

# 

**Citation:** Sagong H-Y, Kim K-J (2017) Lysine Decarboxylase with an Enhanced Affinity for Pyridoxal 5-Phosphate by Disulfide Bond-Mediated Spatial Reconstitution. PLoS ONE 12(1): e0170163. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170163

Editor: Eugene A. Permyakov, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Received: December 6, 2016

Accepted: December 30, 2016

Published: January 17, 2017

**Copyright:** © 2017 Sagong, Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This work was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant by C1 Gas Refinery Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (NRF-2016M3D3A1A01913269), and by the Advanced Biomass R&D Center (ABC) of Global Frontier Project funded by the MEST (NRF-2015M3A6A2053895). **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Lysine Decarboxylase with an Enhanced Affinity for Pyridoxal 5-Phosphate by Disulfide Bond-Mediated Spatial Reconstitution

#### Hye-Young Sagong, Kyung-Jin Kim\*

School of Life Sciences, KNU Creative BioResearch Group, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea

\* kkim@knu.ac.kr

# Abstract

Lysine decarboxylase (LDC) catalyzes the decarboxylation of L-lysine to produce cadaverine, an important industrial platform chemical for bio-based polyamides. However, due to high flexibility at the pyridoxal 5-phosphate (PLP) binding site, use of the enzyme for cadaverine production requires continuous supplement of large amounts of PLP. In order to develop an LDC enzyme from Selenomonas ruminantium (SrLDC) with an enhanced affinity for PLP, we introduced an internal disulfide bond between Ala225 and Thr302 residues with a desire to retain the PLP binding site in a closed conformation. The SrLDC A225C/T302C mutant showed a yellow color and the characteristic UV/Vis absorption peaks for enzymes with bound PLP, and exhibited three-fold enhanced PLP affinity compared with the wild-type SrLDC. The mutant also exhibited a dramatically enhanced LDC activity and cadaverine conversion particularly under no or low PLP concentrations. Moreover, introduction of the disulfide bond rendered SrLDC more resistant to high pH and temperature. The formation of the introduced disulfide bond and the maintenance of the PLP binding site in the closed conformation were confirmed by determination of the crystal structure of the mutant. This study shows that disulfide bond-mediated spatial reconstitution can be a platform technology for development of enzymes with enhanced PLP affinity.

# Introduction

L-lysine is an essential amino acid and industrially important material used in animal feed and food and dietary supplements. It can be synthesized from aspartate [1,2]. The aspartate is converted into L-aspartate semialdehyde (ASA) by the consecutive reaction of two enzymes. ASA is a precursor for the biosynthesis of various amino acids such as L-threonine, L-isoleucine, L-methionine, and L-lysine. On the L-lysine biosynthetic pathway, ASA is condensed with pyruvate to generate dihydrodipicolinate (DHDP). DHDP reductase reduces DHDP to produce tetrahydrodipicolinate (THDP). Currently, four different pathways for the biosynthesis of L-lysine that branch out from THDP have been reported in bacteria [3]: the succinylase pathway, the acetylase pathway, the *m*DAP dehydrogenase pathway, and the recently discovered



**Competing Interests:** The authors have decalared that no competing interests exist.

aminotransferase pathway [4]. Finally, DAP decarboxylase catalyzes the decarboxylation of D, L-DAP to form L-lysine.

Lysine decarboxylase (LDC) is an important enzyme for maintenance of pH homeostasis and the biosynthesis of cadaverine. Most of bacteria utilize acid stress-induced lysine decarboxylase in the response to the environmental acid stress [5–7]. Under extremely low pH, LDC is induced and increases the pH of the growth medium by consuming one proton in the enzymatic reaction. In addition, LDC plays a crucial role in the synthesis of cadaverine, an important industrial platform chemical. Cadaverine is utilized with a variety of applications such as the production of polyamides, polyurethanes, chelating agents, and additives [8]. As the heavy consumption of petroleum resources contributes to the accelerating the global warming, it is necessary to find the alternative to previous petrochemical production of cadaverine. Bio-based production of cadaverine from renewable resources is a promising alternative in environmental and economic aspects [9,10]. Bio-based cadaverine is synthesized through the decarboxylation from L-lysine by LDC and enzymatic production using excessive addition of LDC in lysine-rich medium has been reported [11,12]. Especially, the most promising approach for bio-based supply of cadaverine is the use of genetically engineered microorganisms including Escherichia coli and Corynebacterium glutamicum with improved cadaverine yield [13-17].

However, two unsolved problems remain in the cadaverine production process. First, as LDC consumes one proton in the reaction, the pH of the medium increases [18]. In high pH, the activity of LDC dramatically decreases, which leads to the reduced cadaverine yield. One solution to this problem is development of the enzyme that retains enzymatic activity at high pH. Second, in the cadaverine production process, continuous supplement of pyridoxal-5-phosphate (PLP) is required as a cofactor due to the lack of PLP for LDC activity [15]. When PLP was added to the medium, LDC exhibits high level of activity and cadaverine yield. Many attempts are underway to reduce the additional cost of continuous supplement of PLP. Recently, a *de novo* PLP biosynthetic pathway was introduced into the cadaverine-producing strains to enhance the cellular level of PLP [19]. Recently, we determined the crystal structures of LDC from *Selenomonas ruminanitum* (*SrLDC*) in different crystallization conditions and observed that *SrLDC* contains highly flexible active site [20]. In addition, *SrLDC* shows much lower PLP affinity than other PLP-dependent enzymes. Taken together, we propose that highly flexible active site contributes to the low affinity for PLP in *SrLDC*.

