

Full Research Paper

Open Access

Mechanistic aspects of the isomerization of Z-vinylic tellurides double bonds in the synthesis of potassium Zvinyltrifluoroborate salts

Hélio A Stefani^{*1,2,3}, Rafael C Guadagnin¹, Artur F Keppler³, Giancarlo V Botteselle¹, João V Comasseto³ and Carlos A Suganuma¹

Address: ¹Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ²Departamento de Biofísica, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil and ³Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Email: Hélio A Stefani* - hstefani@usp.br; Rafael C Guadagnin - rcguadagnin@usp.br; Artur F Keppler - keppler@iq.usp.br; Giancarlo V Botteselle - Giancarlo@usp.br; João V Comasseto - jvcomass@iq.usp.br; Carlos A Suganuma - carlos.suganuma@usp.br * Corresponding author

Published: 5 February 2008

Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry 2008, 4:9 doi:10.1186/1860-5397-4-9

This article is available from: http://bjoc.beilstein-journals.org/content/4/1/9

© 2008 Stefani et al; licensee Beilstein-Institut

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 15 December 2007 Accepted: 5 February 2008

Abstract

Through direct transmetalation reaction of Z-vinylic tellurides with nBuLi was observed the unexpected isomerization of double bonds leading to potassium E-vinyltrifluoroborates salts in low to moderate yields. Using EPR spin trapping experiments the radical species that promoted the stereoinversion of Z-vinylic organometallic species during the preparation of potassium vinyltrifluoroborate salts was identified. The experiments support the proposed mechanism, which is based on the homolytic cleavage of the TenBu bond.

Background

Boronic acids and boronate esters are the most commonly used derivatives in Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions. Recently, Molander *et al.*[1] and our group [2] have explored the use of potassium organotrifluoroborate salts as an alternative to the usual organoboron reagents in alkenyl-alkenyl,[3] aryl-aryl,[4] alkenyl-alkynyl,[5] and alkenyl-aryl [6] cross-coupling reactions.

Distinct from the most commonly explored hydrometallation reactions, the hydrotelluration of alkynes exclusively forms *Z*-vinylic tellurides. [7] Vinylic tellurides have the ability to undergo tellurium-metal exchange reactions with several different commonly used, commercially available, or easily prepared organometallic reagents, leading to *Z*-vinyllithiums and *Z*-vinylcyanocuprates. In reactions promoted by Pd or Ni, these compounds undergo stereospecific coupling with a wide range of organic species. [8] The vinylic organometallic species obtained in this way can also react with carbonyl compounds, α , β -unsaturated systems, or epoxides [9-11] with complete retention of the double-bond stereochemistry

Taking advantage of the regio- and stereocontrol of the preparation of *Z*-vinylic tellurides,[12] and of the unique features of the transmetallation with complete retention of the original double bond geometry, we report herein the synthesis of potassium vinyltrifluoroborate salts by means of the Te-Li exchange reaction. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported preparation of potassium *E*-vinyltrifluoroborate salts from *Z*-vinylic tellurides.

Results and discussion

Functionalized *Z*-vinylic tellurides <u>1</u>were prepared by hydrotelluration of alkynes. [13] Using phenyl vinyl telluride, we performed a series of test reactions to establish

the best reaction conditions for the lithium-boron exchange step (Table 1; ii, Scheme 1). Optimum yield was obtained with $B(OiPr)_3$ as the electrophile and ether as the solvent (entry 6).



Using the optimized conditions (Table 1, entry 6), all the *Z*-vinylic tellurides were, to our surprise, transformed into potassium *E*-vinyltrifluoroborate salts exclusively (see additional file 1) (Figure 1).

The ¹H NMR spectra of the products showed the presence of the salt $nBuBF_3K$ as a by-product (30–50% of the total yield). Use of 1.0 equiv. of nBuLi instead of 1.5 equiv. as in the optimized protocol gave the same proportion of $nBuBF_3K$.

With ¹H NMR, we tried to observe the coupling constants of the vinylic hydrogens for each intermediate of the reaction route. Using this approach, we could prove the *cis* geometry of the vinylic hydrogens of the intermediate 2(Scheme 1), which presented a coupling constant of 18.09 Hz. [14,15] Unfortunately, the boronic "ate" complex 4(Scheme 1) is an insoluble species and no ¹H NMR spectra were obtained. However, these results indicated that the double bond geometry isomerization occurred only after the formation of the intermediate 4(Scheme 1).

We suggest that homolytic cleavage of the Te-Bu bond, from $\underline{3}(\mathbf{i}, \text{Scheme 1})$, generates nBu^{\bullet} , which is responsible for the *cis-trans* isomerization. The butyl radical attack occurs at the boronic "ate" complex $\underline{4}(\text{Scheme 1}), [16]$ yielding the $nBuBF_3K$ salt as a final product.

