

Potassium channel activation inhibits proliferation of breast cancer cells by activating a senescence program

K Lansu¹ and S Gentile^{*1}

Traditionally the hERG1 potassium channel has been known to have a fundamental role in membrane excitability of several mammalian cells including cardiac myocytes. hERG1 has recently been found to be expressed in non-excitabile cancer cells of different histogenesis, but the role of this channel in cancer biology is unknown. Results from recent studies on the effect hERG1 inhibition in some breast cancer cells are controversial as it can lead to apoptosis or protect against cell death. Nevertheless, these data suggest that the hERG1 channel could have an important role in cancer biology. Here we report the effects of hyperstimulation of hERG1 channel in human mammary gland adenocarcinoma-derived cells. Application of the hERG1 activator, the diphenylurea derivative NS1643, inhibits cell proliferation irreversibly. This event is accompanied by a preferential arrest of the cell cycle in G0/G1 phase without the occurrence of apoptotic events. Consequently, cells responded to NS1643 by developing a senescence-like phenotype associated with increased protein levels of the tumor suppressors p21 and p16^{INK4a} and by a positive β -galactosidase assay. These data suggest that prolonged stimulation of the hERG1 potassium channel may activate a senescence program and offers a compelling opportunity to develop a potential antiproliferative cancer therapy.

Cell Death and Disease (2013) 4, e652; doi:10.1038/cddis.2013.174; published online 6 June 2013

Subject Category: Cancer

The voltage-gated hERG1 potassium channel has a fundamental role in controlling membrane excitability of cardiac myocytes and therefore heart contractility.^{1,2} Interestingly, hERG1 has also been found expressed in several non-excitabile neoplastic tissues.^{3–8} However, the role of hERG1 in these cells is still obscure.

It has also been found that hERG channel expression can change during formation of neuronal crest⁹ or in muscular systems of quail embryos.¹⁰ In addition, in tumor cells, hERG1 gene expression varies during different phases of the cell cycle.¹¹ It also has been shown that inhibition of hERG1 current activity can inhibit proliferation in leukemic cells by promoting cell cycle arrest.^{12–14} These data suggest that the hERG1 channel might have an important role in controlling the cell cycle.

In addition, hERG channel blockers can lead to apoptosis; however, this event appears to be independent of their ability to arrest the cell cycle.^{13,15}

In contrast, it also has been reported that a H₂O₂-dependent increase of hERG1 current activity promoted caspase-3 activation in several cancer cell lines including SKBr3.¹⁵ This effect was inhibited by the hERG1 blocker dofetilide.¹⁵

Despite these seemingly contradictory observations, the findings suggest that hERG1 might have an important role in non-excitabile cells and raise the possibility that a function for

hERG1 in promoting or protecting from apoptosis might coexist according to the biological condition.

Unfortunately, hERG1 potassium channel antagonists are strongly associated with cardiac arrhythmias: acquired long QT (aLQT2) and cardiac ventricular fibrillation; these potentially fatal events limit the use of hERG1 blockers for anticancer therapy.

On the other hand, current research in pharmacology has identified several reversible activators of hERG1 channels, which have the ability to improve cardiac function in LQT2 animal models.^{16–22} Among these, the best characterized hERG1 agonist is the diphenylurea derivative NS1643.^{19,23} At present, the effects of this drug on the biology of hERG1-positive cancer cells remain unexplored.

The present study was carried out to investigate the effect of the hERG1 channel activator on human mammary gland adenocarcinoma.^{8,15} The specific type of cell lines that we used, SKBr3 and MDA-MB-231 lack expression of: estrogen α and/or β -type receptors (ERneg) and progesterone receptor. In addition, SKBr3 cells present a high expression level of the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (ErbB-2). This cancer phenotype is associated with a high mortality rate.

To gain insights into the mechanism of action of NS1643, we examined several biological parameters including proliferation rate, cell cycle phase distribution, expression level of several cell cycle biomarkers, apoptosis and senescence.

¹Molecular Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Loyola University, Chicago, IL, USA

*Corresponding author: S Gentile, Molecular Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Loyola University, Chicago, IL, USA. Tel: +708 216 3263; Fax: +708 216 6596. E-mail: sagentile@lumc.edu

Keywords: hERG1; potassium channel; senescence; cancer; proliferation

Abbreviations: hERG1, human ether-a-go related gene 1; aLQT2, acquired long QT; ErbB-2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; NS1643, *N,N'*-Bis[2-hydroxy-5-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl] urea; PD118057, 2-[[4-[2-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl) ethyl] phenyl] amino] benzoic acid; p16^{INK4A}, multiple tumor suppressor 1

Received 31.1.13; revised 21.4.13; accepted 22.4.13; Edited by A Stephanou

We report here for the first time that exposing human mammary gland adenocarcinoma to the hERG1 channel activator NS1643 leads to arrest of the cell cycle in G₀/G₁ phase by activating a senescence program. Although the biological meaning of senescence is still poorly understood, several studies have revealed that this stable form of cell cycle arrest can have a fundamental role in tumor suppression. This work provides the first evidence for a role of hERG1 potassium channel in promoting cellular senescence in breast cancer. Furthermore, it offers an opportunity to design an antiproliferative pharmacological strategy for cancer cells expressing hERG1 channel.

