HIF-2a. Examining luciferase expression from these cells, 373 we observed robust expression of the reporter genes driven by either the WT promoter or one 374 containing point mutations in the GC-rich region. In contrast, expression from plasmids with 375 HRE mutations was ablated by approximately 90%, as expected from the key role that HREs 376 play in recruiting HIFs. Notably, expression from HAS-mutated promoters was also substantially 377 decreased compared to WT controls, with approximately 70-80% decreased activity (Fig. 3G). 378 As neither mutation completely ablated HIF-dependent transcription of the reporter, we suggest 379 that either the HRE or HAS sequence on its own may still recruit a single heterodimeric 380 complex. Indeed, many HIF-regulated genes do not contain an HAS-box, and therefore function 381 by binding only the HRE-box (13, 44). 382

## 383 Structural differences between HIF-2α-ARNT complexes leads to differential ligand 384 binding

385	Our work demonstrates that differences in protein and DNA fragments of HIF complexes
386	can markedly impact the quarternary structures of these components. To explore the functional
387	impact of these changes on the binding of small molecules to various HIF complexes, we
388	measured the binding affinities of several previously identified compounds that bind within or
389	onto the surface of HIF-2 $\alpha$ or ARNT PAS-B. Such compounds, which have been sought as leads
390	for disruptors of protein/protein interactions in HIFs, have typically been identified using
391	biophysical or biochemical approaches focused on ligand binding to isolated PAS-B domains (2,
392	7, 35). These compounds range in affinity from nanomolar (e.g. compound 2 binding to HIF-2 $\alpha$
393	PAS-B, K <sub>d</sub> 81 nM (7)) to high micromolar (e.g. ARNT PAS-B binding KG-548 and KG-279 (1))
394	(Fig. 4A), but comparable measurements have typically not been available on larger complexes,
395	some of which occlude obvious access to binding sites on or inside of the PAS domain targets.
396	To address this shortcoming, we used microscale thermophoresis (MST) assays on several HIF-
397	$2\alpha$ or ARNT targeting ligands with the HIF-2 heterodimer and the dimer-of-heterodimers bound
398	to fluorescein (FAM)-labeled 20 bp HRE or 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS DNA fragments.
399	The first of these compounds, KG-548, still bound the single HIF-2 heterodimer with
400	only a slight drop in affinity from the isolated ARNT PAS-B (Kd 348 $\mu$ M ARNT PAS-B; ~ 800
401	$\mu$ M HIF-2 heterodimer) despite its binding interface on the ARNT PAS-B surface being blocked
402	by the HIF-2 $\alpha$ PAS-B domain in the heterodimeric complex (Fig. 4B). This observation suggests
403	some flexibility in the ARNT PAS-B conformation with respect to the rest of the heterodimer, as
404	supported by some cryo-EM 2D classes of the HIF-2 complex with the ARNT PAS-B domain
405	apparently detached from the other core domains (Fig. 2B), as well as the lower local resolution
406	of the ARNT PAS-B domain within the 3D heterodimeric complex. Consistent with this, we





**Figure 4. Contextual differences impact small molecule binding to ARNT and HIF-\alpha PAS-B domains.** (A) Known small molecule binders of isolated ARNT (KG-548 (1, 2), KG-279 (1, 2)) and HIF-2 $\alpha$  PAS-B (compound 2 (7), PT2385 (8)) domains are shown along with their literature affinities for the specific PAS-B targets, obtained by microscale thermophoresis, ITC, and NMR. (B) Inclusion of the ARNT and HIF-2 $\alpha$  PAS-B domains within a DNA-bound HIF-2 heterodimer substantially modulates binding affinities of PAS-B binding compounds compared to the free PAS-B domains, as assayed by MST with a fluorescein label attached to the 5' end of one strand of a 20 bp HRE duplex. (C) Incorporation of the PAS-B domains within a DNA-bound HIF-2 dimer of heterodimers further changes PAS-B ligand binding affinities, as detected with fluorescein label attached to the 5' end of one strand of a 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS duplex.

- 407 found that KG-548 cannot bind the HIF-2 dimer-of-heterodimers complex (Fig. 4C), which has
- 408 the ARNT PAS-B domains seemingly locked into place as they form key protein/protein
- 409 interactions between heterodimers. We observed KG-279 binding to both HIF-2 bound to 20 bp
- 410 HRE DNA fragments (Fig. 4B) and HIF-2 dimer-of-heterodimers on 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS DNA
- 411 fragments (Fig. 4C). Though binding to a single heterodimer would be expected due to observed
- 412 flexibility of the ARNT PAS-B domain, binding to the larger dimer-of-heterodimers suggests the
- 413 ARNT PAS-B internal cavity is accessible regardless of quaternary structure.

414	In contrast, we were unable to robustly observe binding in MST for compound 2 or
415	PT2385 to the HIF-2 heterodimer on 20 bp HRE or 51 bp HRE/HAS DNAs (Fig. 4B,4C). These
416	compounds bind to the internal cavities of the HIF-2 $\alpha$ PAS-B domain, suggesting that the
417	pathways needed to access these cavities from solvent are blocked by heterodimerizing HIF-2 $\alpha$
418	and ARNT on a DNA template (45). This is especially intriguing since both compound 2 and
419	PT2385 disrupt HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT heterodimerization in cells (7), suggesting that this binds
420	HIF-2 $\alpha$ prior to forming stable complexes on DNA.
421	
422	TACC3 interacts with loops of both HIF-α and ARNT subunits
423	Though we can assemble dimer-of-heterodimers complexes on HRE/HAS DNA
424	fragments, we understand not all HIF-driven promoters contain both binding motifs. Therefore,
425	on alternative gene promoters, we suspect that HIFs may form varying higher-order complexes
426	with other parts of the transcriptional regulatory machinery. One well studied candidate for HIF
427	binding partners are the CCCs. While CCCs are clearly involved in transcriptional initiation from
428	HIF-driven promoters, and that this is mediated by direct interaction between HIF and CCC
429	proteins, the location of such interaction remains unclear. NMR and other biophysical data from
430	our lab suggested that CCCs bind to isolated ARNT PAS-B domains at a site (2, 15, 39)
431	inconsistent with subsequently-determined crystal (9) and cryo-EM (vide infra) structures of
432	larger HIF-2/HRE complexes.
433	To begin addressing this incompatibility, we sought to characterize larger HIF-2
434	complexes bound to CCCs. We purified C-terminal fragments of two CCCs, CoCoA and TACC3
435	(14, 15), confirmed that these were dimeric and coiled coil (Fig. S10A,B), and used MST to
436	measure the binding of these fragments to isolated ARNT PAS-B domains. We observed

437	micromolar affinities for these interaction, $K_d$ 80 $\mu M$ for CoCoA and 3 $\mu M$ for TACC3 (Fig.
438	5A), consistent with prior results (14, 15). Repeating these measurements with the same CCC
439	fragments binding to HRE-bound HIF-2 complexes, however, showed $K_d \sim 10 \ \mu M$ interactions of
440	both coactivators with HIF-2 (Fig. 5B), showing the involvement of additional regions outside of
441	ARNT PAS-B in the HIF-2 $\alpha$ /ARNT heterodimer.
442	To obtain more structural insight into CCC/HIF-2 interactions, we added a TACC3
443	fragment (residues 758-838) to cryo-EM samples of HIF-2 bound to 20 bp HRE fragments. 2D
444	classes of this complex failed to resolve the HIF-2 protein domains, instead showing blurred
445	circular densities with "stick-like" objects protruding from them, which are of the right
446	dimensions to be TACC3 (Fig. S10C). Notably, of these classes showed a bend or break in the
447	stick-like density we attribute to TACC3, suggesting flexibility or dynamics within the
448	coactivator. This hypothesis was supported by a crystal structure of TACC3(758-838) (Fig. 5C,
449	S10D), which had low quality electron density and high B-factors for most residues N-terminal
450	of Lys788 (Fig. 5C, Fig. S10D), consistent with the break point seen in the cryo-EM classes.
451	Given this, we made a shorter TACC3(788-838) fragment ("shTACC3") which we utilized for
452	our subsequent biochemical and structural analyses. MST binding analysis of shTACC3
453	fragment titrated into HIF-2/HRE complex showed a 26 $\mu$ M affinity (Fig. 5C), slightly
454	weakened from the longer 758-838 construct.





