# Carbenoids

# Dimerisation of Dipiperidinoacetylene: Convenient Access to Tetraamino-1,3-Cyclobutadiene and Tetraamino-1,2-Cyclobutadiene Metal Complexes

Ludwig Hackl, Alex R. Petrov, Thomas Bannenberg, Matthias Freytag, Peter G. Jones, and Matthias Tamm<sup>\*[a]</sup>

Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Reinhold Tacke on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

**Abstract:** The reaction of 1,2-dipiperidinoacetylene (1) with 0.5 equivalents of SnCl<sub>2</sub> or GeCl<sub>2</sub>·dioxane afforded the 1,2,3,4-tetrapiperidino-1,3-cyclobutadiene tin and germanium dichloride complexes **2a** and **2b**, respectively. A competing redox reaction was observed with excess amounts of SnCl<sub>2</sub>, which produced a tetrapiperidinocyclobutadiene dication with two trichlorostannate(II) counterions. Heating neat **1** to 110 °C for 16 h cleanly produced the dimer 1,3,4,4-tetrapiperidino-3-buten-1-yne (**3**); its reaction with stoichiometric amounts of SnCl<sub>2</sub> or GeCl<sub>2</sub>·dioxane furnished the

1,3,4,4-tetrapiperidino-1,2-cyclobutadiene tin and germanium dichloride complexes **4a** and **4b**, respectively. Transitionmetal complexes containing this novel four-membered cyclic bent allene (CBA) ligand were prepared by reaction of **3** with [(tht)AuCl], [RhCl(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, and [(Me<sub>3</sub>N)W(CO)<sub>5</sub>] to form [(CBA)AuCl] **(5**), [(CBA)RhCl(CO)<sub>2</sub>] **(6**), and [(CBA)W(CO)<sub>5</sub>] **(7**). The molecular structures of all compounds **2–7** were determined by X-ray diffraction analyses, and density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out to rationalise the formation of **3** and **4a**.

# Introduction

Diaminoacetylenes, or ynediamines, have been known since 1964, when Viehe and Reinstein reported the synthesis of 1,2bis(diethylamino)acetylene from 1,1-dichloro-2-fluoroethylene and lithium diethylamide.<sup>[11]</sup> The rather laborious nature of this and related protocols has prevented broad application in organic synthesis,<sup>[2]</sup> whereas an interesting reactivity towards organotransition-metal complexes was discovered.<sup>[3]</sup> In 2010, our group established a novel synthetic approach that relies on a Fritsch–Buttenberg–Wiechell rearrangement upon lithiation of 2,2-dibromo-1,1-ethylenediamines and provides convenient access to diaminoacetylenes such as 1,2-dipiperidinoacetylene (1).<sup>[4]</sup> With 1 and related species in hand, several mono- and bimetallic transition-metal complexes have been prepared, such as ruthenium(II) complex I, in which the long C–C bond indicates that the alkyne acts as a chelating four-electron diamino-

[a]	L. Hackl, Dr. A. R. Petrov, Dr. T. Bannenberg, Dr. M. Freytag,
	Prof. Dr. P. G. Jones, Prof. Dr. M. Tamm
	Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie
	Technische Universität Braunschweig, Hagenring 30
	38106 Braunschweig (Germany)
	E-mail: m.tamm@tu-bs.de
	Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the
D	author(s) of this article can be found under:
-	https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201904726.
ſ	© 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.
	This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons At- tribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 16148-16155

Wiley Online Library

dicarbene ligand (Figure 1).<sup>[5]</sup> Significantly shorter C–C bonds were found in the decamethylmetallocene ( $Cp_2^*M$ ,  $Cp^*=$ 



Figure 1. Selected complexes of dipiperidinoacetylene (1); Mes = 2,4,6-trime-thylphenyl.

16148 © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



 $C_5Me_5$ , M = Ti, Zr) complexes II, which are therefore best described as metallacyclopropene species. In contrast, the sterically less demanding titanocene ( $Cp_2Ti$ ,  $Cp = C_5H_5$ ) and zirconocene (Cp<sub>2</sub>Zr) complex fragments accommodate two alkyne ligands and form the metallacyclopentadienes III.<sup>[6]</sup> The diaminodicarbene character of 1 was also discovered by isolation of the homobimetallic complexes IV, whereas heterobimetallic Ru-Pd complexes were obtained by treatment of I with [(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>2</sub>PdCl<sub>2</sub>].<sup>[5]</sup> Compound 1 also reacted as a vicinal dicarbenoid towards main-group Lewis acids such as BPhCl<sub>2</sub>, with formation of a diborane adduct,<sup>[7]</sup> however, B–C bond activation and 1,2-carboboration was found when BPh<sub>3</sub> or cyclic boroles such as 1-mesityl-2,3,4,5-tetraphenylborole were used.<sup>[7,8]</sup> Similar reactivity was found for diborane and dialane species, which proceeded with diboration and dialumination of the C=C triple bond in 1.<sup>[9]</sup>