Results

hERG1 agonists cause irreversible inhibition of cell proliferation in human mammary gland adenocarcinoma-derived cells. To characterize the effects of the hERG1 channel agonists on breast cancer cells, we first identified two cell lines that were expressing hERG1 channel. We found that human mammary gland adenocarcinoma-derived SKBr3 (Figures 1a and b) or MDA-MB-231 (Supplementary

Figure 1A) cells express functional hERG1 channel. Then we investigated the consequences of hERG1 current activity stimulation on proliferation of dividing cells by exposing both cell lines to increasing concentrations of NS1643 (0–10–25–50 μ M). Proliferation rate was measured by counting cells at 24–48–72 h. We found that application of NS1643 caused a significant inhibition of cell proliferation in both cell lines (Figure 1c; Supplementary Figure 1B).

Unfortunately, our attempts to manipulate expression level of the hERG1 channel by using siRNA²⁴ did not provide viable cells as they died within 24 h after transfection. However, to gain insight on the selectivity of NS1643, we repeated measurements of proliferation rates with Chinese Ovary Hamster Ovary cells (CHO-H1, ATCC), which do not express hERG1 (Figure 1d). We observed that in these cells proliferation rate was unaltered at any concentration of NS1643 and at any time point (Figure 1f).

To gain more insight on the specificity of the hERG1 channel in determining inhibition of cell proliferation we also exposed cells to an alternative hERG1 agonist PD118057. This drug is structurally different from NS1643 (Supplementary Figures 1A and B). We found that this drug




Figure 1 (a) Western blot indicating positive expression of hERG1 channel in SKBr3 cells. (b) Effect of NS1643 (50 μ M) on hERG1 current detected in SKBr3 cells 48 h after drug treatment compared with untreated cells (control). hERG1 current specificity was confirmed by application of the selective hERG1 blocker E4031 (5 μ M). (c) Effect of NS1643 on SKBr3 cell proliferation rate at different time points ($n = 6$; $*P < 0.01$). (d) Western blot indicating negative expression of hERG1 channel in CHO cells. (e) Effect of NS1643 (50 μ M) or E4031 (5 μ M) on hERG1 current detected in CHO cells 48 h after drug treatment compared with untreated cells (control). (f) Effect of NS1643 on CHO cell proliferation rate at different time points compared with untreated cells ($n = 6$). (g) Measurements of proliferation rates of SKBr3 during NS1643 treatment (50 μ M; square box) and after drug removal (triangle) compared with control (diamond). ($n = 6$; $*P < 0.025$)

also strongly inhibited proliferation rate similarly to what was observed with NS1643.

Interestingly, inhibition of cell proliferation by the hERG1 agonist NS1643 appeared to be irreversible. The stimulating effect of NS1643 on hERG1 current has been shown to be reversible upon removal of the drug.¹⁹ To determine if the effect of NS1643 could be rescued by removal of the drug, SKBr3 cells were first treated with 50 μM NS1643 for up to 72 h (Figure 2). To maximize the effects we decided to use a NS1643 drug concentration of 50 μM , which corresponds to at least twice the EC_{50} value to activate the hERG1 channel.²³ After drug treatment, cells were washed five times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution and fresh drug-free medium was added. Washing and drug-free medium replacement was performed every 24 h for 3 days. Cell proliferation rate was monitored every 24 h for 3 days. Data were analysed

and compared with untreated cells. As previously observed, NS1643 treatment strongly inhibited cell growth compared with untreated cells (Figure 1g). Moreover, this inhibition was maintained even after replacing the medium with drug-free medium (Figure 1g). These data suggest that the effect of NS1643 on breast cancer cells expressing the hERG1 channel is not rescued by removal of the drug, resulting in an irreversible outcome.

hERG1 agonist-dependent cell cycle arrest is not associated with cell death. In our experiments, the effect of hERG1 agonists on cell proliferation rate could be due to an increased cell death. Therefore, we used trypan blue staining to select and quantify dead cells in plates containing SKBr3 (Figure 2a) or CHO (Figure 2b) cells treated with or without NS1643. Cells that became sensitive to trypan blue staining




Figure 2 (a) Detection of cell death in SKBr3 cells and (b) CHO cells treated for up to 48 h with or without different concentrations of NS1643 (0 μM light gray bar; 10 μM dark gray bar; 50 μM black bar) by using trypan blue staining method. Each experiment was repeated five times. (c) AnnexinV apoptosis detection (Abcam; Cambridge, MA, USA) in SKBr3 cells before ((Control) and after 24 and 48 h) treatment with 50 μM NS1643. (d) Histograms indicate the number of alive, apoptotic or dead cells in NS1643 treated (dark gray bar; $n = 3$) or untreated (light gray bar; $n = 3$) dishes. (e) Western blot analyses of the effect NS1643 on activation and photolytic cleavage of caspase-3 (Cell signaling Technology Inc.; cleaved-casp-3) in SKBr3 cells ($n = 3$) compared with untreated cells (Control). Effect of the caspase-3 activator paclitaxel²⁵ in SKBr3 cells was used as positive control. (e) Western blot analyses of the effect NS1643 on the autophagy biomarker Beclin-1 (Cell signaling Technology Inc.)

were monitored by using light microscopy or an automated cell counter (Countess, Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA).

Interestingly, this method did not reveal any notable difference in the number of dead cells between treated or untreated SKBr3 or CHO cells in all samples tested (Figures 2a and b).

