

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Collegian

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/colegn

# The effect of anxiety on thriving levels of university students during the COVID-19 pandemic

### Safiye Sahin<sup>a,b,\*,\*\*</sup>, Rujnan Tuna<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Oregon State University, Business Administration, Bend, Oregon, United States

<sup>b</sup> Department of Healthcare Management, Faculty of Health Sciences, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>c</sup> Department of Nursing Administration, Faculty of Health Sciences, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 August 2021 Revised 20 October 2021 Accepted 23 October 2021

Keywords: Anxiety Thriving University students COVID-19

### ABSTRACT

*Background:* During the COVID-19 pandemic, university students struggle with unexpected changes in their lives, which have adverse effects on their levels of anxiety and thriving. Thriving with its two subdimensions, namely vitality and learning, is a prominent concept for university students due to contributing the academic achievement and wellbeing.

*Aim:* This study aimed to examine the effect of anxiety on thriving levels of university students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

*Methods*: An analytical and cross-sectional study was conducted between December 1, 2020 and January 6, 2021. Data were collected using online surveys with convenience sampling method. The sample consisted of 322 university students from a health sciences school of a public university in Turkey.

*Findings:* The students' anxiety and thriving levels were found to be moderate with  $10.38 \pm 5.24$  and  $3.41 \pm 0.85$ , respectively. The results also indicated that anxiety had negative and significant effect on thriving and its subdimensions of vitality and learning. In addition, anxiety level differed significantly according to gender, worried about getting COVID-19 virus and following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis while thriving level only differed significantly according to the gender.

*Discussion:* Consistent with previous literature, university students experienced high level of anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic according to the current study. The students with high level of anxiety had lower vitality and learning scores comparing to their peers with low level of anxiety. This result is crucial, because students with high levels of thriving can cope with stress and feel themselves better psychologically than others.

*Conclusion:* This study showed that the students exhibited higher anxiety symptoms and had lower levels of thriving. Therefore, interventions, psychological support, and instrumental support are recommended to improve psychological health of university students.

© 2021 Australian College of Nursing Ltd. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colegn.2021.10.004







<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: 1500 SW Chandler Avenue, 97702, Bend, Oregon, United States

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Atalar Street, 34862, Kartal / ISTANBUL, Turkey E-mail address: sahins@oregonstate.edu (S. Sahin).

<sup>1322-7696/© 2021</sup> Australian College of Nursing Ltd. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

### Summary of relevance

### Problem or issue

There has been a paucity of research about the relationship between anxiety and thriving in the context of university students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

### What is already known

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected the educational lives of university students which leads to an increase in their anxiety level.

### What this paper adds

This paper shows that the students with higher level of anxiety felt themselves less vital. In addition, high level of anxiety had negative effects on the university students' learning activities. Therefore, interventions aiming to decrease anxiety level are recommended for university students.

#### 1. Introduction

University students are among a risk group in terms of exposure to stress and anxiety (Deng et al., 2021). In university life, factors causing stress may be examined in three groups, namely personal, social, and academic factors (Bernaras Iturrioz, Insúa Cerretani, & Bully Garay, 2018; Reddy Menon, & Thattil, 2018). Firstly, personal factors are personality and developmental problems, psychological problems, and economic problems causing stress in the personal area (Cleary, Horsfall, Baines, & Happell, 2012; Eisenberg, Gollust, Golberstein, & Hefner, 2007; Shaikh & Deschamps, 2006). Secondly, problems of family and friendship relations are social factors causing stress in the social area (Ozkul & Gunusen, 2020; Yubero et al., 2018). Lastly, learning problems, having examination anxiety, having communication problems with faculty members and the problems such as experiencing anxiety related to future professional career, are academic factors causing stress for university students (Lucas & Berkel, 2005; Yeo & Yap, 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has worsened the situation for university students in terms of dealing with these problems (Deng et al., 2021).

Previous studies have revealed that university students suffer from problems related to distance learning which has been mandatory due to COVID-19 pandemic (Kapasia et al., 2021). Moreover, the Covid-19 pandemic has affected all life domains dramatically (Saladino, Algeri, & Auriemma, 2020). Therefore, university students have to struggle with problems, not only in their educational lives, but also in their private lives, for instance, fear of getting COVID-19 infection, social isolation, financial problems, and lack of self-motivation (e.g., Alvarez, 2020; Singal, Bansal, Chaudhary, Singh, & Patra, 2021). All these problems lead to increase in anxiety levels of students. According to the American Psychiatric Association (APA) (2016), there are several anxiety disorders. One of them is generalised anxiety disorder, which is defined as being "characterized by persistent, overwhelming worry and fear that interferes with normal functioning" (APA, 2016). In the current study, generalised anxiety disorder was measured by the Generalised Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) scale, which is widely used in previous literature due to its usefulness and reliability (Toussaint, Spreitzer, Gibson, & Garnett, 2020).

Anxiety has several negative effects on university students' lives (Sanad, 2019). APA (2016) reported that anxiety disorders affected school performance negatively. In terms of school performance, thriving emerges as an important construct, which refers to "a psy-chological state composed of the joint experience of vitality and learning" (Porath et al., 2012, p. 250). Thriving includes the both a sense of vitality and a sense of learning, and the lack of any of these indicates that thriving does not exist (Porath et al., 2012).

In other words, thriving requires being both energetic and lively (vitality), as well as a constant desire to improve skills (learning). Thriving students flourish via a sense of energy (vitality) as well as the belief that they are growing and improving (learning) in their lives (Porath et al., 2012). When students thrive in academic work, they experience development and momentum to continue and succeed, feeling excited about their course of study and continuously improving at what they do.

