

An evaluation of proximal femur bone density in young, active patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty at one year postoperatively

HIP International 2019, Vol. 29(1) 51–57 © The Author(s) 2018

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1120700018761152 journals.sagepub.com/home/hpi



Denis Nam¹, Rondek Salih², Robert L Barrack² and Ryan M Nunley²

Abstract

Background: Prior investigations have shown a decrease in periprosthetic bone mineral density (BMD) of the calcar following total hip arthroplasty (THA). The purpose of this investigation was to study proximal femur BMD in a cohort of young, active patients following THA at I year postoperatively using a recently introduced stem design.

Methods: This was a prospective, IRB-approved investigation of patients with an age <65 years, BMI <35 kg/m², and presymptomatic UCLA score of \geq 6 undergoing a primary THA for a diagnosis of osteoarthritis. All patients received a titanium, proximally coated, tapered cementless femoral stem (ACCOLADE II, Stryker Inc, Mahwah, NJ, USA). Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry scans were performed at 6 weeks, 6 months, and I year postoperatively. Bone density was analyzed for 7 traditional Gruen zones with BMD ratios calculated for change in BMD compared with the baseline. **Results:** 31 patients (mean age of 52.6 ± 6.5 years, BMI of 27.9 ± 3.9 kg/m², and UCLA activity score of 7.3 ± 1.9) were included. The mean BMD ratio decreased at the 6 months and I year interval in zones I and 2. However, the mean BMD ratio was maintained in Gruen zones 3 thru 7 with zone 7 (medial calcar) demonstrating 100% maintenance of the baseline BMD at I year.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates the maintenance of medial calcar bone density at 1 year postoperatively in young, active patients undergoing THA. Further longitudinal analysis of this stem design is necessary to elucidate the significance of this finding.

Keywords

Activity level, bone density, periprosthetic, stem design, total hip arthroplasty

Date received: 1 June 2017; accepted: 16 December 2017

Introduction

The utilisation of total hip arthroplasty (THA) in the United States continues to rapidly increase with patients <65 years of age constituting the fastest growing subset of patients seeking THA.^{1,2} However, as younger, more active patients continue to seek THA, the longevity of these implants continues to be scrutinised. The primary mode of failure following THA remains aseptic loosening,³ with periprosthetic bone loss and bone resorption recognised as contributing factors.⁴ Periprosthetic bone loss is known to increase the risk of aseptic loosening, component migration, periprosthetic fracture, and pain.^{4,5} Following THA,

femoral bone resorption can occur secondary to decreased load transfer through the metaphyseal region and implantspecific stress shielding.⁶ This decreased area of proximal

Corresponding author:

Rush University Medical Center, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Chicago, IL, USA

²Washington University School of Medicine/Barnes-Jewish Hospital, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, St. Louis, MO, USA

Denis Nam, Rush University Medical Center, 1611 West Harrison St., Suite 300, Chicago, IL 60612, USA. Email: denis.nam@rushortho.com

implant-bone support increases the potential for late failure, periprosthetic fracture, and potentially thigh pain.

The measurement of periprosthetic bone mineral density (BMD) is a useful tool to determine the area of implant fixation and physiologic load transfer following THA. Femoral stem design is known to impact the degree of stress shielding, with stem material, stem size and modulus of elasticity, mode of fixation, design and geometry all influencing the change in periprosthetic bone density.⁷ Prior investigations have shown stiffer, larger, noncemented, cobalt-chromium stems to increase the occurrence of stress shielding.⁸⁻¹⁰ However, additional investigations studying the use of titanium, proximally coated stems designed to engage the metaphysis have consistently shown periprosthetic bone loss over time with the medial calcar most affected.^{6,7,11,12} Thus, even advancements in stem material, design, and geometry have failed to restore a physiologic load transfer through the medial calcar following THA.

The continued investigation of stem design and its impact on proximal femur bone density remains crucial as it provides insight into potential etiologies of persistent pain and also design modifications that could lead to a more physiologic stress transfer, bone preservation, and potentially improved implant longevity. The purpose of this investigation was to study proximal femur BMD in a cohort of young, active patients following THA at one year postoperatively using a recently introduced stem design.

