



# Combined Effect of *CYP2C19* Genetic Polymorphisms and C-Reactive Protein on Voriconazole Exposure and Dosing in Immunocompromised Children

Juan Chen<sup>1†</sup>, Ying Wu<sup>2†</sup>, Yuelin He<sup>3</sup>, Xiaoqin Feng<sup>3</sup>, Yuqiong Ren<sup>3</sup> and Shiting Liu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmacy, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Biostatistics, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Tropical Disease Research, School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

**Background:** Pediatric patients have significant interindividual variability in voriconazole exposure. The aim of the study was to identify factors associated with voriconazole concentrations and dose requirements to achieve therapeutic concentrations in pediatric patients.

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

Edited by: Jessica K. Roberts, Cognigen, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Oscar Linares, OIS Research, United States Karel Allegaert, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium

> \*Correspondence: Shiting Liu shitingliu@126.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pediatric Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

Received: 31 December 2021 Accepted: 09 February 2022 Published: 21 March 2022

#### Citation:

Chen J, Wu Y, He Y, Feng X, Ren Y and Liu S (2022) Combined Effect of CYP2C19 Genetic Polymorphisms and C-Reactive Protein on Voriconazole Exposure and Dosing in Immunocompromised Children. Front. Pediatr. 10:846411. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.846411 **Methods:** Medical records of pediatric patients were retrospectively reviewed. Covariates associated with voriconazole plasma concentrations and dose requirements were adjusted by using generalized linear mixed-effect models.

Results: A total of 682 voriconazole steady-state trough concentrations from 91 Chinese pediatric patients were included. Voriconazole exposure was lower in the CYP2C19 normal metabolizer (NM) group compared with the intermediate metabolizer (IM) group and the poor metabolizer (PM) group (p = 0.0016, p < 0.0001). The median daily dose of voriconazole required to achieve therapeutic range demonstrated a significant phenotypic dose effect: 20.8 mg/kg (range, 16.2-26.8 mg/kg) for the CYP2C19 NM group, 18.2 mg/kg (range, 13.3–21.8 mg/kg) for the CYP2C19 IM group, and 15.2 mg/kg (range, 10.7–19.1 mg/kg) for the CYP2C19 PM group, respectively. The extent of impact of C-reactive protein (CRP) levels on voriconazole trough concentrations and dose requirements varied between CYP2C19 phenotypes. Increases of 20, 120, 245, and 395 mg/L from 5 mg/L in CRP levels were associated with increases in voriconazole trough concentration by 22.22, 50, 64.81, and 75% respectively, in the NM group; by 39.26, 94.48, 123.93, and 146.63%, respectively, in the IM group; and by 17.17, 37.34, 46.78, and 53.65%, respectively, in the PM group. Meanwhile, increases of 20, 120, 245, and 395 mg/L from 5 mg/L in CRP levels were associated with increases in voriconazole dose requirements by 7.15, 14.23, 17.35, and 19.43%, respectively, in the PM group; with decreases in voriconazole dose requirements by 3.71, 7.38, 8.97, and 10.03%, respectively, in the NM group; and with decreases by 4, 9.10, 11.05, and 12.35%, respectively, in the IM group. In addition, age and presence of immunosuppressants had significant effects on voriconazole exposure.

March 2022 | Volume 10 | Article 846411

**Conclusions:** Our study suggests that *CYP2C19* phenotypes, CRP concentrations, age, and the presence of immunosuppressants were factors associated with the pharmacokinetic changes in voriconazole. There was heterogeneity in the effect of CRP on voriconazole plasma concentrations across different *CYP2C19* genotypes. Combining relevant factors with dose adaptation strategies in therapeutic drug monitoring may help to reduce the incidence of subtherapeutic and supratherapeutic concentrations in clinical practice.

Keywords: children, voriconazole, trough concentration, dose requirements, therapeutic drug monitoring, *CYP2C19*, genetic polymorphism, C-reactive protein

#### INTRODUCTION

Invasive fungal infections are significant causes of morbidity in immunocompromised pediatric patients with myelosuppressive chemotherapy or hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) (1, 2). Voriconazole is a broad-spectrum antifungal agent used for invasive fungal infections prophylaxis and treatment (3, 4). Pediatric patients have larger interindividual and intraindividual variability compared with adults (5, 6); thus, therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) is essential for optimizing voriconazole dosing regimens in pediatric patients. Whereas most of the published studies were focused on adult patients, there are few studies of voriconazole TDM and dosage regimens in pediatric patients, especially for the Asian population. The drug package leaflet recommends a weight-based dose of 9 mg/kg per 12 h intravenous (IV) or oral (PO) for 2- to 12-year-old children. The loading dose is 6 mg/kg per 12 h IV for patients older than 12 years. To our knowledge, there is rarely evidence on the optimal dose regimens for pediatric patients, although the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole in such a population may be influenced by more factors in different degrees or mechanisms compared with adults.

Voriconazole is metabolized by the cytochrome P450 enzymes, and CYP2C19 is the primary enzyme responsible for the metabolism of voriconazole. CYP2C19 is highly polymorphic. The majority of individuals will carry the \*1, \*2, \*3, or \*17 alleles. The activity of CYP2C19 enzyme demonstrates significant individual diversity. The wild-type CYP2C19\*1 allele expresses a normal function CYP2C19 enzyme;  $CYP2C19^*2$  (c.681G > A; rs4244285) and CYP2C19\*3 (c.636G > A; rs4986893) encode no function allele. In contrast, CYP2C19\*17 allele (c.-806C > T; rs12248560) results in increased enzyme activity. Based on CYP2C19 allelic function, patients could be divided into five phenotypes: ultrarapid metabolizer (UM), rapid metabolizer (RM), normal metabolizer (NM), intermediate metabolizer (IM), or poor metabolizer (PM) (7). The CYP2C19 allele and phenotype frequencies are different between Caucasians or Africans and Asians (8, 9). The ethnic differences of CYP2C19 genetic polymorphism imply different plasma concentration and dose requirement in Asian population. The impact of CYP2C19 polymorphisms on voriconazole metabolism and variability in exposure in adults has been acknowledged (10-12). Limited and controversial statistical data are available describing the relationship between CYP2C19 polymorphisms and voriconazole exposure [Caucasians (5, 6, 13–16), Asians (17, 18)] and dose requirement (13, 19, 20) in pediatric patients. Hence, whether the genotype-directed dosing allows for optimized voriconazole concentration in pediatric patients needs more studies.