Figure 5. Coiled-coil coactivator binding to HIF heterodimers. (A, B) MST measurements of C-terminal fragments of CoCoA(407-535) and TACC3(758-838) binding to the (A) isolated ARNT PAS-B domains and (B) HIF-2α-ARNT heterodimers (HIF-2) bound to 20 bp HRE fragments. The two highest points of the hCoCoA to HIF-2 binding curve showed evidence of aggregation and were removed. TACC3 binding to HIF-2 showed a decrease at high concentration, suggesting a second binding mode or aggregation. (C) A truncated TACC3(788-838, "shTACC3") was designed based on our TACC3(758-838) crystal structure showing highly elevated Bfactors and poor electron density in residues 758-788. This CCC fragment bound a 20 bp HRE-bound HIF-2 with a minimal affinity drop from the longer TACC3 ( $K_d 26 \mu M$  by MST), and without the secondary binding mode seen for longer fragments to HIF-2. (D) 2D classes of shTACC3 bound to 20 bp HRE-bound HIF-2 showed visible core domains with excess blurred density extending out of the central region. (E) Ab initio reconstruction of 20 bp HRE-bound HIF-2 bound to shTACC3. HIF-2 fit into the volume without major rearrangement, leaving excess density along the ARNT PAS-B/HIF-2a PAS-A interface for most of shTACC3 to be fit. (F) Schematic of interactions between TACC3(788-838) and HIF-2 shows three PAS domains interacting with the TACC3 coiled coil. Residues indicated on TACC3 originate from either chain. (G) Cryo-EM of HIF-1 bound to the longer TACC3(758-858) fragment showed similar excess density as HIF-2/TACC3(788-838), consistent with TACC3 binding similarly to HIF-1 and HIF-2. TACC3 residues 793-838 were confidently fit into the excess density for both HIF-1 and HIF-2. (H) HDX-MS deuterium uptake plots showed several HIF-2 $\alpha$  and ARNT loops with increased protection from solvent in the presence of TACC3. (I) Mapping peptides with TACC3-induced HDX changes onto shTACC3-bound HIF-2 model (increased protection in green) showed these protected loops along the proposed TACC3 binding interface from cryo-EM.

26

457

458	Having confirmed in vitro binding on shTACC3 to HIF-2/HRE complexes, we collected
459	a cryo-EM dataset to obtain structural information on this activator/coactivator complex. Using
460	3,320,000 particles from this dataset, we proceeded with 2D classification and observed classes
461	with visible core domains of HIF-2 as well as blurred excess density which we attributed to
462	shTACC3 (Fig. 5D). Ab initio reconstruction from 222,000 particles led to a low resolution cryo-
463	EM density map (Fig. S11) in which we were able to loosely fit our cryo-EM structure of HIF-2
464	bound to 20 bp HRE. This fitting left additional unoccupied density alongside the ARNT PAS-B
465	and HIF-2 $\alpha$ PAS-A domains (Fig. 5E). Into this region, we fit an AlphaFold structure of the C-
466	terminal 46 residues of shTACC3 as a dimeric coiled coil (Fig. S5C) with the C-termini facing
467	the ARNT PAS-B domain, as suggested by our prior work (14, 15). This density became more
468	diffuse towards the shTACC3 N-termini, consistent with the 2D class averages of longer
469	TACC3/HIF-2 complexes and our crystal structure. This structural model places shTACC3
470	adjacent to the HIF-2 PAS domains, suggesting shTACC3 interacts via charge/charge and
471	hydrophobic interactions with HIF-2 through unresolved loops in the ARNT PAS-B and HIF-2 $\alpha$
472	PAS-A domains (Fig. 5F) and explaining the differences in affinity we observed for TACC3
473	binding to ARNT PAS-B and larger HIF-2 complexes. Parallel cryo-EM studies of complexes of
474	the longer TACC3(758-838) bound to HIF-1/HRE showed TACC3-associated density in a
475	comparable spot relative to the HIF heterodimer, suggesting comparable binding interfaces
476	between the two isoforms (Fig. 5G).
477	While these independent observations of a common TACC3 binding site despite
478	differences in HIF- $\alpha$ isoform and TACC3 construct bolstered our confidence in their validity, we

479 sought independent confirmation from HDX-MS on HIF-2/HRE complexes in the absence and

480	presence of shTACC3. Comparing these data, we identified several HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT peptides
481	with notably less deuteration in the presence of shTACC3, most of which were along the
482	TACC3-binding interfaces seen in cryo-EM. Integrating the cryo-EM and HDX-MS results, we
483	found four loops in the proposed CCC binding interface supported by both methods (Fig. 5H,I):
484	ARNT PAS-A/B linker (357-366), HIF-2α PAS-A Fα/Gβ loop (157-163), HIF-2α PAS-A Hβ/Iβ
485	loop (201-207) and HIF-2 $\alpha$ PAS-B C-terminal loop (346-355). We also observed several HIF-2 $\alpha$
486	peptides with TACC3-induced protection from HDX exchange at sites remote from the proposed
487	binding site, suggesting long-range stabilization of the HIF- $2\alpha$ /ARNT heterodimer upon CCC
488	binding (Fig. 5I).
489	As previously mentioned, we were unable to see TACC3 binding to the HIF-2 dimer-of-
490	heterodimers via cryo-EM, despite the presence of the CCCs on the grids. We performed
491	microscale thermophoresis to further probe interactions between TACC3 and the HIF-2 dimer-
492	of-heterodimers complex, but were again unable to see binding, prompting us to believe TACC3
493	cannot bind the larger complexes. Notably, the HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT loops we saw as critical for
494	binding appear to be unobstructed in the HIF-2 dimer-of-heterodimers complex, leading us to
495	suspect that differences in the dynamics (such as those suggested by differences in cryo-EM
496	local resolution) may contribute to this finding.
497	
498	Discussion
499	HIFs have been implicated in specific types of cancer and the progression of
500	tumorigenesis, making them a target for anticancer therapeutics (16, 17, 25, 26). Successfully
501	developing such drugs, including the HIF-2 $\alpha$ inhibitor belzutifan, required a mix of approaches
502	applied to reductionist models of HIF- $\alpha$ and ARNT components ranging from isolated PAS

28

503 domains to DNA-bound single bHLH/PAS heterodimers. While these approaches were 504 obviously successful at informing both basic science and applications of HIF biology, they left 505 unexplained prior findings in three areas – larger DNAs, small molecule binding, and CCC 506 binding – which we advance here. 507 The formation of higher-ordered HIF complexes was first suggested by Semenza and 508 Wang alongside their discovery of HIF-driven gene expression, and has been recently bolstered 509 by cellular and biochemical work from Rosell-Garcia et al. demonstrating that HRE or HAS 510 mutations within HIF-driven promoters drastically reduce HIF activity in cells, similar to our 511 results in Fig. 3G (13, 30, 46). Using a split-enzyme reconstitution assay, these authors also 512 nicely demonstrate the likely formation of DoHD complexes in cells. Taken together, HIF-driven 513 transcription clearly occurs from HRE-only or HRE/HAS-containing promoter/enhancer 514 sequences, with likely different dependencies on concentrations of HIF- $\alpha$  and ARNT subunits. 515 Rosell-Garcia et al. proposed that larger HIF complexes would form through interactions 516 between ARNT PAS-B and bHLH domains of interacting heterodimers, as suggested through 517 HIF crystal contacts. Such an arrangement places the two sets of bHLH DNA binding domains 518 relatively far from each other, requiring substantial distortion to bind a single DNA containing 519 HRE and HAS boxes. 520 In contrast, our DoHD complex places two HIF heterodimers in a symmetric arrangement

via the ARNT PAS-B β-sheet surfaces, with two sets of DNA binding domains ideally placed to
contact HRE and HAS boxes with the optimal 8 bp spacer, and not ones with shorter or longer
separations (13). Notably, little rearrangement of the HIF heterodimer is required to assemble
these larger dimer-of-heterodimer assemblies, in stark contrast to CLOCK/BMAL1: Higherorder assemblies of HIF do not substantially alter HIF heterodimer structure upon dimerization,

526	while CLOCK/BMAL1 heterodimers undergo a dramatic 90° intramolecular pivot as they go
527	from isolated heterodimers to a nucleosome-bound complex (Fig. S12). Our model also explains
528	mutation data from Rosell-Garcia showing that intact ARNT PAS-B domains are required for
529	higher-order HIF complexes to form in vitro or in cells. Further, the high conservation of the
530	ARNT PAS-B domain, including residues involved in DoHD interactions, through vertebrates
531	suggests that such complexes are likely to be found across a wide variety of vertebrates (Fig.
532	8C). As such, we suggest that our dimer-of-heterodimers model best explains a variety of
533	biochemical and molecular data on interactions and functional importance of these higher-order
534	HIF structures.
535	Categorization of different promoters with HRE-only or HRE/HAS combinations reveals
536	that the latter group are largely involved in cell proliferation, metabolism and angiogenesis,
537	while HRE-only containing genes share these functions along with others including transcription
538	regulation, pH regulation, signaling and drug resistance (3, 44). HIFs have about a 2-3-fold
539	higher affinity for the HRE-box alone in comparison to the HRE/HAS-boxes together (13),
540	suggesting a dose dependence in which the formation of higher-ordered complexes, and
541	therefore the expression of HRE-only or HRE/HAS-containing genes, depends upon HIF
542	concentration (Fig. 6). This is supported by data from transfection-based control of HIF levels
543	for cells grown in culture (13), but yet to be demonstrated in vivo or with natural or artificial
544	regulators of HIF complexes.
545	The dimer-of-heterodimers concept also strongly suggests the potential for mixed HIF-
546	1/HIF-2 complexes controlling transcription as well as more canonical HIF-1/HIF-1 or HIF-
547	2/HIF-2. Since we have determined both HIF-1 and HIF-2 can form mixed dimer-of-heterodimer
548	complexes together on the same DNA fragment, the two isoforms likely engage in crosstalk to