Due to its nature, thriving is closely related to students' wellbeing and academic performance. According to Brown et al. (2017), individuals learn best when they are motivated, and this motivation is one part of thriving which plays an important role in the success of students. Students who are driven to learn have a distinct advantage, since their academic success is frequently seen as an indicator of their thriving (Brown et al., 2017). Supporting Brown et al. (2017), Arici-Ozcan, Sahin, and Cankir (2021) found the positive effect of thriving on students' academic performance.

When university students experience anxiety due to negative stressors, they are less likely to have a feeling of learning and liveliness, which may also lead to decrease in their level of thriving (Flinchbaugh, Luth, & Li, 2015). Since anxiety may reduce both desire to learn and learning performance, it may also reduce perceptions of learning and vitality necessary to thrive. Moreover, university students' lives have been severely disrupted by the anxiety experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic. The results of studies conducted before and during the pandemic also suggest effects of the pandemic on the anxiety levels of students. For example, Yuksel and Bahadir-Yilmaz (2019) reported that 25.2% of 330 nursing students experienced severe anxiety symptoms in the academic year of 2016-2017 in Turkey. In addition, Demirel, Yilmaz, and Simsek (2020) reported the percentage of severe anxiety and extreme severe anxiety were as 8.0% and 6.2%, respectively in a school of health sciences sample in 2018 in Turkey. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic, Senturk and Bakir (2021) found that 54.1% of 506 nursing students had extremely severe anxiety symptoms in Turkey. Studies in the other countries also reveal the anxiety levels of university students before and during COVID-19 pandemic. According to a study conducted before the pandemic, the prevalence of moderate anxiety was 14.2% and severe anxiety was 5.8% among university students in a Hong Kong sample (Lun et al., 2018). However, according to a study conducted during the pandemic, prevalence of moderate anxiety was 42.8% while severe anxiety was 13.1% among university students in an Israeli sample (Savitsky, Findling, Ereli, & Hendel, 2020). Previous research has also suggested that the COVID-19 pandemic had a detrimental impact on mental health. According to cross-sectional research in the United States, the prevalence of depression was 8.5% before the COVID-19 pandemic while it increased approximately four times (27.8%) during the pandemic (Ettman et al., 2020).

Given the importance of anxiety and thriving in university students' academic success, it is urgent and prominent to investigate the link between them. However, to our best knowledge, there is a lack of studies examining the link between anxiety and thriving in setting of university students. To fill this gap, this study aimed to determine the effect of anxiety on thriving among university students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Design and objectives of the study

This study was designed as cross-sectional and analytical with the aim of examining the effect of anxiety on thriving and its subdimensions of vitality and learning. This study also aimed to determine factors related to university students' anxiety and thriving levels, such as gender, department, class, being COVID-19 positive, and worried about getting COVID-19.

### 2.2. Sample and procedure

This study was conducted between December 1, 2020 and January 6, 2021 at a health sciences school of a public university in XXXX after the necessary permissions were obtained. The study population included three departments of a health sciences school, which were healthcare management, nursing, and social work. Approximately 750 students consisted of the study population. The sample size was calculated by using the formula recommended under the circumstances that the number of population can be determined (Charan & Biswas, 2013). According to this formula, the ideal sample size was determined as 254, with 95% confidence interval and 5% sampling error. The data were collected by online surveys. Out of 750 university students, 322 university students responded to the online survey. Despite three reminder e-mails, the response rate did not increase. However, it can be inferred that the number of ideal sample size was reached with 322 participants.

### 2.3. Data collection tool

The first part of data collection tool included questions about the students' socio-demographics and COVID-19 pandemic. Questions about the pandemic included whether the participants were COVID-19 positive (at any time), had relatives who were COVID-19 positive (at any time), worried about getting COVID-19 virus, and followed COVID-19 cases on a daily basis.

The second part consisted of the items of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) scale. This scale was developed by Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams, & Löwe (2006) and adapted into Turkish by Konkan et al. (2013). The American Psychiatric Association recommends the GAD-7 scale as an effective tool for assessing the severity of generalised anxiety disorder (GAD) using the revised DSM-5 criteria (Toussaint et al., 2020). It can be used to diagnose anxiety disorders like GAD, screen for them, and measure their severity (Toussaint et al., 2020). This scale is a 7-item and a fourpoint Likert-type scale (0 = not at all, 1 = several days, 2 = morethan half the days, 3 = nearly every day) that evaluates experiences in the previous two weeks. The scores obtained from this scale are classified into four groups. According to these groups, 0-4 scores indicate minimal anxiety, 5-9 scores indicate mild anxiety, 10-14 scores indicate moderate anxiety, and 15-21 scores indicate severe anxiety (Spitzer et al., 2006). Konkan et al. (2013) reported that GAD-7 scale had good internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha value as 0.88.

The third part of the data collection tool included items of the thriving scale developed by Porath et al. (2012) and adapted into Turkish by Arici-Ozcan et al. (2021). This scale has two subdimensions, namely vitality and learning. Each subdimension has 5 items. Participants rate their response using a 6-point Likerttype scale (6 = strongly agree; 1 = strongly disagree). Arici-Ozcan et al. (2021) reported that Cronbach's alpha value for this scale was 0.877 for Time 1 and 0.917 for Time 2.

