**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

### The Bromodomain Inhibitor JQ1 Enhances the Responses to All-trans Retinoic Acid in HL-60 and MV4-11 Leukemia Cells

Changhee Kang<sup>1</sup>, C-Yoon Kim<sup>1</sup>, Hyuk Soon Kim<sup>2</sup>, Se-Pill Park<sup>3</sup>, Hyung-Min Chung<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Stem Cell Biology, School of Medicine, Konkuk University, Seoul, Korea <sup>2</sup>Department of Immunology and Physiology, School of Medicine, Konkuk University, Seoul, Korea <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Biotechnology, College of Applied Life Sciences, Jeju National University, Jeju, Korea

All-*trans* retinoic acid (ATRA) is a highly effective treatment for acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), a cytogenetically distinct subtype of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). However, ATRA-based treatment is not effective in other subtypes of AML. In non-APL AML, ATRA signaling pathway is impaired or downmodulated, and consequently fails to respond to pharmacological doses of ATRA. Therefore, complementary treatment strategies are needed to improve ATRA responsiveness in non-APL AML. In this study, we investigated the combined effect of ATRA and bromodomain inhibitor JQ1, proven to have potent anti-cancer activity mainly through inhibition of c-Myc. We showed that the combination of ATRA with JQ1 synergistically inhibited proliferation of AML cells. The synergistic growth inhibition was resulted from differentiation or apoptosis depending on the kind of AML cells. Concomitantly, the combined treatment of ATRA and JQ1 caused greater depletion of c-Myc and hTERT expression than each agent alone in AML cells. Taken together, these findings support the rationale for the use of the combination of ATRA and JQ1 as a therapeutic strategy for the treatment of AML.

Keywords: Acute myeloid leukemia, All-trans retinoic acid, JQ1, c-Myc, Differentiation, Apoptosis

#### Introduction

All-*trans* retinoic acid (ATRA) is synthesized from retinol (Vitamin A) and serves as the physiological ligand for retinoic acid receptor (RAR). ATRA binds to the RAR and activates transcription of target genes. Many RAR target genes are involved in myeloid cell differentiation (1, 2), in-

Received: March 6, 2018, Revised: March 23, 2018,

Accepted: March 23, 2018, Published online: April 30, 2018 Correspondence to **Hyung-Min Chung** 

Department of Stem Cell Biology, School of Medicine, Konkuk University, 120 Neungdong-ro, Gwangjin-gu, Seoul 05029, Korea Tel: +82-2-2049-6232, Fax: +82-2-455-9015 E-mail: stemchung@gmail.com cluding C/EBP  $\varepsilon$ , PU.1 and HOX proteins. In Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), characterized by the expression of a PML/RAR  $\alpha$  (promyelocytic leukemia/RAR alpha) fusion protein, RAR signaling is impaired and myeloid differentiation is arrested at the promyelocytic stage (3). Pharmacologic doses of ATRA induce a conformational change in PML/RAR  $\alpha$ , resulting in the dissociation of co-repressor complexes and the recruitment of co-activator complexes (4, 5). This allows the restoration of gene transcription involved in myeloid differentiation. In contrast to APL, other forms of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) are either nonresponsive or show blunted responses to ATRA. The underlying reasons identified thus far are associated with impaired RAR  $\alpha$  function by aberrantly expressed proteins and epigenomic changes that affect RAR signaling (6). Therefore, complementary treatment strategies have been studied to improve the effectiveness of ATRA (7-9).

The transcription factor c-Myc plays essential roles in cell proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation through its

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2018 by the Korean Society for Stem Cell Research

ability to both positively and negatively regulate gene expression (10). c-Myc is frequently overexpressed in AML mainly due to trisomy 8, FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3-internal tandem duplication (FLT3-ITD) mutation, or gene amplification and has been shown to contribute to leuke-mogenesis (11). A recent study has shown that c-Myc binds RAR  $\alpha$  and represses ATRA-target genes in AML cells (12).

