ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Chances of pregnancy after dropping out from infertility treatments: Evidence from a social survey in Japan

Marie Hirakawa¹ 🕒 | Emiko Usui² 🕩 | Nahoko Mitsuvama³ ២ | Takashi Oshio² 🕩

WILEY

Reproductive Medicine and Biology

¹Graduate School of Economics, Hitotsubashi University, Tokyo, Japan

²Institute of Economic Research, Hitotsubashi University, Tokyo, Japan ³Center for Liberal Arts, International University of Health and Welfare, Chiba, Japan

Correspondence

Takashi Oshio, Institute of Economic Research, Hitotsubashi University, 2-1 Naka, Kunitachi, Tokyo 186-8603, Japan. Email: oshio@ier.hit-u.ac.jp

Funding information

Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. Grant/Award Number: 20K01722

Abstract

Purpose: We examined a patient's chances of pregnancy after dropping out from infertility treatments, an issue that has been largely understudied.

Method: Drawing from a nationwide Internet survey in Japan with 1930 respondents, we used data from 199 individuals (109 women and 90 men) who had undergone an infertility treatment. We estimated linear probability models to investigate the extent to which the probability of pregnancy was affected by dropping out after controlling for a couple's attributes.

Results: Among the 199 respondents who had experienced an infertility treatment, 91 (45.7% of the entire sample) became pregnant during the treatment, and 108 (54.3%) dropped out. Among these 108 dropouts, 66 (33.2%) eventually became pregnant. After controlling for a couple's attributes, treatment discontinuation reduced the probability of pregnancy by 31.6% (standard error: 5.0%). A relatively limited reduction in the chances of pregnancy was also observed after a patient dropped out of any of the three treatment stages (timed intercourse, intrauterine insemination, and in vitro fertilization).

Conclusions: The results suggest that dropping out from infertility treatments does not preclude any chance of a future pregnancy. More follow-up attention should be provided to dropout patients.

KEYWORDS

fertility, fertilization, infertility, pregnancy, treatment

1 | INTRODUCTION

An increasing number of couples have been undergoing infertility treatments in recent years. In 2012, 0.9 million children were estimated to have been born using assisted reproductive technology (ART),¹ and the proportion of births through ART now exceeds 3% of all births in many industrialized countries.² Infertility treatment also influences a couple's lifestyle and well-being by affecting the timing

of childbirth and the balance between childbearing and labor force participation.^{3,4}

However, a substantial proportion of couples choose to discontinue treatment before childbirth.⁵⁻⁸ Dropping out, which can occur at any treatment stage, inevitably affects treatment success rates, an essential factor that is considered when evaluating the treatment efficacy.⁶ Correspondingly, many previous studies have examined and identified several factors that lead people to discontinue infertility treatment. Several identified predictors of discontinuation are

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2021 The Authors. Reproductive Medicine and Biology published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japan Society for Reproductive Medicine

related to patients, clinics, and their relationships, including the patients' physical and psychological burdens, their limited financial resources, inadequate organization of care, poor communication skills of staff, and negative interactions between the patients and staff.⁹⁻¹⁴ Additionally, other studies have found that female age, duration of subfertility, other maternal conditions, and stressful life events were key factors in determining the success of the infertility treatment.¹⁵⁻¹⁸

However, in comparison to these determinants of treatment failure and success, less is known about the chances of pregnancy after treatment discontinuation. This is, presumably, because clinics have limited information about patients who have dropped out of the treatment. Nevertheless, the possibility of pregnancy after treatment discontinuation cannot be excluded in advance and must be explicitly assessed for a more accurate evaluation of infertility treatments.

If the chances of pregnancy after treatment discontinuation are significant, it would imply that more attention should be given to patients who discontinue treatment. Indeed, a previous study that examined the long-term parenthood outcome after discontinuation of unsuccessful in vitro fertilization (IVF) treatment argued that "unsuccessful patients should not lose hope, because nearly half may subsequently succeed in having a child".¹⁹ It should be noted, however, that about half of the couples who achieved parenthood in this study did so through adoption. Moreover, the study did not examine the outcome of discontinuation at earlier treatment stages, that is, timed intercourse (TI) or intrauterine insemination (IUI).

