

Characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* HC8, a novel plant-beneficial endophytic strain from giant hogweed

Natalia Malfanova,^{1,2} Faina Kamilova,^{1†}
Shamil Validov,^{1‡} Andrey Shcherbakov,²
Vladimir Chebotar,² Igor Tikhonovich² and
Ben Lugtenberg^{1*}

¹Leiden University, Institute of Biology, Sylvius Laboratory, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands.

²All-Russian Research Institute for Agricultural Microbiology (ARRIAM), Saint-Petersburg-Pushkin, Russia.

Summary

Thirty endophytic bacteria were isolated from various plant species growing near Saint-Petersburg, Russia. Based on a screening for various traits, including plant-beneficial properties and DNA fragment patterns, potential siblings were removed. The remaining isolates were taxonomically identified using 16S rDNA sequences and potential human and plant pathogens were removed. The remaining strains were tested for their ability to promote radish root growth and to protect tomato plants against tomato foot and root rot. One strain, *Bacillus subtilis* HC8, isolated from the giant hogweed *Heracleum sosnowskyi* Manden, significantly promoted plant growth and protected tomato against tomato foot and root rot. Metabolites possibly responsible for these plant-beneficial properties were identified as the hormone gibberellin and (lipo)peptide antibiotics respectively. The antibiotic properties of strain HC8 are similar to those of the commercially available plant-beneficial strain *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. However, thin layer chromatography profiles of the two strains differ. It is speculated that endophytes such as *B. subtilis* HC8 contribute to the fast growth of giant hogweed.

Received 20 October, 2010; accepted 13 January, 2011. *For correspondence. E-mail b.j.lugtenberg@biology.leidenuniv.nl; Tel. +31 629021472; Fax +31 0715274900. Present addresses: [†]Koppert Biological Systems, Veilingweg 14, P.O.Box 155, 2650AD Berkel en Rodenrijs, The Netherlands; [‡]Federal Centre of Toxicology, Radiation and Biological Security, Nauchnyi gorodok, 2, 420075, Kazan, Tatarstan Republic, Russia.

Introduction

Bacteria that associate with plants include rhizobacteria, epiphytic bacteria and endophytic bacteria. Endophytic bacteria are defined as bacteria that can be detected within the tissues of apparently healthy plants (Schulz and Boyle, 2006). Although the majority of research on plant-associated bacteria has been focused on rhizobacteria, interest in the diversity and role of endophytic bacteria is increasing. The main reason for the interest in endophytes is the realization that, if these bacteria can be reintroduced in the endophytic stage, a more stable relationship can be established between plant-beneficial endophytic bacteria and plants than for rhizospheric or epiphytic bacteria and plants. Therefore, endophytes with the plant-beneficial traits are potentially excellent plant growth promoters and/or biological control agents for sustainable crop production (Di Fiore and Del Gallo, 1995; Strobel, 2006).

The best studied host plants of bacterial endophytes are species of agricultural importance, such as rice (Baldani *et al.*, 2000; Okunishi *et al.*, 2005), maize (McInroy and Kloepper, 1995; Rijavec *et al.*, 2007), cotton (Misaghi and Donndelinger, 1990; McInroy and Kloepper, 1995), potato (Sturz *et al.*, 1998; Krechel *et al.*, 2002) and sugar cane (Rennie *et al.*, 1982; James and Olivares, 1997). The most common taxa of isolated heterotrophic endophytes include *Bacillus* (Bai *et al.*, 2003), *Enterobacter* (Torres *et al.*, 2008), *Pseudomonas* (Reiter *et al.*, 2003; Rai *et al.*, 2007), *Serratia* (Gyaneshwar *et al.*, 2001; Berg *et al.*, 2005) and *Streptomyces* (Sessitsch *et al.*, 2002; Coombs and Franco, 2003).

It is assumed that bacterial endophytes use the same mechanisms of biological control and plant growth promotion as their rhizospheric counterparts (Berg and Hallmann, 2006). Widely recognized mechanisms of biocontrol mediated by plant growth-promoting microbes are antibiosis (Thomashow and Weller, 1995; Chin-A-Woeng *et al.*, 1998; Haas and Défago 2005; Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009), induced systemic resistance (Van Peer *et al.*, 1991; Kloepper *et al.*, 2004; Van Loon, 2007), competition for niches and nutrients (Kamilova *et al.*, 2005; Validov, 2007) and predation and parasitism (Ordentlich *et al.*, 1998; Harman *et al.*, 2004).

Beneficial bacterial endophytes that use the above-mentioned mechanisms of biocontrol include: (i) *Bacillus* sp. CY22, which produces the antibiotic iturin A and suppresses root rot of balloon flower caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (Cho *et al.*, 2003), (ii) *Bacillus pumilus* SE 34, which induces systemic resistance against *Fusarium* wilt of tomato (Benhamou *et al.*, 1998) and (iii) *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, carrying the chitinase-encoding gene *chiA*, which is able to control the phytopathogenic fungus *R. solani* on bean seedlings (Downing and Thomson, 2000). In addition to protecting against pathogens, a number of endophytic bacteria is supposed to promote plant growth directly by the production and/or modulation of plant hormones (Bastian *et al.*, 1998; Spaepen *et al.*, 2009), by fixing atmospheric nitrogen (Baldani *et al.*, 2000; Oliveira *et al.*, 2002) and by solubilization of bound phosphates (Verma *et al.*, 2001; Kuklinsky-Sobral *et al.*, 2004). Using these mechanisms, some endophytic bacteria can significantly contribute to the growth of plants on low-fertility soils (Sevilla *et al.*, 2001).

The main aims of this study are: (i) to collect different endophytic bacteria from different plants, (ii) to screen these bacteria for a number of plant-beneficial traits, such as secretion of the exo-enzymes chitinase, cellulase, β -glucanase and protease, and production of hormones and antifungal metabolites, (iii) to test the selected potentially beneficial strains for their abilities to promote growth of radish and to control tomato foot and root rot (TFRR) caused by the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* (Forl) and (iv) to evaluate the putative compounds responsible for the plant growth promotion and antifungal activities of (a) selected endophytic strain(s). The results are reported in this paper.

Results and discussion

Isolation and preliminary characterization of endophytic bacteria

Procedures of chemical sterilization of plant parts from different plants were developed (Table 1) to kill non-endophytic microorganisms. Validation of the surface sterilization procedure was done by culturing aliquots of water from the last rinsing onto nutrient media. Bacterial growth was never detected on such control plates, indicating the efficiency of the developed sterilization protocols.