### 2.4. Data analysis

The data were analysed using the SPSS 23 statistical package program. First, the normal distribution of data was examined. Skewness and kurtosis values were used to test the normal distribution. According to Kline (2015), skewness values should not exceed  $\pm 3$  while kurtosis values should not exceed  $\pm 10$ . In the current study, skewness values for anxiety, thriving, and its subdimensions of vitality and learning ranged from 0.491 to -0.164 while kurtosis values ranged from 0.378 to -0.416. This result shows that

Table 1						
Characteristics	of	participants	(N	=	322)	

-			
	Characteristics Gender	n	%
	Female	280	86.96
	Male	42	13.04
	Department		
	Healthcare management	138	42.86
	Nursing	68	21.12
	Social work	116	36.02
	Class		
	First year	109	33.85
	Second year	102	31.68
	Third year	66	20.5
	Fourth year	45	13.98
	Being COVID-19 positive		
	No	288	89.44
	Yes	34	10.56
	Having relatives with COVI	D-19 pc	ositive
	No	73	22.67
	Yes	249	77.33
	Worried about getting COV	'ID-19 v	irus
	No	69	21.43
	Yes	253	78.57
	Following the COVID-19 ca	se on a	daily basis
	No	107	33.23
	Yes	215	66.77

the data have normal distribution. Therefore, to analyse whether the scores of anxiety, thriving and its subdimensions differed significantly according to socio-demographics and other variables related to the COVID-19 pandemic, parametric tests were employed. One-way ANOVA and independent sample t-test were used. To determine the significant differences within groups, post hoc analyses were performed after One-way ANOVA. When the equal variances were assumed, Tukey HSD test and Scheffe test were performed. However, when the equal variances were not assumed, Tamhane test and Dunnett's T3 test were used as post hoc analyses (Weinberg & Abramowitz, 2016). For reporting the relationship between anxiety and thriving, Pearson correlation test was used. Pearson correlation analysis is appropriate when the data distributes normally and it is measured by interval scales (Carifio & Perla, 2008). Then, multiple linear regression analysis was used in order to test the effect of anxiety on thriving. Before performing regression analysis, multicollinearity was checked to analyse whether there was a strong linear dependence among the independent variables (Giacalone, Panarello, & Mattera, 2018). One criteria to check multicollinearity is to determine the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) which should be under 10 (Giacalone et al., 2018). In the current study, VIF was found to be 1.021-1.056, which indicates that there no multicollinearity problem.

### 2.5. Compliance with ethical standards

Ethical approval for this study was obtained to Ethical Committee of Istanbul Medeniyet University (Date: 04 November 2020 and Number: 2020/42).

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Characteristics of participants

Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants. A total of 322 university students responded to the online survey. The majority were female. While nearly half of the participants were students of the health management department, one third were first year students. While only a minority of the participants reported that they were COVID-19 positive, a majority reported that their relatives were COVID-19 positive. The majority of participants were

#### Table 2

	Ν	Min-Max	М	SD	α	Thriving	Vitality	Learning
Total score of GAD-7 scale	322	0-21	10.38	5.24	0.92	-0.28**	-0.34**	-0.16**
Thriving	322	1-6	3.41	0.85	0.86	1	0.83**	0.88**
Subdimension of vitality	322	1-6	3.09	0.92	0.81		1	0.47*
Subdimension of learning	322	1-6	3.73	1.06	0.87			1

Note: GAD-7 Scale: Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale, Min-Max shows the scores which can be obtained from scales at least and at most, \*\* p < 0.01,  $\alpha$ : Cronbach's alpha.

#### Table 3

Comparison of thriving and its subdimensions according to level of anxiety severity GAD-7 scale score (N = 322)

	N		Subdime	nsion of vitality	Subdimension of learning		Thriving	
Level of GAD-7 scale score		%	м	SD	М	SD	Μ	SD
Minimal (0-4) (a)	41	12.73	3.61	1.02	4.06	1	3.84	0.84
Mild (5-9) (b)	112	34.78	3.28	0.86	3.77	0.89	3.52	0.77
Moderate (10-14) (c)	106	32.92	2.96	0.83	3.63	1.04	3.3	0.79
Severe (15-21) (d)	63	19.57	2.65	0.83	3.6	1.34	3.13	0.95
	F		12.84		1.98	7.51		
	р		0.001		0.18	0.001		
	Significant	difference within group	a-c*, a-d'	*,		a-c*, a-d*,		
			b-c*, b-d	*.		b-d*		
			c-d*					

Note: F values were reported for One-way ANOVA, GAD-7 Scale: Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale, M: Mean, p: significance of test, SD: Standard deviation. \* The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

worried about getting the COVID-19 virus and were following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis.

## 3.2. Anxiety and thriving levels of university students and correlations among study variables

Table 2 indicates the means, standard deviations, Cronbach's alphas ( $\alpha$ ), and correlation coefficients. The mean scores for the GAD-7 Scale and the thriving scale were in the moderate range. The mean score of learning subdimension was found to be higher than the mean score of vitality subdimension. When the Cronbach's alphas were evaluated, the GAD-7 Scale, thriving scale and its subdimensions had good internal consistency with  $\alpha > 0.80$ .

According to Table 2, anxiety level was negatively and significantly related to both vitality (r = -0.34, p < 0.01) and learning (r = -0.16, p < 0.01) subdimensions, as well as thriving (r = -0.28, p < 0.01).

