Original Article

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Feb 26, 2019 Revised: May 19, 2019 Accepted: May 20, 2019

Correspondence to Oh Kyoung Kwon

Gastric Cancer Center, Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, 807 Hoguk-ro, Buk-gu, Daegu 41404, Korea. E-mail: okugisurg@knu.ac.kr

Copyright © 2019. Korean Gastric Cancer Association

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Byunghyuk Yu io https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8502-9352 Ki Bum Park io https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5404-5667 Ji Yeon Park io https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6178-7906 Seung Soo Lee io https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4398-6300 Oh Kyoung Kwon io https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3614-8563 Ho Young Chung io https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7264-0865 Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis for Prediction of Early Complications after Gastrectomy in Elderly Patients with Gastric Cancer: the Phase Angle Measured Using Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis

Byunghyuk Yu 💿 ¹, Ki Bum Park 💿 ¹, Ji Yeon Park 💿 ^{1,2}, Seung Soo Lee 💿 ^{2,3}, Oh Kyoung Kwon 💿 ^{1,2}, Ho Young Chung 💿 ^{2,3}

¹Gastric Cancer Center, Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, Daegu, Korea ²Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea ³Department of Surgery, Kyungpook National University Hospital, Daegu, Korea

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Phase angle obtained using bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) provides a relatively precise assessment of the nutritional status of elderly patients. This study aimed to evaluate the significance of phase angle as a risk factor for complications after gastrectomy in elderly patients.

Materials and Methods: We evaluated 210 elderly patients (aged ≥65 years) who had undergone gastrectomy for gastric cancer between August 2016 and August 2017. The phase angle cutoff value was calculated using receiver operating characteristic curve analysis according to sex. A retrospective analysis regarding the correlation between early postoperative complications and well-known risk factors, including the phase angle, was performed.

Results: Multivariate analysis revealed that the presence of two or more comorbidities (odds ratio [OR], 3.675) and hypoalbuminemia (OR, 4.059) were independent risk factors for overall complications, and female sex (OR, 2.993) was independent risk factor for severe complications. A low phase angle (OR, 2.901 and 4.348, respectively) and total gastrectomy (OR, 4.718 and 3.473, respectively) were independent risk factors for both overall and severe complications.

Conclusions: Our findings show that preoperative low phase angle predicts the risk of overall and severe complications. Our findings suggest that BIA should be performed to assess the risk of postoperative complications in elderly patients with gastric cancer.

Keywords: Phase angle; Elderly; Gastrectomy; Stomach neoplasms; Postoperative complications





Author Contributions

Conceptualization: K.O.K.; Data curation: Y.B., K.O.K.; Formal analysis: Y.B., K.O.K.; Investigation: K.O.K.; Methodology: Y.B., K.O.K.; Project administration: K.O.K.; Resources: P.J.Y., K.O.K.; Software: K.O.K.; Supervision: K.O.K.; Validation: Y.B., P.K.B., P.J.Y., L.S.S., K.O.K., C.H.Y.; Visualization: Y.B., K.O.K.; Writing - original draft: Y.B.; Writing - review & editing: Y.B., P.K.B., P.J.Y., L.S.S., K.O.K., C.H.Y.

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

INTRODUCTION

The elderly population has increased globally, and the incidence of patients with geriatric cancer has also significantly increased [1]. In Korea, the number of elderly patients with gastric cancer aged \geq 70 years has been steadily increasing, from 9.1% in 1995 to 25.3%, in 2014 [2]. The number of elderly patients with gastric cancer undergoing gastrectomy has also increased because surgical resection is the only curative treatment method for gastric cancer [2].

Many studies have investigated risk factors for complications after gastrectomy, and old age is a risk factor for postoperative morbidity and mortality [3]. The prevalence of malnutrition has been ranging from 35% to 65% in hospitalized geriatric patients [4], and the malnourished state has a negative impact on the body's defensive system and is associated with adverse clinical manifestations such as a prolonged hospital stay, higher postoperative complications, delayed recovery of bowel function, higher readmission rate, and lower survival rate [5,6].