30



Figure 6. Proposed promoter-dependent formation of higher-order HIF and their downstream effects. (A) Under hypoxic conditions, we propose HIFs form different complexes based on the sequence of the promoter/enhancer region of the gene of interest. For HRE-only containing genes, we propose HIFs form a single heterodimer on the HRE-box, recruiting other components such as CCCs. For genes containing an HRE-box followed by an 8 bp spacer and an HAS-box, we propose that HIFs instead form a dimer-of-heterodimers. We suggest the specification of which genes are activated by HIF are dose dependent, in that lower cellular levels of HIF will activate HRE-only genes while higher cellular levels of HIF will activate HRE/HAS containing genes. Additionally, HIF- $\alpha$  can form noncanonical complexes with alternative class II bHLH-PAS proteins, including BMAL1, as well as mixed HIF-1/HIF-2 dimer-of-heterodimer complexes, each under varying conditions. We suggest that the similar quaternary structure of the HIF-2 $\alpha$ -BMAL1 complex lends itself to the potential formation of dimer-of-heterodimers structures, though this remains to be experimentally validated.

549 regulate overlapping gene expression. Mixed dimer-of-heterodimer formation may also serve as

- a mechanism of rescue in cases where either HIF isoform is depleted, either through natural or
- artificial means (*e.g.* belzutifan inhibition of HIF-2). Rescue of either HIF isoform has been seen
- in cases where HIF-1 is inactivated, HIF-2 activity will increase to compensate (47, 48); we
- 553 propose that part of this mechanism may be in the substitution of heterodimers in dimer-of-
- beterodimer complexes. This raises the potential of other bHLH/PAS heterodimers to join in

555	higher order complexes. Recent cryo-EM of HIF-2/BMAL1 adopts a similar heterodimer dimer
556	structure as HIF-2/ARNT, and exposes a similar surface on the BMAL1 PAS-B as used in
557	ARNT PAS-B (49), raising the potential of other mixed higher-order complexes (Fig. 6).
558	Support for this concept is provided by a sequence alignment of the human ARNT,
559	BMAL1 and ARNT2 PAS-B domains, showing that while few residues along the DoHD
560	interface are conserved, though three such conserved sites, E362, Q414, and R440, are important
561	to DoHD formation (Fig 3E,F;Fig. S8A,B). With this, we suggest that dimer-of-heterodimer
562	formation may plausibly include other bHLH-PAS transcription factors provided that they adopt
563	a similar quaternary structure to HIF. For example, CLOCK-BMAL1 heterodimer structures do
564	not appear to be compatible with joining HIF/ARNT heterodimers based on existing structures,
565	although some evidence of CLOCK/BMAL1 higher order complexes have been seen in
566	structural studies of nucleosome-associated structures (28, 50).
567	Switching from the self-assembly of HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT to their ability to bind small
568	molecule ligands, our data clearly indicates that this binding interaction heavily depends on the
569	nature of the HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT assemblies. We have previously found a slate of small
570	molecules which can bind the isolated HIF-2 $\alpha$ or ARNT PAS-B domains (e.g. compound 2 (7),
571	PT2385 (8), KG-548 (1, 2), KG-279 (1, 2)) as assessed by NMR, ITC, or MST. Some of these
572	compounds do not appear capable of binding DNA-bound HIF-2 $\alpha$ -ARNT heterodimers on either
573	the short 20 bp HRE-only or longer 51 bp HRE-HAS constructs. This result suggests that the
574	internal PAS-B cavities are inaccessible to solvent in these higher-order assemblies, likely to due
575	to PAS/PAS interactions. Of note, the HIF-2 $\alpha$ PAS-B binding compound 2 and PT2385 (7, 8)
576	inhibit HIF-2 driven transcription in cells, suggesting that the cavity is still accessible under
577	certain conditions. We suggest that this may happen in DNA-free HIF-2 $\alpha$ /ARNT heterodimers,

578	as supported by the crystal structure of PT2385, a belzutifan precursor, bound to the HIF-2
579	heterodimer without any DNA present (24). This implies that belzutifan-type compounds may
580	need to inhibit HIF-2 $\alpha$ -ARNT dimerization <i>before</i> DNA binding, which in turn stabilizes the
581	complex enough to prevent inhibitor binding or complex dissociation.
582	Notably, not all small molecule compounds we tested were incapable of interacting with
583	DNA-bound HIF-2 $\alpha$ -ARNT heterodimers. Our examinations of KG-548, a small molecule that
584	binds the beta-sheet surface of ARNT PAS-B, shows that it clearly binds single HIF-2 $\alpha$ -ARNT
585	heterodimers bound to a short HRE-only DNA, despite the fact that its binding surface on ARNT
586	PAS-B is occluded in both our cryo-EM and prior crystallographic structures of these complexes
587	(1, 9). We view this result as strongly suggesting the ARNT PAS-B domain having more
588	flexibility than evident in these static structures, as supported by the relatively low local
589	resolution of this domain in the single heterodimer/DNA complex and our observation of 2D
590	classes where the ARNT PAS-B seems to have detached from the result of the heterodimer.
591	More broadly, studies of other bHLH/PAS transcription factors show that the ARNT PAS-B
592	domain (or its analog in BMAL1) adopt a very diverse set of conformations with respect to
593	different Class I heterodimerization partners (HIF- $\alpha$ , AHR, NPAS, CLOCK), supporting this
594	hypothesis (9, 29, 51, 52). Notably, the dimer-of-heterodimers HIF- $2\alpha$ /ARNT structure, which
595	involves reciprocal interactions between ARNT PAS-B domains on adjacent heterodimers, is
596	incapable of binding as expected from squelching this inherent flexibility. Intriguingly, the fact
597	that KG-279, an ARNT PAS-B cavity binder, bound to both the HIF-2 heterodimer and dimer-
598	of-heterodimer complexes implies that rearrangement is not totally restricted as necessary
599	conformational changes needed to allow small molecules into the ARNT PAS-B cavity can still
600	occur, even in the larger dimer-of-heterodimers (1).

33

601	Finally, our work here sheds some much needed light on the nature of interactions
602	between CCCs and the HIF- $\alpha$ /ARNT heterodimers. While past work established the importance
603	of these interactions in HIF-driven transcriptional activation via ARNT (14, 40, 53-57), prior
604	work from our lab and others led to different, and conflicting, views as to the structural basis of
605	activator/coactivator recruitment (2, 9, 15, 39). Our complexes here of HIF-1 and HIF-2 bound to
606	different TACC3 fragments resolve this dilemma, showing a conserved interaction between the
607	C-terminus of TACC3 with ARNT PAS-B. Additional interactions are indicated by cryo-EM
608	(and HDX-MS for HIF-2), showing the involvement of loops on the HIF- $\alpha$ subunit. Notably, the
609	HDX-MS data shows a clear stabilization of the ARNT PAS-A/PAS-B linker upon TACC3
610	binding; a similar stabilization has been observed with a HIF-2 binding small molecule activator
611	(24), raising the question about similar activation modes despite the differences in activator
612	binding to the HIF heterodimer.
613	We close by underscoring that structural biology – like all experimental methods – has its
614	fundamental strengths and weaknesses. Within HIF biology, the strengths are clear as evidenced
615	by mechanistic understanding of how natural O2 regulation is achieved, how disease-associated
616	disruptions have their effects, and how small molecules can be used therapeutically to target
617	those diseases. However, we equally see reminders that single structures of complexes, while
618	extremely useful on their own, may not entirely represent protein assemblies in their true
619	functional contexts, due either to experimental choice (e.g. selection of proteins, DNAs, small
620	molecules) or experimental liabilities (e.g. presence or absence of protein dynamics). With
621	additional HIF interacting partners to be discovered in genomic and broader contexts (28, 58),

622 there is more to discover.

#### 34

#### 624 Materials and Methods

#### 625 Cloning and Expression of HIF Complexes

- 626 6xHis-tagged wildtype human HIF-2 $\alpha$  (residues 5-361) or HIF-1 $\alpha$  (residues 4-361) and untagged
- human ARNT (residues 91-470) were cloned into a pETDuet-1 vector. HIF-α (6xHis-tagged)
- and ARNT were coexpressed in BL21-CodonPlus (DE3) E. coli (Agilent) in Lysogeny Broth
- 629 with 0.1 mg/mL ampicillin and 0.034 mg/mL chloramphenicol. Cells were grown at 37°C and
- 630 induced with 0.5 M isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) upon reaching an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.8-
- 631 1.0, then brought to 18°C to induce overnight (16-18 hr). Cells were harvested by spinning at
- 4°C and 4658xg for 30 min, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 300 mM
- 633 NaCl, 3 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (BME), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.1
- 634 mg/mL lysozyme and 0.01 U/mL benzonase nuclease), flash frozen and stored at -80°C.