The present study examined the largely understudied issue of the association between future pregnancy chances and dropping out of infertility treatments. Unlike most preceding studies, the data we collected for individuals who had experienced infertility treatment were obtained from a nationwide Internet survey. Using these data, we examined the probability of pregnancy after dropping out of infertility treatment, which were then divided into three stages: TI, IUI, and IVF. We further investigated the factors that affected the patient's decision to discontinue treatment and how the probability of pregnancy was associated with (a) a couple's discontinuation experiences and (b) a couple's attributes.

Although the study findings are not free from limitations due to the use of self-reported experiences in infertility treatment, we expect our findings to have important implications for healthcare policy in advanced countries. This is especially the case in Japan, where 1 in 16.7 babies was born through ART in 2017,²⁰ still fewer than other advanced countries, and the total fertility rate dropped to 1.36 in 2019 after hovering slightly above 2.0 over the preceding seven years.²¹ Additionally, the policy debate on public health insurance coverage for infertility treatment requires more information about the efficacy of the infertility treatment to evaluate whether financial support is necessary for subfertile couples.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHOD

2.1 | Study sample

We collected data from 199 individuals who had experienced infertility treatment. To obtain their data, we randomly selected 2,135 individuals (both female and male) who were living with their spouses and had three or fewer children from the 4,527 respondents of the fourth wave of "the Japanese Longitudinal Survey on Employment and Fertility" (LOSEF) conducted in 2019. The LOSEF was a population-based, nationwide longitudinal survey targeting men and women aged between 20 and 59 years living in Japan in 2012. The survey has been conducted every two years since 2012. The survey respondents, chosen in 2012 from among the individuals publicly recruited by Intage, Inc, an Internet research company, were randomly stratified based on (a) age, gender, and regional information from the 2010 Census and (b) the employment-to-unemployment ratio from the Employment Status Survey 2007. We sent the questionnaire via the Internet to the abovementioned 2135 individuals and received 1,930 responses in January and February, 2020. Thereafter, we used the data of 199 individuals (109 women and 90 men), 10.3% of the respondents, who reported that they had experienced infertility treatment.

2.2 | Measures

We considered whether a respondent (or his wife, if a respondent was male) became pregnant or had childbirth during each stage of the infertility treatment (TI, IUI, and IVF) or dropped out of it before becoming pregnant. We further considered, for each stage, whether a respondent who dropped out at the stage had become pregnant by 2020. For regression analyses, we additionally considered the wife's age at the first fertility clinic visit, the duration of fertility treatment, the couple's educational attainment (college graduation or higher), whether they had had childbirth before the first fertility clinic visit, and the household expenditure as a proxy for household income. We also considered whether the couple was eligible for public financial support for infertility treatment, which required (a) the wife's age to be 42 years or below as of 2018, (b) the couple's total annual income to be 7.3 million JPY or below, and (c) their first fertility clinic visit to be in April 2004 or later. We further controlled for the respondents' gender. In the estimation of the probability of becoming pregnant (see below), we additionally controlled for the period between the end of fertility treatment (or dropout) and the survey time.

2.3 | Statistical analysis

For descriptive analysis, we examined the extent to which dropping out of infertility treatment reduced the chances of pregnancy. At each treatment stage, we divided the respondents into three groups: (a) those who became pregnant during the treatment, (b) those who dropped out of it and became pregnant or had childbirth after it, and (c) those who dropped out of it and did not become pregnant. We then compared the proportions of (2) to that of (1) to evaluate whether the pregnancy chances had declined after dropping out.