In this study, to develop a highly efficient *Sr*LDC enzyme with an enhanced affinity for PLP, we applied disulfide bond-mediated spatial reconstitution by introducing a disulfide bond at the PLP binding site in *Sr*LDC. The mutant with an artificial disulfide bond showed enhanced PLP affinity and exhibited dramatically increased LDC activity and cadaverine conversion particularly under no or low PLP concentrations. The mutant also showed high resistance to both pH and temperature. This approach may be used as a platform technology for the development of other enzymes with enhanced PLP affinity.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Protein preparation

The gene coding for lysine decarboxylase from *S. ruminantium* (*SrLDC*, amino acid residues 1–399) was amplified from chromosomal DNA of *S. ruminantium* by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with primers: forward, 5–GCGCGC<u>CATATG</u>AAAAACTTCCGTTTAAGCGAAAAAG – 3, and reverse, 5–GCGCG<u>CTCGAG</u>GTGATGGTGATGGTGATGGTGAACTGCT – 3. The PCR product was then subcloned into the pET-22b(+) vector (Life Science Research) with 6x-higtag at the C-terminus. The resulting expression vector pET-22b(+):*Srldc* was transformed into the *E. coli* strain

BL21(DE3)-T1<sup>R</sup>, which was grown in 1 L of LB medium containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin at 37°C until the OD 600 reached 0.7. After induction with 1.0 mM 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG), the culture medium was maintained for a further 20 h at 18°C. The culture was then harvested by centrifugation at  $4,000 \times g$  for 20 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in buffer A (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and disrupted by ultrasonication. The cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 13,500  $\times$  g for 30 min and the lysate was applied to a Ni-NTA agarose column (Qiagen). After washing with buffer A containing 30 mM imidazole, the bound proteins were eluted with 300 mM imidazole in buffer A. Finally, trace amounts of contaminants were removed by size-exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 prep-grade column (320 mL, GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A. All purification experiments were performed at 4°C. SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis of the purified proteins showed a single polypeptide of 44.0 kDa that corresponded to the estimated molecular weight of the SrLDC monomer. The purified protein was concentrated to 65 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> in 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Site-directed mutagenesis experiments were performed using the Quick Change site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). The production and purification of the SrLDC mutants were carried out by the same procedure employed for the wild-type protein. Primers used for cloning and site-directed mutagenesis are listed in <u>S1 Table</u>.

### UV/Vis spectroscopy

The amount of PLP covalently bound to the *Sr*LDC proteins was monitored using UV/Vis absorbance spectroscopy [21,22]. The final concentration of 45.42  $\mu$ M of *Sr*LDC proteins was used. Spectra of the *Sr*LDC proteins between 300 and 500 nm were recorded on a SHIMADZU UV-VIS Spectrophotometer UV-1800 in 0.1 M potassium phosphate (pH 6.0) buffer.

#### Isothermal titration calorimetry

The binding affinity between the *Sr*LDC proteins and PLP was measured using a Nano ITC model (TA Instruments) at 20°C. Protein samples at 100  $\mu$ M were prepared in 40 mM Tris, pH 8.0. For titrations of *Sr*LDC with PLP, 1.96  $\mu$ l of 2.5 mM PLP was injected 25 times and each injection was monitored at 200-second intervals. The protein solution in the ITC reaction cell was stirred at 250 RPM. The heat of cofactor dilution into the buffer was subtracted from the reaction heat. Calculated heat area per injection was used for fitting in the independent binding model for calculation of the thermodynamic parameter (*K*<sub>d</sub>).

# LDC activity assay

To measure the lysine decarboxylase (LDC) activity of the *Sr*LDC proteins, 56.78  $\mu$ M of purified enzyme was added to 200  $\mu$ l of reaction mixture containing 0.1 M potassium phosphate, pH 6.0, 50  $\mu$ M L-lysine, and various concentrations of PLP. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 30 sec. The reaction was stopped by heating the solution at 90°C for 5 min. After centrifugation at 13,500 × *g* for 5 min, the remaining L-lysine was detected using the lysine oxidase/peroxidase method. Lysine oxidase converts the remaining L-lysine into 6-amino-2-oxohexanoate, NH<sub>3</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is then reduced by peroxidase using 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS). After the LDC reaction, equal volume of 2x lysine oxidase/peroxidase solution (0.1 unit ml<sup>-1</sup> lysine oxidase, 1 unit ml<sup>-1</sup> peroxidase, and 3.6 mM ABTS in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0) was added to the LDC reaction mixture. The amount of oxidized ABTS was detected by measuring absorbance at 412 nm. To investigate the effect of pH on the LDC activity, the LDC activity assay was

carried out at a pH range from 5 to 10. For thermal stability experiments, enzymes were preincubated at 37°C and 60°C, and the pre-incubated *Sr*LDC proteins were used in the LDC activity assays. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

#### Cadaverine conversion assay

The cadaverine conversion assay was performed using a procedure similar to that described for the LDC activity assay. The conversion mixture of 5 mL contained 0.5 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0), 0.5 M L-lysine, 4.54  $\mu$ M of purified enzyme, and various concentrations of PLP. The conversion mixture was then incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Samples were periodically collected and the cadaverine conversion was monitored by measuring the amount of the remaining L-lysine.

#### Melting temperature $(T_m)$ measurement

Thermal stability of the *Sr*LDC proteins was determined by measuring melting curves with the Protein thermal shift dye (Applied Biosystems) in a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1  $\mu$ g of protein was mixed with 1x protein thermal shift dye (Applied Biosystems) in 20  $\mu$ l and signal changes reflecting protein denaturation were monitored by increasing temperature from 25 to 90°C. Melting temperatures were determined from the first derivative curve.

#### Crystallization, data collection and structure determination of SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302</sup>

Crystallization of the purified SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant protein was initially performed with commercially available sparse-matrix screens from Rigaku and Molecular Dimensions by using the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method at 20°C. Each experiment consisted of mixing 1.0 µL of protein solution (65 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> in 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) with 1.0 µL of reservoir solution and equilibrating the drop against 0.5 mL of reservoir solution. The SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant was crystallized in the condition of 1.4 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M sodium cacodylate, pH 6.5, and 0.2 M sodium chloride. The crystals were transferred to a cryo-protectant solution composed of the corresponding condition described above and 30% (v/v) glycerol, fished out with a loop larger than the crystals, and flash-frozen by immersion in liquid nitrogen. All data were collected at the 7A beamline of the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (PAL, Pohang, Korea), using a Quantum 270 CCD detector (ADSC, USA). The SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> crystals diffracted to 1.8 Å resolutions. All data were indexed, integrated, and scaled using the HKL-2000 software package [23]. The SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> crystals belonged to the space group  $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$  with unit cell dimensions of a = 55.91 Å, b = 122.85 Å, c = 136.85 Å,  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.0^{\circ}$ . With two molecules of SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> per asymmetric unit, the crystal volume per unit protein mass was 2.7 Å<sup>3</sup> Da<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that the solvent content was 54.0% [24]. The structure of SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant was determined by molecular replacement with CCP4 version of MOLREP [25] using the structure of refined SrLDC as a model. Model building was performed manually using the program WinCoot [26] and structure refinement was performed with CCP4 refmac5 [27]. Data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. The structure of SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant was deposited in the Protein Data Bank with PDB codes of 5GIO.