A total of 30 morphologically different strains was chosen from a larger collection of isolates obtained after plating plant juices on 1/20 TSA. The strategy described by Validov and colleagues (2007) was used for the elimination of siblings and potential pathogens. To eliminate siblings, the 30 strains were compared for their motility, their amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis patterns and production of the exo-enzymes chitinase, cellulase, β -glucanase and protease. Strains originating from the same sample that were indistinguishable with respect to these mentioned traits were considered as likely siblings. Eighteen isolates were removed from the collection as possible siblings. This left us with 12 strains for further analysis.

Characterization of potential plant-beneficial traits

The 12 remaining strains were screened for their antagonistic activity towards four phytopathogens, their ability to produce auxin, their growth on ACC as the sole N-source and their ability to solubilize bound phosphates (Table 2).

Three strains, namely BT18, HC8 and MZ3, show strong antifungal activity against all four tested patho-

Table 1. Origin of endophytes and protocols for surface sterilization of plant samples.^a

Host plant	Part of isolation ^b	Sterilization procedure ^c
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L. (beet)	Beetroot	A:
<i>Daucus carota</i> L. (carrot)	Taproot	1. tap water for 30 s
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> L. (tomato)	Fruit	2. 70% ethanol for 5 min
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (potato)	Tuber	3. 15% H ₂ O ₂ for 10 min
<i>Heracleum sosnowskyi</i> Manden ^d (hogweed)	Stem	4. sterile water 2 min \times 5
		B:
		1. 70% ethanol for 10 min
		2. 15% H ₂ O ₂ for 15 min
		3. sterile water 2 min \times 5
<i>Panicum miliaceum</i> (millet) ^d	Stem	C:
<i>Zea mays</i> L. (maize) ^d	Stem	1. tap water for 30 s
		2. 70% ethanol for 7 min
		3. 15% H ₂ O ₂ for 10 min
		4. sterile water 2 min \times 5

a. Plant samples were collected from experimental fields of St-Petersburg suburbs.

b. The disinfected plant samples were crushed with a pestle in a mortar under sterile conditions.

c. Validation of the surface sterilization procedure was done by culturing aliquots of water from the last rinsing onto nutrient medium. Bacterial growth was never detected on control plates.

d. Plants were analysed at the stage of flowering.

Table 2. Overview of potential plant-beneficial traits of the selected endophytic strains.^a

Strain	Host plant	Antifungal activity ^b	Exo-enzymes ^c	Auxin ^{d/e}	ACC/PO ₄ ^g
BT18	<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L. (beet)	A, Forl, Fs, Pu	C, βG, P	-/-	-/-
CAR2	<i>Daucus carota</i> L. (carrot)	-	C, βG	+++/-	-/-
HC2	<i>Heracleum</i> sp. (hogweed)	-	-	+/+	-/+
HC8	<i>Heracleum</i> sp. (hogweed)	A, Forl, Fs, Pu	C, βG, P	-/-	-/-
ML15	<i>Panicum miliaceum</i> (millet)	Pu	P	+/-	-/-
ML16	<i>Panicum miliaceum</i> (millet)	-	C, βG	+++/-	-/-
TM1	<i>L. esculentum</i> L. (tomato)	-	-	+++/+	-/-
TM2	<i>L. esculentum</i> L. (tomato)	-	-	-/-	-/-
PT19	<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (potato)	-	P	-/-	-/-
PT20	<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L. (potato)	-	-	-/-	-/-
MZ3	<i>Zea mays</i> L. (maize)	A, Forl, Fs, Pu	C, βG, P	-/-	-/-
MZ4	<i>Zea mays</i> L. (maize)	-	-	-/-	-/+

a. After elimination of siblings.

b. A, *Aspergillus niger*; Forl, *Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. radicis-lycopersici*; Fs, *Fusarium solani*; Pu, *Pythium ultimum*.

c. C, cellulase; βG, β-glucanase; P, protease.

d. Auxin level after growth in medium supplemented with tryptophan: +++ > 60 μg ml⁻¹, ++ > 30 μg ml⁻¹, + > 10 μg ml⁻¹, - < 10 μg ml⁻¹.

e. Auxin level after growth in medium without tryptophan: + > 10 μg ml⁻¹, - < 10 μg ml⁻¹.

f. ACC, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate.

g. Solubilization of bound phosphates.

gens. These strains also have cellulase, glucanase and protease activity. Strain ML15 is antagonistic only towards *Pythium ultimum* and does not secrete cellulases and glucanases. None of the strains showed chitinase activity.

Two strains, HC2 and TM1, produce detectable amounts of auxins in the presence and absence of tryptophan in the medium. The level of auxin secreted by strain HC2 is less than 30 μg ml⁻¹. In the case of TM1, the auxin level in the media without and with tryptophan is less than 30 μg ml⁻¹ and higher than 60 μg ml⁻¹ respectively. Three strains, namely CAR2, ML15 and ML16, produce different auxin levels and only in the medium supplemented with tryptophan.

None of the 12 strains was able to utilize ACC as the sole nitrogen source. However, all of them, except ML15 and TM2, showed a poor to good growth on N-free

medium. Two strains, namely HC2 and MZ4, were able to solubilize hydroxyapatite in an *in vitro* plate assay.

Molecular identification of endophytic strains

BLAST searches in the GenBank database using 16S rDNA sequences revealed that the strains belong to different bacterial species (Table 3).

To see whether these strains are safe to be applied in the field as biocontrol and/or plant-growth-promoting strains, we evaluated to which risk group (Anonymous, 1998) they belong. Of the 12 remaining strains as many as six strains belong to risk group 2 (Table 3), indicating a high percentage of potential human and/or plant pathogens among these endophytes. Therefore, they were excluded from further experiments. High levels of poten-

Table 3. Molecular identification of endophytic strains and risk group classification.^a

Strain	Bacterial species and accession number ^b	Phylum	Risk group ^c
BT18	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> HQ667318	Firmicutes	1
CAR2	<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i> HQ667319	γ-Proteobacteria	2
HC2	<i>Rahnella aquatilis</i> HQ667320	γ-Proteobacteria	1
HC8	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> HM441224	Firmicutes	1
ML15	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> HQ667321	Firmicutes	2
ML16	<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i> HQ667322	γ-Proteobacteria	2
MZ3	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> HQ667323	Firmicutes	1
MZ4	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> HQ667324	γ-Proteobacteria	1
PT19	<i>Serratia</i> sp. HQ667325	γ-Proteobacteria	1
PT20	<i>Enterobacter amnigenus</i> HQ667326	γ-Proteobacteria	2
TM1	<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i> HQ667327	γ-Proteobacteria	2
TM2	<i>Kocuria</i> sp. HQ667328	Actinobacteria	2

a. Based on comparison of their 16S rDNA sequences with those in the GenBank database sharing at least 99% homology.

b. All sequences have been submitted to GenBank. Sequences were obtained by sequencing the 5' end using primer 27 fm for HC8 and the 3' end using primer R1522 for all other strains. Sequences are between 600 and 800 bp long.

c. Risk group 1 includes bacteria that are safe to be applied in the field; risk group 2 includes potential human and plant pathogens.




