



Published in final edited form as:

Auton Neurosci. 2021 November ; 235: 102836. doi:10.1016/j.autneu.2021.102836.

Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS): Priorities for POTS care and research from a 2019 National Institutes of Health Expert Consensus Meeting - Part 2

Satish R. Raja^{a,b,*}, Kate M. Bourne^a, Lauren E. Stiles^{c,d}, Mitchell G. Miglis^e, Melissa M. Cortez^f, Amanda J. Miller^g, Roy Freeman^{h,i}, Italo Biaggioni^{b,ab}, Peter C. Rowe^j, Robert S. Sheldon^a, Cyndya A. Shibao^b, Andre Diedrich^k, David M. Systrom^l, Glen A. Cook^m, Taylor A. Dohertyⁿ, Hasan I. Abdallah^o, Blair P. Grubb^p, Artur Fedorowski^{q,ac}, Julian M. Stewart^r, Amy C. Arnold^{b,g}, Laura A. Pace^s, Jonas Axelsson^t, Jeffrey R. Boris^u, Jeffrey P. Moak^v, Brent P. Goodman^w, Kamal R. Chémali^x, Tae H. Chung^y, David S. Goldstein^z, Anil Darbari^v, Steven Vernino^{aa}

^aDepartment of Cardiac Sciences, Libin Cardiovascular Institute, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

^bAutonomic Dysfunction Center, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

^cDepartment of Neurology, Stony Brook University Renaissance School of Medicine, Stony Brook, NY, USA

^dDysautonomia International, East Moriches, NY, USA

^eDepartment of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA, USA

^fDepartment of Neurology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

^gDepartment of Neural and Behavioral Sciences, Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Hershey, PA, USA

^hDepartment of Neurology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

ⁱCenter for Autonomic and Peripheral Nerve Disorders, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

^jDepartment of Pediatrics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

^kAutonomic Dysfunction Center, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine and Biomedical Engineering, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

^lPulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

*Corresponding author at: Department of Cardiac Sciences, Libin Cardiovascular Institute, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada. satish.raj@ucalgary.ca (S.R. Raj).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autneu.2021.102836>.

^mDepartment of Neurology, Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, MD, USA

ⁿDivision of Rheumatology, Allergy, and Immunology, Department of Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

^oChildren's Heart Institute, Fredericksburg, VA, USA

^pDivision of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, The University of Toledo Medical Center, USA

^qDepartment of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden

^rCenter for Hypotension, Departments of Pediatrics and Physiology, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY USA

^sCenter for Genomic Medicine and Department of Pediatrics, Division of Medical Genetics and Genomics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

^tDepartment of Clinical Immunology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

^uJeffrey R. Boris, MD LLC, Moylan, PA, USA

^vDepartment of Pediatrics, George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, DC, USA

^wNeuromuscular Division, Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ, USA

^xDepartment of Neurology, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Division of Neurology, Neuromuscular and Autonomic Center, Sentara Healthcare, Norfolk, VA, USA

^yDepartment of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

^zAutonomic Medicine Section, Clinical Neurosciences Program, Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

^{aa}Department of Neurology, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA

^{ab}Autonomic Dysfunction Center, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

^{ac}Department of Cardiology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

Abstract

The National Institutes of Health hosted a workshop in 2019 to build consensus around the current state of understanding of the pathophysiology of postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS) and to identify knowledge gaps that must be addressed to enhance clinical care of POTS patients through research. This second (of two) articles summarizes current knowledge gaps, and outlines the clinical and research priorities for POTS.

POTS is a complex, multi-system, chronic disorder of the autonomic nervous system characterized by orthostatic intolerance and orthostatic tachycardia without hypotension. Patients often experience a host of other related disabling symptoms. The functional and economic impacts of this disorder are significant. The pathophysiology remains incompletely understood.

Beyond the significant gaps in understanding the disorder itself, there is a paucity of evidence to guide treatment which can contribute to suboptimal care for this patient population. The vast majority of physicians have minimal to no familiarity or training in the assessment and management of POTS.

Funding for POTS research remains very low relative to the size of the patient population and impact of the syndrome. In addition to efforts to improve awareness and physician education, an investment in research infrastructure including the development of standardized disease-specific evaluation tools and outcome measures is needed to facilitate effective collaborative research. A national POTS research consortium could facilitate well-controlled multidisciplinary clinical research studies and therapeutic trials. These priorities will require a substantial increase in the number of research investigators and the amount of research funding in this area.

Keywords

Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome; Pathophysiology; Treatment; Workshop; Expert Consensus

1. Background

Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome predominantly affects adolescent or young adult women and can be severely debilitating (Bagai et al., 2011). While POTS may be recognized clinically, using a consensus clinical definition, the epidemiology and pathophysiology of the disorder is not fully understood (Raj and Robertson, 2018). The syndrome is heterogeneous in terms of associated clinical features and etiology, and the clinical assessment of patients and treatment approaches are not standardized.