+PLP           PDB code         5GJO           Data collection		SrLDC <sup>A225C/T302C</sup>	
PDB code         5GJO           Data collection            Space group         P2;2;2;1           Cell dimensions            a, b, c (Å)         55:9, 122.9, 136.9           a, b, c (Å)         50.0, 90.0, 90.0           Resolution (Å)         50.0-1.8 (1.83–1.8)*           Resolution (Å)         50.0-1.8 (1.83–1.8)*           Regram of Rmerge         7.7 (29.8)           I/ al         48.8 (7.5)           Completeness (%)         99.3 (98.3)           Redundancy         11.5 (9.0)           Refinement            Resolution (Å)         50.0-1.8           No. reflections         83265           Rowrk / Rinee         16.5/19.9           No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na*         4           SO4 <sup>2</sup> 30           Water         804           Bractors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na*         47.5           SO4 <sup>2</sup> 44.8		+ PLP	
Data collection         P212121           Cell dimensions	PDB code	5GJO	
Space group $P_{2,1,2,1}$ Cell dimensions         55.9, 122.9, 136.9 $a, b, c(A)$ 55.9, 122.9, 136.9 $a, b, r(f)$ 90.0, 90.0, 90.0           Resolution (Å)         50.0–1.8 (1.83–1.8)* $R_{sym}$ or $R_{merge}$ 7.7 (29.8)           1/ of         48.8 (7.5)           Completeness (%)         99.3 (98.3)           Redundancy         11.5 (9.0)           Refinement         Resolution (Å)           No. reflections         83265 $R_{work}/R_{tree}$ 16.5/19.9           No. reflections         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4           SQ <sup>2</sup> 30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SQ <sup>2</sup> 44.8           Water         30.7           Birden plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         18.2 <t< td=""><td>Data collection</td><td></td></t<>	Data collection		
Cell dimensions         55.9, 122.9, 136.9 $a, b, c(Å)$ 55.9, 122.9, 136.9 $a, \beta, \gamma(`)$ 90.0, 90.0, 90.0           Resolution (Å)         50.0–1.8 (1.83–1.8)* $R_{sym}$ or $R_{merge}$ 7.7 (29.8) $I/\sigma I$ 48.8 (7.5)           Completeness (%)         99.3 (98.3)           Redundancy         11.5 (9.0)           Refinement         11.5 (9.0)           Resolution (Å)         50.0–1.8           No. reflections         83265 $R_work / R_{tree}$ 16.5/19.9           No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           PrOtein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water<	Space group	P212121	
a, b, c (Å)       55.9, 122.9, 136.9         a, $\beta, \gamma$ (`)       90.0, 90.0, 90.0         Resolution (Å)       50.0-1.8 (1.83-1.8)* $R_{sym}$ or $R_{merge}$ 7.7 (29.8)         1/ d       48.8 (7.5)         Completeness (%)       99.3 (98.3)         Redundancy       11.5 (9.0)         Retinement       Resolution (Å)         Resolution (Å)       50.0-1.8         No. reflections       83265 $R_{work} / R_{free}$ 16.5/19.9         No. atoms       6842         Protein       5960         PLP       32         Glycerol       12         Na <sup>+</sup> 4         SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 30         Water       804         B-factors       22.5         Protein       21.6         PLP       30.7         Glycerol       22.2         Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5         SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8         Water       32.4         B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )       18.2         R.m.s. deviations       Bach and set 44.8         Bond lengths (Å)       0.019         Bond angles (')       1.982	Cell dimensions		
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma(`)$ 90.0, 90.0, 90.0           Resolution (Å)         50.0-1.8 (1.83-1.8)* $R_{sym}$ or $R_{merge}$ 7.7 (29.8) $l/\sigma l$ 48.8 (7.5)           Completeness (%)         99.3 (98.3)           Redundancy         11.5 (9.0)           Refinement            Resolution (Å)         50.0-1.8           No. reflections         83265 $R_{work}/R_{tree}$ 16.5/19.9           No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4           SO4 <sup>2-</sup> 30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO4 <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         82.4           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (')         1.982	a, b, c (Å)	55.9, 122.9, 136.9	
Resolution (Å) $50.0-1.8 (1.83-1.8)^*$ $R_{sym}$ or $R_{merge}$ $7.7 (29.8)$ $I/\sigma I$ $48.8 (7.5)$ Completeness (%) $99.3 (98.3)$ Redundancy $11.5 (9.0)$ Refinement $80.0-1.8$ No. reflections $83265$ $R_{mork}/R_{free}$ $16.5/19.9$ No. atoms $6842$ Protein $5960$ PLP $32$ Glycerol $12$ Na <sup>+</sup> $4$ $SO_4^{2^c}$ $30$ Water $804$ $B-factors$ $22.5$ Protein $21.6$ PLP $30.7$ Glycerol $22.2$ Na <sup>+</sup> $47.5$ $SO_4^{2^c}$ $30.7$ Glycerol $22.2$ Na <sup>+</sup> $47.5$ $SO_4^{2^c}$ $44.8$ $Ma^+$ $47.5$ $SO_4^{2^c}$ $44.8$ $Brom Vilson plot (A^2)$ $18.2$ $R.m.s. deviations$ $Bond angles (')$ </td <td>α, β, γ (°)</td> <td colspan="2">90.0, 90.0, 90.0</td>	α, β, γ (°)	90.0, 90.0, 90.0	
$R_{sym}$ or $R_{merge}$ 7.7 (29.8) $I/\sigma I$ 48.8 (7.5)           Completeness (%)         99.3 (98.3)           Redundancy         11.5 (9.0)           Refinement            Resolution (Å)         50.0–1.8           No. reflections         83265 $R_{work}/R_{free}$ 16.5/19.9           No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.4           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.4           Bota         47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         22.4           Bond length	Resolution (Å)	50.0–1.8 (1.83–1.8)*	
$I/\sigma I$ 48.8 (7.5)         Completeness (%)       99.3 (98.3)         Redundancy       11.5 (9.0)         Refinement	R <sub>sym</sub> or R <sub>merge</sub>	7.7 (29.8)	
Completeness (%)         99.3 (98.3)           Redundancy         11.5 (9.0)           Refinement	Ι/ σΙ	48.8 (7.5)	
Redundancy         11.5 (9.0)           Refinement	Completeness (%)	99.3 (98.3)	
Refinement         Esolution (Å)         50.0–1.8           No. reflections         83265 <i>R</i> work / <i>R</i> tree         16.5/19.9           No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 30           Water         804 <i>B</i> -factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 30.7           Blycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         59.4           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles ( <sup>+</sup> )         1.982	Redundancy	11.5 (9.0)	
Resolution (Å)         50.0–1.8           No. reflections         83265 <i>R</i> work / <i>R</i> free         16.5/19.9           No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> 30           Water         804 <i>B</i> -factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         59.6           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	Refinement		
No. reflections         83265 $P_{work} / P_{free}$ 16.5/19.9           No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4 $SO_4^{2^-}$ 30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         82.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         52.4           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	Resolution (Å)	50.0–1.8	
Bwork / Rtree         16.5/19.9           No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na*         4           SO42*         30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na*         47.5           SO42*         44.8           Water         804           B-factors         22.2           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na*         47.5           SO42*         44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å2)         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         18.2           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (*)         1.982	No. reflections	83265	
No. atoms         6842           Protein         5960           PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         50.019           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles ( <sup>*</sup> )         1.982	R <sub>work</sub> / R <sub>free</sub>	16.5/19.9	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Protein & 5960 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	No. atoms	6842	
PLP         32           Glycerol         12           Na*         4           SO4 <sup>2*</sup> 30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na*         47.5           SO4 <sup>2*</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         0.019           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	Protein	5960	
Glycerol         12           Na <sup>+</sup> 4           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 30           Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations            Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	PLP	32	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } Na^{+} & 4 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Glycerol	12	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Na <sup>+</sup>	4	
Water         804           B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         0.019           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	30	
B-factors         22.5           Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         0.019           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	Water	804	
Protein         21.6           PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na*         47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         9           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	<i>B</i> -factors	22.5	
PLP         30.7           Glycerol         22.2           Na*         47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         9           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	Protein	21.6	
Glycerol         22.2           Na <sup>+</sup> 47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations         9           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	PLP	30.7	
Na*         47.5           SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations            Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	Glycerol	22.2	
SO4 <sup>2-</sup> 44.8           Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations	Na <sup>+</sup>	47.5	
Water         32.4           B from Wilson plot (Å <sup>2</sup> )         18.2           R.m.s. deviations	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	44.8	
B from Wilson plot (Ų)         18.2           R.m.s. deviations	Water	32.4	
R.m.s. deviations         0.019           Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	B from Wilson plot (Ų)	18.2	
Bond lengths (Å)         0.019           Bond angles (°)         1.982	R.m.s. deviations		
Bond angles (°) 1.982	Bond lengths (Å)	0.019	
	Bond angles (°)	1.982	