Methods

This study was a prospective, IRB-approved investigation performed at a single institution. Between January 2014 and May 2015 patients were enrolled in a single cohort, prospective evaluation designed to study the use of a modular, dual mobility acetabular prosthesis (Modular Dual Mobility, Stryker Orthopaedics, Mahwah, NJ, USA) in young, active patients. All patients in this study received a titanium, proximally coated, tapered cementless femoral stem (ACCOLADE II, Stryker Orthopaedics, Mahwah, NJ, USA). The femoral prosthesis used has several design modifications different from its predecessor. It was designed using a large computed tomography database with an anatomy analysis tool to try to enhance the fit in the femur such that the stability of the stem is maintained compared to the previous generation despite being shorter. The design rationale has been described in a prior publication.¹³ The Accolade II stems feature a size specific medial curvature, a proportional incremental stem growth across size range, a modest reduction in stem length, and an increased distal taper. In addition, the stem material was changed from TMZF to titanium alloy, while the proximal hydroxyapatite coating was retained. It was hypothesised that these design changes would lead to an improved implant fit as well as reduction in stem micromotion.

A subset of these patients agreed to receive additional imaging studies to evaluate the impact of this stem design on proximal femur bone mineral density. All patients provided informed consent prior to study inclusion.

Inclusion criteria for this study were patients between the ages of 18 and 65, with a body mass index of \leq 35 kg/m², undergoing a unilateral primary THA for a diagnosis of osteoarthritis. In addition, patients were required to have a University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA) activity score¹⁴ of 6 (regularly participates in moderate activities) or greater prior to their hip becoming painful and/or limiting their activity with the goal to capture a younger, more active patient population. Preoperative templating was performed using acetate radiographs to identify patients with a proximal femoral morphology conducive to use of this femoral stem design. Using femoral stem templates, patients were determined to have a proximal femur anatomy that would allow engagement of the proximal metaphyseal region prior to engagement of the diaphyseal canal. No patients in this investigation were converted intraoperatively from this planned stem design. Intraoperatively, an intramedullary canal finder was used to identify the femoral canal. Femoral broaching was sequentially performed until the surgeon felt that the rasp was engaging the metaphyseal region appropriately prior to distal engagement. This was determined based on the proximal medial-lateral fit of the broach within the canal to ensure it was engaging the medial calcar appropriately. In addition, visual inspection of both the rasp and the femoral calcar was performed to ensure that cancellous bone was engaged and removed appropriately. Exclusion criteria for this study were the following: patients with an active hip infection or sepsis; bone stock considered inadequate based on radiographic and intraoperative evaluation for cementless acetabular and femoral fixation at the surgeon's discretion; any cardiovascular, immunological, or neuromuscular disorder severe enough to compromise their activities postoperatively; a history of renal disease; a contralateral hip arthroplasty; a prior open surgery on the affected hip; and any patient pregnant or with plans to become pregnant during the course of the study. Baseline demographics, preoperative and postoperative UCLA,¹⁴ and preoperative and postoperative Harris hip scores¹⁵ (HHS) were collected for all patients enrolled. All total hip arthroplasties were performed via a posterolateral surgical approach by 1 of 3 fellowship-trained arthroplasty surgeons with prior experience using the aforementioned prosthesis. All acetabular and femoral components demonstrated good fixation without signs of radiographic loosening upon analysis of their most recent anteroposterior and cross-table lateral radiographs.

Periprosthetic BMD was measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA; Discovery A model; Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA). DEXA is a well-validated method to assess BMD in patients who have undergone



Figure I. Radiograph demonstrating the 7 Gruen zones where bone mineral density measurements were performed in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty.

THA.16,17 The same technician obtained scans and measurements at 6 weeks, 6 months, and 1 year postoperatively using the same template each time for the patient, and standard foot and knee supports. Prosthetic hip software (Hologic) was used to measure BMD in the 7 Gruen zones of the femur¹⁸ (Figure 1). The 6-week BMD values were used as the baseline to calculate the percent change in BMD at 6 months and 1 year as previously reported.^{9,19,20} Prior reports have used a preoperative BMD measurement as the "baseline" measurement for comparison,²¹ but we believe an early postoperative baseline measurement is more accurate given the sensitivity of the DEXA-analysis software to changes in the template area to be measured along with bone removed during the actual procedure. Comparison of DEXA images preoperatively to postoperatively (after component implantation) is unreliable due to loss of some of the preoperative bony landmarks for template standardisation. Furthermore, the metal implant can distort the actual quantity of bone to be measured, and use of a preoperative BMD measurement as the baseline overestimates the change in BMD and stress shielding postoperatively.²² Therefore, the 6-week postoperative BMD measurement was used as the "baseline" value in this investigation.

