

Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls and conditioned pain modulation: a shared neurobiology within the descending pain inhibitory system?

Laura Sirucek^{a,b,*}, Robert Philip Ganley^c, Hanns Ulrich Zeilhofer^{b,c,d}, Petra Schweinhardt^a

1. Introduction

Descending pain inhibition is a key component of physiological and pathological pain processing.⁷⁰ Various neurotransmitter systems underlie different descending pain inhibitory pathways. Their anatomical and functional details have recently been revealed; thanks to new techniques that allow circuit tracing (virus-based tracing)^{18,38} and circuit manipulation (chemogenetics and optogenetics)^{93,95} with unprecedented precision.^{37,47} Translation, however, remains challenging because the application of optogenetics and chemogenetics in humans faces substantial hurdles and because the more traditional pharmacological approaches would require that descending pain inhibition in humans and experimental animals involves the same transmitters and receptors. Prime examples of experimental paradigms assessing descending pain modulation in humans and animals are conditioned pain modulation (CPM) and diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC), respectively. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls are measured as the inhibition of second-order wide dynamic range neurons (WDRs) by the application of a noxious stimulus outside the receptive field of the recorded neuron.⁶⁰ In CPM paradigms, this “pain-inhibits-pain” effect is assessed via the modulation of the perceived pain intensity caused by a noxious test stimulus by another noxious heterotopically applied “conditioning” stimulus.⁹⁸ Yet, few CPM studies offer mechanistic insights, making direct comparisons between DNIC and CPM mechanisms challenging. This topical review outlines preclinical evidence how various neurotransmitter systems contribute to descending pain inhibition and highlights those systems likely involved in DNIC. Indications for similar neurochemical processes in human CPM studies are discussed

and synthesized with preclinical evidence, outlining gaps to be addressed by future studies.

2. Neurotransmitter systems involved in descending pain inhibition

The descending system engages various neurotransmitters to mediate antinociception in the spinal cord, including monoamines (mainly noradrenaline and serotonin). Antinociceptive effects of noradrenaline and spinal α 2-adrenergic receptors are well-established.^{8,97} The principal source of spinal noradrenaline is the locus coeruleus, and electrical/optogenetic stimulation of this brainstem area reduces pain sensitivity.^{45,63} Chemogenetic activation of locus coeruleus' spinal projections reduces thermal sensitivity.⁴⁷ An antinociceptive function of serotonin has been demonstrated in some forms of descending inhibition, including stress-induced analgesia.¹⁰⁰ However, serotonin facilitates nociception in neuropathic pain models and direct optogenetic activation of serotonergic neurons in the ventral hind brain produces hypersensitivity.^{16,94} Together, these results indicate both an inhibitory and a facilitatory role of serotonin in descending pain modulation, depending on the site of release and receptors engaged.⁹

Descending pain control also involves the opioidergic system, and microinjection of opioids into brain hubs of the descending pain inhibitory system, ie, the periaqueductal grey (PAG) and the rostroventromedial medulla (RVM), produces analgesia.⁵⁶ Although opioid receptors are distributed throughout the central nervous system (CNS), the strong analgesic effect of exogenous opioids is presumably mediated via descending pathways.^{5,24} The opioid antagonist naloxone inhibits stimulation-produced analgesia,^{1,19} supporting the importance of opioid signaling in endogenous pain inhibition. Enkephalin-containing descending projections from the RVM to the spinal cord produce analgesia upon activation, and enkephalin-containing spinal interneurons exist.^{37,101} This indicates that exogenous and endogenous opioids can mediate pain inhibition at many levels of the pain axis.

Cannabinoids have also been linked to descending pain modulation. Although CB1 and CB2 receptors are found in the dorsal horn and spinal cannabinoid action reduces nociception,⁸² cannabinoids also exert antinociceptive effects via supraspinal sites.^{48,53,56,71} Endocannabinoids are involved in stress-induced analgesia through engagement of the PAG, and injection of cannabinoids into the PAG produces hypoalgesia,^{48,62} making this area likely a main site of cannabinoid-mediated analgesia.

Finally, as principal mediators of fast inhibitory neurotransmission, γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glycine are involved in numerous endogenous pain inhibitory processes^{2,35} and likely interact with the descending pain inhibitory system at all levels of the CNS neuraxis.

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

^a Department of Chiropractic Medicine, Integrative Spinal Research Group, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ^b Neuroscience Center Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ^c Institute for Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ^d Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

*Corresponding author. Address: Integrative Spinal Research, Balgrist Campus, Lengghalde 5, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland. Tel.: +41 44 510 7352. E-mail address: laura.sirucek@balgrist.ch (L. Sirucek).

PAIN 164 (2023) 463–468

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the International Association for the Study of Pain. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002719>

3. Which of these neurotransmitter systems play a role in diffuse noxious inhibitory controls?

Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls are mediated via descending pain inhibitory pathways.^{6,10,22,56} Lesioning experiments have identified key CNS regions for DNIC, such as the ipsilateral dorsolateral funiculus and the medullary subnucleus reticulospinalis.^{13,91} Of the neurotransmitter systems involved in descending pain inhibition, many affect DNIC, including noradrenaline and serotonin.^{23,30} Noradrenergic and serotonergic neurons are rapidly activated by nociceptive stimuli, consistent with a role in DNIC.⁶⁵ Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls are prevented upon spinal delivery of $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptor antagonists, indicating that this specific receptor is a key mediator.⁸ Of clinical relevance, DNIC are reduced in rodent models of chronic pain involving an altered balance between descending inhibition and facilitation, which can be restored by spinal blockade of serotonergic descending facilitation or spinal inhibition of noradrenaline reuptake.⁸ Taken together, an involvement of noradrenaline and serotonin in DNIC is highly likely.