A major limitation is the lack of comprehensive epidemiological data to establish the prevalence of POTS. Experts have estimated that 500,000 to 3,000,000 Americans could be affected (Lei et al., 2019; Mar and Raj, 2020). However, these estimates are not based on population studies but rather on experience from autonomic centers. One study in China reported 6.8% of adolescents met clinical criteria for POTS (Lin et al., 2014), and another study found that 10% of male Austrian military recruits met the criteria for POTS (Winker, 2004), but these findings cannot be applied broadly to other populations. The true prevalence remains unknown. Challenges in determining actual prevalence include physician differences in applying consensus diagnostic criteria and lack of a specific ICD-10 diagnosis code for POTS, although a specific code should be available in ICD-11 (World Health Organization, 2021). Nevertheless, it is clear that POTS is one of the most common forms of autonomic dysfunction. The associated loss of productivity and associated healthcare costs are likely substantial (Bourne et al., 2021).

In 2018, the US Congress encouraged the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) to host a symposium of leading POTS experts (U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, 2018). The purpose of the symposium was to gather information for a report that Congress directed the NIH to prepare, which was to reflect the POTS expert participant findings on:

1. the current state of POTS research;
2. priority areas of focus for future POTS research through 2025;
3. ongoing and upcoming efforts by NIH to advance the scientific understanding of POTS; and
4. an estimate of the level of funding that would be needed to achieve the above stated objectives.

On July 29, 2019, a workshop was convened at the NIH Intramural Campus in Bethesda, Maryland comprising a broad representation of clinicians and researchers in the field. The NIH prepared a report for Congress (National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute and National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke, 2019), but this report did not adequately reflect the perspectives of the POTS expert participants, as directed by Congress. Therefore, the POTS expert workshop participants prepared two independent manuscripts to address questions posed by Congress. The first manuscript reviews the current state of the science and clinical care for POTS. This second manuscript addresses the knowledge gaps, clinical care needs and research priorities for POTS going forward. It is our hope that these manuscripts will help raise awareness, inform federal decision-making with regards to POTS research and advance POTS clinical care.

Clinical care for patients with POTS is currently suboptimal due to significant gaps in knowledge. There is a general lack of awareness and recognition of the disorder in the medical community, uncertainty about the scope of the clinical presentation and diagnostic criteria, and incomplete understanding of the underlying pathophysiology. Advancement of the field is limited by the relative paucity of active NIH-funded research in this area.

2. Clinician education and awareness

While descriptions can be found as early as the 1800s (DaCosta, 1871) and a modern definition was created in 1993 (Schondorf and Low, 1993), a majority of clinicians remain unaware of POTS and how to diagnose it. Meaningful discussions of autonomic disorders, including POTS, are not included in most medical school curricula, or even in residency/fellowship training in relevant specialties such as pediatrics, internal medicine, family medicine, cardiology, gastroenterology and neurology. This lack of physician education contributes to diagnostic delays. On average, POTS patients wait over four years for a POTS diagnosis from symptom onset, with 75% of patients experiencing one or more misdiagnoses based on an online patient survey with self-reported, physician-diagnosed POTS (Shaw et al., 2019). Patients see an average of seven physicians for their symptoms prior to a POTS diagnosis (Shaw et al., 2019).

Even when a diagnosis of POTS is made, physicians are often unable or unwilling to coordinate care. The number of physicians familiar with the care of POTS is insufficient for the existing patient volume, and there appears to be an increase in POTS cases, as some individuals with COVID-19 are developing post-viral POTS (Goldstein, 2020; Kanjwal et al., 2020; Miglis et al., 2020). Although POTS patient symptoms cross over into multiple specialties, multidisciplinary integrated clinical care is rarely available, even at academic

centers. Strategic efforts to increase clinician education are needed in multiple disciplines to reduce diagnostic delays and improve access to high-quality care after diagnosis.

3. Diagnostic criteria and clinical measures

The POTS diagnostic criteria have evolved slightly since the first modern definition in 1993 (Schondorf and Low, 1993). In 2011, the American Autonomic Society and American Academy of Neurology published a consensus statement on the definition of POTS, including diagnostic criteria (Freeman et al., 2011). In 2015, the Heart Rhythm Society, in collaboration with experts from many other professional societies, published a consensus statement that included criteria fairly similar to the 2011 consensus (Sheldon et al., 2015). All of these diagnostic criteria focus on the heart rate and fail to capture the variety of other symptoms that are a part of this syndrome. More recently, the Canadian Cardiovascular Society and ad-hoc groups have proposed criteria that acknowledge the chronic and multi-system nature of the disorder of POTS (Olshansky et al., 2020; Raj et al., 2020). It remains to be seen whether modifications in diagnostic criteria will improve specificity, precision or patient care.

Beyond the diagnostic criteria, there is a need for disease-specific clinical measures that capture the severity and distribution of POTS symptoms. Currently, clinicians and researchers depend on clinical rating scales that are either non-specific (such as generic scales of disability) or those that were developed to evaluate patients with autonomic failure (such as the Composite Autonomic Symptom Score [COMPASS]) (Rea et al., 2017; Sletten et al., 2012). These scores may fail to capture important aspects of the POTS clinical phenotype. The Vanderbilt Orthostatic Symptoms Score (Raj et al., 2009) is designed to capture acute orthostatic symptom burden in POTS, but not the daily impact of living with POTS. There is a need for both a POTS-specific patient-reported outcome measure and a physician-rated clinical rating score that includes objective clinical data. These tools should ideally be valid for use in both adult and pediatric patients.