To detect malnutrition in patients with cancer, a variety of screening tools are used. Commonly used tools are Nutritional Risk Screening (2002), Mini Nutritional Assessment, Subjective Global Assessment, Nutritional Risk Index, serum albumin, and body mass index (BMI). However, these nutritional assessment methods have disadvantages in that they are based on subjective items, such as the amount of food consumed based on patient's description, or they may be influenced by confounding variables. Thus, there is no golden standard method to date.

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) is an objective, quick, safe, reproducible, and noninvasive method to evaluate body composition. BIA measures body component resistance and reactance by recording a voltage drop in the applied current [7]. Resistance is the restriction to the flow of an electric current, primarily related to the amount of water present in tissues. Cell membranes produce capacitance (reactance) by storing parts of the charge as a capacitor [8]. This storage of the current creates a phase shift, which is quantified geometrically as the angular transformation of the ratio of reactance to resistance, or the phase angle [9]. Geometrical relationships among impedance, reactance, resistance, and phase angle are illustrated in **Fig. 1** [7].

Phase angle reflects the relative contributions of fluid (resistance) and cellular membranes (reactance) of the human body, and is positively associated with reactance and negatively associated with resistance [9]. Phase angle can be interpreted as an indicator of water



Fig. 1. Geometrical relationship between impedance (Z), reactance (Xc), resistance (R), and phase angle (PA).



distribution between the extra- and intra-cellular spaces, one of the most sensitive indicators of malnutrition [10]. Low phase angle suggests cell death or decreased cell integrity, while high phase angle suggests large quantities of intact cell membranes and body cell mass [11]. Phase angle has been used as a nutritional indicator in different patient groups [12-14], and has been associated with malnutritional risk, morbidity, and mortality in patients with various cancer [15-17].

In this study, we evaluated the relationship between complications after gastrectomy and various risk factors for postoperative complications, including phase angle in elderly patients with gastric cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

We retrospectively reviewed data from 210 patients aged ≥65 years who had undergone total or distal gastrectomy for primary gastric cancer at Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital from August 2016 to August 2017. Patients who did not undergo preoperative BIA were excluded. Moreover, patients who completed total gastrectomy, Whipple's procedure, palliative gastrectomy, or neoadjuvant chemotherapy were excluded from the study. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital (approval number: 201807016).

Clinical analysis

Clinicopathological data including age, sex, BMI (calculated as body weight/height²), American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) classification, comorbidity, hemoglobin level (<13 g/dL for males and <12 g/dL for females was defined as anemia), serum albumin level (<3.5 g/ dL was defined as hypoalbuminemia), prognostic nutritional index (PNI: 10×serum albumin [g/dL]+0.05×total lymphocyte count [10³/µL]) score, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and the phase angle were obtained from our medical database records.

All patients scheduled for gastric cancer surgery in our hospital undergo BIA using InBody720 (Biospace, Seoul, Korea) to assess preoperative body composition. With InBody720, we can only acquire the value of reactance (Xc) and resistance (R). Therefore, we calculated the whole body phase angle using the following formula:

Phase Angle = Arc-tangent (Xc/R)×180°/ π .

InBody720 measures impedance by using 6 different frequencies, but in most previous studies for several clinical conditions, including cancer, the phase angle at 50 kHz has been shown to be predictive for prognosis; thus, we used the phase angle at the frequency of 50 kHz in this study [18,19].

Morbidity and mortality within 30 days after the procedure were evaluated. Postoperative complications were defined as any deviation from the standard postoperative clinical pathway in our department requiring conservative or surgical treatment and graded according to the Clavien-Dindo (CD) classification [20]. All patients who underwent grade I complications did not require additional medication or treatment, hence grade I complications were excluded in this analysis. CD grade II or higher was considered as overall complication and CD grade



III or higher were considered as severe complication. Clinical and pathologic cancer staging was based on the 7th American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS[®] version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare categorical variables, and the Student t-test was used to compare continuous variables. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to obtain the cut-off value of nutritional indices, which did not determine standard reference values. A logistic regression model was used for multivariate analysis. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Significant risk factors identified with univariate analysis were further assessed using multivariate analysis with backward elimination.