Although c-Myc plays an important role in leukemogenesis, it has been difficult to target pharmacologically. Recently, bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) domain protein BRD4 emerged as new therapeutic target to suppress c-Myc expression (13, 14). BRD4 recruits positive transcription elongation factor b (P-TEFb) complex to RNA polymerase II and initiates transcriptional elongation (15). Bet bromodomain inhibitors, such as IO1 and I-BET151, competitively bind to acetyl-lysine recognition pocket of BRD4, displaces BRD4 from chromatin, and represses transcription of genes in the affected chromatin region (16). In c-Myc-driven malignancies such as multiple myeloma and AML, Bet bromodomain inhibitors repress c-Myc expression and thereby exhibit significant anti-cancer effect (13, 14). Therefore, Bet bromodomain inhibitors have emerged as promising anticancer agents, currently being evaluated in clinical trials in hematologic malignancies with some encouraging results (17, 18). However, treatment with Bet bromodomain inhibitor produced the dose-limiting toxicities and resistance mechanisms were identified (19-21). Thus, increasing efforts are needed to identify rational targeted drug combination for synergy and to overcome potential resistance mechanisms. Several combinations have been explored with BET inhibitors and synergistic effects were observed with greater inhibition of proliferation, compared to either drug alone (22-24).

In this study, we investigated the antileukemic effects of ATRA and BET bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 when they were used in combination against non-APL AML cells. We observed that the combination of JQ1 and ATRA synergistically reduced the proliferation of AML cells. The enhanced growth inhibition might be associated with inhibition of c-Myc and its target gene, hTERT.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Cell culture

HL-60 and MV4-11 cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and cultured according to ATCC recommendations. All cell lines were maintained in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. All experiments with cell lines were performed within 6 months after thawing or obtaining from ATCC.

#### Reagents

All-*trans*-retinoic acid (ATRA) was purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO). JQ1 was purchased from Selleck chemicals (Houston, TX). They were all dissolved by dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as a stock solution at 50 mM and 10 mM respectively.

#### Cell proliferation

Cells were seeded at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells to  $2 \times 10^5$  cells per ml and rested for 5 hours. Cells were then treated with ATRA and/or JQ1 for the indicated days. The number of viable cells was assessed using the Cell counting kit-8 (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The coefficient of drug interaction (CDI) is calculated as follows: CDI=AB/(A× B). According to the absorbance of each group, AB is the ratio of the combination groups to control group; A or B is the ratio of the single agent group to control group. Thus, CDI value <1, =1 or >1 indicates that the drugs are synergistic, additive or antagonistic, respectively.

#### Flow cytometry

Myeloid differentiation was assessed by detection of the cell surface CD11b expression. Cells were pre-incubated with 0.5  $\mu$ g Fc block (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) for 10 minutes at RT and stained with anti-CD11b-PE antibody (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) for 30 min at 4°C. The labeled cells were then washed and analyzed for CD11b expression. The apoptosis assay was performed using Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Briefly, cells were washed with staining buffer and resuspended in 300  $\mu$ 1 of binding buffer. 100  $\mu$ l of the samples were incubated with FITC-labeled Annexin V for 15 min in the dark at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by adding 300  $\mu$ l of binding buffer and analyzed. Flow cytometry was performed on a FACSCalibur equipped with CellQuest Pro software (BD systems, San Jose, CA). FlowJo software (Version7.6.1, Treestar, Ashland, OR) was used for subsequent analysis.

#### Western blotting

Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and lysed in RIPA buffer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) containing Complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and PhosSTP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). After incubation on ice for 30 minutes, cell lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. Supernatants were collected and protein concentrations were determined by Bradford's protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) according to manufacturer's protocol. 20  $\mu$ g protein extracts were subjected to 8% ~12% SDS-PAGE gels according to molecular weight of detected protein bands and then transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The blots were blocked for 1 h in 5% bovine serum albumin in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T), and incubated with primary antibody at 4°C overnight. The blots were washed three times and then incubated

with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) at room temperature for 1 h. After washing, blots were developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) according to manufacturer's instructions (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and imaged with a LAS-4000 imaging system (Hugifilm Life Science). The following primary antibodies were used: anti-c-Myc (Abcam, Cambridge, UK).





