RESEARCH

Open Access



Association between platelet, white blood cell count, platelet to white blood cell ratio and sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults: focus on Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) program

Mohamad Gholizade¹, Akram Farhadi^{1,2}, Maryam Marzban^{1,3*}, Mehdi Mahmudpour¹, Iraj Nabipour¹, Mohammadreza Kalantarhormozi^{1,4}, Gita Shafiee⁵, Afshin Ostovar⁶, Bagher Larijani⁷, Amir Hossein Darabi¹ and Eisa Safavi⁸

Abstract

Background: Sarcopenia is a progressive age-related skeletal muscle disorder associated with harmful impacts on health. The present study aimed to investigate the relation between sarcopenia, platelet (PLT), white blood cell (WBC), and PLT to WBC ratio (PWR) due to the importance of early sarcopenia diagnosis.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted based on the second stage of the Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) Program. Sarcopenia was defined based on the revised edition of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP2) in accordance with the Iranian cut-off point. Univariate and adjusted multivariate logistic regression and linear regression were used to evaluate the associations.

Results: The prevalence of sarcopenia among participants was 35.73%. PLT count and PWR were statistically higher in severe sarcopenic participants, while no differences were seen in WBC. In crude analysis, sarcopenia was not associated with quartiles of PLT, WBC, and PWR, while after adjusting for age, marital status, and sex, the association was seen in the fourth quartile of PLT and PWR [OR (95%CI) = 1.40 (1.08 to 1.81), *p*-value = 0.009 for PLT; OR (95%CI) = 1.55 (1.20 to 2.00), *p*-value =0.001 for PWR]. This association remained significant in the fully adjusted model [OR (95%CI) = 1.82 (1.20 to 2.78), *p*-value =0.005 for PLT; OR (95%CI) = 1.57 (1.03 to 2.40), *p*-value =0.035 for PWR]. Among sarcopenia parameters, PLT count was more likely to be associated with handgrip strength and muscle mass. After stratifying the participants by gender, sarcopenia parameters were no longer statistically significant in men.

Conclusion: This study showed that PLT and PWR were associated with sarcopenia after considering confounding factors, while this association was not seen in WBC. Moreover, results showed that gender had an important impact on sarcopenia parameters.

¹ The Persian Gulf Tropical Medicine Research Center, The Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: marzbanh@gmail.com

Keywords: Sarcopenia, Platelet, White blood cell, Chronic inflammation, Older adults, Platelet to white blood cell ratio

Introduction

Given the increase in the older adults' population, the age-related diseases have proportionately raised [1]. On the other hand, aging has been shown to be associated with a decrease in muscle mass and muscle strength. It is estimated that each person, after age 30, approximately loses 0.1 to 0.5% muscle mass per year, which will escalate after age 65 [2, 3]. This age-related decline in muscle mass is defined as sarcopenia [4]. Sarcopenia is prevalent among older adults; however, its prevalence varies among older adults in various parts of the world [5]. Various mechanisms have been described for age-related muscle mass decline or sarcopenia, including insulin resistance, nutrition, age-related sex hormone, oxidative stress, neuromuscular dysfunction, endocrine abnormality, physical inactivity [6-8], but lately, studies have emphasized the key role of chronic inflammation in age-related muscle mass decrease [9]. It has been confirmed that aging is associated with a reduction in the regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines [10]. This dysregulation is associated with decreased muscle mass and strength by interfering with muscle synthesis and catabolism [10, 11].

WBC, PLT, and platelet to white blood cell ratio count are broad and affordable disease indicators used in clinical settings. WBC is a standardized and stable marker that measures inflammation [12].

PLTs, a significant part of blood, have been shown that alongside the hemostasis function contribute to subclinical inflammation and oxidative stress [13]. Studies have shown that PLT activity increases in inflammatory diseases, and it has been confirmed that PLTs can indicate an inflammatory state [14]. Moreover, in vivo studies have demonstrated that age-related elevated TNF- α increases PLT activity, while anti-TNF- α administration declines PLT activity [15].

The results of previous studies for finding the association between sarcopenia and WBC [16–20], PLT [18, 20, 21], and the ratio of the type of WBC including neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) [20, 22–25], lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) [25], and type of WBC to the PLT such as platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) [22, 25–27], were controversial. Some of them did not find any significant result [25]; however, others found a significant association in the crude analysis, while they were not adjusted for confounding factors [23] or after considering other variables in the analysis were no longer associated with sarcopenia [19]. The others showed significant association in univariate and

multivariate analysis [16, 18, 21, 26]. Among previous studies, The majority of them defined sarcopenia just based on muscle mass and did not consider muscle strength and physical ability [16, 18, 26, 27], which constitute indispensable parts of sarcopenia as well, while other studies which use both muscle mass and muscle strength had several limitations, including only assessing univariable association [23], perform on the population that their underlying disease affect outcomes and ignorance of obese sarcopenia [22], different methods for measuring muscle mass [22, 23].

Given the controversial results in previous studies and lack of sufficient information in eastern Mediterranean countries, and drawing on data from the BEH program, in this study, it was attempted to investigate whether PLT, WBC, and PWR are associated with sarcopenia. In addition, early diagnosis of sarcopenia with inexpensive markers like CBC seems to be essential for early detection, prevention, and treatment of sarcopenia.

Methods

Research design and participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted based on the second stage of the Bushehr elderly health (BEH) program. The methodology of the BEH program has previously been reported in detail [28, 29]. The BEH program is a prospective cohort study in Bushehr, south of Iran, targeting a population of 60 and over. Among 3297 who were selected through multistage stratified random sampling, 3000 were accepted to participate in the first phase of the study (participants rate was 91%). The first stage was conducted from March 2013 to October 2014, and the second phase, focusing on musculoskeletal and cognitive outcomes, started in 2015 with 2368 who were following the first stage (response rate was 81%).

Measurement of laboratory parameters

Venous blood samples were collected from the participants following 8–12h of fasting condition. Red blood cell count (RBC), hemoglobin (Hgb), WBC, PLT were assessed by an automated hematology analyzer, Medonic CA620 (Menarini Diagnostic Srl, Florence, Italy). Blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine (Cr), uric acid, alkaline phosphatase (Alk-p), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), and lipid profile were assessed by an auto-analyzer using commercial kits (ParsAzmun, Karaj, Iran). Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) was measured by the CERA-STAT system (CERAGEMMEDISYS, chungcheongnam-do, Korea).

Measures and definition of sarcopenia

Sarcopenia was defined based on the current revised edition of the European Working Group on sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP2), issued recently and defined as having low muscle mass and low muscle strength; it is also characterized as severe if the previous criteria were extant with poor physical performance. Dual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA, Discovery WI, Hologic, Bedford, Virginia, USA) was used to measure fat mass and muscle mass with minimal radiation exposure. Appendicular skeletal muscle mass (ASM) for each participant was calculated as the sum of upper and lower limb muscle mass. The skeletal muscle mass index (SMI) was defined as $ASM/height^2$ (kg/m²). According to previous studies, the cut-off point for low muscle mass was defined as $SMI < 7.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ for men and $< 5.4 \text{ kg/m}^2$ for women in the Iranian population [30]. Muscle strength was assessed based on handgrip strength and chair stand measures. Handgrip strength was measured three times for each hand using a digital dynamometer. The handgrip strength threshold was 26 kg for men and 18 kg for women [30]. In this study, the chair stand test was used to assess the lower extremity muscle strength [31]. For the measuring chair stand test, participants were asked to keep their arms folded across their chest; then, if participants could perform the first test, they were asked to stand up and sit down five times without using arms. Time was recorded for each participant from the initial sitting to the final standing position, and the cut-off point was defined as chair stand test time > 15 s. Physical performance was evaluated by short physical performance battery (SPPB) and usual gait speed. SPPB is a group of tests evaluating physical performance by combining the result of the chair stand, gait speed, and balance tests described elsewhere [29]. For measuring the usual gait speed, participants were asked to walk for 4.57 m at a normal pace twice; then, the fastest record was used. Poor physical performance was defined as SPPB ≤ 8 point score or gait speed $\leq 0.8 \, \text{m/s} \, [31]$.

Other variables

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was defined according to the revised edition of national cholesterol education program adult treatment panel III (NCEP-ATP III) [32], and cognitive function was assessed using the mini-mental state examination (MMSE), mini-cog, and categorical verbal fluency test (CFT), which have been described in the previous study [33]. For CFT, we used a cut-off point of 14 for those who had completed elementary school and 12 for those who had completed less than 5 years of education. For the MMSE,20 and 24 were considered cut-off points for those with an education level lower and higher than the primary school, respectively. For minicog, the test had two parts, recalling three-word, registrations, and recalling and drawing the clock test; also, the test was considered impaired if any participant could not recall all three words or draw the specific time in a clock. If the CFT, MMSE, or mini-cog scores were low, the participants were diagnosed with cognitive impairment. The chronic diseases included liver disease, lung disease, cardiovascular disease, thyroid diseases, osteoarthritis (OA), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), which were defined as self-reported or medication use. Chronic renal failure was defined as glomerular filtration rate (GFR) below 60; hypertension (HTN) as medication use, systolic blood pressure > 140 mmHg, or diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mmHg), and diabetes mellitus (DM), as HbA1C \geq 6.5, FPG \geq 126 mg/dl or taking anti-diabetic medication). Use of Anti-inflammatory medication was defined as the implementation of non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAID), azathioprine, mesalazine, sulfasalazine, methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, corticosteroids, colchicine, and tacrolimus. Use of anti-PLT medication was defined as the use of aspirin (ASA), clopidogrel, and dipyridamole. The use of anti-hyperlipidemic medication comprised the implementation of statins (atorvastatin, lovastatin, and simvastatin) or fibrates (gemfibrozil and fenofibrate). The use of HTN medication was characterized by the implementation of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), alpha-blocker medications, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers (CCBs), diuretic medications, and nitrates medications. Other covariates included age (years), gender (male/female), marital status (single, married, divorced, and widow), and smoking, which included no history of smoking, smoking regularly if the participant had a history of smoking at least one cigarette per day in a week, and the *lower rate* known as smoking occasionally. Still, as other covariates, body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (kg) to height squared (m^2) ; waist to hip circumference ratio (WHR), which was calculated by dividing waist circumference (WC) to hip circumference (HC), and disability was assessed by instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) using Lawton scale questionnaires, translated into Persian [34]. IADL test consisted of eight items (use of telephone, shopping, meal preparation, housekeeping and laundry, mode of transportation, medication management, and money management) in daily living activities. The maximum score was eight and considered independent, while the score < 8 was interpreted as a value of dependency.