Besides the monoamines, endogenous opioid systems are likely involved in DNIC because systemic naloxone reduces the descending inhibition of WDRs by heterotopic noxious stimulation.⁵⁹ Further, enkephalin-like substance is released into the spinal cord upon noxious stimulation.²⁰ However, the precise involvement of opioids is complicated by observations that they inhibit DNIC when applied systemically.^{58,61} These findings suggest that DNIC are partly mediated by endogenous opioids, but that the precise region of their release affects DNIC expression. For example, antagonizing κ -opioid receptor signaling in the right amygdala restores⁷⁴ and prevents the loss of⁶⁸ behavioral DNIC responses in stress-induced or experimental neuropathic pain models, respectively. Enkephalin-expressing spinal interneurons are directly inhibited by RVM GABAergic neurons and are activated through disinhibition to reduce mechanical sensitivity.³⁷ However, this mechanism only affects one sensory modality and is unlikely to be involved in DNIC, which have polymodal effects.^{8,60}

Although endocannabinoids, GABA, and glycine mediate antinociception, their role in DNIC remains elusive. Spinal cannabinoid receptors exert a direct antinociceptive effect,⁸² but to mediate DNIC, endocannabinoids would need to be produced throughout the entire spinal cord because these transmitters are produced on demand,⁴² and DNIC globally inhibit spinal WDR firing. Roles of GABA and glycine in DNIC are difficult to assess pharmacologically because the fast inhibitory neurotransmitters are ubiquitous throughout the CNS.

4. Are these neurotransmitter systems similarly involved in conditioned pain modulation?

Analogous to DNIC, CPM depends on intact spinal and medullary structures because lesions in these areas impair CPM responses.^{12,28,80} The preclinical evidence described above suggests a role of noradrenaline, serotonin, and endogenous opioids in DNIC and similar neurotransmitter systems need to be involved in CPM to infer a common mechanistic basis in both phenomena.

In human studies, one of few methods allowing direct investigation of a neurotransmitter's contribution to CPM is pharmacological manipulation, particularly in healthy volunteers with a presumably intact endogenous pain modulation (literature summary in **Table 1**). Kucharczyk et al. summarized pharmacological manipulations of DNIC, supporting an impact of noradrenergic,

serotonergic, and opioidergic systems on DNIC.⁵⁴ In contrast to findings from animal experiments, pharmacological CPM studies question a critical role of noradrenaline in CPM. Systemic manipulations of $\alpha 2$ -,^{4,27,67} $\alpha 1$ -,²⁷ or β -adrenoceptors⁷³ did not affect CPM except the application of one particular selective $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptor agonist, ie, dexmedetomidine.⁴ In line with decreased DNIC after systemic administration in rats,⁸⁴ dexmedetomidine decreased CPM, supposedly because of a supraspinal effect of the $\alpha 2$ agonist inhibiting spinal noradrenaline release.¹⁷ The contradictory findings in other studies, eg, systemic administration of the $\alpha 1$ agonist phenylephrine inhibiting DNIC⁸⁴ but not CPM,²⁷ remain to be clarified. Of potential relevance are noradrenaline effects on the cardiovascular system,⁸⁷ which affects pain responses,¹⁴ including CPM.²¹ Pharmacologically induced cardiovascular changes will interact with any direct pharmacological effect on CPM—a process that may differ in DNIC using anaesthetized animals.

Another means to modulate noradrenergic neurotransmission are noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors. Neurotransmitter-specific interpretations of available CPM studies are not possible because the applied pharmacological agents either included opioid action⁶⁴ or serotonin reuptake inhibition.⁶⁹ Serotonin and noradrenaline reuptake blockade⁶⁹ increased CPM in agreement with DNIC involving monoamines.⁸ Whether this effect can be attributed to noradrenaline or serotonin remains to be disentangled.

Opioids have been extensively studied in human CPM studies using opioid receptor agonists^{3,36,61,64,69,86} or antagonists.^{15,33,36,40,44,52,72,85,96} By and large, the relevance of—exogenous and endogenous—opioids for CPM remains inconclusive. Predominantly, studies showed no effect of opioid receptor agonists^{36,69,86} or antagonists^{15,33,36,40,44,72,85} on CPM responses. The remaining results indicate reduced CPM after administration of opioid receptor agonists^{61,64} as well as antagonists,^{52,72,96} mirroring the conflicting results observed in DNIC described above. Increased CPM was observed only after prolonged (24–72 hours) administration of an opioid receptor agonist via transdermal patches.³ It is unclear whether the ambiguous observations are due to variations in drug dosages/administrations, differences in the applied CPM paradigms,^{49,76} varying affinities of the agents for different opioid receptor subtypes,⁷⁸ or else. Of note, akin to DNIC,^{68,74} there is evidence for a role of opioid signaling within the right amygdala for CPM because higher basal μ -opioid receptor availability was associated with greater CPM effects.⁷⁵

Investigations of cannabinoid function in CPM are similarly scarce as in DNIC. One study assessed CPM after exogenous administration of the cannabinoid nabilone, which had no effect.⁷⁹ It would be interesting whether interfering with the endocannabinoid system, eg, via antagonists, affected CPM.

The above-mentioned ubiquitous expression of GABA and glycine receptors in the CNS renders the examination of these neurotransmitters in pharmacological experiments difficult. A few human studies investigated how manipulating GABAergic inhibition affects CPM using nonselective^{55,92} or subtype-selective⁹⁰ positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) of GABA_A receptors. Conditioned pain modulation was not influenced by any of these compounds, suggesting that GABAergic neurotransmission is not key to CPM. No clinical data are available on glycine receptors because no glycine receptor modulators have so far been approved for use in humans.

