Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpa

Original Article

# Structural characterization of monoterpene indole alkaloids in ethanolic extracts of *Rauwolfia* species by liquid chromatography with quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry<sup> $\star$ </sup>

Sunil Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Awantika Singh<sup>a,b</sup>, Vikas Bajpai<sup>a,b</sup>, Mukesh Srivastava<sup>b,c</sup>, Bhim Pratap Singh<sup>d</sup>, Brijesh Kumar<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow 226031, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>b</sup> Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), New Delhi 110025, India

<sup>c</sup> Biometry and Statistics Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow 226031, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Mizoram University, Tanharil, 796004 Aizawl, Mizoram, India

# ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Rauwolfia species Monoterpene indole alkaloids (MIAs) HPLC–ESI–QTOF–MS/MS Principal component analysis (PCA)

# ABSTRACT

Rauwolfia species (Apocynaceae) are medicinal plants well known worldwide due to its potent bioactive monoterpene indole alkaloids (MIAs) such as reserpine, ajmalicine, ajmaline, serpentine and yohimbine. Reserpine, ajmalicine and ajmaline are powerful antihypertensive, tranquilizing agents used in hypertension. Yohimbine is an aphrodisiac used in dietary supplements. As there is no report on the comparative and comprehensive phytochemical investigation of the roots of Rauwolfia species, we have developed an efficient and reliable liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method for ethanolic root extract of Rauwolfia species to elucidate the fragmentation pathways for dereplication of bioactive MIAs using highperformance liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS) in positive ion mode. We identified and established diagnostic fragment ions and fragmentation pathways using reserpine, ajmalicine, ajmaline, serpentine and yohimbine. The MS/MS spectra of reserpine, ajmalicine, and ajmaline showed C-ring-cleavage whereas E-ring cleavage was observed in serpentine via Retro Diels Alder (RDA). A total of 47 bioactive MIAs were identified and characterized on the basis of their molecular formula, exact mass measurements and MS/MS analysis. Reserpine, ajmalicine, ajmaline, serpentine and yohimbine were unambiguously identified by comparison with their authentic standards and other 42 MIAs were tentatively identified and characterized from the roots of Rauwolfia hookeri, Rauwolfia micrantha, Rauwolfia serpentina, Rauwolfia verticillata, Rauwolfia tetraphylla and Rauwolfia vomitoria. Application of LC-MS followed by principal component analysis (PCA) has been successfully used to discriminate among six Rauwolfia species.

#### 1. Introduction

*Rauwolfia* species, which belong to Apocynaceae family, are widely distributed in Asia, Africa and America [1,2]. Apart from the common *Rauwolfia serpentina*, other species found in India are *R. hookeri*, *R. micrantha*, *R. verticillata*, *R. tetraphylla* and *R. vomitoria* [3]. *Rauwolfia* species have been used for the treatment of hypertension, snake bites, feverish illnesses and insanity from ancient time in Indian System of Medicine (ISM), Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) and Western System of Medicine (WSM) [4–6]. The ethanolic extract of the roots of *Rauwolfia* species has been also used to treat cardiovascular

diseases [7,8], cancer, hypertension [9–12], various psychiatric diseases [1] and snake bites [13]. Dried root powder of *Rauwolfia serpentina* is also used for the treatment of cancer in Ayurveda [14–16]. The *Rauwolfia* species are a rich source of bioactive monoterpene indole alkaloids (MIAs) such as reserpine, ajmaline, ajmalicine, deserpidine, rescinnamine and yohimbine [17]. Reserpine is a powerful antihypertensive and tranquilizing agent used for the treatment of hypertension, schizophrenia, paranoia, breast cancer and Parkinson's disease [18–22]. Ajmaline possesses antihypertensive and antiarrhythmic activities and is also used in high blood pressure [23,24]. Ajmalicine is an antihypertensive drug used for treatment of cardio-

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2016.04.008





CrossMark

<sup>\*</sup> Peer review under responsibility of Xi'an Jiaotong University.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow 226031, Uttar Pradesh, India. *E-mail addresses:* brijesh\_kumar@cdri.res, gbrikum@yahoo.com (B. Kumar).

Received 28 November 2015; Received in revised form 9 April 2016; Accepted 29 April 2016 Available online 07 May 2016

<sup>2095-1779/ © 2016</sup> Xi'an Jiaotong University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

vascular diseases [25]. Serpentine possesses antihistaminase or antihistamine activity and is used in the treatment of snake bites [26–28]. Yohimbine has potential clinical applications in erectile dysfunction and is used as an aphrodisiac in dietary supplements [29,30].

Qualitative and quantitative analyses of MIAs in Rauwolfia species have been carried out using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [31,32], high performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) [3,33], gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) [32], direct analysis in real time mass spectrometry (DART-MS) [5,34], liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) [3,35] and Orbitrap Velos Pro mass spectrometer [36]. LC-MS/MS offers many advantages in the analysis of these types of compounds, due to its speed. sensitivity, specificity and ability to couple with high performance chromatographic techniques [37]. There are only a few reports available for the identification and characterization of MIAs by LC-MS/MS in plant extracts [35,36,38-42]. However, there is no report on the comparative and comprehensive phytochemical investigation of the roots of Rauwolfia species. Therefore, we have developed a simple and specific highperformance liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS) method to establish fragmentation pathways for the identification and characterization of bioactive compounds from ethanolic extract of the root of Rauwolfia species.

#### 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Chemicals and materials

LC–MS grade solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Ultra-pure water was produced by Milli-Q Advantage system (Millipore, USA). AR grade ethanol, purchased from Merck Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany), was used in the preparation of ethanolic extracts. Reserpine, ajmalicine, ajmaline and serpentine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and yohimbine was purchased from Chem. Faces (Wuhan, Hubei, China).

#### 2.2. Plant materials

The roots of *R. hookeri, R. micrantha, R. serpentina, R. tetraphylla, R. verticillata* and *R. vomitoria* were collected from the plants growing under similar conditions in Jawaharlal Nehru Tropical Botanic Garden and Research Institute (JNTBGRI) campus, Kerala, India. The plant materials were collected in September 2012 and the voucher specimens (*R. hookeri*-66449, *R. micrantha*-66450, *R. serpentina*-66451, *R. tetraphylla*-66452, *R. verticillata*-66453 and *R. vomitoria*-66454) were deposited in the Herbarium of JNTBGRI. The roots were powdered, packed in airtight containers and stored at 20 °C until analysis.

#### 2.3. Extraction

The powdered roots (50 g each) were extracted with 250 mL of ethanol. The extractions were performed by initial sonication for 30 min at 30 °C, followed by being kept at room temperature for 24 h. The extracts were filtered through filter paper (Whatman no. 1) and the residues were re-extracted using the same method four times with fresh solvent at room temperature for 24 h. The combined filtrates were evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure at 20–50 kPa at 40 °C using a Buchi rotary evaporator. 1 mg/mL stock solutions of each species of the dried plant extracts were prepared in methanol and filtered through a 0.22 $\mu$ m polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane into the HPLC auto sampler vial prior to LC–MS analysis.