All patients were also asked to complete a previously described pain-drawing questionnaire (Figure 2).²³ The questionnaire asked participants to identify whether or not they experienced pain in 8 anatomical areas of interest: groin, anterior thigh, lateral thigh, posterior thigh, buttock, lower back, trochanteric region, and the knee. Pain intensity was rated using a pain scale scored from 0 to 5, with 0

being "no pain," and 5 being "pain that wakes you up at night, or pain all the time." Patients reporting scores between 2 and 5 were considered to have "moderate to severe" symptoms. For comparison, patient-reported pain in this investigation was compared to a previously published study assessing the incidence and location of pain in patients undergoing primary THA meeting the same inclusion criteria.²³ In this prior investigation, patients received one of 3 cementless, titanium, proximally coated and tapered stems (Synergy, Smith and Nephew Inc., Memphis, TN, USA; Anthology, Smith and Nephew Inc., Memphis, TN, USA; Versys Fiber Metal Taper, ZimmerBiomet Inc., Warsaw, IN, USA) and were evaluated at a mean of $2.9 \pm$ 1.1 years postoperatively.

Statistical analysis

Baseline demographics and clinical scores were reported using descriptive statistics. To examine the change of BMD during the study period (6-week, 6-month, 1-year), a mixed model with repeated measures was constructed. Compound symmetry covariance structure was used when taking into consideration with-subject correlations. Age, gender, body mass index (BMI), and UCLA activity level were included in the model as important adjustment covariates. Comparisons of patient-reported pain were performed using Chi-square or Fischer's Exact test analyses. All tests were 2-sided. SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for all statistical procedures.

Results:

To date, 31 patients (31 THAs) have been prospectively enrolled in this investigation and received DEXA scans at 6 weeks, 6 months, and 1 year postoperatively. The average age of patients was 52.6 \pm 6.5 years (range 34–63 years) with a mean body mass index of 27.9 \pm 3.9 kg/m² (range 20–35 kg/m²) (Table 1). The mean postoperative UCLA activity score was 7.3 \pm 1.9 demonstrating patients to be highly active, with the mean Harris Hip Score improving from 53.3 \pm 16.8 preoperatively to 86.4 \pm 20.8 postoperatively (p < 0.001). There were no cases of instability or re-operation in this cohort.

The mean BMD ratios for each Gruen zone in our cohort of patients are presented in Table 2. The mean BMD ratio was decreased at the 6 months and 1 year interval in Gruen zones 1 and 2, although the decrease between the 6 months and 1 year interval did not reach statistical significance. The mean BMD ratio was maintained in Gruen zones 3 thru 7 at both the 6 months and 1 year intervals. In Gruen zone 7 (medial calcar), there was a non-significant decrease in BMD ratio at 6 months, but at 1 year the BMD in this region was maintained at 100% of the baseline level. There was no correlation in proximal femur BMD over time with age, gender, UCLA activity level, or BMI.



Figure 2. The pain drawing questionnaire completed by study participants.

 Table I. Clinical characteristics of patients enrolled in this investigation.

Demographics	
Number of patients	31
Age at surgery (years) (range)	52.6 ± 6.5 (34–63)
Gender (male/female)	24/7
BMI (kg/m ²) (range)	27.9 ± 3.9 (20–35)
Operative Side (right/left)	17/14

Thirty patients completed the pain-drawing questionnaire. Patients most commonly reported the presence of moderate to severe pain in the groin (16.7%) and trochanteric region (16.7%). Only one patient (3.3%) reported moderate to severe pain in the anterior thigh and 2 patients reported moderate to severe pain in the lateral thigh (6.7%). With this limited data, the percentage of patients reporting pain in these regions was less compared to the previously published investigation (15% and 12%, respectively), although these values did not reach statistical significance (Table 3).

Gruen zone	6 weeks (%)	6 months (%)	þ value	l year (%)	þ value	
1	100%	92.8%	<0.0001	90.8%	0.9	
2	100%	97.3%	<0.01	94.8%	0.3	
3	100%	100.2%	0.8	101.4%	0.2	
4	100%	99.2%	0.3	99.3%	0.8	
5	100%	99.7%	0.9	100.2%	0.5	
6	100%	100.6%	0.7	102%	0.2	
7	100%	97.1%	0.2	100.3%	0.1	

Table 2. Mean BMD ratios in the proximal femur over time. Mean BMD ratios were compared to the previous time interval in this table. Statistically significant differences are highlighted in bold. BMD, bone mineral density.