For all neurotransmitters discussed, it needs to be considered that net zero effects on CPM after a systemic manipulation of a given system might reflect the sum of opposite nonzero effects. For example, GABA_A receptor PAMs might act simultaneously at DNIC-relevant sites with a GABA-mediated antinociceptive (eg,

Table 1
Summary of existing pharmacological conditioned pain modulation studies in healthy volunteers.

Neurotransmitter system	Pharmacology	Pharmacological agent	N	Route of administration	TS/CS	Agent's effect on CPM	Reference (first author + year)
Noradrenaline	α1 agonist	Phenylephrine	20	Intravenous	Contact heat/hot water bath	No effect	Dayan, ²⁷ 2018
	α2 agonist	Clonidine	20	Oral	Contact heat/hot water bath	No effect	Dayan, ²⁷ 2018
	α2 agonist	Clonidine	40	Oral	Contact heat/cold water bath	No effect	Nahman-Averbuch, ⁶⁷ 2016
	α2 agonist	Dexmedetomidine	10	Intravenous	Electrical/CO ₂ laser	Reduced CPM	Baba, ⁴ 2012
	α2 antagonist	Yohimbine	20	Oral	Contact heat/hot water bath	No effect	Dayan, ²⁷ 2018
	β-blocker	Propranolol	25	Oral	Pressure cuff/pressure cuff or cold water bath	No effect	Petersen, ⁷³ 2018
Opioids	μ-OR agonist	Fentanyl	22	Transdermal patch	Pressure/cold water bath	Increased CPM	Arendt-Nielsen, ³ 2012
	μ-OR agonist	Fentanyl	16	Intravenous	Electrical/cold water bath	No effect	Okkerse, ⁶⁹ 2017
	μ-OR agonist	Morphine	3 groups of 33/34	Intravenous	Contact heat/pressure cuff	No effect	France, ³⁶ 2016
	μ-OR agonist	Morphine	9	Intravenous	Electrical/hot water bath	Reduced CPM	Le Bars, ⁶¹ 1992
	μ-OR agonist	Morphine	12	Oral	Contact heat/cold water bath	Reduced CPM	Martini, ⁶⁴ 2015
	μ-OR agonist	Oxycodone	40	Oral	Contact heat/cold water bath	No effect	Suzan, ⁶⁶ 2013
	μ-OR agonist (partial), κ-OR antagonist	Buprenorphine	22	Transdermal patch	Pressure/cold water bath	Increased CPM	Arendt-Nielsen, ³ 2012
	μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	99	Intravenous	Contact heat/pressure cuff	No effect	Bruehl, ¹⁵ 2021
	μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	6	Intramuscular injection	Contact heat/cold water bath	No effect	Edwards, ³³ 2004
	μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	3 groups of 33/34	Intravenous	Contact heat/pressure cuff	No effect	France, ³⁶ 2016
	μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	15	Intravenous	Pressure and pinprick/intramuscular capsaicin	No effect	Graven-Nielsen, ⁴⁰ 2002
	μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	20	Subcutaneous injection	Pressure/pressure cuff	No effect	Hermans, ⁴⁴ 2018
	μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	6	Intravenous	Electrical/pressure cuff	No effect	Pertovaara, ⁷² 1982
	μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	5	Intravenous	Contact heat and cold/pressure cuff	Reduced CPM	
	μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	20	Intravenous	Heat/ice bags	No effect	Sprenger, ⁸⁵ 2011
μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naloxone	9	Intravenous	Electrical/hot water bath	Reduced CPM	Willer, ⁹⁶ 1990	
μ-, δ-, κ-OR antagonist	Naltrexone	33	Oral	Contact heat/cold water bath	Reduced CPM	King, ⁵² 2013	
GABA	Nonselective PAM of GABA _A receptors	Clobazam	16	Oral	Pressure/cold water bath	No effect	Vuilleumier, ⁹² 2013
	Nonselective PAM of GABA _A receptors	Clonazepam	16	Oral	Pressure/cold water bath	No effect	Vuilleumier, ⁹² 2013
	Nonselective PAM of GABA _A receptors	Lorazepam	20	Oral	Electrical/contact heat	No effect	Kunz, ⁵⁵ 2006
	Subunit-selective PAM of GABA _A receptors	PF-06372865	20	Oral	Electrical/cold water bath	No effect	Van Amerongen, ⁹⁰ 2019
	Synthetic cannabinoid	Nabilone	17	Oral	Contact heat/cold water bath	No effect	Redmond, ⁷⁹ 2008
Mixed	SNRI	Imipramine	16	Oral	Electrical/cold water bath	Increased CPM	Okkerse, ⁶⁹ 2017
	NRI + μ-OR agonist	Tapentadol	12	Oral	Contact heat/cold water bath	No effect	Martini, ⁶⁴ 2015

Literature was reviewed from inception to April 12, 2021 using PubMed and EMBASE databases. Only studies assessing effects after acute administration of pharmacological agents (not after treatment over multiple days) in healthy volunteers were reviewed. Pharmacological agents in all these studies were administered systemically. Studies combining CPM with other interventions (eg, transcranial stimulations) were not included. One study using naloxone⁵³ was not included as "opioid antagonist" study because naloxone was applied after morphine and the effects consequently mirror reversal of opioid agonism rather than pure opioid receptor blockade. CPM, conditioned pain modulation; CS, conditioning stimulus; GABA, γ-aminobutyric acid; NRI, noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor; OR, opioid receptor; PAM, positive allosteric modulator; SNRI, serotonin–noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor; TS, test stimulus.

the spinal dorsal horn³²) or pronociceptive function (eg, the RVM³¹).