Unprecedented reactivity was also observed upon reaction of 1 with the molybdenum alkylidyne complex [MesC=Mo{OC- $Me(CF_3)_2$ ] (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl), which afforded the paramagnetic metallacyclobutadiene (MCBD) complex V with a triplet ground state (S=1) through cleavage of the carboncarbon triple bond and insertion of the alkylidyne moiety.<sup>[10]</sup> In contrast to conventional MCBD species,<sup>[11]</sup> this complex is best described as a Mo<sup>IV</sup> complex containing an anionic diaminodicarbene ligand with strong  $\pi$ -conjugation and electron delocalisation within the backbone NC<sub>3</sub>N unit (Figure 1). In principle, the same structural motif is present in the tin(IV) complex VI, which was obtained by Braunschweig et al. from the reaction of 1 with dimethyltin dichloride. The stannane adduct VI was described as a tin-stabilised cyclobutadienyl system formed by [2+2] cycloaddition in the presence of the Lewis acid Me<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub><sup>[12]</sup> which resembles the reactivity described much earlier by Viehe for the cyclisation of bis(dimethylamino)acetylene upon protonation or alkylation with triethylammonium bromide or methyl iodide, respectively.<sup>[13a]</sup> Furthermore, reaction of the former, the hydrobromide species, with elemental bromine gave the tetrakis(dimethylamino)cyclobutenediylium dibromide [C<sub>4</sub>(NMe<sub>2</sub>)]Br<sub>2</sub>, which contains a rare example of an authenticated, albeit not structurally characterised "cyclobutadiene dication".<sup>[14]</sup> Independently of the work reported by the Braunschweig group on the isolation of the tin(IV) adduct VI,<sup>[12]</sup> we have studied the reaction of dipiperidinoacetylene (1) towards germanium(II) and tin(II) chloride, the original goal being to produce 1:1 complexes for subsequent preparation of heavier Group 14 diaminocyclopropenylidene species of the type  $[(R_2NC)_2E]$  (E=Ge, Sn).<sup>[15]</sup> Instead, as we wish to report herein, the zwitterionic 2:1 adducts 2 were isolated in a manner analogous to the formation of VI (Figure 1). By serendipity, however, the formation of the isomeric forms 4 was also observed, which could be ascribed to the presence of trace amounts of 1,1,2,4-tetrapiperidino-1-buten-3-yne (3).

Compounds **4a**,**b** can be viewed as complexes of a tetraamino-1,2-cyclobutadiene that is closely related to the all-carbon four-membered-ring allene **VII** described by Bertrand.<sup>[16]</sup> Compound **VII** was generated from a protonated precursor by reaction with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) and shown to be persistent at low temperature in solution, presumably with stabilisation through lithium coordination; this was exploited for the preparation of the transition-metal complexes [(VII)MCI(COD)] and [(VII)MCI(CO)<sub>2</sub>] (M = Rh, Ir, COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene).<sup>[16]</sup> We wish to show in this contribution that clean dimerisation of **1** to the corresponding 1-buten-3-yne **3** can be achieved at elevated temperature and that **3** serves as a suitable starting material for the preparation of main-group element and also transition-metal complexes such as **4–7**. The ligand properties of this novel four-membered carbenoid will be assessed and compared to other acyclic and cyclic bent allene (CBA) systems,<sup>[17–20]</sup> which have emerged as powerful ancillary ligands in organotransition-metal chemistry and homogeneous cataly-sis.<sup>[21]</sup>

## **Results and Discussion**

## SnCl<sub>2</sub>- and GeCl<sub>2</sub>-stabilised 1,3-cyclobutadienes

The reactions of dipiperidinoacetylene (1) with 0.5 equiv of SnCl<sub>2</sub> or GeCl<sub>2</sub>·dioxane in acetonitrile at room temperature afforded the tin(II) and germanium(II) cyclobutadienyl complexes 2a and 2b, respectively, as orange, crystalline solids in moderate (56% for 2a) to good yields (88% for 2b) (Scheme 1). The  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR spectra (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of **2a/2b** exhibit three signals each for the quaternary carbon atoms at 89.8/82.2 (C1), 112.6/114.0 (C2) and 173.7/172.9 ppm (C2+C4), which is in good agreement with the chemical shifts reported for the tin(IV) adduct VI, 78, 113, and 172 ppm (in  $C_6D_6$ ),<sup>[12]</sup> and confirms the formation of four-membered rings with  $C_s$ -symmetry. The observation of the signal for the tin-coordinated carbon atom in **2a** at lower field ( $\Delta \delta =$  12 ppm) compared with **VI** is in agreement with the trend observed for other systems, for example N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) tin(II) and tin(IV) complexes.<sup>[22]</sup> For **2a**, <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectroscopy revealed a signal



**Scheme 1.** Preparation of  $SnCl_2$ - and  $GeCl_2$ -stabilised cyclobutadienes: conditions and reagents: a) 0.5 equiv.  $SnCl_2$ , MeCN, rt, 2.5 h; b) 0.5 equiv.  $GeCl_2$ -dioxane, MeCN, rt, 2.5 h; c) 110 °C, 16 h; d) 1 equiv.  $SnCl_2$ , THF, rt, 1.5 h; e) 1 equiv.  $GeCl_2$ -dioxane, THF, rt, 1.5 h.

Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 16148-16155



at 161.9 ppm, which is in good agreement with the chemical shift (113.3 ppm) reported for an SnCl<sub>2</sub> complex containing the carbon-bound ylidic Wittig ligand  $Ph_3P=CMe_2$ .<sup>[23]</sup>

Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by diffusion of *n*-pentane into a saturated solution in dichloromethane (**2a**) or cooling a saturated solution in acetonitrile (**2b**); **2a** and **2b** are isotypic and crystallise in the space group  $P2_1/c$ . The molecular structure of **2a** is shown in Figure 2,



**Figure 2.** ORTEP diagram of **2a** with thermal displacement parameters drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and a disordered position of the piperidyl ring at C3 have been omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles, see Table 1.

whereas that of **2b** can be found in the Supporting Information (Figure S1). Both four-membered rings are planar to within a mean deviation of 0.02 Å. Pertinent structural parameters are given in Table 1. As expected, the Sn and Ge atoms reside in acute trigonal-pyramidal environments with Cl-Sn-Cl and Cl-Ge-Cl angles of 93.84(3)° and 95.613(12)°, respectively. The Sn–C1 and Ge–C1 bond lengths are 2.320(3) and 2.1249(12) Å and fall in the range reported for the corresponding carbon–element bonds in complexes of the type (NHC)ECl<sub>2</sub> (E=Sn, Ge).<sup>[22]</sup> The complexes (Ph<sub>3</sub>P=CMe<sub>2</sub>)ECl<sub>2</sub> feature similar bond lengths of 2.3518(14) (Sn–C) and 2.1535(19) Å (Ge–C),<sup>[23]</sup> whereas shorter bonds were found the ECl<sub>2</sub> adducts of the

Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles for the complexes 2 and $4^{[a]}$							
Bond length [Å]	2a	2 b	4a	4 b			
E-C1	2.320(3)	2.1249(12)	2.2444(2)	2.039(3)			
C1–C2	1.558(5)	1.570 (2)	1.414(2)	1.424(3)			
C1–C4	1.521(4)	1.524(2)	1.421(2)	1.415(4)			
C2–C3	1.402(4)	1.412(2)	1.555(2)	1.571(3)			
C3–C4	1.417(5)	1.414(2)	1.568(2)	1.559(3)			
C4–N4	1.318(4)	1.308(2)	1.320(2)	1.313(3)			
C3–N3	1.402(5)	1.406(2)	1.458(2)	1.450(3)			
Bond angle [°]							
CI1-E-CI2	93.84(3)	95.613(12)	93.310(17)	97.18(3)			
C1-E-Cl1	93.08(8)	95.30(3)	94.19(5)	101.57(7)			
C1-E-Cl2	96.88(9)	98.70(3)	94.62(5)	93.33(7)			
C2-C1-C4	81.3(2)	81.51(9)	89.86(19)	89.9(2)			
[a] For comparison with calculated geometric parameters, see Table S4 in the Supporting Information.							

four-membered cyclic diphosphete [HCP(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, namely Sn– C=2.267(3), Ge–C=2.070(3) Å.<sup>[24]</sup> The structural features within the C<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub> unit are virtually identical to those reported for the corresponding tin(IV) adduct VI,<sup>[12]</sup> with short C–C and C–N bonds along the N2-C2-C3-C4-N4 chain indicating a high degree of  $\pi$ -conjugation and electron delocalisation. Accordingly, the piperidine groups at N2 and N4 are in a coplanar arrangement with the four-membered ring (e.g. two absolute torsion angles each of the types C<sub>pip</sub>-N2-C2-C1/3 and C<sub>pip</sub>-N4-C4-C1/3 for **2a** are less than 8°), whereas roughly perpendicular orientations are found at N1 and N3.

It should be noted that attempts to improve the yield of 2a by variation of the stoichiometry and reaction time furnished grey metallic precipitates, in particular when an excess of tin(II) chloride and long reaction times (about 60 h) were employed. This indicates the formation of elemental tin through a concurrent redox process. Workup afforded a yellow solid and single crystals were obtained by diffusion of *n*-hexane into a saturated THF solution. X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the formation of the tetrapiperidinocyclobutadiene dication with two trichlorostannate(II) counterions (compound S1, Figures S7 and S8, Supporting Information). To the best of our knowledge, this compound represents the first structurally characterised salt containing a cyclobutadiene dication,<sup>[13]</sup> however, its isolation in pure form was hampered by the formation of a mixture of salts containing extended chloride-bridged polystannate anions of the type  $[Sn_nCl_{2n+1}]^{-}$ .<sup>[25]</sup> When an excess of  $GeCl_2$  was used, another side product S2 was isolated and structurally characterized (Figures S9 and S10), revealing trans-addition of two GeCl<sub>3</sub> units across the C–C triple bond in 1. These findings show that the stoichiometry and reaction conditions need to be carefully balanced to obtain optimum yields of 2a and 2b and to avoid a competing one- or two-electron oxidation of the electron-rich diaminoacetylene 1.