To gain more insights into a possible hERG1 agonist-driven cell death mechanism, we also monitored apoptosis by using AnnexinV-based immunofluorescence (Figures 2c and d). Subconfluent SKBr3 or MDA-MB-231 cells were exposed to 50 μM NS1643 for up to 48 h and apoptotic events were monitored. As shown in Figures 2c and d and in Supplementary Figures 2a and b, the percentage of apoptotic cells did not change upon treatment with NS1643 at any indicated time point. In addition, we also monitored caspase-3 activity as it is known to be one of the major effectors in potassium channel-dependent apoptotic events.¹⁵ Western blot analyses of SKBr3 or MDA-MB-231 cells treated with NS1643 for up to 48 h revealed no detection of active caspase-3 or reduction of protein pro-caspase-3 density as indication of protein activation by cleavage (Figure 2e; Supplementary Figure 2C).

Alternatively, we performed western blot analyses of beclin-1, a marker of autophagy. Interestingly, we did not detect any significant changes of beclin-1 protein level after NS1643 treatment for up to 72 h in either cell line (Figure 2f, Supplementary Figure 2D).

In conclusion, these data indicate that the inhibitory effect of NS1643 on cell proliferation rate is not associated with an increased cell death or apoptosis.

hERG1 agonist induces cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 phase. NS1643-dependent inhibition of cell proliferation most probably implies that the drug affects progression of the cell cycle. Therefore, we analysed changes in the cell cycle with flow cytometry. Subconfluent cultures of SKBr3 or

MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with NS1643 or DMSO (control) for different time points (Figure 3; Supplementary Figure 3). Then, DNA content in cells from each plate was analysed with flow cytometry by propidium iodide staining. Treatment with 50 μM NS1643 for 48 h caused significant changes in percent of distribution in different cell cycle phases in both cell lines as follows.

SKBr3 (Figures 3a, b and d): increase of cell fraction in G1 phase compared with untreated cells (control G0/G1 = 38% \pm 7%; NS1643 = 69% \pm 7%); decrease of the cell fraction in S phase (control S = 53% \pm 3%; NS1643 S = 24% \pm 3%) and a significant decrease of percent of cell fraction in G2/M phase (control G2/M = 22% \pm 6%; NS1643 G2/M = 6% \pm 2).

MDA-MB-231: increase of cell fraction in G1 phase compared with untreated cells (control G0/G1 = 45% \pm 8%; NS1643 = 56% \pm 7%); decrease of the cell fraction in S phase (control S = 40% \pm 3%; NS1643 S = 32% \pm 4%) and a significant decrease of percent of cell fraction in G2/M phase (control G2/M = 15% \pm 3%; NS1643 G2/M = 3% \pm 2).

Interestingly, application of the selective hERG1 blocker E4031 in combination with NS1643 rescued the effect of NS1643 alone (Figure 3c; G0/G1 = 52% \pm 4%; S = 28% \pm 6%; G2/M = 5% \pm 2%) confirming the specificity of hERG1 channel in determining its agonist-dependent inhibition of cell proliferation.

In conclusion, these data suggest that stimulation of hERG1 activity can lead to preferential arrest of the cell cycle in G0/G1 phase.

hERG1 agonist decreased expression level of proteins promoting G1-S transition, S phase and M phase. As NS1643 caused preferential cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 phase and reduced the fraction of cells in the S phase and M phase, we examined the effect of this drug on the expression level of biomarkers for different cell cycle phases.




Figure 3 (a–c) Flow cytometry analyses of DNA content of SKBr3 cells treated for 48 h with DMSO (control), NS1643 (50 μM) or NS1643 (50 μM) in combination with the selective hERG1 channel blocker E4031 (5 μM) cells. (d) Histograms showing the percentages of cells at various phases of cell cycle in untreated cells (light gray bar; $n = 3$), NS1643-treated cells (dark gray bars; $n = 3$) or treated with NS1643 + E4031 (black bars). * indicate a statistically significant difference from untreated control. $P < 0.005$. ** indicate a statistically significant difference from NS1643 treatment alone. $P < 0.005$

To investigate the effect of NS1643 on proteins playing a major role in controlling G1 to S transition we performed a series of time course western blot analyses detecting expression level of cyclin E2, retinoblastoma (Rb) and cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (Cdk1) before and after application of 50 μ M NS1643. As shown in Figure 4a, application of NS1643 resulted in a decrease of cyclin E2 at the earliest time point of 2 h. After 24 h treatment, cyclin E2 completely disappeared. Monitoring Rb protein expression upon cell treatment with NS1643 also revealed a decrease in this protein's levels but only after treatments longer than 24 h (Figure 4a). In addition, protein levels of Cdk1 significantly decreased 24 h after treatment with NS1643.

As the effect of NS1643 on cell cycle was associated with a reduction of the fraction of cells in S phase, we monitored protein levels of cyclin A2, the activity of which is required for progression through the S phase in dividing somatic cells.²⁶ Application of NS1643 did not affect the expression level of cyclin A2 after 2 h treatment (Figure 5a) (as was seen for cyclin E2 (Figure 4a)); however, cyclin A2 protein significantly decreased after 24 h and completely disappeared after 36 h (data not shown).

In addition, we also monitored Wee1, as its activity is important for traversing the G2/M checkpoint and pH3, which is thought to have a major role in promoting mitosis.^{27,28} As shown in Figures 5b and c, western blot analyses of cells treated with NS1643 revealed no significant change of protein expression after 2 h of drug treatment. Nevertheless, a strong reduction of both proteins' expression level was detected after 24 h of drug treatment.

