Research Paper

Establishment of a novel platform cell line for efficient and precise evaluation of T cell receptor functional avidity

Soyoko Morimoto¹, Fumihiro Fujiki², Kenta Kondo³, Hiroko Nakajima², Yoshiki Kobayashi³, Miki Inatome³, Nao Aoyama³, Yuya Nishida³, Akihiro Tsuboi¹, Yoshihiro Oka^{4,5,6}, Sumiyuki Nishida⁵, Jun Nakata¹, Naoki Hosen⁴, Yusuke Oji³ and Haruo Sugiyama²

¹Department of Cancer Immunotherapy, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

²Department of Cancer Immunology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

³Department of Functional Diagnostic Science, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

⁴Department of Cancer Stem Cell Biology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

⁵Department of Respiratory Medicine and Clinical Immunology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan
⁶Department of Immunopathology, Immunology Frontier Research Center (World Premier International Research Center), Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

Correspondence to: Fumihiro Fujiki, email: fu-fuji@sahs.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

Keywords: WT1; TCR; TCR functional avidity; TCR-engineered T-cell therapy

Received: May 22, 2018 Accepted: September 10, 2018 Published: September 25, 2018

Copyright: Morimoto et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Adoptive T-cell therapy with T cell receptor (TCR) -engineered T cells is an attractive strategy for cancer treatment and the success in this therapy is dependent on the functional avidity of the transduced TCRs against targeted tumor antigens. Therefore, the establishment of the methodology of the efficient and precise evaluation of TCR functional avidity has been awaited. Here, we show a novel platform cell line, named 2D3, which enables the functional avidity of transduced TCRs to be evaluated efficiently and precisely. In the 2D3, the precise TCR functional avidity of transduced TCRs is easily evaluable by the expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter gene driven by nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) activation via TCR signaling. Four different TCRs of HLA-A*24:02-restricted Wilms' tumor gene 1 (WT1)-specific CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) were transduced into 2D3 cells and the functional avidities of these four TCRs were evaluated. The evaluated functional avidity of these TCRs positively correlated with cell proliferation, cytokine production, and WT1-specific cytotoxicity of the TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells in response to WT1 antigen. These results showed that 2D3 cell line was a novel and stable tool useful for the efficient and precise evaluation of the functional avidity of isolated and transduced TCRs in developing TCR-based immunotherapy.

INTRODUCTION

Adoptive immunotherapy using tumor-associated antigen (TAA)-specific CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) and/or CD4⁺ helper T (Th) cells can induce the regression of large established tumor in not only mouse models but also cancer patients [1–3]. These preclinical and clinical evidences encourage us to develop T-cell adoptive immunotherapy using genetically engineered T cells that are transduced with a T-cell receptor (TCR) gene specific for TAA. Furthermore, more recent studies have demonstrated that neo-antigens, which are generated from passenger mutations, would be promising targets for the engineered TCR-T cell therapy [4, 5]. In parallel with seeking for good targets from TAAs and neo-antigens by genome-wide approaches [6–8], novel methods analyzing huge number of TCR repertoire [9, 10] and efficiently isolating TCR gene from a singlecell [11] have been developed. Unfortunately, not all isolated TCRs can sufficiently elicit anti-tumor immunity. Hence, development of a new method for the precise and efficient evaluation of the isolated TCRs has been awaited for the prediction of clinical response in the engineered TCR-T cell adoptive therapy.

TCR affinity, TCR avidity, and functional avidity are known as an indicator to predict the in vitro/in vivo properties and behavior of the TCR-transduced T cells [12-14]. TCR affinity, which is defined as the binding-strength of TCR molecules to peptide-major histocompatibility complex (pMHC), is often used for this prediction beyond TCR's specificity because it can standardize the strength of TCR binding to pMHC by using a numerical value (ie, K_D value). However, purified soluble TCR α/β complex is needed for calculating TCR affinity. It is, therefore, not feasible for screening a large number of candidate TCRs. In addition, it has been shown that TCR affinity is sometimes not consistent with actual T cell function [12, 14]. On the other hand, both TCR avidity (which is usually measured by pMHC tetramers) and functional avidity (which is assessed using a titrated concentration of antigen peptide with antigenpresenting cells) are correlated with *in vitro* cytotoxicity and in vivo anti-tumor activity in TCR-transduced T cells [12, 15]. Since preparation of large sets of tetramer for candidate TCRs is difficult in terms of cost, time, and effort, assessment of functional avidity must be the most adequate and feasible approach for screening of TCRs capable of provoking a good clinical response in engineered T-cell adoptive immunotherapy.

Functional avidity is assessed by phosphorylation of linker for activation of T cells (LAT) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), calcium influx, and cytokine release after the stimulation with a titrated concentration of antigen peptide. Compared to TCR affinity, functional avidity is a relative indicator and easily influenced by various factors such as CD8/CD4 coreceptors and TCR clustering (ie, quantity of TCR/CD3 molecules and where and how TCR-pMHC interaction are formed) [13, 16]. Therefore, the use of primary T cells for the assessment of precise functional avidity is inappropriate because they are heterogeneous and express endogenous TCRs that cause incorrect TCR clustering by mispairing with transduced TCRs [17] and competing for CD3 molecules [18].

In this study, we describe a novel platform cell line, named 2D3, for efficient and precise evaluation of TCR functional avidity. 2D3 cells are endogenous TCRnull and CD8-positive and can express green fluorescent protein (GFP) through transcription factor nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) that is activated by TCR signaling. Therefore, the establishment of 2D3 cells enabled us to selectively analyze the functional avidity of appropriately transduced TCRs by using GFP expression as a marker.