Following this descriptive analysis, we conducted two regression analyses. First, we estimated the linear probability model (LPM) ^{22,23} to predict how a couple's attributes affected the probability of

Reproductive Medicine and Biology

treatment discontinuation. Second, we estimated the LPM model to predict the probability of becoming pregnant by a binary variable of dropping out along with a couple's attributes. In both regression analyses, we estimated two types of regression models: First, we focused on infertility treatment as a whole and considered dropping out at any treatment stage; second, we considered dropping out at each treatment stage separately. Since the dependent variables were binary, an alternative approach would be to estimate probit models and calculate the effects of independent variables based on the regression analyses. We confirmed that this alternative approach produced similar results, which are available upon request.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Descriptive analysis

Of the 1,930 survey respondents, 199 individuals answered that they had experienced an infertility treatment. Table 1 summarizes the key features of the study sample, which included 109 women and 90 men. Among them, 157 (78.9%) had at least one child or were currently pregnant (here and in the pages that follow, we use the terms *pregnant* and *pregnancy* for male respondents if their wives were pregnant) at the time of the survey. The wives' average current age was 42.2 years, while the husbands' average age was 44.1 years. There was no duplicated response from the same couple.

Table 2 summarizes the combination of infertility treatment and pregnancy in 199 individuals. Among 199 individuals who had an experience of infertility treatment, 91 (45.7%) became pregnant during the treatment, 108 (54.3%) dropped out, and 66 (33.2%) became pregnant after dropping out. We also found that 91, 55, and 53 individuals underwent TI, IUI, and IVF, respectively. Among the 91 individuals whose final treatment was TI, 39 (42.9%) became pregnant

TABLE 1Key features of the study sample

| | M (SD) |
|--|---------------|
| Wife's age at the survey time (years) | 42.2 (6.6) |
| Husband's age at the survey time (years) | 44.1 (7.1) |
| Wife's age at the first fertility clinic visit (years) | 32.4 (5.5) |
| Household expenditure (monthly, thousand JPY) | 273.1 (348.6) |
| | n (%) |
| Dropout in any fertile treatment stage | 108 (54.3) |
| Became pregnant/had childbirth during or after fertility treatment | 157 (78.9) |
| Wife graduated from college or above | 81 (40.7) |
| Husband graduated from college or above | 129 (64.8) |
| Eligible for public financial support | 55 (27.6) |
| Having had childbirth before first fertility clinic visit | 43 (21.6) |
| Female respondent | 109 (54.8) |
| Ν | 199 |

during the treatment, whereas 52 individuals (57.1%) dropped out of the treatment. Among these 52 dropouts, 38 individuals (41.8% of 91 individuals) became pregnant, almost the same number as those who became pregnant during the TI stage. We observed similar results for IUI; among 55 individuals whose final treatment was IUI, 22 (40.0%) became pregnant during the treatment, whereas 21 individuals (38.2% of the 55 individuals) became pregnant after dropping out of the treatment. Concerning IVF, the success rate was somewhat higher than that of TI and IUI; out of 53 individuals who underwent IVF, 30 (56.6%) became pregnant during the treatment and 23 (43.4%) dropped out. Unlike dropping out of TI and IUI, dropping out of IVF substantially reduced the pregnancy chances; only seven individuals (13.2% of 53 individuals) became pregnant among the 23 dropouts.

3.2 | Regression analysis

Table 3 presents the estimation results of the LPM that explain the association between treatment discontinuation and a couple's attributes. We observed four key predictors after considering all the cases of treatment discontinuation. Specifically, we found that the probability of treatment discontinuation increased when a wife's age was higher at the first fertility clinic visit. In contrast, it decreased when a wife's educational attainment was higher, and when a couple was eligible for financial support for infertility treatment. We obtained similar results, albeit not consistently significant, from the regression models that focused on dropouts from each of the treatment stages, as seen in the second to fourth columns.