Table 3. Comparison of patient-reported pain in patients receiving the current stem design versus a previously reported investigation using the same methodology. *p*-values represent comparisons for those reporting moderate to severe pain. *p*-values in bold are statistically significant.

Location of Pain		None (0)	Mild (I)	Moderate to Severe (2–5)	p-value
Groin	Accolade II	le II 21 (70%)	4 (13.3%)	5 (16.7%)	0.4
	Prior study	139 (71%)	36 (18%)	22 (11%)	
Anterior thigh	Accolade II	25 (83.3%)	4 (13.3%)	I (3.3%)	0.1
	Prior study	147 (75%)	20 (10%)	31 (15%)	
Lateral thigh	Accolade II	27 (90%)	I (3.3 %)	2 (6.7%)	0.7
	Prior study	159 (81%)	15 (8%)	23 (12%)	
Lower back	Accolade II	22 (73.3%)	5 (16.7%)	3 (10%)	0.8
	Prior study	136 (69%)	21 (11%)	39 (20%)	
Buttock	Accolade II	27 (90%)	2 (6.7%)	I (3.3%)	0.6
	Prior study	164 (84%)	15 (8%)	17 (9%)	
Posterior thigh	Accolade II	28 (93.3%)	0 (0%)	2 (6.7%)	0.9
	Prior study	181 (92%)	9 (5%)	6 (3%)	
Trochanteric	Accolade II	22 (73.3%)	3 (10%)	5 (16.7%)	0.9
	Prior study	123 (63%)	39 (20%)	34 (17%)	

Conclusion

A number of investigations have analyzed periprosthetic bone mineral density of the proximal femur following THA,6-10 but to our knowledge few have specifically studied this in a cohort of young, active patients.¹² Younger age and increased activity have both been associated with increased patient-reported pain and symptoms following THA.^{23,24} Thus, it is important to analyze potential factors that could contribute to patient satisfaction in this growing subset of patients. While it remains difficult to synthesise the findings of prior studies analyzing proximal femur BMD due to heterogeneity of their study populations and differences in stem designs, one consistent finding is that implantation of a THA leads to decreased periprosthetic BMD postoperatively. In a systematic analysis, Knutsen et al. found the greatest bone loss to occur in the calcar region (Gruen zone 7) even with the use of a titanium alloy, proximally coated cementless stem design.7 The preliminary results of this investigation demonstrate the maintenance of medial calcar bone density at 1 year postoperatively in a cohort of young, active patients following THA using a recently introduced stem design. While the clinical impact of this finding on component survivorship and clinical symptoms has not been elucidated, to our knowledge this is the 1st study to report maintenance of BMD in the calcar region suggesting the presence of a more physiologic stress transfer through this region.

This study has several limitations that must be recognised prior to interpretation of our results. 1st, a DEXA scan was used to quantify BMD in this investigation, which may be of concern in the presence of a metal implant.¹⁶ However, prior studies have utilised this technique and shown a low degree of variation between repeat measurements and a low coefficient of variation.^{16,17,25} Furthermore, variability was reduced in this study by using the same DEXA scanner, the same technician to perform the scans, and the same template to measure bone density for the scans. 2nd, the size of this prospective cohort is relatively small, and thus these results should be considered preliminary. However, it is important to report the early results of a recently introduced prosthesis to ensure there are not unexpected findings of significant stress shielding or component loosening. 3rd, as our investigation focused on changes in BMD and the potential of stress shielding, we are unable to elucidate the clinical impact of these alterations of BMD on patient-reported outcomes and satisfaction. The clinical impact of alterations in proximal femur BMD continues to be an area of further investigation, but one can infer that maintenance of BMD in the calcar region would be a positive finding. 4th, long-term follow-up is necessary to determine whether these findings of periprosthetic BMD are maintained, and this cohort of patients will obtain further imaging at both 2-year and 5-year postoperative intervals to address this concern. Lastly, it is important to note that only young, active patients were included in this investigation based on our strict inclusion criteria. Thus, the generalisability of this study's results to other patient populations may be limited and should be applied with caution.