RESULTS

Clinicopathological characteristics of the 210 patients are shown in **Table 1**. Patient mean age was 72.9 years, and 73 patients were \geq 75 years. There were 143 men (68.1%) and 67 women (31.9%). The mean BMI was 23.9 kg/m². Twenty-seven patients (12.9%) had an ASA score of 3, and 81 patients (38.6%) had two or more comorbidities. Eighty-five patients (40.5%) had preoperative anemia (reference value: 11.0–17.0 g/dL), and hypoalbuminemia (reference value: 3.5–5.2 g/dL) was noted in 16 patients (7.6%). A comparison between the high- and low-phase angle groups showed statistically significant differences in BMI, albumin, PNI score, and NLR, which are nutritional indices, between the groups (**Table 2**). All nutritional indices were similar to the phase angles; thus, the phase angle was considered to reflect the nutritional status of patients (**Table 2**).

All nutritional indices showed significant differences between patients with and without complications (**Table 3**). There is no standard cutoff value for these indices, thus ROC curve analysis was used to compare the prognostic ability of these variables for each complication according to the area under the curve (AUC) based on the maximum of the Youden index (sensitivity+specificity-1) (**Fig. 2**). The AUC of the PNI score, NLR, phase angle for males, and phase angle for females were 0.634 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.544–0.724; P=0.002), 0.614 (95% CI, 0.525–0.702; P=0.010), 0.713 (95% CI, 0.615–0.810; P<0.001), and 0.639 (95% CI, 0.490–0.789; P=0.075), respectively. The cut-off values of PNI score, NLR, phase angle for males, and phase angle for females were 48.2, 2.15, 4.87°, and 4.19° respectively. Low PNI score, low NLR, and low phase angle were noted in 93 (44.3%), 113 (53.8%), and 92 (43.8%) patients, respectively. The percentage of clinical early gastric cancer (EGC) was 64.2%.

Postoperative complications are shown in **Table 4**. A total of 61 patients had complications. The most common complication was intra-abdominal or pulmonary infection requiring antibiotic therapy. Seven patients had tachyarrhythmia requiring medical treatment and four patients had postoperative ileus or obstruction requiring fasting and total parenteral nutrition. Fifteen patients were managed with radiological or endoscopic intervention and five patients underwent surgery under general anesthesia.

In univariate analysis, age \geq 75 years (P=0.005), high ASA score (P<0.001), presence of 2 or more comorbidities (P=0.001), anemia (P=0.004), hypoalbuminemia (P=0.001), low PNI score (P=0.002), low NLR (P=0.017), low phase angle (P<0.001), total gastrectomy (P=0.002), combined resection of other organs (P=0.022), long operation time (P<0.001),



8	•	
Variables	Value	
Age (yr)	72.9±5.3	
Sex		
Male	143 (68.1)	
Female	67 (31.9)	
BMI (kg/m²)	23.9±3.34	
ASA score		
1, 2	183 (87.1)	
3	27 (12.9)	
Comorbidities		
<2	129 (61.4)	
≥2	81 (38.6)	
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	12.8±1.74	
Albumin (g/dL)	3.97±0.33	
PNI score	48.6±5.1	
NLR	2.48±1.72	
Phase angle	4.70±0.72	
Clinical staging		
I	135 (64.2)	
II	42 (20.0)	
III	31 (14.8)	
IV	2 (1.0)	
Approach		
Open	128 (61.0)	
Laparoscopic	82 (39.0)	
Extent of gastrectomy		
Distal gastrectomy	164 (78.1)	
Total gastrectomy	46 (21.9)	
Lymph node dissection		
D1+	60 (28.6)	
D2	150 (71.4)	
Pathologic staging		
1	138 (65.7)	
II	35 (16.7)	
III	35 (16.7)	
IV	2 (1.0)	
Combined resection	13 (6.2)	
Operation time (min)	219.9±45.4	
Estimated blood loss (mL)	108.5±113.9	
JP drain insertion	61 (29.0)	
Postoperative hospital stay (days)	8.8±6.0	
Postoperative complications	61 (29.0)	
Postoperative severe complications	20 (9.5)	
Postoperative mortality	0 (0.0)	

Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or number (%).