### 3.3. Comparison of thriving and its subdimensions according to level of GAD-7 scale score

Table 3 displays the comparison of thriving and its subdimensions whether their scores differed significantly according to the four groups of GAD-7 Scale score. The subdimension of vitality score was found to differ significantly according to the four groups of GAD-7 Scale score (p < 0.01) while subdimension of learning score did not differ significantly (p > 0.05). The total mean score of thriving also differed significantly according to the four groups of GAD-7 Scale score (p < 0.01). It was seen that scores of vitality and thriving decreased when the scores obtained from GAD-7 Scale increased (Table 3).

### 3.4. Comparison of total score of GAD-7 Scale according to participants' characteristics

According to the Table 4, total score of GAD-7 Scale differed significantly according to the participants' gender, being worried about getting COVID-19 virus, and following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis (p < 0.05). Female university students reported higher scores on GAD-7 Scale than male university students, which

was statistically significant (p = 0.05). Also, participants reporting being worried about getting COVID-19 virus had higher scores on GAD-7 Scale than other participants (p < 0.01). In addition, participants following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis had higher anxiety scores than other participants (p < 0.05).

It was found that total score of GAD-7 scale did not differ significantly according to participants' department, year of class, being Covid-19 positive, and having relatives with COVID-19 positive (p > 0.05).

### 3.5. Comparison of thriving and its subdimensions' scores according to participants' characteristics

As shown in Table 4, thriving and its subdimension of vitality scores differed significantly in terms of gender. Compared with female university students, male university students had significantly higher scores on subdimension of vitality (p < 0.05). Similarly, male participants reported higher scores significantly than female participants on thriving scale (p < 0.05).

However, the results show that thriving and its subdimension of vitality and learning scores did not differ significantly according to the participants' department, year of class, being COVID-19 positive, having relatives who were COVID-19 positive, being worried about getting COVID-19 virus, and following COVID-19 cases on a daily basis (p > 0.05) (Table 4).

### 3.6. Results of multiple linear regression analysis

Table 5 indicates the results about the effect of anxiety on thriving and its two subdimensions, namely vitality and learning. Gender, worried about getting COVID-19 virus, and following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis were included as control variables for all Models (Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3). The effect of anxiety on vitality, learning, and thriving were tested in Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3, respectively. According to the results of all models, all control variables did not predict dependent variables significantly (p > 0.05). However, GAD-7 Scale score predicted vitality negatively and significantly ( $Std. \beta = -0.33, p < 0.01$ ), and explained the 4.0% of variance in vitality according to the Model 1. Similarly, in the Model 2, GAD-7 Scale score had a significant and

	(Min-Max) N	Total score of GAD-7 scale (0-21) M±SD	Subdimension of vitality (1-6) M±SD	Subdimension of learning (1-6) M±SD	Thriving (1-6) M±SD
Gender					
Female	280	$10.59 \pm 5.22$	$3.06 \pm 0.90$	$3.68 \pm 1.07$	$3.37 \pm 0.84$
Male	42	8.98 ± 5.21	$3.32 \pm 1.02$	$4.04\pm0.95$	$3.68\pm0.86$
	t	1.86	-1.72	-2.04	-2.12
	р	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.03
Department					
Health Management	138	$10.69 \pm 5.18$	$3.07 \pm 0.92$	$3.67 \pm 1.16$	$3.37 \pm 0.90$
Nursing	68	$9.69 \pm 4.87$	$3.15 \pm 0.97$	$3.79 \pm 0.88$	$3.47 \pm 0.81$
Social Work	116	$10.41 \pm 5.51$	$3.09 \pm 0.88$	$3.77 \pm 1.03$	$3.43 \pm 0.81$
	F	0.83	0.16	0.42	0.35
	р	0.44	0.85	0.65	0.70
Grade					
First vear	109	$9.98 \pm 5.40$	$3.19 \pm 0.99$	$3.77 \pm 1.11$	$3.48 \pm 0.92$
Second year	102	$10.56 \pm 5.15$	$3.13 \pm 0.95$	$3.87 \pm 1.07$	$3.50M \pm 0.85$
Third year	66	$10.45 \pm 4.9$	$2.98 \pm 0.83$	$3.58 \pm 0.98$	$3.28 \pm 0.81$
Fourth year	45	$10.80 \pm 5.59$	$2.94 \pm 0.75$	$3.51 \pm 0.98$	$3.22 \pm 0.67$
<b>,</b>	F	0.35	1.21	1.79	1.90
	p	0.79	0.30	0.15	0.13
Being COVID-19 posit	tive				
Yes	288	$10.35 \pm 5.67$	$3.04 \pm 1.01$	$3.81 \pm 1.11$	$3.42 \pm 0.94$
No	34	$10.38 \pm 5.19$	$3.10 \pm 0.9$	$3.72 \pm 1.05$	$3.41 \pm 0.84$
	t	-0.027	-0.385	0.45	0.073
	p	0.979	0.7	0.653	0.942
Having relatives with	COVID-19 pos	itive			
Yes	73	$10.51 \pm 5.29$	$3.11 \pm 0.94$	$3.73 \pm 1.06$	$3.42 \pm 0.85$
No	249	$9.93 \pm 5.07$	$3.04 \pm 0.83$	$3.71 \pm 1.04$	$3.37 \pm 0.82$
	t	0.824	0.575	0.199	0.435
	p	0.411	0.566	0.842	0.664
Worried about gettin	g COVID-19 vii	rus			
Yes	253	$10.88 \pm 4.99$	$3.05 \pm 0.86$	$3.72 \pm 1.02$	$3.38 \pm 0.81$
No	69	$8.52 \pm 5.71$	$3.23 \pm 1.1$	$3.78 \pm 1.19$	$3.51 \pm 0.99$
	t	3.37	-1.27	-0.43	-1.05
	p	0.001	0.21	0.67	0.29
Following the COVID-	-19 cases on a	daily basis			
Yes	215	$10.81 \pm 5.33$	$3.09 \pm 0.93$	$3.74 \pm 1.09$	$3.42 \pm 0.88$
No	107	$9.50 \pm 4.95$	$3.09 \pm 0.90$	$3.70 \pm 1.00$	$3.4 \pm 0.78$
	t	2.118	0.013	0.33	0.213
	n	0.035	0 989	0 741	0.831