#### SnCl<sub>2</sub>- and GeCl<sub>2</sub>-stabilised 1,2-cyclobutadienes

Another side reaction was also observed by serendipity: when diaminoacetylene 1, usually stored at -40 °C under argon atmosphere, was left at room temperature for several days, a significant increase in viscosity of the liquid was observed. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy revealed the formation of a new, less symmetric species. Subsequently, we tested different reaction conditions to isolate the new compound in pure form; heating 1 to 110 °C without any solvent for 16 h proved to be the best method. An orange-brownish resin was obtained and suspended by stirring in hexamethyldisiloxane to afford 1,1,2,4-tetrapiperidino-1-buten-3-yne (3) as a beige powder after filtration in high yield (92%, Scheme 1). Further investigations, including 2D-NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry, confirmed the formation of enyne 3 as the dimerisation product of 1. For instance, the carbon atoms along the C=C-C=C chain give rise to four <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals at 157.8, 102.6, 59.9, and 101.8 ppm, reflecting the asymmetric charge distribution within the C4 chain. In addition, the molecular structure was determined unequivocally by X-ray diffraction analysis of single crystals obtained from cooling a saturated THF solution to -40°C

Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 16148-16155





(Figure 3). Given that the piperidine ring at N1 is disordered over two positions, the structural parameters must be interpreted with caution. Nevertheless, the expected connectivity is confirmed; the molecule is slightly twisted, with the strongest deviation observed for the N3-C4-N4 unit, which subtends an interplanar angle of 25.6° with the envne plane containing the carbon atoms C1-C4.



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of 3 with thermal displacement parameters drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and a disordered position of the piperidyl ring and C1 have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C1-C2 1.193(2), C2-C3 1.431(2), C3-C4 1.369(2), C3-N2 1.4486(14), C4-N3 1.3949(13), C4-N4 1.3944(14); C2-C1-N1 176.6(3), C2-C3-C4 123.08(10), N4-C4-N3 112.69(9).

The formation of 3 resembles the dimerisation of acetylene to vinylacetylene (3-buten-1-yne), which is usually performed in the presence of copper (Nieuwland) catalysts.<sup>[26]</sup> Vinylacetylene represents the most stable isomer on the C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub> potentialenergy surface (PES), and its formation from acetylene was calculated to be exothermic with  $\Delta H^{\circ} = -40.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  at the CCSD(T) level of theory.<sup>[27]</sup> It has been proposed that the dimerisation of acetylene proceeds with formation of a 1,4-biradical followed by a [1,3]-hydrogen shift. We were able to find a plausible mechanism for the formation of 3 that builds on the "hidden dicarbene nature"<sup>[28]</sup> of diaminoacetylenes and involves C-C coupling between two molecules of 1, affording a 1,4-dicarbene intermediate, and a subsequent 1,3-migration of one piperidyl group (Figure S35, Supporting Information). Our density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the B97-D/6-311G(d,p) level of theory reveal a barrier of 15.2 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>  $(\Delta G^{\circ}$  referred to two equivalents of **1**) for the rate-determining C-C coupling step, and the formation of 3 being exergonic with  $\Delta G^{\circ} = -36.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  ( $\Delta H^{\circ} = -49.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ).

The reactions of enyne 3 with one equiv of SnCl<sub>2</sub> or GeCl<sub>2</sub>·dioxane in THF at room temperature afforded yellowishwhite precipitates, and the dichlorostannylene and dichlorogermylene complexes 4a and 4b, respectively, were isolated in good yield (approx. 77%) by filtration, extraction with dichloromethane, and evaporation (Scheme 1). NMR spectroscopic characterisation indicated the formation of  $C_{2v}$ -symmetric compounds with two different types of piperidine units. Notably, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 4a/4b show three signals each at 180.1/179.2 (C2+C4), 148.1/137.2 (C1), and 97.7/95.9 ppm (C3) for the ring carbon atoms. The two lowfield signals can be assigned to the central NC<sub>3</sub>N moiety, which is in excellent agreement with the chemical shifts of 185.7 and 151.6 ppm reported for the cyclic allene VII, or rather its lithium adduct.<sup>[16]</sup> Similar ranges are also found for transition-metal complexes of **VII** (see below). Strong  $\pi$ -conjugation across the diaminoallene NC<sub>3</sub>N unit and consequent hindered rotation around the exocyclic C–N bonds gives rise to broad <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals for the 2,6-CH<sub>2</sub> hydrogen atoms of the two flanking piperidyl substituents.

Single crystals of 4a·CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 4b were subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis; the molecular structure of the tin compound is presented in Figure 4, whereas that of the germanium derivative is shown in the Supporting Information (Figure S4). The four-membered rings are planar to within 0.03 Å.



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of 4a in 4a CHCl<sub>3</sub> with thermal displacement parameters drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and the molecule of chloroform have been omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles, see Table 1.

Pertinent structural data are given in Table 1. With lengths of 2.2444(2) and 2.039(3) Å, the Sn-C1 and Ge-C1 bonds are shorter than those of N-heterocyclic carbene adducts of the type (NHC)SnCl<sub>2</sub> and (NHC)GeCl<sub>2</sub><sup>[22]</sup> and are also clearly less than the values reported for similar complexes containing abnormal NHC, cyclic alkyl(amino) carbene (CAAC), and carbodiphosphorane ligands.<sup>[29]</sup> The carbon-carbon and carbon-nitrogen bond lengths within the N1-C2-C1-C4-N4 unit lie between the expected values for single and double bonds, indicating a high degree of  $\pi$ -conjugation and electron delocalisation. The tin and germanium atoms reside in acute trigonal-pyramidal environments with Cl-Sn-Cl and Cl-Ge-Cl angles of 93.310(17)° and 97.18(3)°, respectively; these units are oriented in a staggered fashion towards the allene moieties in 4a and 4b. However, absolute N1-C2-C1-E and N4-C4-C1-E torsion angles of approximately 30° reveal twisted arrangements, in which the Ge and Sn atoms are displaced by 0.62 and 0.70 Å respectively from the C2-C1-C4 place.