Altogether, these results suggest that the hERG1 agonist NS1643 inhibits proliferation of SKBr3 cells by downregulating

the expression of a plethora of important cell cycle phase-specific biomarkers including an early decrease of cyclin E2.

hERG1 agonist treatment increases protein level of cellular senescence markers. The effect of the hERG1 agonists on breast cancer cells can be summarized as follows: a decrease in proliferation rate caused by an irreversible arrest of the cell cycle in G1 phase, and absence of apoptotic events. Altogether, these findings support the hypothesis that NS1643 treatment might lead to a loss of the cancerogenic behavior. To further test this hypothesis we monitored the protein expression level of negative regulators of the cell cycle such as the anti-apoptotic and tumor suppressor p21 and the multiple tumor suppressor and senescence program activator p16^{INK4a}.

Western blot analyses of SKBr3 or MDA-MB-231 cells treated with NS1643 revealed a significant increase in the level of p21 protein already after 24 h treatment with NS1643 compared with untreated cells (Figure 6a; Supplementary Figure 4A). Earlier detection did not reveal significant changes in p21 expression. Irreversible cell cycle arrest can be indicative of activation of a cell senescence program; we therefore measured expression level of p16^{INK4a} and β -galactosidase activity as hallmarks for senescence.²⁹⁻³¹ Cells treated with NS1643 for 24 h showed a small but statistically significant increase of p16^{INK4a} expression, which strongly increased after 48 h drug treatment in both cell lines in comparison with untreated cells (Figure 6b, Supplementary Figure 4B). In addition, senescence-associated acidic β -galactosidase staining (SA- β -Gal) also displayed a strong positivity only in cells treated with NS1643 for 48 h.




Figure 4 (a) Western blot analyses indicating the effects of NS1643 treatment on cyclin E2, (b) Rb and (c) Cdk1. Each protein expression is represented graphically (right panel) upon 2 or 24 h treatment with NS1643 (dark gray bars; $n = 3$; $*P < 0.05$) and compared with control (C2 or C24, light gray bars; $n = 3$) or 24 h treatment. The chart shows the average total optical density of the specific protein band from the densitometric analysis of immunoblots expressed as percentage change of control. Data were normalized to protein expression levels present in cells with no drug added




Figure 5 (a) Western blot analyses indicating the effects of NS1643 treatment on cyclin A2, (b) WEE1 and (c) phosphorylated histone-3. Each protein expression is represented graphically (right panel) upon 2 or 24 h treatment with NS1643 (dark gray bars; $n = 3$; $*P < 0.01$) and compared with control (C2 or C24, light gray bars; $n = 3$) or 24 h treatment. The chart shows the average total optical density of the specific protein band from the densitometric analysis of immunoblots expressed as percentage change of control. Data were normalized to protein expression levels present in cells with no drug added. Asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference from untreated control

Altogether, this study for the first time provides evidence that prolonged stimulation of hERG1 channel leads to inhibition of proliferation in SKBr3 or MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. This phenomenon can be linked to a fast decrease of cyclin E2 protein level, which determines an accumulation of the cells in G0/G1 phase and an increase of tumor suppressor proteins and markers for cellular senescence including p21, p16^{INK4a} and β -galactosidase activity. Therefore, we suggest that the effects of hERG1 agonists might have a role in determining cell cycle arrest by activating a cellular senescence program.

Discussion

Potassium channel activity have a fundamental role in maintaining cellular homeostasis or induction of cell death.^{32–36} As a rule of thumb, an increase of potassium channel activity leads to membrane hyperpolarization while a decrease in activity leads to depolarization. By determining variations of membrane potential, they can activate and coordinate many cellular events including neuronal transmission, muscle contraction and exocrine or endocrine secretion.³⁴

It has been found that proliferating cells undergo changes of membrane potential during progression of the cell cycle.³⁷ In addition, cancer cells have a more depolarized membrane potential compared with quiescent cells.³⁸ This raises the possibility that potassium channels may also have a fundamental role in cell proliferation.

Interestingly, the level of mRNA encoding for the hERG1 potassium channel have been found to change throughout the

cell cycle, reaching their highest level in G0/G1 phase.¹¹ It has also been shown that constitutive inhibition of the hERG1 channel activity can induce cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 phase in several cancer cells.¹²

Surprisingly, results from the present study demonstrate that prolonged stimulation of hERG1 channel in the ER-negative breast cancer SKBr3 cells treated with NS1643 arrested the cells in the G0/G1 phase. Altogether, these data suggest that hERG1 channel activity is essential for the G1 to S transition. However, this cellular event might take place only when hERG1 channel activity can oscillate or be maintained in a specific range as both hyperstimulation (shown here) and constitutive inhibition of the channel leads to cell cycle arrest in G0/G1.¹⁵

Cyclin E2 is one of the most important proteins that mediate the G1 to S transition of the cell cycle.^{39,40} This protein determines phosphorylation of Rb by activating its catalytic partner, Cdk1. Hyperphosphorylation of Rb disrupts its association with transcription factors, altering the pattern of gene expression and permitting cell proliferation and/or apoptosis.

As of the importance that cyclin E2 has in regulating the cell cycle, it is our opinion that one of the most significant effects of stimulating hERG1 channel in ERneg breast cancer cells is the related to a decreased level of E2. This event appears to occur after only 2 h of drug treatment, whereas none of the other detected cell cycle biomarkers were affected at this early time point.