Statistical analysis

The normality of all variables was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. PLT, WBC and PWR were divided into four quartiles as fellows: $Q1 \le 220$, 220 < Q2 < 259, $259 \le Q3 \le 300$, and Q4 > 300 (10^3 / μl) for PLT; Q1 \leq 6.1, 6.1 < Q2 < 7.3, 7.3 \leq Q3 \leq 8.4, and Q4> 8.4 $(10^3/ \mu l)$ for WBC, and Q1 \leq 29.46, 29.46 < Q2 < 36, 36 < Q3 < 43.28, and Q4 > 43.28 for PWR. Categorical variables were presented by the frequency and percentage, and the mean and standard deviation (SD) were used for continuous variables. Differences in quartiles were evaluated by running oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) and chi-square (X^2) for continuous variables and categorical variables, respectively. Multivariable linear and logistic regression analyses were used to evaluate the association between PLT, sarcopenia, and sarcopenia parameters. To evaluate the association between PLT and sarcopenia parameters, we stratified participants based on gender, which helped clarify the effect of gender on sarcopenia parameters. In this study, missing values were not significant and were negligible. Covariates that had a significant clinical and pathophysiological association with desired outcomes were selected standardized base method and combination of previous studies finding and analyses, and epidemiologist and geriatric specialist [35]. The priority of confounder variables selection was based on their effect and association univariable analysis and previous studies. Covariates were adjusted as: **model** 1 = age, marital, and gender; model 2 = model 1 + smoking, metabolic syndrome, and the number of chronic diseases; **model** 3 = model2 + Anti-inflammatory medications,anti-PLT medications, anti-diabetic medications, anti-hyperlipidemic medications, HTN medications, IADL, and BMI; model 4 = model 3 + laboratory parameters (HGB, TG, and creatinine). Stata MP (version 15) was used, and a two-sided *p*-value of < 0.05was taken as statistically significant for all analyses. P-values for trends were obtained from adjusted models by assigning quartiles as continuous variables. We used the STATA software by modifying the two-way lfitci command to make fractional polynomial plots by classifying sex for age, which is predicted by a linear prediction for PLT, and PWR. Moreover, for other figures, we used Prism version 8.00 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California, USA), DAGitty v3.0.

Results

Of 2368 who were included in this study, 1223 participants (51.65%) were female. The mean age of the participants was 69.34 ± 6.33 , and the prevalence of sarcopenia among participants was 35.73%. According to Table 1,

there are significant differences in PLT count and PWR between sarcopenic and nonsarcopenic participants, while no statistical differences are seen in WBC. Participants with severe sarcopenia have a higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome, cognitive disorder, and they are more likely to use anti-inflammatory, anti-hyperlipidemic, and anti-HTN medication than participants with mild sarcopenia. The prevalence of sarcopenia is presented according to PLT, WBC, and PLT to WBC ratio quartiles in Fig. 1.

Table 2 demonstrates multivariate logistic regression analysis between sarcopenia, PLT, WBC, and PWR. Considering the lowest quartile (Q1) as a reference, in unadjusted analysis, sarcopenia is not associated with PLT, WBC, and PWR. Although WBC is not associated with sarcopenia in any analysis models, the association between PLT, PWR, and sarcopenia appears after adjusting covariates. According to the analysis, the association between sarcopenia and the fourth quartile is seen after adjusting age, gender, marital status (model 1) [OR (95%CI) = 1.40 (1.08 to 1.81), *p*-value =0.009 for PLT; OR (95%CI) =1.55 (1.20 to 2.00), p-value =0.001 for PWR]. This association between PLT, PWR, and sarcopenia in the fourth quartile remained significant as other covariates were considered in the analysis [in the fully-adjusted model (model 4): OR (95%CI) =1.82 (1.20 to 2.78), p-value =0.005 for PLT; OR (95%CI) =1.57 (1.03 to 2.40), p-value = 0.035 for PWR].

Multivariate linear regression between PLT and sarcopenia parameters is illustrated in Table 3. Results show that after fully adjusted analysis (model 4), only the association between ASM and handgrip remains statistically significant, which means that PLTs are more likely to affect handgrip strength (β =-.0145) and ASM (β =-.0086) compared to gait speed (β =-.0001) and SPPB) β =-.0008). However, after stratified analysis based on gender, none of the sarcopenia parameters are statistically associated with PLTs in men (all *p*-values >0.05), while in women, handgrip remains statistically significant even after adjusting for age, marital, smoking, metabolic syndrome, and chronic diseases (model 2), and ASM remains statistically significant in the fully adjusted model (model 4).

Figures 2 and 3 show the association between PLT, PWR, and age, based on gender differences by fractional polynomial plots. As figures illustrate, by increasing age, PWR escalates; however, PLT slightly declines in women over 90 years old.

Discussion

This study showed that sarcopenia association with PLT count and PWR appeared in the primary adjustment, which remained significant even after controlling for

Table 1 Characteristics of the study participants based on the severity of sarcopenia in the BEH program