Inflammations are frequently observed in patients with myelosuppressive chemotherapy or HSCT. The inflammation, reflected by C-reactive protein (CRP) concentrations, was associated with elevated voriconazole trough concentration in adults (21-23); the effect of inflammation on voriconazole concentrations in pediatric patients was rarely studied. A previous study demonstrated that the CRP value seems to be associated with higher voriconazole trough concentrations only in children >12 years old (24, 25). In the present study, the CRP levels in pediatric patients were hypothesized to impact voriconazole exposure in a CYP2C19 phenotype-dependent way. Moreover, the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole were reported to be influenced by other factors including age, gender, route of administration, drug-drug interactions, and liver and renal function (26), whose effects have also been considered in the analysis in this study.

Therefore, a retrospective study was performed in immunocompromised pediatric patients who were treated with voriconazole. Sociodemographic, clinical, voriconazole TDM, dose adjustment, and *CYP2C19* genetic data were collected. The aim of the study was to describe the TDM and dose requirements of voriconazole, as well as to identify the determinants of the variability in voriconazole exposure and hence dose requirement to achieve the therapeutic range in Asian children.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study Design and Patient Population**

A single-center retrospective study of immunocompromised children <18 years of age who were treated with voriconazole as an inpatient over a 3-year period (May 2017-May 2020) at Department of Pediatric Hematology, Nanfang Hospital of Southern Medical University, China, was performed. Inclusion criteria were aged <18 years with routine TDM of voriconazole and taken as trough concentrations at steady state, which was defined as after 3 days of treatment (without loading dose) or dose adjustment (27, 28). Patients with potential interacting drugs used concomitantly (such as strong inhibitor

or inducer of CYP450 described in the summary of product information), liver cirrhosis, chronic renal failure (with estimated creatine clearance < 60 ml/min) were excluded. Individuals were genotyped for CYP2C19 at the discretion of physicians. The following data were extracted from the clinical chart: (1) demographic characteristics, including gender, age, body weight, underlying disease; (2) voriconazole treatment and TDM data, including daily dosage (mg/kg), route of administration, and plasma trough concentrations; (3) other factors that could potentially influence the voriconazole trough concentration, laboratory parameters such as CRP; total protein (TP); albumin (ALB); total bilirubin (TBIL); direct bilirubin (DBIL); alanine aminotransferase (ALT); aspartate transaminase (AST); serum creatinine (CR), which were measured within the same day; and the concomitant medication. The concomitant medications were immunosuppressants used including cyclosporine, tacrolimus, and sirolimus; glucocorticoids used including prednisone, methylprednisolone, and dexamethasone; and proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) used including omeprazole and esomeprazole.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Nanfang Hospital under reference number NFEC-2020-053.

### **Genotyping and Phenotype Assignment**

CYP2C19 genotyping was performed by a validated fluorescence in situ hybridization method (Beijing Precision Medical Platform analysis software) (29). Blood samples (3 ml EDTA) were collected and pretreated (blood samples were collected before the allograft for the patients of HSCT). Samples were placed in fluorescent detector (Xi'an Tianlong Science and Technology Co., Ltd.). Different CYP2C19 genotype fluorescence signal was automatically obtained by fluorescence in situ hybridization and chromosome karyotype analysis system. CYP2C19 genotype was determined for the \*2, \*3, and \*17 alleles, the presence of the wild-type allele CYP2C19\*1 was inferred in the absence of CYP2C19\*2, CYP2C19\*3, and CYP2C19\*17, which define the major CYP2C19 phenotypes. Based on CYP2C19 genotypes in our study, phenotypes were divided into four categories: NM (CYP2C19\*1/\*1), IM (CYP2C19\*1/\*2, CYP2C19\*2/\*17 or CYP2C19\*1/\*3), or PM (CYP2C19\*2/\*2, CYP2C19\*2/\*3 or CYP2C19\*3/\*3) according to the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines (7).

## Determination of Voriconazole Plasma Concentration

For each patient, the voriconazole trough concentrations were determined on blood sample withdraw within a 1-h period before the next administration. Plasma concentrations of voriconazole were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method. Sample preparation included protein precipitation by mixing 100  $\mu$ l of plasma with 300  $\mu$ l of acetonitrile containing 6,7-dimethyl-2, 3-di(2-pyridyl) quinoxaline (internal standard, 500 ng/ml). Two microliters of the supernatant was injected into the chromatographic system after centrifugation at 14,000 revolutions/min for 15 min. Separation conditions were the following: Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (3.0 × 50 mm, 2.5- $\mu$ m); mobile phase composed

initially of 20:80 acetonitrile with formic acid (0.1%)/water with formic acid (0.1%) using isocratic elution as the mode of separation; flow rate of 0.5 ml/min; column temperature of 30°C. The plasma concentration of voriconazole was linear (r > 0.999) over the range of 0.1-10 µg/ml. The intraday and interday accuracy and precision data did not exceed 15% and could be used to determine voriconazole trough concentration accurately. The therapeutic range of voriconazole was between 1 and 5 µg/ml (30, 31). The CDR (concentration-to-dose ratio) value was applied to standardize voriconazole concentration.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test was performed using an appropriate  $\chi^2$  test, with p < 0.05 indicating a lack of agreement with HWE. To carry out the descriptive analysis, continuous and nonnormal variables were expressed as median and interquartile range. Categorical variables were summarized as frequency and percentage. Demographics (age, gender), clinical characteristics (route of administration, voriconazole dose, laboratory parameters reflected inflammation status, liver and kidney function, coadministered medications), and CYP2C19 genotype were possible factors considered in our study. The crude correlation between CRP levels and voriconazole exposure was analyzed by the Spearman rank correlation test. A univariate linear mixed-effects regression analysis was conducted to identify covariates associated with voriconazole, and p = 0.15 was used as the entry threshold. Then, a multivariate generalized linear mixed-effects model was analyzed based on the results of univariate analyses to assess the effect of covariates on voriconazole concentration and dose requirement, with the patient effect specified as a random effect. Nonnormal quantitative variables were transformed by using their logarithmic value (voriconazole CDR, CRP, and weight-corrected dose). The following confounding factors were introduced into the final model for adjustment: age, gender, weight-corrected dose, presence of immunosuppressants, CRP, CYP2C19 phenotypes, and the interaction term of CRP and phenotypes. A p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant, except for subgroup analyses where a p-value threshold of 0.017 was considered (adjustment for multiple comparisons). All statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Graphic operations were completed by R Language (version 4.1.2).