5. Synthesis

Over the past decades, the knowledge about descending pain inhibitory controls in preclinical models has advanced considerably, including the function of distinct neurotransmitters. This knowledge might be translated to humans through experimental paradigms applicable across species, such as DNIC in rodents and CPM in humans. However, as highlighted throughout this review, further investigations are needed to clarify contradictory

results within both phenomena and to validate proposed commonalities in their underlying mechanisms.

One open question regarding the mechanisms of DNIC concerns the precise functional identities of WDRs.²⁹ It is not clear whether these are inhibitory or excitatory, local or projection neurons,⁵⁷ and they have not been assigned to any molecular classes of neurons defined using single-cell RNA sequencing.⁴³ It is further unclear how inhibition of WDRs, found within lamina V of the dorsal horn, translates into changes in pain perception because the nociceptive-specific region of the spinal cord is considered to be within superficial laminae.⁸⁹ The precise identities of descending fibers mediating DNIC are also elusive.

Descending pathways mediating DNIC would exhibit 4 features: (1) be engaged by noxious but not innocuous stimuli, (2) have whole-body receptive fields, (3) project directly to the spinal cord, and (4) be capable of suppressing WDR firing when activated.⁵⁷ Fundamentally, the exact mechanism of WDR inhibition is unknown and could involve direct postsynaptic inhibition, engagement of inhibitory spinal circuits, or presynaptic inhibition of the nociceptive afferent input onto WDRs.

Several recent technological developments could help address these questions. Neurochemical sensors can be used to assess the amount of neurotransmitter released in the spinal cord during heterotopic noxious stimuli to identify neurotransmitter systems involved in DNIC.⁷⁷ Retrograde viral tracing techniques can be used to introduce genetic material into neurons via their axon terminals in the spinal cord.^{47,88} Combined with cre-expressing mouse lines, retrograde transduction enables access to and functional manipulation of specific descending systems and can establish causal relationships between descending systems and DNIC through gain-of-function and loss-of-function experiments.^{37,101} Several related technologies for the capture and reactivation of neurons engaged in different behavioral pain states would facilitate their study and potentially identify novel CNS regions and neurons associated with DNIC.^{41,81,83}

Efforts to further advance the understanding of DNIC mechanisms should be paralleled by mechanistic investigations of the human counterpart, CPM. One major challenge is the sheer abundance of CPM methods hindering comparability, meta-analytical approaches, and generalizability. Current expert consensus recognizes the difficulty of defining a gold-standard CPM assessment because none of the available paradigms seems superior to others.⁹⁹ Settling on *one* gold-standard paradigm might even not be the optimal solution because distinct paradigms allow investigation of CPM effects on different nerve fiber classes, eg, deep vs superficial fibers. Developing standardized protocols for *multiple* test stimuli/conditioning stimuli combinations would improve comparability between studies. For mechanism-oriented studies, CPM paradigms would benefit from: (1) including a nonpainful control conditioning stimulus (SHAM) to disentangle real CPM effects from repeated-measures or antinociceptive SHAM effects,²⁶ (2) assessing parallel *and* sequential CPM effects to better understand the respective outcomes, and (3) monitoring cardiovascular changes, particularly when using the most frequently applied⁵⁰ conditioning stimulus, ie, a cold water bath, originally designed to assess cardiovascular reactivity.⁴⁶ Finally, CPM effects are mainly explained by interindividual differences other than age, sex, and conditioning stimulus intensity,³⁹ and their origin (eg, psychological or genetic) requires further investigation.

Advanced imaging methods and integrative approaches could improve the understanding of CPM mechanisms. For example, simultaneous functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brainstem and spinal cord¹¹ during a CPM paradigm can help to dissociate supraspinal vs spinal changes in response to CPM, particularly at higher spatial resolution provided by ultra-high field MRI at 7 T. Another perspective on dynamic neuronal processes is offered by functional MR spectroscopy (MRS)⁶⁶ and tracking glutamate or GABA alterations during CPM could elucidate associated excitatory/inhibitory processes. Combining functional MRI and functional MRS might allow examining interactions between neurochemical and neurophysiological processes,⁵¹ including those underlying CPM.

Integrating such advanced MR methods with pharmacological manipulations of specific neurotransmitter systems would clarify CPM processes in even more detail. More targeted pharmacological

manipulations are favorable for more specific mechanistic interpretation. Multimodal approaches are particularly warranted because behavioral outcomes of CPM are highly variable³⁴ and may lack sensitivity to detect subtle effects. For instance, alterations in neuronal processing detected by functional MRI during CPM after naloxone administration were not reflected in recorded behavioral outcomes.⁸⁵

Finally, DNIC- and CPM-specific knowledge can be ultimately synthesized in studies applying uniform experimental designs in rodents and humans. Besides identical test and conditioning stimuli,²⁵ similar pharmacological manipulations of specific neurotransmitter systems are conceivable using identical pharmacological agents and routes of administration. Also, DNIC assessments in behaving, not-anaesthetized, animals, termed “descending control of nociception,”⁷ might be more relevant for direct comparisons with CPM.

In summary, further translational and back-translational efforts are needed to provide details of the neuronal circuitry and transmitter systems responsible for descending pain inhibition across species.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgments

L. Sirucek and R. P. Ganley have been supported by the Clinical Research Priority Program “PAIN” of the University of Zurich.