It has been demonstrated that cyclin E2 exerts its powerful influence on proliferation by controlling transcription of the cyclin A2 gene.^{41,42} Coincidentally, we found that expression




Figure 6 Western blot analyses indicating the effects of NS1643 treatment on (a) p21 and (b) p16INK4a. Each protein expression is represented graphically (right panel) upon treatment with NS1643 for 24 h (dark gray bars; $n = 3$; $*P < 0.001$), 48 h (black bars; $n = 3$) compared with no treated cells (C24 or C48, light gray bars; $n = 3$). The chart shows the average total optical density of the specific protein band from the densitometric analysis of immunoblots expressed as percentage change of control. Data were normalized to protein expression levels present in cells with no drug added. (c) Photomicrographs representing SA- β -Gal activity by visualization of blue staining of untreated SKBr3 cells and (d) SKBr3 cells treated with NS1643 for 48 h. (e) Histograms representing the number of cells stained in blue as percent of total cells in untreated dishes (light gray bar; $n = 5$; control) or drug treated dishes (black bar; $n = 5$; $*P < 0.05$). SA- β -Gal activity was quantified by counting 100 cells on three separate areas for each treatment. Asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference from untreated control

of cyclin A2 was strongly reduced only after that E2 was downregulated. It is known that cyclin A2 activity is fundamental for progression of the S phase.²⁶ Therefore, a decrease of this protein abundance is consistent with the flow cytometry analyses indicating a significant decrease of cell number in the S phase after treatment with NS1643.

Similarly, phosphorylation of histone-3, which controls the progression of mitosis, was strongly reduced after 24 h treatment. This event is indicative of an incomplete progression of the S phase and depletion of a fundamental protein promoting transition from G2 to M phase.

Altogether, these data suggest that stimulation of hERG1 channels rapidly hindered the cell cycle machinery by inhibiting the activity of the early key regulatory protein cyclin E2. Interestingly, 24 h treatment with NS1643 also led to downregulation of Rb and Cdk1. These events coincided with an increase of the senescent cell marker p16^{INK4a} proteins level. It has been previously reported that p16^{INK4a} controls Rb activity by inhibiting its transcription.⁴³ Furthermore, p16^{INK4a} inhibits Cdk1 expression via post-transcriptional events.⁴⁴ Therefore, we can speculate that the effects of NS1643 on Rb and Cdk1 could be attributed to the increased density of p16^{INK4a}.

With regard to the role of hERG1 channels in apoptosis, this is still a controversial topic. Inhibition of hERG1 has been found to protect cells from apoptosis, as well as stimulating activity of the apoptosis executioner caspase-3.^{12,13,15} In our experimental conditions, hyperstimulation of the hERG1 channel did not induce apoptosis after arresting the cells in the G0/G1 phase. The lack of apoptosis in cells treated with NS1643 could be due to the drug-induced increase of the tumor suppressor p21 protein levels.

Our experiments revealed that the basal protein levels of p21 in untreated cells were barely detectable (Figure 6a). In contrast, in these cells basal level of cyclin E2 (Figure 4a) was highly expressed. This expression pattern is characteristic of proliferating cells.

Traditionally, an increase of p21 protein level can be linked to a cell cycle arrest as this protein inhibits cyclin E2 activity.^{45,46} However, in our experiments the hERG1 agonist-dependent augmentation of p21 protein level occurred only many hours after that cyclin E2 disappeared. Therefore, it is unlikely that the main function of p21 in the hERG1 agonist-treated cells would be to inhibit cyclin E2 activity.

Nevertheless, it has been also shown that elevation of p21 protein can protect against apoptosis.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ Our data confirm

this hypothesis as high expression of p21 coincides with lack of apoptosis in NS1643-treated cells.

Interestingly, increase of p21 and p16^{INK4a} are considered strong markers for cellular senescence;^{30,31,50–52} a distinctive cellular phenotype that is characterized by an irreversible arrest of the cell cycle.^{53,54} Accordingly, our attempts to rescue the effect of NS1643 on cell proliferation failed as no increase in growth rate was measured after removal of the drug. This suggests that the effect of NS1643 on cell proliferation was irreversible. In addition, we also detected a significant increase in the senescence marker β -galactosidase activity in cells treated with NS1643. In summary, the NS1643-dependent augmentation of p21, p16^{INK4a} and β -galactosidase activities, in combination with irreversible arrest of the cell cycle in G0/G1 phase suggest that hyperstimulation of the hERG1 potassium channel can lead to activation of a senescence program.

It is clear that in almost all the forms of cancer, mechanisms promoting growth arrest including apoptosis or differentiation are inhibited. Although it is not yet well understood what roles senescent cells have in an organism, it has been proposed that this cellular phenotype can protect from tumor progression by limiting cancer cell proliferation.^{55,56} In addition, it is known that relapsing tumors can activate an inflammatory response that stimulates the immune system to remove senescent cells.^{57,58} Furthermore, senescent cells are more susceptible to the effects of chemotherapies.⁵⁹ Therefore, stimulating hERG1 channel activity could be preparatory for more efficacious effects of an activated immune system and/or antiproliferative drugs.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines. Human mammary gland adenocarcinoma SKBR3 and MDA-MB-231 cells were a generous gift from Dr. Ajay Rana (Loyola University Chicago, IL, USA). SKBR3 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium. MDA-MB-231 were cultured in L-15 medium. Both culturing media had 10% fetal bovine serum. Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells were purchased from ATCC and grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (high glucose) with 10% fetal bovine serum. All cell culture media included antibiotics (penicillin 100 and 100 μ /ml streptomycin).

Drugs: hERG1 agonists NS1643 and PD118057 were purchased, respectively from Alomone Labs (Jerusalem, Israel) and Tocris bioscience (Bristol, UK).