# RESULTS

# **Population Characteristics**

A total of 682 voriconazole steady-state trough concentrations from 91 Chinese pediatric patients were qualified for this study. The demographic characteristics, type of HSCT, underlying diseases, *CYP2C19* genotypes, laboratory parameters, and coadministered medication are summarized in **Table 1**. The majority was male (59.3%) and had a recent history of HSCT (75.8%). The main underlying disease in our study was  $\beta$ -thalassemia major followed by hematologic malignances. *CYP2C19* allele frequencies were 29.7% for \*2, 3.8% for \*3 and 0.5% for \*17. None of the genotypes deviated from HWE. **TABLE 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study subjects (N = 91).

Characteristics	No. of patients (%) or median (interquartile range)
Age (years)	6.0 (3.0–9.0)
Gender	
Male	54 (59.3%)
Female	37 (40.7%)
Weight (kg)	18.3 (13.5–23.9)
HSCT	69 (75.8%)
Type of HSCT	
PBSC	42 (60.9%)
PBSC-CB	27 (39.1%)
Underlying disease	
β-Thalassemia major	43 (47.2%)
Acute myeloid leukemia	26 (28.6%)
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	5 (5.5%)
Severe aplastic anemia	6 (6.6%)
Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia	3 (3.3%)
Adrenal neuroblastoma	2 (2.2%)
Myeloid sarcoma	1 (1.1%)
Myelodysplastic syndrome	1 (1.1%)
Albers–Schönberg disease	1 (1.1%)
Chronic active Epstein-Barr virus infection	1 (1.1%)
Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome	1 (1.1%)
Chronic granulomatous disease	1 (1.1%)
CYP2C19 phenotypes	
NM	41 (45.1%)
IM	40 (44.0%)
PM	10 (10.9%)
CYP2C19 diplotypes	
*1/*1	41 (45.1%)
*1/*2	36 (39.5%)
*1/*3	3 (3.3%)
*2/*17	1 (1.1%)
*2/*2	8 (8.8%)
*2/*3	1 (1.1%)
*3/*3	1 (1.1%)
Laboratory parameters	
CRP (mg/L)	11 (2.7–44.1)
TP (g/L)	64.8 (59.7–69.7)
ALB (g/L)	38.6 (35.2–41.5)
ALT (U/L)	16 (10–33)
AST (U/L)	22 (15–32)
TBIL (μmol/L)	8.6 (5.6-12.1)
DBIL (µmol/L)	3.3 (2.2-4.9)
CR (µmol/L)	25 (19–32)
Coadministered medication	· · · ·
Immunosuppressants	440 (64.5%)
PPIs	131 (19.2%)
Glucocorticoids	127 (18.6%)

CB, cord blood; PBSC, peripheral blood stem cell.

There were 41 (45.1%), 40 (44.0%), and 10 (10.9%) patients with *CYP2C19* NM, IM, and PM, respectively. The mean concentration of CRP was 11 mg/L (range, 2.7–44.1 mg/L), which was above the upper limit of normal. The median levels of other laboratory parameters were inside the normal range; 64.5, 19.2, and 18.6% of voriconazole were used simultaneously with immunosuppressants, PPIs, and glucocorticoids, respectively.

# Voriconazole Therapy, Dose Adaptation, and TDM

A total of 682 voriconazole steady-state trough concentrations were measured, representing a median of six voriconazole concentrations per patient (range, 4-9 measurements) for a median follow-up duration of voriconazole therapy of 59 days (range, 23-149 days). The median of trough concentration was 1.7 µg/ml (range, 0.9-3.1 µg/ml). Thirty-eight percent of trough concentrations were out of the therapeutic range. The interpatient variability of trough concentrations was 105.63%, and the median intrapatient variability of trough concentrations was 62.2% (range, 49.1-84.3%). There were 281 (41.2%), 308 (45.2%), and 93 (13.6%) samples with CYP2C19 NM, IM, and PM, respectively. The descriptions of voriconazole therapy, dose adaptation, and TDM of the three CYP2C19 phenotype groups are displayed in Table 2. The median daily dose per weight required for achieving target concentrations was 18.4 mg/kg (range, 14.3-22.2 mg/kg). Voriconazole IV-to-PO switch was performed for sequential therapy before inpatients were discharged from the hospital. Frequency of voriconazole PO therapy was 26.8% in our study. During the course of treatment of 91 patients, a total of 281 dose adaptations were made, of which 179 had dose increases and 102 had dose decreases. One hundred eleven (62.0%) had dose increases when trough concentrations were  $<1 \mu g/ml$ , and 44 (43.1%) had dose decreases when trough concentrations were  $>5 \mu g/ml$ . After dose adjustments in cases out of the therapeutic range, 92 cases (59%) reached the therapeutic range.

#### Influence of *CYP2C19* Genetic Polymorphism on Voriconazole Concentrations and Dose Requirements

The influence of CYP2C19 genotype was explored on the voriconazole CDR to overcome the influence of voriconazole dose. The median levels of voriconazole CDR in the CYP2C19 NM, IM, and PM groups were 0.0578 µg/ml per mg/kg (range, 0.0279–0.114 µg/ml per mg/kg), 0.108 µg/ml per mg/kg (range,  $0.0639-0.197 \,\mu$ g/ml per mg/kg), and  $0.169 \,\mu$ g/ml per mg/kg (range, 0.123-0.279µg/ml per mg/kg), respectively. In multiple linear mixed-effects regression model, CYP2C19 phenotypes affected voriconazole concentration (Figure 1; Table 3.1), with a higher voriconazole CDR in the CYP2C19 IM and PM vs. *CYP2C19* NM group (p = 0.0016, p < 0.0001), respectively. The median daily dose of voriconazole required to achieve therapeutic range was higher in the CYP2C19 NM group and demonstrated an phenotypic dose effect: 20.8 mg/kg (range, 16.2-26.8 mg/kg) for the CYP2C19 NM group compared with 18.2 mg/kg (range, 13.3-21.8 mg/kg) for the CYP2C19 IM group and