The formation of 4a from 3 was also studied by DFT calculations at the B97-D/6-311G(d,p) level of theory. The first step involves the strongly exergonic formation of intermediate IN1, which is formed by addition of SnCl<sub>2</sub> at the terminal acetylenic carbon atom C1 ( $\Delta G^{\circ} = -34.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ). It should be noted,



**Figure 5.** Calculated energy profile for the formation of **4a**, scaled to standard Gibbs free energies ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ); standard enthalpies ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ) are given in square brackets.

however, that the large magnitude of this energy is not meaningful, given that the calculation involved the hypothetic highenergy gas-phase species SnCl<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, the energy profile shown in Figure 5 is referenced to IN1, which further undergoes a 1,2-shift of the SnCl<sub>2</sub> moiety to carbon atom C2 to give IN2, followed by ring closure and C-C bond formation between the terminal carbon atoms C1 and C4. The overall reaction is exergonic by  $\Delta G^{\circ} = -7.3$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and involves a maximum barrier of  $\Delta G^{\circ} = 25.1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . Nevertheless, the 1,2-cyclobutadiene complex 4a does not represent the global minimum on the energy hypersurface, and the corresponding 1,3cyclobutadiene adduct **2a** is stabilised by  $\Delta G^{\circ} = -5.9$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S36, Supporting Information). Interconversion between 2a and 4a cannot be observed experimentally, and the formation of isomer 2a from two equivalents of 1 and SnCl<sub>2</sub> can be rationalised by a similar mechanism as proposed for the tin(IV) adduct VI.<sup>[12]</sup>

#### Transition-metal 1,2-cyclobutadiene complexes

The ability of enyne **3** to form cyclic bent allene (CBA) complexes was further explored by reaction with various transitionmetal precursors (Scheme 2). Treatment with [(tht)AuCl] (tht = tetrahydrothiophene) provided the gold(I) complex **5** as a white solid in good yield (82%, Scheme 2). Ring closure and formation of a CBA ligand was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopy with three signals at 95.9 (C3), 123.6 (C1), and 179.2 (C2/C4) ppm for the ring carbon atoms. Diffu-



Scheme 2. Synthesis of transition-metal 1,2-cyclobutadiene complexes; conditions and reagents: a) 1 equiv. [(tht)AuCl], THF, rt, 2 h, 82%; b) 0.5 equiv. [RhCl(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, toluene, rt, 4 h, 84%; c) 1 equiv. [(NMe<sub>3</sub>)W(CO)<sub>5</sub>], THF, 50 °C, 16 h, 36%.

sion of *n*-hexane into a solution of **5** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> gave single crystals of **5**·CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis. The molecular structure (Figure 6) confirms the formation of a linear gold complex with a C1-Au-Cl angle of 176.77(11)°. At 2.001(4) Å, the Au–C1 bond length is similar to those established for NHC gold(I) complexes, compare 1.998(5) Å in [(IMe-s)AuCl] (IMes = 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene).<sup>[30]</sup> The four-membered ring and the gold atom are coplanar to within 0.002 Å. To assess the steric properties of the CBA ligand in **5**, the buried volume descriptor (%V<sub>Bur</sub>) was determined as 30.5% using the software SambVca 2 (see the

Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 16148-16155



Figure 6. ORTEP diagram of 5 in  $5 \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  with thermal displacement parameters drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and the molecule of dichloromethane have been omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles, see Table 2.

Supporting Information for details).<sup>[31]</sup> Comparison with the values calculated by Nolan and co-worker<sup>[32]</sup> for different NHC ligands showed that this value is larger than for a cyclohexyl-substituted NHC ligand 1,3-bis(cyclohexyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene) (ICy,  $%V_{Bur}$ =27.4%), but smaller compared with the widely used aryl-substituted NHCs IMes ( $%V_{Bur}$ =36.5%) and 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene (IDipp,  $%V_{Bur}$ =44.5%).

To assess the donor strength of the novel CBA ligand by IR spectroscopy,<sup>[33-36]</sup> the rhodium(I) complex 6 was prepared by reaction of enyne **3** with 0.5 equiv. of  $[Rh(\mu-CI)(CO)_2]_2$  in toluene at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent and washing with *n*-hexane and diethyl ether, **6** was isolated as an orange-brown solid in 84% yield. The <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum exhibits three doublets for the metal-bound carbon atoms at 131.2 (C1,  ${}^{1}J_{C-Rh} = 32$  Hz), 186.9 (trans-CO,  ${}^{1}J_{C-Rh} = 52$  Hz), and 184.9 ppm (*cis*-CO,  ${}^{1}J_{C-Rh} = 79$  Hz). These chemical shifts are in excellent agreement with the data reported for the corresponding complex [(VII)RhCl(CO)<sub>2</sub>]. Similarly, the CO stretching frequencies reported for this complex ( $\tilde{\nu} = 1976$ , 2055 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\tilde{\nu}_{av} = 2016 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) perfectly match those determined for **6** ( $\tilde{\nu} =$ 1978, 2056 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\tilde{\nu}_{av} = 2017$  cm<sup>-1</sup>), confirming the strong electron-donating ability of this type of 1,3-diamino-1,2-cyclobutadiene ligands.<sup>[16]</sup> Hence, these ligands appear to be stronger donor ligands than most cyclic and acyclic diaminocarbene ligands,<sup>[33-36]</sup> and similar or even lower values were only reported for a few other C-donor ligands,<sup>[37]</sup> such as five-membered cyclic bent allenes,<sup>[17]</sup> carbodicarbenes,<sup>[38]</sup> carbodiphosphoranes,<sup>[39]</sup> and N-heterocyclic olefins.<sup>[18,40]</sup>