## 2.4. HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS/MS conditions

Analyses were carried out using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system interfaced with Agilent 6520 hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, USA). The 1200 HPLC system was equipped with a quaternary pump (G1311A), online vacuum degasser (G1322A), autosampler (G1329A), thermostatted column compartment (G1316C) and diode-array detector (G1315D).

#### 2.5. Chromatographic conditions

Chromatographic separations were performed using a Thermo BetaSil C<sub>8</sub> column (250 mm×4.5 mm, 5µm) operated at 25 °C employing a gradient elution using 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and acetonitrile (B) as mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min. The elusion consisted of a linear gradient from 25% to 27%; 0–15 min, 27%–37%; 15–18 min, 37%–42%; 18–20 min, 42%–45%; 20–22 min, 45%–48%; 22–25 min, 48–60%; 25–30 min, then returned to the initial conditions after 5 min. The sample injection volume was 1 µL.

#### 2.6. Mass spectrometric condition

The mass spectrometer was operated in positive electrospray ionization mode and spectra were recorded by scanning the mass range m/z 50–1500 in both MS and MS/MS modes. Nitrogen was used as drying, nebulising and collision gas. The drying gas flow rate was 12 L/min. The heated capillary temperature was set to 350 °C and nebulizer pressure at 45 psi. The source parameters capillary voltage (VCap), fragmentor, skimmer and octapole voltages were set to 3500 V, 175 V, 65 V and 750 V, respectively. For the MS/MS analysis, collision energy was set at 30 eV and 35 eV, respectively, for reserpine and ajmalicine classes of compounds whereas 40 eV for ajmaline class of compounds. The accurate mass data of the molecular ions were processed through the Mass Hunter Workstation (version B 04.00) software (Agilent Technology, USA).

# 2.7. Statistical analysis

HPLC–ESI–QTOF–MS data obtained from three repeats of all the samples were subjected to statistical analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on statistical software STATISTICA Version 7.0 (StatSoft, Inc., USA).

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Analysis of standards (templates)

Major bioactive MIAs such as ajmalicine (4), ajmaline (19) serpentine (32), yohimbine (28) and reserpine (44) were selected as templates to construct the diagnostic fragmentation pathways for identification and characterization of MIAs from plant extracts. Yohimbine and reserpine showed fragment ions at m/z 323.1560 and 577.2475, respectively, due to losses of CH<sub>3</sub>OH. Both compounds also showed fragment ions at m/z 337.1912 and 397.2108 due to losses of H<sub>2</sub>O and C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, respectively. Fragment ions at *m/z* 224.1266, 212.1262, 158.0941 and 144.0802 were observed in yohimbine, whereas MS/MS spectrum of reserpine showed fragment ions at m/z 448.1954, 436.1950, 188.1070 and 174.0916 due to retro Diels Alder (RDA) cleavage of the C-ring. The fragment ion at m/z 448.1950 from reserpine gave product ion at m/z 236.1255 due to loss of  $C_{10}H_{12}O_5$ . Similarly, the fragment ions at m/z 224.1266 and 212.1262 gave product ions at m/z 192.1574 and 194.1157 due to losses of CH<sub>3</sub>OH and H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively, in yohimbine (Fig. 1A and B, Scheme 1). The MS/MS spectrum of ajmalicine showed fragmention ion at m/z321.1597 due to loss of CH<sub>3</sub>OH. RDA cleavage produced the fragment ions at *m/z* 222.1111, 210.1130, 158.0964 and 144.0784. The product ion at m/z 190.1114 was formed by loss of CH<sub>3</sub>OH from the ion at m/z222.1111 (Fig. 1C and Scheme 2). Successive losses of H<sub>2</sub>O gave rise to the ions at m/z 309.1991 and 291.1881 in ajmaline. RDA cleavage of the C-ring gave characteristic fragment ions at m/z 170.0955,



Fig. 1. MS/MS spectra of yohimbine, reserpine, ajmalicine and ajmaline.

158.0958 and 144.0806. The fragment ion at m/z 120.0795 was produced by loss of H<sub>2</sub>O from the ion at m/z 138.0911 (Fig. 1D and Scheme 3). The MS/MS spectrum of serpentine showed fragment ions at m/z 317.1290 and 263.0789 due to losses of CH<sub>3</sub>OH and C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, respectively, whereas fragment ions at m/z 289.1314, 235.0826 and 207.0858 were formed due to successive losses of CO (Scheme 4).

#### 3.2. Metabolic profiling using LC-MS

All the six *Rauwolfia* species were cultivated in similar environmental conditions to study phytochemical variations. Ethanolic extract of root was analyzed using gradient mobile phase consisting of acetonitrile and 0.1% aqueous formic acid. Parameters such as column type, column temperature, mobile phase, elution conditions, flow rate and MS conditions were optimized. Base peak chromatograms (BPCs) of ethanolic extracts of *R. hookeri*, *R. micrantha*, *R. serpentina*, *R. tetraphylla*, *R. verticillata* and *R. vomitoria* in positive-ion mode are shown in Fig. 2. Retention time (RT), observed  $[M+H]^+$ , molecular formula, error ( $\Delta$ ppm), major fragment ions and their relative abundance and distribution along with assignment are presented in Table 1. All these compounds were identified based on their exact mass, molecular formula, and fragmentation pattern. A total of 47 known/ unknown MIAs were tentatively identified and characterized. The



Scheme 1. Proposed fragmentation pathways of reserpine class of MIAs.



Scheme 2. Proposed fragmentation pathways of ajmalicine class of MIAs (X: Methyl-14-hydroxy-19-methyl-16,17-didehydro-18-oxayohimban-16 carboxylate).





 $\begin{array}{l} R_1=R_3=R_6=R_6=H, \ R_2=OCH_3, \ R_4=CHCH_3 \ Serendamine \ (2) \\ R_1=R_3=R_6=R_6=H, \ R_2=OH, \ R_4=CHCH_3 \ Hydroxynortetraphyllicine \ (3) \\ R_1=R_6=H, \ R_2=R_5=OH, \ R_3=CH_3, \ R_5=CH_2CH_3 \ Ajmatinol \ (8) \\ R_1=R_2=R_3=R_5=R_6=H, \ R_4=CHCH_3 \ Nortetraphyllicine \ (13) \\ R_1=R_2=R_3=R_5=R_6=H, \ R_4=CHCH_3, \ R_5=OH \ Norajmatine \ (19) \\ R_1=R_2=R_5=R_6=H, \ R_3=CH_3, \ R_4=CHCH_3 \ Tetraphyllicine \ (21) \\ R_1=R_2=R_3=R_5=R_6=H, \ R_3=CH_3, \ R_4=CHCH_3 \ CQ \ Quebrachidine \ (22) \\ R_1=R_5=R_6=H, \ R_2=COH_3, \ R_3=CH_3, \ R_4=CHCH_3 \ Tetraphyllicine \ (23) \\ R_1=C_3=CO, \ R_2=R_5=R_6=H, \ R_3=CH_3, \ R_4=CHCH_3 \ Tetraphyllicine \ (23) \\ R_1=C_3=CO, \ R_2=R_5=R_6=H, \ R_3=CH_3, \ R_4=CHCH_3 \ Tetraphyllicine \ (36) \\ \end{array}$ 

Scheme 3. Proposed fragmentation pathways of ajmaline class of MIAs.

proposed structures of the unknown compounds are shown in Fig. 3. Yohimbine, reserpine, ajmalicine, ajmaline and serpentine were unambiguously identified and characterized by comparison with their authentic standards.

