

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Collateral Damage: The Cardiovascular Cost of Suppressing COVID-19 Transmission in Australia



Dona H. Adikari, MBBS*, Virag V. Kushwaha, MBBS, PhD, Nigel S. Jepson, BMedSci, MBBS

Cardiology Department, Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Received 9 June 2020; received in revised form 13 June 2020; accepted 21 June 2020; online published-ahead-of-print 1 July 2020

Keywords Cardiovascular disease • Myocardial infarction

On April 23 2020, the Australian flag was projected on the Matterhorn in Switzerland in a message of hope and solidarity during the COVID-19 pandemic. Indeed, at time of writing, Australia has successfully suppressed COVID-19 transmission compared to Europe and North America, with an extremely low notification rate of 3.4 per 100,000 population in the context of a high case ascertainment rate [1]. This is, in part, attributable to a national consensus on COVID-19 policies, early closure of international borders and the public's high degree of compliance with social-distancing guidelines, predicated on the rapid escalation of cases in early March 2020 [2]. We draw attention to avoidance behaviour in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia-an unwanted consequence of strategic national policies and intense media saturation, leading to a disproportionate level of risk perception in a country with a very low prevalence of COVID-19.

Anecdotal reports have suggested that cardiac presentations in Australia have dropped by 30% during the COVID-19 pandemic [3]. At our institution, we observed a 32% reduction in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) cardiac catheterisation laboratory (CCL) activations from 15 March to 15 May 2020, the period when strict social measures were enforced, compared to the same 2-month period in 2019 (15 cases [7.5 activations per month] compared to 22 cases [11 activations per month]). There were 134 cases (average of 11.2 activations per month) during the 12-month period from 15 March 2019 to 15 March 2020.

On 25 March 2020, the Australian government cancelled all non-urgent elective surgeries. In line with this, the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSANZ) endorsed a Consensus Statement that recommended a high threshold for acute cardiology admissions and suggested that stable angina and troponin-negative chest pain may be managed in an outpatient setting [4]. On 30 March 2020, the Australian government introduced telehealth items to substitute for face-to-face specialist consultations for all Medicare-eligible Australians. Selective use of finite resources of personal protective equipment (PPE) and the need to minimise invasive and non-invasive procedures in order to redirect resources to COVID-19 care, suppress COVID-19 transmission and protect health care workers from exposure to the disease have resulted in departures from previous standards of care [5]. These factors combined with the influence of strict social measures and intensive media coverage have also cultivated a culture of fear of seeking medical care leading to irrational avoidance behaviour and delayed presentations with significant health consequences.

Avoidance behaviour leading to a significant reduction in ACS-related hospitalisations and dire clinical outcomes has also been reported in northern Italy during the COVID-19 pandemic and has become known as 'collateral damage' [6]. Similarly, an analysis of nine high-volume CCLs in the United States during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic observed a 38% reduction in STEMI CCL activations, suggesting that there were a significant number of STEMI patients who did not seek medical attention [7].

^{*}Corresponding author at: Eastern Heart Clinic, Prince of Wales Hospital, Barker Street, Randwick, NSW 2031, Australia. Tel.: (+612) 9382 0000; fax: (+612) 9382 0742; Email: Dona.Adikari@health.nsw.gov.au

Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Australian and New Zealand Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons (ANZSCTS) and the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSANZ). All rights reserved.

The seminal study of avoidance behaviour, which guantified the negative psychological responses contributing to this phenomenon, was a population-based survey during the initial stage of the H1N1 pandemic in Hong Kong in 2009 [8]. In this study, 63.4% of respondents reported that they avoided going to hospitals during the pandemic. Unconfirmed beliefs about modes of transmission, perception that H1N1 would cause severe irreversible bodily damage and worry that oneself or a family member would contract the disease were independent predictors of avoiding visiting hospitals (odds ratio 1.47-1.81, p<0.05). Interestingly, a survey of Sydney residents during the same pandemic in 2009 documented a very different community attitude towards H1N1, appropriate within a low-prevalence community. Only 21.4% of respondents perceived that their risk of catching H1N1 was high and 57.1% did not think that their health would be very seriously affected if they were infected [9].

It is evident that the level of risk perception in Australia has substantially increased during the current COVID-19 pandemic. Understanding the collateral damage issue is critical as health care services move forward and prepare for the second wave of cardiovascular disease in the post-COVID-19 era, aptly termed 'the impending tsunami' [10].

A recent study describing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on STEMI care in Hong Kong demonstrated a long delay in median door-to-device time compared with historical data from the previous year (110 mins compared with 84.5 mins), which was attributed to the implementation of stringent infection control measures [11]. There was an even longer delay in median time from symptom onset to first medical contact (318 mins compared with 82.5 mins).