Western blot analysis. After treatment with hERG1 agonist as needed, cells were harvested by trypsinization with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA, washed in PBS, and lysed with cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer containing 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Tergitol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, and 1 \times Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). Protein concentration was determined via BCA assay (Thermo Scientific). Laemmli buffer 4 \times was added to the protein samples, which were then heated at 95 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min. The samples (32 μ g) were subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis on precast 4–15% gradient mini-gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk in mixture of Tris-Buffered Saline (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 0.1% Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich) (TBST) and washed in TBST before overnight incubation at 4 $^{\circ}$ C with primary cell cycle antibodies diluted 1:1000 (p16^{INK4a} dilution was 1:500) in 3% bovine serum albumin in TBST. Then, the membrane was washed with TBST and incubated with the appropriate secondary antibody (1:2000) for 1 h at room temperature. All antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology Inc. (Boston, MA, USA) with the exception of the p16^{INK4a} antibody which was purchased from Abgent (San Diego, CA, USA). Membranes were washed with TBST and visualized using Super Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA).

Electrophysiology. Whole-cell hERG currents were detected from cells voltage-clamped through gramicidin-perforated patches as previously described in Gentile *et al.*⁶⁰

Cell proliferation assay. Cells were plated in estrogen-free medium and allowed to attach overnight before treatment with NS1643, refreshing the treatment every 24 h as needed. After the indicated time points, cells were trypsinized, washed with PBS, resuspended and stained for 1 min with 0.4% Trypan Blue (Gibco/Life Technologies; Grand Island, NY, USA). Viable and dead cells were counted using a hemocytometer and cell counter (Countess; Invitrogen).

Cell cycle analysis. Control and treated cells were harvested using 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA, centrifuged (300 g), and washed once with cold PBS. The pellet was resuspended in ice cold 70% ethanol and stored at -20 $^{\circ}$ C. Once all samples were collected, cells were centrifuged and resuspended in cold PBS. One million cells were counted, collected and centrifuged. Samples were incubated with RNase A (Promega, Madison, WI, USA; final concentration = 0.5 μ g/ml) and propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich; (50 μ g/ml) for 2 h at 4 $^{\circ}$ C before analysis with a flow cytometer and FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.; Ashland, OR, USA).

AnnexinV assay. Cells were assayed for apoptosis using an Alexa Fluor 488 annexin V/Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, cells were treated at the indicated time points, harvested with trypsin, resuspended in binding buffer (50 mM HEPES, 700 mM NaCl, 12.5 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.4), and stained with both propidium iodide (1 mg/ml) and annexinV. After 15 min of incubation, cell suspensions were analysed with a flow cytometer. Data were analysed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc.).

Senescence-associated acidic β -galactosidase staining. A senescence β -Galactosidase Staining Kit was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology Inc.(no. 9860) and employed as suggested by manufacturer's instructions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our gratitude to Drs. Kenneth Byron and Bruce Cuevas, Clodia Osipo (Loyola University Chicago), David Armstrong (National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences/NIEHS/NIH), Norman Sharpless (University of North Carolina) for their valuable advices. We also thank Patricia Simms (Loyola University Chicago) for her excellent technical assistance.

1. Sanguinetti MC, Tristani-Firouzi M. hERG potassium channels and cardiac arrhythmia. *Nature* 2006; **440**: 463–469.
2. Vandenberg JI, Perry MD, Perrin MJ, Mann SA, Ke Y, Hill AP *et al.* hERG K (+) channels: structure, function, and clinical significance. *Physiol Rev* 2012; **92**: 1393–1478.
3. Arcangeli A, Rosati B, Crociani O, Cherubini A, Fontana L, Passani B *et al.* Modulation of hERG current and hERG gene expression during retinoic acid treatment of human neuroblastoma cells: potentiating effects of BDNF. *J Neurobiol* 1999; **40**: 214–225.
4. Cherubini A, Taddei GL, Crociani O, Paglierani M, Buccoliero AM, Fontana L *et al.* hERG potassium channels are more frequently expressed in human endometrial cancer as compared to non-cancerous endometrium. *Br J Cancer* 2000; **83**: 1722–1729.
5. Hofmann G, Bernabei PA, Crociani O, Cherubini A, Guasti L, Pillozzi S *et al.* hERG K + channels activation during beta(1) integrin-mediated adhesion to fibronectin induces an up-regulation of alpha(v)beta(3) integrin in the preosteoclastic leukemia cell line FLG 29.1. *J Biol Chem* 2001; **276**: 4923–4931.
6. Schonherr R, Rosati B, Hehl S, Rao VG, Arcangeli A, Olivetto M *et al.* Functional role of the slow activation property of ERG K + channels. *Eur J Neurosci* 1999; **11**: 753–760.
7. Arcangeli A. Expression and role of hERG channels in cancer cells. *Novartis Found Symp* 2005; **266**: 225–232; discussion 232–224.
8. Bianchi L, Wible B, Arcangeli A, Tagliatalata M, Morra F, Castaldo P *et al.* hERG encodes a K + current highly conserved in tumors of different histogenesis: a selective advantage for cancer cells? *Cancer Res* 1998; **58**: 815–822.
9. Arcangeli A, Rosati B, Cherubini A, Crociani O, Fontana L, Ziller C *et al.* hERG- and IRK-like inward rectifier currents are sequentially expressed during neuronal development of neural crest cells and their derivatives. *Eur J Neurosci* 1997; **9**: 2596–2604.