#### 3.2.1. Reserpine class of compounds

Seventeen compounds were tentatively identified and characterized on the basis of their fragmentation pathways of yohimbine and reserpine. Compounds 28 and 44 were identified and characterized as yohimbine and reserpine, respectively, by comparison with their authentic standards. Fragmentation pathways of reserpine class of compounds are shown in Scheme 1. Compounds 1 and 16 were tentatively identified as yohimbine acid and reserpic acid, respectively, which showed characteristic fragment ions at m/z 323.1754 and 383.1965 respectively, due to the losses of  $H_2O$  whereas fragment ion at m/z 369.1809 was observed due to loss of  $CH_3OH$  in compound 16. Compounds 1 (RT 3.4 min) and 11 (RT 6.9 min) gave the same fragment ions with different relative abundances in their MS/MS spectra. Both compounds 1 and 11 were tentatively identified as an isomeric pair. Compounds 16, 18, 27, 29, 38, 40, 41, 43 and 47 were identified as 18-hydroxy-yohmbine, reserptic acid methyl ester, seredine, pseudo-reserptine, raunescine, rescidine, deserptidine and rescinnamine, respectively. Compounds 18, 27, 29, 38, 40, 41, 43 and 47 showed fragment ions at m/z 339.1703, 383.1916, 383.1935, 563.2394, 533.2282, 589.2544, 547.2413 and 603.2779 due to loss of  $CH_3OH$  from  $[M+H]^+$  ions, respectively. Similarly, all these compounds also showed fragment ions at m/z 353.1857, 397.2122, 383.1941, 353.1805, 383.1925, 367.2009 and 397.2085, respectively



Scheme 4. Proposed fragmentation pathways of serpentine class of MIAs.

due to loss of H<sub>2</sub>O (compounds 18 and 27),  $C_{10}H_{12}O_5$  (compounds 38, 40, 43 and 47) and  $C_{12}H_{14}O_5$  (compounds 41 and 47) from  $[M+H]^+$  ions. Fragment ion at m/z 158.0932 was observed in compounds 1, 18, 40 and 43, whereas compounds 16, 27, 38, 40, 41 and 43 showed fragment ion at m/z 188.1045 due to loss of terpene moiety via *C*-ring cleavage followed by bond breaking between C14 and C15. Similarly, compound 29 gave fragment ion at m/z 218.1178. Compounds 1, 18, 40 and 43 provided RDA fragment ions at m/z 144.0794, whereas

fragment ions at m/z 174.0907 (143 Da+OCH<sub>3</sub>) was observed in compounds 16, 27, 38, 41 and 47 due to loss of the terpene moiety via *C*-ring cleavage by RDA followed by bond breaking between C3 and C14. Similarly, the compound 29 showed fragment ion at m/z 204.1029 (142 Da+2OCH<sub>3</sub>) due to loss of terpene moiety. Compounds 38, 40 and 43 showed fragment ions at m/z 195.06 whereas fragment ion at m/z 221.0790 was observed in compounds 41 and 47 as base peak. Compounds 27 and 29 may be positional



Fig. 2. Base peak chromatograms (BPCs) of ethanolic extracts of Rauwolfia species.

## Table 1

Chromatographic and spectrometric characteristics of monoterpene indole alkaloids (MIAs) in ethanolic extracts of six *Rauwolfia* species (root) by high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry.