4. Clinical care

Although POTS is defined by criteria involving cardiovascular parameters, associated symptoms of dysautonomia are much more diverse. The clinical presentation of POTS is heterogeneous both in terms of symptoms and pathophysiology (Benarroch, 2012). As a result, POTS patients often interact with multiple different medical specialties, further complicating their care. POTS patients may undergo numerous, and in some cases, unnecessary and expensive diagnostic evaluations. Given these factors, there is a critical need for an efficient, cost-effective, multidisciplinary approach, with emphasis on the “medical home” (Jackson et al., 2013). Importantly, such an approach has the potential to improve efficiency of clinical care, patient experience, and lower medical costs (Williams et al., 2012). The clinical care for POTS patients can be improved through a multidisciplinary structured approach that includes both algorithmic and individualized components.

A diagnostic algorithm should include recommendations and guidelines for the rational use of diagnostic testing, including serological, imaging and physiological (autonomic and

cardiovascular) testing where appropriate. Treatment algorithms should be individualized based on the symptom profile and diagnostic categorization. Treatment guidelines should be based on best available scientific evidence with plans for regular revisions of consensus recommendations as clinical trials are completed. The lack of research funding has hampered efforts to develop evidence-based diagnostic algorithms and treatment guidelines.

There are currently very few dedicated specialty clinics for POTS, and even fewer multidisciplinary programs. While only a subset of patients will require care at formalized POTS specialty centers, such centers would be important for establishing best practices, developing educational materials and providing up-to-date guidance to primary care providers. In most cases, a primary care provider with sufficient knowledge can coordinate care and integrate input from other providers, including subspecialists as needed. Given the paucity and unequal geographic distribution of dedicated specialty centers, it will be important to create virtual regional and national “POTS networks.” Such networks could facilitate learning, collaboration, and exchange of knowledge, as well as support primary providers in creating local multidisciplinary care teams. Current technology, including web-based resources, telemedicine, and electronic medical records systems, could be leveraged to accomplish this goal.

5. Pathophysiology of POTS

The pathophysiological mechanisms of POTS remain poorly understood. Prior studies have categorized POTS patients into various subtypes (including neuropathic (Garland et al., 2007), hyperadrenergic (Shibao et al., 2005), and hypovolemic (Raj et al., 2005)). However, the clinical relevance of these subtypes is unknown due to lack of uniform phenotyping, and the fact that patients may present with multiple overlapping features. It remains uncertain if these represent different underlying diseases or if they are different manifestations of a common underlying disease. The reasons underlying the overwhelming female predominance seen in POTS are not understood. There is a lack of robust long-term outcome data about the natural history of POTS across the lifespan of the patients. In addition, prognostication in POTS remains difficult due to the lack of specific outcome measures for this condition, and the lack of common data elements shared by investigators. Since POTS is clearly heterogeneous, advancements will require careful investigations of several different, but interacting, mechanisms which are described in the accompanying manuscript (Vernino et al., 2021).

There is currently no accepted animal model of POTS, so our understanding of this condition depends on clinical research. In any case, traditional small animal models are unlikely to prove useful insights, given that the core feature of POTS is orthostatic intolerance and bipedal standing is fairly unique to humans. Further, the constellation of associated symptoms (such as cognitive impairment and gastrointestinal complaints) would be difficult to capture in model systems. Human research itself can be challenging in a diverse disorder like POTS. Careful assessment, measurement, and control of confounding variables (including but not limited to age, sex, body weight, plasma volume, cardiovascular fitness, temperature, and medications) must be part of pathophysiological studies. All investigations should include comparisons to matched healthy controls.

Several areas of pathophysiological research are currently important and active. First is the role of genetic factors in defining the risk and determining the manifestation of POTS. A monogenetic cause is unlikely, but a familial tendency exists (Boris et al., 2020b; Posey et al., 2017). The associations of POTS with migraine headache, the hypermobile form of Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (hEDS) (De Wandele et al., 2014) and the hypermobility spectrum disorder, allergic disorders like mast cell activation syndrome (MCAS) (Shibao et al., 2005), and autoimmune disorders have been clearly described. The disorder, therefore, occurs in a broader clinical context, and the contribution of these associations to disease risk, pathophysiology or treatment requires further study.

Recently, initial efforts by several groups have led to the identification of autoantibodies against G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) in POTS. These include autoantibodies that modulate the function of adrenergic and muscarinic receptors relevant to autonomic function (Fedorowski et al., 2017; Gunning et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). These autoantibodies have been proposed to be a unifying mechanistic explanation for the cardiovascular changes in POTS, but the role of these antibodies remains unclear. Low titers of autoantibodies against these and other GPCRs may be found in healthy controls and have been well described in patients with a variety of other conditions including cardiomyopathy and asthma, as well as autoimmune conditions like systemic lupus erythematosus and Sjögren disease. While it is possible that POTS could be an antibody-mediated autoimmune disorder in some cases, the presence of these antibodies may also represent dysregulation of a physiological network of GPCR autoantibodies (Cabral-Marques et al., 2018) which is not specific to POTS. This dysregulation could contribute to the perpetuation of the POTS phenotype, or could actually represent an immune-mediated adaptation (or maladaptation) to other primary physiological changes. assessment of the natural history of the disease, and potential phenoconversion between pathophysiological subtypes. The lack of a fundamental research infrastructure limits our ability to adequately plan clinical trials.