**Fig. 1.** JQ1 synergizes with ATRA to reduce proliferation of AML cells. (A) HL-60 cells and MV4-11 cells were treated with ATRA (20~100 nM) for the indicated days. Cell proliferation was measured using a CCK-8 assay. The data represent the means $\pm$ SD of 3 independent experiments. \*ATRA versus control (\*\*\*p<0.001). (B) HL-60 cells and MV4-11 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of JQ1 and/or 100 nM ATRA for 3 days. Cell proliferation was measured using a CCK-8 assay. The data represent the means $\pm$ SD of 3 independent experiments. \*combination versus ATRA (\*\*\*p<0.001); <sup>†</sup> combination versus JQ1 (<sup>†††</sup>p<0.001). (C) CDI values at different concentrations of JQ1 and 100 nM ATRA were calculated as described in materials and methods.

anti-C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  (GeneTex. Irvine, CA). anti- $\beta$ -actin (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA).

#### RNA extraction and Real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cells with the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), and cDNA was synthesized using High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Real-time PCR was performed in duplicate using SYBR green reagents (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). The PCR protocol consisted of thermal cycling as follows: pre-amplification at 95°C for 2 min followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 sec; 60°C for 10 sec; and 72°C for 10 sec using LightCycler 96 System (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). The target mRNA expression was quantified using the  $\Delta$   $\Delta C_T$  method and normalized to GAPDH expression.

#### Results

## JQ1 synergizes with ATRA to reduce proliferation of AML cells

We first explored the ability of ATRA to inhibit the proliferation of HL-60 and MV4-11 cells by CCK-8 assay. Both the HL-60 and MV4-11 cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of ATRA for the indicated days. ATRA concentrations of  $20 \sim 100$  nM induced similar growth inhibition in both cell lines (Fig. 1A). Therefore, we selected a dose of 100 nM ATRA which has been used in most in vitro studies (7-9). To determine the combined effects



**Fig. 2.** Effect of JQ1 on ATRA-induced HL-60 cell differentiation. HL-60 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of JQ1 and/or 100 nM ATRA for 3 days. (A) Histogram (left) and bar graph (right) represented CD11b expression. Values were expressed as Mean fluorescence of intensity (MFI). Experiments were independently repeated three times and results were shown as mean  $\pm$  SD. (B) The mRNA levels of C/EBP  $\epsilon$  were determined by real-time PCR. The relative expression of C/EBP  $\epsilon$  mRNA was normalized to GAPDH and was reported as a percentage of untreated cells. (C) The protein levels of C/EBP  $\epsilon$  were measured by Western blot analysis using 20  $\mu$ g protein. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. \*combination versus ATRA (\*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*p<0.01).

of ATRA and JQ1 on AML cell proliferation, we selected the dose of 50 nM and 100 nM JQ1 which were not enough for complete growth inhibition.

As shown in Fig. 1B, both HL-60 and MV4-11 cells were treated with indicated concentrations of JQ1 alone, ATRA (100 nM) alone, or the combination. To test the combination effect, the Coefficient and Drug Interaction (CDI) was calculated as described in materials and methods. As expected from the CCK-8 assay results (Fig. 1B), CDI values less than 1 indicated the combination of JQ1 and ATRA yielded synergistic interactions at both concentrations (50 nM and 100 nM) of JQ1 (Fig. 1C).

# JQ1 enhances ATRA-induced differentiation of HL-60 cells

As the combination of JQ1 and ATRA synergistically inhibited proliferation of HL-60 and MV4-11 cells, we sought to examine whether the synergy resulted from differentiation. We assessed myeloid differentiation by increased CD11b expression using flow cytometry. Treatment of HL-60 cells with 100 nM of ATRA alone for 3 days resulted in 41.6±13.3 MFI of CD11b expression. When cells were treated with 50 nM or 100 nM JQ1 alone, MFI of CD11b expression was close to control or minimal respectively. However, combined treatment of ATRA with JQ1



**Fig. 3.** Effect of combined treatment with JQ1 and ATRA on apoptosis of AML cells. (A) HL-60 cells and (B) MV4-11 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of JQ1 and/or 100 nM ATRA for 48 h. At the end of treatment, cells were washed with staining buffer and stained with Annexin V-FITC. Annexin-V positive, apoptotic cells was determined by flow cytometry. Numbers in each plot represented the percentage of Annexin-V positive cells. Bar graph showed means  $\pm$ SD of 3 independent experiments. \*combination versus ATRA (\*\*p<0.01; \*p<0.05; ns, not significant).

for 3 days significantly induced CD11b expression dose dependently (96.8 $\pm$ 16 MFI for 50 nM JQ1 and 142.3 $\pm$ 9.7 MFI for 100 nM JQ1) (Fig. 2A). In MV4-11 cells, neither agent alone nor the combination induced CD11b expression (data not shown).