Table I: Lithium-Boron	Test Reaction Conditions.
------------------------	----------------------------------



Isolated vinyl BF3K salts.

In order to verify the presence of radical species in the reaction mixture, we performed EPR spin trapping experiments using 3,5-dibromo-4-nitrosobenzenesulfonate (DBNBS), which is an appropriate spin trap for tellurium centered radicals. [17] Radical species were detected at the i and ii steps of the proposed route. In the first step (i, Scheme 1), the detected spectra contained a mixture of DBNBS radical adducts (Figure 2A). The triplet of triplets $(a_N = 21.6 \text{ G}, a_H = 0.7 \text{ G})$ is the DBNBS/•TenBu radical adduct [17] and the broadened triplet ($a_N = 9.1$ G, $a_H = 1.0$ G) can be attributed to another DBNBS radical adduct. The intensity of the broadened triplet started to decay after 5 min incubation, and was barely detected in the 15 min incubation spectrum (Fig. 2B). The DBNBS/•TenBu signal maintained its intensity during the course of the EPR analysis.

After the addition of the $B(OiPr)_3$ (ii, Scheme 1), the reaction mixture produced a complex EPR spectra that can be attributed to a mixture of radical species (Figure 3). The addition of the boron reagent generated different radical species from those observed in the previous reaction step (Figure 2).

	i <i>n</i> BuLi solvent, -78	i <i>n</i> BuLi solvent, -78 °C, N ₂		
	Ph TenBu ii BR₃	Ph		
Entry	Electrophile (eq) ^a	Solvent	Yield (%)	
I	B(OMe) ₃ (1.5)	THF	18	
2	$B(OiPr)_{3}(1.5)$	THF	47	
3	$BF_{3}.OEt_{2}(1.5)$	THF	-	
4	$B(OiPr)_3$ (1.5)	THF/HMPA	25	
5	B(OiPr) ₃ (1.5)	THF/TMEDA	-	
6	B(O <i>i</i> Pr) ₃ (1.5)	Et ₂ O	51	
7	$B(OiPr)_3$ (1.5)	Et ₂ O	15	



Figure 2

Representative EPR spectra of DBNBS radical adducts obtained during the Te-Li exchange reaction. (A) EPR spectrum obtained after I min incubation of the reaction mixture with the DBNBS aqueous solution, (B) EPR spectrum obtained after 15 min incubation of the reaction mixture with the DBNBS aqueous solution; (\bigcirc) DBNBS/•TenBu radical adduct and (●) transient DBNBS radical adduct.

We performed control experiments to exclude the possibility of radical generation by the combination of the boron reagent with O_2 [18] or by the self-radical generation of the *n*BuTenBu reagent. Incubation of *n*BuTenBu, *n*BuLi and B(*Oi*Pr)₃ with DBNBS produced no EPR signals (Table 2, entries 3–5). Equimolar solutions of *n*BuTenBu, *n*BuLi and DBNBS (Table 2, entry 6) produced a radical signal with similar parameters as those detected during the Te-Li exchange (i, Scheme 1). In the absence of the reducing reagent (*n*BuLi), an equimolar solution of *n*BuTenBu, B(*Oi*Pr)₃ and DBNBS also did not produce EPR signals (Table 2, entry 8).



Figure 3

Representative EPR spectrum of DBNBS radical adducts obtained during the Li-Boron exchange reaction. EPR spectrum obtained after 15 min incubation of the reaction mixture with the DBNBS aqueous solution. To test our proposed mechanism, we repeated the reaction using (Z)- β -bromostyrene, to achieve the desired *Z*vinyllithium, the experiments were performed using ^tBuLi in a solution composed of THF/Et₂O/petrol ether, at -120°C, with and without *n*BuTe*n*Bu, instead of *Z*-vinylic tellurides to examine the effect of the *n*BuTe*n*Bu as the source of the butyl radical. From this reaction, the expected potassium vinyltrifluoroborate salt was not isolated, probably because it is necessary to use experimental conditions [19] that differ from those that were selected to perform the synthesis of the BF₃K salts. To maintain the same reaction conditions, other control experiments were performed (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2: Experimental conditions. i: I equiv *n*BuLi, Et₂O, -78°C, 30 minutes.ii: 0.8 equiv $B(OiPr)_3$, -20°C, 60 minutes.iii. 3 equiv KHF, in aqueous solution, -20°C to r.t., 30 minutes.