Demographic & Crinical Age (years) Sex (Formale), n (%) 6930 ± 6.33 (519) 6799 ± 548 (510) 6958 ± 6.05 (512) 73.44 ± 7.35 (516) <0.0001		Parameters		Total (n = 2368)	No sarcopenia (n = 1522)	Mild sarcopenia (n = 392)	Severe sarcopenia (n = 454)	<i>p</i> -value ^a
Clineal Ser, Fernale, n, %) Single 1223 (3) 86 (3) 12 (3) 20 (3)	Demographic &	Age (years)		69.30±6.33	67.99±5.48	69.58±6.05	Severe sarcopenia ($n = 454$)2 392)2.58 ± 6.0573.44 ± 7.3532 (33.67)2.55 (56.17)(0.51)7 (1.54)31 (84.44)304 (66.96)(1.53)4 (0.88)3 (13.52)139 (30.62)33 (76.90)296 (87.32)0 (3.30)5 (1.47)0 (19.80)38 (11.21)12 (54.36)325 (71.90)27 (32.40)189 (41.72)3 (14.03)37 (8.15)3 (13.52)65 (14.32)34 (72.45)352 (77.53)36.44 ± 20.16140.57 ± 19.98344 45.2034 (72.45)352 (77.53)36.44 ± 20.16140.57 ± 19.98344 45.2034 (72.45)352 (77.53)36.44 ± 20.16140.57 ± 19.98344 46.99)24.28 ± 3.1623.3 ± 10.1392.16 ± 10.429 ± .06.88 ± .0754 46.99)286 (73.52)5.24 ± 3.1313.51 ± 3.1578 ± .775.45 ± .764.00 ± 7.5836.83 ± 7.5705 ± .1759 ± .1761 ± 1.048.22 ± 1.362.17 ± 7.6116.88 ± 6.5957 (53.22)243 (64.29)37 (46.44)197 (52.12)11 (37.63)133 (35.19)33 (51.86)290 ± 10.9946.99 ± 12.16	< 0.0001
Marial status, n(%) Single 19.080 10.066 2.0131 9.1.04 9.0.065 Marine 182.477.003 18.07.671.2 331.08.440 304.059.0 - Marine 152.072.0 31.05.70 53.15.52 39.05.02.0 23.076.90 39.070.0 50.070.0 20.070	Clinical	Sex (Female), n (%)		1223 (51.65)	836 (54.93)	132 (33.67)	255 (56.17)	< 0.001
Image Name 100,00 100,00 610,30 60,00,00 Dirace 20,00 10,00 61,03 60,00,00 Smaking Nance 20,20,00 10,00,00 20,70,00 <td></td> <td>Marital status, n (%)</td> <td>Single</td> <td>19 (0.80)</td> <td>10 (0.66)</td> <td>2 (0.51)</td> <td>7 (1.54)</td> <td>< 0.001</td>		Marital status, n (%)	Single	19 (0.80)	10 (0.66)	2 (0.51)	7 (1.54)	< 0.001
Binding Divore 20.08/4 10.06/6 61.33 40.88 Noking 505 (21.33) 312.057) 53.15.27 138.05.02 80.05.03 Snoking 100,64 15.17.0 103.03 20.14.03 10.14.01 Cognitive disorder, 1 139.15.02 175.14.78 60.13.03 83.11.21 <0.01.01			Married	1824 (77.03)	1189 (78.12)	331 (84.44)	304 (66.96)	
Noning Niclow SO2133 313020 S03152 S03040 S03140 S03040 S03140 S03040 S03140 S03040 S03140 S03040 S03140 S03140 S03040 S03140 S03140 S03140 S03140 S03140 S03140<			Divorce	20 (0.84)	10 (0.66)	6 (1.53)	4 (0.88)	
Smoking None 1523 (3.1) 94(8.39) 233 (76.90) 95(8.72) 90(8.72) Yes, occasion 0 01(44) 15(1.27) 10.300 501.27) Cognitive disorder, n (\			Widow	505 (21.33)	313 (20.57)	53 (13.52)	139 (30.62)	
Network <		Smoking	None	1523 (83.41)	994 (83.95)	233 (76.90)	296 (87.32)	0.003
Yes, regulary 273 (4.95) 175 (4.78) 60 (1930) 321 (1.94) 325 (1.94) 400 (1.94) Gopitive disoles optiones, restrict optiones 1397 (594) 803 (6570) 127 (3240) 189 (1.12) 4001 Metabolics optiones, restrict optiones None 244 (10.30) 152 (999) 55 (14.03) 325 (17.30) 95 (17.32) Chronic disease None 244 (10.30) 199 (130) 53 (13.52) 65 (17.32) 52 (77.33) 53 (77.33) 53 (77.33) 53 (77.33) 53 (77.33) 53 (77.33			Yes, occasionally	30 (1.64)	15 (1.27)	10 (3.30)	5 (1.47)	
Cognitive disorder, n(>) 1397 (59.4) 860 (57.3) 212 (54.36) 325 (71.90) <0.01			Yes, regularly	273 (14.95)	175 (14.78)	60 (19.80)	38 (11.21)	
Metabolic syndromNone179 (98)83 (36.70)127 (32.40)189 (17.2)<0.01Chonic disease DeNone37 (13.30)95 (13.02)55 (13.22)65 (14.32)Two or more1807 (76.31)171 (76.94)240 (72.53)352 (77.53)0.0019DBP(mm Hg)1807 (76.31)171 (76.94)80.48 ± 20.1680.49 ± 20.100.0019DBP(mm Hg)18.49 ± 8.4082.09 ± 8.4080.49 ± 20.0024.28 ± 3.160.0019DBU (197 met Hg)19.49 ± 4.4080.91 ± 20.0089.3 ± 0.1024.28 ± 3.160.0010Mill (g/m ²)12.01 (56.31)751 (53.44)89.3 ± 0.109.24 ± 3.1381.5 ± 3.100.0010Sarcopenia pare etersASM (kg)12.01 (56.31)751 (53.42)15.4 ± 3.133.151 ± 3.150.0001Sarcopenia pare etersASM (kg)12.1 ± 0.1383.7 ± 3.5115.4 ± 3.133.151 ± 3.150.0001Sarcopenia pare etersASM (kg)12.1 ± 0.1383.7 ± 3.133.151 ± 3.150.0001Sarcopenia pare etersASM (kg)12.2 ± 0.803.6 ± 1.133.04 ± 7.233.6 ± 1.120.0001Sarcopenia pare etersASM (kg)12.1 ± 0.8183.7 ± 1.133.01 ± 3.150.0001Sarcopenia pare etersASM (kg)12.1 ± 0.1213.2 ± 1.800.00010.0120.012Sarcopenia pare etersASM (kg)12.1 ± 0.1213.2 ± 1.800.00010.0120.012ASM (kg)12.1 ± 0.1213.2 ± 1.1314.01 ± 0.12<		Cognitive disorder, n	(%)	1397 (59.45)	860 (57.03)	212 (54.36)	325 (71.90)	< 0.001
Chronic disese None 244(0.30) 52(9.9) 55(14.32) 67(14.32) 61(4.32) None 1807 (A3) 1910 (A3) 1910 (A3) 1910 (A3) 1920 (A3) <td></td> <td>Metabolic syndrome,</td> <td>n (%)</td> <td>1179 (49.81)</td> <td>863 (56.70)</td> <td>127 (32.40)</td> <td>189 (41.72)</td> <td>< 0.001</td>		Metabolic syndrome,	n (%)	1179 (49.81)	863 (56.70)	127 (32.40)	189 (41.72)	< 0.001
One Two or more Two or more37(13.2)19(13.0)19(13.2)15(13.2)15(14.2)For mart 101307 (5.3)117 (76.9)136.44 ± 20.1140.75 ± 19.800.001DP (mm Hg)14.94 ± 8080.10 ± 8080.44 ± 20.180.24 ± 20.10.001Mait Gramferon (mm81.94 ± 4082.04 ± 20.124.24 ± 3.16<0.001		Chronic disease	None	244 (10.30)	152 (9.99)	55 (14.03)	37 (8.15)	0.066
Iwo or more1807 (76.1)117 (76.9)28/27.8)32/27.5)SPR (mHq)139.57.19.3140.81.8136.44.20.6)140.57.19.840.001SPR (mHq)27.34.4020.14.84.030.44.87.4080.24.81.704.000.10Mat (raumference)0.39.11.020.31.61.0021.31.61.0021.31.61.0021.31.61.004.01.01.00Mat (raumference)120.16.0170.13.84.020.31.01.0020.67.20.04.00.00Sarcopenia partASM (Ray Chance)120.16.0116.14.0015.91.004.00.004.00.00Sarcopenia partASM (Ray Chance)120.16.016.15.20.0015.91.704.00.004.00.00Sarcopenia partASM (Ray Chance)120.16.2016.14.20.0015.91.704.00.00Sarcopenia partASM (Ray Chance)120.16.2010.91.7015.91.704.00.00Sarcopenia partASM (Ray Chance)120.12.0020.17.1010.81.47.004.00.00Sarcopenia partASM (Ray Chance)120.12.0020.17.1010.81.47.004.00.00ASM (Ray Chance)120.12.0020.17.1010.91.704.00.004.00.00ASM (Ray Chance)120.12.0020.17.1010.17.1010.11.1010.00.00ASM (Ray Chance)120.10.00120.10.0010.00.0010.00.0010.00.00ASM (Ray Chance)120.10.0010.10.0010.00.0010.00.0010.00.00ASM (Ray Chance)120.10.0010.00.0010.00.0010.00.0010.00.00ASM (Ray Ch			One	317 (13.39)	199 (13.07)	53 (13.52)	65 (14.32)	
BBP (mm Hg)13957±19.3140.818.8313644±0.61140.57±19.840.0019DBP (mm Hg)81.49±8.6482.10±8.4084.8±7.4482.9±9.15<0.0010			Two or more	1807 (76.31)	1171 (76.94)	284 (72.45)	352 (77.53)	
DBP (mm Hg)81.49±.66482.10 ± 8.4080.48 ± 8.7480.29 ± 9.15<0001MM (kg/m)27.33 ± 4.6329.05 ± 4.4424.19 ± 3.0724.28 ± 3.16<0.001		SBP (mm Hg)		139.57 ± 19.32	140.08 ± 18.83	136.44±20.16	140.57±19.98	0.0019
BMI (kg/m²)27.33 ± 6.329.05 ± 4.4424.19 ± 3.0724.28 ± 3.16< 0.0001Waist circumference (cm)98.39 ± 11.6710.18 ± 10.9892.33 ± 10.1392.16 ± 10.42< 0.0001		DBP (mm Hg)		81.49 ± 8.64	82.10 ± 8.40	80.48±8.74	80.29 ± 9.15	< 0.0001
Waist circumference (m)98.39 ±1.1298.39 ±1.1298.31 ±1.1398.4088.4070.1193WHR89.1290.1589.6088.4070.1193ADL (dependen), n(%)1201 (56.31)715.33.4164.43.028.67.32.1<0001		BMI (kg/m ²)		27.33 ± 4.63	29.05 ± 4.44	24.19 ± 3.07	24.28±3.16	< 0.0001
WHR89±1290±1589±0688±070.1193Sarcopenia parame etersIADL (dependent), n(%)1201 (50.3)75 (53.84)164 46.99)265 (75.25)<0001		Waist circumference (cm)	98.39 ± 11.67	101.81 ± 10.98	92.33±10.13	92.16±10.42	< 0.0001
ADL (dependent), n(%)1201 (66.31)751 (53.4%)164 46.99)286 (73.2)< 0.001Sarcopenia parame etersASM (kg)15.89±3.6316.77±3.5215.24±3.1313.51±3.15< 0.0001		WHR		.89±.12	$.90 \pm .15$.89±.06	.88±.07	0.1193
Sarcopenia parame etersASM (kg)15.89±.3.616.79±.3.215.24±.3.113.51±.3.1.5< 00001SM1(kg/m²)6.23±.9.86.58±.9.05.78±.7.75.45±.7.6<00001		IADL (dependent), n (%)	1201 (56.31)	751 (53.84)	164 46.99)	286 (73.52)	< 0.001
etersSMI(kg/m²)6.23±.986.58±.905.78±.775.45±.76<0001Total body fat mass (%)37.57±.8.1138.71±.8.1134.00±7.5836.83±.7.57<0001	Sarcopenia param- eters	ASM (kg)		15.89 ± 3.63	16.77 ± 3.52	15.24±3.13	13.51 ± 3.15	< 0.0001
Note Note State S	eters	SMI (kg/m ²)		$6.23 \pm .98$	$6.58 \pm .90$	5.78±.77	5.45±.76	< 0.0001
Gait speed (m/s) 84±.30 87±.31 1.05±.17 59±.17 <00011 SPPB 9.38±1.73 9.62±1.72 9.61±1.04 8.22±1.86 <0.0011		Total body fat mass (9	6)	37.57 ± 8.11	38.71 ± 8.11	34.00 ± 7.58	36.83 ± 7.57	< 0.0001
SPPB9.38 ± 1.739.62 ± 1.729.61 ± 1.048.22 ± 1.86< 0.001Mean hand grip (kg)22.22 ± 9.2023.83 ± 9.642.17 ± 7.6116.88 ± 6.59< 0.001		Gait speed (m/s)		.84±.30	.87±.31	$1.05 \pm .17$.59±.17	< 0.0001
MedicationsMean hand grip (kg)22.22 ± 9.2023.83 ± 9.6421.72 ± 7.6116.88 ± 6.59< 0.001MedicationsAnti-inflammatory medication, n (%)95 (51.21)61 (52.05)137 (64.44)197 (52.12)0.205Anti-hyperlipidemia medication, n (%)807 (41.53)53 (44.33)111 (37.63)133 (35.19)0.002Anti-HTN medication, n (%)118 (61.04)794 (62.52)153 (51.86)299 (63.23)0.002Dimedication, n (%)591 (30.42)90 (30.71)83 (28.14)118 (31.22)0.641Biochemical paramTotal colesterol182.13 ± 44.2181.38 ± 44.38181.39 ± 44.330.8301Biochemical paramTotal colesterol (mg/d)19.04 ± 37.519.07 ± 36.3510.97 ± 36.350.0021Biochemical paramFib-cholesterol (mg/d)19.04 ± 37.519.07 ± 36.3510.74 ± 36.350.8301Biochemical paramFib-cholesterol (mg/d)19.04 ± 37.519.07 ± 36.3510.64 ± 76.770.0021Biochemical paramFib-cholesterol (mg/d)19.04 ± 37.514.04 ± 50.5112.04 ± 16.450.0042Biochemical paramFib-cholesterol (mg/d)19.04 ± 37.514.04 ± 50.5110.04 ± 57.670.0017Biochemical paramFib-cholesterol (mg/d)19.04 ± 37.514.04 ± 50.5110.04 ± 57.670.0017Biochemical paramFib-cholesterol (mg/d)14.50 ± 17.514.51.5514.21 ± 18.40.0042Biochemical paramFib-cholesterol (mg/d)76.22 ± 56.5116.61.570.0214Biochemical p		SPPB		9.38 ± 1.73	9.62 ± 1.72	9.61 ± 1.04	8.22 ± 1.86	< 0.0001
Medications Anti-inflammatory medication, n (%) 1171 (60.27) 771 (60.71) 157 (53.22) 243 (64.29) 0.012 AntiPLT medication, n (%) 995 (51.21) 661 (52.05) 137 (46.44) 197 (52.12) 0.002 Antihyperlipidemia medication, n (%) 807 (41.53) 563 (44.33) 111 (37.63) 133 (35.19) 0.002 Anti-HTN medication, n (%) 1186 (61.04) 794 (62.52) 153 (51.86) 239 (63.23) 0.002 DM medication, n (%) 591 (30.42) 390 (30.71) 83 (28.14) 118 (31.22) 0.641 Biochemical parameters Total cholesterol 182.13 ±44.20 182.54 ±44.14 181.38 ±44.38 181.39 ±44.33 0.8301 HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ±11.20 45.67 ±10.95 45.90 ± 10.99 46.99 ± 12.16 0.00873 LDL- cholesterol 109.40 ±37.75 109.07 ±38.39 110.28 ±36.88 109.74 ±36.38 0.8340 TG 135.69 ±70.27 140.45 ±6957 12.79 ±63.16 12.64 ± 76.77 0.0001 Hgb 14.50 ±1.73 14.50 ±1.72 14.57 ±1.65 14.21 ± 1.84 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Mean hand grip (kg)</td><td></td><td>22.22 ± 9.20</td><td>23.83 ± 9.64</td><td>22.17±7.61</td><td>16.88±6.59</td><td>< 0.0001</td></t<>		Mean hand grip (kg)		22.22 ± 9.20	23.83 ± 9.64	22.17±7.61	16.88±6.59	< 0.0001