In Australia, primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI) in a dedicated COVID-19 CCL with PPE including N95 masks when appropriate for all staff remains the standard of care [4,12]. Delays are to be expected but workflow can be expedited by CCL preparedness including training in PPE. The CSANZ Consensus Statement only recommends consideration of thrombolysis for select STEMI patients with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 without high risk features (anterior ST-elevation, cardiogenic shock and/or lifethreatening arrhythmias) [4]. Similarly, the Consensus Guidelines of the CSANZ Interventional Council and COVID-19 Interventional Cardiology working group recommends that thrombolysis should be considered in lyticeligible patients with high exposure risk of COVID-19 without a strong indication for urgent cardiac catheterisation, i.e. clear evidence of ongoing severe ischaemia by the presence of symptoms and electrocardiographic changes refractory to medical therapy or haemodynamic instability [12]. It is concerning that some Australian centres have interpreted these statements as a rationale to potentially convert to a routine thrombolysis strategy for all STEMI patients, unnecessarily compromising the standard of care for a vulnerable subpopulation within a community with an extremely low prevalence of COVID-19 [13].

In summary, we highlight three key points: 1) In Australia, a disproportionate level of risk perception during

the COVID-19 pandemic has contributed to a significant burden of collateral damage. The notification rate of COVID-19 may be 3.4 per 100,000 population but the proportion of missing STEMI patients may be more than 30%. 2) As cardiologists, we play a critical role in the mitigation of avoidance behaviour, which can have disastrous cardiovascular consequences. While this phenomenon has been widely described in Europe and North America, it is concerning that such behaviour is just as common in Australia, a country with a very low prevalence of COVID-19. The challenge will be rectifying misconceptions and reassuring patients that seeking medical care in hospitals is safe. 3) Where possible, we must not compromise the standard of care for STEMI patients in the COVID-19 era. We should be prepared to provide timely access to PPCI, particularly in communities with a very low prevalence of COVID-19.

Funding Sources

This research did not receive any did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgements

None.

References

- National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. Australian Government, Department of Health; 2020. Available at: http://www9.health.gov.au/cda/source/rpt_3.cfm. [accessed 26.5.20].
- [2] McAnulty JM, Ward K. Suppressing the epidemic in New South Wales. N Engl J Med 2020;382(21):e74.
- [3] Mackee N. COVID fears drive patients to avoid doctors, hospitals. MJA Insight [Internet] (17). Available at: https://insightplus.mja.com.au/202 0/17/covid-19-avoiding-doctors-clinicians-brace-for-wave-of-severe-illne sses/; 2020. May 2020. [accessed 26.5.20].
- [4] Zaman S, MacIsaac AI, Jennings GL, Schlaich M, Inglis SC, Arnold R, et al. Cardiovascular disease and COVID-19: Australian/New Zealand consensus statement. Med J Aust 2020 [Internet]. Available at: https:// www.mja.com.au/journal/2020/cardiovascular-disease-and-covid-19-au straliannew-zealand-consensus-statement. [accessed 17.5.20].
- [5] Denniss AR, Chow CK, Kritharides L. Cardiovascular and logistic issues associated with COVID-19 pandemic. Heart Lung Circ 2020; 29(5):655–6.
- [6] Moroni F, Gramegna M, Ajello S, Beneduce A, Baldetti L, Vilca LM, et al. Collateral damage. Medical care avoidance behavior among patients with myocardial infarction during the COVID-19 pandemic. JACC Case Reports 2020:459. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccas.2020. 04.010.
- [7] Garcia S, Albaghdadi MS, Meraj PM, Schmidt C, Garberich R, Jaffer FA, et al. Reduction in ST-segment elevation cardiac catheterization laboratory activations in the United States during COVID-19 pandemic. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;75(22):2871–2.
- [8] Lau JT, Griffiths S, Choi KC, Tsui HY. Avoidance behaviours and negative psychological responses in the general population in the

initial stage of the H1N1 pandemic in Hong Kong. BMC Infect Dis $2010;\!10\!:\!139.$

- [9] Seale H, McLaws ML, Heywood AE, Ward KF, Lowbridge CP, Van D, et al. The community's attitude towards swine flu and pandemic influenza. Med J Aust 2009;191(5):267–9.
- [10] Allahwala UK, Denniss AR, Zaman S, Bhindi R. Cardiovascular disease in the post-COVID-19 era - the impending tsunami? Heart Lung Circ 2020;29(6):809–11.
- [11] Tam CF, Cheung KS, Lam S, Wong A, Yung A, Sze M, et al. Impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak on outcome of

-

myocardial infarction in Hong Kong, China. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/ccd.28943.

- [12] Lo STH, Yong AS, Sinhal A, Shetty S, McCann A, Clark D, et al. Consensus Guidelines for interventional cardiology services delivery during COVID-19 pandemic in Australia and New Zealand. Heart Lung Circ 2020;29(6):e69–77.
- [13] Sarathy K, Pitney M, Konecny P, Jepson N. Letter to the Editor: CSANZ Consensus Guidelines for interventional cardiology services delivery during COVID-19 pandemic in Australia and New Zealand. Heart Lung Circ 2020;29(8):1260–1.