Thus, 2D3 cell line should be a good tool useful for the evaluation of the functional avidity of isolated and transduced TCRs and prediction of the TCR-transduced T cell function in developing effective adoptive T-cell immunotherapy against cancer.

RESULTS

Establishment of 2D3 cell line by the transduction of hCD8 and NFAT-GFP reporter genes

We established a 2D3 cells in which the signals from transduced TCRs activated the NFAT, followed by the GFP expression as a selection marker for appropriately TCR-transduced cells (Figure 1A). Jurkat-76, a TCR α/β negative sub-line of Jurkat (CD8- T lymphoma cell line) was thought to be an ideal candidate as a source of the platform cell line because it could not produce endogenous TCRs and thus because transduced TCRs would be well expressed without competition with endogenous TCRs. Therefore, we transduced Jurkat-76 cells with hCD8 gene and established J76.7 cell line, and finally established CD8⁺2D3 cell line by the transducing the J76.7 cells with NFAT-GFP reporter gene. 2D3 cells did not express CD3 molecules on the cell surface because of lack of their endogenous TCR expression (Figure 1B), and strongly expressed GFP in the majority of cells when they were stimulated with Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)/ Ionomycin to activate NFAT (Figure 1C). Both expression of hCD8 and NFAT-GFP reporter genes was stable and long-lasting (data not shown). Thus, we succeeded in the establishment of 2D3 cell line suitable for evaluating the expression and function of CTL-derived TCRs.

2D3 is a platform cell line for efficient and precise evaluation of the expression and function of transduced TCRs

To confirm that 2D3 cell line could be a platform cell line suitable for the evaluation of the expression and function of transduced TCRs, 2D3 cells were transduced with lentiviral vector encoding B10-TCR, which was the TCR that was isolated and cloned from an HLA-A*24:02-restricted, WT1₂₃₅ peptide-specific CTL clone, B10 [19]. B10-TCR- and mock-transduced 2D3 cells could be monitored by the expression of Venus fluorescent protein. As expected, B10-TCR-transduced 2D3 cells expressed both CD3 and TCR α/β molecules on their surface and were WT1₂₃₅ tetramer-positive. In contrast, mock-transduced 2D3 cells expressed neither CD3 molecules nor B10-TCR (Figure 2A). Furthermore, B10-TCR-transduced 2D3 cells showed GFP expression in WT1₂₃₅ peptide-concentration dependent manner (Figure 2B). This WT1₂₃₅ peptide concentration-response curve showed that Effective concentration 50 (EC50), which was often used to describe the functional avidity of TCR, was 52.7 nM (95% confidence interval (CI), 42.3–64.8 nM) for B10-TCR. In general, since TCR functional avidity is determined by several factors such as TCR affinity and quantities of TCR, CD3, and CD8/CD4 molecules, it is easily influenced by cell types used for experiments, especially by TCR constructs that regulate expression efficacy [20]. Therefore, to confirm that B10-TCR functional avidity was stably evaluable by the 2D3 cells regardless of the difference in B10-TCR constructs, we examined the response of 2D3 cells transduced with codon-optimized α-p2A-β or β-p2A-α B10-TCR that was different from original B10-TCR construct but specific to WT1₂₃₅ peptide (Figure 2C). As expected, EC50 was 50.8 nM and 53.7 nM for codon-optimized α-p2A-β and β-p2A-α B10-TCRs, respectively, and similar to that obtained from original B10-TCR construct (α-p2A-β)transduced 2D3 cells (Figure 2C). Thus, 2D3 cell line



Figure 1: Establishment of 2D3 cell line. (A) Schema of 2D3 cells. The transduction of TCRs into 2D3 cells recruits CD3 onto the cell surface, and appropriate TCR signaling induced by antigen recognition activates the NFAT-GFP reporter gene, followed by GFP production. NFATx3, NFAT-binding sites x3; IL-2 mini pro, IL-2 minimal promoter. (B) Expression of CD3 and CD8 in Jurkat-76, J76.7, and 2D3 cells. Representative contour plots are shown. (C) GFP expression in 2D3 cells after PMA/Ionomycin stimulation. Representative contour plots are shown.

was thought to be a platform cell line suitable for efficient and precise evaluation of the expression and function of transduced TCRs.

TCR functional avidity evaluated by 2D3 cells correlate with effector function of the TCRtransduced CD8⁺ T cells

It is well-known that the strength of TCR functional avidity effect the proliferation, cytokine production, and cytotoxicity of TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells. Hence, we investigated whether the TCR functional avidity evaluated by 2D3 cells correlated with the function of the TCR-transduced CD8+ T cells. We established four different HLA-A*24:02-restricted, modified WT1235 peptide (mWT1₂₃₅)-specific CD8⁺ T cell clones, isolated the TCRs from the clones, and transduced the 2D3 cells with the individual TCRs (Table 1). Four mWT1235specific TCR-transduced 2D3 cells expressed GFP in response to mWT1235 and showed individually unique peptide concentration-response curves (Figure 3A). EC50s obtained from $mWT1_{235}$ concentration-response curves were 43.3 nM (95% CI, 29.0-65.0 nM) for B10-TCR, 29.3 nM (95% CI, 22.1-38.9 nM) for TM-H2-TCR, 976 nM (95% CI, 815–1188 nM) for FSK1-TCR, and 1776 nM (95% CI, 1166-3019 nM) for TM-L1-TCR. Since big difference in EC50 was observed among four mWT1235 -specific TCR-transduced 2D3 cells, we classified these four TCRs into two groups: high-avidity TCRs (B10- and TM-H2-TCRs) and low-avidity TCRs (FSK1- and TM-L1-TCRs). Next, these four TCRs were transduced into freshly isolated CD8+T cells. High-avidity TCR (B10- and TM-H2-TCRs)-transduced CD8⁺T cells produced cytokine at much higher frequencies in response to $mWT1_{235}$, compared to low-avidity TCR (FSK1- and TM-L1-TCRs)transduced CD8⁺T cells (Figure 3B). It appeared TM-L1-TCR-transduced CD8⁺T cells rarely produced cytokine in response to $mWT1_{235}$. Furthermore, we examined cell proliferation of B10-TCR, TM-H2-TCR, and FSK1-TCR-transduced CD8⁺T cells by weekly stimulation with $mWT1_{235}$ (Figure 3C). B10-TCR- and TM-H2-TCRtransduced CD8⁺T cells remarkably expanded by day 28, whereas FSK1-TCR-transduced CD8⁺T cells could not expand regardless of the repeated stimulation with $mWT1_{235}$. These results demonstrated that TCR functional avidity evaluated by using 2D3 cells positively correlated with effector functions of the TCR-transduced CD8⁺T cells.