AntiPLT medication, n (%) 995 (51.21) 661 (52.05) 137 (46.44) 197 (52.12) 0.205 Antihyperlipidemia medication, n (%) 807 (41.53) 563 (44.33) 111 (37.63) 133 (35.19) 0.002 Anti-HTN medication, n (%) 1186 (61.04) 794 (62.52) 153 (51.86) 239 (63.23) 0.002 DM medication, n (%) 591 (30.42) 390 (30.71) 83 (28.14) 118 (31.22) 0.641 Biochemical parameters Total cholesterol 182.13 ±44.20 182.54 ±44.14 181.38 ±44.38 181.39 ±44.33 0.8301 LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ±11.20 45.67 ±10.95 45.90 ±10.99 46.99 ±12.16 0.0873 LDL-cholesterol 109.40 ±37.75 109.07 ±38.39 110.28 ±36.88 109.74 ±36.38 0.8340 TG 135.69 ±70.27 140.45 ±69.57 127.92 ±63.16 126.45 ±76.77 0.0011 Hgb 14.50 ±1.73 14.56 ±1.72 145.77 ±1.65 14.21 ±1.84 0.0042 RBC (10^6) 5.01 ±.63 5.03 ±.62 5.03 ±.62 5.03 ±.62 5.09.2 ± 66.75 0.0224	Medications	Anti-inflammatory me	edication, n (%)	1171 (60.27)	771 (60.71)	157 (53.22)	243 (64.29)	0.012
Antihyperlipidemia medication, n (%) 807 (41.53) 563 (44.33) 111 (37.63) 133 (35.19) 0.002 Anti-HTN medication, n (%) 1186 (61.04) 794 (62.52) 153 (51.86) 239 (63.23) 0.002 Biochemical parameters Total cholesterol 182.13 ±44.20 390 (30.71) 83 (28.14) 118 (31.22) 0.641 Biochemical parameters Total cholesterol 182.13 ±44.20 182.54 ±44.14 181.38 ±44.38 181.39 ±44.33 0.8301 HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ±11.20 45.67 ±10.95 45.90 ±10.99 46.99 ±12.16 0.0873 IG Total cholesterol 199.40 ±37.75 199.07 ±38.39 110.28 ±36.88 109.74 ±36.38 0.8340 IG HDL-cholesterol 199.40 ±37.75 199.07 ±38.39 110.28 ±36.88 109.74 ±36.38 0.8340 IG HDL 14.50 ±1.73 14.56 ±1.72 14.57 ±1.65 14.21 ±1.84 0.0001 Hgb 14.50 ±1.73 14.56 ±1.72 14.57 ±1.65 14.21 ±1.84 0.0017 VBC (10^A) 7.36 ±1.73 5.03 ±.62 5.03 ±.62		AntiPLT medication, r	n (%)	995 (51.21)	661 (52.05)	137 (46.44)	197 (52.12)	0.205
Anti-True medication, n (%) 1186 (61.04) 794 (62.52) 153 (51.86) 239 (63.23) 0.002 Biochemical parameters Anti-Hin medication, n (%) 591 (30.42) 390 (30.71) 83 (28.14) 118 (31.22) 0.641 Biochemical parameters Total cholesterol 182.13 ± 44.20 182.54 ± 44.14 181.38 ± 44.38 181.39 ± 44.33 0.8301 HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ± 11.20 45.67 ± 10.95 45.90 ± 10.99 46.99 ± 12.16 0.00873 IDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ± 11.20 45.67 ± 10.95 45.90 ± 10.99 46.99 ± 12.16 0.0873 IDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ± 11.20 45.67 ± 10.95 110.28 ± 36.88 109.74 ± 36.38 0.8340 IG TG 135.69 ± 70.27 14.04 ± 56.957 127.92 ± 63.16 126.45 ± 76.77 0.0017 Hgb 14.50 ± 1.72 14.56 ± 1.72 14.57 ± 1.65 14.21 ± 1.84 0.0042 RBC (10^6) 501 ± 6.37 503 ± 6.2 503 ± 6.2 260.92 ± 66.75 0.292 ± 66.75 0.292 ± 66.75 0.292 ± 6.675 0.292 ± 6.675 0.292 ± 6.675 0.292 ± 6.675	Sarcopenia parameters Medications Biochemical parameters	Antihyperlipidemia m	nedication, n (%)	807 (41.53)	563 (44.33)	111 (37.63)	133 (35.19)	0.002
Bit DM medication, n (%) 59 (30.20 39 (30.71) 83 (28.14) 18 (31.22) 0.641 Bit Dehemical param Total cholesterol 182.13 ±44.20 182.54 ±41.14 181.38 ±44.38 181.39 ±44.33 0.8301 HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ±11.20 45.67 ±10.95 45.90 ±10.99 46.99 ±12.16 0.0873 IDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 109.40 ±37.75 109.07 ±38.39 110.28 ±36.88 109.74 ±36.38 0.8340 IDL-cholesterol 109.40 ±37.75 109.07 ±38.39 112.82 ±36.88 109.74 ±36.38 0.8340 IDL-cholesterol 135.69 ±7.02 140.45 ±69.57 12.79 ± 63.16 12.64 ±5.76.77 0.001 IBD ISE (10^6) 5.01 ± 6.3 5.03 ± 6.2 4.91 ± 0.89 0.0017 IPI (10^3) 26.52 ± 66.02 26.10 ± 65.23 26.90 ± 6.67.59 0.92 ± 6.67.59 0.92 ± 6.67.59 0.92 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 0.90 ± 6.67.59 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Anti-HTN medication,</td><td>, n (%)</td><td>1186 (61.04)</td><td>794 (62.52)</td><td>153 (51.86)</td><td>239 (63.23)</td><td>0.002</td></t<>		Anti-HTN medication,	, n (%)	1186 (61.04)	794 (62.52)	153 (51.86)	239 (63.23)	0.002
Biochemical parameters Total cholesterol 182.13 ± 44.20 182.54 ± 44.14 181.38 ± 44.38 181.39 ± 44.33 0.8301 HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ± 11.20 45.67 ± 10.95 45.90 ± 10.99 46.99 ± 12.16 0.0873 LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 109.40 ± 37.75 109.07 ± 38.39 110.28 ± 36.88 109.74 ± 36.38 0.8340 TG 135.69 ± 70.27 140.45 ± 69.57 127.92 ± 63.16 126.45 ± 76.77 0.0001 Hgb 14.50 ± 1.73 14.56 ± 1.72 14.57 ± 1.65 14.21 ± 1.84 0.0004 RBC (10^6) 5.01 ± .63 5.03 ± .62 5.03 ± .62 4.91 ± .69 0.0017 PLT (10^3) 262.52 ± 66.02 262.10 ± 65.23 256.61 ± 67.75 269.02 ± 66.75 0.0224 WBC (10^3) 7.36 ± 2.19 7.43 ± 2.36 7.16 ± 1.82 7.30 ± 1.84 0.0789 PLT to WBC ratio 37.28 ± 11.34 36.94 ± 11.16 37.22 ± 11.18 38.48 ± 11.99 0.0402 BUN 1.98 ± 5.70 14.59 ± 5.42 15.26 ± 5.31 16.07 ± 6.73 <0.0001		DM medication, n (%))	591 (30.42)	390 (30.71)	83 (28.14)	118 (31.22)	0.641
eters HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) 45.96 ± 11.20 45.07 ± 10.95 45.90 ± 10.99 46.99 ± 12.16 0.0873 LDL-cholesterol 109.40 ± 37.75 109.07 ± 38.39 110.28 ± 36.88 109.74 ± 36.38 0.8340 TG 135.69 ± 70.27 140.45 ± 69.57 127.92 ± 63.16 126.45 ± 76.77 0.0011 Hgb 14.50 ± 1.73 14.56 ± 1.72 14.57 ± 1.65 14.21 ± 1.84 0.0042 RBC (10^6) 501 ± 6.3 5.03 ± 6.2 5.03 ± 6.2 4.91 ± 6.9 0.0214 VBC (10^A) 262.52 ± 66.02 262.10 ± 65.23 256.61 ± 67.75 269.02 ± 66.75 0.0224 WBC (10^A) 7.36 ± 2.19 7.43 ± 2.36 7.16 ± 1.82 7.30 ± 1.84 0.0789 PLT to WBC ratio 37.28 ± 11.34 36.94 ± 11.16 37.22 ± 11.18 38.48 ± 11.99 0.0402 BUN 1.01 ± .36 1.08 ± .35 1.12 ± .31 1.13 ± .44 0.0292 Uric acid 5.17 ± 1.30 5.21 ± 1.28 5.14 ± 1.26 5.08 ± 1.39 0.1882 Alk-P 20.03 ± 7.58 22.03 ± 7.74 <td< td=""><td>Biochemical param-</td><td>Total cholesterol</td><td></td><td>182.13 ± 44.20</td><td>182.54 ± 44.14</td><td>181.38 ± 44.38</td><td>181.39±44.33</td><td>0.8301</td></td<>	Biochemical param-	Total cholesterol		182.13 ± 44.20	182.54 ± 44.14	181.38 ± 44.38	181.39±44.33	0.8301
LDL- cholesterol109.40±37.75109.07±38.39110.28±36.88109.74±36.380.8340TG135.69±70.27140.45±69.57127.92±63.16126.45±76.770.0001Hgb14.50±1.7314.56±1.7214.57±1.6514.21±1.840.0004RBC (10^6)5.01±.635.03±.625.03±.624.91±.690.0017PLT (10^3)262.52±66.02262.10±65.23256.61±67.75269.02±66.750.0224WBC (10^3)7.36±2.197.43±2.367.16±1.827.30±1.840.0789PLT to WBC ratio37.28±11.3436.94±11.1637.22±11.1838.48±11.990.0402BUN14.98±5.7014.59±5.4215.26±5.3116.07±6.73<0.0011	eters	HDL-cholesterol (mg/	′dl)	45.96±11.20	45.67 ± 10.95	45.90±10.99	46.99±12.16	0.0873
TG 135.69±70.27 140.45±69.57 127.92±63.16 126.45±76.77 0.0001 Hgb 14.50±1.73 14.56±1.72 14.57±1.65 14.21±1.84 0.0004 RBC (10^6) 5.01±.63 5.03±.62 5.03±.62 4.91±.69 0.017 PLT (10^3) 262.52±66.02 262.10±65.23 256.61±67.75 269.02±66.75 0.024 WBC (10^3) 7.36±2.19 7.43±2.36 7.16±1.82 7.30±1.84 0.0789 PLT to WBC ratio 37.28±11.34 36.94±11.16 37.22±11.18 38.48±11.99 0.0402 BUN 14.98±5.70 14.59±5.42 15.26±5.31 16.07±6.73 <0.0019		LDL- cholesterol		109.40 ± 37.75	109.07 ± 38.39	110.28±36.88	109.74±36.38	0.8340
Hgb14.50±1.7314.56±1.7214.57±1.6514.21±1.840.0004RBC (10^6)5.01±.635.03±.625.03±.624.91±.690.017PLT (10^3)262.52±66.02262.10±65.23256.61±67.75269.02±66.750.0224WBC (10^3)7.36±2.197.43±2.367.16±1.827.30±1.840.0789PLT to WBC ratio37.28±11.3436.94±11.1637.22±11.1838.48±11.990.4022BUN14.98±5.7014.59±5.4215.26±5.3116.07±6.73<0.0011		TG		135.69 ± 70.27	140.45 ± 69.57	127.92 ± 63.16	126.45 ± 76.77	0.0001
Note Sult		Hgb		14.50 ± 1.73	14.56 ± 1.72	14.57 ± 1.65	14.21 ± 1.84	0.0004
PLT (10^3) 262.52±66.02 262.10±65.23 256.61±67.75 269.02±66.75 0.0224 WBC (10^3) 7.36±2.19 7.43±2.36 7.16±1.82 7.30±1.84 0.0789 PLT to WBC ratio 37.28±11.34 36.94±11.16 37.22±11.18 38.48±11.99 0.0402 BUN 14.98±5.70 14.59±5.42 15.26±5.31 16.07±6.73 <0.0001		RBC (10^6)		$5.01 \pm .63$	$5.03 \pm .62$	$5.03 \pm .62$	4.91±.69	0.0017
WBC (10^3) 7.36±2.19 7.43±2.36 7.16±1.82 7.30±1.84 0.0789 PLT to WBC ratio 37.28±11.34 36.94±11.16 37.22±11.18 38.48±11.99 0.0402 BUN 14.98±5.70 14.59±5.42 15.26±5.31 16.07±6.73 <0.0001		PLT (10^3)		262.52 ± 66.02	262.10 ± 65.23	256.61 ± 67.75	269.02 ± 66.75	0.0224
PLT to WBC ratio 37.28±11.34 36.94±11.16 37.22±11.18 38.48±11.99 0.0402 BUN 14.98±5.70 14.59±5.42 15.26±5.31 16.07±6.73 <0.0001		WBC (10^3)		7.36 ± 2.19	7.43 ± 2.36	7.16 ± 1.82	7.30 ± 1.84	0.0789
BUN 14.99±5.70 14.59±5.42 15.26±5.31 16.07±6.73 <0.0001 Creatinine 1.10±.36 1.08±.35 1.12±.31 1.13±.44 0.0292 Uric acid 5.17±1.30 5.21±1.28 5.14±1.26 5.08±1.39 0.1882 Alk-P 20.32±75.80 222.3±77.74 212.07±74.28 221.05±69.98 0.0593 HbA1c 5.67±1.56 5.69±1.54 5.57±1.47 5.66±1.67 0.3843		PLT to WBC ratio		37.28 ± 11.34	36.94 ± 11.16	37.22 ± 11.18	38.48±11.99	0.0402
Creatinine1.10±.361.08±.351.12±.311.13±.440.0292Uric acid5.17±1.305.21±1.285.14±1.265.08±1.390.1882Alk-P220.32±75.80222.23±77.74212.07±74.28221.05±69.980.0593HbA1c5.67±1.565.69±1.545.57±1.475.66±1.670.3843		BUN		14.98 ± 5.70	14.59 ± 5.42	15.26 ± 5.31	16.07 ± 6.73	< 0.0001
Uric acid 5.17 ± 1.30 5.21 ± 1.28 5.14 ± 1.26 5.08 ± 1.39 0.1882 Alk-P 220.32 ± 75.80 222.23 ± 77.74 212.07 ± 74.28 221.05 ± 69.98 0.0593 HbA1c 5.67 ± 1.56 5.69 ± 1.54 5.77 ± 1.47 5.66 ± 1.67 0.3843		Creatinine		$1.10 \pm .36$	1.08±.35	1.12±.31	1.13±.44	0.0292
Alk-P 220.32±75.80 222.23±77.74 212.07±74.28 221.05±69.98 0.0593 HbA1c 5.67±1.56 5.69±1.54 5.57±1.47 5.66±1.67 0.3843		Uric acid		5.17 ± 1.30	5.21 ± 1.28	5.14 ± 1.26	5.08 ± 1.39	0.1882
HbA1c 5.67±1.56 5.69±1.54 5.57±1.47 5.66±1.67 0.3843		Alk-P		220.32 ± 75.80	222.23 ± 77.74	212.07 ± 74.28	221.05 ± 69.98	0.0593
		HbA1c		5.67 ± 1.56	5.69 ± 1.54	5.57 ± 1.47	5.66±1.67	0.3843