#### TABLE 2 | Voriconazole therapy, dose adaptation, and therapeutic drug monitoring.

	n (%) or median (interquartile range)				
	ALL	CYP2C19 NM	СҮР2С19 ІМ	СҮР2С19 РМ	
Therapeutic drug monitoring					
TDM per patient n (%)	6 (4–9)	6 (4–8)	6 (4–10.7)	8.5 (5.7–13.5)	
Voriconazole C <sub>trough</sub>	1.7 (0.9–3.1)	1.2 (0.6–2.3)	1.9 (1.1–3.2)	2.5 (1.6-4.2)	
Level of C <sub>trough</sub>					
<1 (µg/ml)	194 (28.4%)	120 (42.7%)	64 (20.8%)	10 (10.8%)	
1–5 (µg/ml)	422 (61.9%)	145 (51.6%)	209 (67.8%)	68 (73.1%)	
>5 (µg/ml)	66 (9.7%)	16 (5.7%)	35 (11.4%)	15 (16.1%)	
Voriconazole therapy					
Daily dose/weight (mg/kg) for achieving therapeutic range	18.4 (14.3–22.2)	20.8 (16.2–26.8)	18.2 (13.3–21.8)	15.2 (10.7–19.1)	
Intravenous (mg/kg)	18.6 (14.6–22.5)	20.7 (16-26.1)	18.3 (14.1–21.9)	15.4 (11.5–19.8)	
Oral (mg/kg)	16.9 (13.5–22.1)	20.8 (16.1–27.3)	17.3 (11.9–21.3)	14 (10.3–15.7)	
Oral therapy n (%)	183 (26.8%)	69 (24.6%)	86 (27.9%)	28 (30.1%)	
Dose adaptations*					
Frequency of dose adaptations (%)	40 (25–50)	50 (29.2–57.9)	36 (25–50)	34.9 (24.5–43.2)	
Frequency of dose increase (%)	25 (12.5–37.5)	33.3 (23.6–50)	21.8 (9.3–33.3)	14.6 (7.3–22.1)	
Frequency of dose decrease (%)	12.5 (0–21.4)	0 (0–20)	13.4 (0–25)	17.4 (13.8–23.3)	

\* Frequency of dose adaptations: number of dose adaptation/number of C<sub>trough</sub> measurements; Frequency of dose increase: number of dose increase adaptation/number of C<sub>trough</sub> measurements; Frequency of dose decrease: number of dose decrease adaptation/ number of C<sub>trough</sub> measurements.



Factor	Coefficient	P-value
Age	0.07127	<0.0001
Gender		
Male	_	_
Female	-0.1030	0.4426
Phenotypes		
NM	_	_
IM	0.5185	0.0016
PM	0.9491	<0.0001
Presence of immunosuppressants		
No	_	_
Yes	-0.2691	0.0103
Logarithmic of weight-corrected dose	0.1574	0.1085
Logarithmic of CRP	0.06425	0.0397
Interactive effects of CRP and Phenoty	pes	
CRP*NM	0.006769	0.0001
CRP*IM	0.004098	<0.0001
CRP*PM	0.005258	<0.0001

**TABLE 3.1** | Multivariate linear mixed-effects regression analysis of the influence of covariates on voriconazole CDR ( $\mu$ g/ml per mg/kg).

-, Indicates not applicable. The bold values indicate statistical significance P < 0.05.

15.2 mg/kg (range, 10.7–19.1 mg/kg) for the *CYP2C19* PM group (p = 0.0014, p < 0.0001), respectively (**Figure 2**; **Table 3.2**). The effects of *CYP2C19* diplotypes on voriconazole CDR and dose requirements are depicted in **Supplementary Figures 1**, **2**. There were some visual trends between different *CYP2C19* diplotypes; the statistical analysis was not performed for limited sample size.

### Influence of CRP Varied by *CYP2C19* Phenotypes on Voriconazole Concentrations and Dose Requirements

The effects of CRP on voriconazole concentrations and dose requirements were identified. The interactive effects of CRP and CYP2C19 phenotypes on voriconazole exposure and dose requirements were statistically significant in the multivariate linear mixed-effects regression analysis (Tables 3.1, 3.2). Further subgroups analysis confirmed the positive association between CRP level and voriconazole exposure in the CYP2C19 NM group (p < 0.0001), IM group (p < 0.0001), and PM group (p = 0.0026). Moreover, the impact of CRP on voriconazole concentration was slightly greater in the IM group (coefficient of 0.2605) than in the NM (coefficient of 0.2400) group and PM group (coefficient of 0.2307) (Table 4.1). Figure 3 shows that with an increase of the CRP concentration from 5 to 25, 125, 250, or 400 mg/L, the voriconazole trough concentration was expected to increase from 1.08 to 1.32, 1.62, 1.78, or  $1.89 \,\mu$ g/ml, respectively, in the NM group, to increase from 1.63 to 2.27, 3.17, 3.65, or 4.02 µg/ml, respectively, in the IM group, and to increase from 2.33 to 2.73, 3.20, 3.42, or 3.58 µg/ml, respectively, in the PM group. These meant that increases of 20, 120, 245, and 395 mg/L from 5 mg/L in CRP levels were associated with increases in voriconazole trough concentration by 22.22, 50, 64.81, and 75%, respectively, in the NM group, by 39.26, 94.48, 123.93, and 146.63%, respectively, in the IM group, and by 17.17, 37.34, 46.78, or 53.65%, respectively, in the PM group. In the CYP2C19 NM, IM, and PM groups, CRP concentrations were always associated with the daily dose of voriconazole required to achieve therapeutic range according to the results from the subgroup analyses (Table 4.2). Figure 4 shows that with an increase of the CRP concentration from 5 to 25, 125, 250, or 400 mg/L, the voriconazole dosage required to achieve therapeutic range is expected to decrease from 22.64 to 21.80, 20.97, 20.61, or 20.37 mg/kg, respectively, in the NM group, to decrease from 18.46 to 17.72, 16.78, 16.42, or 16.18 mg/kg, respectively, in the IM group, and to increase from 14.41 to 15.44, 16.46, 16.91, or 17.21 mg/kg, respectively, in the PM group. These meant that increases of 20, 120, 245, and 395 mg/L from 5 mg/L in CRP levels were associated with increases in voriconazole dose requirements by 7.15, 14.23, 17.35, and 19.43%, respectively, in the PM group; with decreases in voriconazole dose requirements by 3.71, 7.38, 8.97, and 10.03%, respectively, in the NM group; and with decreases by 4, 9.10, 11.05, and 12.35%, respectively, in the IM group.