Fig. 1. Plant growth promotion mediated by endophytic bacteria. Seedlings of radish were inoculated with a suspension of bacterial cells except for the control (C) and planted in soil. Each variant consisted of four replicates with five seedlings each. Numbers inside the columns represent the mean fresh weight of the root system scored 31 days after inoculation. Bars indicate confidence interval ($P = 0.05$). The asterisk indicates a significantly different value.

tial pathogens have been found earlier for rhizosphere bacteria (Berg *et al.*, 2005; Egamberdiyeva *et al.*, 2008).

The remaining six endophytic strains were BT18, HC8 and MZ3, identified as *Bacillus subtilis*, HC2 (*Rahnella aquatilis*), MZ4 (*Acinetobacter baumannii*), and PT19 (*Serratia* sp.). All of them have been found earlier as endophytes (Bai *et al.*, 2003; Berg *et al.*, 2005; Torres *et al.*, 2008). Of these, strains BT18, HC8 and MZ3, which possess strong antifungal activity *in vitro* against *Aspergillus niger*, *Forl*, *Fusarium solani* and *P. ultimum* as well as strain HC2, which produces auxin, can be considered as potential beneficial strains.

Plant growth promotion by *B. subtilis* HC8 and possible mechanism of action

Four endophytic strains, namely BT18, HC2, HC8 and MZ3, were tested for their ability to promote the growth of radish plants in non-sterile potting soil (Fig. 1). Radish was chosen as the model plant because its roots secrete a high level of tryptophan (Kamilova *et al.*, 2006), which can be used by many beneficial bacteria as the precursor of auxin. The only tested strain that was able to increase the root weight of radish plants was *B. subtilis* HC8 (Fig. 1). The root weight was chosen because this is the commercially interesting plant part. Strain HC8 significantly enhanced fresh root biomass, with as much as 46% compared with uninoculated control plants. Inoculation with the auxin-producing strain *R. aquatilis* HC2 and with *B. subtilis* BT18 did not show a significant increase of root growth. *B. subtilis* strain MZ3 decreased the root biomass, but not significantly.

One of the mechanisms of stimulation of plant growth by bacteria involves the production of phytohormones, such as auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins. Auxins are known to be essential for plant physiology directly affecting the root and shoot architecture (Spaepen *et al.*, 2009). Because HC8 did not produce auxin in the tested labora-

tory media (Table 2), its ability to produce the plant hormones cytokinin and gibberellin was tested. Indeed, gibberellin but not cytokinin was found to be produced by HC8 (150 ng per 10^9 cells). Previously, microbial production of similar amounts of gibberellins (approximately 200 ng per 10^9 cells) has been reported for *Bacillus licheniformis* and *B. pumilus* (Gutierrez-Manero *et al.*, 2001). Gibberellin is not known to enhance root growth directly (Spaepen *et al.*, 2009). A possible explanation of the results is that gibberellin acts synergistically with another, unknown compound.

Biocontrol of TFRR by *B. subtilis* HC8 and possible mechanism of action

The three *B. subtilis* strains, BT18, HC8 and MZ3, were selected as the best antagonists (Table 2). Therefore, their ability to control TFRR was evaluated. Seed bacterization with only HC8 significantly decreased disease symptoms, from 91% to 42% (Fig. 2A). Significant biocontrol activity of HC8 was also found in a second experiment (Fig. 2B). Although the two other antagonistic strains, MZ3 and BT18, did not show significant biocontrol of TFRR (Fig. 2A) plants bacterized with these strains did show reduced disease severity (results not shown).

For the detection of one or more compounds responsible for the antifungal activity, and therefore probably for biocontrol, the crude methanolic extracts from the dried and acid precipitated supernatant fluid of *B. subtilis* HC8 were profiled on thin layer chromatography (TLC) plates, using iturin A as a reference antibiotic (Fig. 3A). We have also profiled *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 to evaluate the similarity/difference between two beneficial strains.

The iodine-starch pattern of supernatant fluids of FZB42 and HC8 are very similar. Dried supernatant fluids and acid precipitated supernatant fluids had indistinguishable patterns (results not shown). We found for both HC8 and FZB42 major spots in positions *t*, *u*, *w*, *x* and *y*. The Retention factor (R_f) values of these spots shown in Fig. 3A are *t*, 0.10; *u*, 0.16; *w*, 0.21; *x*, 0.23; and *y*, 0.26. Although the two strains produce very similar antibiotic patterns, there are also clear differences, not only in taxonomy. Spot *v* ($R_f = 0.18$) is present in HC8 but always missing in FZB42. In addition, FZB42 lacks spot *z* with the R_f value similar to that of iturin A ($R_f = 0.47$). Spot *s* ($R_f = 0.31$) of strain FZB42 is not visible in HC8 material.

To test which spots are active against *Forl*, we extracted the whole HC8 and FZB42 strips (major and minor spots as well as the regions without visible spots) and checked their antibiotic activity against *Forl in vitro* (Fig. 3B). Also for biological activity dried supernatant fluids and acid precipitated supernatant fluids have indistinguishable patterns. We found for both HC8 and FZB42 that four spots,




Fig. 2. Biocontrol of TFRR in stone wool substrate by endophytic bacteria. Tomato seeds were inoculated with a suspension of bacterial cells except for the control (C) and grown in stone wool plugs with added spores. Each variant consisted of 4 replicas with 30 plants each. Numbers inside the columns present the percentage of sick plants scored 2 weeks after inoculation. Bars indicate confidence interval ($P < 0.05$). Statistically different values are indicated with asterisks. (A) and (B) represent different experiments.





Fig. 3. Evaluation of antifungal metabolites produced by *B. subtilis* HC8 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42.