BEH Bushehr elderly health, SBP Systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, BMI Body mass index, WHR waist to hip ratio, IADL Instrumental activities of daily living, ASM Appendicular skeletal muscle mass, SMI skeletal muscle mass index, SPPB Short Physical Performance Battery, HTN Hypertension, HDL high-density lipoproteins, LDL low-density lipoproteins, TG triglycerides, Hgb Hemoglobin, RBC Red blood cells, PLT platelet, WBC White blood cells, PWR PLT to WBC ratio, BUN blood urea nitrogen, ALK-P Alkaline phosphatase, HbA1c hemoglobin A1c

^a P-values for continuous variables and categorical variables were assessed using ANOVA and Chi-square, respectively



potential confounders. This association was not seen between WBC and sarcopenia. Moreover, among sarcopenia measures, a prominent effect of PLTs was only seen in ASM and handgrip. However, considering gender separately, the association between PLT and sarcopenia parameters remained statistically significant only in women.

According to previous studies, age and marital status were used as important demographic factors in model 1 [16, 21, 26, 36, 37]. We also use metabolic syndrome, the number of chronic diseases, smoking in the second model as confounder variables [16, 18, 20, 26, 38, 39]. In model 3, we use medication related to chronic diseases that showed that controlled chronic diseases contribute to sarcopenia and directly affect sarcopenia parameters and platelet function [40-44]. In model 3, we also use BMI and IADL as confounding factors due to the previous finding, which is showed that obesity and physical activity can affect muscle mass and platelet function via their regulatory effect on inflammatory state in the elderly population [45–48]. Finally, in model 4, we adjusted the association between sarcopenia and PLT by Hgb, triglyceride, creatinine. Hgb level decrease in age-related inflammation, which might predispose the elderly population to sarcopenia by decreasing tissue oxygenation [49–51]. Triglyceride level is associated with a decrease in muscle mass, and it also might affect other sarcopenia parameters via MetS [32, 52]. Creatinine level approximately represents whole-body muscle mass and shows the renal function and related conditions such as CKD, which previously has been shown to be associated with muscle mass decline and sarcopenia [31, 53, 54]. We summarized the association between variables in Fig. 4.

Previous findings revealed that the biological effect of aging might be caused by oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction [55]. The aging process is associated with chronic low-grade inflammation, which is called Inflamm-aging [56]. It has been demonstrated that Inflamm-aging plays a prominent role in the pathogenesis number of age-related chronic diseases such as atherosclerosis, insulin resistance, sarcopenia, frailty, and disability [57]. For instance, obesity and body fat mass, which have been explained to be associated with sarcopenia, have been shown to be positively correlated with PLT activity [18].

In our study, severe sarcopenia participants had a higher amount of PLT and PWR than other groups. After adjusting the model, the effect of PLT on sarcopenia showed a meaningful effect; however, the pathway of this effect is not clearly understood.

This association might be explained by endothelial dysfunction. Endothelial dysfunction is identified as an imbalance between vasodilatory and vasoconstrictive actions that cause a reduction in vasodilation activity of vessels. The integrity of endothelium, different receptors, and flow-mediated stimuli can affect the production and release of endotheliumderived relaxing factors (EDRF) such as nitric oxide [58]. EDRF causes vasodilation by activated cellular cascade such as soluble guanylate cyclase and subsequently raises cyclic guanylate in vascular smooth muscle. EDRF can play an anti-inflammatory role by inhibiting PLT aggregation and adhesion. Moreover, PLTs are initiators of vascular inflammation and

PIT	Analytic Model	01 < 220		220 < 02 < 259		259<03 < 300		04 > 300		P-value for trend
Ĵ		OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	
	Unadjusted	1 (reference)	I	.86(.68 to 1.10)	0.242	.91 (.72 to 1.14)	0.433	1.07(.84to 1.34)	0.564	0.546
	Model 1	1 (reference)	I	1.00 (.77 to 1.28)	0.987	1.14 (.88 to 1.46)	0.306	1.40 (1.08 to 1.81)	0.009	0.007
	Model 2	1 (reference)	I	1.13 (.84 to 1.52)	0.390	1.24 (.92 to 1.66)	0.143	1.62 (1.20 to 2.18)	0.002	0.002
	Model 3	1 (reference)	I	1.24 (.82 to 1.86)	0.300	1.46 (.98 to 2.18)	0.062	1.87 (1.23 to 2.84)	0.003	0.003
	Model 4	1 (reference)	I	1.25 (.83 to 1.88)	0.275	1.45 (.97 to 2.17)	0.066	1.82 (1.20 to 2.78)	0.005	0.004
WBC	Analytic Model	Q1 < 6.1		6.1 < Q2 < 7.3		$7.3 \le Q3 \le 8.4$		Q4 > 8.4		P-value for trend
		OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	
	Unadjusted	1 (reference)	I	.87 (.69 to 1.09)	0.248	.88 (.69 to 1.11)	0.295	.81 (.64 to 1.03)	0.093	0.112
	Model 1	1 (reference)	I	.87 (.68 to 1.11)	0.281	.91(.71 to 1.16)	0.460	.82 (.64 to 1.05)	0.123	0.169
	Model 2	1 (reference)	I	.86 (.65 to 1.14)	0.317	.94 (.71 to 1.25)	0.712	.95 (.71 to 1.27)	0.759	0.883
	Model 3	1 (reference)	I	.85 (.61 to 1.19)	0.373	.82 (.59 to 1.15)	0.264	.93 (.66 to 1.29)	0.672	0.598
	Model 4	1 (reference)	I	.86 (.59 to 1.27)	0.469	1.16 (.78 to 1.73)	0.444	1.13 (.76 to 1.67)	0.541	0.335
PWR	Analytic Model	$Q1 \le 29.46$		29.46 < Q2 < 36		36≤Q3 ≤ 43.28		Q4 > 43.28		P-value for trend
		OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	
	Unadjusted	1 (reference)	I	1.07 (.84 to 1.36)	0.555	1.05 (.82 to 1.33)	0.666	1.24 (.98 to 1.57)	0.070	0.094
	Model 1	1 (reference)	I	1.12 (.87 to 1.44)	0.361	1.19 (.92 to 1.538)	0.176	1.55 (1.20 to 2.00)	0.001	0.001
	Model 2	1 (reference)	I	1.15 (.85 to 1.54)	0.345	1.31 (.97 to 1.76)	0.073	1.62 (1.20 to 2.18)	0.001	0.001
	Model 3	1 (reference)	I	.99 (.66 to 1.48)	0.974	1.31 (.88 to 1.96)	0.178	1.62 (1.07 to 2.46)	0.022	0.009
	Model 4	1 (reference)	I	.98 (.65 to 1.46)	0.922	1.31 (.88 to 1.96)	0.180	1.57 (1.03 to 2.40)	0.035	0.015

E
a
5
õ
5
<u>~</u>
÷
m
<u></u>
Ĕ
t
\subseteq
5
Å
é
∟
g
g
\circ
<u>a</u> .
\subseteq
é
8
8
Ĩ
S
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
č
_
aj
R, al
NR, aı
PWR, ai
, PWR, ai
3C, PWR, ai
/BC, PWR, ai
WBC, PWR, ai
T, WBC, PWR, ai
۲۲, WBC, PWR, aı
PLT, WBC, PWR, ar
en PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
een PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
veen PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
tween PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
between PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
; between PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
ns between PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
ons between PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
tions between PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
iations between PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
ociations between PLT, WBC, PWR, an
sociations between PLT, WBC, PWR, ai
ssociations between PLT, WBC, PWR, an
Associations between PLT, WBC, PWR, an
2 Associations between PLT, WBC, PWR, and
2 Associations between PLT, WBC, PWR, and
le 2 Associations between PLT, WBC, PWR, and
ble 2 Associations between PLT, WBC, PWR, and
able 2 Associations between PLT, WBC, PWR, an