The enhanced differentiation was also confirmed by the expression changes in C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  mRNA and protein in HL-60 cells. C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  is a downstream target gene of RAR and a terminal differentiation marker of granulocytes (25). As shown in Fig. 2B, ATRA alone elevated C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  mRNA



**Fig. 4.** Effect of JQ1 and ATRA on the expression of c-Myc and hTERT mRNA. HL-60 cells (left) and MV4-11 cells (right) were treated with 100 nM ATRA and/or the indicated concentrations of JQ1 for 24 h. At the end of treatment, cells were harvest and analyzed in the following experiments. (A) The mRNA levels of c-Myc were determined by real-time PCR. The relative expression of c-Myc mRNA was normalized to GAPDH and was reported as a percentage of untreated cells. (B) The protein levels of c-Myc were measured by Western blot analysis using 20  $\mu$ g protein. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. (C) The mRNA levels of hTERT were determined by real-time PCR. The relative expression of hTERT mRNA was normalized to GAPDH and was reported as a percentage of untreated cells. \*combination versus ATRA (\*\*p<0.01; \*p<0.05); <sup>†</sup>combination versus JQ1 (<sup>††</sup>p<0.01; <sup>†</sup>p<0.05).

level, but the combined treatment of ATRA with JQ1 synergistically increased the levels of C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  mRNA. Similarly, Western blot analysis also revealed a strong upregulation of C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  protein after the combined treatment of JQ1 and ATRA, compared to either treatment alone. Taken together, these results suggest that the combination of JQ1 and ATRA has the great potential to induce myeloid differentiation in HL-60 cells.

#### JQ1 enhances ATRA-induced apoptosis of MV4-11 cells

We next examined whether the synergistic effect of JQ1 and ATRA on suppression of AML cell growth resulted from apoptosis. Annexin V staining revealed that co-treatment with JQ1 and ATRA for 48 h did not have any synergistic or additive effect on apoptosis in HL-60 cells (Fig. 3A). In the case of MV4-11 cells, the combined treatment of JQ1 and ATRA enhanced apoptosis (Fig. 3B). Although the combined effect on apoptosis was not so significant, it may explain, at least in part, its effect on the synergistic growth inhibition.

#### The combined treatment of ATRA with JQ1 enhances the downregulation of c-Myc and hTERT mRNA in AML cells

To find a mechanism underlying the synergy between JQ1 and ATRA, we investigated expression levels of c-Myc in AML cells, because c-Myc is involved in AML cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (11, 26). Consistent with previous reports (13, 14), treatment with JQ1 for 24 hours dose-dependently decreased the levels of c-Myc mRNA. (Fig. 4A). ATRA alone also induced downregulation of c-Myc mRNA, and the combined treatment of ATRA with the indicated concentrations (50 nM and 100 nM) of JQ1 caused strong downregulation of c-Myc mRNA, compared to either treatment alone. (Fig. 4A). Corresponding to the decrease in c-Myc mRNA, the combination of JQ1 and ATRA also enhanced the down-regulation of c-Myc protein (Fig. 4B). Thus, the expression levels of c-Myc were correlated with the results of proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis analyses, and may contribute to the synergistic effect of ATRA and JQ1.

We next investigated the combined effect of ATRA and JQ1 on mRNA expression of hTERT, a direct target of c-Myc (27). Consistent with previous reports, treatment with 100 nM ATRA for 24 hours decreased the levels of hTERT mRNA in HL-60 cells (Fig. 4C, left). Treatment with indicated concentrations (50 nM and 100 nM) of JQ1 also downregulated hTERT mRNA, but the combined treatment of ATRA with JQ1 caused strong downregulation of hTERT mRNA, compared to either treatment alone in HL-60 cells (Fig. 4C, left). These effects were also observed

in MV4-11 cell, although to a lesser extent (Fig. 4C, right). However, hTERT mRNA expression was much lower when cells were treated in the same way for longer time period (48 h, data not shown). These results suggest that the levels of hTERT mRNA are correlated with anti-proliferative synergism between JQ1 and ATRA.