BMI = body mass index; ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; PNI = prognostic nutritional index;

NLR = neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; JP = Jackson-Pratt.

Table 2. Nutritional status between the high- and low phase angle groups

Variable	High phase angle	Low phase angle	P-value
PMI			(0.001
BMI	24.82±2.97	22.89±3.50	<0.001
Albumin (g/dL)	4.07±0.22	3.84±0.40	<0.001
PNI score	50.21±4.14	46.63±5.44	0.004
NLR	2.18±1.88	2.87±1.43	<0.001

Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. High phase angle, phase angle $\ge 4.19^{\circ}$ for females and $\ge 4.87^{\circ}$ for males; low phase angle, phase angle <4.19^{\circ} for females and <4.87^{\circ} for males.

BMI = body mass index; PNI = prognostic nutritional index; NLR = neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio.

Clinical Impact of Phase Angle





Fig. 2. Receiver operating characteristics curve showing the sensitivity and specificity of the nutritional indices for predicting postoperative complications: (A) NLR, (B) PNI, and phase angle for male (C) and female (D) individuals.

AUC = area under the curve; NLR = neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; PNI = prognostic nutritional index.

and JP drain insertion (P=0.015) were significant risk factors for overall complications (**Table 5**). Age \geq 75 years (P=0.046), female sex (P=0.020), low phase angle (P=0.004), total gastrectomy (P=0.009), and long operation time (P=0.032) were significant risk factors for severe complications.



Table 3. Nutritional status between the complication- and non-complication groups

Variable	Complication group (n=61)	Non-complication group (n=149)	P-value
BMI	23.96±3.34	23.98±3.36	0.969
Albumin (g/dL)	3.81±0.42	4.03±0.26	<0.001
PNI score	46.78±6.18	49.41±4.33	0.003
NLR	2.85±1.56	2.33±1.78	0.049
Phase angle	4.37±0.77	4.83±0.66	<0.001

Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

BMI = body mass index; PNI = prognostic nutritional index; NLR = neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio.

Table 4. Postoperative com	plications according to the Clavien-Dindo	o classification

Complication	Number of cases
Grade II	41
Intra-abdominal infection/pneumonia: antibiotics	24
Tachyarrhythmia	7
Ileus/bowel obstruction: fasting, TPN	4
Acute ischemic stroke	3
Ischemic heart disease	2
Postoperative bleeding: transfusion	1
Grade IIIa	15
Intra-abdominal abscess: percutaneous drainage	10
Anastomosis site bleeding: endoscopic procedure	2
Pleural effusion: thoracostomy	2
Splenic artery pseudoaneurysm: embolization	1
Grade IIIb	4
Bowel evisceration: wound repair under general anesthesia	2
Duodenal stump leakage: explorative laparotomy	1
Pulmonary thromboembolism removal	1
Grade IV	1
EJ anastomosis leakage, bleeding, multi-organ failure: laparotomy, ICU care	1

TPN = total parenteral nutrition; EJ = esophagojejunostomy; ICU = intensive care unit.

In multivariate analysis, two or more comorbidities (odds ratio [OR], 3.675; 95% CI, 1.1781–7.584; P<0.001) and hypoalbuminemia (OR, 4.059; 95% CI, 1.171–14.068; P=0.027) were independent risk factors for overall complications (**Table 6**). Female sex (OR, 2.993; 95% CI, 1.120–7.994; P=0.029) was an independent risk factor for severe complications. Low phase angle (OR, 2.901; 95% CI, 1.431–5.880; P=0.003 for overall complications; OR, 4.348; 95% CI, 1.478–12.791; P=0.008 for severe complications) and total gastrectomy (OR, 4.718; 95% CI, 2.098–10.607; P<0.001 for overall complications; OR, 3.473; 95% CI, 1.268–9.512; P=0.015 for severe complications) were independent risk factors for overall and severe complications.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown that various clinical and surgical factors are associated with complications after gastrectomy. Although studies have shown variable results, risk factors for postoperative complications after gastrectomy are advanced age, high BMI, malnutrition, total gastrectomy, multiple comorbidities, extended lymphadenectomy, and advanced cancer stages [21-23]. In this study, multiple comorbidities, hypoalbuminemia, female sex, total gastrectomy, and low phase angle were found to be independent risk factors for postoperative complications after gastrectomy in elderly patients with gastric cancer.

