

Drug hypersensitivity syndrome induced by sulfasalazine A case report

Dong-Hui Chen, MD^a, Hai-Rong Zhou, MD^a, Yong-Gang Zhang, MD^b, Guan-Yuan Shen, MD^a, Chong Xu, MD^{a,*}, Chun-Li Guan, MD^{a,*}

Abstract

Introduction: Drug hypersensitivity syndrome (DHS) induced by sulfasalazine is a serious systemic delayed adverse drug reaction, which is associated with significant morbidity and mortality.

Patient concerns: A 52-year-old man was hospitalized for developing a rash after 3 weeks of sulfasalazine treatment for ulcerative colitis (UC).

Diagnosis: The patient was diagnosed with DHS based on his drug history, clinical manifestations, and laboratory test results.

Interventions: The patient was administered intravenous glucocorticoids. The patient's condition improved after treatment with human immunoglobulin and antihistamines.

Outcomes: Combination therapy of glucocorticoid and gamma globulin, the whole-body pruritus disappeared, and no new rash appeared. The whole-body rash subsided or turned dark red.

Conclusion: This article describes the diagnosis and treatment process of a case of sulfasalazine-induced DHS and reviews the relevant literature to improve clinician understanding and avoid misdiagnosis and missed diagnosis.

Abbreviations: DHS = drug hypersensitivity syndrome, UC = ulcerative colitis.

Keywords: drug hypersensitivity syndrome, sulfasalazine

1. Introduction

Sulfasalazine is metabolized to sulphapyridine and 5-aminosalicylic acid, which are widely used in the treatment of various autoimmune diseases. Drug hypersensitivity syndrome (DHS) induced by sulfasalazine is a serious systemic delayed adverse drug reaction that typically manifests at 2 to 6 weeks after drug initiation and can be fatal.^[1,2] It features many adverse reactions, including fever, rash, sore throat, muscle soreness, lymphadenopathy, blood dyscrasias, and hepatitis.^[3,4]

Despite the significant amount of data on sulfasalazine-induced hypersensitivity syndrome, the diversity of its treatments has not been well described. Here we report the case of a patient with previously unidentified sulfasalazine-induced hypersensitivity syndrome who was not sensitive to high-dose hormone therapy alone.

2. Ethics and Methods

Written informed consent was obtained from the institutional medical ethics committee of Shenzhen Longhua Central

C-LG and D-HC contributed equally to this study.

This work is supported by the Shenzhen Key Medical Discipline Construction Fund (SZXK063) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (81400255).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

* Correspondence: Chun-Li Guan and Chong Xu, Department of General Practice, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, The Affiliated Central Hospital. All procedures described in this case report involving the patient were performed in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

3. Case presentation

The patient, a 52-year-old man, was hospitalized on November 19, 2021 with systemic erythema and papules for 10 days and fever for 2 days. The patient underwent a colonoscopy on October 18, 2021, was diagnosed with ulcerative colitis (UC), and was started on sulfasalazine. After 3 weeks of treatment, a rash developed. The first scattered red maculopapular rash appeared on his face. The sulfasalazine was discontinued, but the rash did not decrease; rather, it gradually expanded to the trunk and limbs (Figs. 1 and 2). On March 18, he developed a fever with a maximum body temperature of 39.1°C. After loratadine administration, the rash did not decrease, and he gradually developed facial swelling and pain in the preauricular,

Hospital of Shenzhen Longhua District, Guangdong Medical University, Shenzhen, China (e-mail: dr_gcl@163.com, 283636500@qq.com).

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Chen D-H, Zhou H-R, Zhang Y-G, Shen G-Y, Xu C, Guan C-L. Drug hypersensitivity syndrome induced by sulfasalazine: A case report. Medicine 2022;101:33(e30060).

Received: 7 March 2022 / Received in final form: 17 May 2022 / Accepted: 17 May 2022

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000030060

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

^a Department of General Medicine, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, China, ^b Department of Clinical Laboratory, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, China.



Figure 1. Red rash papules on the trunk.