The molecular structure was additionally confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 7). The structure is disordered, with exchange of the Cl and CO ligand sites, but is nevertheless reliable. The rhodium atom displays a square-planar coordination sphere (mean deviation 0.008 Å), which subtends an interplanar angle of  $66.6^{\circ}$  with the CBA plane (C1-C2-C3-C4; mean deviation 0.006 Å). The structural parameters within the CBA ligand closely resemble those in **5**, and the Rh–C1 bond length of 2.0602(14) Å is marginally greater than the 2.038(5) Å in [(VII)RhCI(CO)<sub>2</sub>].<sup>[16]</sup>



**Figure 7.** ORTEP diagram of **6** with thermal displacement parameters drawn at the 50% probability level. The chloride and the *cis*-carbonyl group show substitutional disorder. The hydrogen atoms and the minor disorder component have been omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles, see Table 2.

Another transition-metal carbonyl complex was prepared by reaction of enyne **3** with  $[(Me_3N)W(CO)_5]$  in THF. After stirring for 16 h at 50 °C the solvent was removed. The residue was washed with *n*-hexane and recrystallised from diethyl ether/*n*-hexane solution to provide the pentacarbonyltungsten(0) complex **7** as a yellow solid in relatively low yield (36%). The molecular structure was established by X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 8), revealing a slightly distorted-octahedral coordina-



**Figure 8.** ORTEP diagram of **7** with thermal displacement parameters drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles, see Table 2.

tion geometry around the tungsten atom. Unlike the coplanar orientation in the gold(I) and rhodium(I) complexes **5** and **6**, the CBA ligand is tilted with regard to the metal atom as indicated by an W-C1-C3 angle of 158.40(16)° (Table 2), presumably because of steric interaction with the sterically more demanding W(CO)<sub>5</sub> complex fragment; the tungsten atom lies 0.91 Å out of the plane of the four-membered ring, which has a mean deviation of 0.05 Å, somewhat larger than in the other compounds presented here. The W–C1 bond length is 2.319(3) Å, which is slightly longer than usually found for [(NHC)W(CO)<sub>5</sub>] complexes, for example 2.282(3) Å in [(ICy)W(CO)<sub>5</sub>].<sup>[41]</sup> 2.275(8) Å in [(<sup>Me</sup>IEt)W(CO)<sub>5</sub>].<sup>(Me</sup>IEt = 1,3-diethyl-



Table 2.         Selected bond lengths and angles for the transition-metal complexes 5–7.							
Bond length [Å]	5	6	7				
M–C1	2.001(4)	2.0602(14)	2.319(3)				
C1–C2	1.404(5)	1.4064(19)	1.421(4)				
C1–C4	1.413(5)	1.4139(19)	1.416(4)				
C2–C3	1.558(5)	1.5537(18)	1.557(4)				
C3–C4	1.564(5)	1.5522(19)	1.549(4)				
C4–N4	1.314(5)	1.3265(18)	1.326(4)				
C3–N3	1.452(4)	1.4585(17)	1.469(4)				
Bond angle [°]							
C1-M-E <sub>trans</sub> <sup>[a]</sup>	172.01(12)	176.77(11)	178.77(6)				
C1-M-C26	-	86.54(8)	85.29(11)				
C2-C1-C4	90.5(3)	89.73(11)	88.0(2)				
C1-C2-C3	95.2(3)	95.42(11)	95.8(2)				
C2-C3-C4	79.7(3)	79.66(10)	78.8(2)				
M-C1-C3	177.80(10)	178.31(12)	158.40(16)				
[a] E <sub>trans</sub> =Cl (5); E <sub>trans</sub> =C25 (6,7).							

4,5-dimethylimidazolin-2-ylidene), or 2.260(2) Å in [(IDipp)-W(CO)\_5].  $^{[42]}$ 

The tungsten pentacarbonyl complex **7** was also investigated by IR spectroscopy. The IR spectrum shows bands for the four CO stretching modes  $\tilde{v}(CO) = 1866 \ (A_1^{(1)})$ , 1888 (*E*), 1942  $(B_1)$ , 2047  $(A_1^{(2)}) \ cm^{-1}$ ), as expected for a  $C_1$ -symmetric pentacarbonyl complex,<sup>[43]</sup> although the  $B_1$  fundamental is only resolved as a shoulder. Comparison with reported values for phosphines (e.g. PPh<sub>3</sub>:  $\tilde{v}(A_1^{(1)}) = 1939$ ; PMe<sub>3</sub>:  $\tilde{v}(A_1^{(1)}) = 1937 \ cm^{-1})^{[44]}$  and NHCs (e.g. 1,3-diisopropylimidazolin-2-ylidene, IPr:  $\tilde{v}(A_1^{(1)}) = 1880 \ cm^{-1})^{[45]}$  further emphasises the strong donating ability of the CBA ligand.