A. TLC analysis of methanol extract of the supernatant fluids of *Bacillus* strains. The plate was developed in chloroform/methanol/water 65:25:4 (v/v/v) for 2.5 h. For visualization, the developed plate was stained in iodine followed by dipping in 1% aqueous starch. Pure iturin A (It) was used as a reference. HC8, endophytic strain *B. subtilis* HC8; FZB42, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42; $\Delta bmyA$, mutant of FZB42 unable to produce bacillomycin D; $\Delta fena$, mutant of FZB42 unable to produce fengycin; t-z, major spots of the HC8 crude extract; z, likely correspond to iturin; s, fraction likely to contain bacillomycin D; w and t likely to contain fengycin.

B. Antifungal activity of individual fractions of crude extract from *B. subtilis* HC8 towards *Forl* in vitro. t-z, major fractions corresponding to spots in A).

namely t, u (in case of HC8 it was u/v because spot v sometimes migrates very close to spot u, which makes it difficult to analyse them separately), w and x, clearly inhibit the growth of *Forl*. Spot y does not show any antibiotic activity. Interestingly, both spot z of HC8 and spot s of FZB42 had very low antibiotic activities when re-extracted from TLC plate.

Based on R_f values and activity against *Forl*, spot z could be iturin. We used mutant strains of FZB42, which do not produce bacillomycin D and fengycin, to see which FZB42 spots, and possibly HC8 spots, correspond with these antibiotics. The results (Fig. 3A) showed that the fengycin-deficient mutant lacks two spots, t and w, both of which are present in both HC8 and FZB42. These spots are also still present in the fengycin producing mutant strain $\Delta bmyA$ indicating that compounds in positions t and w represent (derivatives of) fengycin. The bacillomycin D lacking mutant $\Delta bmyA$ does produce spot s but in lower amounts than its wild-type strain FZB42, therefore this spot probably contains bacillomycin D. No information on the identity of spots u, x and y from HC8 was generated.

The antibiotics iturin and bacillomycin D belong to the same family of cyclic lipopeptides, which comprises iturins A, C, D and E, bacillomycin D, F and L, bacillopeptin and mycosubtilin (Moyné *et al.*, 2004). Iturins interact with the cytoplasmic membrane of the target cells forming ion-conducting pores (Magnet-Dana and Peypoux, 1994). These antifungals appeared to work synergistically with other lipopeptides, such as surfactins and fengycins. For example, Chen and colleagues (2009) report that the fungicidal activity of FZB42 is due to synergistic action of bacillomycin D and fengycin because without fengycin the antifungal effect of this strain is less profound. This may

explain why the iturin and bacillomycin D fractions almost lack biological activity in our experiments.

Taking together all these data suggest that *B. subtilis* HC8 produces several (lipo)peptide antibiotics, some of them are different from FZB42 and may be important for antifungal and biocontrol activity of HC8.

Do endophytes play a role in the growth of the giant hogweed?

In this study we have isolated the novel biocontrol and plant growth-promoting strain *B. subtilis* HC8 from the giant hogweed *Heracleum sosnowskyi*. This plant can grow in low nutritional environments while reaching a high biomass. This observation has led us to speculate that microbes colonizing the inner plant tissues of *Heracleum* have beneficial traits, which may contribute to its enormous growth. Strain HC8 appears to have the ability to produce a large variety of bioactive compounds that might play a role in biocontrol and plant growth promotion mediated by this strain. Although it was isolated from *H. sosnowskyi*, it is able to promote the growth of radish and reduce TFRR in tomato plants. This will facilitate its application as a bioinoculant. Endophytes from the plant *Heracleum* have never been isolated previously. It may be interesting to evaluate the entire endophytic microbial content of *Heracleum* in more detail.

Experimental procedures

Isolation of endophytic bacteria

Endophytic bacteria were isolated from several plant species. These include four vegetable plants [beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.), carrot (*Daucus carota* L.), potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.)], two grasses [maize (*Zea mays* L.) and millet (*Panicum miliaceum*)], and the weed plant *Heracleum sosnowskyi* Manden. Plants were collected from experimental fields of the All-Russia Research Institute for Agricultural Microbiology (ARRIAM), which is located near Pushkin, Saint-Petersburg, Russia (Table 1).

To isolate endophytes, different surface sterilization procedures were developed (detailed in Table 1), which are modifications of previously published ones (Misaghi and Donndelinger, 1990). Briefly, plant samples were disinfected and subsequently crushed with a pestle in a mortar under sterile conditions. Aliquots of 100 µl of the resulting plant juices were plated on 1/20 tryptic soy agar (TSA, Difco Laboratories, MI, USA) plates. The sterility check consisted of aliquots of water from the last rinsing that were plated on 1/20 TSA. Plates were incubated at 28°C for 3 days. Colonies derived from plant juice were further analysed.

Microbial strains and growth conditions

All isolated bacterial strains were grown in, and maintained on, full strength TSA. Strain *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42

(Idriss *et al.*, 2002), and its mutants AK1 and AK2 (Koumoutsis *et al.*, 2004) were purchased from the *Bacillus* Genetic Stock Center (BGSC, <http://www.bgsc.org/>). Strain FZB42 was used for comparison studies as a known antibiotic-producing *Bacillus* strain. This strain is also commercialized as a biofertilizer, biocontrol and plant growth-promoting agent (RhizoVital, ABITEP, Berlin, Germany). Its two mutants, AK1 ($\Delta bmyA$, defective in the production of bacillomycin D) and AK2 ($\Delta fenA$, defective in the production of fengycin), were used to attempt to localize these antibiotics on TLC plates.

The fungi *A. niger*, *Forl*, *F. solani* and the oomycete *P. ultimum* were routinely cultivated on potato-dextrose agar (PDA, Difco Laboratories). To obtain spores of *Forl* to be used in biocontrol experiments, the fungus was grown in Czapek-Dox liquid medium (Difco Laboratories) for 4 days at 28°C at 150 r.p.m.

For the extraction of antibiotics and gibberellins, strains were grown in Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI, Difco Laboratories, MI, USA). To extract cytokinins and to check the ability of strains to solubilize phosphates, bacteria were grown in minimal medium (MM) containing per litre of distilled water: NH₄Cl, 0.4 g; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.5 g; CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.1 g; glucose, 10 g; yeast extract, 50 mg, and agar, 18 g. For the evaluation of ACC (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate) utilization, bacteria were grown in sucrose-malt extract-yeast extract medium, which has the following composition (weight l⁻¹): glucose, 1.2 g; KH₂PO₄, 0.4 g; K₂HPO₄, 2.0 g; MgSO₄, 0.2 g; CaCl₂, 0.1 g; FeSO₄, 5.0 mg; H₃BO₃, 2 mg; ZnSO₄, 5.0 mg; Na₂MoO₄, 1.0 mg; MnSO₄, 3.0 mg; CoSO₄, 1.0 mg; CuSO₄, 1.0 mg; NiSO₄, 1.0 mg; yeast extract, 50 mg; pH 6.4.