Table 1	Data collection	and refinement statistics	of Srl DCA225C/T302C
---------	-----------------	---------------------------	----------------------

#### Results

#### Strategy for the introduction of disulfide bond in SrLDC

\* The numbers in parentheses are statistics from the highest resolution shell.

In the previous study, we determined the crystal structures of *SrLDC* in several different forms and revealed that the protein has a highly flexible PLP site [20]. We also reported that, due to the flexible PLP binding site, the protein undergoes an open/closed conformational change at the PLP binding site depending on the PLP binding (Fig 1A). Especially, two loops located in the vicinity of the PLP binding site, the PLP stabilization loop (PS-loop) and the regulatory loop (R-loop), undergoes a significant structural movement depending on the PLP binding (Fig 1A). In the open conformation of *SrLDC*, the PS-loop and the R-loop move away from





the PLP binding site by a distance of 5.0 and 12.2 Å, respectively, compared with the closed form of the protein. The open/closed conformation change by the highly flexible PLP binding site causes a low PLP affinity for the enzyme and consequently requires continuous supplement of PLP in the production of cadaverine. Most of other PLP-dependent enzymes contain highly stable active site and they hold its cofactor strongly with high affinities for PLP [28–34].

These structural and biochemical studies indicate that the high stability at the PLP binding site contributes to the high affinity for PLP. In order to develop a SrLDC mutant with an enhanced PLP affinity and thus make the production of cadaverine using the enzyme be a cost-effective process, we performed the structure-based protein engineering. The rationale of the protein engineering is to increase the stability of the PLP binding site and consequently maintain the site in the closed conformation. Based on the structural conformation of the closed form of SrLDC, we decided to introduce an internal disulfide bond between the R-loop and its neighboring region. We selected two target residues, Ala225 and Thr302 located at the R-loop and its neighboring region, respectively, and generated the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant by replacing these residues to cysteine (Fig 1B and 1C). These two residues contribute to the stabilization of the R-loop in the closed conformation by forming a hydrogen bond and are considered to be located in a suitable distance to form a disulfide bond each other when mutated to cysteine. We expect that the closed conformation of the R-loop by the introduced disulfide bond might subsequently cause the PS-loop to be maintained in the closed conformation (Fig 1C). This spatial reconstitution by introduction of the artificial disulfide bond might consequently make the PLP binding site be maintained in the closed conformation and increase the affinity for PLP. To prepare the negative control, we also designed a mutant that maintains the PLP binding site in the open conformation (Fig 1D). In the open conformation of SrLDC, the R-loop is stabilized by interaction with the N-terminal region, and we selected two residues, Gly227 located at the R-loop and Lys2 at the N-terminal region, and generated the SrLDCK2C/G227C mutant by replacing these residues to cysteine (Fig 1B and 1D). We expect that the PLP binding site of the mutant to be maintained in the open conformation by the introduced disulfide bond and exhibits much lower PLP affinity than the wild-type.