# Other Factors Affecting Voriconazole Concentrations and Dose Requirements

The effects of other factors including age, gender, route of administration, different drug-drug interactions, and liver and renal function on the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole were analyzed by univariate linear mixed-effects regression analysis in advance (data was not shown), affecting factors with p < 0.15 included for further multivariate linear mixed-effects regression analysis. No significant effect was observed of gender on voriconazole concentrations and dose requirements in multivariate analysis, but age and immunosuppressant comedication did have a significant effect (**Tables 3.1, 3.2**).

# DISCUSSION

In the present study, voriconazole trough concentrations demonstrate high interindividual and intraindividual variability in pediatric patients. The variability of voriconazole exposure and dose requirement could be partially explained by *CYP2C19* genotype, CRP concentration, age, and comedication with immunosuppressant.

Throughout voriconazole treatment, longitudinal and repeated voriconazole TDM was conducted in our institution. Consistent with previous studies (28, 32–34), we observed a wide variability in voriconazole exposure under steady state, with 38.1% of trough concentrations out of therapeutic range. Lempers et al. showed that 24.1% of 485 voriconazole trough concentrations and Boast et al. showed 44.2% of 120 samples would not achieve trough concentration of  $>1 \mu g/ml$  (28, 34). Our study showed that 28.4% of 682 voriconazole trough concentrations are below the therapeutic range. In cases of trough concentration < 1 and >5  $\mu g/ml$ , 56.7% of dose increases and 65.9% of dose decreases result in the optimal therapeutic level of 1-5  $\mu g/ml$ , respectively. The results demonstrate that



between CYP2C19 phenotypes and voriconazole dose required to achieve the therapeutic range (mg/kg).

**TABLE 3.2** | Multivariate linear mixed-effects regression analysis of the influence of covariates on voriconazole dose required to achieve therapeutic range (mg/kg).

Factor	$\textbf{Coefficient} \pm \textbf{SE}$	P-value
Intercept	27.040 ± 1.423	<0.0001
Age	$-0.728 \pm 0.133$	<0.0001
Phenotypes		
NM	_	_
IM	$-4.468 \pm 1.184$	0.0002
PM	$-9.429 \pm 1.762$	<0.0001
Presence of immunosupp	ressants	
No	_	_
Yes	$2.266 \pm 0.629$	0.0004
Logarithmic of CRP	$-0.515 \pm 0.190$	<0.0001
Interactive effects of log(	CRP) and Phenotypes	
CRP*NM	_	_
CRP*IM	$-0.001 \pm 0.255$	0.9956
CRP*PM	$1.102 \pm 0.340$	0.0013

-, Indicates not applicable; SE, standard error. The bold values indicate statistical significance P<0.05.

TDM-based dose adjustment is sorely needed to optimize drug concentrations.

The study of clinical importance of CYP2C19 genotype on voriconazole pharmacokinetics in pediatric patients is controversial. It has been proposed that voriconazole exposure in immunocompromised children and adolescents could not be predicted based on CYP2C19 genotype (5, 6). In this study, voriconazole exposure was lower in the CYP2C19 NM group compared with the IM group and the PM group. Our results corroborate previous findings in pediatric patients (15-17, 19). Walsh et al. (15) and Karlsson et al. (16) showed significantly higher elimination capacity in the NM group than in the IM and PM groups in pediatric population pharmacokinetic analysis. Narita et al. (17) reported higher trough plasma concentrations of voriconazole in the PM and IM groups compared with the NM and UM groups. A recent study demonstrated that patients aged no more than 12 years and more than 12 years required doses of 6.53  $\pm$  2.08 and 3.95  $\pm$  0.85 mg/kg of body weight twice daily (p = 0.007) in the CYP2C19 UM or NM group, patients younger than 12 and older than 12 years required TABLE 4.1 | Effects of CRP concentration on voriconazole CDR (µg/ml per mg/kg) on three subgroups of patients based on phenotypes.

Factor	Subgroup based on phenotypes						
	NM	NM		IM		РМ	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value	
Age	0.09627	0.0006	0.06113	0.0419	0.05928	0.3776	
Gender							
Male	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Female	-0.02108	0.9234	-0.1791	0.4033	-0.2857	0.4508	
Presence of immunosuppressants							
No	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Yes	0.1862	0.1622	-0.5287	0.0040	-0.1649	_	
Logarithmic of weight-corrected dose	0.7695	0.0011	0.1635	0.2711	0.2360	0.4330	
Logarithmic of CRP	0.2400	<0.0001	0.2605	<0.0001	0.2307	0.0026	

-, Indicates not applicable; The bold values indicate statistical significance P < 0.05.





doses of 5.75  $\pm$  1.73 and 4.23  $\pm$  0.76 mg/kg twice daily (p = 0.019) in the *CYP2C19* PM or IM group for reaching therapeutic concentration (19). In our study, the median daily dose of voriconazole required to achieve therapeutic range also demonstrated an phenotypic dose effect: 20.8 mg/kg (range, 16.2–26.8 mg/kg) for the *CYP2C19* NM group, 18.2 mg/kg (range, 13.3–21.8 mg/kg) for the *CYP2C19* IM group, and 15.2 mg/kg (range, 10.7–19.1 mg/kg) for the *CYP2C19* PM group, respectively. The difference in dose requirements between the two studies might be explained by the age difference. The median age was 6.0 years (range, 3.0–9.0 years) and only five patients

were older than 12 years in our study. As the voriconazole daily dose required varied widely within each phenotype and overlapped considerably across *CYP2C19* phenotypes, we failed to provide dose regimens based on *CYP2C19* phenotypes, whereas our results demonstrated that the recommended doses in voriconazole product characteristics for pediatric patients were very likely not enough for adequate therapeutic drug levels in the *CYP2C19* NM and IM groups in patients younger than 12 years.

