Does a Pandemic Preempt Participatory Medicine?

Michael Louis Millenson^{1,2}, BA

¹Health Quality Advisors LLC, United States
 ²Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, United States

Corresponding Author: Michael Louis Millenson, BA Health Quality Advisors LLC Highland Park, IL United States Email: michael@healthqualityadvisors.com

Abstract

For those of us who believe deeply in a collaborative relationship between patients and doctors, the chaos created by the COVID-19 pandemic has brought an uncomfortable question to the fore: Is participatory medicine still relevant during a pandemic? Drawing liberally upon the Jewish tradition of Talmudic reasoning, I would like to offer 3 considered replies: "Yes," "no," and "it depends." Sometimes, patients may have no choice but to cede control to medical professionals, even though patients are still the experts on their own lives. Other times, the shared control of participatory medicine is both an ethical and clinical imperative. However, as the worldwide toll exacted by COVID-19 has made us grimly aware, no one is really in control. That is why, in these uncertain times, the path forward requires maintaining mutual trust between health care providers and patients, whatever the circumstances. After all, it is our bodies and our selves at stake.

(J Participat Med 2020;12(4):e23860) doi: 10.2196/23860

KEYWORDS

participatory medicine; COVID-19; pandemic; Fitbit; DETECT study, Body Politic; wearables; sensors; patient-generated health data; shared decision making

Consider the following scenario: you are at home with your significant other, and that low-grade fever you have been running is rising. You have also developed a persistent cough, and you are losing your sense of smell. In a panic, you phone your doctor, who tells you to go immediately to a special area set aside for patients with COVID-19 by your local hospital. He will call ahead.

"Thank you so much, doctor," you blurt out. Yet even in your dazed state, you know there are a few more questions you just have to ask.

"As an informed consumer," you begin, "I'm wondering if the hospital's online price list includes COVID-19 treatment costs." You add, "I also want to make sure the emergency room physicians understand that I expect to be a partner in the coproduction of my care [1]—you know, full access to my electronic health record, including clinical notes. I prefer an API (application programming interface) readable format [2], but I'm willing to accept a PDF emailed to me every evening."

Your significant other violently grabs the phone and starts apologizing profusely. "I'm really sorry," they tell the doctor. "That must be the fever talking. We're on our way to the hospital right now."

```
http://jopm.jmir.org/2020/4/e23860/
```

For those of us who believe deeply in a collaborative relationship between patients and doctors, the chaos created by the COVID-19 pandemic has brought an uncomfortable question to the fore: Is participatory medicine still relevant during a pandemic? Drawing liberally upon the Jewish tradition of Talmudic reasoning, I would like to offer 3 considered replies: "Yes," "no," and "it depends."

Let us start by expanding on the "no" answer. The term "participatory medicine" [3] contains 2 important assumptions. The first is that the patient and the patient's family (ie, a term used here to include all those the patient chooses to involve in care) are in a position to participate. The second assumption is that this participation adds information of value.

Neither of these assumptions is invariably true. Someone rushed into surgery after a serious accident, for example, is in no position to speak up with a perspective on how the surgeon should close their wounds, nor would it be helpful to engage in shared decision making about staples versus sutures. A drug allergy or similar critical information that a family member or caregiver might provide is, of course, a different matter. Unfortunately, caring for a SARS-CoV-2 infection still too often feels like an emergency. There is extraordinary stress not just

JOURNAL OF PARTICIPATORY MEDICINE

on patients and family, but also on the medical staff, as they, too, worry about getting sick or infecting others [4]. Perhaps most importantly, there is tremendous clinical uncertainty. Participatory medicine may sometimes have to take a temporary back seat to avoid being a harmful distraction. However, there are other circumstances in which participatory medicine could be relevant and constitute the cornerstone of an effective COVID-19 response.

To be clear, the term "participatory" in this context does not mean the kind of public health actions that everyone, infected or not, should be participating in (eg, wearing a mask, practicing social distancing, and washing one's hands). Rather, it refers to the deliberate incorporation of the patient voice into the national COVID-19 response. For instance, in June 2020, the National Institutes of Health launched a data analytics platform, and its usefulness will ultimately depend upon Americans with COVID-19 sharing their electronic health record information. This platform was opened to researchers in September 2020, and it incorporates analytic techniques that are designed to examine everything from potential COVID-19 risk factors to the effectiveness of different therapies [5].