Figure 2. Scarlet rash papules on the limbs.

neck, and groin areas. He denied a history of infectious diseases such as hepatitis and tuberculosis and had no history of food or drug allergies. He was admitted to the hospital with a pulse of 108 beats/min, blood pressure of 120/92 mm Hg, scattered erythema, papules, and pruritus throughout the body. Multiple enlarged lymph nodes were palpable in the bilateral preauricular, anterior neck, and inguinal regions with a soft texture, smooth surface, positive tenderness, and no palpable liver or spleen under the ribs. A cardiopulmonary abdominal examination showed no abnormalities. Laboratory examinations revealed negative results for high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (14 mg/L), anti-O antibody, and anti-nuclear antibody spectrum. Routine blood test results were as follows: white blood cell count, 14.8×10^{9} /L; lymphocytes, 5.15×10^{9} /L; neutrophils, $7.86 \times 10^{\circ}/L$; monocytes, $0.99 \times 10^{\circ}/L$; eosinophils, $0.7 \times 10^{\circ}/L$; basophils, 0.09×10^{9} /L; and alanine aminotransferase, 76 U/L. Color Doppler ultrasonography was used to examine the lymph nodes of the bilateral neck, supraclavicular, and groin with a largest diameter of 2.6 cm.

After hospitalization, intravenous methylprednisolone 80 mg, orally disintegrating loratadine tablet 10 mg at night, glycyrrhizin capsules 150 mg 3 times a day, and hydrocortisone butyrate topical was administered. After 3 days, the rash did not subside; rather, it gradually increased. On March 21, gamma globulin 10g/d was added to the treatment plan, and no new rash appeared for 2 consecutive days. On March 23, the gamma globulin was increased to 25 g/d for 5 consecutive days, and the color of the patient's whole-body rash gradually darkened and subsided, while his swollen lymph nodes gradually subsided. After discontinuation of the gamma globulin therapy, the patient continued taking methylprednisolone, which was gradually reduced to 28 mg orally for 5 days. He was then discharged from the hospital on oral methylprednisolone 12 mg. After discharge, the whole-body pruritus disappeared, and no new rash appeared. The whole-body rash subsided or turned dark red.

4. Discussion

Sulfasalazine is widely used in the treatment of UC,^[5] and clinicians should pay special attention to its possible serious systemic adverse reactions, of which 10% of DHS cases can be fatal.^[6,7] DHS is a rare adverse reaction that can be life-threatening in severe cases. One of its important features is a long incubation period, and symptoms usually appear 2 to 6 weeks after sensitization.^[8-10] The early rash manifests as scattered red macules and maculopapular rashes that usually first appears on the face and then extended to the trunk and limbs. The rash gradually increases from top to bottom. Patients often present with itchy skin, fever, facial swelling, and generalized lymphadenopathy. The rash first appears on the distal limbs and then on the trunk and face. Unlike general drug eruptions, the rash does not subside quickly after discontinuation of the sensitizing drug, and patients may require further care when hormone therapy is discontinued prematurely.[11-13]

The exact pathogenesis of DHS is currently unclear, but it is generally believed to be mediated by CD8+ T cells.^[14] Genetic susceptibility, immune status, reactivation of latently infected virus, and other factors are related, and how these factors interact is currently unclear.^[8,15,16] However, uniformly recognized worldwide diagnostic criteria are lacking. The diagnostic criteria in Europe and Japan are widely used in clinical practice,[17-19] that is, DHS can be diagnosed according to the characteristics of the Chinese population as follows: delayed-onset rash, more than 3 weeks from medication to rash; swollen lymph nodes at 2 or more sites; body temperature >38°C; visceral damage: alanine transaminase level more than twice the normal value, interstitial nephritis, interstitial pneumonia, or myocarditis; hematological abnormalities including increased or decreased white blood cell count, eosinophil count $\geq 1.5 \times 10^9/L$, or atypical lymphocytes >5%; and recurrence: although the drugs were discontinued and treatment was administered, the disease relapsed or became aggravated. The first 5 criteria can confirm the diagnosis of DHS.[10]

Glucocorticoids and gamma globulin are the main drugs used to treat DHS. In recent years, early hormone use has been recommended, generally methylprednisolone 1.0 to 1.5 mg/kg/d, the dose of which was gradually reduced after disease control was achieved. Because the pathogenesis of the disease is related to drug excretion, immunity, and reactivation of latent viral infection, the time for the final use of hormones differs widely. It is often necessary to use glucocorticoids for 5 to 10 weeks, and to prematurely stop glucose. Corticosteroid use can also lead to relapse. In recent years, immunoglobulin has been widely used for the treatment of severe drug eruptions. The general dosage was 0.2 to 0.4 g/kg/d for 3 to 5 days. If the effect is not evident, the dosage can be increased to 0.6 to 0.8 g/kg/d. Immunoglobulin can significantly relieve symptoms, reduce the risk of infection, reduce the glucocorticoid dosage, and improve rescue and cure rates.^[7,10,12,20] Therefore, the early use of glucocorticoids and high-dose gamma globulin can reduce patient mortality rates.