10. Crociani O, Cherubini A, Piccini E, Polvani S, Costa L, Fontana L *et al.* *erg* gene(s) expression during development of the nervous and muscular system of quail embryos. *Mech Dev* 2000; **95**: 239–243.
11. Crociani O, Guasti L, Balzi M, Becchetti A, Wanke E, Olivetto M *et al.* Cell cycle-dependent expression of HERG1 and HERG1B isoforms in tumor cells. *J Biol Chem* 2003; **278**: 2947–2955.
12. Smith GA, Tsui HW, Newell EW, Jiang X, Zhu XP, Tsui FW *et al.* Functional up-regulation of HERG K⁺ channels in neoplastic hematopoietic cells. *J Biol Chem* 2002; **277**: 18528–18534.
13. Pillozzi S, Brizzi MF, Balzi M, Crociani O, Cherubini A, Guasti L *et al.* HERG potassium channels are constitutively expressed in primary human acute myeloid leukemias and regulate cell proliferation of normal and leukemic hemopoietic progenitors. *Leukemia* 2002; **16**: 1791–1798.
14. Jehle J, Schweizer PA, Katus HA, Thomas D. Novel roles for hERG K⁺ channels in cell proliferation and apoptosis. *Cell Death Dis* 2011; **2**: e193.
15. Wang H, Zhang Y, Cao L, Han H, Wang J, Yang B *et al.* HERG K⁺ channel, a regulator of tumor cell apoptosis and proliferation. *Cancer Res* 2002; **62**: 4843–4848.
16. Diness TG, Yeh YH, Qi XY, Chartier D, Tsuji Y, Hansen RS *et al.* Antiarrhythmic properties of a rapid delayed-rectifier current activator in rabbit models of acquired long QT syndrome. *Cardiovasc Res* 2008; **79**: 61–69.
17. Kang J, Chen XL, Wang H, Ji J, Cheng H, Incardona J *et al.* Discovery of a small molecule activator of the human ether-a-go-go-related gene (HERG) cardiac K⁺ channel. *Mol Pharmacol* 2005; **67**: 827–836.
18. Zhou J, Augelli-Szafran CE, Bradley JA, Chen X, Koci BJ, Volberg WA *et al.* Novel potent human ether-a-go-go-related gene (hERG) potassium channel enhancers and their *in vitro* antiarrhythmic activity. *Mol Pharmacol* 2005; **68**: 876–884.
19. Hansen RS, Diness TG, Christ T, Demnitz J, Ravens U, Olesen SP *et al.* Activation of human ether-a-go-go-related gene potassium channels by the diphenylurea 1,3-bis-(2-hydroxy-5-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-urea (NS1643). *Mol Pharmacol* 2006; **69**: 266–277.
20. Hansen RS, Olesen SP, Ronn LC, Grunnet M. *In vivo* effects of the IKr agonist NS3623 on cardiac electrophysiology of the guinea pig. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 2008; **52**: 35–41.
21. Su Z, Limberis J, Souers A, Kym P, Mikhail A, Houseman K *et al.* Electrophysiological characterization of a novel hERG channel activator. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2009; **77**: 1383–1390.
22. Szabo G, Farkas V, Grunnet M, Mohacsi A, Nanasi PP. Enhanced repolarization capacity: new potential antiarrhythmic strategy based on HERG channel activation. *Curr Med Chem* 2011; **18**: 3607–3621.
23. Casis O, Olesen SP, Sanguinetti MC. Mechanism of action of a novel human ether-a-go-go-related gene channel activator. *Mol Pharmacol* 2006; **69**: 658–665.
24. Shao XD, Wu KC, Guo XZ, Xie MJ, Zhang J, Fan DM. Expression and significance of HERG protein in gastric cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2008; **7**: 45–50.
25. Le XF, Marcelli M, McWatters A, Nan B, Mills GB, O'Brian CA *et al.* Heregulin-induced apoptosis is mediated by down-regulation of Bcl-2 and activation of caspase-7 and is potentiated by impairment of protein kinase C alpha activity. *Oncogene* 2001; **20**: 8258–8269.
26. Yam CH, Fung TK, Poon RY. Cyclin A in cell cycle control and cancer. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2002; **59**: 1317–1326.
27. Nurse P. Wee beasties. *Nature* 2004; **432**: 557.
28. Nowak SJ, Corces VG. Phosphorylation of histone H3: a balancing act between chromosome condensation and transcriptional activation. *Trends Genet* 2004; **20**: 214–220.
29. Dimri GP, Lee X, Basile G, Acosta M, Scott G, Roskelley C *et al.* A biomarker that identifies senescent human cells in culture and in aging skin *in vivo*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995; **92**: 9363–9367.
30. Kim WY, Sharpless NE. The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging. *Cell* 2006; **127**: 265–275.
31. Kong Y, Cui H, Ramkumar C, Zhang H. Regulation of senescence in cancer and aging. *J Aging Res* 2011; **2011**: 963172.
32. Lehen'kyi V, Shapovalov G, Skryma R, Prevarskaya N. Ion channels and transporters in cancer. 5. Ion channels in control of cancer and cell apoptosis. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2011; **301**: C1281–C1289.
33. Arcangeli A, Yuan JX. American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology theme: ion channels and transporters in cancer. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2011; **301**: C253–C254.
34. Becchetti A. Ion channels and transporters in cancer. 1. Ion channels and cell proliferation in cancer. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2011; **301**: C255–C265.