Characterization of exo-enzymes produced by endophytic bacteria

Production of the exo-enzymes cellulase, chitinase, β-glucanase and protease was judged as the appearance of clear zones around the growth of a bacterium on the following solid media. Cellulase activity was tested on 1/20 TSA agar plates supplemented with 1% carboxymethylcellulose (Hankin and Anagnostakis, 1977). Production of chitinase was evaluated on 1/20 TSA agar plates supplemented with 1% colloidal chitin (Wirth and Wolf, 1990). β-glucanase activity was detected on 1/20 TSA agar plates supplemented with 0.1% lichenan (Walsh *et al.*, 1995). Protease secretion was evaluated after growing the strains for 48 h on 1/20 TSA supplemented with 5% skimmed milk according to Brown and Foster (1970).

Characterization of antifungal metabolites produced by bacteria

To test production of antifungal metabolites *in vitro*, a plug of mycelium, 5 mm in diameter, was taken from an actively growing culture on solid medium and stabbed in the centre of a PDA agar plate, which was subsequently inoculated with up to six individual bacterial strains at a distance of 3 cm from the fungus. All plates were incubated at 28°C for 1 week and subsequently scored for inhibition of fungal growth.

The method of Chitarra and colleagues (2002) was used with some modifications to extract antibiotics produced by *B.*

subtilis HC8. Briefly, the strain was grown in BHI medium for 60 h. Subsequently cells were removed by centrifugation at 13 000 r.p.m. for 10 min. The supernatant fluid was divided into two equal parts, one part (100 ml) was freeze-dried and the other was acidified to pH 2.0 with concentrated HCl. The resulting dry biomass and precipitate, respectively, were extracted twice with methanol. The methanolic extract was concentrated by vacuum evaporation, dissolved in methanol and stored at -20°C .

The methanolic extracts were analysed by TLC on silica gel 60 F254 plates with a 20×2.5 cm concentrating zone (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Plates were developed in chloroform/methanol/water 65:25:4 (v/v/v) for 1.5 h at room temperature. After drying, the pattern of compounds on the developed plate was visualized using UV₂₅₄ and stained in an iodine chamber for 5 min at room temperature followed by dipping in 1% aqueous starch. The putative antifungals were preliminarily characterized by their R_f values. Pure iturin A from *B. subtilis* (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) was used as a reference.

To analyse the antifungal activity of the different spots, TLC plates were run in duplicate, one was used for staining and the other one to recover the fractions by extraction. To extract the spots, silica regions were scratched off the plate and were extracted with methanol. The activity of the individual extracts was tested against *Forl* in *in vitro* assay as follows. A plug of mycelium was placed in the centre of a PDA plate and pre-grown for 2 days. Subsequently six wells, 8 mm in diameter, were made in the agar plate at a distance of 1.0 cm from the growing fungus. The bottom of the wells was sealed using melted agar, and each of the wells was filled with 80 μl of an individual extract. Methanol was used as a control. The plates were incubated for 2 days at 28°C and the inhibition of the *Forl* growth was judged. All experiments were carried out at least three times.

To compare the activity and R_f values of the HC8 extract with those of a known antibiotic-producing strain, methanolic extracts of *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 were prepared and profiled on TLC plates as described for strain HC8.

Characterization of bacterial phytohormone production

The production of auxin (IAA, indole-3-acetic acid) was determined as described by Kamilova and colleagues (2005) using Salkowski reagent (Gordon and Weber, 1951). A modified method of Gutierrez-Manero and colleagues (2001) was used for the extraction of gibberellins from the supernatant fluid of *B. subtilis* HC8. Bacteria were grown in 100 ml BHI medium for 60 h at 28°C at 150 r.p.m. Bacterial cells were removed by centrifugation for 15 min at 5 000 r.p.m. and the supernatant fluid was subsequently filtered through a 0.22 μm Millipore filter. Bacteria-free supernatant was then acidified to pH 2.5 with concentrated HCl and partitioned four times with water-saturated ethyl acetate (v/v). The organic phase, containing the gibberellins, was dried by vacuum evaporation and subsequently dissolved in water-saturated ethyl acetate and stored at -20°C . A modified method of Jones and Varner (1967) was used for the evaluation of the biological activity of the crude extract. Briefly, seeds of barley cv. Triumph, 1989 harvest, were transversely cut in half and the embryo part was removed. The embryo-free halves were

then surface-sterilized with 70% ethanol for 2 min followed by 4% sodium hypochlorite for 2 min and several rinses with sterile water. The disinfected half seeds were stored in sterile water at $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 days. For gibberellin assays, 10 half seeds were transferred to a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask with 6 ml of test solution containing: (i) 20 mM sodium succinate buffer, pH 5.3, (ii) 20 mM CaCl_2 and (iii) the sample to be assayed. Chloramphenicol at a final concentration 10 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ was added to each flask to prevent bacterial growth. After incubation for 27 h at 25°C in the dark, 1.0 ml of the solution was added to a tube containing 1.0 ml of starch reagent (Jones and Varner, 1967) and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by adding 1.0 ml of iodine reagent (Jones and Varner, 1967). A volume of 2.0 ml of distilled water was added and, after mixing, the intensity of blue colour was measured at 620 nm. The gibberellin concentration in the crude extract was determined by using a calibration curve with pure gibberellic acid (GA_3) as a standard. The experiment was performed three times.

A modified method of D. Vereecke (pers. comm.) was used for the extraction of cytokinins secreted by *B. subtilis* HC8. Briefly, bacteria were grown in 50 ml MM for 96 h at 28°C and 150 r.p.m. Subsequently, the bacterial cells were removed by centrifugation for 20 min at 10 000 r.p.m. and subsequently filtering the supernatant through a 0.22 μm Millipore filter. The cell free supernatant fluid was transferred to a Sep – PakPlus C18 column (Waters, USA), which had previously been activated with 5 ml 100% methanol and equilibrated with 0.1% acetic acid. Subsequently, the cytokinins were eluted with 3 ml of 80% methanol-2% acetic acid, concentrated *in vacuo* and resuspended in water before further use. The method of Biddington and Thomas (1973) was used for the evaluation of the biological activity of the eluate. Briefly, seeds of *Amaranthus caudatus* L. (purchased from Sluis Garden <http://www.gardenseeds.nl/>) were allowed to germinate on wet filter paper at 25°C in the dark for 72 h. The seed coats and the roots were subsequently removed and 10 explants consisting of cotyledons and the upper part of the hypocotyl were placed on filter paper, which had been moistened with 2 ml 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.3) containing 1 mg ml^{-1} tyrosine and the sample to be tested. After an incubation period of 18 h at 25°C in the dark the seedlings were placed in 1.0 ml distilled water. Betacyanin was extracted from the samples by three cycles of freezing and thawing and the optical density of the supernatant fluids was measured at 542 nm. The amount of cytokinins was determined by using a calibration curve with pure *trans*-zeatin as a standard. The experiment was performed three times.