Model 2 adjusted for Model 1+ smoking, metabolic syndrome, and the number of chronic diseases^a

Model 3 adjusted for Model 2 + anti-inflammatory medications, anti-blatelet medications, anti-diabetic medications, anti-diabetic

Model 4 adjusted for Model 3 + Hgb, TG, and creatinine

BEH Bushehr elderly health, PLT platelet, WBC White blood cells, PWR PLT to WBC ratio, HTN Hypertension, IADL Instrumental activities of daily living, WHR waist to hip ratio, BMI Body mass index, Hgb Hemoglobin, HbA1c hemoglobin A1c, HDL high-density lipoproteins, ALK-P Alkaline phosphatase, TG triglycerides

^a Chronic diseases included: liver diseases, lung diseases, cardiovascular disease, Hypertension,, diabetes mellitus, thyroid diseases, osteoarthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis

Table 3 The relationship between PLT and sarcopenia parameters in the Bushehr Health (BEH) Program

Outcome variable	Analytic Model	All		Male		Female	
		β (95% CI)	P-value	β (95% CI)	P-value	β (95% CI)	P-value
a							
ASM	Unadjusted	-0.0135 (-0.0157 to 0114)	< 0.001	-0.0006(-0.0006 to 0.0019)	0.649	-0.0034 (-0.0053 to -0.0015)	< 0.001
	Model 1	-0.0118(-0.0138 to -0.0098)	< 0.001	- 0.0015(- 0.0039 to 0.0008)	0.206	-0.0034 (-0.0052 to -0.0015)	< 0.001
	Model 2	0113 (0135 to 0090)	< 0.001	0024 (0050 to .0001)	0.069	0044 (0065 to 0023)	< 0.001
	Model 3	0118(0141 to 0094)	< 0.001	0025 (0051 to .0000)	0.054	0033 (0051 to0015)	< 0.001
	Model 4	0086 (0108 to 0064)	< 0.001	0021 (0047 to .0003)	0.097	0033 (0051 to 0015)	< 0.001
Handgrip	Unadjusted	-0.0300 (-0.0354 to -0.0245)	< 0.001	0.0002 (- 0.0072 to 0.0077)	0.947	-0.0047 (-0.0091 to -0.0003)	0.033
	Model 1	- 0.0262 (0311 to - 0.0212)	< 0.001	0030 (0096 to .0036)	0.370	0050(0089 to 0010)	0.013
	Model 2	0229 (0284 to 0174)	< 0.001	0044 (0118 to .0029)	0.241	0053 (0099 to 0007)	0.023
	Model 3	—.0219 (—.0279 to —.0159)	< 0.001	0055 (0146 to .0035)	0.231	0040 (0090 to .0010)	0.118
	Model 4	0145(0204 to 0087)	< 0.001	0042 (0133 to .0048)	0.359	0032 (0083 to .0018)	0.215
gait speed	Unadjusted	0004 (0006 to 0003)	< 0.001	0000 (0002 to .0002)	0.901	0000 (0003 to .0001)	0.533
	Model 1	0004 (0006 to 0002)	< 0.001	0001 (0003 to .0001)	0.332	0000 (0002 to .0001)	0.549
	Model 2	0003 (0005 to 0001)	0.001	0000 (0003 to .0002)	0.905	0000 (0003 to.0001)	0.616
	Model 3	0002 (0004 to 0000	0.043	0001 (0004 to .0002)	0.551	.0001 (0001 to .0003)	0.471
	Model 4	0001 (0003 to .0000)	0.188	0000 (0004 to .0002)	0.628	.0000 (0001 to .0003)	0.513
SPPB	Unadjusted	0024 (0035 to 0013)	< 0.001	.0001 (0013 to.0016)	0.858	0010 (0027 to .0006	0.209
	Model 1	0022 (0032 to 0012)	< 0.001	0002 (0016 to .0011)	0.698	0012 (0028 to .0003)	0.115
	Model 2	0020 (0032 to 0008)	0.001	0000 (0016 to .0015)	0.915	0017(0036 to .0001)	0.068
	Model 3	0011 (0025 to .0002)	0.119	.0006 (0013 to .0025)	0.534	0006 (0027 to.0013)	0.522
	Model 4	0008 (0022 to .0006)	0.272	.0007 (0011 to .0027)	0.430	0008 (0029 to .0012)	0.447

Multivariate linear regression was used for analysis

Model 1 adjusted for age, marital

Model 2 adjusted for Model 1+ smoking, metabolic syndrome, and the number of chronic diseases^b

Model 3 adjusted for Model 2 + anti-inflammatory medications, anti-PLT medications, anti-diabetic medications, anti-hyperlipidemic medications, HTN medications, IADL, and BMI

Model 4 adjusted for Model 3 + Hgb, TG, and Creatinine

BEH Bushehr elderly health, ASM Appendicular skeletal muscle mass, SPPB Short Physical Performance Battery; HTN Hypertension, IADL Instrumental activities of daily living, WHR waist to hip ratio, BMI Body mass index, Hgb Hemoglobin, WBC White blood cells, HbA1c hemoglobin A1c, HDL high-density lipoproteins, ALK-P Alkaline phosphatase, TG triglycerides

^a PLT concentration was used as an independent variable

^b Chronic diseases included: liver diseases, lung diseases, cardiovascular disease, Hypertension, diabetes mellitus, thyroid diseases, osteoarthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis





remodeling and might cause oxygen and nutrients supply disturbance in muscle cells by interfering in microcirculation and microvascular endothelium [59, 60]. Another possible mechanism is that PLTs might accelerate bone marrow hematopoietic stem cell proliferation and affect the differentiation of



human CD34-positive cells into foam cells, which has been shown to play a key role in the pathophysiology of atherosclerotic and small vessels diseases such as cerebral microbleeds (CMBs) [60, 61]. CMBs have been shown to be associated with cognitive impairment, physical frailty, and low handgrip strength, independent of other confounding factors. This hypothesis might be confirmed by a higher prevalence of cognitive impairment in severe sarcopenic participants.

White blood cell count is a strong indicator of lowgrade inflammation and also might interfere with muscle metabolism by producing pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-6 [20, 25]. However, our study did not find any significant association between WBC count and sarcopenia; even PLT and PWR have been shown to have a significant association.

Effect of PLTs and WBC count can be simultaneously assessed by PLT to-white blood cell ratio (PWR) [62]. The interaction between PLTs and WBC has been introduced

in the pathogenesis of several diseases (e.g., cerebrovascular infarction). PLTs have been shown to affect other blood cells by releasing chemokines and membrane ligands and also play as a bridge in white blood cells-PLT aggregates (LPAs) in the periphery [63]. Therefore, PWR can represent the degree of inflammation, and a significant association of PWR may show the prominent effect of PLT than WBC on sarcopenia.

PLT count has been shown to decrease with aging in different older adults' population. It has been demonstrated that despite the stable number of PLTs in middleaged people, the PLT count declines by approximately 10% after age 60, which is more prominent in men [64]. Previous studies have shown that women have almost 15% higher PLT count than men, showing gender differences in PLT count in older adults [64, 65]. However, our study showed that PLT count might decrease slightly after 60 in women. Although the PLT count in men is lower than women, we see the gradually escalating change in PLT count after age 90 in men. However, only less than 0.6% of our population is older than 90 years; therefore, we cannot interpret this increasing trend accurately. PLT count decreased in the older adults might be explained by the fact that hematopoietic stem cell reserve reduces with aging [66]. Although the drop in PLT count is observed, PLT activity seems to increase with aging. It shows that the level of cytokines released by activated PLTs such as PLT factor 4 (PF4), which affects muscle mass, increases in the older adults population [59, 67]. The effect of age-related inflammation might explain this increase. In addition, it has been revealed that platelet response to inflammatory cytokines increases by aging, leading to platelet hyperactivity in older adults [68].

Some previous studies have classified PLT into guantile or tertial, for instance, in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III, it was shown that elevations in serum PLR values were significantly associated with sarcopenia status and negatively associated with skeletal muscle index. After additionally adjusting for other covariates, the significant negative correlation remained. Participants with the highest quartile serum PLR value had a greater risk of sarcopenia than those with the lowest quartile [26]. Also, the West China Health and Aging Trend (WGHAT) study showed that participants in the highest tertial NLR, PLR value group had higher odds for sarcopenia than those in the lowest tertial value group [20]. In addition, Lee's study, which was done in Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey, showed that higher PLT and WBC tertial are associated with sarcopenia [18]. All of these studies were done on the population-based study, and their P-value for trend showed significant results for the highest group. For this reason, the results of our study can indicate that the results of our study are evidence-based.

After stratifying participants based on gender in this study, none of the sarcopenia parameters had a statistically significant association with PLT in men. These results were consistent with previous studies emphasizing gender-related differences in sarcopenia and sarcopenia parameters [69, 70]. However, the differences might be related to the age-related decline in sex hormones and the other physiological pathway, especially in menopausal women, who have a higher decline rate than men [71]. It has been confirmed that the decline in estrogen levels in postmenopausal women is associated with a decrease in muscle mass. This association could be explained by the regulatory effects of estrogen on pro-inflammatory cytokines and the direct protective effect of estrogen on muscles, which decreases in menopausal women. Gender-related variations in the distribution of fat mass might also be attributed to these differences. Furthermore, in developing countries such as Iran, women have been shown to be frailer, making them more susceptible to sarcopenia than men [72]. Higher prevalence of frailty in women might be due to the fact that a significant number of the women's population in lower-middle-income (LMICs) and developing countries, have a lower socioeconomic status than men, which might affect the quality of their nutrition intake, chance to participate in regular exercise, and access to healthcare services.

Moreover, after adjusting medications (e.g., antiinflammatory, anti-PLT, HTN, anti-hyperlipidemic, and anti-diabetic medication) in women, results demonstrated that the association between PLT, ASM, and handgrip strength decreased, so that it was no longer statistically significant in the handgrip strength. These medications might prevent sarcopenia and might directly reverse this process. According to Landi et al., individuals who used non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) had almost 80% lower risk of sarcopenia compared with non-NSAID users, even after considering potential confounders [40]. Furthermore, anti-diabetic agents such as metformin play a protective role against sarcopenia through increased insulin sensitivity and glucose hemostasis. Aghili et al.'s study showed that those who received metformin had a lower risk for sarcopenia, which was notably true in women [73, 74].

Compared to previous studies, we used both upper and lower muscle strengths according to the revised edition of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP2), which might be a better indicator for the decline in muscle strength in the elderly population because it simultaneously assesses both upper and lower limbs. In this study, when sarcopenia was defined to only mean handgrip, the prevalence of sarcopenia was 27.17%, while considering both upper and lower muscle strengths, the prevalence was 35.89%. According to supplemantory 1, which recaps previous related studies, the prevalence of sarcopenia among the elderly was 9.9 to 45.8%. When sarcopenia was defined based on other criteria in this study, it had almost the same prevalence as previous studies. The prevalence of sarcopenia according to different criteria was 27.87% for the foundation for the National Institutes of Health (FNIH); 30.20% for Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS); 34.20% for International Working Group on Sarcopenia (IWGS); 45.36% for AWGS 2019.