A standard definition for elderly or old age has not been established, and old age is determined by social, physical, and other factors. The age of patients undergoing surgical

Clinical Impact of Phase Angle



Fable 5. Univariate and	lysis of risk factors for	· postoperative	complications
-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------	---------------

Variable	No. of patients	Overall complication	P-value	Severe complication	P-value
Age (yr)			0.005		0.046
65-74	137	31 (22.6)		9 (6.6)	
≥75	73	30 (41.1)		11 (15.1)	
Sex			0.861		0.020
Male	143	41 (28.7)		9 (6.3)	
Female	67	20 (29 9)		11 (16 4)	
ASA score	07	20 (2010)	<0.001		0 798
1.0	102	45 (94 6)	(0.001	17 (0.2)	0.720
1, 2	185	45 (24.0)		2 (11 1)	
23 Comorbidity	27	16 (59.5)	0.001	3 (11.1)	0.050
Comorbially	100		0.001		0.052
<2	129	26 (20.2)		8 (6.2)	
>2	81	35 (43.2)		12 (14.8)	
Hemoglobin (g/dL)			0.004		0.061
<12.0 (female), <13.0 (male)	85	34 (40.0)		12 (14.1)	
≥12.0 (female), ≥13.0 (male)	125	27 (21.6)		8 (6.4)	
Albumin (g/dL)			0.001		0.673
<3.5	16	11 (68.8)		2 (12.5)	
≥3.5	194	50 (25.8)		18 (9.3)	
PNI score			0.002		0.311
<48.2	93	37 (39.8)		11 (11.8)	
≥48.2	117	24 (20.5)		9 (7.7)	
NLR			0.017		0.719
<2.15	113	25 (22.1)		10 (8.8)	
≥2.15	97	36 (37.1)		10 (10.3)	
Phase angle			<0.001		0.004
<4.19° (female), <4.87° (male)	92	41 (44.6)		15 (16.3)	
$>4 19^{\circ}$ (female) $>4 87^{\circ}$ (male)	118	20 (16 9)		5 (4 2)	
Clinical stage	110	20 (10.0)	0 308	0 (112)	0.944
I	125	26 (96 7)	0.300	12 (0, 6)	0.344
1	75	30 (20.7)		7 (0.2)	
211 Annreach	75	25 (33.3)	0.200	7 (9.3)	0.202
Approach	100	40 (21.2)	0.380	14 (10.0)	0.363
Open	128	40 (31.3)		14 (10.9)	
Laparoscopic	82	21 (25.6)	0.000	6 (7.3)	0.000
Extent of gastrectomy		()	0.002		0.009
Distal	164	39 (23.8)		11 (6.7)	
Total	46	22 (47.8)		9 (19.6)	
Lymph node dissection			0.885		0.372
D1+	60	17 (28.3)		4 (6.7)	
D2	150	44 (29.3)		16 (10.7)	
Combined resection			0.022		0.357
No	197	53 (26.9)		18 (9.1)	
Yes	13	8 (61.5)		2 (15.4)	
Operation time (min)			<0.001		0.032
<210	104	16 (15.4)		5 (4.8)	
≥210	106	45 (42.5)		15 (14.2)	
Estimated blood loss (mL)			0.050		0.146
<200	172	45 (26.2)		14 (8.1)	
≥200	38	16 (42.1)		6 (15.8)	
JP drain insertion			0.015		0.099
No	149	36 (24.2)		11 (7.4)	
Yes	61	25 (41.0)		9 (14.8)	
	0.	(- (

Values are presented as number (%).

BMI = body mass index; ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; PNI = prognostic nutritional index; NLR = neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; JP = Jackson-Pratt.

treatment has increased, but most developed countries have accepted the age of 65 years as the definition of "elderly" persons; therefore, in this study, elderly patients were defined as those aged \geq 65 years.