Article history:

Received 24 March 2022

Received in revised form 2 June 2022

Accepted 14 June 2022

Available online 17 June 2022

References

- [1] Akil H, Mayer DJ, Liebeskind JC. Antagonism of stimulation-produced analgesia by naloxone, a narcotic antagonist. *Science* 1976;191:961–2.
- [2] Antal M, Petkó M, Polgár E, Heizmann CW, Storm-Mathisen J. Direct evidence of an extensive GABAergic innervation of the spinal dorsal horn by fibres descending from the rostral ventromedial medulla. *Neuroscience* 1996;73:509–18.
- [3] Arendt-Nielsen L, Andresen T, Malver LP, Oksche A, Mansikka H, Drewes AM. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study on the effect of buprenorphine and fentanyl on descending pain modulation: a human experimental study. *Clin J Pain* 2012;28:623–27.
- [4] Baba Y, Kohase H, Oono Y, Fujii-Abe K, Arendt-Nielsen L. Effects of dexmedetomidine on conditioned pain modulation in humans. *Eur J Pain* 2012;16:1137–47.
- [5] Bagley EE, Ingram SL. Endogenous opioid peptides in the descending pain modulatory circuit. *Neuropharmacology* 2020;173:108131.
- [6] Bannister K, Dickenson AH. The plasticity of descending controls in pain: translational probing. *J Physiol* 2017;595:4159–66.
- [7] Bannister K, Kucharczyk MW, Graven-Nielsen T, Porreca F. Introducing descending control of nociception: a measure of diffuse noxious inhibitory controls in conscious animals. *PAIN* 2021;162:1957–9.
- [8] Bannister K, Patel R, Goncalves L, Townson L, Dickenson AH. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls and nerve injury: restoring an imbalance between descending monoamine inhibitions and facilitations. *PAIN* 2015;156:1803–11.
- [9] Bardoni R. Serotonergic modulation of nociceptive circuits in spinal cord dorsal horn. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 2019;17:1133–45.
- [10] Basbaum AI, Fields HL. Endogenous pain control systems: brainstem spinal pathways and endorphin circuitry. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 1984;7:309–38.