Next, we determined that the TCR functional avidity evaluated by the 2D3 cells also correlated positively with cytotoxicity of the TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells against HLA-A*24:02-positive, WT1expressing leukemic cells. Since the WT1-expressing leukemic cells expressed natural WT1225 peptide $(nWT1_{235})$, the functional avidity of the B10-TCR- or TM-H2-TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells was evaluated by the 2D3 cells in response to the nWT1₂₃₅, instead of mWT1₂₃₅ (Figure 3D). The EC50 of B10- and TM-H2-TCRs was 62 nM and 180 nM, respectively, and that of B10-TCR was approximately three times higher than that of TM-H2-TCR. As shown in Figure 3E, B10-TCR-transduced CD8⁺T cells could lyse HLA-A*24:02positive, WT1-expressing leukemic cells, while TM-H2-TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells could not lyse them. These results showed the positive correlation between



Figure 2: Evaluation of TCR functional avidity by 2D3 cells. (A) Expression of CD3 and TCR in B10-TCR-transduced 2D3 cells. The 2D3 cells were stained with anti-CD3, anti-TCR α/β mAbs, and WT1₂₃₅ tetramer. Representative contour plots are shown. (**B**, **C**) Peptide concentration-response curves in 2D3 cells transduced with three different B10-TCR constructs. The 2D3 cells were stimulated with titrated concentration of modified WT1₂₃₅ peptide (mWT1₂₃₅). Y-axis represents the frequency (% of max) of GFP-positive cells in 2D3 cells. (B) Peptide concentration-response curve of original α -p2A- β B10-TCR-transduced 2D3 cells. (C) Peptide concentration-response curves of codon-optimized α -p2A- β (left) and β -p2A- α (right) B10-TCR-transduced 2D3 cells. All data are mean value \pm SEM (n = 3). All data are normalized as a percent of maximal frequency of GFP-positive cells.

Table 1: Identification of WT1₂₃₅-specific TCRs derived WT1₂₃₅-specific clones

TCR		V region	D region	J region						С	DR3	ami	no ac	id se	quen	ces						
B10	α	TRAV27*01	-	TRAJ28*01	С	А	G	Р	L	S	G	А	S	Y	Q	L	F					
	β	TRBV9*01	TRBD1*01	TRBJ2-3*01	С	А	S	S	L	W	G	S	Т	D	Т	Q	Y	F				
ТМ-Н2	α	TRAV20*02	-	TRAJ52*01	С	А	V	R	G	G	R	А	G	G	Т	S	Y	G	Κ	L	Т	F
	β	TRBV9*01	TRBD2*01	TRBJ2-3*01	С	А	S	S	V	F	G	S	S	Т	D	Т	Q	Y	F			
FSK1	α	TRAV19*01	-	TRAJ26*01	С	А	L	S	А	А	Y	G	Q	Ν	F	V	F					
	β	TRBV6-5*01	TRBD1*01	TRBJ2-1*01	С	А	S	S	Y	G	Κ	G	L	Y	Ν	Е	Q	F	F			
TM-L1	α	TRAV17*01	-	TRAJ43*01	С	А	Т	D	Р	G	Y	Ν	Ν	D	М	R	F					
	β	TRBV20-1*01	TRBD2*02	TRBJ2-2*01	С	S	А	R	G	Q	R	Е	L	S	G	Е	L	F	F			

the TCR functional avidity evaluated by 2D3 cells and the cytotoxicity against HLA-A*24:02-positive, WT1expressing leukemic cells.

Taken together, these findings indicated that TCR functional avidity evaluated by 2D3 cells was clearly and

positively correlated with the effector functions such as proliferation, cytokine production, and cytotoxicity of the TCR-transduced CD8⁺T cells regardless of whether the TCR specificity was for natural or modified WT1₂₃₅ peptide.