Severe infections and inflammation reactions are commonly seen in hospitalized pediatric patients, particularly in immunocompromised pediatric patients. A prospective study TABLE 4.2 | Effects of CRP concentration on voriconazole dose required to achieve therapeutic range (mg/kg) on three subgroups of patients based on phenotypes.

Factor			Subgroup based	l on phenotypes		
	NM		IM		РМ	I
	$\textbf{Coefficient} \pm \textbf{SE}$	P-value	$\textbf{Coefficient} \pm \textbf{SE}$	P-value	$\textbf{Coefficient} \pm \textbf{SE}$	P-value
Intercept	27.939 ± 2.178	<0.0001	$21.099 \pm 1.556$	<0.0001	21.244 ± 3.078	<0.0001
Age	$-0.865 \pm 0.229$	0.0005	$-0.523 \pm 0.186$	0.0075	$-1.060 \pm 0.305$	0.0043
Presence of immunos	uppressants					
No	_	_	_	_	_	_
Yes	$2.340 \pm 1.220$	0.057	$2.558 \pm 0.810$	0.0018	$0.193 \pm 1.755$	0.9128
Logarithmic of CRP	$-0.518 \pm 0.226$	0.0232	$-0.520 \pm 0.173$	0.0030	$0.639\pm0.213$	0.0038

-, Indicates not applicable; SE, standard error. The bold values indicate statistical significance P < 0.05.



reported that inflammation severely affects midazolam clearance in pediatric patients (35). In *in vitro* studies, it was demonstrated that proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin 2 (IL-2), IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ , may negatively regulate the CYP2C19 enzyme (36). Moreover, it has been reported that cytokines may stimulate the production of acute-phase proteins, such as CRP (37). Only two previous studies in pediatric patients showed that CRP levels were significantly associated with voriconazole pharmacokinetics in children 12 years or older, and no effect of inflammation on voriconazole concentration was observed in younger children (24, 25). The CRP concentrations had a significant impact on voriconazole exposure and dose requirement in our study. Moreover, the impact of CRP on the trough concentration of voriconazole was modulated by *CYP2C19* phenotypes, and the extent of increase in voriconazole trough concentration was larger for the IM group than the PM group and the NM group (**Table 4.1**; **Figure 3**). Increases of 20, 120, 245, and 395 mg/L from 5 mg/L in CRP levels were associated with an increase in voriconazole trough concentration by 22.22, 50, 64.81, and 75%; by 39.26, 94.48, 123.93, and 146.63%; and by 17.17, 37.34, 46.78, and 53.65% in the NM, IM, and PM groups, respectively. The result was consistent with a

previous study in adults (38), whereas a recent meta-analysis demonstrated a smaller effect of inflammation for adult patients with decreased metabolic capacity for CYP2C19 (IM and PM) than those with normal (NM) or elevated metabolic capacity (RM and UM) (39). The study by Gautier-Veyret et al. (40) was inconsistent with ours. In their study, CRP levels tended to positively influence voriconazole concentration in adults with an increased metabolic activity, but had no impact on adults with normal metabolic activity. The conflicting results could be explained by the genetic factors that integrated both CYP2C19 and CYP3A polymorphisms in the latter study. In our study, we also presented the interactive effect between CRP and CYP2C19 phenotypes on daily dose of voriconazole required to achieve therapeutic range. The dosages required were decreased in the NM group and the IM group by different degrees with the same increase in the CRP level, but increased in the PM group with the same increase in the CRP level (Table 4.2; Figure 4). This may be explained by the phenomenon of inflammationinduced phenoconversion of polymorphic drug-metabolizing enzymes (36).

Age was an influencing factor contributing to the interindividual variability in voriconazole exposures in our population. Hicks et al. (13) reported a correlation between age and higher voriconazole through concentrations corrected for daily dose in pediatric patients, and age also did have a significant impact in a multivariate analysis. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies demonstrated that voriconazole appears to be more rapid metabolized in pediatric patients compared with that in adults; the maintenance doses/weights needed in pediatric patients were higher (41, 42). Mechanistically, age is closely related to the activity of liver microsomes, the body fat, and total body water, which potentially leads to the difference of metabolism or distribution of voriconazole.

Voriconazole is often treated in combination with immunosuppressant drugs in patients after HSCT. Immunosuppressant therapies, including cyclosporine, tacrolimus, and sirolimus, are substrates of CYP3A4 enzyme. The drug-drug interactions have high clinical relevance because of the inhibition effect of voriconazole on CYP3A4 enzyme, whereas the effect of immunosuppressants on voriconazole exposure is rarely reported. In our study, immunosuppressant treatments were statistically significant covariates explaining interindividual variability in voriconazole exposure and dose requirement in multivariate linear mixed-effects regression analysis. Zeng et al. (43) reported that the cyclosporine concentration was an independent factor of voriconazole concentration variation, but combination of cyclosporine did not affect voriconazole concentration. Hashemizadeh et al. (44) showed no significant correlation between immunosuppressant treatments and voriconazole concentration. Cyclosporine administration was significantly associated with an increase in voriconazole exposure in the plasma, cerebrospinal fluid, and brain in an animal model of cerebral scedosporiosis (45). An in vitro study demonstrated that low concentrations of voriconazole was mainly (~93%) metabolized by CYP2C19, whereas CYP3A4 was mainly (~73%) responsible for metabolism in the case of high concentrations of voriconazole (46). As cyclosporine, tacrolimus, and sirolimus are substrates of CYP3A4, voriconazole disposition at high concentration might be modified by competition at the CYP3A4 catalytic site, whereas more *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies are still needed for the conflicting issue about the effect of immunosuppressants on voriconazole exposure.

This study has several limitations. First, this is a retrospective observation study in a single tertiary center. Second, genetic polymorphisms of other proteins (CYP3A4, CYP3A5, FMO) that may influence voriconazole pharmacokinetics were not analyzed. Further prospective studies are still needed to validate our findings and to explore the effect of other possible covariates not included in the present study.

# CONCLUSION

In this study, we found that influencing factors such as *CYP2C19* phenotype, CRP concentration, age, and presence of immunosuppressants were significantly associated with voriconazole trough concentrations and dose requirements to reach therapeutic range in Chinese pediatric patients. The combination of influencing factors with routine TDM may help to individualize voriconazole dosing and improve clinical outcomes.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

# ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Nanfang Hospital of Southern Medical University. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JC, YW, and SL performed and designed the research. JC and YW analyzed the data and wrote the article. JC, YH, XF, and YR collected the children's data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81703322).