635

#### 636 Cloning and Expression of Coiled-Coil Coactivators

637 Wildtype human TACC3 (residues 758-838 or residues 788-838 [shTACC3]) or wildtype human

638 CoCoA (residues 407-535) were cloned into a pHis-GB1 vector. CCCs were then expressed in

639 BL21 (DE3) E. coli (Agilent) in Lysogeny Broth with 0.1 mg/mL ampicillin. Cells were grown

640 at 37°C and induced with 0.5 M isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) upon reaching an

- $OD_{600}$  of 0.8-1.0, then brought to 18°C to induce overnight (16-18 hr). Cells were harvested by
- spinning at 4°C and 4658xg for 30 min, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150
- 643 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF 0.1 mg/mL lysozyme and 0.01 U/mL benzonase nuclease), flash frozen

644 and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

645

#### 646 **Purification of HIFa-ARNT Heterodimers**

647	Frozen HIF-1 or HIF-2 cell pellets were thawed, lysed by sonication and centrifuged at 47850xg
648	for 45 min. HIF- $\alpha$ -ARNT was purified using an AKTA Püre (Cytiva) by flowing lysate over a 5
649	mL HisTrap HP (Cytiva), followed by wash buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 300 mM NaCl, 20
650	mM imidazole, 2 mM BME) with stepwise increasing concentrations of imidazole (20-260 mM)
651	to remove nonspecifically bound protein. 6xHis-tagged HIF- $\alpha$ (bound to ARNT) was eluted with
652	elution buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, 2 mM BME), mixed
653	with about a 20x molar excess of annealed DNA (20 bp, 51 bp BS1 or 52 bp BS2, IDT) and 5
654	mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> and allowed to bind for at least one hour at 4°C. Protein was then concentrated about
655	10x by dialyzing (3.5 kDa MWCO dialysis tubing, ThermoFisher) against dry polyethylene
656	glycol 35000. HRE-bound HIF- $\alpha$ -ARNT complexes were then further purified through a
657	Superdex 200 increase 10/300 analytical size exclusion column (Cytiva) with the final analytical
658	buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 250 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ). Protein samples were used
659	immediately or stored at 4°C for a maximum of 48 hr.
660	20 bp HRE: GGCTGCGTACGTGCGGGTCGT
661	51 bp BS1 HRE:
662	AGGGGTGGAGGGGGCTGGGCCCTACGTGCTGTCTCACACAGCCTGTCTGAC
663	52 bp BS2 HRE:
664	TGGGCCCTACGTGCTGTCTCACACAGCCTGTCTGACCTCTCGACCCTACCGG
665	
666	Purification of Coiled-Coil Coactivators
667	Frozen cell pellets were thawed, lysed by sonication and centrifuged at 47850xg for 45 min.
668	TACC3 or CoCoA were purified using an AKTA Püre (Cytiva) by flowing lysate over a 5 mL
669	HisTrap HP (Cytiva), followed by wash buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM

36

670	imidazole) with stepwise increasing concentrations of imidazole (39-106 mM) to remove
671	nonspecifically bound protein. 6xHis-tagged-GB1-CCC (TACC3 or CoCoA) was eluted with
672	elution buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole) and diluted 1:10 in
673	TEV buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 0.1 mM EDTA) with a 3:1000 addition of TEV protease to
674	cleave the His and GB1-tags from the protein. Cleaved tags were then removed by flowing the
675	protein over a 5 mL HisTrap HP to separate them from the protein of interest. CCC-containing
676	fractions were then concentrated about 15x using a 10 kDa MWCO Millipore centrifugal filter
677	unit (Sigma-Aldrich) at 1200xg and 4°C. Concentrated protein was further purified through a
678	Superdex 200 increase 16/40 HiScale size exclusion column (Cytiva) with the final analytical
679	buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ). Protein samples were used
680	immediately or stored at 4°C for a maximum of 7 days.
681	
682	Purified HIF complexes bound to carboxyfluorescein (FAM) labeled HRE (IDT) were diluted to
683	a final concentration of $1\mu M$ fluorophore, with an addition of 0.05% tween-20. A serial dilution
684	of ligand was generated in ligand buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ,
685	2-4% DMSO), with concentrations depending on the identity of the ligand. MST samples were
686	generated as a 1:1 (v/v) mix of HIF complex (fixed 500 nM final concentration) to each
687	concentration of the ligand serial dilution. Samples were drawn into standard MST capillaries
688	(NanoTemper) and loaded into a Monolith NT.115 (NanoTemper) for binding analyses. Data

689 were obtained using MO.Control (NanoTemper) and analyzed using PALMIST and GUSSI (59).

690

691 Cryogenic Electron Microscopy

37

692	Purified HIF complexes were concentrated to a final concentration of 10-20 $\mu M$ (1-2 mg/mL)
693	using 10 kDa Pierce Concentrators (ThermoFisher) at 4°C and 1000xg. TACC3 (residues 788-
694	838) was mixed with the HIF-2 complexes to a final concentration of 70-150 $\mu M$ and allowed to
695	bind for ~20 min on ice. 3.5 $\mu L$ of sample was applied to Quantifoil Au 300 R1.2/1.3 with
696	Ultrathin Carbon EM grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and plunge frozen using a Vitrobot 3
697	(ThermoFisher) at 100% humidity and 4°C. Frozen grids were then analyzed at the New York
698	Structural Biology Center (NYSBC) Members Electron Microscopy Center (MEMC) using a
699	TFS Titan Krios transmission electron microscope (ThermoFisher). Micrographs were collected
700	at a pixel size of 0.844 Å/px at an exposure rate of 58.94 $e^{-}/Å^{2}/frame$ with a defocus range of 0.8-
701	$2.0 \ \mu\text{m}$ . Particles were picked from micrographs and extracted to a box size of $400x400$ pixels
702	using Warp (60) and analyzed using CryoSPARC (41). Particles were typically sorted through
703	iterative rounds of 2D classification, ab initio reconstruction, and heterogeneous, homogenous
704	and non-uniform refinement.

705

#### 706 Crystallization and Crystallographic Structure Determination of TACC3(758-838)

707 12.5 mg/mL of purified human TACC3(758-838) and 9.3 mg/mL of human ARNT PAS-B(356-470) were mixed in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 5% glycerol 708 709 crystallized in 1 M imidazole, pH 7 and 50% (v/v) MPD via the sitting-drop vapor diffusion 710 method. The condition was found via high-throughput screening using NeXtal Protein Complex 711 sparse matrix crystallization screen (NeXtal Technologies; condition H9, the drop contained 712 equal volumes of protein solution and mother liquor). Crystals were harvested directly from the 713 screen and cryoprotected with LV CryoOil (MiTeGen) and flash-cooled in liquid N2 prior to data collection. Data were collected at the National Synchrotron Light Source II on the AMX (17-ID-714

715	1) beamline at Brookhaven National Laboratory. Data were processed using the autoPROC tool
716	box (61) resulting in a 2.28 Å data set. While electron density for ARNT PAS-B was not
717	observed, sufficient density for TACC3 residues 780-836 was visible to allow the structure to be
718	determined by molecular replacement with Phaser (62) using PDB entry 4PKY (chains B and C)
719	as the starting search model. Several cycles of refinement were conducted using Coot (63) and
720	Phenix.refine (64). Data collection, processing and refinement statistics are provided in Table
721	S2. Coordinates for TACC3(758-838) have been deposited in the RCSB with accession number
722	90PF, including residues 780-836 on one chain and 782-836 on the other.
723	
724	Structural modeling of HIF complexes
725	AlphaFold-generated structures were flexibly fit into refined cryo-EM density maps using
726	ChimeraX (65) and ISOLDE (66) to apply distance restraints. For the HIF-2 dimer of
727	heterodimers, we flexibly fit our cryo-EM structure of human HIF-2 into the obtained cryo-EM
728	density. Structures were then further refined using PHENIX (64) to perform global real space
729	refinement and Coot (63) to perform atomic refinement and remove unresolved regions.
730	Structural validation was performed using MolProbity and comprehensive validation in PHENIX
731	(64). For HIF-1 and HIF-2/TACC3 complexes, shTACC3 fragments were fit into corresponding
732	cryo-EM maps (with the HIF complex docked and fixed) using the Dock in Map function of
733	PHENIX (64). Coordinates for HIF-1 and HIF-2 complexes were deposited with RCSB under
734	the following accession numbers: 9OF0 (HIF-2 heterodimer/HRE), 9OF2 (HIF-2 dimer of
735	heterodimers/BS1 HRE+HAS), 9OFU (HIF-1 dimer of heterodimers/BS2 HRE+HAS).
736	Coordinates for models of TACC3/HIF-1/HRE and TACC3/HIF-2/HRE complexes are