### Table 4

Comparison of anxiety and thriving levels according to participants' characteristics

Note: GAD-7 Scale: Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale, M: Mean, Min-Max: Minimum-Maximum, p: significance of test, SD: Standard deviation, t values were reported for Independent Sample T-test and F values were reported for One-way ANOVA.

### Table 5

Results of multiple linear regression analysis about the effect of anxiety on thriving

Models	$\mathbb{R}^2$	В	SE	Std. $\beta$	t	р
Model 1: Dependent variable is vitality						
Gender	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.06	1.08	0.28
Worried about getting COVID-19 virus		0.05	0.12	0.02	0.42	0.67
Following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis		-0.07	0.10	-0.04	-0.72	0.47
GAD-7 Scale Score		-0.06	0.01	-0.33	-6.12	< 0.01
Model 2: Dependent variable is learning						
Gender	0.04	0.30	0.17	0.10	1.71	0.09
Worried about getting COVID-19 virus		-0.01	0.15	0.00	-0.09	0.93
Following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis		-0.06	0.13	-0.03	-0.51	0.61
GAD-7 Scale Score		-0.03	0.01	-0.15	-2.73	< 0.01
Model 3: Dependent variable is thriving						
Gender	0.09	0.23	0.14	0.09	1.68	0.09
Worried about getting COVID-19 virus		0.02	0.11	0.01	0.17	0.87
Following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis		-0.07	0.10	-0.04	-0.71	0.48
GAD-7 Scale Score		-0.04	0.01	-0.28	-5.00	< 0.01

Note: B: Unstandardized beta, GAD-7 Scale: Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale, p: significance of test,  $R^2$ : R-square, SE: Standard error, Std.  $\beta$ : Standardized beta, t: test value, <:smaller than.

negative effect on vitality (*Std.*  $\beta$  = - 0.15, *p* < 0.01), and explained the 12.0% of variance in learning. Lastly, according to the Model 3, it was found that GAD-7 Scale score had a negative and significant effect on thriving (*Std.*  $\beta$  = - 0.28, *p* < 0.01), and explained the 9.0% of variance in thriving.

### 4. Discussion

The current study was conducted to examine the effect of anxiety on thriving in a sample of university students. In addition, the current study also aimed to seek whether the students' levels of anxiety and thriving differed in terms of their socio-demographic features. Many significant findings emerged related to anxiety and thriving in university students.

First, anxiety level of students was examined and a majority of the sample (52.5%) reported moderate to severe anxiety scores on GAD-7 scale while 19.6% reported having severe anxiety symptoms. According to this result, it can be concluded that the university students experienced high level of anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic. In line with the current study, Rudenstine et al. (2021) found the rate of severe anxiety scores on GAD-7 scale as 20.7% in university students during the COVID-19 pandemic in New York City. Similarly, Biber, Melton, & Czech (2020) reported that 49.0% of their sample was categorised as moderately anxious while 25.0% was categorised as severely anxious in a sample of 1,640 university students in the United States during this pandemic. Similarly, in a metaanalysis, prevalence of anxiety in medical students during the pandemic was found to be 28.0% (95% CI: 22%-34%) (Lasheras et al., 2020). These studies show that the results of the current study about level of anxiety disorder are consistent with previous literature. The current study also suggests the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the anxiety level of university students. In Turkey, during the years of 2009-2010, Kaya, Kaya, Pallos, and Kucu (2012) found that the percentages of mid-level and severe level of anxiety in university students was 45.0% and 4.5%, respectively. Demirel et al (2020) also conducted a study with a school of health sciences sample in 2018 in Turkey. They found that 8.0% of the sample experienced severe anxiety symptoms and 6.2% experienced extremely severe anxiety symptoms. In Bangladesh, Islam, Akter, Sikder, and Griffiths, (2020) reported that 47.3% of university students had moderate anxiety symptoms while 13.8% of the university students had severe anxiety symptoms. As a result, compared to the current study, these studies found lower prevalence of severe anxiety in university students before the COVID-19 pandemic.

Second, the effect of anxiety on university students was examined and it was found that higher levels of anxiety resulted in lower levels of vitality and learning. The students with high levels of anxiety had lower vitality and learning scores compared to their peers with low level of anxiety. Supporting this result, Arslan, Yildirim, and Aytac (2020) reported a significant and negative relationship between coronavirus anxiety and subjective vitality. This result is crucial, because individuals with high levels of vitality can cope with stress better than others and feel themselves better psychologically (Betrams, 2021). Therefore, they have sufficient energy and internal motivation to fulfil their task with subjective vitality (Arslan et al., 2020). Also, there are studies on perceptions of students about their learning experiences during this pandemic. For example, Fawaz and Samaha (2021) indicated a significant and negative association between anxiety and satisfaction of learning experience in university students. Likewise, in a qualitative study, students expressed that their learning concentration was decreased due to their concerns related to the COVID-19 cases (Alvarez, 2020).