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped. 2022.846411/full#supplementary-material

# REFERENCES

- 1. Wattier RL, Dvorak CC, Hoffman JA, Brozovich AA, Bin-Hussain I, Groll AH, et al. A prospective, international cohort study of invasive mold infections in children. *J Pediatr Infect Dis Soc.* (2015) 4:313-22. doi: 10.1093/jpids/piu074
- Girmenia C, Raiola AM, Piciocchi A, Algarotti A, Stanzani M, Cudillo L, et al. Incidence and outcome of invasive fungal diseases after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a prospective study of the Gruppo Italiano Trapianto Midollo Osseo (GITMO). *Biol Blood Marrow Transpl.* (2014) 20:872-80. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2014.03.004
- Ullmann AJ, Aguado JM, Arikan-Akdagli S, Denning DW, Groll AH, Lagrou K, et al. Diagnosis and management of Aspergillus diseases: executive summary of the 2017 ESCMID-ECMM-ERS guideline. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* (2018) 24(Suppl 1):e1-38. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2018.01.002
- 4. Tissot F, Agrawal S, Pagano L, Petrikkos G, Groll AH, Skiada A, et al. ECIL-6 guidelines for the treatment of invasive candidiasis, aspergillosis and mucormycosis in leukemia and hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients. *Haematologica*. (2017) 102:433-44. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2016.152900
- Driscoll TA, Frangoul H, Nemecek ER, Murphey DK, Yu LC, Blumer J, et al. Comparison of pharmacokinetics and safety of voriconazole intravenousto-oral switch in immunocompromised adolescents and healthy adults. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2011) 55:5780-9. doi: 10.1128/AAC.05010-11
- Driscoll TA, Yu LC, Frangoul H, Krance RA, Nemecek E, Blumer J, et al. Comparison of pharmacokinetics and safety of voriconazole intravenous-tooral switch in immunocompromised children and healthy adults. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2011) 55:5770-9. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00531-11
- Moriyama B, Obeng AO, Barbarino J, Penzak SR, Henning SA, Scott SA, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC). Guidelines for CYP2C19 and voriconazole therapy. *Clin Pharmacol Therap.* (2017) 102:45-51. doi: 10.1002/cpt.583
- Strom CM, Goos D, Crossley B, Zhang K, Buller-Burkle A, Jarvis M, et al. Testing for variants in CYP2C19: population frequencies and testing experience in a clinical laboratory. *Genetics Med.* (2012) 14:95-100. doi: 10.1038/gim.0b013e3182329870
- Man M, Farmen M, Dumaual C, Teng CH, Moser B, Irie S, et al. Genetic variation in metabolizing enzyme and transporter genes: comprehensive assessment in 3 major East Asian subpopulations with comparison to Caucasians and Africans. J Clin Pharmacol. (2010) 50:929-40. doi: 10.1177/0091270009355161
- Lamoureux F, Duflot T, Woillard JB, Metsu D, Pereira T, Compagnon P, et al. Impact of *CYP2C19* genetic polymorphisms on voriconazole dosing and exposure in adult patients with invasive fungal infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* (2016) 47:124-31. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.12.003
- Hamadeh IS, Klinker KP, Borgert SJ, Richards AI, Li W, Mangal N, et al. Impact of the *CYP2C19* genotype on voriconazole exposure in adults with invasive fungal infections. *Pharmacogenet Genom.* (2017) 27:190-6. doi: 10.1097/FPC.000000000000277
- Weiss J, Ten Hoevel MM, Burhenne J, Walter-Sack I, Hoffmann MM, Rengelshausen J, et al. *CYP2C19* genotype is a major factor contributing to the highly variable pharmacokinetics of voriconazole. *J Clin Pharmacol.* (2009) 49:196-204. doi: 10.1177/0091270008327537
- Hicks JK, Crews KR, Flynn P, Haidar CE, Daniels CC, Yang W, et al. Voriconazole plasma concentrations in immunocompromised pediatric patients vary by *CYP2C19* diplotypes. *Pharmacogenomics*. (2014) 15:1065-78. doi: 10.2217/pgs.14.53
- Espinoza N, Galdames J, Navea D, Farfán MJ, Salas C. Frequency of the *CYP2C19*\*17 polymorphism in a Chilean population and its effect on voriconazole plasma concentration in immunocompromised children. Sci Rep. (2019) 9:8863. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-45345-2
- Walsh TJ, Karlsson MO, Driscoll T, Arguedas AG, Adamson P, Saez-Llorens X, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of intravenous voriconazole in children after single- or multiple-dose administration. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2004) 48:2166-72. doi: 10.1128/AAC.48.6.2166-2172.2004
- Karlsson MO, Lutsar I, Milligan PA. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of voriconazole plasma concentration data from pediatric studies. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2009) 53:935-44. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00751-08
- 17. Narita A, Muramatsu H, Sakaguchi H, Doisaki S, Tanaka M, Hama A, et al. Correlation of *CYP2C19* phenotype with voriconazole plasma

concentration in children. J Pediatr Hematol/Oncol. (2013) 35:e219-23. doi: 10.1097/MPH.0b013e3182880eaa