This study was conducted using reliable data and a fully validated protocol with a large number of participants representing the Iranian older adults' population. In this study, sarcopenia was defined by the revised edition of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP2).

One of the most important strengths of this study is that most previous studies (supplementary 1) have focused on secondary sarcopenia, which is considered when factors other than aging are evident, especially systemic diseases such as malignancy or organ failure. However, in this study, we investigated sarcopenia as the primary outcome, which means no other specific cause is evident. This population-based study was done on a large sample of the elderly population, consisting of both genders. We did not exclude participants with underlying chronic diseases or those who had platelet counts $<\!150\!\times\!103$ and $>\!450\!\times\!103$ or WBC $<\!3000$ or $>\!10,000$ cells/µl or those diagnosed with cognitive impairment, also we believe that we encountered less selection bias which previous studies may have encountered. Another strength of this study compared to the previous studies is that in addition to muscle mass, we assessed both upper and lower muscle strengths, which might better indicate elderly condition and sarcopenia than using only the upper limb (handgrip). Also, in this study, determining a specified cut-off point helped to evaluate the Iranian elderly precisely, and our community, as one of the districts with the highest sarcopenia prevalence in the world, might be one of the best places for more investigating the sarcopenia etiology, and we may find the prognostic factors for future emergency implementation. Moreover, in this study, we used multivariable analysis procedures, including anti-inflammatory, anti-platelet, HTN, and DM medication, which helps to understand that these drugs might help prevent sarcopenia. We believe that using these can help clarify the association between sarcopenia and inflammatory markers by considering a number of covariables, which were not used entirely in the previous studies, and help enhance a better understanding of this association.

This study had a number of potential limitations, one of which was the cross-sectional nature of the study since musculoskeletal outcomes were measured only in the second stage of the BEH program, and thus, the causeeffect relationships between PLT, PWR, and sarcopenia could not be recognized. In addition, other inflammatory cytokines and markers (e.g., TNF-a, interleukin- 6, C-reactive-protein), mean PLT volume (MPV), and nutritional status were not measured in this study.

Conclusion

Since most inflammatory factors have an inflammatory basis in old age, such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), interleukin 6 (IL-6) and C-reactive protein (CRP), and activation of the inflammatory process play a role in the occurrence of these conditions, several factors may be used as indicators to show the inflammatory milieu. This study showed that PLT and PWR were associated with sarcopenia after considering confounding factors, muscle mass, and muscle strength, whereas Page 12 of 15

WBC was not significantly connected with sarcopenia. Moreover, based on the results, women showed a significant association with PLT levels and sarcopenia with their components of it.

We investigate the association between age-related sarcopenia in an elderly population-based study. While most previous studies have focused on secondary sarcopenia, the present population-based study aimed to find sarcopenia in the population and evaluate the age-related sarcopenia disorder; therefore, for the first time, we found that PWR can be a prognostic marker for sarcopenia as previous studies have shown this inflammation process. We concluded that the elderly might produce an inflammation milieu, and PWR may be one of the most important inflammation markers for diagnostic age-related sarcopenia.

The association between PWR and sarcopenia is known to be independent of other predictors. Therefore, evaluation of PWR values may help in the early detection of elderly patients with sarcopenia. A notable feature of this ratio is that an easy, common, and available measurement may be an early, convenient, and important identification tool for sarcopenia in the elderly. Nonetheless, further longitudinal studies with different inflammatory cytokines are needed to confirm the connection between the inflammatory markers and sarcopenia and whether anti-inflammatory medication can prevent sarcopenia from happening.

Abbreviations

BEH: Bushehr elderly health; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; BMI: Body mass index; WHR: Waist to hip ratio; IADL: Instrumental activities of daily living; ASM: Appendicular skeletal muscle mass; SMI: Skeletal muscle mass index; SPPB: Short Physical Performance Battery; HTN: Hypertension; HDL: High-density lipoproteins; LDL: Low-density lipoproteins; TG: Triglycerides; Hgb: Hemoglobin; RBC: Red blood cells; PLT: Platelet; WBC: White blood cells; PWR: PLT to WBC ratio; BUN: Blood ure an itrogen; ALK-P: Alkaline phosphatas; HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c; EDRF: Endothelium-derived relaxing factor.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-022-02954-3.

Additional file 1: Supplementary 1. Characteristics of previous studies worked on sarcopenia and inflammatory marker.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their appreciation to all medical research center employees at Bushehr University of Medical Sciences (BUMS) and Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS) for their dedication and collaboration. The authors would also like to convey their heartfelt appreciation to the Persian Gulf Martyrs Hospital's Clinical Research Development Center and the Persian Gulf Tropical Medicine Research Center. We would like to thank all participants for their participation in the study.

Authors' contributions

MM, MGH, and ES conceived the study and performed data analysis and interpretation. AF, MEM, MGH drafted the manuscript and participated in interpretation, study design, and conduct and helped draft the manuscript

and interpretation. IN, MK, GSH, KA, BL, AHD, and AO participated in the study design and interpretation of the findings. All authors reviewed and approved the submitted manuscript.

Funding

The Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, affiliated with Bushehr (Port) University of Medical Sciences (BPUMS), and the Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Institute, affiliated with Tehran University of Medical Sciences, both contributed to funding for the BEH Program. This study project was designed and carried out with the help of researchers from both research institutions.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding author, AO (a.ostovar@bpums.ac.ir) or IN (inabipour@gmail.com) upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Ethics Committee of Bushehr University of Medical Sciences granted ethical permission for this study (Ref. No. B-91–14-2) in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration and national guidelines for research ethics. Before research enrollment, all participants gave their informed consent after being informed about procedures involved in the study. Participation was entirely optional, and any participant could withdraw consent at any moment with no repercussions.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹The Persian Gulf Tropical Medicine Research Center, The Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran. ²Department of Health Education and Promotion, Faculty of Health, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran. ³Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Faculty of Health and Nutrition, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran. ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran. ⁵Chronic Diseases Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁶Osteoporosis Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁶Department of Paraclinic, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁸Department of Paraclinic, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran.

Received: 9 September 2021 Accepted: 17 March 2022 Published online: 08 April 2022

References

- 1. Livshits G, Kalinkovich A. Inflammaging as a common ground for the development and maintenance of sarcopenia, obesity, cardiomyopathy and dysbiosis. Ageing Res Rev. 2019;56:100980.
- Liguori I, Russo G, Aran L, Bulli G, Curcio F, Della-Morte D, et al. Sarcopenia: assessment of disease burden and strategies to improve outcomes. Clin Interv Aging. 2018;13:913.
- Tsukasaki K, Matsui Y, Arai H, Harada A, Tomida M, Takemura M, et al. Association of muscle strength and gait speed with cross-sectional muscle area determined by mid-thigh computed tomography—a comparison with skeletal muscle mass measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. J Frailty Aging. 2020;9(2):82–9.
- Rosenberg IH. Sarcopenia: origins and clinical relevance. J Nutr. 1997;127(5):9905–15.
- 5. Papadopoulou S, Tsintavis P, Potsaki G, Papandreou D. Differences in the prevalence of sarcopenia in community-dwelling, nursing home and

hospitalized individuals. A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Nutr Health Aging. 2020;24(1):83–90.

- Kim JA, Choi KM. Sarcopenia and fatty liver disease. Hepatol Int. 2019;13(6):674–87.
- Malafarina V, Úriz-Otano F, Iniesta R, Gil-Guerrero L. Sarcopenia in the elderly: diagnosis, physiopathology and treatment. Maturitas. 2012;71(2):109–14.
- Tay L, Leung B, Wee S, Tay K, Ali N, Chan M, et al. Association of nutrition and immune-endocrine dysfunction with muscle mass and performance in cognitively impaired older adults. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2018;75:20–7.
- Bano G, Trevisan C, Carraro S, Solmi M, Luchini C, Stubbs B, et al. Inflammation and sarcopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Maturitas. 2017;96:10–5.
- Grosicki GJ, Barrett BB, Englund DA, Liu C, Travison TG, Cederholm T, et al. Circulating interleukin-6 is associated with skeletal muscle strength, quality, and functional adaptation with exercise training in mobility-limited older adults. J Frailty Aging. 2020;9(1):57–63.
- 11. Schaap LA, Pluijm SM, Deeg DJ, Harris TB, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, et al. Higher inflammatory marker levels in older persons: associations with 5-year change in muscle mass and muscle strength. J Gerontol Ser A: Biomed Sci Med Sci. 2009;64(11):1183–9.
- 12. Kounis NG, Soufras GD, Tsigkas G, Hahalis G. White blood cell counts, leukocyte ratios, and eosinophils as inflammatory markers in patients with coronary artery disease. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost. 2015;21(2):139–43.
- Jesri A, Okonofua EC, Egan BM. Platelet and white blood cell counts are elevated in patients with the metabolic syndrome. J Clin Hypertens. 2005;7(12):705–11.
- Yazici S, Yazici M, Erer B, Erer B, Calik Y, Ozhan H, et al. The platelet indices in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: mean platelet volume reflects disease activity. Platelets. 2010;21(2):122–5.
- 15. Davizon-Castillo P, McMahon B, Aguila S, Bark D, Ashworth K, Allawzi A, et al. TNF- α -driven inflammation and mitochondrial dysfunction define the platelet hyperreactivity of aging. Blood. 2019;134(9):727–40.
- Chung T-H, Shim J-Y, Lee Y-J. Association between leukocyte count and sarcopenia in postmenopausal women: the Korean National Health and nutrition examination survey. Maturitas. 2016;84:89–93.
- 17. Perna S, Gabriella P, Faliva MA, Arianna B, Maurizio N, Alessandra M, et al. Erratum to: sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity in comparison: prevalence, metabolic profile, and key differences. A cross-sectional study in Italian hospitalized elderly. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2017;29(6):1259.
- Lee HS, Koh I-H, Kim H-S, Kwon Y-J. Platelet and white blood cell count are independently associated with sarcopenia: a nationwide populationbased study. Thromb Res. 2019;183:36–44.
- van Atteveld VA, Van Ancum JM, Reijnierse EM, Trappenburg MC, Meskers CG, Maier AB. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and albumin as markers of inflammation are associated with measures of sarcopenia: a crosssectional study. BMC Geriatr. 2019;19(1):1–8.
- Zhao W-y, Zhang Y, Hou L-s, Xia X, Ge M-I, Liu X-L, et al. The association between systemic inflammatory markers and sarcopenia: results from the West China health and aging trend study (WCHAT). Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2021;92:104262.
- Park W-J, Jung D-H, Lee J-W, Shim J-Y, Kwon Y-J. Association of platelet count with sarcopenic obesity in postmenopausal women: a nationwide population-based study. Clin Chim Acta. 2018;477:113–8.
- Lin J, Zhang W, Huang Y, Chen W, Wu R, Chen X, et al. Sarcopenia is associated with the neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratios in operable gastric cancer patients: a prospective study. Cancer Manag Res. 2018;10:4935.
- Öztürk ZA, Kul S, Türkbeyler İH, Sayıner ZA, Abiyev A. Is increased neutrophil lymphocyte ratio remarking the inflammation in sarcopenia? Exp Gerontol. 2018;110:223–9.
- 24. Tsukioka T, Izumi N, Mizuguchi S, Kyukwang C, Komatsu H, Toda M, et al. Positive correlation between sarcopenia and elevation of neutrophil/lymphocyte ration in pathological stage IIIA (N2-positive) non-small cell lung cancer patients. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2018;66(12):716–22.
- Tang T, Xie L, Tan L, Hu X, Yang M. Inflammatory indexes are not associated with sarcopenia in Chinese community-dwelling older people: a cross-sectional study. BMC Geriatr. 2020;20(1):1–9.
- Liaw F-Y, Huang C-F, Chen W-L, Wu L-W, Peng T-C, Chang Y-W, et al. Higher platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio increased the risk of sarcopenia in the community-dwelling older adults. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):1–8.