Instead of having the double bond isomerization as a radical pathway model, evidence of nBuTenBu radical behavior came from the detection of $nBuBF_3K$ as a product only from experiment A (Scheme 2). With the control experiments (Scheme 2), it was proven that the generation of $nBuBF_3K$ salt is dependent on the presence of nBuTenBu, as well as that that occurs during the reaction to prepare the alkenyltrifluoroborate salts.

The results presented above support a free radical pathway for the *trans-cis* double bond isomerization. Scheme 3 was proposed to account for the *E*-vinyl and *n*BuBF₃K salts. In the first step, the butyl radical **5**is formed by homolytic cleavage of the *n*Bu-Te bond of the compound **3**, caused by the lithium species present in the reaction medium. The second step consists of an attack of **5**at the boronic "ate" complex **4**, leading to the vinylic radical, which undergoes self-isomerization to the most stable isormer **8**. In the third step, the vinylic radical **8**attacks a B(OiPr)₃ species, yielding an anionic vinyl boronic "ate" radical. The boron-centered radical is then reduced by a **•**Te*n*Bu radical **6**, leading to the *E*-vinyltrifluoroborate salt **9**after the reaction work up with aqueous KHF₂.

Entry Reactions	Reactions	DBNBS radical adducts EPR Hyperfines (G)				
	a _N	a _H	a' _N	a' _H		
I	BuTeCH = CHPh+ ⁿ BuLi+DBNBS	21.6	0.7	9.1	1.0	
2	$LiCH = CHPh + B(O'Pr)_3 + DBNBS$	complex signal				
3	BuTeBu+DBNBS	no signal				
4	"BuLi+DBNBS	no signal				
5	B(O ⁱ Pr) ₃ +DBNBS	no signal				
6	BuTeBu+"BuLi+DBNBS	-	-	9.1	1.0	
7	BuTeBu+"BuLi+B(O'Pr)3+DBNBS	complex signal				
8	BuTeBu + B(OiPr) ₃ + DBNBS	no signal				

Table 2: Reactions and Control Experiments Performed

Te-Li exchange



Scheme 3: Proposed mechanism of the reaction.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have identified the radical species that promoted the stereoinversion of vinylic compounds during the preparation of potassium vinyltrifluoroborate salts. The above experiments support the proposed mechanism, which is based on the homolytic cleavage of the TenBu bond.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Experimental section. The file describe the spectral data and the reaction procedure to prepare the potassium vinylorganotrifluoroborate salts Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1860-5397-4-9-S1.doc]

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank FAPESP (Grants 05/59141-6 and 03/01751-8 and the scholarship 04/13978-1-AFK, 03-13897-7-RC), and CNPq agencies for financial support.

References

- I. Molander GA, Ellis N: Acc Chem Res 2007, 40:275.
- 2. Stefani HA, Cella R, Vieira AS: Tetrahedron 2007, 63:3623.
- 3. Cella R, Orfão ATG, Stefani HA: Tetrahedron Lett 2006, 47:5075.
- Cella R, Cunha RLOR, Reis AES, Pimenta DC, Klitzke CF, Stefani HA: J Org Chem 2006, 71:244.
- Stefani HA, Cella R, Dörr FA, Pereira CMP, Zeni G, Gomes M Jr: Tetrahedron Lett 2005, 46:563.
- 6. Stefani HA, Cella R: Tetrahedron 2006, 62:5656.
- 7. Zeni G, Lüdtke DS, Panatieri RB, Braga AL: Chem Rev 2006, 106:1032.
- 8. Zeni G, Braga AL, Stefani HA: Acc Chem Res 2003, 36:731.
- 9. Barros SM, Comasseto JV, Barriel JN: Tetrahedron Lett 1989, **30:**7353.
- 10. Hirro T, Kambe N, Ogawa A, Miyoshi N, Murai S, Sonoda N: Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl 1987, 11:1187.
- 11. Marino JP, Tucci FC, Comasseto JV: Synlett 1993:761.
- 12. Barros SM, Dabdoub MJ, Dabdoub VB, Comasseto JV: Organometallics 1989, 8:1661. For experimental procedures see
- 13. Zeni G, Formiga HB, Comasseto JV: Tetrahedron Lett 2000, 41:1311.
- 14. Nesmeyanov AN, Borisov AE: Tetrahedron 1957, 1:158.
- 15. Seyferth D, Vaughan LG: J Am Chem Soc 1964, 86:883.
- 16. Pozzi D, Scanlan EM, Renaud P: J Am Chem Soc 2005, 127:14204.
- Keppler AF, Cerchiaro G, Augusto O, Miyamoto S, Prado F, Di Mascio P, Comasseto JV: Organometallics 2006, 25:5059.
- 18. Cadot C, Cossy J, Dalko PI: Chem Commun 2000:1017.
- Neumann H, Seebach D: Tetrahedron Lett 1976, 52:. 4839 for experimental details.