Table 4 shows the estimation results of the LPM to predict the extent to which the probability of pregnancy was affected by treatment discontinuation after controlling for a couple's attributes. We observed that the probability of becoming pregnant was reduced by 31.6% (standard error [SE]: 5.0%) if the patient dropped out from any of the infertility treatments. This rate of reduction was somewhat higher, but not significantly, from what was implied by the ratio of the number of individuals who became pregnant after dropping out from any treatment stage (66) to the number of those who succeeded in pregnancy during the treatment (91), that is, 27.5% (= 1 - 66/91), as seen in Table 2. When we examined dropouts from TI, IUI, and IVF separately, we found that dropping out reduced the probability of pregnancy by 22.2% (SE: 8.0%), 25.3% (SE: 8.9%), and 71.9% (SE: 11.5%), respectively. Since these magnitudes of reduction were well below 100%, this indicates that the probability of becoming pregnant remained relatively high even after treatment discontinuation, although the chances were reduced as the treatment proceeded from TI and IUI, on the one hand, to IVF on the other. We also found that the probability of pregnancy was not associated with the duration of fertility treatment or the period between the end of fertility treatment (or dropout) and the survey time, except for a negative association with the duration of TI treatment.

TABLE 2Pregnancy chances duringinfertility treatment and after dropout

| | | Pregnant | Dropout | | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | Total | During treatment | Total | Pregnant/ childbirth | Not pregnant |
| Final treatment | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) |
| Tl ^a | 91 (100) | 39 (42.9) | 52 (57.1) | 38 (41.8) | 14 (15.4) |
| IUI ^b | 55 (100) | 22 (40.0) | 33 (60.0) | 21 (38.2) | 12 (21.8) |
| IVF ^c | 53 (100) | 30 (56.6) | 23 (43.4) | 7 (13.2) | 16 (30.2) |
| Total | 199 (100) | 91 (45.7) | 108 (54.3) | 66 (33.2) | 42 (21.1) |

Reproductive Medicine and Biology

^aTimed intercourse.

^bIntrauterine insemination.

^cIn vitro fertilization.

TABLE 3 The associations with the probability of dropout from infertility treatment: linear probability models

| Dependent variable: a binary variable of dropout | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Final treatment stage | All | TIª | IUI ^b | IVF ^c |
| | Coef. (SE ^d) | Coef. (SE) | Coef. (SE) | Coef. (SE) |
| Wife's age at the first fertility clinic visit (year) | 0.023*** (0.006) | 0.033** (0.011) | 0.030 ^{**} (0.009) | 0.031 (0.017) |
| Duration of fertility treatment (year) | 0.015 (0.014) | 0.010 (0.022) | -0.011 (0.047) | 0.067* (0.025) |
| Wife graduated from college or above | -0.170** (0.071) | -0.150 (0.107) | -0.307* (0.150) | -0.163 (0.126) |
| Husband graduated from college or above | -0.059 (0.076) | -0.217 (0.112) | 0.113 (0.136) | 0.111 (0.168) |
| Having had a child before the initial fertility clinic visit | 0.127 (0.082) | 0.092 (0.111) | 0.090 (0.140) | -0.382** (0.113) |
| Household expenditure (monthly, million JPY) | -0.017 (0.090) | 0.072 (0.093) | -0.087 (0.230) | -0.176* (0.082) |
| Eligible for public financial support for fertility treatment | -0.189 [*] (0.076) | -0.166 (0.106) | -0.222 (0.157) | -0.258 (0.130) |
| Female respondent | 0.039 (0.071) | 0.037 (0.108) | 0.262 (0.145) | 0.001 (0.156) |
| R ² | 0.141 | 0.191 | 0.271 | 0.368 |
| Ν | 199 | 91 | 55 | 53 |

^a Timed intercourse.

^b Intrauterine insemination.

^c In vitro fertilization.

^d Robust standard error.

**** P < .001, ^{**}P < .01, ^{*}P < .05.

4 | DISCUSSION

We examined the association between an individual's chances of pregnancy and dropping out of infertility treatment. The novelty of this study is that it utilized information about pregnancy outcomes after treatment discontinuation as well as during the treatment.