# Conclusions

The reaction of dipiperidinoacetylene (1) with SnCl<sub>2</sub> and GeCl<sub>2</sub>·dioxane afforded the expected 1,3-cyclobutadiene tin and germanium dichloride complexes 2a and 2b. The clean conversion of 1 into its dimer tetrapiperidino-3-buten-1-yne (3), as discovered in this contribution, provided access to the 1,2-cyclobutadiene isomers 4a and 4b. These complexes feature the tetrapiperidino-1,2-cyclobutadiene ligand as a new four-membered addition to the family of cyclic bent allenes (CBA), and the cyclisation of 3 in the presence of Lewis acidic main-group and transition-metal complex fragments represents a general entry to this class of CBA complexes, as demonstrated by the successful preparation of the gold(I), rhodium(I) and tungsten(0) complexes 5-7. The strong electron-donating ability indicated by IR spectroscopy hints at the potential of this carbenoid ligand for applications in homogeneous catalysis, with the obvious possibilities of tuning this system by variation of the diaminoacetylene precursor or by postfunctionalisation at the terminal aminal group.

## Acknowledgements

L.H. wishes to thank Mr. Luong Phong Ho for the crystal structure determination of compound **3**.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Keywords:** carbenoids · cyclic bent allenes · cyclobutadienes · diaminoacetylenes · four-membered rings

- H. G. Viehe, M. Reinstein, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1964, 3, 506; Angew. Chem. 1964, 76, 537.
- [2] a) G. Himbert, H. Naßhan, S. Kosack, Synlett 1991, 1991, 117; b) L.
   Brandsma, H. d. Verkruijsse, Synth. Commun. 1991, 21, 811; c) L. Réne, Z.
   Janousek, H. G. Viehe, Synthesis 1982, 1982, 645; d) S. Y. Delavarenne,
   H. G. Viehe, Chem. Ber. 1970, 103, 1198.
- [3] a) A. Goswami, C.-J. Maier, H. Pritzkow, W. Siebert, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 3251; b) A. Goswami, C.-J. Maier, H. Pritzkow, W. Siebert, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 2635; c) A. C. Filippou, T. Rosenauer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2393; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 2499; d) C. Hartbaum, E. Mauz, G. Roth, K. Weissenbach, H. Fischer, Organometallics 1999, 18, 2619; e) H. Fischer, O. Podschadly, G. Roth, S. Herminghaus, S. Klewitz, J. Heck, S. Houbrechts, T. Meyer, J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 541, 321; f) Heck, K.-A. Kriebisch, W. Massa, S. Wocadlo, J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 482, 81; g) H. Fischer, C. Troll, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1994, 457; h) H. Fischer, T. Meisner, J. Hofmann, J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 384, 305.
- [4] A. R. Petrov, C. G. Daniliuc, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 11804.
- [5] A. R. Petrov, T. Bannenberg, C. G. Daniliuc, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, *Dalton Trans.* 2011, 40, 10503.
- [6] Ò. Àrias, A. R. Petrov, T. Bannenberg, K. Altenburger, P. Arndt, P. G. Jones, U. Rosenthal, M. Tamm, *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 1774.
- [7] H. Kelch, S. Kachel, M. A. Celik, M. Schafer, B. Wennemann, K. Radacki, A. R. Petrov, M. Tamm, H. Braunschweig, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 13815.
- [8] H. Kelch, S. Kachel, J. Wahler, M. A. Celik, A. Stoy, I. Krummenacher, T. Kramer, K. Radacki, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 15387.
- [9] a) A. Hofmann, A. Lamprecht, O. F. González-Belman, R. D. Dewhurst, J. O. C. Jiménez-Halla, S. Kachel, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Commun.* 2018, 54, 1639; b) J. Böhnke, H. Braunschweig, A. Deißenberger, T. Dellermann, R. D. Dewhurst, J. O. C. Jiménez-Halla, S. Kachel, H. Kelch, D. Prieschl, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, 53, 12132.
- [10] O. Àrias, K. Brandhorst, D. Baabe, M. Freytag, P.G. Jones, M. Tamm, Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 4737.
- [11] a) H. Ehrhorn, D. Bockfeld, M. Freytag, T. Bannenberg, C. E. Kefalidis, L. Maron, M. Tamm, Organometallics 2019, 38, 1627; b) H. Ehrhorn, M. Tamm, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 3190.
- [12] R. Bertermann, H. Braunschweig, M. A. Celik, T. Dellermann, H. Kelch, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 13249.
- [13] a) A. Bouvy, Z. Janousek, H. G. Viehe, *Synthesis* **1983**, *1983*, 718; b) G. A. Olah, J. S. Staral, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 6290; c) G. A. Olah, G. D. Mateescu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 1430; d) G. A. Olah, J. M. Bollinger, A. M. White, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 3667.
- [14] Y. Matsuo, M. Maruyama, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9334.
- [15] a) D. Holschumacher, C. G. Hrib, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 3661; b) V. Lavallo, Y. Canac, B. Donnadieu, W. W. Schoeller, G. Bertrand, *Science* 2006, *312*, 722.
- [16] M. Melaimi, P. Parameswaran, B. Donnadieu, G. Frenking, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4792; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 4886.
- [17] V. Lavallo, C. A. Dyker, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5411; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 5491.
- [18] A. Fürstner, M. Alcarazo, R. Goddard, C. W. Lehmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3210; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 3254.
- [19] a) M. Christl, B. Engels, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1538–1539;
   Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 1566–1567; b) M. M. Hänninen, A. Peuronen,

www.chemeurj.org

 $16154 \quad @ \ 2019 \ The \ Authors. \ Published \ by \ Wiley-VCH \ Verlag \ GmbH \& Co. \ KGaA, \ Weinheim$ 