Jgc Journal of Gastric Cancer

Clinical Impact of Phase Angle

 Table 6. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for postoperative complications

Variable	Overall complication		Severe complication			
	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value
Female sex	-	-	-	2.993	1.120-7.994	0.029
Comorbidities ≥2	3.675	1.781-7.584	<0.001	-	-	-
Hypoalbuminemia	4.059	1.171-14.068	0.027	-	-	-
Low phase angle	2.901	1.431-5.880	0.003	4.348	1.478-12.791	0.008
Total gastrectomy	4.718	2.098-10.607	<0.001	3.473	1.268-9.512	0.015

Low hypoalbuminemia, serum albumin level <3.5 g/dL; low phase angle, phase angle <4.19° for females and <4.87° for males.

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.

Elderly patients are more likely to have many comorbidities, including cardiovascular, endocrine, and pulmonary comorbidities. In the present study, 38.6% of the patients had 2 or more comorbidities. These comorbidities are associated with postoperative complications [24], and multivariate analysis in this study also showed that comorbidities were independently associated with a higher complication rate and that patients with comorbidities tended to have a low phase angle.

BIA is a safe, noninvasive, and objective method to evaluate body composition. Phase angle is derived from the ratio of R and Xc, and these values are obtained with BIA. A low phase angle is a result of a decrease in Xc and an increase in R. Therefore, phase angle is considered as an indicator of cellular health, where higher values reflect stronger cell membranes and better cell function [20]. Phase angle is widely used to evaluate the nutritional status of various groups of patients [14,25-29].

Several studies have reported that there is a strong association between malnutrition and postoperative complications. Sungurtekin et al. [30] reported that malnutrition is an indicator of postoperative complications in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. Schiesser et al. [31] found that complication rates after gastrointestinal surgery were significantly higher in patients at nutritional risk: 40% of patients at nutritional risk versus 15% of patients not at nutritional risk. In our study, many nutritional indicators, including the phase angle, were associated with overall postoperative complications, but only the phase angle was an independent risk factor for overall and severe complications among all nutritional indicators.

Many previous studies have shown that the phase angle is an independent prognostic indicator in various clinical conditions such as liver cirrhosis, hemodialysis, human immunodeficiency virus infection, and several advanced cancers [14-17,26], and these findings are consistent with our results. Postoperative complications adversely affect survival with advanced gastric cancer [32]; however, in this study, the relatively short follow-up period did not allow for the long-term evaluation of survival after gastrectomy. In our hospital, the phase angle has been calculated since August 2016. Based on the results of this study, we plan to evaluate the impact of the phase angle on long-term survival after curative gastrectomy for elderly patients with gastric cancer.

Phase angle is affected by age, sex, and BMI, and data is scarce regarding the reference value of phase angle in Asian populations [33,34]. In this study, we restricted participants to elderly patients and the mean BMI of patients was not different between patients with and without complications, thus we divided the reference value of phase angle according to sex. The reference value of phase angle for Asian elderly patients requires investigation based on large clinical trials.



Unlike the results from other studies on postoperative complications after gastrectomy, female sex was identified as a risk factor for severe complications. Among the known risk factors, mean age and BMI were higher in women than in men, but the difference was not statistically significant. Other known risk factors were not significantly different between the sexes. The only variable that was statistically significant was the degree of obesity obtained using BIA, with female sex having a higher value. Moreover, the low incidence of severe complications (9.5%) is thought to have affected this result.

The strength of this study is that it is the first study to confirm the significance of the phase angle as a predictor of postoperative complications after gastrectomy for gastric cancer, especially in elderly patients. Moreover, most studies for phase angle determination have been conducted in the Western population; however, in this study, we analyzed the clinical impact of the phase angle in the Asian populations. In addition, we analyzed risk factors for postoperative complications using various nutritional indicators as well as the phase angle.

Our study had a few important limitations. First, it was retrospective, thus confounding, and bias might have affected the results. Second, a relatively small number of patients were analyzed, and the occurrence of severe complications was relatively low, which may have resulted in bias during the analysis. Thus, we plan to analyze a large number of patients to evaluate the relationship between the phase angle and postoperative complications. Third, body composition measured using BIA can be influenced by various factors such as patient fasting status and posture. Therefore, in our hospital, patients underwent the test after at least 8 hours of fasting and at least 15 minutes of resting and performed the same posture (holding the hand electrode loosely with both hands while standing upright on the foot electrode). In addition, the same examiner managed the examination process to obtain reliable results.