The most notable finding in this study is that dropping out of infertility treatment did not preclude any chance of a future pregnancy. Our descriptive analysis showed that 33.2% of the individuals who experienced an infertility treatment became pregnant after treatment discontinuation. It is reasonable that this rate was lower than the rate of becoming pregnant for those who continued the treatment (45.7%); however, it was not much lower than that. We also found that post-discontinuation pregnancy chances declined more for patients who had proceeded toward IVF, probably because such patients required assisted reproductive techniques more than others to become pregnant.

Our regression analyses, which controlled for a couple's attributes, confirmed that treatment discontinuation reduced the probability of becoming pregnant. A more important finding is that the reduction in the probability was relatively limited, even though the probability reduction rate increased as the recipients went through IVF, an observation consistent with the results of the descriptive analysis.

These findings, which suggest that couples do not need to give up on having a child after dropping out of infertility treatment, are consistent with the results of a previous study that focused on the outcomes of unsuccessful IVF.¹⁹ The results were also reasonable given the fact that treatment discontinuation may not be due to a solid evidence of irreversible infertility. This is more likely in earlier Reproductive Medicine and Biology

| Dependent variab | le: a binary variable o | of becoming pregna | nt | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Final tractment | All | TI ^a | IUI ^b | IVF ^c | |
| stage | Coef. (SE ^d) | Coef. (SE) | Coef. (SE) | Coef. (SE) | |
| Dropout | -0.316 ^{***} (0.050) | -0.222** (0.080) | -0.253** (0.089) | -0.719 ^{***} (0.115) | |
| Wife's age at the first fertility clinic visit (year) | -0.017** (0.007) | -0.022 (0.014) | -0.018 (0.009) | -0.004 (0.008) | |
| Duration of fertility treatment (year) | -0.011 (0.011) | -0.036* (0.017) | 0.014 (0.025) | 0.036 (0.020) | |
| Period between the end of fertility treatment (or dropout) and the survey time (year) | 0.001 (0.005) | -0.004 (0.008) | 0.008 (0.009) | -0.004 (0.010) | |
| Eligible for public financial support for fertility treatment | 0.088 (0.052) | 0.047 (0.078) | 0.279 (0.121) | -0.090 (0.070) | |
| Wife graduated from college or above | -0.028 (0.061) | -0.112 (0.096) | -0.035 (0.158) | 0.053 (0.117) | |
| Husband graduated from college or above | -0.053 (0.050) | -0.068 (0.077) | -0.077 (0.098) | 0.079 (0.078) | |
| Having had a child before the initial fertility clinic visit | 0.041 (0.062) | 0.060 (0.074) | -0.161 (0.171) | 0.067 (0.066) | |
| Household expenditure (monthly, million JPY) | 0.021 (0.062) | -0.039 (0.093) | 0.096 (0.144) | -0.029 (0.052) | |
| Female respondent | 0.040 (0.050) | 0.089 (0.069) | 0.072 (0.109) | -0.001 (0.097) | |
| R ² | 0.377 | 0.369 | 0.459 | 0.671 | |
| Ν | 199 | 91 | 55 | 53 | |

^aTimed intercourse.

^bIntrauterine insemination.

^cIn vitro fertilization.

^dRobust standard error.

****P <.001, ^{**}P < .01, ^{*}P < .05.

treatment stages when the degree of infertility remains highly uncertain. Previous studies have demonstrated that patients may discontinue treatment for many reasons that are not directly related to infertility, including poor communication between the patients and staff as well as a couple's financial constraints.⁹⁻¹⁴

The results of this study have two important practical implications. First, the observation that a substantial proportion of patient dropouts eventually had a child suggests the need for more follow-up research to evaluate the infertility treatment's efficacy more precisely. Especially in the case of TI, the treatment may have a

TABLE 4 The associations between dropout from infertility treatment and pregnancy chances: linear probability models

favorable impact on the patients' behavior even after its discontinuation, as patients may have learned to have intercourse at the proper time in a woman's cycle.

The second implication, related to the first, is that more follow-up attention should be provided to patients after treatment discontinuation. Dropping out from infertility treatment does not always imply that the couple has given up on conceiving; on the contrary, they often succeed in conceiving without having further medical support from infertility experts. Medical recommendations, professional counseling, and sustained communication with infertility clinics that they left may be helpful for couples who want a child even after dropping out.