Compound	RT	Error	Obs. $m/z$	Molecular	MS/MS fragment ions (Relative abundance, %)	Compounds	Distribution						
(peak) no.	(min)	(Δppm)		formula			Roo	t					
							Rh	Rm	Rs	Rt	Rv	Rvm	
Reserpine cla	ISS												
1	3.40	1.49	341.1867	$C_{20}H_{24}N_2O_3$	323.1764 (5), 210.1119 (9), 192.1019 (4), 158.0932 (15), 144.0794 (100)	Yohimbinic acid	+	+	+	+	+	+	
11	6.95	-0.21	341.1865	$C_{20}H_{24}N_{2}O_{3}$	323.1762 (3), 210.1153 (11), 192.1018 (5), 158.0953 (15), 144.0797(100).	Yohimbinic acid (isomer)	+	+	+	+	+	+	
16	7.81	0.03	401.2071	$C_{22}H_{28}N_2O_5$	383.1965 (2), 383.1965 (2), 369.1809 (2), 321.1598 (5), 240.1200 (32), 222.1125 (1), 188 1045 (5), 174 0907 (100)	Reserpic acid	+	+	+	+	+	+	
18	8.20	-0.29	371.1966	$C_{21}H_{26}N_{2}O_{4} \\$	339.1703 (3), 353.1857 (21), 240.1230 (12), 222.1125 (2), 158.0964 (10), 144.0798 (100), 228 1223 (17)	18-Hydroxy- yohmbine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
27	10.75	1.44	415.2217	$C_{23}H_{30}N_2O_5\\$	383.1916 (4), 397.2122 (2), 254.1369 (51), 236.1281 (2), 222.1116 (7), 188.1059 (7), 174.0899 (100) 160.0743 (4)	Reserpic acid methyl ester	-	+	-	-	+	-	
28	10.91	-0.79	355.2019	$C_{21}H_{26}N_2O_3\\$	323.1560 (10), 224.1285(19), 337.1920 (3), 112 1282 (24) 158 0659 (1), 144 0808 (100)	Yohimbine <sup>a</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	+	
29	11.70	1.44	415.2217	$C_{23}H_{30}N_2O_5$	383.1935 (3), 224.1257 (9), 204.1029 (100), 383.1935 (3), 224.1257 (9), 204.1029 (100),	Seredine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
38	20.82	-0.49	595.2651	$C_{32}H_{38}N_2O_9$	434.1748 (15), 383.1941 (30), 351.1773 (5), 222.1110 (10), 195.0631 (100), 188.10 (3),	Pseudo- reserpine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
39	21.20	0.14	563.239	$C_{31}H_{34}N_2O_8$	174.0880 (43) 351.1711 (60), 333.1535 (42), 319.1463 (55), 222.1244 (32), 195.0672 (21), 170.0939 (34), 144.0800 (100)	Reserpine class (unknown)	+	+	+	+	-	+	
40	21.50	0.19	565.2544	$C_{31}H_{36}N_2O_8\\$	533.2282 (2), 434.1714 (3), 353.1805 (57), 321.1559 (5), 222.1115 (5), 158.063 (2), 195.0622 (100) 144.0777(28)	Raunescine	-	+	+	+	+	+	
41	22.90	0.4	621.2805	$C_{34}H_{40}N_2O_9$	589.2544 (2), 460.1930 (4), 383.1925 (11), 351.1703 (3), 221.0794 (100), 222.1115 (98), 188 1070 (5), 174 0804 (19)	Rescidine	+	+	+	-	+	+	
42	23.48	1.45	591.271	$\rm C_{33}H_{38}N_2O_8$	371.1896 (21), 353.1847 (28), 221.0808 (100), 144.0771 (17)	Reserpine class (unknown)	-	-	+	-	-	+	
43	23.80	0.03	579.2702	$C_{32}H_{38}N_2O_8$	547.2413 (12), 367.2009 (79), 448.1907 (1), 335.1730 (24), 236.1262 (10), 195.0649 (100), 144.0817 (38)	Deserpidine	+	+	+	-	+	+	
44	24.31	-0.25	609.2807	$C_{33}H_{40}N_2O_9$	577.2492 (5), 448.1930 (24), 436.1934 (5), 397.2090 (66), 365.1835 (13), 236.1261 (15), 195.0640 (100), 174.0905 (55)	Reserpine <sup>a</sup>	+	+	+	+	-	+	
45	24.54	1.87	607.2634	$C_{33}H_{38}N_2O_9$	367.2017 (17), 335.1765 (11), 236.1272 (7), 221.0820 (100), 206.0577 (10), 190.0621 (14), 144.0815 (35)	Reserpine class (unknown)	+	+	+	-	-	+	
46	25.02	1.78	605.2857	$\rm C_{34}H_{40}N_{2}O_{8}$	369.1805 (20), 221.1805 (100), 206.0598 (11), 190.0618 (27), 174.0910 (40)	Reserpine class	+	+	+	-	-	+	
47	25.22	0.08	635.2963	$C_{35}H_{42}N_2O_9$	603.2779 (1), 474.2066 (5), 397.2085 (22), 236.1281 (2), 221.0791 (100), 188.1070 (2), 174.0881 (11)	Rescinnamine	+	+	+	-	-	+	
Ajmalicine cl	ass												
4	5.52	-0.36	353.1861	$C_{21}H_{24}N_2O_3$	321.1598 (1), 222.1124 (1), 210.111 (7), 144.0808 (100), 158.0964 (2)	Ajmalicina <sup>a</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	+	
6	6.01	0.05	369.1809	$C_{21}H_{24}N_2O_4$	337.1558 (19), 222.1275 (16), 351.1703 (37), 291.1496 (5), 158.0963 (30), 144.0808 (100)	Methyl-14- hydroxy-19- methyl-16,17- didehydro-18- oxayohimban-16 carboxylate	+	+	+	+	+	+	
24	10.52	1.07	413.2076	$C_{23}H_{28}N_2O_5$	397.1763 (3), 323.1560 (3), 222.1106 (28), 218 1176 (18), 204 1005 (100)	Reserpiline	+	+	+	+	-	+	
31	14.81	0.02	413.2072	$C_{23}H_{28}N_2O_5$	397.1766 (10), 323.1560 (2), 222.1125 (29), 204 1005 (100), 218 1176(30)	Reserpiline (isomer)	+	+	+	+	+	+	
33	15.50	0.01	383.1965	$C_{22}H_{26}N_2O_4$	351.1677 (2), 222.1110 (10), 159.0675 (3), 188.1053 (2), 174.0895 (100)	10- Demethoxyre-	+	+	+	+	-	+	
35	17.50	0.23	411.1914	$C_{23}H_{26}N_2O_5$	379.1652 (1), 222.1110 (16), 204.1007 (100), 218.1181 (12) 173.0818 (11)	Darcyribeirine	-	+	+	+	-	+	
Ajmaline clas 2	4.80	0.31	325.1910	$C_{20}H_{24}N_2O_2$	307.1715 (5), 186.0896 (12), 174.0913 (57), 160.0805 (100), 146.0935 (22), 138.0954 (12)	Seredamine	+	+	+	+	+	+	