39

737	deposited at PDB-IHM under the accession numbers 4-KM1G (TACC3/HIF-1) and 4-KM1E
738	(TACC3/HIF-2).
739	
740	Size Exclusion Chromatography Coupled with Multi-Angle Light Scattering (SEC-MALS)
741	Purified HIF complexes were concentrated to a final concentration of 15-20 $\mu$ M (1-2 mg/mL)
742	using 10 kDa Pierce Concentrators (ThermoFisher) at 4°C and 1000xg. Samples were then
743	filtered through an Ultrafree 0.1 $\mu m$ centrifugal filter (Millipore) at 4°C and 1000xg. 500 $\mu L$ of
744	sample were passed through a Superdex 200 10/300 size exclusion column (Cytiva) with the HIF
745	analytical buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 250 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ) before passing through
746	a DAWN HELEOS II MALS detector and an Optilab T-rEX Refractive Index Detector
747	(Wyatt/Waters) using a P-920/UPC-900 AKTA FPLC System (Cytiva). SEC-MALS data was
748	obtained and analyzed using ASTRA (Wyatt/Waters) and plotted in R.
749	
750	Size Exclusion Chromatography for HIF-1/HIF-2 Complex Determination
751	Human HIF-1 $\alpha$ (residues 4-361) and ARNT (residues 91-470) were cloned into a pHis-MBP

vector (67) and expressed as detailed above for HIF-complexes. MBP-tagged HIF-1 and HIF-2

were separately lysed through sonication and centrifuged for 45 min at 47850xg and 4°C.

Lysates were then combined and purified together using an AKTA Püre (Cytiva) by flowing

755 lysate over a 5 mL HisTrap HP (Cytiva), followed by wash buffer (50 mM HEPES, 300 mM

NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 2 mM BME) with stepwise increasing concentrations of imidazole (20

mM, 68 mM, 164 mM, 260 mM) to remove nonspecifically bound protein. Mixed proteins were

then eluted with elution buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, 2

mM BME), then mixed with about a 20x molar excess of 51 bp BS1 HRE, 51 bp BS1 HRE with

760	a mutated HRE-box, or 51 bp BS1 HRE with a mutated HAS-box (IDT) and 5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> and
761	allowed to bind at 4°C overnight (10-16 hr). Protein was then concentrated about 10x by
762	dialyzing (3.5 kDa MWCO dialysis tubing, ThermoFisher) against dry polyethylene glycol
763	35000. DNA-bound MBP-HIF-1/HIF-2 complexes were further purified through a Superdex 200
764	increase 16/40 HiScale (Cytiva) with the final analytical buffer (50 mM HEPES, (pH 7.4) 250
765	mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ) to separate complexes via size exclusion chromatography. Complex
766	components were then visualized using gel electrophoresis. SEC profiles were plotted in R.
767	
768	Mutant HRE-box BS1 HRE+HAS:
769	AGGGGTGGAGGGGGGCTGttaaaTgatatCTGTCTCACACAGCCTGTCTGAC
770	Mutant HAS-box BS1 HRE+HAS:
771	AGGGGTGGAGGGGGCTGGGGCCCTACGTGCTGTCgatatgtcgaCtTCTGAC
772	
773	Hydrogen Deuterium Exchange Coupled with Mass Spectrometry (HDX-MS)
774	Purified 20 bp HRE-bound HIF-2 was incubated with shTACC3 for one hour in about a 3:1
775	molar ratio of TACC3 to HIF-2 (74 $\mu M$ shTACC3 and 24 $\mu M$ HIF-2) or an equivalent volume of
776	TACC3 buffer (50 mM HEPES, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ). Using a LEAP HDX Automation
777	Platform (Trajan Automation), the protein mixture was deuterated in D <sub>2</sub> O buffer (50 mM
778	HEPES, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> , solvated in 100% D <sub>2</sub> O) for varying timepoints (0 s, 50 s,
779	300 s, 1000 s, 3000 s, 5000 s) after which the deuterated protein mixture was mixed with quench
780	buffer (3 M guanidinium HCl, 3% acetonitrile, 1% formic acid) at 0°C. Samples were then
781	loaded onto a Waters Enzymate BEH Pepsin Column for proteolysis followed by a C18
782	analytical column (Hypersil Gold, 50 mm length $\times$ 1 mm diameter, 1.9 $\mu m$ particle size,

783	ThermoFisher Scientific) before being loaded onto a maXis-II ETD ESI-qTOF mass
784	spectrometer (Bruker) for fragment mass analysis. Timepoints both with and without TACC3
785	present were completed in triplicate. Raw HDX data was analyzed using Bruker Compass Data
786	Analysis 5.3 and Biotools 3.2 software and further analyzed with HDexaminer (Sierra
787	Analytics/Trajan Automation) to calculate relative exchange rates before being plotted in R.
788	
789	Reporter assays
790	Luciferase reporter assays were performed with transiently transfected HEK293T cells. Cells
791	were plated onto 48-well plates at 100,000 cells/well. Twenty-four hours later, cells were
792	transfected in triplicate with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Each well received 33 ng reporter
793	plasmid under control of wild-type or mutant human 3' erythropoietin (Epo) enhancer fused to a
794	firefly luciferase cassette (pGL3-Basic, Promega), 200 ng empty expression plasmid (pIRES-
795	hrGFP-2a, Stratagene), and 100 ng plasmid expressing oxygen insensitive "PPN" HIF-1 $\alpha$ or
796	HIF-2 $\alpha$ variants, which contain mutations in two proline and one asparagine residue that are
797	normally subject to O2-dependent post-translational modifications (68). At 24 hr post-
798	transfection, cells were rinsed one time with PBS (ThermoFisher), followed by lysis with 50 $\mu$ L
799	core luciferase buffer (30 mM tricine (pH 7.8), 8 mM magnesium acetate, 0.2 mM EDTA, 1%
800	Triton X-100, and 100 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). Luciferase assays were performed by adding 50
801	$\mu L$ substrate buffer (core buffer supplemented with 2.5 mM MgCl_2, 1.5 mM ATP, 0.5 mM
802	coenzyme A, and 0.5 mM D-luciferin) to 10 $\mu$ L cell lysate and immediately reading
803	luminescence on a CLARIOstar Plus plate reader (BMG Labtech). Long oligos with Nhe I and
804	Xho I restriction sites at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively, were used to position the 3' human Epo

- 805 enhancer upstream of a minimal mouse Epo promoter. Sequences of the 3' human Epo enhancer
- 806 sequences used in this study are indicated below (mutations and restriction sites in lowercase):
- 807
- 808 <u>WT:</u>
- 809 gctagcAGATCTGGGAAACGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCCCTACGTGCTGTCTCACA
- 810 CAGCCTGTCTGACCTCTCGACCCTACCGGGCCTGAGGCCACAAGCTCTGCCTACGCT
- 811 GGTCAATAAGGTGGCTCCATTCAAGGCCTCACCGCAGTAAGGCAGCTGCCAACCCTc
- 812 gag
- 813
- 814 <u>GC-rich mutant:</u>
- 815 gctagcAGATCTGGGAAACGAGGctaGaAttcctCTGGGCCCTACGTGCTGTCTCACACAGCC
- 816 TGTCTGACCTCTCGACCCTACCGGGCCTGAGGCCACAAGCTCTGCCTACGCTGGTCA
- 817 ATAAGGTGGCTCCATTCAAGGCCTCACCGCAGTAAGGCAGCTGCCAACCCTcgag
- 818
- 819 <u>HRE mutant:</u>
- 821 TGTCTGACCTCTCGACCCTACCGGGCCTGAGGCCACAAGCTCTGCCTACGCTGGTCA
- 822 ATAAGGTGGCTCCATTCAAGGCCTCACCGCAGTAAGGCAGCTGCCAACCCTcgag
- 823
- 824 HAS mutant:
- 825 gctagcAGATCTGGGAAACGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCCCTACGTGCTGTCgatatgtcg
- 826 aCtTCTGACCTCTCGACCCTACCGGGCCTGAGGCCACAAGCTCTGCCTACGCTGGTCA
- 827 ATAAGGTGGCTCCATTCAAGGCCTCACCGCAGTAAGGCAGCTGCCAACCCTcgag