Third, anxiety had a negative impact on thriving, as well as its subdimensions of vitality and learning. Furthermore, this effect was still significant when the variables of gender, worried about getting COVID-19 virus, and following the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis were controlled. In other words, anxiety predicted thriving and its subdimensions on its own significantly. Although, the negative effect of anxiety on thriving and its subdimensions was found to be small in the current study, it remains an important result given the link between thriving and university students' well-being and academic performance. (Arici-Ozcan et al., 2021; Brown et al., 2017). For example, (Mihăilescu, Diaconescu, Ciobanu, Donisan, & Mihailescu, 2016) examined the effect of depression and anxiety on medical students' academic performance using grade point average (GPA) scores. In the beginning of the term, they assessed the depression and anxiety levels of medical students. Then, at the end of semester, they obtained GPA scores of medical students. Medical students with high levels of anxiety were found to have lower GPA scores than the others with low levels of anxiety. These results may confirm the long-term impact of anxiety on university students.

Finally, it was found that female students had higher scores on the anxiety scale than male students. There are also other studies that confirm this result in other samples, such as a Chinese sample (Feng et al., 2021), an Israeli sample (Savitsky et al., 2020), a Romanian sample (Rogowska, Kuśnierz, & Bokszczanin, 2020), and a Turkish sample (Bahcecioglu-Turan et al., 2021). On the other hand, afew studies have found significant differences in anxiety scores according to gender (e.g., Akhtarul Islam et al., 2020; Cao et al. 2020). In the current study, female students had significantly lower scores in thriving and its subdimension of learning than males. However, while students' anxiety scores differed significantly in terms of being worried about getting the COVID-19 virus and following COVID-19 cases on a daily basis, thriving and its subdimensions of vitality and learning did not. This is because the majority of students reported that they were worried about getting the COVID-19 virus and followed the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis. Consistent with previous studies (e.g., Duong, 2021; Feng, Zhang, & Ho, 2021; Savitsky et al., 2020), the students worried about getting the COVID-19 virus and who followed the COVID-19 cases on a daily basis exhibited significantly more anxiety symptoms than the students who did not.

Based on the results of the current study, it is recommended that educators, managers and researchers should be aware of the negative effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and high levels of anxiety among university students. University students should be psychologically supported to protect themselves from the negative effects of anxiety during the pandemic. Universities can help their students by offering a variety of stress-reduction programs, such as mindfulness-based stress reduction programs that can also be performed online (Sun et al., 2021). Psychologist support will also be beneficial for the students who are at risk of having high levels of anxiety. However, university students should be encouraged to seek assistance from their university's counsellor or psychologist.

### 5. Limitations

This study is the first study investigating the effect of anxiety on thriving in university students. Despite its strengths, this study has several limitations. First, since the data were obtained from only health sciences faculty in a public university, this limits the generalisability of results. Second, the male sample size was small which is also considered another limitation. Third, the data were based on selfreported evaluations leading to a social desirability problem (Moorman & Podsakoff, 1992). Lastly, the cross-sectional design of this study is another limitation. In order to claim causality between independent and dependent variables, it is recommended to undertake a longitudinal design (Antonakis, Bendahan, Jacquart, & Lalive, 2010). For this reason, researchers are recommended to conduct studies with longitudinal design to examine the effect of anxiety on thriving in different countries on university students.

### 6. Conclusions

Anxiety disorders are common in university students due to great amounts of stress factors in university life. Therefore, it is important to determine levels of anxiety disorder and its effect on university students' academic success, as well as their psychological health. Especially when students face unexpected events, such as epidemics, pandemics, and disasters, their mental health and well-being is affected negatively. The COVID-19 pandemic is one recent global challenge for students. The current study shows that the university students exhibiting higher anxiety symptoms had lower levels of thriving during the pandemic. Thriving has many positive outcomes, such as well-being, selfdevelopment, and academic success. Thus, it is important to support university students in order to decrease their anxiety levels and increase their thriving levels. To do this, interventions to reduce anxiety and its negative effect on thriving should be investigated. Additionally, due to the COVID-19 pandemic's adverse effect on university students, managers and scholars should take action to promote university students' well-being and mental health during such unexpected events.

### **Consent to participate**

Participation in this survey was anonymous, consensual and voluntary with informed consent provided by all respondents.

### Authorship contribution statement

Study design: SŞ, RT Data collection: SŞ, RT Data analysis: SŞ, RT Study supervision: SŞ, RT Manuscript writing: SŞ, RT Critical revisions for important intellectual content: SŞ, RT

### Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

### Ethical statement

Ethical approval for this study was obtained to Ethical Committee of Istanbul Medeniyet University (Date:04 November 2020 and Number:2020/42).

### **Conflict of interest**

There is no conflict of interest.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the university students who participated in this study.

### References

Akhtarul Islam, M., Barna, S. D., Raihan, H., Nafiul Alam Khan, M., & Tanvir Hossain, M. (2020). Depression and anxiety among university students during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *PLoS ONE*, 15, 1–12 8 Augusthttps://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0238162.