# Enhanced PLP affinity of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant

We first purified the three recombinant SrLDC proteins, SrLDC<sup>WT</sup>, SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup>, and SrLDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup>. Surprisingly, the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant showed a clear yellow color compared with SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and the SrLDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup> mutant, indicating that the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant tightly holds the PLP cofactor (Fig 2A). To compare the amount of PLP bound to the three SrLDC proteins, we then performed the UV/Vis absorption spectra scanning from 300 to 500 nm. The SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant showed absorption peaks at 327 and 418 nm, a characteristic of internal aldimine formed between PLP and enzyme (Fig 2B). On the other hand, SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and the SrLDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup> mutant showed no detectable absorption spectra (Fig 2B). These results indicate that the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant retained much more PLP in its binding site than SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> or SrLDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup> mutant during the expression and purification procedures. We also performed the isothermal titration calorimetry experiments and compared the K<sub>d</sub> value of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant with that of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup>. SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and the  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  mutant showed  $K_d$  values of 72 and 21  $\mu$ M, respectively, indicating that the  $K_{\rm d}$  value of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant was increased in 3.4 fold compared with that of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> (Fig 2C). These results suggest that introduction of the disulfide bond indeed increased the PLP affinity of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant.

# Enhanced LDC activity of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant

To investigate how the enhanced PLP affinity influences on the LDC activity, we compared the LDC activity of the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant with those of *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> and the *Sr*LDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup> mutant under various concentrations of PLP. Surprisingly, the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant showed a two-fold higher activity when compared to *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> with supplement of 0.2 mM of PLP, and the *Sr*LDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup> mutant showed only half of the *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> activity at the same





**Fig 2. Enhanced PLP affinity in the** *SrL*DC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> **mutant.** (A) Purified recombinant *SrL*DC proteins. The purified *SrL*DC<sup>WT</sup>, *SrL*DC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup>, and *SrL*DC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> proteins with concentration of 1 mg/ml are shown. (B) UV/vis spectra of recombinant *SrL*DC proteins. The UV/Vis absorption spectra of recombinant *SrL*DC proteins are shown and labeled appropriately. The band peaks of 327 nm and 418 nm observed in the *SrL*DC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are indicated. (C) Isothermal titration calorimetry of *SrL*DC<sup>WT</sup> and *SrL*DC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are displayed.



**Fig 3. Comparison of LDC activity between SrLDC proteins (A)** Comparison of LDC activities of the *Sr*LDC proteins. LDC activities of *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup>, *Sr*LDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup>, and *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> are measured at various concentrations of PLP. (**B**) Comparison of cadaverine conversion of the *Sr*LDC proteins. Cadaverine conversion of *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup>, *Sr*LDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup>, and *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> are measured at various concentrations of PLP. (**B**) Comparison of time-course cadaverine conversion between *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> and *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup>. Time-course cadaverine conversion of *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> and the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup>. Time-course cadaverine conversion of *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> and the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup>. The LDC activity of *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> is measured at pH from 5 to 10 under 0.2 mM PLP. The LDC activities of the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are also measured at the same pH range under 0.01 and 0.2 mM PLP.

concentration of PLP (Fig 3A). More dramatic differences were observed with low or no PLP supplement. Although  $SrLDC^{WT}$  and the  $SrLDC^{K2C/G227C}$  mutant showed no detectable activity without PLP supplement, the  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  mutant exhibited LDC activity corresponding to 65% of  $SrLDC^{WT}$  activity in the presence of 0.2 mM PLP (Fig 3A). Moreover, the activity of  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  mutant in the presence of 0.01 mM PLP was almost identical to that of  $SrLDC^{WT}$  in the presence of 0.2 mM PLP (Fig 3A). In order to investigate conversion to cadaverine in a condition that is close to the real cadaverine production, we measured the

conversion rate to cadaverine by addition of 0.5 M of lysine. In the presence of 0.2 mM PLP, the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant showed almost 100% cadaverine conversion, whereas SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and the SrLDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup> did only 60% and 30%, respectively (Fig 3B). Similar to the activity comparison described above, more dramatic differences were observed with low or no PLP supplement. The SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant converted 25% of lysine into cadaverine even without PLP supplement, whereas both SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and the SrLDC<sup>K2C/G227C</sup> mutant showed no detectable cadaverine conversion (Fig 3B). Moreover, the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant in the presence of only 0.02 mM of PLP converted more lysine into cadaverine than SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> did in the presence of 0.2 mM of PLP (Fig 3B). Measuring the time course of cadaverine conversion showed that the difference in cadaverine conversion between SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant was even more conspicuous at the initial phase of the conversion period (Fig 3C). With 0.02 mM of PLP, the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant exhibited even higher cadaverine conversion than SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> with 0.2 mM of PLP. We suspect that the enhanced LDC activity and cadaverine conversion of mutant SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup>, in particular with low or no PLP supplement, resulted from an enhanced stabilization of the PLP binding site by the disulfide bondmediated spatial reconstitution.

In addition, we also investigate whether the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant shows resistance against pH increase. LDCs are known to have a low optimum pH and show reduced activity when the pH is increased. Because one proton ion is consumed by each decarboxylation reaction, leading to an increase in pH, LDCs tend to lose their activity as the reaction proceeds. We measured the LDC activity of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant at pH ranging from 5 to 10 and compared with that of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup>. As we expected, both the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant and SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> exhibited the highest activity at pH 6.0, and the activity of both enzymes gradually decreased while pH increased (Fig 3D). However, it is important to note that the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant showed a higher activity than SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> did throughout the whole pH range, and the difference in activity between the two enzymes was even more pronounced at a higher pH (Fig 3D). When the LDC activity of the mutant was measured in the presence of 0.01 mM PLP, the resistance of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant against pH increase was more apparent than that shown in the presence of 0.2 mM PLP. At pH 10, the activity of the mutant enzyme in the presence of only 0.01 mM PLP was up to two-fold higher than that of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> in the presence of 0.2 mM PLP (Fig 3D). These results indicate that the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant, compared with SrLDC<sup>WT</sup>, maintains its relatively high activity even when pH increases as a result from prolonged reactions.