- [11] Bosma RL, Stroman PW. Assessment of data acquisition parameters, and analysis techniques for noise reduction in spinal cord fMRI data. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2014;32:473–81.
- [12] Bouhassira D, Le Bars D, Bolger F, Laplane D, Willer JC. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls in humans: a neurophysiological investigation of a patient with a form of Brown-Séquard syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1993;34:536–43.
- [13] Bouhassira D, Villanueva L, Bing Z, le Bars D. Involvement of the subnucleus reticularis dorsalis in diffuse noxious inhibitory controls in the rat. *Brain Res* 1992;595:353–7.
- [14] Bruehl S, Chung OY. Interactions between the cardiovascular and pain regulatory systems: an updated review of mechanisms and possible alterations in chronic pain. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2004;28:395–414.
- [15] Bruehl S, France CR, Stone AL, Gupta R, Buvanendran A, Chont M, Burns JW. Greater conditioned pain modulation is associated with enhanced morphine analgesia in healthy individuals and patients with chronic low back pain. *Clin J Pain* 2021;37:20–7.
- [16] Cai YQ, Wang W, Hou YY, Pan ZZ. Optogenetic activation of brainstem serotonergic neurons induces persistent pain sensitization. *Mol Pain* 2014;10:70.
- [17] Callado LF, Stamford JA. Alpha2A- but not alpha2B/C-adrenoceptors modulate noradrenaline release in rat locus coeruleus: voltammetric data. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1999;366:35–9.
- [18] Callaway EM, Luo L. Monosynaptic circuit tracing with glycoprotein-deleted rabies viruses. *J Neurosci* 2015;35:8979–85.
- [19] Cannon JT, Prieto GJ, Lee A, Liebeskind JC. Evidence for opioid and non-opioid forms of stimulation-produced analgesia in the rat. *Brain Res* 1982;243:315–21.
- [20] Cesselin F, Le Bars D, Bourgoin S, Artaud F, Gozlan H, Clot AM, Besson JM, Hamon M. Spontaneous and evoked release of methionine-enkephalin-like material from the rat spinal cord in vivo. *Brain Res* 1985;339:305–13.
- [21] Chalaye P, Devoize L, Lafrenaye S, Dallel R, Marchand S. Cardiovascular influences on conditioned pain modulation. *PAIN* 2013;154:1377–82.
- [22] Chen Q, Heinricher MM. Descending control mechanisms and chronic pain. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2019;21:13.
- [23] Chitour D, Dickenson AH, Le Bars D. Pharmacological evidence for the involvement of serotonergic mechanisms in diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC). *Brain Res* 1982;236:329–37.
- [24] Corder G, Castro DC, Bruchas MR, Scherrer G. Endogenous and exogenous opioids in pain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2018;41:453–73.
- [25] Cummins TM, Kucharczyk MM, Graven-Nielsen T, Bannister K. Activation of the descending pain modulatory system using cuff pressure algometry: back translation from man to rat. *Eur J Pain* 2020;24:1330–8.
- [26] Cummins TM, McMahon SB, Bannister K. The impact of paradigm and stringent analysis parameters on measuring a net conditioned pain modulation effect: a test, retest, control study. *Eur J Pain* 2021;25:415–29.
- [27] Dayan L, Hochberg U, Nahman-Averbuch H, Brill S, Ablin JN, Jacob G. Increased sympathetic outflow induces adaptation to acute experimental pain. *Pain Pract* 2018;18:322–30.
- [28] De Broucker T, Cesaro P, Willer JC, Le Bars D. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls in man. Involvement of the spinoreticular tract. *Brain* 1990;113:1223–34.
- [29] Dickenson AH, Le Bars D. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC) involve trigeminohthalamic and spinothalamic neurones in the rat. *Exp Brain Res* 1983;49:174–80.
- [30] Dickenson AH, Rivot JP, Chaouch A, Besson JM, Le Bars D. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC) in the rat with or without pCPA pretreatment. *Brain Res* 1981;216:313–21.
- [31] Drower EJ, Hammond DL. GABAergic modulation of nociceptive threshold: effects of THIP and bicuculline microinjected in the ventral medulla of the rat. *Brain Res* 1988;450:316–24.
- [32] Edwards M, Serrao JM, Gent JP, Goodchild CS. On the mechanism by which midazolam causes spinally mediated analgesia. *Anesthesiology* 1990;73:273–7.
- [33] Edwards RR, Ness TJ, Fillingim RB. Endogenous opioids, blood pressure, and diffuse noxious inhibitory controls: a preliminary study. *Percept Mot Skills* 2004;99:679–87.
- [34] Firouzian S, Osborne NR, Cheng JC, Kim JA, Bosma RL, Hemington KS, Rogachov A, Davis KD. Individual variability and sex differences in conditioned pain modulation and the impact of resilience, and conditioning stimulus pain unpleasantness and salience. *PAIN* 2020;161:1847–60.
- [35] Foster E, Wildner H, Tudeau L, Haueter S, Ralvenius WT, Jegen M, Johannssen H, Hösl L, Laenraets K, Ghanem A, Conzelmann KK, Bösl M, Zeilhofer HU. Targeted ablation, silencing, and activation establish glycinergic dorsal horn neurons as key components of a spinal gate for pain and itch. *Neuron* 2015;85:1289–304.