Figure 3: Correlation between TCR functional avidity and effector functions in the TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells. (A) Peptide concentration-response curves in 2D3 cells transduced with four different mWT1₂₃₅-specific TCRs (B10-, TM-H2-, FSK1-, and TM-L1-TCRs) for the stimulation with titrated concentration of mWT1₂₃₅. Y-axis represents the frequency (% of max) of GFP-positive cells in 2D3 cells. (B) Cytokine production of mWT1₂₃₅-specific TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells stimulated with 1 µg/ml of mWT1₂₃₅. Frequencies of IFN- γ -single-, TNF- α -single-, and IFN- γ and TNF- α -double-producing CD8⁺ T cells are shown. (C) Proliferation of WT1-specific TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells. (5 × 10⁵ cells) were weekly stimulated with mWT1₂₃₅, and the estimated number of the WT1-specific TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells was calculated every week. All data are mean values \pm SEM (*n* = 3). (D) Peptide concentration-response curves in 2D3 cells transduced with mWT1₂₃₅-specific B10- or TM-H2-TCR for the stimulation with titrated concentration of nWT1₂₃₅ for (D). (E) Cytotoxic activity of B10-TCR or TM-H2-TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells against WT1-expressing leukemic cells. Assay for cytotoxic activity was performed repeatedly and the representative results are shown. All data are replicate measurements and represent mean values \pm SEM.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we successfully established 2D3 cell line as a platform cell line to efficiently evaluate the function of TCRs. Actually, we demonstrated the clear correlation between TCR functional avidities evaluated by the 2D3 cells and effector functions such as cell proliferation, cytokine production, and cytotoxicity of the TCR-transduced CTLs.

To our knowledge, there is no standard method to evaluate simply the functional avidity of human TCRs. T cell lines (ex. $58\alpha^{-}\beta^{-}$, TG40, or Jurkat) and T cells are often used as a platform cell for the evaluation of functional avidities of transduced TCRs, such as cytokine production, killing activity, and phosphorylation of proteins downstream of TCR signaling [21, 22]. Here is a question-are functional avidities evaluated by using these cells? Since mouse T cell lines, $58\alpha^2\beta^2$ and TG40 express CD3 molecule, human transduced TCRs are expressed on the cell surface with mouse CD3 molecule. However, it is unknown whether the complex of human TCRs and mouse CD3 can induce normal TCR signaling. Indeed, Cohen et al. reported that binding stability between human TCRs and mouse CD3 differed from that between human TCRs and human CD3 [23]. Nagai et al. used TCR-negative Jurkat/MA cells [24] that expressed only CD8 α molecule to monitor TCR signaling [25] because CD8 α could be expressed as CD8 α/α homodimers, which could bind to MHC class I molecule, without CD8 β on the cell surface. On the other hand, it is well-known that CD8 β associates with only CD8 α and cannot be expressed alone on the cell surface. Although both CD8 α/α and CD8 α/β could express on the surface, there is difference in the binding ability to MHC class I molecules between CD8 α/α and CD8 α/β [26]. In addition, CD8 β intracellular domain promotes association of lymphocytespecific protein kinase (Lck) and LAT with surface CD8 complexes [27]. Of course, almost all mature CD8⁺ T cells express CD8 a/B heterodimer in vivo. Therefore, 2D3 cell line, which expresses CD8 α/β heterodimer, is a suitable platform cell line to assess TCR functional avidity. Furthermore, since 2D3 cell line is deficient in endogenous TCR expression, only transduced TCRs can be expressed on 2D3 cell surface without mispairing with endogenous TCRs. Interestingly, it has been shown that T cell recognition of pMHC can be increased up to 50-fold after priming with the same pMHC [28]. In addition, functional avidity maturation of CTLs can occur through the change of TCR clustering of various molecules such as lipid raft, Lck, and CD3 without the selection of higher affinity TCR during early stage of viral infection [29]. In addition, the same mechanism can also induce the inability of CD8⁺ T cells for the recognition of pMHC [30]. Taken together, TCR functional avidity of human T cells is variable in response to antigen stimulation. Therefore, human T cells are not suitable for platform T cells to evaluate TCR functional avidities, whereas the 2D3 cells are convenient for the evaluation of TCR functional avidities because of its functional stabilities.

Previous studies demonstrated that TCR functional avidity determined T cell fate. It is well-known that in Th1/Th2 polarization, weak TCR signaling favors Th2 differentiation and stronger one induces Th1 differentiation [31-33]. In addition, the difference in TCR functional avidity to self-antigens also has an effect on memory/effector T cell development. Allen PM and his colleagues reported that the magnitude of secondary response in Listeria-specific T cells was determined by the strength of TCR functional avidity to self-antigen [34, 35]. Furthermore, we previously demonstrated that WT1-specific CD8+ T cells with high-avidity TCR to WT1 peptide easily differentiated into effector T cells in TCR-retrogenic mice [36]. However, it remains unclear how TCR avidity controls T cell responses and their fate, especially memory/effector differentiation. Since TCRstimulation of quiescent T cells such as naïve and memory T cells induces metabolic shift from catabolic to anabolic energy production, it may be speculated that the strength of TCR avidity finely regulates the metabolic condition that determines T cell differentiation [37]. Primary human T cells are not suitable for the evaluation of the role of TCR avidity in the T cell functional differentiation because they are heterogeneous and are difficult to keep the cells viable for long term after transduction of TCR genes. On the other hand, Jurkat cell line, which is a parent cell line of 2D3 cell line, is stable to viability and can respond to TCR signals. Jurkat cells can form lipid raft [38], like primary human T cells, and increase CD3 ζ and ERK phosphorylation through cholesterol removal [39]. Therefore, it appears that Jurkat cells functionally mimic primary human T cells, and thus 2D3 cell line should be useful for the study of how TCR avidity controls T cell responses and their fate.

Since 2D3 cells has a GFP, instead of luciferase, as a reporter gene, we can easily sort the activated TCR-transduced 2D3 cells by using GFP-positivity as an indicator and examine the molecules associated with the signals from the transduced TCR.