- Alvarez, A. V., Jr (2020). The phenomenon of learning at a distance through emergency remote teaching amidst the pandemic crisis. Asian Journal of Distance Education, 15(1), 144–153.
- American Psychiatric Association [APA]. (2016). What Are Anxiety Disorders?. Accessed September 2021, Retrieved from https://www.psychiatry.org/ patients-families/anxiety-disorders/what-are-anxiety-disorders.
- Antonakis, J., Bendahan, S., Jacquart P., & Lalive, R. (2010). On making causal claims: a review and recommendations. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21(6), 1086-1120. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.leaqua.2010.10.010
- Arici-Ozcan, N., Sahin, S., & Cankir, B. (2021). The validity and reliability of thriving scale in academic context: Mindfulness, GPA, and entrepreneurial intention among university students. Current Psychology, Article in Press, 1–12 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01590-1.
- Arslan, G., Yildirim, M., & Aytac, M. (2020). Subjective vitality and loneliness explain how coronavirus anxiety increases rumination among college students. *Death Studies*. Article in Press, 1–10 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187. 2020.1824204.
- Bahcecioglu-Turan, G., Ozer, Z., & Ciftci, B. (2021). Analysis of anxiety levels and attitudes of nursing students toward the nursing profession during the COVID-19 pandemic. Perspectives in Psychiatric Care. Article in Press, 1–11 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppc.12766.
- Bernaras Iturrioz, E., Insúa Cerretani, P., & Bully Garay, P. (2018). Prevalence and severity of psychological problems in university students. British Journal of Guidance & Counselling, 46(4), 418–428 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03069885.2017.1286633.
- Bertrams, A. (2021). Perceived self-control effort, subjective vitality, and general affect in an associative structure. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, Article 575357 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.575357.
- Biber, D. D., Melton, B., & Czech, D. R. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on college anxiety, optimism, gratitude, and course satisfaction. Journal of American College Health, Article in Press, 1–6 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2020.1842424.
- Brown, D. J., Arnold, R., Fletcher, D., & Standage, M. (2017). Human thriving. *European Psychologist*, 22(3), 167–179 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1027/ 1016-9040/a000294.
- Cao, W., Fang, Z., Hou, G., Han, M., Xu, X., Dong, J., et al. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Research*, 287, Article 112934 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres. 2020.112934.
- Carifio, J., & Perla, R. (2008). Resolving the 50-year debate around using and misusing Likert scales. *Medical Education*, 42(12), 1150–1152.
- Charan, J., & Biswas, T. (2013). How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research? *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 35(2), 121 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7176.116232.
- Cleary, M., Horsfall, J., Baines, J., & Happell, B. (2012). Mental health behaviours among undergraduate nursing students: Issues for consideration. *Nurse Education Today*, 32(8), 951–955 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2011.11. 016.
- Demirel, G., Yilmaz, F. T., & Simsek, G. N. (2020). Psychosocial health levels and substance use frequency of intern students studying health sciences in Turkey. ADDICTA: The Turkish Journal on Addictions, 7(2), 138–145.
- Deng, J., Zhou, F., Hou, W., Silver, Z., Wong, C. Y., Chang, O., et al. (2021). The prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms and sleep disturbance in higher education students during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research*, 301, Article 113863 Januaryhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113863.
- Duong, C. D. (2021). The impact of fear and anxiety of Covid-19 on life satisfaction: Psychological distress and sleep disturbance as mediators. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 178, Article 110869 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. paid.2021.110869.
- Eisenberg, D., Gollust, S. E., Golberstein, E., & Hefner, J. L. (2007). Prevalence and correlates of depression, anxiety, and suicidality among university students. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 77(4), 534–542 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10. 1037/0002-9432.77.4.534.
- Ettman, C. K., Abdalla, S. M., Cohen, G. H., Sampson, L., Vivier, P. M., & Galea, S. (2020). Prevalence of depression symptoms in US adults before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA network open*, 3(9), e2019686.
- Fawaz, M., & Samaha, A. (2021). E-learning: Depression, anxiety, and stress symptomatology among Lebanese university students during COVID-19 quarantine. *Nursing Forum*, 56(1), 52–57 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1111/nuf.12521.
- Feng, S., Zhang, Q., & Ho, S. M. Y. (2021). Fear and anxiety about COVID-19 among local and overseas Chinese university students. *Health and Social Care in the Community*, (February), 1–10. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/hsc.13347
- Flinchbaugh, C., Luth, M. T., & Li, P. (2015). Understanding the effects of stressors and thriving on life satisfaction. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 22(4), 323–345 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039136.
- Giacalone, M., Panarello, D., & Mattera, R. (2018). Multicollinearity in regression: an efficiency comparison between Lp-norm and least squares estimators. *Quality and Quantity*, 52(4), 1831–1859 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11135-017-0571-y.
- Islam, S., Akter, R., Sikder, T., & Griffiths, M. D. (2020). Prevalence and factors associated with depression and anxiety among first-year university students in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 1–14 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00242-y.
- Kapasia, N., Paul, P., Roy, A., Saha, J., Zaveri, A., Mallick, R., et al. (2020). Impact of lockdown on learning status of undergraduate and postgraduate students during COVID-19 pandemic in West Bengal, India. *Children and Youth Ser*-

vices Review, 116, Article 105194 Junehttps://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. childyouth.2020.105194.