- [36] France CR, Burns JW, Gupta RK, Buvanendran A, Chont M, Schuster E, Orłowska D, Bruehl S. Expectancy effects on conditioned pain modulation are not influenced by naloxone or morphine. *Ann Behav Med* 2016;50:497–505.
- [37] François A, Low SA, Sypek EI, Christensen AJ, Sotoudeh C, Beier KT, Ramakrishnan C, Ritola KD, Sharif-Naeini R, Deisseroth K, Delp SL, Malenka RC, Luo L, Hantman AW, Scherrer G. A brainstem-spinal cord inhibitory circuit for mechanical pain modulation by GABA and enkephalins. *Neuron* 2017;93:822–39. e6.
- [38] Ghanem A, Conzelmann KK. G gene-deficient single-round rabies viruses for neuronal circuit analysis. *Virus Res* 2016;216:41–54.
- [39] Graeff P, Itter A, Wach K, Ruscheweyh R. Inter-individual differences explain more variance in conditioned pain modulation than age, sex and conditioning stimulus intensity combined. *Brain Sci* 2021;11:1186.
- [40] Graven-Nielsen T, Gibson SJ, Laursen RJ, Svensson P, Arendt-Nielsen L. Opioid-insensitive hypoalgesia to mechanical stimuli at sites ipsilateral and contralateral to experimental muscle pain in human volunteers. *Exp Brain Res* 2002;146:213–22.
- [41] Guenther CJ, Miyamichi K, Yang HH, Heller HC, Luo L. Permanent genetic access to transiently active neurons via TRAP: targeted recombination in active populations. *Neuron* 2013;78:773–84.
- [42] Guindon J, Hohmann AG. The endocannabinoid system and pain. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets* 2009;8:403–21.
- [43] Häring M, Zeisel A, Hochgerner H, Rinwa P, Jakobsson JET, Lönnerberg P, La Manno G, Sharma N, Borgius L, Kiehn O, Lagerström MC, Linnarsson S, Ernfors P. Neuronal atlas of the dorsal horn defines its architecture and links sensory input to transcriptional cell types. *Nat Neurosci* 2018;21:869–80.
- [44] Hermans L, Nijs J, Calders P, De Clerck L, Moorkens G, Hans G, Grosemans S, Roman De Mettelinge T, Tuynman J, Meeus M. Influence of morphine and naloxone on pain modulation in rheumatoid arthritis, chronic fatigue syndrome/fibromyalgia, and controls: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, cross-over study. *Pain Pract* 2018;18:418–30.
- [45] Hickey L, Li Y, Fyson SJ, Watson TC, Perrins R, Hewinson J, Teschemacher AG, Furue H, Lumb BM, Pickering AE. Optoactivation of locus ceruleus neurons evokes bidirectional changes in thermal nociception in rats. *J Neurosci* 2014;34:4148–60.
- [46] Hines EA. A standard stimulus for measuring vasomotor reactions: its application in the study of hypertension. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1932;7:332–35.
- [47] Hirschberg S, Li Y, Randall A, Kremer EJ, Pickering AE. Functional dichotomy in spinal- vs prefrontal-projecting locus coeruleus modules splits descending noradrenergic analgesia from ascending aversion and anxiety in rats. *Elife* 2017;6:e29808.
- [48] Hohmann AG, Suplita RL, Bolton NM, Neely MH, Fegley D, Mangieri R, Krey JF, Walker JM, Holmes PV, Crystal JD, Duranti A, Tontini A, Mor M, Tarzia G, Piomelli D. An endocannabinoid mechanism for stress-induced analgesia. *Nature* 2005;435:1108–12.
- [49] Imai Y, Petersen KK, Morch CD, Arendt Nielsen L. Comparing test-retest reliability and magnitude of conditioned pain modulation using different combinations of test and conditioning stimuli. *Somatosens Mot Res* 2016;33:169–77.
- [50] Kennedy DL, Kemp HI, Ridout D, Yarnitsky D, Rice AS. Reliability of conditioned pain modulation: a systematic review. *PAIN* 2016;157:2410–19.
- [51] Kiemas A, Davies C, Kempton MJ, Lukow PB, Bannallick C, Stone JM, Modinos G. GABA, glutamate and neural activity: a systematic review with meta-analysis of multimodal (1)H-MRS-fMRI studies. *Front Psychiatry* 2021;12:644315.
- [52] King CD, Goodin B, Kindler LL, Caudle RM, Edwards RR, Gravenstein N, Riley JL III, Fillingim RB. Reduction of conditioned pain modulation in humans by naltrexone: an exploratory study of the effects of pain catastrophizing. *J Behav Med* 2013;36:315–27.
- [53] Klinger-Gratz PP, Ralvenius WT, Neumann E, Kato A, Nyilas R, Lele Z, Katona I, Zeilhofer HU. Acetaminophen relieves inflammatory pain through CB1 cannabinoid receptors in the rostral ventromedial medulla. *J Neurosci* 2018;38:322–34.
- [54] Kucharczyk MW, Valiente D, Bannister K. Developments in understanding diffuse noxious inhibitory controls: pharmacological evidence from pre-clinical research. *J Pain Res* 2021;14:1083–95.
- [55] Kunz M, Scholl KE, Schu U, Lautenbacher S. GABAergic modulation of diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC): a test by use of lorazepam. *Exp Brain Res* 2006;175:363–71.
- [56] Lau BK, Vaughan CW. Descending modulation of pain: the GABA disinhibition hypothesis of analgesia. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2014;29:159–64.