Periprosthetic bone loss following THA, whether from osteolysis or stress shielding, remains a major concern due to the potential complications of loosening, component migration, periprosthetic fracture, and pain.⁷ Furthermore, a reported late sequela of proximal stress shielding and more distal loading of the femoral component includes stem fracture. While historically the issue of stress shielding was believed to be a phenomenon of the use of fully porous-coated, cobalt chrome femoral prostheses,¹⁰ recent investigations have highlighted periprosthetic bone loss to occur even with the use of a proximally coated, titanium, cementless prosthesis.¹¹ Freitag et al. demonstrated a decrease in BMD of 17.2% after short stem (Fitmore; Zimmer, Winterthur, Switzerland) and 16.7% after straight stem (CLS; Zimmer, Winterthur, Switzerland) implantation at 1 year postoperatively in the medial calcar following THA.⁶ In a similarly designed study of 45 THAs receiving a cementless femoral stem of one of 3 designs (Synergy, Smith and Nephew Inc., Memphis, TN; Anthology, Smith and Nephew Inc., Memphis, TN; Versys Fiber Metal Taper, ZimmerBiomet Inc., Warsaw, IN), Nam et al. demonstrated a consistent decrease in periprosthetic BMD in Gruen zones 1, 2, and 7 at up to 5 years postoperatively, with the greatest decrease occurring in the medial calcar (zone 7; 89.2% of baseline at 5 years).¹² Although the clinical significance of decreases in BMD must be elucidated, a significant proportion of these patients also reported persistent pain in the anterior (15%) and lateral thigh (12% – Table 3). Of note, this prior study by Nam et al. used the same pain-drawing questionnaire and same patient inclusion criteria as used in this study. Prior investigations have noted a similar incidence of reported thigh pain after the use of a cementless femoral prosthesis. MacDonald et al. noted the incidence of thigh pain to be 9% in patients receiving a proximally coated cementless stem versus 6% in those receiving a fully coated cementless stem.²⁶ In addition, Kinov et al. in a retrospective review of 98 THAs who received a proximally coated cementless femoral component found 13% to report thigh pain postoperatively.²⁷ In contrast, patients in the current investigation with preserved medial calcar bone density demonstrated a decrease reporting of anterior (3.3%) and lateral (6.7%) thigh pain, although it must be emphasised that these results are preliminary and based on a small cohort of patients. Although the occurrence of stress shielding can be attributed to a number of variables including activity level, implant design, stem material, stiffness, geometry, and surgical technique,²⁶ the long term consequence of this phenomenon remains a concern considering the evolving demographics of patients undergoing THA. In contrast, prior studies have noted surface replacement arthroplasty to maintain proximal femur bone density including a more physiologic load transfer.^{12,28} Thus, the preliminary results of the current study demonstrating preserved medial calcar BMD at 1 year and the potential for decreased thigh pain warrants continued investigation.

In conclusion, to our knowledge this investigation is the 1st to present a femoral stem design demonstrating preservation of proximal femur BMD in the medial calcar following THA. It is important to take into consideration the aforementioned limitations of this investigation including the strict inclusion criteria used for enrollment in this study. In addition, it must be emphasised that the clinical relevance of preserved proximal femur BMD and whether this finding will persist over time must still be elucidated. However, this study indicates that stem design does have the ability to impact periprosthetic femoral bone density and that maintenance of calcar bone stock should be the goal of prosthetic designs and is potentially achievable. While this data remains preliminary, information from this investigation will prove useful in identifying specific design modifications that may aid in creating a more physiologic stress transfer postoperatively. Future investigations will focus on following periprosthetic BMD with the use of this prosthesis while also attempting to identify the impact of alterations in proximal femur BMD on clinical outcomes and satisfaction.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: One author (RLB) is a paid consultant and has received research support from Stryker Inc. (Mahwah, NJ, USA) whose products are studied in this investigation.

Research support for this investigation has been provided by Stryker Inc. (Mahwah, NJ, USA).

References

 Learmonth ID, Young C and Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. *Lancet* 2007; 370: 1508– 1519.