- Pizzimenti M, Charles AL, Riou M, Thaveau F, Chakfé N, Geny B, et al. Usefulness of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio as a marker of sarcopenia for critical limb threatening ischemia. Ann Vasc Surg. 2021;72:72–8.
- Ostovar A, Nabipour I, Larijani B, Heshmat R, Darabi H, Vahdat K, et al. Bushehr elderly health (BEH) Programme, phase I (cardiovascular system). BMJ Open. 2015;5(12):e009597.
- Shafiee G, Ostovar A, Heshmat R, Darabi H, Sharifi F, Raeisi A, et al. Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) programme: study protocol and design of musculoskeletal system and cognitive function (stage II). BMJ Open. 2017;7(8):e013606.
- Shafiee G, Heshmat R, Ostovar A, Khatami F, Fahimfar N, Arzaghi SM, et al. Comparison of EWGSOP-1 and EWGSOP-2 diagnostic criteria on prevalence of and risk factors for sarcopenia among Iranian older people: the Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) program. J Diabetes Metabol Disord. 2020;19(2):727–34.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyère O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing. 2019;48(1):16–31.
- 32. Huang PL. A comprehensive definition for metabolic syndrome. Dis Model Mech. 2009;2(5–6):231–7.
- Marzban M, Nabipour I, Farhadi A, Ostovar A, Larijani B, Darabi AH, et al. Association between anemia, physical performance and cognitive function in Iranian elderly people: evidence from Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) program. BMC Geriatr. 2021;21(1):1–17.
- Khalagi K, Ansarifar A, Fahimfar N, Sanjari M, Gharibzdeh S, Sharifi F, et al. Cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors associated with dependency in basic and instrumental activities of daily living among older Iranian adults: Bushehr elderly health program. BMC Geriatr. 2021;21(1):1–9.
- VanderWeele TJ. Principles of confounder selection. Eur J Epidemiol. 2019;34(3):211–9.
- Kim A, Lee JA, Park HS. Health behaviors and illness according to marital status in middle-aged Koreans. J Public Health. 2018;40(2):e99–e106.
- Stephens CR, Easton JF, Robles-Cabrera A, Fossion R, de la Cruz L, Martínez-Tapia R, Barajas-Martínez A, Hernández-Chávez A, López-Rivera JA, Rivera AL. The Impact of Education and Age on Metabolic Disorders. Front Public Health. 2020;8:180.
- Zhang H, Lin S, Gao T, Zhong F, Cai J, Sun Y, et al. Association between sarcopenia and metabolic syndrome in middle-aged and older non-obese adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2018;10(3):364.
- Lim H-S, Park Y-H, Suh K, Yoo MH, Park HK, Kim HJ, et al. Association between sarcopenia, sarcopenic obesity, and chronic disease in Korean elderly. J Bone Metab. 2018;25(3):187–93.
- Landi F, Marzetti E, Liperoti R, Pahor M, Russo A, Martone AM, et al. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use and sarcopenia in older people: results from the ilSIRENTE study. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2013;14(8):626. e629–13.
- Driver B, Marks DC, van der Wal DE. Not all (N) SAID and done: effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and paracetamol intake on platelets. Res Pract Thrombosis Haemostasis. 2020;4(1):36–45.
- Nusca A, Tuccinardi D, Pieralice S, Giannone S, Carpenito M, Monte L, et al. Platelet effects of anti-diabetic therapies: new perspectives in the management of patients with diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12:1003.
- Lin M-H, Chiu S-Y, Chang P-H, Lai Y-L, Chen P-C, Ho W-C. Hyperlipidemia and statins use for the risk of new diagnosed sarcopenia in patients with chronic kidney: a population-based study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(5):1494.
- Yabumoto C, Akazawa H, Yamamoto R, Yano M, Kudo-Sakamoto Y, Sumida T, et al. Angiotensin II receptor blockade promotes repair of skeletal muscle through down-regulation of aging-promoting C1q expression. Sci Rep. 2015;5(1):1–15.
- Ellulu MS, Patimah I, Khaza'ai H, Rahmat A, Abed Y. Obesity and inflammation: the linking mechanism and the complications. Arch Med Sci. 2017;13(4):851–63.
- Zatterale F, Longo M, Naderi J, Raciti GA, Desiderio A, Miele C, et al. Chronic adipose tissue inflammation linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Front Physiol. 2020;10:1607.

- Lee S-Y, Tung H-H, Liu C-Y, Chen L-K. Physical activity and sarcopenia in the geriatric population: a systematic review. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2018;19(5):378–83.
- Heber S, Volf I. Effects of physical (in) activity on platelet function. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:165078.
- Moon J-H, Kong M-H, Kim H-J. Relationship between low muscle mass and anemia in Korean elderly men: using the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES IV–V). J Clin Gerontol Geriatr. 2015;6(4):115–9.
- Neidlein S, Wirth R, Pourhassan M. Iron deficiency, fatigue and muscle strength and function in older hospitalized patients. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2021;75(3):456–63.
- Meznar M, Pareznik R, Voga G. Effect of anemia on tissue oxygenation saturation and the tissue deoxygenation rate during ischemia. Crit Care. 2009;13(1):1–1.
- Kim JA, Hwang SY, Yu JH, Roh E, Hong S-h, Lee Y-B, et al. Association of the triglyceride and glucose index with low muscle mass: KNHANES 2008–2011. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):1–9.
- Price SR, Gooch JL, Donaldson SK, Roberts-Wilson TK. Muscle atrophy in chronic kidney disease results from abnormalities in insulin signaling. J Ren Nutr. 2010;20(5 Suppl):S24–8.
- 54. Souza VA, Oliveira D, Mansur HN, Fernandes NM, Bastos MG. Sarcopenia in chronic kidney disease. J Bras Nefrol. 2015;37(1):98–105.
- 55. Ji LL. Redox signaling in skeletal muscle: role of aging and exercise. Adv Physiol Educ. 2015;39(4):352–9.
- Franceschi C, Garagnani P, Parini P, Giuliani C, Santoro A. Inflammaging: a new immune–metabolic viewpoint for age-related diseases. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2018;14(10):576–90.
- Franceschi C, Garagnani P, Vitale G, Capri M, Salvioli S. Inflammaging and 'Garb-aging'. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2017;28(3):199–212.
- Zuchi C, Tritto I, Carluccio E, Mattei C, Cattadori G, Ambrosio G. Role of endothelial dysfunction in heart failure. Heart Fail Rev. 2020;25(1):21–30.
- Vajen T, Benedikter BJ, Heinzmann AC, Vasina EM, Henskens Y, Parsons M, et al. Platelet extracellular vesicles induce a pro-inflammatory smooth muscle cell phenotype. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;6(1):1322454.
- Daub K, Langer H, Seizer P, Stellos K, May AE, Goyal P, et al. Platelets induce differentiation of human CD34+ progenitor cells into foam cells and endothelial cells. FASEB J. 2006;20(14):2559–61.
- Zou J, Yuan C, Wu C, Cao C, Yang H. The effects of platelet-rich plasma on the osteogenic induction of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Connect Tissue Res. 2014;55(4):304–9.
- Chen Z, Huang Y, Li S, Lin J, Liu W, Ding Z, et al. Platelet-to-white blood cell ratio: a prognostic predictor for 90-day outcomes in ischemic stroke patients with intravenous thrombolysis. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016;25(10):2430–8.
- Amalia L, Dalimonthe NZ. Clinical significance of platelet-to-white blood cell ratio (PWR) and National Institute of health stroke scale (NIHSS) in acute ischemic stroke. Heliyon. 2020;6(10):e05033.
- Biino G, Santimone I, Minelli C, Sorice R, Frongia B, Traglia M, et al. Ageand sex-related variations in platelet count in Italy: a proposal of reference ranges based on 40987 subjects' data. PLoS One. 2013;8(1):e54289.
- 65. Segal JB, Moliterno AR. Platelet counts differ by sex, ethnicity, and age in the United States. Ann Epidemiol. 2006;16(2):123–30.
- Montenont E, Rondina MT, Campbell RA. Altered functions of platelets during aging. Curr Opin Hematol. 2019;26(5):336–42.
- Bastyr EJ III, Kadrofske MM, Vinik AI. Platelet activity and phosphoinositide turnover increase with advancing age. Am J Med. 1990;88(6):601–6.
- Hearps AC, Martin GE, Angelovich TA, Cheng WJ, Maisa A, Landay AL, et al. Aging is associated with chronic innate immune activation and dysregulation of monocyte phenotype and function. Aging Cell. 2012;11(5):867–75.
- Gao L, Jiang J, Yang M, Hao Q, Luo L, Dong B. Prevalence of sarcopenia and associated factors in Chinese community-dwelling elderly: comparison between rural and urban areas. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2015;16(11):1003. e1001–6.
- Argyriadou S, Vlachonikolis I, Melisopoulou H, Katachanakis K, Lionis C. In what extent anemia coexists with cognitive impairment in elderly: a cross-sectional study in Greece. BMC Fam Pract. 2001;2(1):1–6.
- Xing Y, Wei C, Chu C, Zhou A, Li F, Wu L, et al. Stage-specific gender differences in cognitive and neuropsychiatric manifestations of vascular dementia. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Dement. 2012;27(6):433–8.

- Biritwum RB, Minicuci N, Yawson AE, Theou O, Mensah GP, Naidoo N, et al. Prevalence of and factors associated with frailty and disability in older adults from China, Ghana, India, Mexico, Russia and South Africa. Maturitas. 2016;91:8–18.
- Aghili R, Malek M, Valojerdi AE, Banazadeh Z, Najafi L, Khamseh ME. Body composition in adults with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: effects of metformin. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2014;13(1):1–8.
- Chen F, Xu S, Wang Y, Chen F, Cao L, Liu T, Huang T, Wei Q, Ma G, Zhao Y, et al. Risk Factors for Sarcopenia in the Elderly with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and the Effect of Metformin. J Diabetes Res. 2020;2020:3950404.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