#### Discussion

APL is the only malignancy in which differentiation therapy using ATRA in combination with chemotherapy has resulted in cure (28, 29). However, AML, with exception of APL, fails to respond to the differentiation therapy. Because treatment of ATRA alone is not enough for complete eradication of AML cells, many efforts have focused on the search of effective agents in combination with ATRA (7-9). In this study, we showed that JQ1 could improve the ATRA responsiveness in non-APL AML cells. To our knowledge, it is the first time to show that JQ1 can enhance ATRA responsiveness in non-APL AML cells.

We observed that JQ1 and ATRA synergistically inhibited cell proliferation in both AML cell lines, HL-60 and MV4-11. The synergistic growth inhibitions resulted from different biological responses between two AML cell lines, myeloid differentiation in HL-60 cells and apoptosis in MV4-11 cells.

For the molecular mechanisms underlying the synergy in the combined treatment of JQ1 and ATRA, we focused on c-Myc expression. Inhibition of c-Myc has been shown to induce differentiation and apoptosis in AML cells (11, 30, 31). In this study, we found that the combined treatment of JQ1 and ATRA resulted in a greater decrease of c-Myc than either treatment alone. The greater decrease of c-Myc was correlated with more growth inhibition, differentiation and apoptosis in AML cells. These results indicate that c-Myc inhibition may contribute to the synergistic effect of ATRA and JQ1. Inhibition of BRD4 by JQ1 has been shown to block transcriptional elongation of c-myc gene (15, 16). ATRA is also reported to block c-Myc mRNA elongation (32). Therefore, the double modulation of c-Myc expression induced by these two agents may contribute to the observed synergy in AML cells.

We also examined the expression of hTERT after the combined treatment of JQ1 and ATRA. hTERT expression is directly regulated by c-Myc transcription factor (27) and is the major mechanism to regulate telomerase activity (33). Telomerase is overexpressed in most AML cells and its inhibition eliminates AML cells (34, 35). In this regards, the synergistic effect of JQ1 and ATRA on the downregulation of hTERT expression may be the key to

eradication of AML cells.

In this work, we assessed myeloid differentiation in HL-60 cells by CD11b and C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  expression. CD11b expression has been used in many studies as myeloid differentiation marker (8, 14, 30, 31). And C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  is a downstream target gene of RAR and a terminal differentiation marker of granulocytes (25). We found that JQ1 markedly enhanced ATRA-induced differentiation of HL-60 cells. Although ATRA alone did up-regulate CD11b and C/EBP  $\varepsilon$ , we speculated that such increase might not be enough to induce complete maturation but only combined treatment resulting in significant up-regulation of CD11b and C/EBP  $\varepsilon$  could speed up terminal differentiation. Recently, c-Myc has been shown to bind RAR  $\alpha$  and repress ATRA-target genes in AML cells (12). JO1 is likely to enhance ATRA-induced differentiation in HL-60 cells by relieving the repressive activity of c-Myc on RAR  $\alpha$ .

On the other hand, the combination and either agent alone had no effect on myeloid differentiation in MV4-11 cells. Instead, consistent with the previous reports (7, 9), ATRA acted as not a differentiation inducing agent but apoptosis inducer in MV4-11 cells that express FLT3-ITD mutation. Combined treatment of ATRA with JQ1 enhanced apoptosis in MV4-11 cells, compared to either treatment alone. However, the combined effect on apoptosis was additive or weakly synergistic, so mechanisms other than apoptosis might be involved in synergistic growth inhibition.

The combined treatment of JQ1 and ATRA enhanced differentiation in HL-60 cells and apoptosis in MV4-11 cells. Inhibition of c-Myc can induce differentiation or apoptosis depending on cell types (11, 26). This may explain the different biological effects observed in HL-60 and MV4-11 cells for the combination of JQ1 and ATRA.

To date, many efforts have focused on the search of agent that can enhance ATRA-induced differentiation in non-APL AML cells (8, 36-38). In this regard, the enhancing effect of JQ1 in ATRA-induced apoptosis shows another aspect of the combinatorial effect against AML. Therefore, we surmised that the combination might broaden the scope of its potential use in AML.