Utilization of ACC and solubilization of bound phosphates by endophytic bacteria

The ability of bacteria to utilize ACC as the sole nitrogen source was monitored by screening for growth on plates according to Belimov and colleagues (2005).

The ability of bacteria to solubilize phosphates was evaluated on hydroxyapatite medium as described by Kim and colleagues (1997) with some modifications. Briefly, endophytic strains were grown in MM in which the phosphorus was present in the form of hydroxyapatite ($\text{Ca}_5\text{HO}_{13}\text{P}_3$, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) at 12 g l^{-1} and the pH

was adjusted to 7.0. Plates were incubated at 28°C for 1 week. Phosphorus-solubilizing activity was judged as the appearance of clear zones around the growth area of a bacterial sample spotted on the plate.

Molecular characterization of endophytic strains

Amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis in combination with phenotypic characterization was applied to eliminate putative siblings as described by Validov and colleagues (2007). Briefly, portions of the 16S rRNA genes were obtained via PCR amplification with primers 27 fm (5'-AGA GTT TGA TCM TGG CTC AG-3') and 1522R (5'-AAG GAG GTG ATC CAG CCG CA-3') (Weisburg *et al.*, 1991). The amplified DNA fragments were subsequently digested with the four nucleases TaqI, BsuRI, HinfI and HinfI. The resulting fragments were subsequently separated on a 2% agarose gel and the profiles of the endophytic strains were compared.

For nucleotide sequence determination, PCR products were separated on a 1% agarose gel, recovered and purified from agarose using a QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Sequencing was performed by ServiceXS (Leiden, The Netherlands). Similarity searches in GenBank were performed using BLAST (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/>; Altschul *et al.*, 1997).

Plant growth promotion

Endophytic bacteria were tested for their ability to promote the growth of radish plants. To do this, seeds of radish cv. Duro (Russkiy Ogorod – NC, Moscow, Russia) were allowed to germinate for 24 h on moist filter paper at room temperature. The germinated seeds were then soaked in a suspension of bacterial cells in 0.85% NaCl adjusted to 10^6 cfu ml⁻¹ for 15 min. As a negative control, seedlings were treated with 0.85% NaCl without added bacteria. The treated seedlings were subsequently planted in non-sterile potting soil (Terravita, Russia) mixed with field podsol soil in the ratio 4:1 and grown under agroindustrial conditions in the summer greenhouse of ARRIAM. Each variant consisted of four replicates with five seedlings each. After 31 days of growth, the fresh weight of the roots was determined.

Biocontrol of TFRR

Biocontrol of TFRR was carried out in stone wool substrate as described by Validov and colleagues (2007). Briefly, 120 stone wool plugs were soaked in 1.0 l of commercial Plant Nutrient Solution (PNS, Wageningen UR Greenhouse Horticulture, Bleiswijk, the Netherlands) supplemented with *Forl* spores (10^7 spores l⁻¹) and bacterial cells (10^6 cfu ml⁻¹). In the negative control PNS was supplemented with spores only. Seeds of tomato cv. Carmello (Syngenta, B.V., Enkhuizen, The Netherlands) were placed in the stone wool plugs (one seed per plug) and grown for 14 days under greenhouse conditions at 80% humidity and 16 h of daylight. The plants were then removed from the stone wool and examined for symptoms of foot and root rot. Only roots without any brown spots or lesions were referred to as healthy. Dead plants,

wilting plants or plants with symptoms of foot and root rot were considered as diseased. All experiments were performed twice.

Statistics

Homogeneity of variance and analysis of variance (ANOVA) at $P=0.05$ were conducted with the program DIANA (Saint-Petersburg, Russia) and SPSS software (Chicago, IL, USA) for the plant growth promotion and biocontrol assays respectively.

Acknowledgements

The research described here was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific research (NWO, project number 047.018.001), by Russian Foundation for Fundamental Investigations (RFFI, project 06.04.89000 NVOC_a 'Plants interaction with beneficial bacteria') and by Goskontract Minobrnauki (project number P760 from 20.05.2010 'Development of microbial preparations on the basis of endophytes and rhizobacteria for cultivation of agricultural crops in conditions of technogenic disasters') and represents part of the Russian-Dutch collaboration project 'Center of Excellence'. We thank Dr Bernadette Kroon (Syngenta B.V., Enkhuizen, The Netherlands) for providing us with tomato seeds. We thank Prof Dr Bert van Duijn (Fytagoras B.V., Leiden, The Netherlands) for providing us with aged barley seeds. We thank Dr Danny Vereecke for giving us a protocol for cytokinin determination. We thank Gerben Voshol for his help in some experiments and for critically reading the manuscript.

References

- Altschul, S.E., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D.J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acid Res* **25**: 3389–3402.
- Anonymous (1998) *Sichere Biotechnologie. Eingruppierung Biologischer Agenzien: Bakterien, BG Chemie, Merkblatt B 006 8/98 ZH 1/346*. Heidelberg, Germany: Jedermann-Verlag Dr Otto Pfeffer oHG.
- Bai, Y., Zhou, X., and Smith, D. (2003) Enhanced soybean plant growth resulting from coinoculation of *Bacillus* strains with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *Crop Sci* **43**: 1774–1781.
- Baldani, V., Baldani, J., and Dobereiner, J. (2000) Inoculation of rice plants with the endophytic diazotrophs *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* and *Burkholderia* spp. *Biol Fertil Soils* **30**: 485–491.
- Bastian, F., Cohern, A., Piccoli, P., Luna, V., Baraldi, R., and Bottini R. (1998) Production of indole-3-acetic acid and gibberellins A1 and A3 by *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* and *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* in chemically defined culture media. *J Plant Growth Regul* **24**: 7–11.
- Belimov, A.A., Hontzeas, N., Safronova, V.I., Demchinskaya, S.V., Piluzza, G., Bullitta, S., and Glick, B.R. (2005) Cadmium-tolerant plant growth-promoting bacteria associated with the roots of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern.). *Soil Biol Biochem* **37**: 241–250.