CHEMISTRY A European Journal Full Paper

H. M. Tuononen, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 7287; c) V. Lavallo, C. A. Dyker,
B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1540; Angew.
Chem. 2009, 121, 1568; d) A. Peuronen, M. M. Hänninen, H. M. Tuononen, Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 2577; e) I. Fernández, C. A. Dyker, A. Dehope, B. Donnadieu, G. Frenking, G. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2009, 131, 11875; f) D. S. Patel, P. V. Bharatam, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2558; g) R. Tonner, G. Frenking, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8695; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 8850.

- [20] C. A. Dyker, V. Lavallo, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3206; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 3250.
- [21] a) C. Pranckevicius, D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics* 2013, *32*, 2693; b) C.
   Pranckevicius, L. Fan, D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 5582;
   c) A. Dehope, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2011, 696, 2899.
- [22] G. Prabusankar, A. Sathyanarayana, P. Suresh, C. Naga Babu, K. Srinivas, B. P. R. Metla, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2014, *269*, 96.
- [23] A. K. Swarnakar, S. M. McDonald, K. C. Deutsch, P. Choi, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald, E. Rivard, *Inorg. Chem.* 2014, 53, 8662.
- [24] E. Fluck, M. Spahn, G. Heckmann, H. Borrmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1992, 612, 56.
- [25] a) M. Veith, B. Gödicke, v. Huch, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1989, 579, 99;
   b) M. Veith, B. Gödicke, v. Huch, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1989, 579, 87.
- [26] a) T. Tachiyama, M. Yoshida, T. Aoyagi, S. Fukuzumi, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2008**, *22*, 205; b) J. Liu, Y. Zuo, M. Han, Z. Wang, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **2013**, *88*, 408.
- [27] D. Cremer, E. Kraka, H. Joo, J. A. Stearns, T. S. Zwier, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 5304.
- [28] C. H. Suresh, P. V. Bijina, ChemPhysChem 2018, 19, 3266.
- [29] a) S. Khan, G. Gopakumar, W. Thiel, M. Alcarazo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 5644; *Angew. Chem.* 2013, *125*, 5755; b) Y. Li, K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, H. Zhu, P. Stollberg, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, D. M. Andrada, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 12422; c) A. P. Singh, P. P. Samuel, K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, N. S. Sidhu, B. Dittrich, *Organometallics* 2013, *32*, 354; d) A. P. Singh, R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, J. J. Holstein, B. Dittrich, J.-P. Demers, V. Chevelkov, A. Lange, *Chem. Commun.* 2012, *48*, 7574.
- [30] P. de Frémont, N. M. Scott, E. D. Stevens, S. P. Nolan, Organometallics 2005, 24, 2411.

- [31] L. Falivene, R. Credendino, A. Poater, A. Petta, L. Serra, R. Oliva, V. Scarano, L. Cavallo, Organometallics 2016, 35, 2286.
- [32] H. Clavier, S. P. Nolan, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 841.
- [33] W. A. Herrmann, J. Schütz, G. D. Frey, E. Herdtweck, Organometallics 2006, 25, 2437.
- [34] A. Fürstner, M. Alcarazo, H. Krause, C. W. Lehmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12676.
- [35] T. Dröge, F. Glorius, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6940; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 7094.
- [36] H. V. Huynh, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 9457.
- [37] R. Tonner, G. Frenking, Organometallics 2009, 28, 3901.
- [38] a) W.-C. Chen, Y.-C. Hsu, C.-Y. Lee, G. P. A. Yap, T.-G. Ong, Organometallics 2013, 32, 2435; b) W.-C. Chen, J.-S. Shen, T. Jurca, C.-J. Peng, Y.-H. Lin, Y.-P. Wang, W.-C. Shih, G. P. A. Yap, T.-G. Ong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15207; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 15422.
- [39] a) J. D. Masuda, D. Martin, C. Lyon-Saunier, A. Baceiredo, H. Gornitzka, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Chem. Asian J.* **2007**, *2*, 178; b) S. Marrot, T. Kato, H. Gornitzka, A. Baceiredo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 2598; *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, *118*, 2660.
- [40] a) K. Powers, C. Hering-Junghans, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, E. Rivard, *Polyhedron* 2016, *108*, 8; b) S. Kronig, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2013, 2301.
- [41] W. A. Herrmann, C. Köcher, L. J. Gooßen, G. R. J. Artus, Chem. Eur. J. 1996, 2, 1627.
- [42] R. S. Ghadwal, D. Rottschäfer, D. M. Andrada, G. Frenking, C. J. Schürmann, H.-G. Stammler, *Dalton Trans.* 2017, 46, 7791.
- [43] F. A. Cotton, C. S. Kraihanzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 4432.
- [44] G. M. Bancroft, L. Dignard-Bailey, R. J. Puddephatt, Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 3675.
- [45] S. M. I. Al-Rafia, A. C. Malcolm, S. K. Liew, M. J. Ferguson, E. Rivard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 777.

Manuscript received: October 16, 2019

Accepted manuscript online: October 18, 2019

Version of record online: November 19, 2019