In conclusion, our results show that the combination of JQ1 and ATRA have enhanced therapeutic potential for AML. The combined treatment of JQ1 and ATRA resulted in greater depletion of c-Myc and hTERT expression. These results may explain the synergistic anti-leukemic effects of the combination of JQ1 and ATRA. Although further clinical and mechanistic studies are needed for this combination treatment, our findings presented here underscore their potential use in the treatment of AML.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant (715003-07) from the Research Center for Production Management and Technical Development for High Quality Livestock Products through Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs Research Center Support Program, Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs.

#### **Potential Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no conflicting financial interest.

#### References

- Balmer JE, Blomhoff R. Gene expression regulation by retinoic acid. J Lipid Res 2002;43:1773-1808
- Chambon P. A decade of molecular biology of retinoic acid receptors. FASEB J 1996;10:940-954
- de Thé H, Lavau C, Marchio A, Chomienne C, Degos L, Dejean A. The PML-RAR alpha fusion mRNA generated by the t(15;17) translocation in acute promyelocytic leukemia encodes a functionally altered RAR. Cell 1991;66:675-684
- Lin RJ, Nagy L, Inoue S, Shao W, Miller WH Jr, Evans RM. Role of the histone deacetylase complex in acute promyelocytic leukaemia. Nature 1998;391:811-814
- Grignani F, De Matteis S, Nervi C, Tomassoni L, Gelmetti V, Cioce M, Fanelli M, Ruthardt M, Ferrara FF, Zamir I, Seiser C, Grignani F, Lazar MA, Minucci S, Pelicci PG. Fusion proteins of the retinoic acid receptor-alpha recruit histone deacetylase in promyelocytic leukaemia. Nature 1998;391:815-818
- Schenk T, Stengel S, Zelent A. Unlocking the potential of retinoic acid in anticancer therapy. Br J Cancer 2014;111: 2039-2045
- Scholl S, Müller R, Clement JH, Loncarevic IF, Böhmer FD, Höffken K. ATRA can enhance apoptosis that is induced by Flt3 tyrosine kinase inhibition in Flt3-ITD positive cells. Leuk Res 2006;30:633-642
- 8. Schenk T, Chen WC, Göllner S, Howell L, Jin L, Hebestreit K, Klein HU, Popescu AC, Burnett A, Mills K, Casero RA Jr, Marton L, Woster P, Minden MD, Dugas M, Wang JC, Dick JE, Müller-Tidow C, Petrie K, Zelent A. Inhibition of the LSD1 (KDM1A) demethylase reactivates the all-transretinoic acid differentiation pathway in acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Med 2012;18:605-611
- Ma HS, Greenblatt SM, Shirley CM, Duffield AS, Bruner JK, Li L, Nguyen B, Jung E, Aplan PD, Ghiaur G, Jones RJ, Small D. All-trans retinoic acid synergizes with FLT3 inhibition to eliminate FLT3/ITD+ leukemia stem cells in vitro and in vivo. Blood 2016;127:2867-2878
- Adhikary S, Eilers M. Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2005; 6:635-645
- Delgado MD, León J. Myc roles in hematopoiesis and leukemia. Genes Cancer 2010;1:605-616
- 12. Uribesalgo I, Buschbeck M, Gutiérrez A, Teichmann S,

Demajo S, Kuebler B, Nomdedéu JF, Martín-Caballero J, Roma G, Benitah SA, Di Croce L. E-box-independent regulation of transcription and differentiation by MYC. Nat Cell Biol 2011;13:1443-1449