# Enhanced thermal stability of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant

In general, introduction of internal disulfide bonds in proteins results in an increase in thermostability of the engineered proteins [35-37]. Although we introduced the internal disulfide bond to increase the stability of the active site, we expected an enhanced thermostability of the  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  mutant. We then measured the melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) of the mutant enzyme and compared with that of  $SrLDC^{WT}$ . Interestingly, the  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  mutant showed a  $T_m$  of 56.9°C, which is higher than the  $T_m$  of 52.27°C observed for  $SrLDC^{WT}$  (Fig 4A). Next, we investigated how prolonged incubation at 37°C affected the activity of the proteins. Only 50% of  $SrLDC^{WT}$  activity remained after incubation for one hour, and an almost complete loss of activity was observed after four hours of incubation (Fig 4B). In contrast, the activity of the  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  mutant remained relatively high, with almost half of it present even after four hours of incubation (Fig 4B). Even after four hours of incubation, the  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  mutant showed almost identical activity to that of  $SrLDC^{WT}$  without incubation (Fig 4B). We also measured the activity of the proteins after incubation at 60°C.



Fig 4. Comparison of resistance to temperature between SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup>. (A) Thermal stability measurement of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup>. The  $T_m$  values of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are displayed. (B) (C) Comparison of thermal stability between SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup>. The LDC activities of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> and the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are measured using proteins pre-incubated at 37°C (B) and 60°C (C).

*Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> showed an immediate decrease in activity during incubation at this temperature, and an almost complete loss of activity after an incubation of two min (Fig 4C). In contrast, the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant retained a relatively high activity up to two min of incubation at 60°C, and still showed activity after three min of incubation (Fig 4C). These results indicate that the disulfide bond-mediated spatial reconstitution resulted in not only enhanced stability at the active site but also in increased thermostability of the enzyme.

# Crystal structure of the SrLDCA225C/T302C mutant

To structurally confirm the enhanced stability of the PLP binding site in mutant  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  is derived from the introduced disulfide bond, we determined its crystal structure at 1.8 Å resolution (Fig 5A and Table 1). As expected, a disulfide bond was observed between A225C and T302C, and the PS-loop and the R-loop of the mutant showed the closed conformation (Fig 5B and 5C). Moreover, When we compared the B-factors of the



**Fig 5. Crystal structure of the** *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> **mutant.** (**A**) Dimeric structure of the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant. The AS-loop, PS-loop and the R-loop of the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are colored green, salmon, and light-blue, respectively. The disulfide bond formed in both monomers are indicated are shown as stick model and labeled. (**B**) Electron density map of the engineered disulfide bond region. The Fo-Fc map of the engineered disulfide bond region is contoured at 3.0 σ. The R-loop region is presented with a light-blue color and the mutated residues are shown as stick models with labels. (**C**) Superimposition of the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> structure with the closed form of *Sr*LDC. The AS-loop, the PS-loop, and the R-loop of the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are distinguished with colors of green, salmon, and light-blue, respectively, and those of the closed form of *Sr*LDC are with colors of orange, cyan, and magenta, respectively. (**D**) B-factor presentation of the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant and *Sr*LDC in apo and complex with PLP/cadaverine. The AS-loop, the PS-loop, and the R-loop are labeled.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170163.g005

PS-loop and the R-loop in SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> with those in the wild-type SrLDC, B-factors for the PS-loop and the R-loop of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant were much lower than those of both the open and closed forms of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup> (Fig 5D), indicating that the stability of the loop regions are significantly enhanced by the engineered disulfide bond. Interestingly, the active site loop (AS-loop), that are known to be highly disordered in both the open and closed forms of SrLDC<sup>WT</sup>, showed a clear electron density map in the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant (S1 Fig). The loop is stabilized by extensive hydrogen bonds with the PS-loop (S2 Fig). The AS-loop seems to contribute to the formation of the substrate binding site. In particular, the Val146 residue in the AS-loop is positioned in the vicinity of the substrate and seems to be involved in the stabilization of the hydrocarbon part of the lysine substrate (S3 Fig). This observation indicates that the engineered disulfide bond also enhanced the substrate binding affinity. Interestingly, we observed the clear electron density for PLP cofactor even without addition of PLP in the crystallization solution, indicating that the  $SrLDC^{A225C/T302C}$  mutant retained the PLP cofactor during purification and crystallization procedures (Fig 5C). Taken together, the engineered disulfide bond seems to result in the maintenance of the R-loop in the closed conformation, and in turn leads to the PS-loop to be retained in the closed conformation as well, which consequently affects the stability of the AS-loop.

#### Discussion

Enhanced stability of enzymes with industrial applications may improve production yield and lead to expanded operational environments such as temperature and pH. The introduction of disulfide bonds has been used as a powerful engineering tool to increase protein stability [35,36,38]. Recent studies have also shown that disulfide bond engineering can be used in a wide range of applications such as kinetic stability and functional modification of proteins [39-41]. However, not all engineered disulfide bonds produce an improved enzyme due to the difficulty in predicting the conformation and thermodynamics of an engineered disulfide bond. Our successful protein engineering on SrLDC has two unique features compared with the previously reported works. First, we used disulfide bond-mediated spatial reconstitution at the cofactor binding site to increase cofactor affinity rather than increase the stability of protein folding itself. Second, we achieved the equivalent of killing two birds with a single stone; one engineered disulfide bond enhanced both the enzymatic activity and the resistance to pH and temperature of the target protein. In many cases, engineered disulfide bonds lead to the increase of either enzymatic activity or enzyme stability [42]. Extensive structural analysis seem to enable this protein engineering to be successful.