Besides these key findings, our regression results confirmed that a wife's lower age at the initial clinic visit tended to encourage the couple to stay in the infertility treatment; this is consistent with the results of previous studies.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The results showed that a wife's higher educational attainment also reduced the probability of dropping out, an indication of the importance of considering socioeconomic factors as predictors of treatment discontinuation. Additionally, the negative association between dropping out and eligibility for public financial support for infertility treatment underscores the importance of financial resources in influencing the demand for infertility treatment, as suggested by previous studies that discussed the impact of infertility insurance mandates on the demand for infertility treatment in the United States.²⁴⁻²⁶

This study has several limitations. First, it depended on information about experiences with the infertility treatment and pregnancy that were self-reported by a relatively small number of respondents. Moreover, these experiences were retrospectively evaluated by the respondents in 2020 (the survey time), a delay that can be assumed to increase measurement errors and estimation biases. Second, we could not obtain information about the duration of each respondent's infertility treatment or their reasons for discontinuing treatment, although both these factors were likely to affect their pregnancy chances after dropout. We also had no information about the psychological impact of treatment failure on the respondents,²⁷ which may affect post-discontinuation outcomes. Third, we should be cautious in generalizing the results obtained from the social survey in Japan to other countries, given the well-established fact that Japanese women tend to start infertility treatment at older ages compared to other advanced countries,¹ and the level of fertility knowledge in Japan is the lowest among advanced countries.²⁸

Despite these limitations, this study underscored the importance of following up on couples who have dropped out of infertility treatment at any stage. Their chances of becoming pregnant after dropping out should be considered when evaluating the effectiveness of infertility treatments. Additionally, they should receive more pregnancy-related attention after treatment discontinuation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was financially supported by a grant from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (Grant Number: 20K01722).

DISCLOSURES

Conflict of Interest: All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. Human rights statements and informed consent: All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its later amendments. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study. Animal Studies: This article does not contain any studies with animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The study design was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hitotsubashi University (reference number: 2019C008).

CLINICAL TRIAL REGISTRY

Not applicant.

ORCID

Marie Hirakawa Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-9645-7843 Emiko Usui Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-7686-4655 Nahoko Mitsuyama Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-6203-1457 Takashi Oshio Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-8142-5585

REFERENCES

- de Mouzon J, Chambers GM, Zegers-Hochschild F, et al. International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies world report: assisted reproductive technology 2012. *Hum Reprod*. 2020;35(8):1900-1913.
- 2. De Geyter C, Calhaz-Jorge C, Kupka MS, et al. ART in Europe, 2015: results generated from European registries by ESHRE. *Hum Reprod Open*. 2020;2020(1):hoz038.
- Kroeger S, La Mattina G. Assisted reproductive technology and women's choice to pursue professional careers. J Popul Econ. 2017;30:723-769.
- Leung MY, Groes F, Santaeulalia-Llopis R. The relationship between age at first birth and mother's lifetime earnings: evidence from Danish data. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(1):e0146989.
- de La Rochebrochard E, Soullier N, Peikrishvili R, Guibert J, Bouyer J. High in vitro fertilization discontinuation rate in France. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2008;103(1):74-75.
- Land JA, Courtar DA, Evers JL. Patient dropout in an assisted reproductive technology program: implications for pregnancy rates. *Fertil Steril.* 1997;68(2):278-281.
- Sharma V, Allgar V, Rajkhowa M. Factors influencing the cumulative conception rate and discontinuation of in vitro fertilization treatment for infertility. *Fertil Steril.* 2002;78(1):40-46.
- Soullier N, Bouyer J, Pouly JL, Guibert J, de La Rochebrochard E. Estimating the success of an in vitro fertilization programme using multiple imputation. *Hum Reprod.* 2008;23(1):187-192.
- Brandes M, van der Steen J, Bokdam SB, et al. When and why do subfertile couples discontinue their fertility care? A longitudinal cohort study in a secondary care subfertility population. *Hum Reprod.* 2009;24(12):3127-3135.
- Bedrick BS, Anderson K, Broughton DE, Hamilton B, Jungheim ES. Factors associated with early in vitro fertilization treatment discontinuation. *Fertil Steril.* 2019;112(1):105-111.
- 11. Gameiro S, Boivin J, Peronace L, Verhaak CM. Why do patients discontinue fertility treatment? A systematic review of reasons and