- 13. Delmore JE, Issa GC, Lemieux ME, Rahl PB, Shi J, Jacobs HM, Kastritis E, Gilpatrick T, Paranal RM, Qi J, Chesi M, Schinzel AC, McKeown MR, Heffernan TP, Vakoc CR, Bergsagel PL, Ghobrial IM, Richardson PG, Young RA, Hahn WC, Anderson KC, Kung AL, Bradner JE, Mitsiades CS. BET bromodomain inhibition as a therapeutic strategy to target c-Myc. Cell 2011;146:904-917
- 14. Zuber J, Shi J, Wang E, Rappaport AR, Herrmann H, Sison EA, Magoon D, Qi J, Blatt K, Wunderlich M, Taylor MJ, Johns C, Chicas A, Mulloy JC, Kogan SC, Brown P, Valent P, Bradner JE, Lowe SW, Vakoc CR. RNAi screen identifies Brd4 as a therapeutic target in acute myeloid leukaemia. Nature 2011;478:524-528
- Jang MK, Mochizuki K, Zhou M, Jeong HS, Brady JN, Ozato K. The bromodomain protein Brd4 is a positive regulatory component of P-TEFb and stimulates RNA polymerase II-dependent transcription. Mol Cell 2005;19:523-534
- 16. Filippakopoulos P, Qi J, Picaud S, Shen Y, Smith WB, Fedorov O, Morse EM, Keates T, Hickman TT, Felletar I, Philpott M, Munro S, McKeown MR, Wang Y, Christie AL, West N, Cameron MJ, Schwartz B, Heightman TD, La Thangue N, French CA, Wiest O, Kung AL, Knapp S, Bradner JE. Selective inhibition of BET bromodomains. Nature 2010;468:1067-1073
- 17. Berthon C, Raffoux E, Thomas X, Vey N, Gomez-Roca C, Yee K, Taussig DC, Rezai K, Roumier C, Herait P, Kahatt C, Quesnel B, Michallet M, Recher C, Lokiec F, Preudhomme C, Dombret H. Bromodomain inhibitor OTX015 in patients with acute leukaemia: a dose-escalation, phase 1 study. Lancet Haematol 2016;3:e186-e195
- 18. Amorim S, Stathis A, Gleeson M, Iyengar S, Magarotto V, Leleu X, Morschhauser F, Karlin L, Broussais F, Rezai K, Herait P, Kahatt C, Lokiec F, Salles G, Facon T, Palumbo A, Cunningham D, Zucca E, Thieblemont C. Bromodomain inhibitor OTX015 in patients with lymphoma or multiple myeloma: a dose-escalation, open-label, pharmacokinetic, phase 1 study. Lancet Haematol 2016;3:e196-e204
- Stathis A, Zucca E, Bekradda M, Gomez-Roca C, Delord JP, de La Motte Rouge T, Uro-Coste E, de Braud F, Pelosi G, French CA. Clinical response of carcinomas harboring the BRD4-NUT oncoprotein to the targeted bromodomain inhibitor OTX015/MK-8628. Cancer Discov 2016;6:492-500
- 20. Fong CY, Gilan O, Lam EY, Rubin AF, Ftouni S, Tyler D, Stanley K, Sinha D, Yeh P, Morison J, Giotopoulos G, Lugo D, Jeffrey P, Lee SC, Carpenter C, Gregory R, Ramsay RG, Lane SW, Abdel-Wahab O, Kouzarides T, Johnstone RW, Dawson SJ, Huntly BJ, Prinjha RK, Papenfuss AT, Dawson MA. BET inhibitor resistance emerges from leukaemia stem cells. Nature 2015;525:538-542
- Rathert P, Roth M, Neumann T, Muerdter F, Roe JS, Muhar M, Deswal S, Cerny-Reiterer S, Peter B, Jude J, Hoffmann T, Boryń ŁM, Axelsson E, Schweifer N, Tontsch-

Grunt U, Dow LE, Gianni D, Pearson M, Valent P, Stark A, Kraut N, Vakoc CR, Zuber J. Transcriptional plasticity promotes primary and acquired resistance to BET inhibition. Nature 2015;525:543-547