Here, it is worth to notice that appropriate flexibility at the active site is important for maximum enzymatic activity. As described above, the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant shows a stabilized AS-loop and forms the closed substrate binding site. Based on these findings, we introduced an additional disulfide bond between the AS-loop and the PS-loop in the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant and generated *Sr*LDC<sup>K143C/L185C/A225C/T302C</sup> mutant (S4 Fig). We anticipate that the more stable active site results in the improved LDC activity of the mutant. As expected, the purified quadruple mutant showed a yellow color similar to the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant. However, its enzymatic activity was only 65% even compared with that of *Sr*LDC<sup>WT</sup> (S4 Fig). We speculate that the low activity of the quadruple mutant is caused by a high rigidity of the substrate biding site. Based on these results, we suggest that the maintenance of an appropriate flexibility at the active site is one of the important factors to be considered when we design a structure-based protein engineering.

PLP is a cofactor required in a variety of enzyme reactions such as transamination and decarboxylation [43]. Especially, enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of amino acids and their derivatives utilize PLP as an essential cofactor. For this reason, PLP has been one of the critical control factors for the production of industrially important bioproducts and many attempts have been also made to reduce the cost by a continuous supplement of PLP. As a platform technology, this approach could be used for the development of highly efficient PLP-dependent enzymes that would allow more cost-effective production of valuable bioproducts.

# **Supporting Information**

S1 Fig. Electron density map of the AS-loop in the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> structure. The AS-loop region (Ile137-Gly153) of the SrLDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> structure is shown as a stick model and the Fo-Fc map is contoured at 3.0  $\sigma$ . (PPTX)

**S2 Fig. Stereoview of Stabilization of the AS-loop, the PS-loop, and the R-loop of the** *SrLDC*<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> **mutant.** The AS-loop, the PS-loop, and the R-loop of the *SrLDC*<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are presented with green, salmon, and light-blue color, respectively. Residues involved in the stabilization of the loops are presented with stick and line models, and labeled. (PPTX)

**S3 Fig. Stereoview of involvement of V146 in the substrate binding.** The AS-loop and PS-loop in the *Sr*LDC<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> mutant are colored green and salmon, respectively. The residues involved in the substrate binding are shown as a stick model and labeled and Val146 is distinguished with green color. The cadaverine molecule and the PLP cofactor are shown as stick models with yellow and salmon colors, respectively. (PPTX)

**S4 Fig. The** *SrLDC*<sup>K143C/L185C/A225C/T302C</sup> **mutant.** (**A**) Rationale of introducing an additional disulfide bond to generate the *SrLDC*<sup>K143C/L185C/A225C/T302C</sup> mutant. The *SrLDC*<sup>A225C/T302C</sup> structure is shown as a cartoon diagram. The AS-loop, PS-loop and the R-loop are colored green, salmon, and light-blue, respectively. The disulfide bond formed between A225C and T302C is shown as stick model. Residues K143 and L185 replaced by cysteine residues to form an additional disulfide bond are shown as stick models and labeled. (**B**) Activity of the *SrLDC*<sup>K143C/L185C/A225C/T302C</sup> mutant. (PPTX)

**S1 Table.** Primers used for cloning and site-directed mutagenesis of *SrLDC*. (PPTX)

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant by C1 Gas Refinery Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (NRF-2016M3D3A1A01913269), and by the Advanced Biomass R&D Center (ABC) of Global Frontier Project funded by the MEST (NRF-2015M3A6A2053895).

# **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: KJK.

Investigation: HYS.

Supervision: KJK.

Writing - original draft: HYS KJK.

#### References

- Yeh P, Sicard AM, Sinskey AJ (1988) General organization of the genes specifically involved in the diaminopimelate-lysine biosynthetic pathway of Corynebacterium glutamicum. Molecular and General Genetics MGG 212: 105–111. PMID: 3131636
- Dogovski C, Wubben JM, Paxman JJ, Wagner J, Hor L, et al. (2012) Enzymology of bacterial lysine biosynthesis: InTech Open Access Publisher.
- 3. Meister A (2009) Advances in enzymology and related areas of molecular biology: John Wiley & Sons.
- McCoy AJ, Adams NE, Hudson AO, Gilvarg C, Leustek T, et al. (2006) L, L-diaminopimelate aminotransferase, a trans-kingdom enzyme shared by Chlamydia and plants for synthesis of diaminopimelate/lysine. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103: 17909–17914.
- Bearson S, Bearson B, Foster JW (1997) Acid stress responses in enterobacteria. FEMS microbiology letters 147: 173–180. PMID: 9119190
- Park YK, Bearson B, Bang SH, Bang IS, Foster JW (1996) Internal pH crisis, lysine decarboxylase and the acid tolerance response of Salmonella typhimurium. Molecular microbiology 20: 605–611. PMID: 8736539
- Neely MN, Olson ER (1996) Kinetics of expression of the Escherichia coli cad operon as a function of pH and lysine. Journal of bacteriology 178: 5522–5528. PMID: 8808945
- Schneider J, Wendisch VF (2011) Biotechnological production of polyamines by bacteria: recent achievements and future perspectives. Applied microbiology and biotechnology 91: 17–30. doi: 10. 1007/s00253-011-3252-0 PMID: 21552989
- Willke T, Vorlop KD (2004) Industrial bioconversion of renewable resources as an alternative to conventional chemistry. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 66: 131–142. doi: <u>10.1007/s00253-004-1733-0</u> PMID: <u>15372215</u>
- Flieger M, Kantorova M, Prell A, Řezanka T, Votruba J (2003) Biodegradable plastics from renewable sources. Folia microbiologica 48: 27–44. PMID: 12744074
- 11. Mimizuka T, Kazami J (2002) Host and method for producing cadaverine.
- 12. Nishi K, Endo S, Mori Y, Totsuka K, Hirao Y (2007) Method for producing cadaverine dicarboxylate. Google Patents.
- Mimitsuka T, Sawai H, Hatsu M, Yamada K (2007) Metabolic engineering of Corynebacterium glutamicum for cadaverine fermentation. Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry 71: 2130–2135. doi: 10. 1271/bbb.60699 PMID: 17895539
- Tateno T, Okada Y, Tsuchidate T, Tanaka T, Fukuda H, et al. (2009) Direct production of cadaverine from soluble starch using Corynebacterium glutamicum coexpressing α-amylase and lysine decarboxylase. Applied microbiology and biotechnology 82: 115–121. doi: 10.1007/s00253-008-1751-4 PMID: 18989633
- Kind S, Jeong WK, Schröder H, Wittmann C (2010) Systems-wide metabolic pathway engineering in Corynebacterium glutamicum for bio-based production of diaminopentane. Metabolic engineering 12: 341–351. doi: 10.1016/j.ymben.2010.03.005 PMID: 20381632
- Qian ZG, Xia XX, Lee SY (2011) Metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli for the production of cadaverine: a five carbon diamine. Biotechnology and bioengineering 108: 93–103. doi: 10.1002/bit.22918 PMID: 20812259
- Lee JW, Kim HU, Choi S, Yi J, Lee SY (2011) Microbial production of building block chemicals and polymers. Current opinion in biotechnology 22: 758–767. doi: <u>10.1016/j.copbio.2011.02.011</u> PMID: 21420291
- 18. Nishi K, Endo S, Mori Y, Totsuka K, Hirao Y (2006) Method for producing cadaverine dicarboxylate and its use for the production of nylon. European Patent: EP1482055 (B1).
- **19.** Ma W, Cao W, Zhang B, Chen K, Liu Q, et al. (2015) Engineering a pyridoxal 5'-phosphate supply for cadaverine production by using Escherichia coli whole-cell biocatalysis. Scientific reports 5.
- 20. Sagong H-Y S H, Kim S, Kim Y-H, Kim I-K, Kim K-J (2016) Crystal Structure and Pyridoxal 5-Phosphate Binding Property of Lysine Decarboxylase from Selenomonas ruminantium. PloS one in press.
- **21.** Peterson EA, Sober HA (1954) Preparation of crystalline phosphorylated derivatives of vitamin B6. Journal of the American Chemical Society 76: 169–175.