- Muto C, Shoji S, Tomono Y, Liu P. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of voriconazole from a pharmacokinetic study with immunocompromised Japanese pediatric subjects. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2015) 59:3216-23. doi: 10.1128/AAC.04993-14
- Tian X, Zhang C, Qin Z, Wang D, Yang J, Zhang X. Impact of CYP2C19 phenotype and drug-drug interactions on voriconazole concentration in pediatric patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2021) 2021:Aac0020721. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00207-21
- Teusink A, Vinks A, Zhang K, Davies S, Fukuda T, Lane A, et al. Genotypedirected dosing leads to optimized voriconazole levels in pediatric patients receiving hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transpl.* (2016) 22:482-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2015.11.011
- Encalada Ventura MA, Span LF, van den Heuvel ER, Groothuis GM, Alffenaar JW. Influence of inflammation on voriconazole metabolism. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2015) 59:2942-3. doi: 10.1128/AAC.04789-14
- Encalada Ventura MA, van Wanrooy MJ, Span LF, Rodgers MG, van den Heuvel ER, Uges DR, et al. Longitudinal analysis of the effect of inflammation on voriconazole trough concentrations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2016) 60:2727-31. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02830-15
- Yasu T, Konuma T, Kato S, Kurokawa Y, Takahashi S, Tojo A. Serum C-reactive protein levels affect the plasma voriconazole trough levels in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Leukem Lymphoma*. (2017) 58:2731-3. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2017.1300897
- Ter Avest M, Veringa A, van den Heuvel ER, Kosterink JG, Schölvinck EH, Tissing WJ, et al. The effect of inflammation on voriconazole trough concentrations in children. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* (2017) 83:678-80. doi: 10.1111/bcp.13151
- Luo X, Li T, Hu L, Liu S, Zhao H, Zhang J, et al. Differential effects of C-reactive protein levels on voriconazole metabolism at three age groups in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *J Chemother*. (2021) 33:95-105. doi: 10.1080/1120009X.2020.1765604
- Zhong X, Tong X, Ju Y, Du X, Li Y. Interpersonal factors in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of voriconazole: are *CYP2C19* genotypes enough for us to make a clinical decision? *Curr Drug Metab.* (2018) 19:1152-8. doi: 10.2174/1389200219666171227200547
- Liu L, Zhou X, Wu T, Jiang H, Yang S, Zhang Y. Dose optimisation of voriconazole with therapeutic drug monitoring in children: a singlecentre experience in China. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. (2017) 49:483-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.11.028
- Lempers VJ, Meuwese E, Mavinkurve-Groothuis AM, Henriet S, van der Sluis IM, Hanff LM, et al. Impact of dose adaptations following voriconazole therapeutic drug monitoring in pediatric patients. *Med Mycol.* (2019) 57:937-43. doi: 10.1093/mmy/myz006
- Ding X, Yang Q, Su L, Huang X, Zhou Z. Correlation between C3435T locus of ABCB1 gene and poststroke depression in China. DNA Cell Biol. (2019) 38:808-13. doi: 10.1089/dna.2018.4565
- Kang HM, Lee HJ, Cho EY, Yu KS, Lee H, Lee JW, et al. The clinical significance of voriconazole therapeutic drug monitoring in children with invasive fungal infections. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2015) 32:557-67. doi: 10.3109/08880018.2015.1088905
- Pasqualotto AC, Xavier MO, Andreolla HF, Linden R. Voriconazole therapeutic drug monitoring: focus on safety. *Exp Opin Drug Saf.* (2010) 9:125-37. doi: 10.1517/14740330903485637
- Hu L, Dai TT, Zou L, Li TM, Ding XS, Yin T. Therapeutic drug monitoring of voriconazole in children from a tertiary care center in China. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2018) 62:e00955–18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00955-18
- Bartelink IH, Wolfs T, Jonker M, de Waal M, Egberts TC, Ververs TT, et al. Highly variable plasma concentrations of voriconazole in pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplantation patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2013) 57:235-40. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01540-12
- Boast A, Curtis N, Cranswick N, Gwee A. Voriconazole dosing and therapeutic drug monitoring in children: experience from a paediatric tertiary care centre. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2016) 71:2031-6. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw056
- 35. Vet NJ, Brussee JM, de Hoog M, Mooij MG, Verlaat CW, Jerchel IS, et al. Inflammation and organ failure severely affect midazolam clearance

in critically ill children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2016) 194:58-66. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201510-2114OC

- 36. Shah RR, Smith RL. Inflammation-induced phenoconversion of polymorphic drug metabolizing enzymes: hypothesis with implications for personalized medicine. *Drug Metab Disposit.* (2015) 43:400-10. doi: 10.1124/dmd.114.061093
- Gabay C, Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. N Engl J Med. (1999) 340:448-54. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199902113400607
- Veringa A, Ter Avest M, Span LF, van den Heuvel ER, Touw DJ, Zijlstra JG, et al. Voriconazole metabolism is influenced by severe inflammation: a prospective study. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2017) 72:261-7. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw349
- Bolcato L, Khouri C, Veringa A, Alffenaar JWC, Yamada T, Naito T, et al. Combined impact of inflammation and pharmacogenomic variants on voriconazole trough concentrations: a meta-analysis of individual data. J Clin Med. (2021) 10:2089. doi: 10.3390/jcm10102089
- Gautier-Veyret E, Bailly S, Fonrose X, Tonini J, Chevalier S, Thiebaut-Bertrand A, et al. Pharmacogenetics may influence the impact of inflammation on voriconazole trough concentrations. *Pharmacogenomics*. (2017) 18:1119-23. doi: 10.2217/pgs-2017-0054
- 41. Michael C, Bierbach U, Frenzel K, Lange T, Basara N, Niederwieser D, et al. Voriconazole pharmacokinetics and safety in immunocompromised children compared to adult patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2010) 54:3225-32. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01731-09
- 42. Walsh TJ, Driscoll T, Milligan PA, Wood ND, Schlamm H, Groll AH, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of voriconazole in immunocompromised children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2010) 54:4116-23. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00896-10
- 43. Zeng G, Shi L, Li H, Wang L, Zhu M, Luo J, et al. Effect of cyclosporine a and polymorphisms in CYP2C19 and ABCC2 on the concentration of voriconazole in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Xenobiotica*. (2020) 50:614-9. doi: 10.1080/00498254.2019.1672907

- 44. Hashemizadeh Z, Badiee P, Malekhoseini SA, Raeisi Shahraki H, Geramizadeh B, Montaseri H. Observational study of associations between voriconazole therapeutic drug monitoring, toxicity, and outcome in liver transplant patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2017) 61:e01211-7. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01 211-17
- 45. Lelièvre B, Briet M, Godon C, Legras P, Riou J, Vandeputte P, et al. Impact of infection status and cyclosporine on voriconazole pharmacokinetics in an experimental model of cerebral scedosporiosis. *J Pharmacol Exp Therap.* (2018) 365:408-12. doi: 10.1124/jpet.117.24 5449
- Hyland R, Jones BC, Smith DA. Identification of the cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the N-oxidation of voriconazole. *Drug Metab Disposit.* (2003) 31:540-7. doi: 10.1124/dmd.31. 5.540

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Chen, Wu, He, Feng, Ren and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.