Future autoantibody studies will need to be confirmed on larger cohorts across multiple institutions, with harmonization of analysis and assay techniques. The antibody studies also need to be carefully controlled with contemporaneous matched healthy controls and appropriate disease controls including patients with other types of dysautonomia, hypovolemia or cardiovascular deconditioning.

Prior studies have documented small fiber neuropathy in approximately half of POTS patients (Billig et al., 2020; Gibbons et al., 2013; Peltier et al., 2010), and there is some evidence that small fiber neuropathy in POTS may correlate to reduced cardiac innervation (Haensch et al., 2014). Additional characterization of neuropathology is needed in POTS, including further exploration of cardiac, vascular and gastrointestinal innervation. There has been no research exploring neuropathological findings in children and adolescents with POTS.

Since POTS is a syndrome, a more precise disease definition will require not only greater mechanistic understanding and clinical phenotyping, but also biomarkers to gauge disease activity and responsiveness to therapy. Symptom severity correlates poorly with the magnitude of postural tachycardia (Boris et al., 2020a; Parsaik et al., 2013), and therefore

more specific markers of disease activity and severity are needed. The ideal biomarker must be both sensitive and specific, reproducible, cost-effective, readily available, and able to serve as a therapy-responsive progression marker (FDA-NIH Biomarker Working Group, 2016). Development of improved disease and pathophysiological biomarkers could include biospecimens, imaging modalities, neuropsychological assessments, and physiological autonomic measures. Thus far, despite significant cognitive symptoms, brain imaging and assessment of functional brain connectivity have not been investigated in POTS. This clinical phenotyping should be part of a large collaborative natural history study.

6. Clinical trials

To date, there have been very few clinical interventional trials evaluating treatment for POTS (Wells et al., 2018). Among published treatment studies, the majority are uncontrolled case series. A number of the published randomized interventional studies consist of acute interventions aimed at demonstrating short term improvement of tachycardia and orthostatic tolerance.

Early efforts in POTS clinical trials should focus on establishing evidence to guide best practices in POTS treatment. This would include interventional trials and comparative studies on symptomatic therapies, such as beta blockers, fludrocortisone, stimulants, and other therapies currently in use. Such studies should be of sufficient length to establish efficacy and tolerability for chronic use. Studies should also address the non-cardiovascular symptoms and disorders seen in POTS patients. Clinical research studies in POTS should endeavor to capture the entire age spectrum of POTS patients whenever safe and ethical to do so. At the same time, they should take into account pathophysiological subtypes that may respond differentially to target therapies. Randomized controlled trials of disease modifying therapies, such as immunotherapy, vagus nerve stimulation, and exercise, are also needed to determine the benefits of such interventions and provide a justification for health care coverage for such treatment.

7. Research infrastructure

An organized research infrastructure promotes collaboration and accelerates the pace of research, but the lack of investment in POTS has led to the lack of such a resource. There are very few academic centers engaged in POTS research, and those that do often focus on other autonomic disorders and lack dedicated resources for POTS research. There are no shared databases or specimen repositories to date and collaborative research between centers is uncommon. Currently, there is no national or international disease registry for POTS which limits

The creation of a national consortium of POTS centers would be a first step toward such a research infrastructure. Participating centers would adopt the use of common disease-specific measures and diagnostic criteria and incorporate those into clinical care and research activities. Common database elements would be a resource for investigating pathophysiology. A common (or distributed) biospecimen repository in the setting of a POTS research consortium is critical. Biospecimens could include samples for immune

modulation, hormonal fluid biomarkers in blood (e.g. plasma catecholamines, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone measures, and growth/sex hormone), and RNA & DNA samples.

The community of clinicians and researchers interested in POTS is committed to working together and to promoting high quality research. The creation of a POTS research consortium is a high priority suitable for NIH support.

8. Research funding

Given the large number of individuals impacted by this condition and the associated morbidity, there is a remarkable dearth of funding for POTS research. A detailed review of the National Institutes of Health's RePORTER database identified that NIH spent an average of \$1.5M on POTS research funding per year over the past five years (Table 1 and Supplementary data tables) (National Institutes of Health, 2020a). The estimated prevalence and disease burden of POTS is similar to multiple sclerosis (Wallin et al., 2019). By comparison of Fiscal Year 2020 NIH funding, NIH invested substantially more for other disorders that affect young women with similar prevalence (\$118M for multiple sclerosis research and \$127M for lupus research (Wallin et al., 2019)). Over the long term, this disparity in research investment may explain, in part, why numerous FDA-approved treatments are now available for multiple sclerosis while there are no FDA-approved treatments for POTS. There are several major challenges in securing NIH funding for POTS research. The first is the relative paucity of investigators submitting applications. The second is the relative lack of a defined funding pathway at NIH. Since POTS is a clinical syndrome that is multidimensional, POTS research does not currently have an NIH disease designation, nor a clear home in one of the NIH Institutes, nor a trans-institutional working group, such as has existed for chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis (National Institutes of Health, 2020b). Fortunately, NIH plans to develop a POTS-specific category under its research, condition and disease categorization (RCDC) system which will allow for better tracking and reporting POTS research. A third challenge is that POTS is a disorder with diverse manifestations and heterogeneous pathophysiology. Funding mechanisms through NIH work best for applications focused on testing a specific pathophysiological hypothesis rather than those focused on characterizing a larger syndrome. A RFA designed to support a deeper comprehensive understanding of POTS would be a helpful first step.