#### Table 1 (continued)

Compound (peak) no.	RT (min)	Error (Δppm)	Obs. $m/z$	Molecular formula	MS/MS fragment ions (Relative abundance, %)	Compounds	Distribution						
							Root						
							Rh	Rm	Rs	Rt	Rv	Rvm	
3	5.01	0.76	311.1752	$C_{19}H_{22}N_2O_2$	293.1752 (2), 172.0763 (9), 160.0768 (21), 146.0960 (100), 136.0791 (10)	Hydroxynorte- traphyllicine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
8	6.55	1.4	343.2005	$C_{20}H_{27}N_2O_3\\$	325.1927 (8), 307.1830 (15), 186.0906 (54), 174.0917 (60), 160.0766 (22), 138.0912 (100)	Ajmalinol	+	+	+	+	+	+	
9	6.60	0.09	313.191	$C_{19}H_{24}N_{2}O_{2}$	295.1806 (5), 196.1123 (21), 156.0813 (16), 144.0821 (55), 130.0664 (100)	Norajmaline	+	+	+	+	+	+	
13	7.45	1.52	503.2386	$C_{26}H_{34}N_2O_3$	341.1898 (10), 323.1752 (62), 309.1595 (27), 291.1487 (100)	Hydoxysereda- mine- <i>O</i> - hexoside	+	+	+	+	-	+	
14	7.52	1.26	295.1801	$C_{19}H_{22}N_2O$	277.1666 (4), 156.0799 (7), 144.0811 (27), 138.0474 (47), 130.0656 (100), 120.0791 (24)	Nortetraphylli- cine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
19	8.91	0.13	327.2067	$C_{20}H_{26}N_{2}O_{2}$	309.1991 (3), 291.1839 (3), 170.0974 (45), 158.0964 (100), 144.0812 (82)	Ajmaline <sup>a</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	+	
21	9.53	0.6	309.196	$C_{20}H_{24}N_2O$	291.1780 (4), 263.1536 (31), 170.0950 (19), 158.0965 (77), 144.0817 (100), 120.0822	Tetraphyllicine	-	+	+	+	-	-	
22	9.84	1.4	353.186	$C_{21}H_{24}N_{2}O_{3} \\$	335.1758 (3), 275.1501 (2), 156.0808 (4), 144.0890 (100), 130.0693 (7)	Quebrachidine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
23	10.11	0.11	355.2017	$C_{21}H_{26}N_2O_3\\$	309.2031 (5), 277.1667 (13), 260.1360 (12), 170.0955 (78), 158.0956 (98), 144.0805 (78)	Hydroxymethyl- seredamine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
36	19.31	0.28	351.2066	$C_{22}H_{26}N_2O_2$	291.1827 (17),182.962 (78), 170.0956 (45), 158.0962 (45), 144.0803 (100), 120.0802 (10)	17-O- Acetyltetraphyl- licine	+	-	+	+	-	-	
37	20.76	0.45	489.2595	$C_{26}H_{36}N_2O_7$	327.2073 (100), 309.1663 (80), 291.1554 (47)	Ajmaline- <i>O-</i> hexoside	+	+	-		+		
Quaternary a 15	llkaloids 7.70	1.25	513.2232	$C_{27}H_{32}N_2O_8$	333.1615 (14), 274.1199 (16), 246.1299 (53), 230.0986 (87), 220.1137 (67), 204.0823 (100), 195.0026 (65)	Tetradehydroyo- himbine-O- hevoside	+	+	+	+	+	+	
20	9.17	0.25	511.2373	$C_{37}H_{30}N_2O_8\\$	349.1548 (100), 317.1326 (24), 289.1313 (10)	Serpentine-O-	+	+	+	+	+	+	
25	10.60	0.85	335.1389	$C_{20}H_{18}N_2O_3$	317.1296 (100), 289.1492 (7), 263.0808 (71), 235.0863 (34), 307.0858 (5)	Serpentine	-	+	+	+	+	+	
26	10.70	-1.54	351.1707	$C_{21}H_{22}N_2O_3$	351.1674 (100), 333.1570 (4), 219.1440 (5), 271.1470 (20), 248.1024 (4), 195.0890 (6), 168.0788 (13)	Tetradehydroyo- himbine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
32	15.40	0.47	349.1547	$C_{21}H_{20}N_2O_3\\$	317.1290 (66), 289.1314 (7), 263.0796 (100), 235.0826 (34), 307.0858 (6)	Serpentine <sup>a</sup>	+	+	+	+	-	+	
34	16.62	0.45	685.3375	$C_{42}H_{45}N_4O_5$	653.3131 (13), 435.1947 (23), 403.1516 (3), 349.1235 (2), 375.1701 (23), 251.1558 (7)	Serpentinine	+	+	+	-	-	-	
Other compo	ounds												
5	5.93	1.47	343.2015	$C_{20}H_{26}N_2O_3$	326.1972 (47), 307.1794 (16), 182.0968 (57), 170.0945 (36), 158.0962 (81), 144.0795 (100), 132.0757 (11)	Rauvotetraphyl- line A	+	+	+	+	+	+	
7	6.33	1.25	313.1917	$C_{19}H_{24}N_{2}O_{2} \\$	295.1845 (7), 144.0819 (70), 138.0933 (100), 122.0869 (61)	Dihydroperak- sine	+	+	+	+	+	+	
10	6.90	0.89	311.1755	$C_{19}H_{22}N_{2}O_{2} \\$	293.1641 (8), 156.0808 (17), 144.0804 (52), 138.0919 (10), 130.0650 (100), 120.0805 (28)	Norajmalidine	-	+	+	+	-	-	
12 17	7.12 8.02	0.12 0.15	513.2231 309 1598	C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>32</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	351.1994 (100), 291.1480 (16) 291 1492 172 0782 (24) 160 0757 (51)	Raucaffricine Normitoridine	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	
-,	0.02	0.10	55511070	019112011202	146.0612 (100), 172.0782 (24), 136.0780 (38), 120.0803(15)								
30	12.04	0.74	429.2018	$C_{23}H_{28}N_2O_6$	397.1781 (87), 369.1759 (10), 339.1340 (14), 220.0972 (100), 210.1124 (35), 205.0732 (9), 189.0772 (21), 178.0845 (13), 150.0913 (21)	Carapanaubine	+	+	+	-	+	+	

<sup>a</sup> Matched with the standards. Rh:R. hookeri; Rm: R. micrantha; Rs: R. serpentina; Rt: R. tetraphylla; Rv: R. verticillata; Rvm: R. vomitoria. RT: Retention time; Obs: observed.

isomers distinguished by RDA fragment ions. Compound 27 showed fragment ions at m/z 174.0899, 188.1059 and 254.1369 whereas fragment ions at m/z 204.1029, 218.1176 and 224.1257 were observed in compound 29. Fragments of compounds 27 and 29 showed 30 Da difference indicating -OCH<sub>3</sub> group on terpene and indole moiety, respectively (Fig. 4). The characteristic RDA fragment ions at m/z 210.1119 (compounds 1), 240.1200 (compounds 16), 254.1369 (compound 27), 224.1257 (compound 29), 434.1748 (compounds 38 and 40), 460.1930 (compound 41), 448.1907 (compound 43) and 474.2066 (compound 47) were observed due to losses of indole moiety via RDA

cleavage followed by bond cleavage between C2-C3. Fragment ion at m/z 222.1110 was observed due to loss of H<sub>2</sub>O (compounds 16), C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (compounds 38 and 40) and C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (compound 41) from fragment ions at m/z 240.1200, 434.1748 and 460.1930, respectively. Similarly, compounds 27, 29, 43 and 47 showed fragmention at m/z 236.1262 due to loss of H<sub>2</sub>O (compound 27), C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (compound 43) and C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (compound 47) from fragment ions at m/z 254.1369, 448.1907 and 474.2066, respectively. Compounds 39, 42, 45 and 46 may be reserpine class of compounds because all these compounds followed similar fragmentation pathways with the reserpine and



Fig. 4. Structures of isomeric compounds.

provided the characteristic fragment ions (Fig. 3).

#### 3.2.2. Ajmalicine class of compounds

Six compounds 4, 6, 24, 31, 33 and 35 were identified and characterized as ajmalicine, methyl-14-hydroxy-19-methyl-16,17-didehydro-18-oxayohimban-16 carboxylate, reserpiline, reserpiline (isomer), 10-demethoxyreserpiline and darcyribeirine, respectively (Scheme 2). Compound 4 was identified and characterized by comparison with the authentic standard of aimalicine. Compounds 6, 24, 33 and 35 showed fragment ions at m/z 337.1558, 323.1560, 351.1677 and 379.1652 due to loss of  $CH_3OH$ , respectively. Fragment ions at m/z 158.0963 (compound 6), 218.1174 (compounds 24 and 35) and 188,1053 (compound 33) were observed due to loss of terpene moiety via RDA cleavage followed by bond cleavage between C14 and C15. Similarly, the fragment ions at m/z 144.0808 (compound 6), 174.0895 (143 Da+OCH<sub>3</sub>) (compound 33) and 204.1005 (142 Da +2OCH<sub>3</sub>) (compounds 24 and 35) were observed as base peak due to loss of terpene moiety via RDA followed by bond cleavage between C3 and C14. Compounds 24 and 31 may be isomeric pair, showing similar fragment at retention time 10.52 min and 14.81 min with different relative abundances of fragment ions. RDA fragment ion at m/z 222.1124 was observed in all compounds due to loss of indole moiety via RDA cleavage followed by bond cleavage between C2 and C3.