- Benhamou, N., Kloeppe, J.W., and Tuzun S. (1998) Induction of resistance against *Fusarium* wilt of tomato by combination of chitosan with an endophytic bacterial strain: ultrastructure and cytochemistry of the host response. *Planta* **204**: 153–168.
- Berg, G., and Hallmann, J. (2006) Control of plant pathogenic fungi with bacterial endophytes. In *Microbial Root Endophytes*. Schulz, B., Boyle, C., and Sieber, T. (eds). Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, pp. 53–69.
- Berg, G., Krechel, A., Ditz, M., Sikora, R.A., Ulrich A., and Hallmann J. (2005) Endophytic and ectophytic potato-associated bacterial communities differ in structure and antagonistic function against plant pathogenic fungi. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **51**: 215–229.
- Biddington, N.L., and Thomas, T.H. (1973) A modified *Amaranthus* betacyanin bioassay for the rapid determination of cytokinins in plant extracts. *Planta (Berl.)* **111**: 183–186.
- Brown, M.R., and Foster, J.H. (1970) A simple diagnostic milk medium for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Clin Pathol* **23**: 172–177.
- Chen, X-A., Koumoutsis, A., Scholz, R., and Borriss, R. (2009) More than anticipated – production of antibiotics and other secondary metabolites by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. *J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol* **16**: 14–24.
- Chin-A-Woeng, T.F.C., Bloemberg, G.V., van der Bij, A.J., van der Drift, K.M.G.M., Schripsema, J., and Kroon B. *et al.* (1998) Biocontrol by phenazine-1-carboxamide-producing *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* PCL1391 of tomato root rot caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **11**: 1069–1077.
- Chitarra, S.C., Breeuwer, P., Nout, R.J.M., van Aelst, C.A., Rombouts, M.F., and Abee, T. (2002) An antifungal compound produced by *Bacillus subtilis* YM 10–20 inhibits germination of *Penicillium roqueforti* conidiospores. *J Appl Microbiol* **96**: 159–166.
- Cho, S., Lim, W., Hong, S., Park, S., and Yun, H. (2003) Endophytic colonization of ballon flower by antifungal strain *Bacillus* sp. CY22. *Biosci Biotech Biochem* **67**: 2132–2138.
- Coombs, J.T., and Franco, C.M. (2003) Isolation and identification of actinobacteria from surface-sterilized wheat roots. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 5603–5608.
- Di Fiore, S., and Del Gallo, M. (1995) Endophytic bacteria: their possible role in the host plant. In *Azospirillum VI and Related Microorganisms*, Vol. G37. Fendrik, I. (ed.). Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany: Springer, pp. 169–187.
- Downing, K., and Thomson, J. (2000) Introduction of the *Serratia marcescens* *chiA* gene into an endophytic *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for the biocontrol of phytopathogenic fungi. *Can J Microbiol* **46**: 363–369.
- Egamberdiyeva, D., Kamilova, F., Validov, S., Gafurova, L., Kucharova, Z., and Lugtenberg, B. (2008) High incidence of plant growth-stimulating bacteria associated with the rhizosphere of wheat grown in salinated soil in Uzbekistan. *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 1–9.
- Gordon, S.A., and Weber, R.P. (1951) Colorimetric estimation of indole acetic acid. *Plant Physiol* **26**: 192–195.
- Gutierrez-Manero, F.J., Ramos Solano, B., Probanza, A., Mehouchi, J., Tadeo, F.R., and Talon, M. (2001) The plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus pumilus* and *Bacillus licheniformis* produce high amounts of physiologically active gibberellins. *Physiol Plantarum* **111**: 206–211.
- Gyaneshwar, P., James, E.K., Mathan, N., Reddy, P.M., Reinhold-Hurek, B., and Ladha, J.K. (2001) Endophytic colonization of rice by a diazotrophic strain of *Serratia marcescens*. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 2634–2645.
- Haas, D., and D efago, G. (2005) Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **3**: 307–319.
- Hankin, L., and Anagnostakis, S.L. (1977) Solid media containing carboxymethylcellulose to detect CX cellulase activity of microorganisms. *J Gen Microbiol* **98**: 109–115.
- Harman, G.E., Howel, C.H., Viterbo, A., Chet I., and Lorito M. (2004) *Trichoderma* species—opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2**: 43–56.
- Idriss, E.E.S., Makarewicz, O., Farouk, A., Rosner, K., Greiner, R., Bochow, H., *et al.* (2002) Extracellular phytase activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB 45 contributes to its plant-growth-promoting effect. *Microbiology* **148**: 2097–2109.
- James, E.K., and Olivares, F.L. (1997) Infection and colonization of sugar cane and other graminaceous plants by endophytic diazotrophs. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* **17**: 77–119.
- Jones, R.L., and Varner, J.E. (1967) The bioassay of gibberellins. *Planta (Berl.)* **72**: 53–59.
- Kamilova, F., Validov, S., Azarova, T., Mulders, I., and Lugtenberg, B. (2005) Enrichment for enhanced competitive root tip colonizers selects for a new class of biocontrol bacteria. *Environ Microbiol* **7**: 1809–1817.
- Kamilova, F., Kravchenko, L.V., Shaposhnikov, A.I., Azarova, T., Makarova, N., and Lugtenberg, B.J.J. (2006) Organic acids, sugars, and L-tryptophane in exudates of vegetables growing on stonewool and their effects on activities of rhizosphere bacteria. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **19**: 250–256.
- Kim, K.Y., McDonald, G.A., and Jordan D. (1997) Solubilization of hydroxyapatite by *Enterobacter agglomerans* and cloned *Escherichia coli* in culture medium. *Biol Fertil Soils* **24**: 347–352.
- Kloeppe, J.W., Ryu C-M., and Zhang, S. (2004) Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus* spp. *Phytopathology* **94**: 1259–1266.
- Koumoutsis, A., Chen, X-H., Henne, A., Liesegang, H., Hitzeroth, G., Franke, P., *et al.* (2004) Structural and functional characterization of gene clusters directing nonribosomal synthesis of bioactive cyclic lipopeptides in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB42. *J Bacteriol* **186**: 1084–1096.
- Krechel, A., Faupel, A., Hallmann, J., Ulrich, A., and Berg, G. (2002) Potato-associated bacteria and their antagonistic potential towards plant-pathogenic fungi and the plant-parasitic nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid & White) Chitwood. *Can J Microbiol* **48**: 772–786.
- Kuklinsky-Sobral, J., Ara ujo, W.L., Mendes, R., Geraldi, I.O., Pizzirani-Kleiner, A.P., and Azevedo, J.L. (2004) Isolation and characterization of soybean-associated bacteria and their potential for plant growth promotion. *Environ Microbiol* **6**: 1244–1251.
- Lugtenberg, B., and Kamilova, F. (2009) Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **63**: 541–556.
- McInroy, J., and Kloeppe, J. (1995) Survey of indigenous bacterial endophytes from cotton and sweet corn. *Plant Soil* **173**: 337–342.