- Morino Y, Nagashima F (1983) Pyridoxal phosphate-binding site in enzymes; reduction and comparison of sequences. Methods in enzymology 106: 116–137.
- Otwinowski Z, Minor W (1997) [20] Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. Methods in enzymology 276: 307–326.
- 24. Matthews BW (1968) Solvent content of protein crystals. Journal of molecular biology 33: 491–497. PMID: 5700707
- Vagin A, Teplyakov A (2010) Molecular replacement with MOLREP. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography 66: 22–25.
- Emsley P, Cowtan K (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography 60: 2126–2132.
- Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ (1997) Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography 53: 240–255.
- Lee SG, Hong SP, Kim DY, Song JJ, Ro HS, et al. (2006) Inactivation of tyrosine phenol-lyase by Pictet —Spengler reaction and alleviation by T15A mutation on intertwined N-terminal arm. FEBS Journal 273: 5564–5573. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05546.x PMID: 17094783
- **29.** Balk H, Merkl I, Bartholmes P (1981) Circular dichroism studies on the interaction of tryptophan synthase with pyridoxal 5'-phosphate. Biochemistry 20: 6391–6395. PMID: 7030390
- Jenkins W, Fonda M (1985) Kinetics, equilibria, and affinity for coenzymes and substrates. Transaminases 2: 216–234.
- Okamoto A, Higuchi T, Hirotsu K, Kuramitsu S, Kagamiyama H (1994) X-ray crystallographic study of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate-type aspartate aminotransferases from Escherichia coli in open and closed form. Journal of biochemistry 116: 95–107. PMID: 7798192
- Kulik V, Weyand M, Seidel R, Niks D, Arac D, et al. (2002) On the role of αThr183 in the allosteric regulation and catalytic mechanism of tryptophan synthase. Journal of molecular biology 324: 677–690. PMID: 12460570
- Sugio S, Kashima A, Kishimoto K, Peisach D, Petsko GA, et al. (1998) Crystal structures of L201A mutant of D-amino acid aminotransferase at 2.0 A resolution: implication of the structural role of Leu201 in transamination. Protein engineering 11: 613–619. PMID: 9749913
- Rath VL, Ammirati M, LeMotte PK, Fennell KF, Mansour MN, et al. (2000) Activation of human liver glycogen phosphorylase by alteration of the secondary structure and packing of the catalytic core. Molecular cell 6: 139–148. PMID: 10949035
- Wetzel R, Perry LJ, Baase WA, Becktel WJ (1988) Disulfide bonds and thermal stability in T4 lysozyme. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 85: 401–405.
- Perry LJ, Wetzel R (1984) Disulfide bond engineered into T4 lysozyme: stabilization of the protein toward thermal inactivation. Science 226: 555–557. PMID: 6387910
- Wakarchuk WW, Sung WL, Campbell RL, Cunningham A, Watson DC, et al. (1994) Thermostabilization of the Bacillus circulansxylanase by the introduction of disulfide bonds. Protein engineering 7: 1379– 1386. PMID: 7700870
- Sauer R, Hehir K, Stearman R, Weiss M, Jeitler-Nilsson A, et al. (1986) An engineered intersubunit disulfide enhances the stability and DNA binding of the N-terminal domain of. lambda. repressor. Biochemistry 25: 5992–5998. PMID: 3539184
- Sanchez-Ruiz JM (2010) Protein kinetic stability. Biophysical chemistry 148: 1–15. doi: 10.1016/j.bpc. 2010.02.004 PMID: 20199841
- 40. Imani M, Hosseinkhani S, Ahmadian S, Nazari M (2010) Design and introduction of a disulfide bridge in firefly luciferase: increase of thermostability and decrease of pH sensitivity. Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences 9: 1167–1177.
- **41.** Nazari M, Hosseinkhani S (2011) Design of disulfide bridge as an alternative mechanism for color shift in firefly luciferase and development of secreted luciferase. Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences 10: 1203–1215.
- 42. Takagi H, Takahashi T, Momose H, Inouye M, Maeda Y, et al. (1990) Enhancement of the thermostability of subtilisin E by introduction of a disulfide bond engineered on the basis of structural comparison with a thermophilic serine protease. Journal of Biological Chemistry 265: 6874–6878. PMID: 2108962
- **43.** Percudani R, Peracchi A (2003) A genomic overview of pyridoxal-phosphate-dependent enzymes. EMBO reports 4: 850–854. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.embor914 PMID: 12949584