- 22. Fiskus W, Sharma S, Qi J, Valenta JA, Schaub LJ, Shah B, Peth K, Portier BP, Rodriguez M, Devaraj SG, Zhan M, Sheng J, Iyer SP, Bradner JE, Bhalla KN. Highly active combination of BRD4 antagonist and histone deacetylase inhibitor against human acute myelogenous leukemia cells. Mol Cancer Ther 2014;13:1142-1154
- 23. Moros A, Rodríguez V, Saborit-Villarroya I, Montraveta A, Balsas P, Sandy P, Martínez A, Wiestner A, Normant E, Campo E, Pérez-Galán P, Colomer D, Roué G. Synergistic antitumor activity of lenalidomide with the BET bromodomain inhibitor CPI203 in bortezomib-resistant mantle cell lymphoma. Leukemia 2014;28:2049-2059
- 24. Siegel MB, Liu SQ, Davare MA, Spurgeon SE, Loriaux MM, Druker BJ, Scott EC, Tyner JW. Small molecule inhibitor screen identifies synergistic activity of the bromodomain inhibitor CPI203 and bortezomib in drug resistant myeloma. Oncotarget 2015;6:18921-18932
- Park DJ, Chumakov AM, Vuong PT, Chih DY, Gombart AF, Miller WH Jr, Koeffler HP. CCAAT/enhancer binding protein epsilon is a potential retinoid target gene in acute promyelocytic leukemia treatment. J Clin Invest 1999;103: 1399-1408
- Brondfield S, Umesh S, Corella A, Zuber J, Rappaport AR, Gaillard C, Lowe SW, Goga A, Kogan SC. Direct and indirect targeting of MYC to treat acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2015;76:35-46
- 27. Greenberg RA, O'Hagan RC, Deng H, Xiao Q, Hann SR, Adams RR, Lichtsteiner S, Chin L, Morin GB, DePinho RA. Telomerase reverse transcriptase gene is a direct target of c-Myc but is not functionally equivalent in cellular transformation. Oncogene 1999;18:1219-1226
- Tallman MS, Andersen JW, Schiffer CA, Appelbaum FR, Feusner JH, Ogden A, Shepherd L, Willman C, Bloomfield CD, Rowe JM, Wiernik PH. All-trans-retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med 1997;337:1021-1028
- Warrell RP Jr, Maslak P, Eardley A, Heller G, Miller WH Jr, Frankel SR. Treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with all-trans retinoic acid: an update of the New York experience. Leukemia 1994;8:929-933
- Huang MJ, Cheng YC, Liu CR, Lin S, Liu HE. A small-molecule c-Myc inhibitor, 10058-F4, induces cell-cycle arrest, apoptosis, and myeloid differentiation of human acute myeloid leukemia. Exp Hematol 2006;34:1480-1489
- Pan XN, Chen JJ, Wang LX, Xiao RZ, Liu LL, Fang ZG, Liu Q, Long ZJ, Lin DJ. Inhibition of c-Myc overcomes cytotoxic drug resistance in acute myeloid leukemia cells by promoting differentiation. PLos One 2014;9:e105381
- Bentley DL, Groudine M. A block to elongation is largely responsible for decreased transcription of c-myc in differentiated HL60 cells. Nature 1986;321:702-706
- Counter CM, Meyerson M, Eaton EN, Ellisen LW, Caddle SD, Haber DA, Weinberg RA. Telomerase activity is re-

stored in human cells by ectopic expression of hTERT (hEST2), the catalytic subunit of telomerase. Oncogene 1998;16:1217-1222

- Röth A, Vercauteren S, Sutherland HJ, Lansdorp PM. Telomerase is limiting the growth of acute myeloid leukemia cells. Leukemia 2003;17:2410-2417
- 35. Bruedigam C, Bagger FO, Heidel FH, Paine Kuhn C, Guignes S, Song A, Austin R, Vu T, Lee E, Riyat S, Moore AS, Lock RB, Bullinger L, Hill GR, Armstrong SA, Williams DA, Lane SW. Telomerase inhibition effectively targets mouse and human AML stem cells and delays relapse following chemotherapy. Cell Stem Cell 2014;15:775-790
- 36. Blagitko-Dorfs N, Jiang Y, Duque-Afonso J, Hiller J, Yalcin A, Greve G, Abdelkarim M, Hackanson B, Lübbert M. Epigenetic priming of AML blasts for all-trans retinoic acid-induced differentiation by the HDAC class-I selective

inhibitor entinostat. PLoS One 2013;8:e75258

- 37. Shao X, Liu Y, Li Y, Xian M, Zhou Q, Yang B, Ying M, He Q. The HER2 inhibitor TAK165 sensitizes human acute myeloid leukemia cells to retinoic acid-induced myeloid differentiation by activating MEK/ERK mediated RAR α/ STAT1 axis. Sci Rep 2016;6:24589
- 38. Boutzen H, Saland E, Larrue C, de Toni F, Gales L, Castelli FA, Cathebas M, Zaghdoudi S, Stuani L, Kaoma T, Riscal R, Yang G, Hirsch P, David M, De Mas-Mansat V, Delabesse E, Vallar L, Delhommeau F, Jouanin I, Ouerfelli O, Le Cam L, Linares LK, Junot C, Portais JC, Vergez F, Récher C, Sarry JE. Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 mutations prime the all-trans retinoic acid myeloid differentiation pathway in acute myeloid leukemia. J Exp Med 2016;213:483-497