- Magnet-Dana, R., and Peypoux, F. (1994) Iturins, a special class of poreforming lipopeptides: biological and physiological properties. *Toxicology* **87**: 151–174.
- Misaghi, I.J., and Donndelinger, C.R. (1990) Endophytic bacteria in symptom-free cotton plants. *Phytopathology* **80**: 808–811.
- Moyne, A.-L., Cleveland, T.E., and Tuzun, S. (2004) Molecular characterization and analysis of the operon encoding the antifungal lipopeptide bacillomycin D. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **234**: 43–49.
- Okunishi, S., Sako, K., Mano, H., Imamura, A., and Morisaki, H. (2005) Bacterial flora of endophytes in the maturing seeds of cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Microbes Environ* **20**: 168–177.
- Oliveira, A., Dobreiner, J., and Baldani, J. (2002) The effect of inoculating endophytic N₂-fixing bacteria on micropropagated sugarcane plants. *Plant Soil* **242**: 205–215.
- Ordentlich, A.Y., Elad, A.Y., and Chet, I. (1998) The role of chitinase of *Serratia marcescens* in biocontrol of *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Phytopathology* **78**: 84–88.
- Rai, R., Dash, P.K., Prasanna, B.M., and Syngh, A. (2007) Endophytic bacterial flora in the stem tissue of a tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotype: isolation, identification and enumeration. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* **23**: 853–858.
- Reiter, B., Werbter, N., Gyamfi, S., Schwab, H., and Sessitsch, A. (2003) Endophytic *Pseudomonas* spp. populations of pathogen-infected potato plants analyzed by 16S rDNA- and 16S rRNA-based denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Plant Soil* **257**: 397–405.
- Rennie, R.J., Freitas, J.R.D., Ruschel, A.P., and Vose, P.B. (1982) Isolation and identification of N₂-fixing bacteria associated with sugarcane (*Saccharum* sp.). *Can J Microbiol* **28**: 462–467.
- Rijavec, T., Lapanje, A., and Rupnik, M. (2007) Isolation of bacterial endophytes from germinated maize kernels. *Can J Microbiol* **53**: 802–808.
- Schulz, B., and Boyle, C. (2006) What are endophytes? In *Microbial Root Endophytes*. Schulz, B., Boyle, C., and Sieber, T. (eds). Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, pp. 1–13.
- Sessitsch, A., Reiter, B., Pfeifer, U., and Wilhelm, P. (2002) Cultivation-independent population analysis of bacterial endophytes in three potato varieties based on eubacterial and *Actinomyces*-specific PCR of 16S rRNA genes. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **39**: 23–32.
- Sevilla, M., Gunapala, N., Burris, R.H., and Kennedy, C. (2001) Comparison of benefit to sugarcane plant growth and 15N₂ incorporation following inoculation of sterile plants with *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* wild-type and nif⁻ mutant strains. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **14**: 358–366.
- Spaepen, S., Vanderleyden, J., and Okon, Y. (2009) Plant Growth-promoting actions of rhizobacteria. In: *Advances in Botanical Research*, 51, 283–320. Special issue on PLANT INNATE IMMUNITY; van Loon, L.C., Ed. Kader, J.-C. and Delseny, M., series Eds.
- Strobel, G. (2006) *Muscodor albus* and its biological promise. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* **33**: 514–522.
- Sturz, A.V., Christie, B.R., and Matheson, B.G. (1998) Associations of bacterial endophyte populations from red clover and potato crops with potential foe beneficial allelopathy. *Can J Microbiol* **44**: 162–167.
- Thomashow, L.S., and Weller, D.M. (1995) Current concepts in the use of introduced bacteria for biological disease control: mechanisms and antifungal metabolites. In *Plant-Microbe Interactions*. Stacey, G., and Keen, N.T. (eds). New York, USA: Chapman and Hall, pp. 187–235.
- Torres, A.R., Araujo, W.L., Cursino, L., Hungria, M., Plotegher, F., Mostasso, F.L., and Azevedo, J.L. (2008) Diversity of endophytic enterobacteria associated with different host plants. *J Microbiol* **46**: 373–379.
- Validov, S. (2007) Biocontrol of tomato foot and root rot by *Pseudomonas* bacteria in stonewool. PhD Thesis. Leiden, The Netherlands: Leiden University [WWW document]. URL <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/12480>.
- Validov, S., Kamilova, F., Qi S., Stephan, D., Wang, J.J., Makarova, N., and Lugtenberg, B. (2007) Selection of bacteria able to control *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis lycopersici* in stonewool substrate. *J Appl Microbiol* **102**: 461–471.
- Van Loon, L.C. (2007) Plant responses to plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Eur J Plant Pathol* **119**: 243–254.
- Van Peer, R., Niemann, G.J., and Schippers, B. (1991) Induced resistance and phytoalexin accumulation in biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of carnation by *Pseudomonas* sp. strain WCS417r. *Phytopathology* **81**: 728–734.
- Verma, S.C., Ladha, J.K., and Tripathi, A.K. (2001) Evaluation of plant growth promoting and colonization ability of endophytic diazotrophs from deep water rice. *J Biotechnol* **91**: 127–141.
- Walsh, G.A., Murphy, R.A., Killeen, G.F., Headon, D.R., and Power R.F. (1995) Technical note: detection and quantification of supplemental fungal β -glucanase activity in animal feed. *J Anim Sci* **73**: 1074–1076.
- Weisburg, W.G., Barns, S.M., Pelletier, D.A., and Lane, D.J. (1991) 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *J Bacteriol* **173**: 697–703.
- Wirth, S.J., and Wolf, G.A. (1990) Dye labelled substrates for the assay and detection of chitinase and lysozyme activity. *J Microbiol Methods* **12**: 197–205.