# 3.2.3. Ajmaline class of compounds

Twelve ajmaline class of compounds 2, 3, 8, 9, 13, 14, 19, 21, 22,

23, 36 and 37 were tentatively identified and characterized as seredamine, hydroxynortetraphyllicine, ajmalinol, norajmaline, hydoxvseredamine-O-hexoside, nortetraphyllicine, ajmaline, tetraphyllicine, quebrachidine, hydroxymethylseredamine, 17-O-acetyltetraphyllicine and ajmaline-O-hexoside, respectively (Scheme 3). Compound 19 was identified and characterized by comparison with ajmaline standard. Compounds 2, 3, 8, 9, 14, 21, 22, 23 and 36 showed fragment ions at m/z 307.1715, 293.1752, 325.1927, 295.1806, 277.1666, 291.1780, 335.1758, 309.2031 and 291.1827 due to loss of H<sub>2</sub>O except compound 36 (-CH<sub>3</sub>COOH). Fragment ions at *m/z* 186.0896 [155 Da +OMe] (compound 2), 172.0763 [155 Da+OH] (compound 3 and 8), 156.0813 (compounds 9, 14 and 22) and 170.0974 [155 Da+CH<sub>3</sub>] (compounds 21, 23, and 36) were observed due to loss of terpene moiety via RDA cleavage followed by bond cleavage between C14 and C15. Similarly, fragment ions at m/z 174.0913 [143 Da+OMe] (compound 2), 160.0768 [143 Da+OH] (compounds 3 and 8), 144.0821 (compounds 9, 14, and 22) and 158.09 [143 Da+CH<sub>3</sub>] (compounds 21, 23 and 36) formed due to loss of terpene moiety via RDA cleavage followed by bond cleavage between C3 and C14. All compounds showed RDA fragment ions at m/z 160.0805 [129 Da+OMe] (compounds 2 and 8), 146.0960 (129 Da+OH) (compound 3), 130.0656 (compounds 9, 14 and 22) and 144.0817 [129 Da+CH<sub>3</sub>] (compounds 21, 23 and 36) due to loss of terpene moiety via RDA cleavage followed by bond cleavage between C2 and C3. Compounds 13 and 37 were identified as hydoxyseredamine-O-hexoside and ajmaline-O-hexoside which showed a characteristic loss of 162 Da and gave fragment ions at m/z 341.1898 and 327.2073, respectively.





Scheme 6. Proposed fragmentation pathways of carapanaubine.

# 3.2.4. Quaternary indole alkaloids

Six quaternary indole alkaloids compounds 15, 20, 25, 26, 32 and 34 were identified and characterized as tetradehydroyohimbine-*O*-hexoside, serpentine derivative, tetradehy-

droyohimbine, serpentine and serpentinine. Compound 32 was identified and characterized as serpentine which also matched with the standard. Compound 15 was identified as a hexoside of tetradehydroyohimbine and it showed fragment ion at m/z 351.1674 due to the



Fig. 5. (A) PCA score plot from Rauwolfia species showing discrimination among the *R. hookeri*, *R. micrantha*, *R. serpentina*, *R. tetraphylla*, *R. verticillata* and *R. vomitoria*. (B) Loading plot of the normalized LC–MS data obtained from three samples of each species showing possible ions of interest including those predominantly responsible for the discrimination of *R. hookeri*, *R. micrantha*, *R. serpentina*, *R. tetraphylla*, *R. verticillata* and *R. vomitoria*.

loss of  $C_6H_{10}O_5$ . Fragment ion at m/z 351.1674 gave product ions at m/z 333.1615 and 319.1440 due to further losses of  $H_2O$  and  $CH_3OH$ , respectively. Compound 20 showed fragment ion at m/z 349.1548 due to characteristic loss of 162 Da ( $C_6H_{10}O_5$ ). Compound 25 was identified as serpentine derivative which showed 15 Da lower molecular weight compared to serpentine and followed similar fragmentation pattern. It showed fragment ions at m/z 317.1296 and 289.1492 due to losses of  $H_2O$  and CO, respectively. Compound 34 showed fragment ions at m/z 653.3131, 435.1956 and 251.1546 due to losses of  $CH_3OH$ ,  $C_{25}H_{26}N_2O_5$  and  $C_{17}H_{18}N_2$ , respectively. Fragment ion m/z 435.1956 showed product ions at m/z 375.1732, 349.1235, and 403.1516 due to losses of  $C_2H_4O_2$ ,  $C_4H_6O_2$  and  $CH_3OH$ , respectively (Scheme 5).

#### 3.2.5. Other indole alkaloids

Six indole alkaloids 5, 7, 10, 12, 17 and 30 were tentatively identified and characterized as rauvotetraphylline A, dihydroperaksine, norajmalidine, raucaffricine, normitoridine, and carapanaubine, respectively. Compounds 5, 7, 10 and 17 showed fragment ions at m/z326.1972, 295.1845, 293.1641 and 291.1492, respectively due to loss of H<sub>2</sub>O from precursor. The RDA fragment ions at m/z 158.0962 [143+CH3], 144.0795, 156.0808 and 172.0782 were observed in compounds 5, 7, 10 and 17 due to C-ring cleavage via RDA. Similarly, RDA fragment ions at m/z 130.0650 and 146.0612 [129+OH] were observed as base peaks in compounds 10 and 17, respectively. Compound 12 showed fragment ions at m/z 351.1994 and 291.1480 due to losses of C6H10O5 and C2H4O2, respectively. Compound 30 showed fragment ions at m/z 397.1781, 369.1759, 353,1501 and 339,1340 due to successive losses of CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Fragment ions at m/z 210.1124 and 220.0972 were observed as base peaks due to C-ring cleavage. Further fragment ions at m/z 210.1124 and 220.0972 produced fragment ions at m/z178.0845 and 205.0732 due to losses of CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CO, respectively. Fragment ions at m/z 150.0913 and 189.0772 formed due to losses of CO and CH<sub>4</sub> from m/z 178.0845 and 205.0732, respectively (Scheme 6).

#### 3.3. Identification of markers using PCA

PCA converts a large number of data sets to a smaller number of variables. It produces overall discrimination between the closely related samples for quality control and authentication [43–45]. LC–MS data in combination with a data reduction technique such as PCA serves as an efficient and powerful tool to identify the chemical markers [34,43,44]. The LC–MS chemical fingerprints of *R. hookeri, R. micrantha, R. serpentina, R. tetraphylla, R. verticillata, and R. vomitoria* roots were analyzed by PCA to identify the chemical markers for discrimination amongst these species.

