



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

**Purpose:** Analysis of the frequency of transmission SARS-CoV2 in different age groups and locations allows to identify risks of transmission, influencing on the spread of COVID-19 in the population and to strengthen the control on pandemic

**Methods & Materials:** Epidemiological analysis of contacts with primary cases of COVID-19 and secondary cases of infection for 6 months of 2020 in the different age groups was performed on the data of epidemiological surveillance system in 190,856 COVID-19 patients and 146,996 their contacts

**Results:** Patients with mild form of disease had the main proportion of contact - 50.6 %, moderate form - 45.8%. The proportion of contacts in patients with severe form was only 3.6%.

Group aged 41-64 years had the highest number of cases (44.4%) and contacts (44.5%), aged 18-40 years - 30.2% of cases and 34.2% of contacts; 0-6 years - 2.7% of cases and 1.9% of contacts, 7-17 - 4.0 % of the cases and 3.1% of the contact. The number of contact persons was directly related to the number of secondary cases of the disease in all age groups: at the age of 0-6 years - 3.5 %, 7-17 years - 5,8 %, 18-40- 33,9%, 41-64 - 42,1%, 65 and older -14.7%.

Patients of all age groups mainly contacted with healthy people in domestic focuses: the age group 0-17 years accounted for 65.9% of contacts, 18-40 years - 63.9%, 41-64 years - 64.2% over 65 years - 65.18%, respectively.

The frequency of contacts at work was the highest at the age of 18-40 years - 9.0% and 41-64 years - 9.0%, but were significantly less than contacts in everyday (family) life - 63.8% and 64.2%, respectively.

**Conclusion:** The most active transmission of infection is carried out by patients aged 18-64 years, carrying the disease in mild and moderate-severe form. Secondary transmission of COVID-19 most of all is active in household foci in all age groups. In the age groups of 18-64 years, the transmission of infection in the workplace is also important. These results of analysis can be used to optimize prevention measures against COVID-19.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.12.124>

#### PS07.08 (310)

##### Determination of SARS-CoV-2 Contamination in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) Environment Using Droplet Digital PCR (ddPCR)

J. Vayalunkal<sup>1,\*</sup>, D. Pillai<sup>2</sup>, L. Oberding<sup>3</sup>, L. Ward<sup>3</sup>, K. Fonseca<sup>3</sup>, A. Abou Mehrem<sup>4</sup>, J. Conly<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Calgary, Pediatrics, Section of Infectious Diseases, Calgary, Canada

<sup>2</sup>University of Calgary, Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Medicine, Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Calgary, Canada

<sup>3</sup>University of Calgary, Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Calgary, Canada

<sup>4</sup>University of Calgary, Pediatrics, Section of Neonatology, Calgary, Canada

<sup>5</sup>University of Calgary, Medicine, Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Calgary, Canada

**Purpose:** Neonatal infections with SARS-CoV-2 are thought to be less contagious than in older children and adults. The transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from neonates and their environment has not been well studied. Droplet Digital PCR (ddPCR) is an emerging and sensitive technology that can aid infection control investigations. We sought to document surface contamination within the immediate environment of a preterm neonate with congenital COVID-19 using ddPCR.

**Methods & Materials:** On day 5 of life, a total of 23 environmental samples were collected in Eswabs (Amies media) based on proximity to the neonate, from the inside (7) and outside (16) of the neonate's incubator for ddPCR analysis. Samples were extracted, using an in-house method and each extract was run for reverse-transcription ddPCR measurement using the Bio-Rad SARS-CoV-2 ddPCR Kit. The 96-well RT-ddPCR ready plate was loaded into the QX200 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad, Pleasanton, CA). The fluorescence intensity of each droplet was measured, and droplets were determined to be positive or negative for gene targets (N1, N2).

**Results:** All samples collected from outside of the incubator were negative. These included: a stethoscope hanging outside of the incubator, nearby keyboard/mouse, wireless phone receiver, barcode scanner, blood culture bottles, pens/pencils, light switches, weigh scale, countertop/shelf, cart with drawers and incubator port release clips. Samples collected from inside the incubator were positive for SARS-CoV-2. These results reported in copies per microlitre (cp/µL) extract included: the swaddle cloth (0.4 N2), sheets behind the neonate's head (11.4 N1, 16.9 N2), cardiorespiratory and saturation monitor leads and cables near the neonate's head (2.8 N1, 4.5 N2), near the neonate's feet (2.1 N1, 3.7 N2), and nametags hanging on a panel (1.0 N1, 1.2 N2). The highest levels were noted from the neonate's drool (25.2 N1, 35.2 N2).

**Conclusion:** The presence of SARS-CoV-2 was confirmed by ddPCR in environmental samples inside the incubator confirming the ability of the neonate to spread the virus in close quarters. No virus was identified outside of the incubator which suggests appropriate hand hygiene and disinfection of environmental surfaces. ddPCR appears to be a useful tool for investigating the potential role of fomites in COVID-19 transmission

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.12.125>

#### PS07.09 (666)

##### Clinical and epidemiological assessment of the epidemic process of covid-19 in hostels depending on the type of their planning arrangement

A. Zadoroshnyy, N. Pshenichnaya\*, S. Ugleva

Central Research Institute of Epidemiology,  
Department of infectious diseases and epidemiology,  
Moscow, Russian Federation

**Purpose:** During the COVID-19 pandemic, people living in dormitories became one of the most vulnerable groups of the population involved in the process of focal morbidity.

**Aim:** To analyze the clinical and epidemiological features of the manifestation of the epidemic process of COVID-19 in hostels, depending on the type of their planning arrangement.

**Methods & Materials:** A prospective analytical study of 350 foci of COVID-19 formed in hostels in Moscow in the period from 04.12.2020 to 06.23.2020 with 3,228 cases in total.

**Results:** The epidemic process in the corridor-type dormitories (CTB) had an earlier development, the first foci of COVID-19 began to form as early as 04/12/2020, which was 7 days ahead of the formation of foci in block-type dormitories (BCD). The average growth rate in the corridor-type dormitories was 8.4%, which was 5.3 times higher than the corresponding indicator in block-type dormitories. The prevalence rate was 1.5 times higher in the corridor-type dormitories. From 04/12/2020 to 06/23/2020, the number of COVID-19 infected in the block-type dormitories was significantly less than in the corridor-type dormitories: there were 4 cases of infection per 100 guests of the block-type dormitories, while in the corridor-type dormitories there were 10 cases of COVID-19 per