In 2018, Congress asked NIH to prepare a report identifying the funding needed to support “priority areas of focus for future POTS research through 2025” and “ongoing and upcoming efforts by NIH to advance the scientific understanding of POTS” (U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, 2018). The report was to reflect the perspectives of the “leading external researchers and stakeholders,” but the NIH report submitted to Congress in January 2020 failed to do so.

As the leading researchers, clinicians and stakeholders invited by NIH to attend the June 2019 POTS Research meeting, we estimate the need for at least \$10 M per year in additional extramural NIH funding for the next five years to achieve the priority areas of focus for future POTS research identified in this manuscript (Table 2), in addition to any administrative or intramural funding needed by NIH.

9. Summary

Our understanding of POTS is limited, leading to challenges in providing optimal care for this large group of patients. A symposium of POTS experts met in 2019 to identify priorities for POTS clinical care and research; recommendations are summarized in Table 2. Given the number of Americans affected by POTS and the negative impact on their productivity and quality of life, a larger and intentional investment in POTS research is needed as well as concerted efforts to educate physicians and standardize diagnosis and treatment. This is not purely an American issue. We would advocate for a similar increase in funding from the Medical Research Council in the United Kingdom, the National Health and Medical Research Council in Australia, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research in Canada, and other leading research agencies worldwide.

Information about POTS must be incorporated actively in medical education for patients, caregivers, medical students, medical residents and practicing physicians. Development of standards of care models for POTS based on outcomes data are needed to improve quality of care and access to care while reducing the cost of care for this common disorder.

The first steps should be the creation of a multicenter research consortium with a biospecimen repository and well annotated patient registries. The creation of a set of POTS-specific common data elements, including quantitative clinical outcome measures, will enhance the value of these other research investments. Clinical research focused on pathophysiology should integrate data from multiple modalities including cardiovascular and autonomic physiology, immunology, genetics, neurohormonal axis and psychology. Such research on etiology will allow better assignment of clinical subtype, and point toward promising therapeutic options.

Ultimately, large, prospective, well-designed and appropriately powered clinical treatment trials are needed to advance the quality of care for POTS. POTS clinical trials should focus on long-term restoration of quality of life and function, rather than only short-term amelioration of tachycardia.

The NIH (and other agencies funding research) can help by clearly recognizing POTS as an important disorder affecting many Americans, and by better defining which NIH center and study sections are responsible. The NIH issued a Notice of Special Interest to “Stimulate Research on the Diagnosis, Treatment, and Mechanistic Understanding of Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome (POTS)” on March 26, 2021 (Notice Number NOT-HL-21-008). This is an important first step that should be accompanied by an intentional targeted increase in funding for POTS research. The scientific and academic medical community for its part must commit to developing high quality, impactful research proposals.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Funding

This NIH 2019 POTS Conference was supported by the US National Institutes of Health.

DSG is supported by the Division of Intramural Research of the NIH, NINDS. The views expressed are the author's own and do not necessarily reflect those of the National Institutes of Health, the Department of Health and Human Services, or the United States Government.

Declaration of competing interest

SRR - Consultant for Lundbeck NA Ltd. and Theravance Biopharma; Chair, Data Safety and monitoring Board for Arena Pharmaceuticals; Research Grant from Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR; Ottawa, Canada); Research Grants from Dysautonomia International; Cardiac Arrhythmia Network of Canada (CANet; London, Ontario, Canada) Network Investigator.

KMB — Vanier Scholar, Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR; Ottawa, Canada).

LES — None.

MGM — Consultant for Med-IQ CME and Infinite MD, Royalties from Elsevier, Expert Witness in POTS-related cases.

MMC — None.

AJM — None.

RF — None.

IB - Consultant for Theravance Biopharma and Takeda Pharmaceutical.

Patent holder, Automated binder for treatment of Orthostatic Hypotension.

PCR — None.

RSS - None.

CAS - Consultant for Theravance Biopharma.

ADi - None.

DMS — None.

GAC — None.

TAD - Research support from Equillium Inc.

HIA - None.

BPG_r — None.

AF - Personal fees from Medtronic Inc. and Biotronik SE & Co AG, both unrelated to POTS.

JMS — Research grant from the National, Heart, Lung, and Blood institute (NHLBI) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH; Bethesda, MD, USA); Research grant from Lundbeck NA Ltd.

ACA — Consultant for National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; Research grant from Dysautonomia International.

LAP — Research grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH); Research grants from Dysautonomia International.

JA — Founder and financial beneficiary of Amelieliniken in Stockholm AB, a provider of outpatient healthcare (including to patients with POTS).

JRB — Consultant for National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

JPM - None. BPGO — None.

KRC — Research Grants from Dysautonomia International.

THC — None.

DSG — None.

ADa - None.

SV — Consultant (topics unrelated to POTS) for Sage Therapeutics, Alterity, Genentech, and Catalyst Pharmaceuticals. Research Support from Dysautonomia International, Grifols, and BioHaven Pharmaceuticals.