A total of 66 peaks from mass range m/z 179.0708 to 635.2984, peak area ≥1000, were taken from the HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS fingerprints (*n*=3) of roots and PCA was run. The PC1 and PC2 together were able to explain 57.96% of variance information. To obtain the best expression, peaks with lowest contribution were dropped and only twelve peaks at m/z 323.2134 (unknown), 325.1910 (seredamine), 343.2005 (ajmalinol), 327.2067 (ajmaline), 327.2104 (isomer of ajmaline), 355.2019 (vohimbine), 355.2029 (isomer of vohimbine), 349.1547 (serpentine), 383.1965 (10-demethoxyreserpiline), (tetradehydroyohimbine), 413.2067 (reserpiline) and 351.1707 621.2805 (rescidine) were identified as marker peaks which were responsible for discrimination of six Rauwolfia species. Using these chemical markers PCs could explain 80.03% of the variance information as shown in the score and loading plots (Fig. 5). Peak at m/z343.2005 (46.55%) showed a higher contribution followed by m/z327.2104 (33.47%) and 327.2067 (12.28%), respectively. Similar report with contribution of peak area has already been reported in chromatographic fingerprinting and quantitative analysis of Xinkeshu tablet [46]. The PCA plots afforded useful qualitative information of six Rauwolfia species roots and revealed similarities and dissimilarity among them shown in Fig. 5A. It showed that R. serpentina. R. micrantha and R. verticillata were close whereas R. hookeri, R. tetraphylla, R. vomitoria were much apart from each other. R. serpentina is commonly used in herbal formulations and endangered due to over-exploitation [47]. This study showed that R. micrantha and R. verticillata might be used as substitutes for R. serpentina in herbal formulations. Hence, this analysis will also help to use alternate plant on the basis of phytochemical investigation which may conserve the R. serpentina.

# 4. Conclusions

A simple, sensitive, reproducible HPLC–ESI–QTOF–MS/MS method was developed in positive ion mode for the dereplication of MIAs. The diagnostic fragmentation pathways were established with the help of MS/MS spectra or diagnostic fragment ions of standard compounds. Reserpine, ajmalicine, ajmaline and yohimbine classes of MIAs showed two types of fragment ions, namely due to loss of substituents which were attached with the terpene moiety and RDA fragment ions. The established diagnostic fragmentation pathways were applied for identification and characterization of MIAs. 47 compounds were tentatively identified and characterized in ethanolic extract of roots of *R. hookeri*, *R. micrantha*, *R. serpentina*, *R. tetraphylla*, *R. verticillata and R. vomitoria*. Hydoxyseredamine-O-hexoside (13), ajmaline-O-hexoside (37), tetradehydroyohimbine-O-hexoside (15), serpentine-O-hexoside (25) and 4 reserpine class compounds 39, 42, 45 and 46 were identified as unknown/new for the first time. The isomeric compounds reserpic acid methyl ester (27) and seredine (29) were successfully distinguished by MS/MS analysis. The marker peaks were successfully identified by PCA, which can discriminate the *R. hookeri*, *R. micrantha*, *R. serpentina*, *R. tetraphylla*, *R. verticillata* and *R. vomitoria* for quality control and authentication. Present information showed utility of accurate mass measurements which will also speed up dereplication of MIAs.

### Acknowledgments

Grateful acknowledgement is given to Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, India where all mass spectral studies were done. We are also thankful to Dr. K.B. Rameshkumar, Scientist Jawaharlal Nehru Tropical Botanic Garden and Research Institute Palode, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India (JNTBGRI), for providing plant materials. Sunil Kumar is thankful to Council of Scientific Industrial Research, India for providing financial support. CDRI communication number is 9229.

#### References

- N.S. Kline, Use of *Rauwolfia serpentina* Benth. in neuropsychiatric conditions, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 59 (1954) 107–132.
- [2] D.J. Mabberley, Mabberley's Plant-Book: A Portable Dictionary of Plants, Their Classifications, and Uses, Cambridge University Press, London, 2008.
- [3] S. Bindu, K.B. Rameshkumar, B. Kumar, et al., Distribution of reserpine in *Rauwolfia* species from India–HPTLC and LC–MS studies, Ind. Crop. Prod. 62 (2014) 430–443.
- [4] A. Itoh, T. Kumashiro, M. Yamaguchi, et al., Indole alkaloids and other constituents of *Rauwolfia serpentine*, J. Nat. Prod. 68 (2005) 848–852.
- [5] K.P. Madhusudanan, S. Banerjee, S.P. Khanuja, et al., Analysis of hairy root culture of *Rauvolfia serpentina* using direct analysis in real time mass spectrometric technique, Biomed. Chromatogr. 22 (2008) 596–600.
- [6] A. Srivastava, A.K. Tripathi, R. Pandey, et al., Quantitative determination of reserpine, ajmaline, and ajmalicine in *Rauvolfia serpentina* by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography, J. Chromatogr. Sci. 44 (2006) 557–560.
- [7] R. Bhatt, M. Arif, A.K. Gaur, et al., *Rauwolfia serpentina*: protocol optimization for in vitro propagation, Afr. J. Biotechnol. 7 (2008) 4265–4268.
- [8] R.J. Vakil, *Rauwolfia Serpentina* in the treatment of high blood pressure a review of the literature, Circulation 12 (1955) 220–229.
- [9] R.W.P. Achor, O.H. Norbert, W.G. Ray, Hypertension treated With *Rauwolfia serpentina* (whole root) and with reserpine: controlled study disclosing occasional severe depression, J. Am. Med. Assoc. 159 (1955) 841–845.
- [10] G. Lemieux, A. Davignon, J. Genest, Depressive states during *Rauwolfia* therapy for arterial hypertension, Can. Med. Assoc. J. 74 (1956) 522–526.
- [11] R.M. Quetsch, R.W. Achor, E.M. Litin, et al., Depressive reactions in hypertensive patients a comparison of those treated with *Rauwolfia* and those receiving no specific antihypertensive treatment, Circulation 19 (1959) 366–375.
- [12] R.J. Vakil, A clinical trail of *Rauwolfia Serpentina* in essential hypertension, Br. Heart J. 11 (1949) 350–355.
- [13] P. Rathi, R. Kumari, C.S. Rajput, et al., Therapeutic characteristics of *Rauwolfia Serpentina*, Int. J. Pharm. Chem. Sci. 2 (2013) 1038–1042.
- [14] D.L. Bemis, J.L. Capodice, P. Gorroochurn, et al., Anti-prostate cancer activity of a β-carboline alkaloid enriched extract from *Rauwolfia vomitoria*, Int. J. Oncol. 29 (2006) 1065–1073.
- [15] J.L. Stanford, E.J. Martin, L.A. Brinton, et al., *Rauwolfia* use and breast cancer: a case-control study, J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 76 (1986) 817–822.
- [16] O. Wintersteiner, Rauvolfia: botany, pharmacognosy, chemistry and pharmacology, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 7 (1957) 847–848.
- [17] S.E. O'Connor, J.J. Maresh, Chemistry and biology of monoterpene indole alkaloid biosynthesis, Nat. Prod. Rep. 23 (2006) 532–547.
- [18] N.S. Kline, Uses of reserpine, the newer phenothiazines, and iproniazid, Res. Publ. Assoc. Res. Nerv. Ment. Dis. 37 (1959) 218–244.
- [19] O.P. Heinonen, S. Shapiro, L. Tuominen, et al., Reserpine use in relation to breast cancer, Lancet 304 (1974) 675–677.
- [20] J.A. Barsa, N.S. Kline, Use of reserpine in disturbed psychotic patients, Am. J. Psychiatry 112 (1956) 684–690.
- [21] C. Prys-Roberts, R. Meloche, P. Foex, et al., Studies of anaesthesia in relation to hypertension I: cardiovascular responses of treated and untreated patients, Br. J. Anaesth. 43 (1971) 122–137.
- [22] A.H.F.F. Leão, J.S. Aldair, R.S. José, et al., Molecular, neurochemical, and behavioral hallmarks of reserpine as a model for parkinson's disease, new