In conclusion, we demonstrate a novel platform cell line as a useful tool to evaluate efficient and precise TCR functional avidity for developing TCR-based immunotherapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines

Human T-cell acute leukemia cell line Jurkat-76 [40] deficient in endogenous TCR expression was kindly provided by Prof Hans J Stauss (UCL Cancer Institude, London, UK). Human chronic myelogenous leukemia cell lines, K562 and HLA-A*24:02 gene-transduced K562, named K562-2402 were kindly gifted from Yoshiki Akatsuka (Aichi Cancer Center Research, Aichi, Japan). Transporter associated with antigen processing (TAP)-deficient and HLA-A*24:02-positive cell line, T2-2402 was kindly provided by Kiyotaka Kuzushima (Aichi Cancer Center Research, Aichi, Japan). All cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Nacalai Tesque Inc., 30264-56) with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (SIGMA, 172012-500 ML) and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin (Nacalai Tesque Inc., 26253–84). Lenti-X[™] 293T cell lines were purchased by Clontech Laboratories, Inc. (632180) and were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 4.5 mg/ml glucose (Nacalai Tesque Inc., 08458-16) with 10% heat inactivated FBS. Human CD8⁺ T cells were isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells using the magnetic BD IMag Cell Separation System according to the manufacturer's instructions (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, 557941) after written informed consent was obtained from healthy volunteers. T cells were cultured in X-VIVO[™] 15 (Lonza, 04–418O) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated human AB serum (GemCell, Gemini, BioProducts, 100-512) and interleukin (IL)-2 (Imunace35, Shionogi & Co., LTD) at appropriate concentration.

Peptides, antibodies, and reagents

Natural WT1₂₃₅ peptide (nWT1₂₃₅ peptide; CMTWNQMNL) and modified WT1₂₃₅ peptide (mWT1₂₃₅ peptide; CYTWNQMNL) were synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich Co., LLC. and Peptide Institute, Inc., respectively. For flow cytometric analysis, the following mAbs were used: anti-CD3-eFluor 450 (UCHT1, 48-0038-42), anti-TCR α/β -Phycoerythrin (PE) (IP26, 12-9986-42), anti-Interferon (IFN)-y-PE (4S.B3, 12-7319-82) and anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF)-a-Allophycocyanine (APC) (MAb11, 17-7349-82) purchased from eBioscience and anti-CD8-APC-Cy7 (RPA-T8, 25-0088-T025) purchased from Tonbo Biosciences. PE-labeled HLA-A*24:02 modified WT1₂₃₅₋₂₄₃ tetramer (WT1235 tetramer) was purchased from MBL Co., Ltd. (TS-M014-1). RetoroNectin (TaKaRa Bio Co., T100A) and anti-CD3 mAb (OKT-3, Tonbo Biosciences, 40-0037-U500) were used at concentrations of 20 μ g/ml and 2 μ g/ml, respectively, to stimulate human CD8⁺ T cells. NFAT-GFP reporter plasmid [41] was kindly provided by Prof. Takashi Saito (Riken Research Center for Allergy and Immunology, Yokohama, Japan). PMA (Sigma-Aldrich, P8139-1MG) and Ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, I0634) were used at concentrations of 25 ng/ml and 1 µg/ml, respectively.

Establishment of 2D3 cell line

Two hundred thousand Jurkat-76 cells were subjected to electroporation with hCD8 α -E2A-hCD8 β -encoding pcDNA3.1/Zeo (+) using the Neon

transfection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., MPK5000) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. hCD8 α -E2A-hCD8 β construct was kindly provided by Prof Hans J Stauss. CD8-transduced Jurkat-76 cells were single-cell sorted into 96-well round-bottom plates and stably hCD8 α/β -expressing Jurkat-76 cell line, named J76.7 was successfully established. Two hundred thousand J76.7 cells were transduced with NFAT-GFP reporter plasmid by electroporation followed by single cell sort. A single-cell-derived cell line capable of highly expressing GFP protein only when stimulated with PMA/Ionomycin was established as 2D3 cell line.

Cloning of full-length TCR α and TCR β chain genes from WT1-specific T cell clone and lentiviral vector construction

HLA-A*24:02-restricted, WT1235-specific B10-TCR was isolated previously [19]. FSK1-TCR, TM-H2-TCR, and TM-L1-TCR were isolated from distinct HLA-A*24:02-restricted WT1235-specific CD8+ T cell clones and identified as described previously [42]. In order to transduce WT1235-specific TCR into CD8+ T cells, TCRs $(\beta-p2A-\alpha \text{ cassettes})$ were codon-optimized, synthesized by GeneArt (Thermo Fisher), and cloned into CSII-EF-MCS-IRES2-Venus lentiviral vector (kindly provided from Drs Hiroyuki Miyoshi and Atsushi Miyawaki, RIKEN, Tsukuba, Japan) with shRNA constructs for endogenous TCR α/β constant regions to prevent mispairing between the transduced and endogenous TCRs [17]. Lentivirus particles were obtained from transient transfection of Lenti-X[™] 293T cells with each TCR-encoding lentiviral vector, pCAG-HIVgp, and pCMV-VSV-G-RSV-Rev (kindly provided by Dr H Miyoshi).

Transduction of WT1-specific TCR genes

To transduce TCR-encoding lentivirus vector into CD8⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells were stimulated with RetroNectin- and OKT-3-coated 48-well plate in the presence of IL-2 (40 IU/ml). On the next day, the stimulated CD8⁺ T cells were spin-infected with lentivirus vector in the presence of polybrene (10 μ g/ml, Sigma-Aldrich, H9268) at 1000 g for 2 hours at 32° C. After 6–12 hours, the culture medium was changed into fresh medium supplemented with 10% heat inactivated human AB serum and IL-2 (100 IU/ml). To establish WT1₂₃₅specific TCR-transduced 2D3 cells, 2D3 cells were transfected with TCR-encoding lentivirus in the presence of polybrene. Venus-positive 2D3 cells were sorted and used for NFAT-GFP reporter assay as described below.