References

- Bagai K, Song Y, Ling JF, Malow B, Black BK, Biaggioni I, Robertson D, Raj SR, 2011. Sleep disturbances and diminished quality of life in postural tachycardia syndrome. *J. Clin. Sleep Med.* 7, 204–210. [PubMed: 21509337]
- Benarroch EE, 2012. Postural tachycardia syndrome: a heterogeneous and multifactorial disorder. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 87, 1214–1225. 10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.08.013. [PubMed: 23122672]
- Billig SCI, Schauermaann JC, Rolke R, Katona I, Schulz JB, Maier A, 2020. Quantitative sensory testing predicts histological small fiber neuropathy in postural tachycardia syndrome. *Neurol. Clin. Pract.* 10, 428–434. 10.1212/CPJ.0000000000000770. [PubMed: 33299671]
- Boris JR, Huang J, Bernadzikowski T, 2020a. Orthostatic heart rate does not predict symptomatic burden in pediatric patients with chronic orthostatic intolerance. *Clin. Auton. Res.* 30, 19–28. 10.1007/s10286-019-00622-y. [PubMed: 31385108]
- Boris JR, Huang J, Shuey T, Bernadzikowski T, 2020b. Family history of associated disorders in patients with postural tachycardia syndrome. *Cardiol. Young* 30, 388–394. 10.1017/S1047951120000165. [PubMed: 32008600]
- Bourne KM, Chew DS, Stiles LE, Shaw BH, Shiba CA, Okamoto LE, Garland EM, Gamboa A, Peltier A, Diedrich A, Biaggioni I, Sheldon RS, Robertson D, Raj SR, 2021. Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome is associated with significant employment and economic loss. *J. Intern. Med.* 10.1111/joim.13245.
- Cabral-Marques O, Marques A, Giil LM, De Vito R, Rademacher J, Günther J, Lange T, Humrich JY, Klapa S, Schinke S, Schimke LF, Marschner G, Pitann S, Adler S, Dechend R, Müller DN, Braicu I, Sehouli J, Schulze-Forster K, Trippel T, Scheibenbogen C, Staff A, Mertens PR, Löbel M, Mastroianni J, Plattfaute C, Gieseler F, Dragun D, Engelhardt BE, Fernandez-Cabezudo MJ, Ochs HD, Al-Ramadi BK, Lamprecht P, Mueller A, Heidecke H, Riemekasten G, 2018. GPCR-specific autoantibody signatures are associated with physiological and pathological immune homeostasis. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 5224. 10.1038/s41467-018-07598-9. [PubMed: 30523250]
- DaCosta JM, 1871. On irritable heart: a clinical study of a form of functional cardiac disorder and its consequences. *Am J Med Sci* 61, 2–52.
- De Wandele I, Rombaut L, Leybaert L, Van de Borne P, De Backer T, Malfait F, De Paepe A, Calders P, 2014. Dysautonomia and its underlying mechanisms in the hypermobility type of Ehlers-Danlos syndrome. *Semin. Arthritis Rheum.* 44, 93–100. 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2013.12.006. [PubMed: 24507822]
- FDA-NIH Biomarker Working Group, 2016. BEST (Biomarkers, EndpointS, and other Tools) Resource [WWW Document] URL. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK326791/>.
- Fedorowski A, Li H, Yu X, Koelsch KA, Harris VM, Liles C, Murphy TA, Quadri SMS, Scofield RH, Sutton R, Melander O, Kem DC, 2017. Antiadrenergic autoimmunity in postural tachycardia syndrome. *Europace* 19, 1211–1219. 10.1093/europace/euw154. [PubMed: 27702852]
- Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB, Benditt DG, Benarroch E, Biaggioni I, Cheshire WP, Chelimsky T, Cortelli P, Gibbons CH, Goldstein DS, Hainsworth R, Hilz MJ, Jacob G, Kaufmann H, Jordan J, Lipsitz LA, Levine BD, Low PA, Mathias C, Raj SR, Robertson D, Sandroni P, Schatz IJ,