Acknowledgments

We thank the patient, who agreed to the publication of his images and clinical information.

Author contributions

Dong-Hui Chen: Design of the study; acquisition and interpretation of data; manuscript preparation and the initial draft; accountable for all aspects of the work. Yong-Gang Zhang: Accountable for all aspects of the work. Zhou Hai-Rong and Guan-Yuan Shen: analysis and interpretation of data; accountable for all aspects of the work. Chun-Li Guan and Chong Xu: design of the study; critical review of the draft and contribution to the writing of the manuscript; final approval of the version to be published and accountable to the accuracy or integrity of the work.

References

- Mennicke M, Zawodniak A, Keller M, et al. Fulminant liver failure after vancomycin in a sulfasalazine-induced DRESS syndrome: fatal recurrence after liver transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2009;9:2197–202.
- [2] Ribe J, Benkov KJ, Thung SN, et al. Fatal massive hepatic necrosis: a probable hypersensitivity reaction to sulfasalazine. Am J Gastroenterol. 1986;81:205–8.
- [3] Poland GA, Love KR. Marked atypical lymphocytosis, hepatitis, and skin rash in sulfasalazine drug allergy. Am J Med. 1986;81:707–8.
- [4] Brooks H, Taylor HG, Nichol FE. The three week sulphasalazine syndrome. Clin Rheumatol. 1992;11:566–8.
- [5] Chinese consensus on diagnosis and treatment in inflammatory bowel disease (2018, Beijing). J Dig Dis. 2021;22:298–317.
- [6] Miyagawa F, Asada H. Current perspective regarding the immunopathogenesis of drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome/drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DIHS/DRESS). Int J Mol Sci . 2021;22:2147.
- [7] Wu X, Yang F, Chen S, et al. Clinical, viral and genetic characteristics of drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) in Shanghai, China. Acta Derm Venereol. 2018;98:401–5.
- [8] Musette P, Janela B. New insights into drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms pathophysiology. Front Med (Lausanne). 2017;4:179–179.

- [9] Shiohara T, Kano Y, Takahashi R, et al. Drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome: recent advances in the diagnosis, pathogenesis and management. Progress Allergy. 2012;97:122–38.
- [10] Tohyama M. Drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome. Nippon Rinsho. Japanese J Clin Med. 2012;70:498–502.
- [11] Ben M' rad M, rad M, Leclerc-Mercier S, et al. Drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome: clinical and biologic disease patterns in 24 patients. Medicine (Baltim). 2009;88:131–40.
- [12] Chen YC, Cho YT, Chang CY, et al. Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms: a drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome with variable clinical features. Dermatologica Sinica. 2013;31:196–204.
- [13] Avancini J, Maragno L, Santi CG, et al. Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms/drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome: clinical features of 27 patients. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2015;40:851–9.
- [14] Niu J, Jia Q, Ni Q, et al. Association of CD8(+) T lymphocyte repertoire spreading with the severity of DRESS syndrome. Sci Rep. 2015;5:9913–9913.
- [15] Drago F, Cogorno L, Broccolo F, et al. A fatal case of DRESS induced by strontium ranelate associated with HHV-7 reactivation. Osteoporos Int. 2016;27:1261–4.
- [16] Cheng CY, Su SC, Chen CH, et al. HLA associations and clinical implications in T-cell mediated drug hypersensitivity reactions: an updated review. J Immunol Res. 2014;2014:565320.
- [17] Shiohara T, Iijima M, Ikezawa Z, et al. The diagnosis of a DRESS syndrome has been sufficiently established on the basis of typical clinical features and viral reactivations. Br J Dermatol. 2007;156:1083–4.
- [18] Kim DH, Koh YI. Comparison of diagnostic criteria and determination of prognostic factors for drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2014;6:216–21.
- [19] Kardaun SH, Sidoroff A, Valeyrie-Allanore L, et al. Variability in the clinical pattern of cutaneous side-effects of drugs with systemic symptoms: does a DRESS syndrome really exist. Br J Dermatol. 2007;156:609–11.
- [20] Chen X, Wang S, Li L. A case of drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome induced by icotinib managed by intravenous immunoglobulin and systemic corticosteroids. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol. 2018;84:350–2.