NFAT-GFP reporter assay

To evaluate the functional avidities of $WT1_{_{235}}$ specific TCRs, we used 2D3 cell line. In brief, 1×10^5 T2-

2402 cells and 1×10^5 TCR-transduced 2D3 cells were cocultured in the presence of titrated concentration of WT1 peptide for 6 hours. The cells were washed with Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Nacalai Tesque, 14249–95) with 2% FBS and then measured for the frequency of GFPpositive cells in venus-positive cells using a FACSAria instrument (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJo 7.6.5 software (FlowJo, LLC).

Intracellular cytokine detection assay

One hundred thousand WT1₂₃₅-speicifc TCRtransduced CD8⁺ T cells were co-cultured with 5×10^4 T2-2402 in the presence of 10 µg/ml of Brefeldin A (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1 µg/ml of natural WT1₂₃₅ peptide or modified WT1₂₃₅ peptide for 4 hours. After cell surface marker staining, intracellular cytokine assay was performed as described previously [42].

Proliferation assay

CD8⁺ T cells were transduced with WT1₂₃₅-specific TCRs as described above, and the transduced CD8⁺ T cells (5×10^{5}) were stimulated by the co-culture with irradiated mWT1₂₃₅ peptide-pulsed autologous PBMCs. Seven days later, the expanded cells were harvested, counted by trypan blue, and measured for the frequency of WT1₂₃₅-specific TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells using tetramer assay. Five hundred thousand cells out of the expanded cells were restimulated and re-expanded as described above. The series of experiments was three times repeated. The number of WT1₂₃₅-specific TCR-transduced CD8⁺ T cells was calculated as the number of venus⁺WT1₂₃₅ tetramer⁺ cells accumulated.

⁵¹Cr release assay

⁵¹Cr release assays were performed as previously described [19].

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis and the calculation of EC50 values were performed with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Prism Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Abbreviations

TCR: T-cell receptor; GFP: green fluorescent protein; NFAT: nuclear factor of activated T cells; HLA: human leukocyte antigen; WT1: Wilms' tumor gene 1; CTL: cytotoxic T lymphocyte; TAA: tumor-associated antigen; Th cells: CD4⁺ helper T cells; MHC: major histocompatibility complex; LAT: linker for activation of T cells; ERK: extracellular signal-regulated kinase; TAP: transporter associated with antigen processing; FBS: fetal bovine serum; DMEM: Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; IL-2: interleukin 2; PE: Phycoerythrin; IFN: Interferon; TNF: Tumor Necrosis Factor; APC: Allophycocyanine; PMA: phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate; EC50: Effective concentration 50; CI: confidence interval; Lck: lymphocyte-specific protein kinase.

Author contributions

FF and HS designed the project; SM and FF designed the experiments; SM, FF, KK, YK, MI, NA, and YN performed the experiments; SM, FF, YK, and MI analyzed the data; SM, FF, and HS wrote the manuscript; HN, SN, JN, NH, AT, YOk, and YOj provided advice.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Hiroyuki Miyoshi (RIKEN BioResource Center, Tsukuba, Japan) for providing vectors for lentivirus production. We also thank staff at the Center for Medical Research and Education, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, for technical support.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This research was supported, in part, by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP15K18446, JP17K07216, JP26430162, and JP17K07215. Department of Cancer Immunology is a department in collaboration with Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and is supported with a grant from the company.

REFERENCES

- Hunder NN, Wallen H, Cao J, Hendricks DW, Reilly JZ, Rodmyre R, Jungbluth A, Gnjatic S, Thompson JA, Yee C. Treatment of metastatic melanoma with autologous CD4+ T cells against NY-ESO-1. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358: 2698–703. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0800251.
- Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Topalian SL, Restifo NP, Royal RE, Kammula U, White DE, Mavroukakis SA, Rogers LJ, Gracia GJ, Jones SA, et al. Adoptive cell transfer therapy following nonmyeloablative but lymphodepleting chemotherapy for the treatment of patients with refractory metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23:2346–57. https://doi.org/10.1200/ JCO.2005.00.240.
- Quezada SA, Simpson TR, Peggs KS, Merghoub T, Vider J, Fan X, Blasberg R, Yagita H, Muranski P, Antony PA, Restifo NP, Allison JP. Tumor-reactive CD4(+) T cells develop cytotoxic activity and eradicate large established melanoma after transfer into lymphopenic hosts. J Exp Med. 2010; 207:637–50. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20091918.