The pandemic has prompted clinicians, employers, and public health officials to ask individuals to self-monitor at different times, such as when they are healthy, if and when they become symptomatic, and during recuperation. Digital tools for web-based symptom checks and consultations are key components of combating the COVID-19 pandemic [6]. As a result, we may be entering a new age of prioritizing digitized, patient-generated health data. This is in essence the mainstreaming of the "e-patient," a term coined by Dr Tom Ferguson to describe individuals who are "equipped, enabled, empowered and engaged in their health and health care decisions" [7].

To give an example of the central role being played by patient-generated health data, Fitbit Inc has developed a COVID-19 symptom tracking service called Ready for Work. It lets employees self-report their symptoms on a Fitbit device and lets employers see worker information on a central dashboard. This helps employees and employers determine when it is safe to return to the workplace. Preliminary data from a nonpeer-reviewed Fitbit study written in mid-August 2020 has suggested that "hospitalization risk can be calculated from self-reported symptoms, and relevant and predictive physiological signs related to COVID-19 may be detected by consumer-wearable devices" [8].

Similar initial results were reported at the end of October 2020 by the Scripps Research Translational Institute in its DETECT (Digital Engagement and Tracking for Early Control and Treatment) study, which involved large-scale epidemiological research on consumers who use a wide range of smart wearables [9]. Additionally, in one estimate, the number of sales for wearables capable of tracking and monitoring COVID-19 symptoms and other conditions will jump from 30 million devices in 2020 to 104 million by 2025 [10]. Clinical uncertainty about COVID-19 has also given birth to online patient support groups, such as Survivor Corps, COVID-19 Recovered - Survivors, and the Body Politic COVID-19 support group. Participation in these support groups now includes tens of thousands of individuals around the globe. As Fiona Lowenstein, founder of one such group, wrote in Vox, "As we wait for institutions to catch up with a new and fast-moving virus, parallel forms of information-sharing via communities, personal stories, and support groups...have become crucial" [11].

Now that we have seen the "yes" and "no" arguments for the relevance of pandemic-era participatory medicine, here is the last answer: "It depends."

Listen for a moment to a woman named Byllye Avery recounting what she learned from fellow patients after a traumatic encounter with the health care system. "If you don't know how to take care of yourself, you are basically ignorant," she wrote. "And health information," she added, "had to be shared within the context of one's life. [There was a] right to have medical information and...patient participation."

That advice was given to Avery nearly a half century ago at a 1971 women's health meeting whose attendees were part of a group that authored the book, "*Our Bodies, Ourselves*". Avery, who later founded the Black Women's Health Imperative, shared her memories in a preface to that groundbreaking book's 25th anniversary edition [12]. As noted in a history of participatory medicine, the movement owes a large debt to feminists [13].

Although it has taken decades, the health care system has finally accepted the principles of participatory medicine as valid. However, putting all these principles into practice may not always be possible, especially at a time when patients, their friends and loved ones, and health care providers are all extraordinarily stressed by the mortal threat of a dangerous and incompletely understood pandemic. Health care, like much of life, is complicated and messy. Evolving circumstances dictate the appropriate response. Nonetheless, whether during a period of pandemic or relative placidity, certain bedrock principles must remain part of the relationship between professionals and patients. These principles include honesty, mutual respect, and the mutual sharing of information. Such sharing needs to continue, even when it means admitting to uncertainty, fear, guilt, or other uncomfortable emotions.

Sometimes, patients may have no choice but to cede control to medical professionals. This decision, though, does not relieve medical professionals of the obligation to listen to patient and family concerns; patients are still the experts on their own lives. Other times, the shared control of participatory medicine is both an ethical and clinical imperative [14]. However, as the worldwide toll exacted by COVID-19 has made us grimly aware, no one is really in control. That is why, in these uncertain times, the path forward requires maintaining mutual trust between health care providers and patients, whatever the circumstances. After all, it is our bodies and our selves at stake.



Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1. Batalden M, Batalden P, Margolis P, Seid M, Armstrong G, Opipari-Arrigan L, et al. Coproduction of healthcare service. BMJ Qual Saf 2016 Jul;25(7):509-517 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004315] [Medline: 26376674]
- 2. Milliard M. HHS publishes final regs on info blocking, interoperability. Healthcare IT News. 2020 Mar 09. URL: <u>https://www.healthcareitnews.com/news/hhs-publishes-final-regs-info-blocking-interoperability</u> [accessed 2020-08-25]
- Frydman G. A patient-centric definition of participatory medicine. Society for Participatory Medicine. 2010 Apr 02. URL: <u>http://participatorymedicine.org/epatients/2010/04/a-patient-centric-definition-of-participatory-medicine.html</u> [accessed 2020-08-26]
- 4. Carmassi C, Foghi C, Dell'Oste V, Cordone A, Bertelloni CA, Bui E, et al. PTSD symptoms in healthcare workers facing the three coronavirus outbreaks: What can we expect after the COVID-19 pandemic. Psychiatry Res 2020 Oct;292:113312 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113312] [Medline: 32717711]
- Announcement: Access to the COVID-19 data analytics platform now open. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services National Institutes of Health. 2020 Sep 02. URL: <u>https://ncats.nih.gov/news/releases/2020/</u> access-to-N3C-COVID-19-data-analytics-platform-now-open [accessed 2020-10-22]
- Fagherazzi G, Goetzinger C, Rashid M, Aguayo G, Huiart L. Digital health strategies to fight COVID-19 worldwide: Challenges, recommendations, and a call for papers. J Med Internet Res 2020 Jun 16;22(6):e19284 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/19284] [Medline: 32501804]
- 7. What is an ePatient? Stanford Medicine Medicine X. URL: <u>https://medicinex.stanford.edu/what-is-an-epatient-2/</u>[accessed 2020-11-23]
- 8. Natarajan A, Su HW, Heneghan C. Assessment of physiological signs associated with COVID-19 measured using wearable devices. medRxiv. Preprint posted online on August 16, 2020. [doi: 10.1101/2020.08.14.20175265]
- 9. Hale C. Scripps researchers find COVID-19 signals in daily activity data from Fitbits, smartwatches. Fierce Biotech. 2020 Oct 30. URL: <u>https://www.fiercebiotech.com/medtech/</u>
- scripps-researchers-find-covid-19-signals-daily-activity-data-from-fitbits-smartwatches [accessed 2020-11-02]
 Bay O. COVID-19 pandemic impact: 30 million healthcare wearables shipments in 2020 will track, monitor, and help fight virus progression. ABIresearch. 2020 Apr 28. URL: https://www.abiresearch.com/press/
- covid-19-pandemic-impact-30-million-healthcare-wearables-shipments-2020-will-track-monitor-and-help-fight-virus-progression/ [accessed 2020-08-26]
- 11. Lowenstein F. My coronavirus survivor group is my most important medical support right now. Vox. 2020 May 21. URL: https://www.vox.com/2020/5/21/21264946/coronavirus-survivors-stories-symptoms-tips [accessed 2020-08-26]
- 12. Boston Women's Health Book Collective. The New Our Bodies, Ourselves: A Book By and For Women 25th Anniversary Edition. New York: Simon & Schuster; 1992:8-9.
- Millenson ML. Spock, feminists, and the fight for participatory medicine: A history. J Particip Med 2011 Jun 21;3:e27 [FREE Full text]
- deBronkart D. How the e-patient community helped save my life: an essay by Dave deBronkart. BMJ 2013 Apr 02;346:f1990.
 [doi: <u>10.1136/bmj.f1990</u>] [Medline: <u>23550048</u>]

Abbreviations

API: application programming interface **DETECT:** Digital Engagement and Tracking for Early Control and Treatment

Edited by S Woods, D van Leeuwen; submitted 26.08.20; peer-reviewed by G Baumblatt, J Spitulnik; comments to author 12.10.20; revised version received 13.10.20; accepted 03.11.20; published 14.12.20

<u>Please cite as:</u> Millenson ML Does a Pandemic Preempt Participatory Medicine? J Participat Med 2020;12(4):e23860 URL: <u>http://jopm.jmir.org/2020/4/e23860/</u> doi: <u>10.2196/23860</u> PMID:



JOURNAL OF PARTICIPATORY MEDICINE

©Michael Louis Millenson. Originally published in Journal of Participatory Medicine (http://jopm.jmir.org), 14.12.2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in Journal of Participatory Medicine, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://jopm.jmir.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.