43

828

#### 829 Acknowledgements

- 830 We thank Dan Lee and Julia Simon for technical assistance, Soumendu Boral and other members
- 831 of the Gardner laboratory as well as Rick Bruick, Uttam Tambar, Qing Zhang, and Derek Tan for
- helpful discussions. This work was supported by NIH grants U54 CA132378 and U54
- 833 CA137788 (to K.H.G.), Mathers Foundation grant MF-2112-02288 (to K.H.G. and J.A.G.), and
- funds from the Department of Veterans Affairs I01BX000446 (to J.A.G.). Cryo-EM data
- 835 collection was performed at the Members Electron Microscopy Center at the New York
- 836 Structural Biology Center, with major support from the Simons Foundation (SF349247). This
- research used the AMX and FMX beamlines of the National Synchrotron Light Source II, a U.S.
- 838 Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of
- 839 Science by Brookhaven National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-SC0012704.
- 840

#### 841 Figure Legends

842 Figure 1. Schematic of HIF pathway regulation and components. (A) Under normoxic 843 conditions, HIF- $\alpha$  is inactivated in the cytosol through parallel oxygen-dependent posttranslational hydroxylations of residues in the protein C-terminus. These include a proline-844 845 directed mechanism (HIF-1a: Pro402 and 562, HIF-2a: Pro405 and 531) which leads to the 846 recruitment of the von Hippel-Lindau E3 ubiquitin ligase, leading to poly-ubiquitination and 847 proteosomal degradation (3, 4). A second route focuses on an asparagine residue (HIF-1a: 848 Asn803, HIF-2a: Asn851) in the C-terminal transactivation domain (CTAD), preventing 849 recruitment of CBP/p300 coactivators essential for HIF-driven transcriptional activation (5, 6). 850 Under hypoxic conditions, neither of these HIF- $\alpha$  hydroxylations occur, allowing the fully 851 functional protein to accumulate, translocate into to the nucleus, and heterodimerizes with 852 ARNT. The HIF-α-ARNT complex then associates with specific HRE sequences in the promoter 853 or enhancer regions of oxygen-dependent genes and recruits coactivators, leading to 854 transcriptional activation. (B) Schematic depicting the domain arrangements of human HIF-2a 855 and ARNT, as well as indicating which domains interact with HRE DNA as well as CCC- and 856 CBP/p300-type transcriptional coactivators. 857

#### 858 Figure 2. Cryo-EM structural analysis of human HIF-2 bound to 20 bp HRE fragments.

(A) Cryo-EM 2D classes of HIF-2 complexes, with individual PAS and bHLH domains and

860 DNA visible. (B) Some 2D classes of HIF-2 complexes showed either an apparent ARNT PAS-

861 B detached from the rest of the HIF-2a-ARNT core (left) or destabilized bHLH domains lacking

bound DNA (right). (C) 3.61 Å EM map (262,466 particles, 13.3% of all collected particles) was

- generated from refinement of the most populated *ab initio* volume into which an AlphaFold 2
- 864 model of human HIF-2 was fit. (D) Overlay of the mouse HIF-2 crystal structure (PDB code:
- 4ZPK, (9)) and human HIF-2 cryo-EM structure show highly similar domain architectures. (E)
- 866 Local resolutions mapped onto the HIF-2 cryo-EM density map show a region of particularly
- 867 low local resolution/high flexibility in the ARNT PAS-B (Fα-helix, Gβ-strand, Hβ strand)
  868 domain and bHLH domains.
- 869

#### 870 Figure 3. Structural and biochemical analyses of HIF dimer-of-heterodimer complexes. (A)

By a construction and biochemical analyses of Hir anner of heteroanner complexes. (A
 2D cryo-EM class averages from analyses of data collected on HIF-2α-ARNT heterodimers
 bound to 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS DNA fragments, showing markedly different (and often, more

symmetrical) arrangements than comparable 2D class averages of HIF-2 bound to 20 bp HRE
DNAs. (B) A 3.74 Å EM map (164,352 particles, 25.0% of all collected particles) was generated

- 875 from refinement of the most populated *ab initio* volume into which two individually assembled
- 876 human HIF-2 heterodimers were fit, along with the corresponding 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS DNA
- 877 fragment. (C) Local resolution data mapped onto the HIF-2 dimer-of-heterodimers cryo-EM map
- showed that the ARNT PAS-B F $\alpha$ -helix and G $\beta$ -strand were higher relative resolution than in
- corresponding sections of the 20 bp HRE-bound HIF-2 EM map, suggestive of ordering between
  the heterodimer and dimer-of-heterodimer complexes. (D) The 3.90 Å cryo-EM map (71,802
- particles, 27.3% of all collected particles) of HIF-1 bound to a 52 bp BS2 HRE/HAS DNA
- fragment showed a highly similar domain arrangement to HIF-2, though the ARNT PAS-A
- domains were less resolved. (E) Highlighted residues were suspected to form interactions
- between the opposing ARNT PAS-B domains in the HIF-2 supercomplex (basic residues in blue,
   acidic residues in red, uncharged residues in magenta) (F) SEC-MALS data showed expected
- differences in the molecular weights of HIF-2 on 20 bp HRE DNA fragments (96.7±6.3 kDa)
- and 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS DNA fragments (214.0±14.1 kDa), but revealed an intermediate value
- 888 (144.0 $\pm$ 6.9 kDa) for a HIF-2 variant with mutations in four key ARNT PAS-B F $\alpha$ -helix and G $\beta$ -
- strand residues which are at the ARNT/ARNT interface essential to the dimer-of-heterodimers
- 890 complex. (G) Luciferase reporter assays containing the wildtype (WT) or mutant versions of the 891 human EPO enhancer with changes in the upstream GC-rich region (GC-rich-mut), HRE (HRE-

muthan Er o enhancer with enanges in the upsteam Ge-field region (Ge-field-indi), fire (fire) mut), or HAS (HAS-mut) site were performed in HEK293T cells in conjunction with ectopic

expression of oxygen-independent (PPN) HIF-1a or HIF-2a. Empty pIRES vector expression

894 was measured to ensure empty vector would not contribute to reporter response. Mutations to

either the HRE or HAS site substantially blunted reporter induction by either PPN HIF-1 $\alpha$  and

896 PPN HIF-2α.

898	Figure 4. Contextual differences impact small molecule binding to ARNT and HIF-a PAS-
899	B domains. (A) Known small molecule binders of isolated ARNT (KG-548 (1, 2), KG-279 (1,
900	2)) and HIF-2a PAS-B (compound 2 (7), PT2385 (8)) domains are shown along with their
901	literature affinities for the specific PAS-B targets, obtained by microscale thermophoresis, ITC,
902	and NMR. (B) Inclusion of the ARNT and HIF-2a PAS-B domains within a DNA-bound HIF-2
903	heterodimer substantially modulates binding affinities of PAS-B binding compounds compared
904	to the free PAS-B domains, as assayed by MST with a fluorescein label attached to the 5' end of
905	one strand of a 20 bp HRE duplex. (C) Incorporation of the PAS-B domains within a DNA-
906	bound HIF-2 dimer of heterodimers further changes PAS-B ligand binding affinities, as detected
907	with fluorescein label attached to the 5' end of one strand of a 51 bp BS1 HRE/HAS duplex.
908	
909	Figure 5. Coiled-coil coactivator binding to HIF heterodimers. (A, B) MST measurements of
910	C-terminal fragments of CoCoA(407-535) and TACC3(758-838) binding to the (A) isolated
911	ARNT PAS-B domains and (B) HIF-2α-ARNT heterodimers (HIF-2) bound to 20 bp HRE
912	fragments. The two highest points of the hCoCoA to HIF-2 binding curve showed evidence of
913	aggregation and were removed. TACC3 binding to HIF-2 showed a decrease at high
914	concentration, suggesting a second binding mode or aggregation. (C) A truncated TACC3(788-
915	838, "shTACC3") was designed based on our TACC3(758-838) crystal structure showing highly
916	elevated B-factors and poor electron density in residues 758-788. This CCC fragment bound a 20
917	bp HRE-bound HIF-2 with a minimal affinity drop from the longer TACC3 (K <sub>d</sub> 26 $\mu$ M by MST),
918	and without the secondary binding mode seen for longer fragments to HIF-2. (D) 2D classes of
919	shTACC3 bound to 20 bp HRE-bound HIF-2 showed visible core domains with excess blurred
920	density extending out of the central region. (E) Ab initio reconstruction of 20 bp HRE-bound
921	HIF-2 bound to shTACC3. HIF-2 fit into the volume without major rearrangement, leaving
922	excess density along the ARNT PAS-B/HIF-2 $\alpha$ PAS-A interface for most of shTACC3 to be fit.
923	(F) Schematic of interactions between TACC3(788-838) and HIF-2 shows three PAS domains
924	interacting with the TACC3 coiled coil. Residues indicated on TACC3 originate from either
925	chain. (G) Cryo-EM of HIF-1 bound to the longer TACC3(758-858) fragment showed similar
926	excess density as HIF-2/TACC3(788-838), consistent with TACC3 binding similarly to HIF-1
927	and HIF-2. TACC3 residues 793-838 were confidently fit into the excess density for both HIF-1
928	and HIF-2. (H) HDX-MS deuterium uptake plots showed several HIF-2 $\alpha$ and ARNT loops with
929	increased protection from solvent in the presence of TACC3. (I) Mapping peptides with TACC3-
930	induced HDX changes onto shTACC3-bound HIF-2 model (increased protection in green)
931	showed these protected loops along the proposed TACC3 binding interface from cryo-EM.
932	
933	Figure 6. Proposed promoter-dependent formation of higher-order HIF and their
934	downstream effects. (A) Under hypoxic conditions, we propose HIFs form different complexes
935	based on the sequence of the promoter/enhancer region of the gene of interest. For HRE-only
936	containing genes, we propose HIFs form a single heterodimer on the HRE-box, recruiting other