- Schondorf R, Stewart JM, van Dijk JG, 2011. Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, neurally mediated syncope and the postural tachycardia syndrome. *Auton. Neurosci.* 161, 46–48. 10.1016/j.autneu.2011.02.004. [PubMed: 21393070]
- Garland EM, Raj SR, Black BK, Harris PA, Robertson D, 2007. The hemodynamic and neurohumoral phenotype of postural tachycardia syndrome. *Neurology* 69, 790–798. 10.1212/01.wnl.0000267663.05398.40. [PubMed: 17709712]
- Gibbons CH, Bonyhay I, Benson A, Wang N, Freeman R, 2013. Structural and functional small fiber abnormalities in the neuropathic postural tachycardia syndrome. *PLoS One* 8, e84716. 10.1371/journal.pone.0084716. [PubMed: 24386408]
- Goldstein DS, 2020. The possible association between COVID-19 and postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome. *Heart Rhythm.* 10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.12.007.
- Gunning WT, Kvale H, Kramer PM, Karabin BL, Grubb BP, 2019. Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome is associated with elevated G-protein coupled receptor autoantibodies. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 8, e013602. 10.1161/JAHA.119.013602. [PubMed: 31495251]
- Haensch C-A, Tosch M, Katona I, Weis J, Isenmann S, 2014. Small-fiber neuropathy with cardiac denervation in postural tachycardia syndrome. *Muscle Nerve* 50, 956–961. 10.1002/mus.24245. [PubMed: 24647968]
- Jackson GL, Powers BJ, Chatterjee R, Bettger JP, Kemper AR, Hasselblad V, Dolor RJ, Irvine RJ, Heidenfelder BL, Kendrick AS, Gray R, Williams JW, 2013. The patient centered medical home. A systematic review. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 158, 169–178. 10.7326/0003-4819-158-3-201302050-00579. [PubMed: 24779044]
- Kanjwal K, Jamal S, Kichloo A, Grubb BP, 2020. New-onset postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome following coronavirus disease 2019 infection. *J. Innov. Card. Rhythm Manag.* 10.19102/icrm.2020.111102.
- Lei LY, Chew DS, Sheldon RS, Raj SR, 2019. Evaluating and managing postural tachycardia syndrome. *Cleve. Clin. J. Med.* 86, 333–344. 10.3949/ccjm.86a.18002. [PubMed: 31066664]
- Li H, Zhang G, Zhou L, Nuss Z, Beel M, Hines B, Murphy T, Liles J, Zhang L, Kem DC, Yu X, 2019. Adrenergic autoantibody-induced postural tachycardia syndrome in rabbits. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 8, e013006. 10.1161/jaha.119.013006. [PubMed: 31547749]
- [Electronic Resour Lin J, Han Z, Li X, Ochs T, Zhao J, Zhang X, Yang J, Liu P, Xiong Z, Gai Y, Tang C, Du J, Jin H, 2014. Risk factors for postural tachycardia syndrome in children and adolescents, 9, e113625.
- Mar PL, Raj SR, 2020. Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome: mechanisms and new therapies. *Annu. Rev. Med.* 71, 235–248. 10.1146/annurev-med-041818-011630. [PubMed: 31412221]
- Miglis MG, Prieto T, Shaik R, Muppidi S, Sinn D-I, Jaradeh S, 2020. A case report of postural tachycardia syndrome after COVID-19. *Clin. Auton. Res.* 10.1007/s10286-020-00727-9.
- National Heart Lung and Blood Institute and National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke, 2019. Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS): state of the science, clinical care, and research. URL. https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/sites/default/files/media/docs/NIHRTConPOTS_Final.signed.pdf. (Accessed 3 March 2021) (WWW Document).
- National Institutes of Health, 2020a. NIH research portfolio online reporting tools [WWW document] <https://projectreporter.nih.gov/reporter.cfm> accessed 10.10.20.
- National Institutes of Health, 2020b. Trans-NIH myalgic encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Working Group [WWW document] <https://www.nih.gov/research-training/medical-research-initiatives/mecfs> accessed 12.19.20.
- Olshansky B, Cannom D, Fedorowski A, Stewart J, Gibbons C, Sutton R, Shen W-K, Muldowney J, Chung TH, Feigofsky S, Nayak H, Calkins H, Benditt DG, 2020. Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome (POTS): a critical assessment. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 63, 263–270. 10.1016/j.pcad.2020.03.010. [PubMed: 3222376]
- Parsaik AK, Singer W, Allison TG, Sletten DM, Joyner MJ, Benarroch EE, Low PA, Sandroni P, 2013. Orthostatic intolerance without postural tachycardia: how much dysautonomia? *Clin. Auton. Res.* 23, 181–188. 10.1007/s10286-013-0199-5. [PubMed: 23729158]