In conclusion, a preoperative low phase angle is an effective predictor of complications following gastrectomy for elderly patients with gastric cancer. We recommend that surgeons should perform BIA for elderly patients to obtain the phase angle before gastric cancer surgery, especially before total gastrectomy. Further studies are required to determine the cutoff value of the phase angles for the Asian populations and the prognostic impact of the phase angle on gastric cancer.

REFERENCES

- Takeshita H, Ichikawa D, Komatsu S, Kubota T, Okamoto K, Shiozaki A, et al. Surgical outcomes of gastrectomy for elderly patients with gastric cancer. World J Surg 2013;37:2891-2898.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Information Committee of Korean Gastric Cancer Association. Korean Gastric Cancer Association nationwide survey on gastric cancer in 2014. J Gastric Cancer 2016;16:131-140.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Polanczyk CA, Marcantonio E, Goldman L, Rohde LE, Orav J, Mangione CM, et al. Impact of age on perioperative complications and length of stay in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. Ann Intern Med 2001;134:637-643.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 4. Seiler WO. Clinical pictures of malnutrition in ill elderly subjects. Nutrition 2001;17:496-498. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Choi WJ, Kim J. Nutritional care of gastric cancer patients with clinical outcomes and complications: a review. Clin Nutr Res 2016;5:65-78.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF



- 6. Lohsiriwat V. The influence of preoperative nutritional status on the outcomes of an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) programme for colorectal cancer surgery. Tech Coloproctol 2014;18:1075-1080. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 7. Zarowitz BJ, Pilla AM. Bioelectrical impedance in clinical practice. DICP 1989;23:548-555. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 8. Kyle UG, Genton L, Pichard C. Low phase angle determined by bioelectrical impedance analysis is associated with malnutrition and nutritional risk at hospital admission. Clin Nutr 2013;32:294-299. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 9. Baumgartner RN, Chumlea WC, Roche AF. Bioelectric impedance phase angle and body composition. Am J Clin Nutr 1988;48:16-23. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 10. Schwenk A, Beisenherz A, Römer K, Kremer G, Salzberger B, Elia M. Phase angle from bioelectrical impedance analysis remains an independent predictive marker in HIV-infected patients in the era of highly active antiretroviral treatment. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;72:496-501. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 11. Selberg O, Selberg D. Norms and correlates of bioimpedance phase angle in healthy human subjects, hospitalized patients, and patients with liver cirrhosis. Eur J Appl Physiol 2002;86:509-516. PUBMED | CROSSREE
- 12. Kuzu MA, Terzioğlu H, Genç V, Erkek AB, Ozban M, Sonyürek P, et al. Preoperative nutritional risk assessment in predicting postoperative outcome in patients undergoing major surgery. World J Surg 2006;30:378-390. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 13. Hu WH, Cajas-Monson LC, Eisenstein S, Parry L, Cosman B, Ramamoorthy S. Preoperative malnutrition assessments as predictors of postoperative mortality and morbidity in colorectal cancer: an analysis of ACS-NSQIP. Nutr J 2015;14:91. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 14. Oliveira CM, Kubrusly M, Mota RS, Silva CA, Choukroun G, Oliveira VN. The phase angle and mass body cell as markers of nutritional status in hemodialysis patients. J Ren Nutr 2010;20:314-320. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 15. Gupta D, Lammersfeld CA, Burrows JL, Dahlk SL, Vashi PG, Grutsch JF, et al. Bioelectrical impedance phase angle in clinical practice: implications for prognosis in advanced colorectal cancer. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;80:1634-1638. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 16. Gupta D, Lis CG, Dahlk SL, Vashi PG, Grutsch JF, Lammersfeld CA. Bioelectrical impedance phase angle as a prognostic indicator in advanced pancreatic cancer. Br J Nutr 2004;92:957-962. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 17. Gupta D, Lammersfeld CA, Vashi PG, King J, Dahlk SL, Grutsch JF, et al. Bioelectrical impedance phase angle as a prognostic indicator in breast cancer. BMC Cancer 2008;8:249. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 18. Kim HS, Lee ES, Lee JJ, Lee JH, Lee CT, Cho YJ. Clinical application of bioelectrical impedance analysis and its phase angle for nutritional assessment of critically Ill patients. J Clin Nutr 2015;7:54-61. CROSSREE
- 19. Wirth R, Volkert D, Rösler A, Sieber CC, Bauer JM. Bioelectric impedance phase angle is associated with hospital mortality of geriatric patients. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2010;51:290-294. PUBMED | CROSSREE
- 20. Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML, Vauthey JN, Dindo D, Schulick RD, et al. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. Ann Surg 2009;250:187-196. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 21. Lee KG, Lee HJ, Yang JY, Oh SY, Bard S, Suh YS, et al. Risk factors associated with complication following gastrectomy for gastric cancer: retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data based on the Clavien-Dindo system. J Gastrointest Surg 2014;18:1269-1277. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 22. Kang SC, Kim HI, Kim MG. Low serum albumin level, male sex, and total gastrectomy are risk factors of severe postoperative complications in elderly gastric cancer patients. J Gastric Cancer 2016;16:43-50. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 23. Hamakawa T, Kurokawa Y, Mikami J, Miyazaki Y, Takahashi T, Yamasaki M, et al. Risk factors for postoperative complications after gastrectomy in gastric cancer patients with comorbidities. Surg Today 2016;46:224-228.