937 components such as CCCs. For genes containing an HRE-box followed by an 8 bp spacer and an

- HAS-box, we propose that HIFs instead form a dimer-of-heterodimers. We suggest the
- 939 specification of which genes are activated by HIF are dose dependent, in that lower cellular
- 940 levels of HIF will activate HRE-only genes while higher cellular levels of HIF will activate
- 941 HRE/HAS containing genes. Additionally, HIF-α can form noncanonical complexes with
- alternative class II bHLH-PAS proteins, including BMAL1, as well as mixed HIF-1/HIF-2
- 943 dimer-of-heterodimer complexes, each under varying conditions. We suggest that the similar
- 944 quaternary structure of the HIF-2 $\alpha$ -BMAL1 complex lends itself to the potential formation of
- 945 dimer-of-heterodimers structures, though this remains to be experimentally validated.
- 946

#### 

### **References**

948	1.	X. Xu et al., Identification of Small Molecule Ligand Binding Sites On and In the ARNT PAS-B
949		Domain. Journal of Biological Chemistry 300, 107606 (2024).
950	2.	Y. Guo et al., Regulating the ARNT/TACC3 axis: Multiple approaches to manipulating
951		protein/protein interactions with small molecules. ACS chemical biology 8, 626-635 (2013).
952	3.	G. L. Semenza, Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) pathway. Science's STKE: signal
953		transduction knowledge environment 2007, cm8 (2007).
954	4.	M. Strowitzki, E. Cummins, C. Taylor, Protein Hydroxylation by Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF)
955		Hydroxylases: Unique or Ubiquitous? Cells 8, 384 (2019).
956	5.	D. Lando et al., FIH-1 is an asparaginyl hydroxylase enzyme that regulates the transcriptional
957		activity of hypoxia-inducible factor. Genes & Development 16, 1466-1471 (2002).
958	6.	D. Lando, D. J. Peet, D. A. Whelan, J. J. Gorman, M. L. Whitelaw, Asparagine Hydroxylation of
959		the HIF Transactivation Domain: A Hypoxic Switch. Science 295, 858-861 (2002).
960	7.	T. H. Scheuermann et al., Allosteric Inhibition of Hypoxia Inducible Factor-2 with Small
961		Molecules. Nature chemical biology 9, 271-276 (2013).
962	8.	P. M. Wehn <i>et al.</i> , Design and Activity of Specific Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-2α (HIF-2α)
963		Inhibitors for the Treatment of Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma: Discovery of Clinical Candidate
964		(S)-3-((2,2-Difluoro-1-hydroxy-7-(methylsulfonyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-4-yl)oxy)-5-
965		fluorobenzonitrile (PT2385). Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 61, 9691-9721 (2018).
966	9.	D. Wu, N. Potluri, J. Lu, Y. Kim, F. Rastinejad, Structural integration in hypoxia-inducible
967		factors. <i>Nature</i> <b>524</b> , 303-308 (2015).
968	10.	D. C. Bersten, A. E. Sullivan, D. J. Peet, M. L. Whitelaw, bHLH-PAS proteins in cancer. Nature
969		Reviews. Cancer 13, 827-841 (2013).
970	11.	N. Albadari, S. Deng, W. Li, The transcriptional factors HIF-1 and HIF-2 and their novel
971		inhibitors in cancer therapy. Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery 14, 667-682 (2019).
972	12.	S. Kaluz, M. Kaluzová, E. J. Stanbridge, Regulation of gene expression by hypoxia: integration
973		of the HIF-transduced hypoxic signal at the hypoxia-responsive element. <i>Clinica chimica acta;</i>
974		international journal of clinical chemistry <b>395</b> , 6-13 (2008).
975	13.	T. Rosell-Garcia et al., Multimerization of HIF enhances transcription of target genes containing
976		the hypoxia ancillary sequence. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory
977		Mechanisms 1866, 194963 (2023).
978	14.	C. L. Partch, K. H. Gardner, Coactivators necessary for transcriptional output of the hypoxia
979		inducible factor, HIF, are directly recruited by ARNT PAS-B. Proceedings of the National
980		Academy of Sciences 108, 7739-7744 (2011).
981	15.	Y. Guo, T. H. Scheuermann, C. L. Partch, D. R. Tomchick, K. H. Gardner, Coiled-coil
982		Coactivators Play a Structural Role Mediating Interactions in Hypoxia-inducible Factor
983		Heterodimerization. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 290, 7707-7721 (2015).
984	16.	J. C. Jun, A. Rathore, H. Younas, D. Gilkes, V. Y. Polotsky, Hypoxia-Inducible Factors and
985		Cancer. Current sleep medicine reports 3, 1-10 (2017).
986	17.	S. Mazumder, P. J. Higgins, R. Samarakoon, Downstream Targets of VHL/HIF-α Signaling in
987		Renal Clear Cell Carcinoma Progression: Mechanisms and Therapeutic Relevance. Cancers 15,
988		1316 (2023).
989	18.	E. E. Wicks, G. L. Semenza, Hypoxia-inducible factors: cancer progression and clinical
990		translation. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 132, e159839.
991	19.	CJ. Hu, LY. Wang, L. A. Chodosh, B. Keith, M. C. Simon, Differential roles of hypoxia-
992		inducible factor 1alpha (HIF-1alpha) and HIF-2alpha in hypoxic gene regulation. Molecular and
993		<i>Cellular Biology</i> <b>23</b> , 9361-9374 (2003).
994	20.	E. B. Rankin et al., Hypoxia-inducible factor-2 (HIF-2) regulates hepatic erythropoietin in vivo.
995		The Journal of Clinical Investigation 117, 1068-1077 (2007).

996 997 998	21.	S. Hara, J. Hamada, C. Kobayashi, Y. Kondo, N. Imura, Expression and Characterization of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF)-3α in Human Kidney: Suppression of HIF-Mediated Gene Expression by HIF-3α. <i>Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications</i> <b>287</b> , 808-813
999	22	(2001). A. M. Fala et al. Unsetumeted fatter eside es high officiter liser de af the C. terminal Dan ADNT.
1000	22.	A. M. Fala <i>et al.</i> , Unsaturated fatty acids as high-affinity figands of the C-terminal Per-ARN1-
1001	22	7 i Liu G. L. Somenze, H. f. Zhang, Hunovia inducible factor 1 and broast concernationality
1002	23.	ZJ. Liu, G. L. Semenza, HI. Zhang, Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 and breast cancer metastasis.
1003	24	D Wu et al. Didirectional Modulation of HIE 2 Activity through Chemical Liganda Nature
1004	24.	<i>chemical biology</i> <b>15</b> , 267, 276 (2010)
1005	25	E Jonesch at al. Belzutifen for Penel Cell Caroinome in von Hinnel Lindeu Diseese. Nau
1000	23.	E. Johasch et ut., Deizuthan for Kenar Cen Carchionia in von Impper–Lindau Disease. New
1007	26	T K Choueiri <i>et al.</i> Inhibition of hypoxia inducible factor 2a in renal cell carcinoma with
1008	20.	helzutifan: a phase 1 trial and biomarker analysis. <i>Natura medicina</i> <b>27</b> , 802,805 (2021)
1007	27	X Diag <i>et al.</i> Identification of cleovlethanolamide as an endogenous ligand for HIE 3g. Nature
1010	27.	Communications 13, 2529 (2022)
1011	28	W Ruan et al. BMALL HIE2A beterodimer modulates circadian variations of myocardial
1012	20.	injury Nature 641 1017-1028 (2025)
1013	29	N Huang et al. Crystal Structure of the Heterodimeric CLOCK BMAL 1 Transcriptional
1015	2).	Activator Complex Science (New York NY) 337 189-194 (2012)
1015	30	G I Semenza G I Wang A nuclear factor induced by hypoxia via de novo protein synthesis
1017	50.	binds to the human erythropoietin gene enhancer at a site required for transcriptional activation
1017		Molecular and Cellular Riology 12, 5447-5454 (1992)
1010	31	B I Fhert H F Bunn Regulation of Transcription by Hypoxia Reguires a Multiprotein
1012	51.	Complex That Includes Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 an Adjacent Transcription Factor and
1020		p300/CREB Binding Protein Molecular and Cellular Biology 18 4089-4096 (1998)
1022	32	H. Kimura <i>et al.</i> Identification of Hypoxia-inducible Factor 1 Ancillary Sequence and Its
1023	52.	Function in Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Gene Induction by Hypoxia and Nitric Oxide *
1024		Journal of Biological Chemistry <b>276</b> , 2292-2298 (2001).
1025	33	F Corrêa J Key B Kuhlman K H Gardner Computational Repacking of HIF-2α Cavity
1026	55.	Replaces Water-Based Stabilized Core. <i>Structure</i> <b>24</b> , 1918-1927 (2016)
1027	34	X Ren X Diao I Zhuang D Wu Structural basis for the allosteric inhibition of hypoxia-
1028	511	inducible factor (HIF)-2 by belzutifan. <i>Molecular Pharmacology</i> 10,1124/molpharm.122.000525.
1029		MOLPHARM-AR-2022-000525 (2022).
1030	35.	T. H. Scheuermann <i>et al.</i> , Artificial ligand binding within the HIF2 $\alpha$ PAS-B domain of the HIF2
1031		transcription factor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences <b>106</b> , 450-455 (2009).
1032	36.	S. A. Dames, M. Martinez-Yamout, R. N. De Guzman, H. J. Dyson, P. E. Wright, Structural basis
1033		for Hif-1a/CBP recognition in the cellular hypoxic response. <i>Proceedings of the National</i>
1034		Academy of Sciences 99, 5271-5276 (2002).
1035	37.	T. V. Beischlag et al., Recruitment of Thyroid Hormone Receptor/Retinoblastoma-interacting
1036		Protein 230 by the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Nuclear Translocator Is Required for the
1037		Transcriptional Response to Both Dioxin and Hypoxia *. Journal of Biological Chemistry 279,
1038		54620-54628 (2004).
1039	38.	M. P. Labrecque et al., A TRIP230-Retinoblastoma Protein Complex Regulates Hypoxia-
1040		Inducible Factor-1a-Mediated Transcription and Cancer Cell Invasion. PLOS ONE 9, e99214
1041		(2014).
1042	39.	C. L. Partch, P. B. Card, C. A. Amezcua, K. H. Gardner, Molecular Basis of Coiled Coil
1043		Coactivator Recruitment by the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Nuclear Translocator (ARNT)*.
1044		Journal of Biological Chemistry 284, 15184-15192 (2009).
1045	40.	J. H. Kim, M. R. Stallcup, Role of the Coiled-coil Coactivator (CoCoA) in Aryl Hydrocarbon
1046		Receptor-mediated Transcription*. Journal of Biological Chemistry 279, 49842-49848 (2004).