- Schumacher TN, Schreiber RD. Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy. Science. 2015; 348:69–74. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.aaa4971.
- Tran E, Turcotte S, Gros A, Robbins PF, Lu YC, Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Somerville RP, Hogan K, Hinrichs CS, Parkhurst MR, Yang JC, Rosenberg SA. Cancer immunotherapy based on mutation-specific CD4+ T cells in a patient with epithelial cancer. Science. 2014; 344:641–5. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251102.
- Castle JC, Kreiter S, Diekmann J, Lower M, van de Roemer N, de Graaf J, Selmi A, Diken M, Boegel S, Paret C, Koslowski M, Kuhn AN, Britten CM, et al. Exploiting the mutanome for tumor vaccination. Cancer Res. 2012; 72:1081–91. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-11-3722.
- Matsushita H, Vesely MD, Koboldt DC, Rickert CG, Uppaluri R, Magrini VJ, Arthur CD, White JM, Chen YS, Shea LK, Hundal J, Wendl MC, Demeter R, et al. Cancer exome analysis reveals a T-cell-dependent mechanism of cancer immunoediting. Nature. 2012; 482:400–4. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature10755.
- Robbins PF, Lu YC, El-Gamil M, Li YF, Gross C, Gartner J, Lin JC, Teer JK, Cliften P, Tycksen E, Samuels Y, Rosenberg SA. Mining exomic sequencing data to identify mutated antigens recognized by adoptively transferred tumor-reactive T cells. Nat Med. 2013; 19:747–52. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nm.3161.
- Calis JJ, Rosenberg BR. Characterizing immune repertoires by high throughput sequencing: strategies and applications. Trends Immunol. 2014; 35:581–90. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.it.2014.09.004.
- Linnemann C, van Buuren MM, Bies L, Verdegaal EM, Schotte R, Calis JJ, Behjati S, Velds A, Hilkmann H, Atmioui DE, Visser M, Stratton MR, Haanen JB, et al. Highthroughput epitope discovery reveals frequent recognition of neo-antigens by CD4+ T cells in human melanoma. Nat Med. 2015; 21:81–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3773.
- Kobayashi E, Mizukoshi E, Kishi H, Ozawa T, Hamana H, Nagai T, Nakagawa H, Jin A, Kaneko S, Muraguchi A. A new cloning and expression system yields and validates TCRs from blood lymphocytes of patients with cancer within 10 days. Nat Med. 2013; 19:1542–6. https://doi. org/10.1038/nm.3358.
- Schmid DA, Irving MB, Posevitz V, Hebeisen M, Posevitz-Fejfar A, Sarria JC, Gomez-Eerland R, Thome M, Schumacher TN, Romero P, Speiser DE, Zoete V, Michielin O, et al. Evidence for a TCR affinity threshold delimiting maximal CD8 T cell function. J Immunol. 2010; 184: 4936–46. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1000173.
- Stone JD, Chervin AS, Kranz DM. T-cell receptor binding affinities and kinetics: impact on T-cell activity and specificity. Immunology. 2009; 126:165–76. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.03015.x.
- 14. Zhong S, Malecek K, Johnson LA, Yu Z, Vega-Saenz de Miera E, Darvishian F, McGary K, Huang K, Boyer J,

Corse E, Shao Y, Rosenberg SA, Restifo NP, et al. T-cell receptor affinity and avidity defines antitumor response and autoimmunity in T-cell immunotherapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110:6973–8. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1221609110.

- Xiang B, Baybutt TR, Berman-Booty L, Magee MS, Waldman SA, Alexeev VY, Snook AE. Prime-Boost Immunization Eliminates Metastatic Colorectal Cancer by Producing High-Avidity Effector CD8(+) T Cells. J Immunol. 2017; 198:3507–14. https://doi.org/10.4049/ jimmunol.1502672.
- Laugel B, van den Berg HA, Gostick E, Cole DK, Wooldridge L, Boulter J, Milicic A, Price DA, Sewell AK. Different T cell receptor affinity thresholds and CD8 coreceptor dependence govern cytotoxic T lymphocyte activation and tetramer binding properties. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282:23799–810. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M700976200.
- Okamoto S, Mineno J, Ikeda H, Fujiwara H, Yasukawa M, Shiku H, Kato I. Improved expression and reactivity of transduced tumor-specific TCRs in human lymphocytes by specific silencing of endogenous TCR. Cancer Res. 2009; 69:9003–11. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1450.
- Ahmadi M, King JW, Xue SA, Voisine C, Holler A, Wright GP, Waxman J, Morris E, Stauss HJ. CD3 limits the efficacy of TCR gene therapy *in vivo*. Blood. 2011; 118:3528–37. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-04-346338.
- Tamanaka T, Oka Y, Fujiki F, Tsuboi A, Katsuhara A, Nakajima H, Hosen N, Nishida S, Lin YH, Tachino S, Akatsuka Y, Kuzushima K, Oji Y, et al. Recognition of a natural WT1 epitope by a modified WT1 peptide-specific T-cell receptor. Anticancer Res. 2012; 32:5201–9.
- 20. Leisegang M, Engels B, Meyerhuber P, Kieback E, Sommermeyer D, Xue SA, Reuss S, Stauss H, Uckert W. Enhanced functionality of T cell receptor-redirected T cells is defined by the transgene cassette. J Mol Med (Berl). 2008; 86:573–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-008-0317-3.
- Cebula A, Seweryn M, Rempala GA, Pabla SS, McIndoe RA, Denning TL, Bry L, Kraj P, Kisielow P, Ignatowicz L. Thymus-derived regulatory T cells contribute to tolerance to commensal microbiota. Nature. 2013; 497:258–62. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature12079.
- Ise W, Kohyama M, Nutsch KM, Lee HM, Suri A, Unanue ER, Murphy TL, Murphy KM. CTLA-4 suppresses the pathogenicity of self antigen-specific T cells by cellintrinsic and cell-extrinsic mechanisms. Nat Immunol. 2010; 11:129–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1835.
- Cohen CJ, Zhao Y, Zheng Z, Rosenberg SA, Morgan RA. Enhanced antitumor activity of murine-human hybrid T-cell receptor (TCR) in human lymphocytes is associated with improved pairing and TCR/CD3 stability. Cancer Res. 2006; 66:8878–86. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-06-1450.
- 24. Scholten KB, Schreurs MW, Ruizendaal JJ, Kueter EW, Kramer D, Veenbergen S, Meijer CJ, Hooijberg E.