- [57] Le Bars D. The whole body receptive field of dorsal horn multireceptive neurones. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 2002;40:29–44.
- [58] Le Bars D, Chitour D, Kraus E, Clot AM, Dickenson AH, Besson JM. The effect of systemic morphine upon diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC) in the rat: evidence for a lifting of certain descending inhibitory controls of dorsal horn convergent neurones. *Brain Res* 1981;215:257–74.
- [59] Le Bars D, Chitour D, Kraus E, Dickenson AH, Besson JM. Effect of naloxone upon diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC) in the rat. *Brain Res* 1981;204:387–402.
- [60] Le Bars D, Dickenson AH, Besson JM. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC). I. Effects on dorsal horn convergent neurones in the rat. *PAIN* 1979;6:283–304.
- [61] Le Bars D, Willer JC, De Broucker T. Morphine blocks descending pain inhibitory controls in humans. *PAIN* 1992;48:13–20.
- [62] Lichtman AH, Cook SA, Martin BR. Investigation of brain sites mediating cannabinoid-induced antinociception in rats: evidence supporting periaqueductal gray involvement. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1996;276:585–93.
- [63] Llorca-Torralba M, Borges G, Neto F, Mico JA, Berrocoso E. Noradrenergic Locus Coeruleus pathways in pain modulation. *Neuroscience* 2016;338:93–113.
- [64] Martini C, van Velzen M, Drewes A, Aarts L, Dahan A, Niesters M. A randomized controlled trial on the effect of tapentadol and morphine on conditioned pain modulation in healthy volunteers. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0128997.
- [65] Moriya S, Yamashita A, Nishi R, Ikoma Y, Yamanaka A, Kuwaki T. Acute nociceptive stimuli rapidly induce the activity of serotonin and noradrenalin neurons in the brain stem of awake mice. *IBRO Rep* 2019;7:1–9.
- [66] Mullins PG, Rowland LM, Jung RE, Sibbitt WL Jr. A novel technique to study the brain's response to pain: proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Neuroimage* 2005;26:642–6.
- [67] Nahman-Averbuch H, Dayan L, Sprecher E, Hochberg U, Brill S, Yarnitsky D, Jacob G. Pain modulation and autonomic function: the effect of clonidine. *Pain Med* 2016;17:1292–301.
- [68] Nation KM, De Felice M, Hernandez PI, Dodick DW, Neugebauer V, Navratilova E, Porreca F. Lateralized kappa opioid receptor signaling from the amygdala central nucleus promotes stress-induced functional pain. *PAIN* 2018;159:919–28.
- [69] Okkerse P, van Amerongen G, de Kam ML, Stevens J, Butt RP, Gurrell R, Dahan A, van Gerven JM, Hay JL, Groeneveld GJ. The use of a battery of pain models to detect analgesic properties of compounds: a two-part four-way crossover study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2017;83:976–90.
- [70] Ossipov MH, Morimura K, Porreca F. Descending pain modulation and chronification of pain. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 2014;8:143–51.
- [71] Palazzo E, Luongo L, Novellis V, Rossi F, Maione S. The role of cannabinoid receptors in the descending modulation of pain. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* 2010;3:2661–73.
- [72] Pertovaara A, Kempainen P, Johansson G, Karonen SL. Ischemic pain nonsegmentally produces a predominant reduction of pain and thermal sensitivity in man: a selective role for endogenous opioids. *Brain Res* 1982;251:83–92.
- [73] Petersen KK, Andersen HH, Tsukamoto M, Tracy L, Koenig J, Arendt-Nielsen L. The effects of propranolol on heart rate variability and quantitative, mechanistic, pain profiling: a randomized placebo-controlled crossover study. *Scand J Pain* 2018;18:479–89.
- [74] Phelps CE, Navratilova E, Dickenson AH, Porreca F, Bannister K. Kappa opioid signaling in the right central amygdala causes hind paw specific loss of diffuse noxious inhibitory controls in experimental neuropathic pain. *PAIN* 2019;160:1614–21.
- [75] Piché M, Watanabe N, Sakata M, Oda K, Toyohara J, Ishii K, Ishiwata K, Hotta H. Basal μ -opioid receptor availability in the amygdala predicts the inhibition of pain-related brain activity during heterotopic noxious counter-stimulation. *Neurosci Res* 2014;81–82:78–84.
- [76] Pud D, Granovsky Y, Yarnitsky D. The methodology of experimentally induced diffuse noxious inhibitory control (DNIC)-like effect in humans. *PAIN* 2009;144:16–9.
- [77] Ravotto L, Duffet L, Zhou X, Weber B, Patriarchi T. A bright and colorful future for G-protein coupled receptor sensors. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2020;14:67.
- [78] Raynor K, Kong H, Chen Y, Yasuda K, Yu L, Bell GI, Reisine T. Pharmacological characterization of the cloned kappa-, delta-, and mu-opioid receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* 1994;45:330–4.
- [79] Redmond WJ, Goffaux P, Potvin S, Marchand S. Analgesic and antihyperalgesic effects of nabilone on experimental heat pain. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008;24:1017–24.
- [80] Roby-Brami A, Bussel B, Willer JC, Le Bars D. An electrophysiological investigation into the pain-relieving effects of heterotopic nociceptive stimuli. Probable involvement of a supraspinal loop. *Brain* 1987;110:1497–508.
- [81] Rodriguez E, Sakurai K, Xu J, Chen Y, Toda K, Zhao S, Han BX, Ryu D, Yin H, Liedtke W, Wang F. A craniofacial-specific monosynaptic circuit enables heightened affective pain. *Nat Neurosci* 2017;20:1734–43.
- [82] Romero-Sandoval A, Eisenach JC. Spinal cannabinoid receptor type 2 activation reduces hypersensitivity and spinal cord glial activation after paw incision. *Anesthesiology* 2007;106:787–94.
- [83] Sakurai K, Zhao S, Takatoh J, Rodriguez E, Lu J, Leavitt AD, Fu M, Han BX, Wang F. Capturing and manipulating activated neuronal ensembles with CANE delineates a hypothalamic social-fear circuit. *Neuron* 2016;92:739–53.
- [84] Sanada T, Kohase H, Makino K, Umino M. Effects of alpha-adrenergic agonists on pain modulation in diffuse noxious inhibitory control. *J Med Dent Sci* 2009;56:17–24.
- [85] Sprenger C, Bingel U, Büchel C. Treating pain with pain: supraspinal mechanisms of endogenous analgesia elicited by heterotopic noxious conditioning stimulation. *PAIN* 2011;152:428–39.
- [86] Suzan E, Midbari A, Treister R, Haddad M, Pud D, Eisenberg E. Oxycodone alters temporal summation but not conditioned pain modulation: preclinical findings and possible relations to mechanisms of opioid analgesia. *PAIN* 2013;154:1413–8.
- [87] Swan HJ. Noradrenaline, adrenaline, and the human circulation. *Br Med J* 1952;1:1003–6.
- [88] Tervo DG, Hwang BY, Viswanathan S, Gaj T, Lavzin M, Ritola KD, Lindo S, Michael S, Kuleshova E, Ojala D, Huang CC, Gerfen CR, Schiller J, Dudman JT, Hantman AW, Looger LL, Schaffer DV, Karpova AY. A designer AAV variant permits efficient retrograde access to projection neurons. *Neuron* 2016;92:372–82.
- [89] Todd AJ. Neuronal circuitry for pain processing in the dorsal horn. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2010;11:823–36.
- [90] van Amerongen G, Siebenga PS, Gurrell R, Dua P, Whitlock M, Gorman D, Okkerse P, Hay JL, Butt RP, Groeneveld GJ. Analgesic potential of PF-06372865, an $\alpha 2/\alpha 3/\alpha 5$ subtype-selective GABA(A) partial agonist, in humans. *Br J Anaesth* 2019;123:e194–203.
- [91] Villanueva L, Chitour D, Le Bars D. Involvement of the dorsolateral funiculus in the descending spinal projections responsible for diffuse noxious inhibitory controls in the rat. *J Neurophysiol* 1986;56:1185–95.
- [92] Vuilleumier PH, Besson M, Desmeules J, Arendt-Nielsen L, Curatolo M. Evaluation of anti-hyperalgesic and analgesic effects of two benzodiazepines in human experimental pain: a randomized placebo-controlled study. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e43896.
- [93] Wang F, Bélanger E, Paquet ME, Côté DC, De Koninck Y. Probing pain pathways with light. *Neuroscience* 2016;338:248–71.
- [94] Wei F, Dubner R, Zou S, Ren K, Bai G, Wei D, Guo W. Molecular depletion of descending serotonin unmasks its novel facilitatory role in the development of persistent pain. *J Neurosci* 2010;30:8624–36.
- [95] Whissell PD, Tohyama S, Martin LJ. The use of DREADDs to deconstruct behavior. *Front Genet* 2016;7:70.
- [96] Willer JC, Le Bars D, De Broucker T. Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls in man: involvement of an opioidergic link. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1990;182:347–55.
- [97] Yaksh TL. Pharmacology of spinal adrenergic systems which modulate spinal nociceptive processing. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1985;22:845–58.
- [98] Yarnitsky D, Arendt-Nielsen L, Bouhassira D, Edwards RR, Fillingim RB, Granot M, Hansson P, Lautenbacher S, Marchand S, Wilder-Smith O. Recommendations on terminology and practice of psychophysical DNIC testing. *Eur J Pain* 2010;14:339.
- [99] Yarnitsky D, Bouhassira D, Drewes AM, Fillingim RB, Granot M, Hansson P, Landau R, Marchand S, Matre D, Nilsen KB, Stubhaug A, Treede RD, Wilder-Smith OH. Recommendations on practice of conditioned pain modulation (CPM) testing. *Eur J Pain* 2015;19:805–6.
- [100] Yesilyurt O, Seyrek M, Tasdemir S, Kahraman S, Devenci MS, Karakus E, Halici Z, Dogrul A. The critical role of spinal 5-HT7 receptors in opioid and non-opioid type stress-induced analgesia. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2015;762:402–10.
- [101] Zhang Y, Zhao S, Rodriguez E, Takatoh J, Han BX, Zhou X, Wang F. Identifying local and descending inputs for primary sensory neurons. *J Clin Invest* 2015;125:3782–94.