- Kaya, H., Kaya, N., Pallos, A. O., & Kucuk, L (2012). Assessing time-management skills in terms of age, gender, and anxiety levels: a study on nursing and midwifery students in Turkey. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 12(5), 284–288 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2012.06.002.
- Kline, R. B. (2015). Principles and practice of structural equation modeling. New York: Guilford Publications.
- Konkan, R., Senormanci, O., Guclu, O., Aydin, E., Sungur, Z., & Yaygin, M. (2013). Anksiyete Bozukluğu-7 (YAB-7) Testi Türkçe uyarlaması geçerlik ve güvenirliği. Nöro Psikiyatri Arşivi, 50(1), 53–58 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.4274/npa. y6308.
- Lasheras, I., Gracia-García, P., Lipnicki, D. M., Bueno-Notivol, J., López-Antón, R., de la Cámara, C., et al. (2020). Prevalence of anxiety in medical students during the covid-19 pandemic: a rapid systematic review with meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(18), 1–12 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186603.
- Lucas, M. S., & Berkel, L. A. (2005). Counseling needs of students who seek help at a university counseling center: A closer look at gender and multicultural issues. *Journal of College Student Development*, 46(3), 251–266 https://doi.org/. https:// doi.org/10.1353/csd.2005.0029.
- Lun, K. W., Chan, C. K., Ip, P. K., Ma, S. Y., Tsai, W. W., Wong, C. S., & Yan, D. (2018). Depression and anxiety among university students in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Med J*, 24(5), 466–472.
- Mihăilescu, A. I., Diaconescu, L. V., Ciobanu, A. M., Donisan, T., Mihailescu, C., et al. (2016). The impact of anxiety and depression on academic performance in undergraduate medical students. *European Psychiatry*, 25(S1), s284-s284.
- Moorman, R. H., & Podsakoff, P. M. (1992). A meta-analytic review and empirical test of the potential confounding effects of social desirability response sets in organizational behaviour research. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 65(2), 131–149 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8325. 1992.tb00490.x.
- Ozkul, B., & Gunusen, N. P. (2020). Stressors and coping methods of Turkish adolescents with high and low risk of depression: a qualitative study. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association* Article in Presshttps://doi.org/10.1177/1078390319895088.
- Porath, C., Spreitzer, G., Gibson, C., & Garnett, F. G. (2012). Thriving at work: Toward its measurement, construct validation, and theoretical refinement. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 33(2), 250–275 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10. 1002/job.756.
- Reddy, K. J., Menon, K. R., & Thattil, A. (2018). Academic stress and its sources among university students. *Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal*, 11(1), 531–537 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.13005/bpj/1404.
- Rogowska, A. M., Kuśnierz, C., & Bokszczanin, A. (2020). Examining anxiety, life satisfaction, general health, stress and coping styles during COVID-19 pandemic in Polish sample of university students. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 13, 797–811 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.2147/prbm.s266511.
- Rudenstine, S., McNeal, K., Schulder, T., Ettman, C. K., Hernandez, M., Gvozdieva, K., et al. (2021). Depression and anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic in an Urban, low-income public university sample. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 34(1), 12– 22 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22600.

- Saladino, V., Algeri, D., & Auriemma, V. (2020). The psychological and social impact of Covid-19: New perspectives of well-being. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11 Octoberhttps://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.577684.
- Sanad, H. M. (2019). Stress and anxiety among junior nursing students during the initial clinical training: a descriptive study at college of health sciences, University of Bahrain. American Journal of Nursing Research, 7(6), 995–999 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102809.
- Savitsky, B., Findling, Y., Ereli, A., & Hendel, T. (2020). Anxiety and coping strategies among nursing students during the covid-19 pandemic. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 46, Article 102809 Aprilhttps://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2020. 102809.
- Senturk, S., & Bakir, N. (2021). The relationship between intolerance of uncertainty and the depression, anxiety and stress levels of nursing students during the Covid-19 outbreak. *Cyprus Turkish Journal of Psychiatry and Psychology*, 3(2), 97–105.
- Shaikh, B., & Deschamps, J. P. (2006). Life in a university residence: Issues, concerns and responses. Education for Health: Change in Learning & Practice, 19(1), 43–51 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1080/13576280500534628.
- Singal, A., Bansal, A., Chaudhary, P., Singh, H., & Patra, A. (2021). Anatomy education of medical and dental students during COVID-19 pandemic: a reality check. Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy, 43(4), 515–521 https://doi.org/. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s00276-020-02615-3.
- Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Archives of internal medicine, 166(10) https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092.
- Sun, S., Lin, D., Goldberg, S., Shen, Z., Chen, P., Qiao, S., et al. (2021). A mindfulnessbased mobile health (mHealth) intervention among psychologically distressed university students in quarantine during the COVID-19 pandemic: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Courseling Psychology* Advance online publicationhttps://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000568.
- Toussaint, A., Huesing, P., Gumz, A., Wingenfeld, K., Haerter, M., Schramm, E., et al. (2020). Sensitivity to change and minimal clinically important difference of the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire (GAD-7). *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 15(265), 395–401 https://doi.org/.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.01. 032.
- Weinberg, S. L., & Abramowitz, S. K. (2016). Statistics using IBM SPSS: An integrative approach. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Yeo, K. J., & Yap, C. K. (2020). Helping undergraduate students cope with stress: the role of psychosocial resources as resilience factors. The Social Science Journal, *Article in Press*, 1–23 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10.1080/03623319.2020. 1728501.
- Yubero, S., Navarro, R., Larrañaga, E., Esteban, M., Gutiérrez, J., & Elche, M. (2018). Health contributing factors in higher education students: the importance of family and friends. *Healthcare*, 6(4), 147–154 https://doi.org/. https://doi.org/10. 3390/healthcare6040147.
- Yuksel, A., & Bahadir-Yilmaz, E. (2019). Relationship between depression, anxiety, cognitive distortions, and psychological well-being among nursing students. *Perspectives in psychiatric care*, 55(4), 690–696.