Preservation and redirection of HPV16E7-specific T cell receptors for immunotherapy of cervical cancer. Clin Immunol. 2005; 114:119–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. clim.2004.11.005.

- 25. Nagai K, Ochi T, Fujiwara H, An J, Shirakata T, Mineno J, Kuzushima K, Shiku H, Melenhorst JJ, Gostick E, Price DA, Ishii E, Yasukawa M. Aurora kinase A-specific T-cell receptor gene transfer redirects T lymphocytes to display effective antileukemia reactivity. Blood. 2012; 119:368–76. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-06-360354.
- Sun J, Kavathas PB. Comparison of the roles of CD8 alpha alpha and CD8 alpha beta in interaction with MHC class I. J Immunol. 1997; 159:6077–82.
- Bosselut R, Kubo S, Guinter T, Kopacz JL, Altman JD, Feigenbaum L, Singer A. Role of CD8beta domains in CD8 coreceptor function: importance for MHC I binding, signaling, and positive selection of CD8+ T cells in the thymus. Immunity. 2000; 12:409–18.
- Fahmy TM, Bieler JG, Edidin M, Schneck JP. Increased TCR avidity after T cell activation: a mechanism for sensing low-density antigen. Immunity. 2001; 14:135–43.
- Slifka MK, Whitton JL. Functional avidity maturation of CD8(+) T cells without selection of higher affinity TCR. Nat Immunol. 2001; 2:711–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/90650.
- Nagaraj S, Gupta K, Pisarev V, Kinarsky L, Sherman S, Kang L, Herber DL, Schneck J, Gabrilovich DI. Altered recognition of antigen is a mechanism of CD8+ T cell tolerance in cancer. Nat Med. 2007; 13:828–35. https://doi. org/10.1038/nm1609.
- Constant SL, Bottomly K. Induction of Th1 and Th2 CD4+ T cell responses: the alternative approaches. Annu Rev Immunol. 1997; 15:297–322. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.immunol.15.1.297.
- Tao X, Grant C, Constant S, Bottomly K. Induction of IL-4producing CD4+ T cells by antigenic peptides altered for TCR binding. J Immunol. 1997; 158:4237–44.
- van Panhuys N, Klauschen F, Germain RN. T-cell-receptordependent signal intensity dominantly controls CD4(+) T cell polarization *In Vivo*. Immunity. 2014; 41:63–74. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.003.
- 34. Persaud SP, Parker CR, Lo WL, Weber KS, Allen PM. Intrinsic CD4+ T cell sensitivity and response to a pathogen are set and sustained by avidity for thymic and peripheral complexes of self peptide and MHC. Nat Immunol. 2014; 15:266–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2822.
- 35. Weber KS, Li QJ, Persaud SP, Campbell JD, Davis MM, Allen PM. Distinct CD4+ helper T cells involved in primary

and secondary responses to infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012; 109:9511–6. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1202408109.

- 36. Kondo K, Fujiki F, Nakajima H, Yatsukawa E, Morimoto S, Tatsumi N, Nishida S, Nakata J, Oka Y, Tsuboi A, Hosen N, Oji Y, Sugiyama H. An Essential Role of the Avidity of T-Cell Receptor in Differentiation of Self-Antigen-reactive CD8+ T Cells. J Immunother. 2016; 39:127–39. https://doi.org/10.1097/CJI.00000000000114.
- Man K, Miasari M, Shi W, Xin A, Henstridge DC, Preston S, Pellegrini M, Belz GT, Smyth GK, Febbraio MA, Nutt SL, Kallies A. The transcription factor IRF4 is essential for TCR affinity-mediated metabolic programming and clonal expansion of T cells. Nat Immunol. 2013; 14:1155–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2710.
- Sharma N, Baek K, Phan HTT, Shimokawa N, Takagi M. Glycosyl chains and 25-hydroxycholesterol contribute to the intracellular transport of amyloid beta (Abeta-42) in Jurkat T cells. FEBS Open Bio. 2017; 7:865–76. https:// doi.org/10.1002/2211-5463.12234.
- 39. Swamy M, Beck-Garcia K, Beck-Garcia E, Hartl FA, Morath A, Yousefi OS, Dopfer EP, Molnar E, Schulze AK, Blanco R, Borroto A, Martin-Blanco N, Alarcon B, et al. A Cholesterol-Based Allostery Model of T Cell Receptor Phosphorylation. Immunity. 2016; 44:1091–101. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.04.011.
- 40. Mommaas B, van Halteren AG, Pool J, van der Veken L, Wieles B, Heemskerk MH, Goulmy E. Adult and cord blood T cells can acquire HA-1 specificity through HA-1 T-cell receptor gene transfer. Haematologica. 2005; 90:1415–21.
- 41. Ohtsuka M, Arase H, Takeuchi A, Yamasaki S, Shiina R, Suenaga T, Sakurai D, Yokosuka T, Arase N, Iwashima M, Kitamura T, Moriya H, Saito T. NFAM1, an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif-bearing molecule that regulates B cell development and signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:8126–31. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.0401119101.
- 42. Lin Y, Fujiki F, Katsuhara A, Oka Y, Tsuboi A, Aoyama N, Tanii S, Nakajima H, Tatsumi N, Morimoto S, Tamanaka T, Tachino S, Hosen N, et al. HLA-DPB1*05: 01-restricted WT1332-specific TCR-transduced CD4+ T lymphocytes display a helper activity for WT1-specific CTL induction and a cytotoxicity against leukemia cells. J Immunother. 2013; 36:159–70. https://doi.org/10.1097/CJI.0b013e3182873581.