1047	41	A Device LL Deliver D LE1 & M A Device server (DADC) at a side of formation
104/	41.	A. Punjani, J. L. Rubinstein, D. J. Fleet, M. A. Brubaker, cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid
1048	40	unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. <i>Nature Methods</i> 14, 290-296 (2017).
1049	42.	A. Punjani, H. Zhang, D. J. Fleet, Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization improves
1050	4.0	single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. <i>Nature Methods</i> 17, 1214-1221 (2020).
1051	43.	H. R. López-Mirabal, J. R. Winther, Redox characteristics of the eukaryotic cytosol. <i>Biochimica</i>
1052		<i>Et Biophysica Acta</i> <b>1783</b> , 629-640 (2008).
1053	44.	V. L. Dengler, M. Galbraith, J. M. Espinosa, Transcriptional Regulation by Hypoxia Inducible
1054		Factors. <i>Critical reviews in biochemistry and molecular biology</i> <b>49</b> , 1-15 (2014).
1055	45.	D. Gagné et al., Use of High Pressure NMR Spectroscopy to Rapidly Identify Proteins with
1056		Internal Ligand-Binding Voids. 10.1101/2020.08.25.267195 (2023).
1057	46.	G. L. Wang, G. L. Semenza, General involvement of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 in transcriptional
1058		response to hypoxia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 90, 4304-4308 (1993).
1059	47.	J. Contenti et al., HIF-1 inactivation empowers HIF-2 to drive hypoxia adaptation in aggressive
1060		forms of medulloblastoma. Cell Death Discovery 10 (2024).
1061	48.	M. Y. Koh, G. Powis, Passing the baton: the HIF switch. <i>Trends in Biochemical Sciences</i> <b>37</b> ,
1062		364-372 (2012).
1063	49.	R. M. Mello <i>et al.</i> (2024) BMAL1-HIF2α heterodimers contribute to ccRCC. (Cold Spring
1064		Harbor Laboratory).
1065	50.	A. K. Michael <i>et al.</i> , Cooperation between bHLH transcription factors and histories for DNA
1066		access. <i>Nature</i> <b>619</b> , 385-393 (2023).
1067	51.	D. Wu, X. Su, N. Potluri, Y. Kim, F. Rastineiad, NPAS1-ARNT and NPAS3-ARNT crystal
1068	•	structures implicate the bHLH-PAS family as multi-ligand binding transcription factors. <i>eLife</i> 5.
1069		e18790
1070	52	X Diao <i>et al</i> Structural basis for the ligand-dependent activation of heterodimeric AHR-ARNT
1071	52.	complex Nature Communications 16 (2025)
1072	53	I H Kim H Li M R Stallcun CoCoA a Nuclear Receptor Coactivator which Acts through an
1073	55.	N-Terminal Activation Domain of n160 Coactivators Molecular Cell 12 1537-1549 (2003)
1074	54	I H Kim C K Vang M R Stalleun Downstream signaling mechanism of the C-terminal
1075	54.	activation domain of transcriptional coactivator CoCoA Nucleic Acids Research 34 2736-2750
1076		(2006)
1077	55	L H Nahm <i>et al.</i> Transforming acidic coiled-coil-containing protein 3 (TACC3) overexpression
1078	55.	in henotocellular carcinomas is associated with "stempess" and enithelial mesonchymal
1070		transition related marker expression and a poor prognosis Tumour Riology: The Journal of the
1075		International Society for Oncodevalormental Biology and Medicine 37, 303 403 (2016)
1080	56	V. L. Wan at al. Transforming acidia acida acid protain 2: a neural marker for differential
1081	50.	diagnosis and prognosis prediction in endocervicel adenocercinoma. <i>Molecular Medicine</i> <b>27</b> , 60
1082		(2021)
1005	57	(2021). C. I. Dartah, K. II. Candran, Cooptivator recentitment: A new role for DAS domains in
1004	57.	C. L. Parton, K. H. Gardner, Coactivator recruitment: A new role for PAS domains in transprintional regulation by the LILLU DAS family. <i>Journal of Callular Divisiology</i> <b>222</b> , 552, 557
1085		(2010)
1080	<b>5</b> 0	(2010). $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{N} +$
108/	58.	R. Missiaen, N. P. Lesner, M. C. Simon, HIF: a master regulator of nutrient availability and
1088	50	metabolic cross-talk in the tumor microenvironment. <i>The EMBO Journal</i> 42 (2023).
1089	59.	1. H. Scheuermann, S. B. Padrick, K. H. Gardner, C. A. Brautigam, On the acquisition and
1090	(0)	analysis of microscale thermophoresis data. Analytical Biochemistry 496, 79-93 (2016).
1091	60.	D. Tegunov, P. Cramer, Real-time cryo-electron microscopy data preprocessing with Warp.
1092	(1	Nature Methods 16, 1146-1152 (2019).
1093	61.	C. Vonrhein <i>et al.</i> , Data processing and analysis with the autoPROC toolbox. <i>Acta</i>
1094	(2)	Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography 67, 293-302 (2011).
1095	62.	A. J. McCoy <i>et al.</i> , Phaser crystallographic software. <i>Journal of Applied Crystallography</i> <b>40</b> ,
1096		658-674 (2007).

1097	63.	P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of Coot. <i>Acta</i>
1098		Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography <b>66</b> , 486-501 (2010).
1099	64.	D. Liebschner <i>et al.</i> , Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and
1100		electrons: recent developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Structural Biology
1101		<b>75</b> , 861-877 (2019).
1102	65.	E. C. Meng et al., UCSF ChimeraX: Tools for structure building and analysis. Protein Science
1103		<b>32</b> , e4792 (2023).
1104	66.	T. I. Croll, ISOLDE: a physically realistic environment for model building into low-resolution
1105		electron-density maps. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Structural Biology 74, 519-530 (2018).
1106	67.	P. Sheffield, S. Garrard, Z. Derewenda, Overcoming Expression and Purification Problems of
1107		RhoGDI Using a Family of "Parallel" Expression Vectors. Protein Expression and Purification
1108		15, 34-39 (1999).
1109	68.	E. M. Dioum <i>et al.</i> , Regulation of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 2α Signaling by the Stress-
1110		Responsive Deacetylase Sirtuin 1. Science 324, 1289-1293 (2009).
1111		









HIF-2α ARNT 20-bp HRE





























class II bHLH-PAS protein