PUBMED | CROSSREF



- 24. Seo SH, Hur H, An CW, Yi X, Kim JY, Han SU, et al. Operative risk factors in gastric cancer surgery for elderly patients. J Gastric Cancer 2011;11:116-121.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Nagano M, Suita S, Yamanouchi T. The validity of bioelectrical impedance phase angle for nutritional assessment in children. J Pediatr Surg 2000;35:1035-1039.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 26. Araujo Antunes A, Alves Pereira Rodrigues AP, Geraix J, Vaz de Arruda Silveira L, Câmara Marques Pereira P, Barros Leite Carvalhaes MA. Nutritional assessment of hospitalized HIV-infected patients by the phase angle z-score measurement. Nutr Hosp 2012;27:771-774. PURMED L CROSSREF
- Wilhelm-Leen ER, Hall YN, Horwitz RI, Chertow GM. Phase angle, frailty and mortality in older adults. J Gen Intern Med 2014;29:147-154.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Grundmann O, Yoon SL, Williams JJ. The value of bioelectrical impedance analysis and phase angle in the evaluation of malnutrition and quality of life in cancer patients--a comprehensive review. Eur J Clin Nutr 2015;69:1290-1297.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Varan HD, Bolayir B, Kara O, Arik G, Kizilarslanoglu MC, Kilic MK, et al. Phase angle assessment by bioelectrical impedance analysis and its predictive value for malnutrition risk in hospitalized geriatric patients. Aging Clin Exp Res 2016;28:1121-1126.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sungurtekin H, Sungurtekin U, Balci C, Zencir M, Erdem E. The influence of nutritional status on complications after major intraabdominal surgery. J Am Coll Nutr 2004;23:227-232.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Schiesser M, Müller S, Kirchhoff P, Breitenstein S, Schäfer M, Clavien PA. Assessment of a novel screening score for nutritional risk in predicting complications in gastro-intestinal surgery. Clin Nutr 2008;27:565-570.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- 32. Tokunaga M, Tanizawa Y, Bando E, Kawamura T, Terashima M. Poor survival rate in patients with postoperative intra-abdominal infectious complications following curative gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2013;20:1575-1583.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 33. Barbosa-Silva MC, Barros AJ, Wang J, Heymsfield SB, Pierson RN Jr. Bioelectrical impedance analysis: population reference values for phase angle by age and sex. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;82:49-52. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 34. Bosy-Westphal A, Danielzik S, Dörhöfer RP, Later W, Wiese S, Müller MJ. Phase angle from bioelectrical impedance analysis: population reference values by age, sex, and body mass index. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2006;30:309-316.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF

https://jgc-online.org