Reproductive Medicine and Biology

predictors of discontinuation in fertility treatment. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2012;18(6):652-669.

- Huppelschoten AG, van Dongen A, Philipse ICP, et al. Predicting dropout in fertility care: a longitudinal study on patient-centredness. *Hum Reprod.* 2013;28(8):2177-2186.
- Olivius C, Friden B, Borg G, Bergh C. Why do couples discontinue in vitro fertilization treatment? A cohort study. *Fertil Steril.* 2004;81(2):258-261.
- Verberg M, Eijkemans M, Heijnen E, et al. Why do couples dropout from IVF treatment? A prospective cohort study. *Hum Reprod*. 2008;23(9):2050-2055.
- Lintsen A, Eijkemans M, Hunault CC, et al. Predicting ongoing pregnancy chances after IVF and ICSI: a national prospective study. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;22(9):2455-2462.
- van Loendersloot LL, van Wely M, Limpens J, Bossuyt PM, Repping S, van der Veen F. Predictive factors in in vitro fertilization (IVF): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2010;16(6):577-589.
- Wang YA, Healy D, Black D, Sullivan EA. Age-specific success rate for women undertaking their first assisted reproduction technology treatment using their own oocytes in Australia, 2002–2005. *Hum Reprod.* 2008;23(7):1633-1638.
- Ebbesen S, Zachariae R, Mehlsen MY, et al. Stressful life events are associated with a poor in vitro fertilization (IVF) outcome: a prospective study. *Hum Reprod.* 2009;24(9):2173-2182.
- de La Rochebrochard E, Quelen C, Peikrishvili R, Guibert J, Bouyer J. Long-term outcome of parenthood project during in vitro fertilization and after discontinuation of unsuccessful in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril*. 2009;92(1):149-156.
- 20. Ishihara O, Jwa SC, Kuwahara A, et al. Assisted reproductive technology in Japan: a summary report for 2017 by the Ethics

Committee of the Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology. *Reprod Med Biol.* 2020;19(1):3-12.

- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Vital statistics 2019. 2020. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/jinkou/kakutei19/ dl/15_all.pdf. Accessed 16 Dec 2020
- 22. Battey HS, Cox DR, Jackson MV. On the linear in probability model for binary data. *R Soc Open Sci.* 2019;6:190067.
- 23. Wooldridge JM. Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach, 5th international edn. Mason, OH: South-Western; 2013.
- Bitler MP, Schmidt L. Utilization of infertility treatments: the effects of insurance mandates. *Demography*. 2012;49(1):125-149.
- Hamilton BH, McManus B. The effects of insurance mandates on choices and outcomes in infertility treatment markets. *Health Econ*. 2012;21(8):994-1016.
- Henne MB, Bundorf MK. Insurance mandates and trends in infertility treatments. *Fertil Steril*. 2008;89(1):66-73.
- de Klerk C, Macklon NS, Heijnen E, et al. The psychological impact of IVF failure after two or more cycles of IVF with a mild versus standard treatment strategy. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;22(9):2554-2558.
- Bunting L, Tsibulsky I, Boivin J. Fertility knowledge and beliefs about fertility treatment: findings from the International Fertility Decision-making Study. *Hum Reprod.* 2013;28(2):385-397.

How to cite this article: Hirakawa M, Usui E, Mitsuyama N, Oshio T. Chances of pregnancy after dropping out from infertility treatments: Evidence from a social survey in Japan. *Reprod Med Biol.* 2021;20:246–252. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u> rmb2.12377

252