35. Felipe A, Vicente R, Villalonga N, Roura-Ferrer M, Martinez-Marmol R, Sole L *et al.* Potassium channels: new targets in cancer therapy. *Cancer Detect Prev* 2006; **30**: 375–385.
36. Pardo LA, Contreras-Jurado C, Zientkowska M, Alves F, Stuhmer W. Role of voltage-gated potassium channels in cancer. *J Membr Biol* 2005; **205**: 115–124.
37. Sundelacruz S, Levin M, Kaplan DL. Role of membrane potential in the regulation of cell proliferation and differentiation. *Stem Cell Rev* 2009; **5**: 231–246.
38. Bordey A, Sontheimer H. Electrophysiological properties of human astrocytic tumor cells *In situ*: enigma of spiking glial cells. *J Neurophysiol* 1998; **79**: 2782–2793.
39. Sherr CJ. G1 phase progression: cycling on cue. *Cell* 1994; **79**: 551–555.
40. Hwang HC, Clurman BE. Cyclin E in normal and neoplastic cell cycles. *Oncogene* 2005; **24**: 2776–2786.
41. Takahashi Y, Rayman JB, Dynlacht BD. Analysis of promoter binding by the E2F and pRB families *in vivo*: distinct E2F proteins mediate activation and repression. *Genes Dev* 2000; **14**: 804–816.
42. Kothapalli D, Stewart SA, Smyth EM, Azonobi I, Pure E, Assoian RK. Prostacyclin receptor activation inhibits proliferation of aortic smooth muscle cells by regulating cAMP response element-binding protein- and pocket protein-dependent cyclin a gene expression. *Mol Pharmacol* 2003; **64**: 249–258.
43. Fang X, Jin X, Xu HJ, Liu L, Peng HQ, Hogg D *et al.* Expression of p16 induces transcriptional downregulation of the RB gene. *Oncogene* 1998; **16**: 1–8.
44. Chien WW, Domenech C, Catalo R, Kaddar T, Magaud JP, Salles G *et al.* Cyclin-dependent kinase 1 expression is inhibited by p16(INK4a) at the post-transcriptional level through the microRNA pathway. *Oncogene* 2011; **30**: 1880–1891.
45. Abbas T, Dutta A. p21 in cancer: intricate networks and multiple activities. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2009; **9**: 400–414.
46. Gartel AL, Radhakrishnan SK. Lost in transcription: p21 repression, mechanisms, and consequences. *Cancer Res* 2005; **65**: 3980–3985.
47. Janicke RU, Sohn D, Essmann F, Schulze-Osthoff K. The multiple battles fought by anti-apoptotic p21. *Cell Cycle* 2007; **6**: 407–413.
48. Wang YA, Elson A, Leder P. Loss of p21 increases sensitivity to ionizing radiation and delays the onset of lymphoma in atm-deficient mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997; **94**: 14590–14595.
49. Gartel AL, Tyner AL. The role of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 in apoptosis. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2002; **1**: 639–649.
50. Roninson IB. Oncogenic functions of tumor suppressor p21(Waf1/Cip1/Sd1): association with cell senescence and tumor-promoting activities of stromal fibroblasts. *Cancer Lett* 2002; **179**: 1–14.
51. Black DM. Characterization of a multi-tissue tumor suppressor and senescence gene. *Br J Cancer* 1999; **80**(Suppl 1): 42–45.
52. Tsygankov D, Liu Y, Sanoff HK, Sharpless NE, Elston TC. A quantitative model for age-dependent expression of the p16INK4a tumor suppressor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; **106**: 16562–16567.
53. Provinciali M, Cardelli M, Marchegiani F, Pierpaoli E. Impact of cellular senescence in aging and cancer. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; **19**: 1699–1709.
54. Campisi J. Cancer, aging and cellular senescence. *In Vivo* 2000; **14**: 183–188.
55. Sugimoto M, Takahashi A, Hara E. [Tumor suppression by cellular senescence: molecular link between aging and cancer]. *Tanpakushitsu Kakusan Koso* 2006; **51**: 10–17.
56. Garkavtsev I, Hull C, Riabowol K. Molecular aspects of the relationship between cancer and aging: tumor suppressor activity during cellular senescence. *Exp Gerontol* 1998; **33**: 81–94.
57. Hoenicke L, Zender L. Immune surveillance of senescent cells—biological significance in cancer- and non-cancer pathologies. *Carcinogenesis* 2009; **30**: 1123–1126.
58. Xue W, Zender L, Miething C, Dickins RA, Hernandez E, Krizhanovskiy V *et al.* Senescence and tumor clearance is triggered by p53 restoration in murine liver carcinomas. *Nature* 2007; **445**: 656–660.
59. Lafferty-Whyte K, Bilsland A, Cairney CJ, Hanley L, Jamieson NB, Zaffaroni N *et al.* Scoring of senescence signalling in multiple human tumor gene expression datasets, identification of a correlation between senescence score and drug toxicity in the NCI60 panel and a pro-inflammatory signature correlating with survival advantage in peritoneal mesothelioma. *BMC Genomics* 2010; **11**: 532.
60. Gentile S, Darden T, Erxleben C, Romeo C, Russo A, Martin N *et al.* Rac GTPase signaling through the PP5 protein phosphatase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006; **103**: 5202–5206.



Cell Death and Disease is an open-access journal published by Nature Publishing Group. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on Cell Death and Disease website (<http://www.nature.com/cddis>)