- Kurtz SM, Lau E, Ong K, et al. Future young patient demand for primary and revision joint replacement: national projections from 2010 to 2030. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2009; 467: 2606–2612.
- Karrholm J, Garellick G, Rogmark C, et al. Swedish hip arthroplasty register. Annual report 2011. Sahlgrenska: Sahlgrenska University Hospital, 2012.
- Huiskes R, Weinans H and van Rietbergen B. The relationship between stress shielding and bone resorption around total hip stems and the effects of flexible materials. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1992; 274: 124–134.
- Nam D, Sauber TJ, Barrack T, et al. Radiographic parameters associated with pain following total hip and surface arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. Epub ahead of print 8 October 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2014.10.005.
- Freitag T, Hein MA, Wernerus D, et al. Bone remodelling after femoral short stem implantation in total hip arthroplasty: 1-year results from a randomized DEXA study. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2016; 136: 125–130.
- Knutsen AR, Lau N, Longjohn DB, et al. Periprosthetic femoral bone loss in total hip arthroplasty: systematic analysis of the effect of stem design. *Hip Int* 2017; 27: 26–34.
- Venesmaa PK, Kroger HP, Miettinen HJ, et al. Monitoring of periprosthetic BMD after uncemented total hip arthroplasty with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry-a 3-year follow-up study. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001; 16: 1056–1061.
- Nishii T, Sugano N, Masuhara K, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of time related bone remodeling after cementless total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1997; 339: 121–131.
- Engh CA, Bobyn JD and Glassman AH. Porous-coated hip replacement. The factors governing bone ingrowth, stress shielding, and clinical results. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1987; 69: 45–55.
- Lepri AC, Giorgini M, Signorini C, et al. Densitometric evaluation of bone-prosthetic counterface in hip and knee arthroplasty with modern implants. *Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab* 2016; 13: 144–150.
- Nam D, Barrack RL, Clohisy JC, et al. Proximal femur bone density decreases up to 5 years after total hip arthroplasty in young, active patients. *J Arthroplasty* 2016; 31: 2825–2830.
- Faizan A, Wuestemann T, Nevelos J, et al. Development and verification of a cementless novel tapered wedge stem for total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2015; 30: 235–240.
- Zahiri CA, Schmalzried TP, Szuszczewicz ES, et al. Assessing activity in joint replacement patients. *J Arthroplasty* 1998; 13: 890–895.

- Harris WH. Traumatic arthritis of the hip after dislocation and acetabular fractures: treatment by mold arthroplasty. An end-result study using a new method of result evaluation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1969; 51: 737–755.
- Murray JR, Cooke NJ, Rawlings D, et al. A reliable DEXA measurement technique for metal-on-metal hip resurfacing. *Acta Orthop* 2005; 76: 177–181.
- Wilkinson JM, Peel NF, Elson RA, et al. Measuring bone mineral density of the pelvis and proximal femur after total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2001; 83: 283–288.
- Gruen TA, McNeice GM and Amstutz HC. "Modes of failure" of cemented stem-type femoral components: a radiographic analysis of loosening. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1979; 141: 17–27.
- Bedigrew KM, Ruh EL, Zhang Q, et al. 2011 Marshall Urist Young Investigator Award: when to release patients to highimpact activities after hip resurfacing. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2012; 470: 299–306.
- Kishida Y, Sugano N, Nishii T, et al. Preservation of the bone mineral density of the femur after surface replacement of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2004; 86: 185–189.
- Cooke NJ, Rodgers L, Rawlings D, et al. Bone density of the femoral neck following Birmingham hip resurfacing. *Acta Orthop* 2009; 80: 660–665.
- Kroger H, Miettinen H, Arnala I, et al. Evaluation of periprosthetic bone using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry: precision of the method and effect of operation on bone mineral density. *J Bone Miner Res* 1996; 11: 1526–1530.
- Nam D, Nunley RM, Sauber TJ, et al. Incidence and location of pain in young, active patients following hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2015; 30: 1971–1975.
- 24. Barrack RL, Ruh EL, Berend ME, et al. Do young, active patients perceive advantages after surface replacement compared to cementless total hip arthroplasty? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2013; 471: 3803–3813.
- 25. Penny JO, Brixen K, Varmarken JE, et al. Changes in bone mineral density of the acetabulum, femoral neck and femoral shaft, after hip resurfacing and total hip replacement: two-year results from a randomised study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2012; 94: 1036–1044.
- MacDonald SJ, Rosenzweig S, Guerin JS, et al. Proximally versus fully porous-coated femoral stems: a multicenter randomized trial. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2010; 468: 424–432.
- 27. Kinov P, Radl R and Zacherl M. Correlation between thigh pain and radiological findings with a proximally porouscoated stem. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2007; 73: 618–624.
- Nunley RM, Ruh EL, Zhang Q, et al. Do patients return to work after hip arthroplasty surgery. *J Arthroplasty* 2011; 26(Suppl. 6): 92–98.