- perspectives to a long-standing model, Brain Pathol. 25 (2015) 377-390.
- [23] H.J. Wellens, D. Dirk, Effect of procaine amide, quinidine, and ajmaline in the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome, Circulation 50 (1974) 114–120.
- [24] H.J. Wellens, F.W. Bär, A.P. Gorgels, et al., Use of ajmaline in patients with the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome to disclose short refractory period of the accessory pathway, Am. J. Cardiol. 45 (1980) 130–133.
- [25] Q. Pan, N.R. Mustafa, K. Tang, et al., Monoterpenoid indole alkaloids biosynthesis and its regulation in *Catharanthus roseus*: a literature review from genes to metabolites, Phytochem. Rev. 15 (2015) 1–30.
- [26] R.B. Arora, B.R. Madan, Antiarrhythmics. VI. Ajmaline and serpentine in experimental cardiac arrhythmias, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 117 (1956) 62–67.
- [27] M.E. Bierwagen, D.L. Smith, A mechanism of action for antithyroid activity of reserpine, Exp. Biol. Med. 100 (1959) 108–110.
- [28] F. Ciofalo, B. Levitt, J. Roberts, Some aspects of the anti-arrhythmic activity of reserpine, Br. J. Pharmacol. 28 (1966) 44–50.
- [29] D.S. Charney, G.R. Heninger, A. Breier, Noradrenergic function in panic anxiety: effects of yohimbine in healthy subjects and patients with agoraphobia and panic disorder, Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 41 (1984) 751–763.
- [30] K. Starke, E. Borowski, T. Endo, Preferential blockade of presynaptic  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptors by yohimbine, Eur. J. Pharmacol. 34 (1975) 385–388.
- [31] A. Srivastava, A.K. Tripathi, R. Pandey, et al., Gupta, quantitative determination of reserpine, ajmaline, and ajmalicine in *Rauvolfia serpentina* by reversedphase high-performance liquid chromatography, J. Chromatogr. Sci. 44 (2006) 557–560.
- [32] B. Hong, W.J. Li, A.H. Song, et al., Determination of indole alkaloids and highly volatile compounds in *Rauvolfia verticillata* by HPLC-UV and GC–MS, J. Chromatogr. Sci. 51 (2013) 929–930.
- [33] V.E. Klyushnichenko, S.A. Yakimov, T.P. Tuzova, et al., Determination of indole alkaloids from *R. serpentina* and *R. vomitoria* by high-performance liquid chromatography and high-performance thin-layer chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A 704 (1995) 357–362.
- [34] S. Kumar, V. Bajpai, A. Singh, et al., Rapid fingerprinting of *Rauwolfia* species using direct analysis in real time mass spectrometry combined with principal component analysis for their discrimination, Anal, Methods 7 (2015) 6021–6026.
- [35] B. Hong, W. Cheng, J. Wu, et al., Screening and identification of many of the compounds present in *Rauvolfia verticillata* by use of high-pressure LC and quadrupole TOF MS, Chromatographia 72 (2010) 841–847.
- [36] S. Kumar, A. Singh, V. Bajpai, et al., Identification, characterization and distribution of monoterpene indole alkaloids in *Rauwolfia* species by Orbitrap Velos pro mass spectrometer, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 118 (2015) 183–194.
- [37] M.D. Hernando, C.M. Ferrer, J.F. Ulaszewska, et al., Application of high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry with a quadrupole/ linear ion trap instrument for the analysis of pesticide residues in olive oil, Anal. Biochem. 389 (2007) 1815–1831.
- [38] J. Sun, A. Baker, P. Chen, Profiling the indole alkaloids in yohimbe bark with ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled with ion mobility quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 25 (2011) 2591–2602.
- [39] S. Sagi, B. Avula, Y.H. Wang, et al., Quantification and characterization of alkaloids from roots of *Rauwolfia serpentina* using ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-photo diode array-mass spectrometry, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 408 (2016) 177–190.
- [40] J. Liu, Y. Liu, Y.J. Pan, et al., Determination of alkaloids in *Catharanthus roseus* and *Vinca minor* by high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, Anal. Lett. (2015). http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/ 00032719.2015.1094664.
- [41] S. Uhlig, W. Egge-Jacobsen, T. Vrålstad, et al., Indole–diterpenoid profiles of *Claviceps paspali* and *Claviceps purpurea* from high-resolution Fourier transform Orbitrap mass spectrometry, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 28 (2014) 1621–1634.
- [42] Q. Chen, W. Zhang, Y. Zhang, et al., Identification and quantification of active alkaloids in *Catharanthus roseus* by liquid chromatography–ion trap mass spectrometry, Food Chem. 139 (2013) 845–852.
- [43] J. Ian, Principal Component Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Hoboken, New Jersey, United States, 2002.
- [44] V. Bajpai, A. Singh, K.R. Arya, et al., Rapid screening for the adulterants of *Berberis aristata* using direct analysis in real-time mass spectrometry and principal component analysis for discrimination, Food Addit. Contam. Part A 32 (2015) 799–807.
- [45] G. D'Urso, L. d'Aquino, C. Pizza, et al., Integrated mass spectrometric and multivariate data analysis approaches for the discrimination of organic and conventional strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.), crops, Food Res. Int. 77 (2015) 264–272.
- [46] P. Wang, L. Li, H. Yang, et al., Chromatographic fingerprinting and quantitative analysis for the quality evaluation of Xinkeshu tablet, J. Pharm. Anal. 2 (2012) 422–430.
- [47] N. Sharma, K.P.S. Chandel, Low-temperature storage of *Rauvolfia serpentina* Benth. ex Kurz.: an endangered, endemic medicinal plant, Plant Cell Rep. 11 (1992) 200–203.