- Peltier AC, Garland E, Raj SR, Sato K, Black B, Song Y, Wang L, Biaggioni I, Diedrich A, Robertson D, 2010. Distal sudomotor findings in postural tachycardia syndrome. *Clin. Auton. Res.* 20, 93–99. 10.1007/s10286-009-0045-y. [PubMed: 20035362]
- Posey JE, Martinez R, Lankford JE, Lupski JR, Numan MT, Butler IJ, 2017. Dominant transmission observed in adolescents and families with orthostatic intolerance. *Pediatr. Neurol.* 66, 53–58.e5. 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2016.09.013. [PubMed: 27773421]
- Raj SR, Biaggioni I, Yamhure PC, Black BK, Paranjape SY, Byrne DW, Robertson D, 2005. Renin-aldosterone paradox and perturbed blood volume regulation underlying postural tachycardia syndrome. *Circulation* 111, 1574–1582. 10.1161/01.CIR.0000160356.97313.5D. [PubMed: 15781744]
- Raj SR, Black BK, Biaggioni I, Paranjape SY, Ramirez M, Dupont WD, Robertson D, 2009. Propranolol decreases tachycardia and improves symptoms in the postural tachycardia syndrome: less is more. *Circulation* 120, 725–734. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.846501. [PubMed: 19687359]
- Raj SR, Guzman JC, Harvey P, Richer L, Schondorf R, Seifer C, Thibodeau-Jarry N, Sheldon RS, 2020. Canadian cardiovascular society position statement on Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome (POTS) and related disorders of chronic orthostatic intolerance. *Can. J. Cardiol.* 36, 357–372. 10.1016/j.cjca.2019.12.024. [PubMed: 32145864]
- Raj SR, Robertson D, 2018. Moving from the present to the future of Postural Tachycardia Syndrome - what we need. *Auton. Neurosci.* 215, 126–128. 10.1016/j.autneu.2018.06.007. [PubMed: 30539785]
- Rea NA, Campbell CL, Cortez MM, 2017. Quantitative assessment of autonomic symptom burden in Postural tachycardia syndrome (POTS). *J. Neurol. Sci.* 377, 35–41. 10.1016/j.jns.2017.03.032. [PubMed: 28477704]
- Schondorf R, Low PA, 1993. Idiopathic postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome: an attenuated form of acute pandysautonomia? *Neurology* 43, 132–137. 10.1212/wnl.43.1_part_1.132. [PubMed: 8423877]
- Shaw BH, Stiles LE, Bourne K, Green EA, Shibao CA, Okamoto LE, Garland EM, Gamboa A, Diedrich A, Raj V, Sheldon RS, Biaggioni I, Robertson D, Raj SR, 2019. The face of postural tachycardia syndrome — insights from a large cross-sectional online community-based survey. *J. Intern. Med.* 28610.1111/joim.12895.
- Sheldon RS, Grubb BP, Olshansky B, Shen W-K, Calkins H, Brignole M, Raj SR, Krahn AD, Morillo CA, Stewart JM, Sutton R, Sandroni P, Friday KJ, Hachul DT, Cohen MI, Lau DH, Mayuga KA, Moak JP, Sandhu RK, Kanjwal K, 2015. 2015 heart rhythm society expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and treatment of postural tachycardia syndrome, inappropriate sinus tachycardia, and vasovagal syncope. *Heart Rhythm.* 12, e4110.1016/j.hrthm.2015.03.029. [PubMed: 25980576]
- Shibao C, Arzubiaga C, Roberts LJ, Raj S, Black B, Harris P, Biaggioni I, 2005. Hyperadrenergic postural tachycardia syndrome in mast cell activation disorders. *Hypertension* 45, 385–390. 10.1161/01.hyp.0000158259.68614.40. [PubMed: 15710782]
- Sletten DM, Suarez GA, Low PA, Mandrekar J, Singer W, 2012. COMPASS 31: are fined and abbreviated composite autonomic symptom score. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 87, 1196–1201. 10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.10.013. [PubMed: 23218087]
- U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, 2018. Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriation Bill, 2019 (to Accompany S. 3158) URL. <https://www.congress.gov/115/crpt/srpt289/CRPT-115srpt289.pdf>. (Accessed 8 January 2021) (WWW Document).
- Vernino S, Bourne KM, Stiles LE, Grubb BP, Fedorowski A, Stewart JM, Arnold AC, Pace LA, Axelsson J, Boris JR, Moak JP, Goodman BP, Chémali KR, Chung TH, Goldstein DS, Diedrich A, Miglis MG, Cortez MM, Miller AJ, Freeman R, Biaggioni I, Rowe PC, Sheldon RS, Shibao CA, Systrom DM, Cook GA, Doherty TA, Abdallah HI, Darbari A, Raj SR, 2021. Postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS): state of the science and clinical care from a 2019 National Institute of Health Expert Consensus meeting. *Auton. Neurosci.* 10282810.1016/j.autneu.2021.102828. [PubMed: 34144933]
- Wallin MT, Culpepper WJ, Campbell JD, Nelson LM, Langer-Gould A, Marrie RA, Cutter GR, Kaye WE, Wagner L, Tremlett H, Buka SL, Dilokthornsakul P, Topol B, Chen LH, LaRocca

- NG, 2019. The prevalence of MS in the United States. *Neurology*92, e1029–e1040. 10.1212/WNL.0000000000007035. [PubMed: 30770430]
- Wells R, Elliott AD, Mahajan R, Page A, Iodice V, Sanders P, Lau DH, 2018. Efficacy of therapies for postural tachycardia syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 93, 1043–1053. 10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.01.025. [PubMed: 29937049]
- Williams JW, Jackson GL, Powers BJ, Chatterjee R, Bettger JP, Kemper AR, Hasselblad V, Dolor RJ, Irvine RJ, Heidenfelder BL, Kendrick AS, Gray R, 2012. Closing the quality gap: revisiting the state of the science (vol. 2: the patient-centered medical home). *Evid. Rep. Technol. Assess.* 1–210 (Full. Rep).
- Winker R, 2004. Orthostatic intolerance—prevalence, diagnostic management and its significance for occupational medicine. *Wien. Klin. Wochenschr.* 116 (Suppl. 1), 40–46. [PubMed: 15518091]
- World Health Organization, 2021. ICD-11 for mortality and morbidity statistics (ICD-11 MMS) [WWW document]<https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en>accessed 6.14.21.

Table 1

Annual NIH spending on POTS research.

Fiscal year	NIH POTS funding
2015	\$1,295,326
2016	\$1,012,514
2017	\$1,597,904
2018	\$1,812,492
2019	\$1,787,429
2020	\$1,200,055

Source: NIH RePORTER Database, available at <https://projectreporter.nih.gov/reporter.cfm>. Accessed January 24, 2021. See Supplementary materials.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Recommended priorities for POTS clinical care and research.

Clinical care priorities

- Improve POTS education and awareness for medical providers
- Develop standard algorithms for diagnosis and treatment
- Establish diagnostic criteria to help identify POTS subtypes and tailor therapy
- Engage multidisciplinary networks of care

Research priorities

- Better define the role of genetic and associated disease factors
 - Define the natural history of the disease
 - Investigate the role of autoimmunity and autoantibodies in POTS
 - Create a national/international consortium of academic centers
 - Develop POTS-specific rating scales and outcome measures as standardized common data elements
 - Create common data elements and definitions for use across both clinical and research approaches
 - Conduct properly-controlled and randomized clinical research trials
-

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript