



Antiretroviral Imprints and Genomic Plasticity of HIV-1 *pol* in Non-clade B: Implications for Treatment

Jude S. Bimela^{1,2,3}, Aubin J. Nanfack^{4,5}, Pengpeng Yang⁶, Shaoxing Dai⁶, Xiang-Peng Kong⁷, Judith N. Torimiro^{5,8†} and Ralf Duerr^{1,9*†}

¹ Department of Pathology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States, ² Department of Biochemistry, University of Yaoundé 1, Yaoundé, Cameroon, ³ Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States, ⁴ Medical Diagnostic Center, Yaoundé, Cameroon, ⁵ Chantal Biya International Reference Centre for Research on HIV/AIDS Prevention and Management (CIRCB), Yaoundé, Cameroon, ⁶ Yunnan Key Laboratory of Primate Biomedical Research, Institute of Primate Translational Medicine, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, China, ⁷ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States, ⁸ Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaoundé 1, Yaoundé, Cameroon, ⁹ Department of Microbiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Taisuke Izumi, University of the Sciences, United States

Reviewed by:

Yuta Hikichi, National Cancer Institute at Frederick, United States George William Carnell, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

*Correspondence: Ralf Duerr ralf.duerr@nyulangone.org †These authors share last authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Virology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 10 November 2021 Accepted: 27 December 2021 Published: 09 February 2022

Citation:

Bimela JS, Nanfack AJ, Yang P, Dai S, Kong X-P, Torimiro JN and Duerr R (2022) Antiretroviral Imprints and Genomic Plasticity of HIV-1 pol in Non-clade B: Implications for Treatment. Front. Microbiol. 12:812391. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.812391 Combinational antiretroviral therapy (cART) is the most effective tool to prevent and control HIV-1 infection without an effective vaccine. However, HIV-1 drug resistance mutations (DRMs) and naturally occurring polymorphisms (NOPs) can abrogate cART efficacy. Here, we aimed to characterize the HIV-1 pol mutation landscape in Cameroon, where highly diverse HIV clades circulate, and identify novel treatment-associated mutations that can potentially affect cART efficacy. More than 8,000 functional Cameroonian HIV-1 pol sequences from 1987 to 2020 were studied for DRMs and NOPs. Site-specific amino acid frequencies and quaternary structural features were determined and compared between periods before (≤2003) and after (2004-2020) regional implementation of cART. cART usage in Cameroon induced deep mutation imprints in reverse transcriptase (RT) and to a lower extent in protease (PR) and integrase (IN), according to their relative usage. In the predominant circulating recombinant form (CRF) 02 AG (CRF02 AG), 27 canonical DRMs and 29 NOPs significantly increased or decreased in RT during cART scale-up, whereas in IN, no DRM and only seven NOPs significantly changed. The profound genomic imprints and higher prevalence of DRMs in RT compared to PR and IN mirror the dominant use of reverse transcriptase inhibitors (RTIs) in sub-Saharan Africa and the predominantly integrase strand transfer inhibitor (InSTI)-naïve study population. Our results support the potential of InSTIs for antiretroviral treatment in Cameroon; however, close surveillance of IN mutations will be required to identify emerging resistance patterns, as observed in RT and PR. Population-wide genomic analyses help reveal the presence of selective pressures and viral adaptation processes to guide strategies to bypass resistance and reinstate effective treatment.

Keywords: HIV-1 polymerase (pol), non-clade B drug resistance mutations (DRMs), naturally occurring polymorphisms (NOPs), CRF02_AG, antiretroviral imprints, genomic plasticity, treatment intensification in Cameroon, reverse transcriptase inhibitors (RTI) versus integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTI)

INTRODUCTION

Combinational antiretroviral therapy (cART) has significantly slowed the AIDS pandemic and reduced the incidence of HIV infections (UNAIDS, 2020). However, treatment intensification exerts selective pressure and drives viral adaptation resulting in the emergence of HIV-1 drug resistance mutations (DRMs). The selection of drug-resistant viruses is based on HIV's high mutation rate, followed by the generation of a genetically diverse pool of HIV quasispecies in each patient, and the selection and outgrowth of the fittest variants, adapted to the given condition (Feder et al., 2021). Under antiretroviral treatment, there is a strong purifying selection for drug-resistant viruses, which can be traced by viral genomic analyses of the drug target's respective genomic regions, primarily found in the pol region (Feder et al., 2021). Drug resistance mutations represent a major barrier to effective therapy (Hamers et al., 2018). People infected with HIV-1 who have acquired DRMs are less likely to achieve viral suppression, are more likely to discontinue treatment, acquire new DRMs, and experience virological failure or death (WHO, 2019). Also, naturally occurring polymorphisms (NOPs) can modulate the magnitude of drug resistance, paving the way to developing DRMs, or have intrinsic resistance potential themselves (Wainberg and Brenner, 2012). NOPs are most abundant but least studied in regions like West and Central Africa where highly diverse non-B clade viruses circulate (Wainberg and Brenner, 2012; Hemelaar et al., 2020). Non-clade B infections make up most HIV-1 infections worldwide, with genetic variation among clades up to 35% (Hemelaar et al., 2020). Naturally occurring polymorphisms, their diversity and plasticity, cART-associated viral adaptations, and the growing proportion of DRMs are areas of intense clinical interest and still unfolding. Comprehensive, populationwide studies are required to leverage a deeper understanding of these mutations and NOPs, including effective prevention and treatment strategies. We provided such an analysis for Cameroon, a West-Central African country with a population of \sim 27 million and \sim 3.7% HIV prevalence in 2021, and we considered all functional, non-clonal reverse transcriptase (RT), protease (PR), and integrase (IN) sequences that have been deposited to the LANL database (8,130 out of \sim 10,600 submitted sequences in total) (Figure 1).

cART programs in sub-Saharan Africa are based on WHO guidelines that have traditionally recommended reverse transcriptase and protease inhibitors (RTIs, PIs) for first- and second-line therapy, and only recently have begun transitioning to integrase strand transfer inhibitor (InSTI) usage in some countries (UNAIDS, 2017b). Effective access to cART in Cameroon started in 2004 following the WHO/UNAIDS "3 by 5" initiative to provide three million people living with HIV (PLHIV) in low and middle-income countries with lifeprolonging cART by the end of 2005 (WHO, 2003). Before 2004, only a few generic antiretroviral drugs [lamivudine (3TC), zidovudine (ZDV), stavudine (d4T), and nevirapine (NVP)] were available at a very low scale in main cities such as the capital Yaoundé (Bourgeois et al., 2005; Aghokeng et al., 2011). Until May 2007, treated patients had to pay for cART (US \$23-\$100 monthly), laboratory tests (US \$58-\$85 per viral load assay and \$19-\$27 per CD4 cell count), and physician's consultation (\$1.5-\$15), thus limiting the number of people accessing cART (Laurent et al., 2006; Boyer et al., 2009). cART usage in Cameroon expanded in June 2007 with free access to cART for eligible patients dependent on CD4 count-based guidelines, and since 2016 to all HIV-infected individuals following the implementation of the "test and treat" UNAIDS's initiative (WHO, 2005; National AIDS Control Committee, 2015). The scale-up of cART considerably improved the lives of PLHIV globally, including sub-Saharan Africa, but West-Central Africa remains far behind in all three categories of the UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets, i.e., diagnosis, treatment, and viral suppression (UNAIDS, 2017a). Furthermore, the implementation of cART has accelerated the development of DRMs. The overall prevalence of drug-resistant strains in cART-naïve and treated individuals has increased dramatically, from 2.2 and 40.7% in 2010, reaching > 10% and 60% in recent years, respectively (Nanfack et al., 2015, 2017; WHO, 2019). Cameroon and other West-Central African countries have mainly used a triple cocktail of two nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and one non-NRTIs (NNRTIs) as first-line treatment supported by PIs in the second line (WHO, 2015). Although targeting the same protein (RT), NRTIs and NNRTIs exert differential drug pressure, which results in complementary DRM profiles (Supplementary Table 1). For example, RT mutations M184V/I and T215F/Y are linked with NRTIs (e.g., lamivudine, emtricitabine, zidovudine, or stavudine) and K103N/S with NNRTIs (e.g., nevirapine or efavirenz). The intensive use of InSTIs (Boyer et al., 2009; Landman et al., 2014), particularly dolutegravir (DTG), effectively started in 2020, whereas in preceding years, it was distributed in 10 treatment centers only out of more than 160 functional treatment units. InSTIs potently suppress viral load in diverse HIV-1 clade infections, yet subtype-specific differences in efficacy and acquisition of DRMs exist (Wainberg and Brenner, 2012), as has become known for other antiretroviral drug classes (Theys et al., 2019; Stanford University HIV Drug Resistance Database, 2020).

While computational methods have been developed for RTIs, PIs, and more recently, for InSTIs, to quantify the genetic barrier to the acquisition of DRMs (Gotte, 2012; Theys et al., 2019), population-based time-series analyses are needed to show the actual adaptive changes to the viral genomic landscape in countries under treatment pressure. Here, we present such a study for Cameroon, a country undergoing progressive cART scale-up since 2004 and known as the epicenter of the HIV disease where an immense diversity of HIV strains exists (Hahn et al., 2000; Hemelaar et al., 2020). Among a high number of subtypes, circulating, and unique recombinant forms (CRFs, URFs), the globally most abundant recombinant form, CRF02_AG, is predominant (Nanfack et al., 2017; Hemelaar et al., 2020). To understand the emergence and impact of NOPs and DRMs, we use computational and structural methods to compare HIV-1 pol genomic plasticity in RT, PR, and IN regions and dissect the cross-sectional changes in HIV-1 strains in Cameroon over time.



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Sample Selection

In an ecological analysis, 8,130 HIV-1 RT (3,741), PR (3,585), and IN (802) nucleotide sequences from Cameroonian PLHIV were downloaded from the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) HIV sequence database and studied after a multi-step quality check and selection process to eliminate non-functional, clonal, and poor quality sequences (**Figure 1** and **Supplementary Methods**). Ethical or IRB clearance was not required given the ecological study design with sequences downloaded from public databases.

Structural Modeling and Structural Stability Prediction

Structural modeling was done using UCSF Chimera v1.13.1 (Pettersen et al., 2004), ICM-Pro (Molsoft) (Abagyan et al., 1994), and SWISS-MODEL server (Bertoni et al., 2017) to determine the potential impact of the quaternary structure and charge distribution on the presence/emergence of polymorphisms, differences in drug binding, selective pressure, and resistance. In addition, the Cartesian_ddg application (Park et al., 2016; Leman et al., 2020) from Rosetta version 2020.28.61328 was used to predict the effects of mutations on the stability of protein/drug complexes, the latter known to potentially affect drug binding and resistance (Barouch-Bentov and Sauer, 2011). The $\Delta \Delta G$ scores were estimated as differences in mean scores for ten independent runs for every mutant and wild-type protein-drug complex structure (**Supplementary Methods**).

Role of the Funding Source

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Sample Size Calculations and Statistical Analysis

Mann-Whitney and Kruskal Wallis tests were done to compare mutational frequencies among RT, PR, and IN sequences, which achieved \geq 93.8% power to detect a 0.5 standard deviation (SD) between groups of \geq 99 sequences (5% error). Statistical analyses and power calculations were done using the R/RStudio ggplot2 package (RStudio Team, 2015), Excel (2016), G*Power v.3.1.9.4 (Erdfelder et al., 1996), and GraphPad Prism 8.0 (La Jolla California United States) (**Supplementary Methods**). The threshold for significance was P < 0.05. Chord diagrams were generated using the circlize, and correlograms using the corrplot and RColorBrewer packages in program R/RStudio.

RESULTS

CRF02_AG Predominance in HIV-1 Reverse Transcriptase, Protease, and Integrase Sequences From Cameroon Pre- and Post-initiation of Combinational Antiretroviral Therapy

Cross-sectional genetic and phylogenetic analyses showed that overall, the HIV-1 clade distribution remained comparable over



antiretroviral treatment was implemented in Cameroon.

time in the studied RT, PR, and IN *pol* regions, with CRF02_AG being the predominant clade (Figures 2, 3 and Supplementary Figures 1–3). However, distinct fluctuations in clade frequencies over time were observed, attributable to sampling disparities, evolutionary, epidemiological, and virological factors. Therefore, to avoid confounding factors by clade differences between study time points, we focused for the most part on CRF02_AG in our statistical analysis.

The Changing *pol* Mutational Landscape Post-implementation of Combinational Antiretroviral Therapy in Cameroon

A comparison of RT, PR, and IN mutation frequencies in Cameroonian CRF02_AG sequences, using respective CRF02_AG consensus sequences as a reference, revealed slightly higher baseline (years \leq 2003) mutation frequencies in RT (mean 3.15%; 13.88 mutations/440 residues in RT) than in PR (2.83%; 2.80/99) and IN (2.07%; 5.95/288). Moreover, when these numbers were compared with the mutation frequencies in the post-cART era (2004–2020), we observed a strongly significant increase in RT (P < 0.0001), in contrast to only moderate changes in PR (P = 0.07) and IN (P = 0.04) (**Figure 3C**, **Supplementary Figure 4**, and **Supplementary Table 1**). In addition, most amino acid sites (\geq 60%) in RT (262/440) and PR (61/99) underwent an increase in mutation levels, whereas in IN, a large proportion remained unchanged (143/288; 50%) (**Supplementary Figure 4**). As a result, the most significant increase in mutations per site between the pre- and post-cART era occurred in RT followed by PR and IN regions, which portrays their relative importance as target proteins regarding the treatment protocols from between 2004 and 2020 (**Figure 3C** and **Supplementary Figure 4**).

Site-Specific Mutational Analyses in Reverse Transcriptase, Protease, and Integrase Reveal the Greatest Drug Resistance Mutation Plasticity in Reverse Transcriptase and Protease

To assess Cameroon's treatment-associated mutational changes in more detail, we calculated site-specific amino acid abundances for every position in RT, PR, and IN. We distinguished canonical DRM sites from all remaining sites, and among the latter, we screened all variable (NOP) sites for significantly increasing or decreasing mutations, called treatment-associated mutations (Figures 4, 5 and Supplementary Table 1). Focusing on clade CRF02_AG, more than half of the known DRM sites in RT (27/41) exhibited a significant change (26 increasing, 1 decreasing) in mutation frequencies post-cART, of which eleven were strongly significant (FDR < 0.01). Only eight (five with FDR < 0.01) of the 33 known DRM sites, exhibited a significant change in PR. Most pronounced increases (>10%) were recorded for RT DRMs M184X ($\Delta = 22.16\%$), K103X ($\Delta = 15.16\%$), and T215X (Δ = 10.17%), and PR DRM L63X (Δ = 15.18%). In contrast to RT and PR, none of the 24 known DRM sites in



IN showed a significant change. Among the silent IN mutation landscape, only three accessory mutations (L74X, Q95X, and G163X) increased slightly post-cART ($\Delta = 5.2\%$, 3.7%, and 2.8%, respectively). These mutations do not affect the second-generation InSTIs dolutegravir and bictegravir and have little effect (reduced susceptibility) on the first-generation InSTIs elvitegravir and raltegravir (**Figure 4**).

Emerging Treatment-Associated Mutations in Reverse Transcriptase and Protease as an Imprint of the Regionally Dominant Combinational Antiretroviral Therapy Regimens

To determine the impact of cART scale-up on the HIV-1 NOP landscape and the emergence of treatment-associated mutations, we summarized all significantly changing NOPs over time in RT, PR, and IN (**Figure 5** and **Supplementary Figures 5–7**). Comparable to the patterns of emerging canonical DRMs, we observed the greatest plasticity of novel, treatment-associated mutations in RT (29 significant sites: 20 increasing, 9 decreasing), followed by PR (13 sites: 11 increasing, 2 decreasing), and IN (7 sites: 5 increasing, 2 decreasing). Strongly significant changes (FDR < 0.01) were only observed in RT (6x) and PR (7x). The strongest increases (>10%) were at V135X ($\Delta = 12.82\%$), I292X ($\Delta = 15.56\%$), and T377X ($\Delta = 14.96\%$) in RT, and G16X

 $(\Delta = 11.05\%)$ and K70X ($\Delta = 10.44\%$) in PR. In IN, no site reached a 10% increase in treatment-associated mutations, M50X ($\Delta = 9.80\%$) being closest.

Linked Emergence of Major Nucleotide Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor and Non-nucleotide Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor Mutations in Cameroonian HIV-1 CRF02_AG Viruses

To study whether there was a linkage between the presence of certain mutations, we performed a correlation analysis focusing on mutations that significantly increased from the pre- to post-cART period in Cameroon (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure 8). In line with the higher total and relative number of mutations in RT vs. PR and also post-cART vs. pre-cART, linked mutations were most frequent in RT sequences post implementation of cART (RT: 152 vs. 24, PR: 17 vs. 4 when comparing post-cART with pre-cART periods, respectively). Furthermore, most of these mutations were positively correlated (RT post: 135, pre: 22; PR: post: 13, pre: 4), whereas a smaller part was inversely correlated (RT, post: 17, pre: 2; PR: post:4, pre:0). Of interest, the three RT mutations that most prominently increased after the regional implementation of cART (>10%) strongly correlated with each other despite being related with different drug classes, i.e., NRTIs (M184X, T215X) and NNRTIs (K103X).



changes according to the bottom's aa color code. The 4-row color strips on top indicate differences between CRF02_AG consensus sequences and HxB2 (green), sites of canonical drug resistance mut (DRM) sites (blue), and statistically significant differences between Pre and Post in Fisher Exact tests (*P* values) and false discovery rates (FDR, *q* values), according to the legend to the right.

This is presumably due to the NRTI/NNRTI combinational treatment protocol that has been in place in Cameroon for most of the study time, suggesting that in most patients NRTIs and NNRTIs exerted drug pressure at the same time. Besides the mutations mentioned above, M41X, D67X, K70X, G190X, and K219X were involved in strongly linked RT mutation clusters. The linkage of mutations was substantially weaker in PR. It involved linkages between 115X and 164X, G16X and K70X, K41X and L63X, and L63X and M89X. The linkage of a few RT mutations in the pre-cART period is indicative for either an introduction of drug-resistant viruses into Cameroon, a low-level circulation of NRTIs/NNRTIs and exertion of drug pressure even before 2004, and/or a mutual impact and combined effects of mutations on genomic stability or protein function (epistasis).

Plasticity of Reverse Transcriptase and Protease Mutations in Non-CRF02_AG Viruses

Besides CRF02_AG, there has traditionally been a broad diversity of HIV-1 subtypes circulating in Cameroon (**Figure 2** and **Supplementary Figures 1, 2**). Under the caveat of subtypespecific differences and a slightly different subtype coverage in pre- and post-cART periods, we analyzed whether common structural or sequence patterns of mutations crystallized in Non-CRF02_AG viruses after cART was implemented in Cameroon (**Figure 7** and **Supplementary Table 1**). Whereas decreasing mutations (gray) were scattered across large parts of the RT and PR protein structures, presumably due to subtype bias between the study periods, the few increasing mutations in RT and PR (purple) had a clustered appearance around the drug-binding sites. In addition to emerging treatment-associated mutations (**Figure 7A**, purple), there was a high number of canonical drug resistance mutations enriched among the bulk of Non-CRF02_AG sequences, which mainly applied to RT (**Figure 7B**, blue), but not for IN (**Figure 7C** left, all non-significant).

Reverse Transcriptase and Protease Treatment-Associated Mutations Exhibit Differential Structural Patterns and Effects on Protein Stability

In the quaternary proteins, most canonical DRMs in RT and PR cluster around the drug-binding sites, as shown for the significantly increasing DRMs post-cART in CRF02_AG



post-cART period are boxed. **(C,D)** Same selection of RT and IN sites as in **(A,B)**. On the y-axis, the difference in mut percentage (Δ mut) between Post and Pre is indicated for each site, with increasing mut frequencies from Pre to Post shown as positive values (dark gray bars) and decreasing frequencies shown as negative values (light gray bars). The mirror bar chart below indicates all amino acid (aa) changes according to the aa color code at the bottom. The 4-row color strips on top indicate differences between CRF02_AG consensus sequences and HxB2 (green), sites of canonical drug resistance mut (DRM) sites (blue), and statistically significant differences between Pre and Post in Fisher Exact tests (*P* values) and false discovery rates (FDR, *q* values), according to the legend to the right.

(Figure 8A and Supplementary Figure 6A). The comparative study of emerging treatment-associated mutations revealed a slightly more widespread distribution within the proteins, but still in proximity to the drug-binding region; for example, in RT, restricted to the protein half where drug binding occurs (Figure 8A and Supplementary Figure 6B). Both canonical DRMs and treatment-associated mutations in RT and PR can have stabilizing, neutral, or destabilizing effects. Strikingly, > 10 RT treatment-associated mutations have strong destabilizing effects, which are known as potential mechanisms of drug resistance (Figure 8B, Supplementary Figure 6C, and Supplementary Tables 2–4) (Barouch-Bentov and Sauer, 2011). In contrast to RT and PR mutations, the less significant IN treatment-associated mutations appear randomly spread across the IN monomer, possibly due to the lower/absent drug pressure

exerted by InSTIs in the studied years, and have hardly any destabilizing effect (**Supplementary Figure** 7).

A Time-Series Analysis of *pol* Mutations Reveals Differential Mutational Plasticities

Having determined significant changes of DRMs and novel, treatment-associated mutation in Cameroonian RT and PR sequences post-cART, we aimed to assess the cross-sectional mutation profiles over time (Figure 8C and Supplementary Figures 9–12). As a result, we observed differential mutation profiles that included subsequently increasing/decreasing mutations, plateauing mutations, and transient mutation peaks or nadirs. The mutations were mostly based on replacements



by one distinct amino acid (**Figures 4**, **5** and **Supplementary Figure 5**); however, a few sites exhibited a broader range of mutations with fluctuating amino acid frequencies, as evident for RT treatment-associated mutation T362X (**Figure 8C**).

DISCUSSION

This study provides a thorough overview of HIV *pol* mutations and genotypic drug resistance in an entire population. In an

ecological analysis of pooled viral genomic data from Cameroon where HIV prevalence stands at \sim 3.7% in 2021, we used computational and structural methods to assess the genomic plasticity of HIV-1 *pol* over time and its implication on treatment. Treatment exerts selective pressure on the swarm of viruses present in every patient and the entire population and drives viral adaptation resulting in the emergence of HIV-1 DRMs. The cross-sectional nature of our study on a representative set of 8,130 sequences from Cameroon (all LANL-deposited RT, PR, and IN sequences that are functional and non-clonal) enabled us to



FIGURE 7 Structural and statistical analysis of emerging mutations in Non-CRF02_AG. (A) Sites of significantly increasing or decreasing (P Fisher < 0.05) treatment-associated mutations in RT (left) and PR (right) are projected onto RT and PR structures. Calculations of site-specific mutation increases/decreases in Non-CRF02_AG between pre- and post-cART periods are shown below. Detailed views of the drug-binding regions with annotated as sites are shown in boxes to the right. Treatment-associated mutation residues are displayed as magenta or gray spheres, according to a significant increase or decrease from pre- to post-cART, respectively (*P* < 0.05). (B) Calculation of site-specific mutation differences in Non-CRF02_AG for canonical DRM sites. Bars are displayed in blue or gray according to a significant increase or decrease from pre- to post-cART, respectively (*P* < 0.05). (C) Calculation of site-specific mutation differences in Non-CRF02_AG for canonical DRM sites. Bars are displayed in blue or gray according to a significant increase or decrease from pre- to post-cART, respectively (*P* < 0.05). (C) Calculation of site-specific mutation differences in Non-CRF02_AG for the IN region, both for canonical DRM sites (left) and emerging treatment-associated mutations (right). The 4-row color strips indicate differences between CRF02_AG consensus sequences and HXB2 (green), sites of canonical drug resistance mut (DRM) sites (blue), and statistically significant mutation differences between pre- and post-cART periods in Fisher Exact tests (P values) and false discovery rates (FDR, q values), according to the legend to the right.

compare sufficient numbers of mutations and NOPs statistically over time. Fluctuating sample numbers and the inability to follow and assess individuals longitudinally were limitations. Our study revealed high plasticity in HIV-1 *pol* on the population level, which appears to be profoundly shaped by regionally applied cART protocols. Effective cART in Cameroon started in 2004. Hereafter, substantial scale-ups occurred in 2007 when cART became free of charge for eligible patients (CD4 count < 200 cells/mm³) and with the "test and treat" initiative implemented in 2016. Cameroon's HIV treatment guidelines have primarily relied on regimens with two NRTIs and one NNRTI, which resulted in an increase in pre-treatment NRTI/NNRTI DRM rates up to >10%, and in patients failing first or second-line cART up to >60% (Nanfack et al., 2015, 2017; WHO,



nevirapine (blue) and AZT-TP (orange) molecules were placed together for illustration purposes (using structural overlay in Chimera). DRM residues are displayed as blue or gray spheres, according to a significant increase or decrease from pre- to post-cART periods, respectively (P < 0.05, according to **Figure 4**). Accordingly, treatment-associated mutation residues are displayed as magenta or gray spheres, according to a significant increase from pre- to post-cART periods, respectively (P < 0.05, according to **Figure 5**). (**B**) The effect of selected canonical DRMs (most prevalent in Cameroon and/or highest mutational scoring) and all significantly emerging CRF02_AG treatment-associated mutations on three different published RT protein structures were analyzed with the Cartesian ddg application (Rosetta). ddG values > 1 and < 1 are characteristic for destabilizing and stabilizing mutations in HIV-1 CRF02_AG *pol* RT during cART scale-up in Cameroon. Streamgraphs in silhouette mode display mutations among the studied sequence on the y-axis along the timeline on the x-axis. The gray-green color indicates the absence of mutations (and the presence of the dominant ac residue). According to the legend to the right, other colors indicate the presence of mutations/minority variants. The RT as aite and its dominant/consensus as are indicated to the left of each streamgraph. Shown is a selection of two canonical drug resistance mutation sites (left) and two emerging treatment-associated mutation sites (right) with a significant increase in mutations over time. Asterisks and dashed lines mark the time point of cART implementation in Cameroon.

2019), jeopardizing the success of national HIV management. Nevirapine was included in most first-line regimens in Cameroon between 2004 and 2016 and was replaced in 2016 by efavirenz in the preferential first-line regimen in resource-constrained settings (tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/lamivudine/efavirenz) (National AIDS Control Committee, 2015; WHO, 2015). The long-term use of NRTIs and NNRTIs explains the high proportion of RTI DRMs observed in our study. Starting from low levels of RT/PR-associated DRMs in the cARTnaïve population (\leq 2004), a significant increase occurred at multiple protein residues post-implementation of cART. RT mutations M184X, K103X, and T215X rose most significantly, both in CRF02_AG (**Figure 4**) and non-CRF02_AG (**Figure 7** and **Supplementary Table 1**), which is in line with recent DRM monitoring studies in Cameroon and worldwide (Nanfack et al., 2015, 2017; WHO, 2019). These mutations strongly affect the efficacy of NRTIs lamivudine/emtricitabine (M184V/I) and zidovudine/stavudine (T215F/Y), and NNRTIs nevirapine/efavirenz (K103N/S). Notably, these mutations were significantly linked among the study sequences (**Figure 6**), implying that combinational NRTI/NNRTI treatment exerts simultaneous selection pressure and induces mutations in different RT regions at comparable rates depending on the applied drugs. The functional consequence of the co-existence of drug resistance mutations remains to be studied in further detail. Based on the recent introduction of InSTIs in Cameroon, the absence of DRMs to InSTIs was expected.

Antiretroviral drugs, including PIs, NRTIs/NNRTIs, and InSTIs, have mainly been tested for efficacy against subtype B viruses. Although most of these drugs are expected to act on diverse subtypes, genetic differences can impact drug resistance pathways or kinetics of DRM development (Theys et al., 2019). Although only marginally assessed, reduced susceptibility to antiretroviral drugs has been described in CRF02_AG infections (Wainberg and Brenner, 2012). Reduced PI susceptibility at baseline has been associated with subsequent virological failure on lopinavir/ritonavir monotherapy in antiretroviralnaïve patients harboring CRF02_AG viruses (Sutherland et al., 2015). In the DAYANA trial, a simplified regimen of tenofovir plus lopinavir/ritonavir used for early treatment attained poor viral suppression (defined as HIV-1 RNA viral load ≥ 100 copies/ml between weeks 24 and 96) in a CRF02_AG study population (Landman et al., 2014). In IN, the G118R mutation was associated with NOPs at codons 74 and 118 in clades C and CRF02 AG and conferred resistance against raltegravir and DTG (Malet et al., 2011; Brenner et al., 2016). In addition to canonical DRMs, we found emergent CRF02_AG polymorphisms accumulating during cART scale-up. NOPs have been reported to alter or impair susceptibility to RTIs, PIs, and even InSTIs (Wainberg and Brenner, 2012). Comparable to the observed DRM imprints upon cART in Cameroon, the NOP landscape changed most strikingly in RT, followed by PR and IN. It implies that resistance issues might be involved besides compensatory mutations and evolutionary/epidemiological trends. Protein destabilization is a potential drug resistance mechanism (Barouch-Bentov and Sauer, 2011; Chang and Torbett, 2011; Sheik Amamuddy et al., 2018); however, as our DRM data show, there is no strict association with resistance, and only a subset of DRMs exert destabilizing effects. Consequently, emerging treatmentassociated mutations showed a differential pattern. Notably, a set of >10 emerging RT treatment-associated mutations had strong destabilizing potential. For example, RT T362X mutations significantly increased post-cART, and both T362N/S were shown to be strongly destabilizing (Figures 5, 6). T362 is located at the DNA-interacting RT connection domain, and mutations have been associated with NNRTI treatment, possibly affecting RNAse H activity (Julias et al., 2003). In the IN region, we observed a high baseline occurrence of low-resistance mutation L64M, known as a specific feature of CRF02_AG (Rhee et al., 2003). L64M further increased post-cART, though not significantly.

The scarcity of available HIV-1 IN sequences from Cameroon after the roll-out of DTG-based regimens in Africa (2017) limits our sequence-based conclusions on InSTI treatment in Cameroon. Between 2017 and November 2020, only three complete and two fragmented IN sequences have been deposited to LANL. The latter two are from the same HIV-1 CRF18_cpx-infected individual who developed multidrug InSTI resistance in 2017 based on G140A, Q148R, and E157Q DRMs, which further aggravated in 2019 additionally involving E138K/Q and S147G (Fokam et al., 2020). Our structural simulations suggest that CRF02_AG IN has a comparable quaternary structure with clade B, including surface and drug-binding site charge distribution (Supplementary Figures 13, 14). Due to DTG's high genetic barrier to resistance, the emergence of DRMs in treatment-naïve patients is extremely rare, which renders DTG highly effective across clades (Rhee et al., 2019). Increased usage of InSTIs including the long-acting cabotegravir for maintenance therapy and pre-exposure prophylaxis is anticipated (Swindells et al., 2020). Emerging IN drug resistance is an imminent threat requiring broad and widespread monitoring efforts across Cameroon and beyond (Inzaule et al., 2018; Lubke et al., 2019). Particular attention should be paid to patients that previously used Raltegravir-containing regimens as in the case of DTG and Darunavir/r multi-drug resistance in HIV-1 CRF18_cpx infection described recently in Cameroon (Fokam et al., 2020). Furthermore, recent data suggest a reduced InSTI efficacy in patients with DRMs in RT (Siedner et al., 2020), stressing the importance of studying full pol. Even beyond pol, there have been reports of InSTI resistance caused by five mutations in the nef region (Malet et al., 2017). In addition, mutations in gag have been shown to contribute to PI resistance, and findings suggest a tight interdependency between Gag structural proteins and the protease during the development of PI resistance (Clavel and Mammano, 2010; Codoñer et al., 2017; Datir et al., 2020). Most recently, mutations in the envelope glycoprotein (Env) have been associated with resistance to antiretrovirals, including the InSTI DTG (Van Duyne et al., 2019; Hikichi et al., 2021). Consequently, future studies should aim at analyzing DRMs and NOPs over the full genome and in the context of clinical drug resistance data to detect drug resistance across drug classes more comprehensively and inform clinical management more accurately. The low number of fullgenome CRF02_AG sequences from Cameroon (61 deposited in the LANL database) and the lack of associated clinical data impeded such analyses for Cameroon at this time. In summary, the better characterization of DRMs and NOPs including changes over time in the context of diverse HIV-1 clades and applied cART regimens is paramount to understanding the underlying mechanisms of emerging and evolving antiretroviral drug resistance. The association between genomic imprints and usage of antiretroviral drugs targeting the respective pol regions underline the ability of ecological analyses to reveal viral adaptation processes and underlying purifying selection pressures, to eventually guide clinical management and targeted therapies. Our results support the applicability of InSTIs for cART in Cameroon but stress the necessity of tight surveillance of DRMs when increasing drug pressure is exerted. The current study focused on CRF02_AG and the comparison of preand post-cART periods in Cameroon. Future studies will need to intensify longitudinal characterizations involving different clades and regions of the world under consideration of the applied treatment protocols to dissect HIV-1 *pol* and full-genome adaptations and their clinical implications on a global scale. Phenotypic testing of emerging treatment-associated mutations in different clades with or without co-occurring DRMs will reveal their direct and indirect impact on drug resistance.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JNT and RD conceived research goals and analyses. JSB, PY, SD, X-PK, and RD performed formal analyses. RD acquired funding for the project. PY, SD, X-PK, and RD developed methodologies, designed and/or implemented computer codes. AJN, JNT, and RD supervised the research activity and validated the research output. JSB and RD verified the underlying data and prepared figures and tables. JSB, AJN, and RD wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Abagyan, R., Totrov, M., and Kuznetsov, D. (1994). ICM a new method for protein modeling and design - applications to docking and structure prediction from the distorted native conformation. J. Comput. Chem. 15:488.
- Aghokeng, A. F., Kouanfack, C., Laurent, C., Ebong, E., Atem-Tambe, A., Butel, C., et al. (2011). Scale-up of antiretroviral treatment in sub-Saharan Africa is accompanied by increasing HIV-1 drug resistance mutations in drug-naive patients. *AIDS* 25, 2183–2188. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e32834bbbe9
- Barouch-Bentov, R., and Sauer, K. (2011). Mechanisms of drug resistance in kinases. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 20, 153–208.
- Bertoni, M., Kiefer, F., Biasini, M., Bordoli, L., and Schwede, T. (2017). Modeling protein quaternary structure of homo- and hetero-oligomers beyond binary interactions by homology. *Sci. Rep.* 7:10480. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09654-8
- Bourgeois, A., Laurent, C., Mougnutou, R., Nkoué, N., Lactuock, B., Ciaffi, L., et al. (2005). Field assessment of generic antiretroviral drugs: a prospective cohort study in Cameroon. *Antiviral Ther.* 10, 335–341.
- Boyer, S., Marcellin, F., Ongolo-Zogo, P., Abega, S., Nantchouang, R., Spire, B., et al. (2009). Financial barriers to HIV treatment in yaounde, cameroon: first results of a national cross-sectional survey. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 87, 279–287. doi: 10.2471/blt.07.049643
- Brenner, B. G., Thomas, R., Blanco, J. L., Ibanescu, R., Oliveira, M., Mesplède, T., et al. (2016). Development of a G118R mutation in HIV-1 integrase following a switch to dolutegravir monotherapy leading to cross-resistance to integrase inhibitors. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 71, 1948–1953. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw071
- Chang, M. W., and Torbett, B. E. (2011). Accessory mutations maintain stability in drug-resistant HIV-1 protease. J. Mol. Biol. 410, 756–760. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb. 2011.03.038
- Clavel, F., and Mammano, F. (2010). Role of gag in HIV resistance to protease inhibitors. *Viruses* 2, 1411–1426. doi: 10.3390/v2071411

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Fogarty International Center (FIC), National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant TW009604, and by the Merck Investigator Studies Program (MISP) grant #57483. The authors declare that this study received funding from the Merck Investigator Studies Program (MISP), grant #57483. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Yicheng Guo and Zizhang Sheng for their assistance in structural analyses and Flavia Camacho for administrative assistance. We further wish to acknowledge the software support of New York University's Data Services, Bobst Library, and, in particular, the expertise shared by Patrick Chester and Senior Academic Technology Specialist Denis Rubin.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2021.812391/full#supplementary-material

- Codoñer, F. M., Peña, R., Blanch-Lombarte, O., Jimenez-Moyano, E., Pino, M., Vollbrecht, T., et al. (2017). Gag-protease coevolution analyses define novel structural surfaces in the HIV-1 matrix and capsid involved in resistance to Protease Inhibitors. *Sci. Rep.* 7:3717. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-03260-4
- Datir, R. P., Kemp, S., El Bouzidi, K., Mlcochova, P., Goldstein, R. A., Breuer, J., et al. (2020). In vivo emergence of a novel protease inhibitor resistance signature in HIV-1 matrix. *mBio* 11, e2036–e2020. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02036-20
- Erdfelder, E., Faul, F., and Buchner, A. (1996). GPOWER: a general power analysis program. *Behav. Res. Meth. Instrum.* 28, 1–11.
- Feder, A. F., Harper, K. N., Brumme, C. J., and Pennings, P. S. (2021). Understanding patterns of HIV multi-drug resistance through models of temporal and spatial drug heterogeneity. *eLife* 10:e69032. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 69032
- Fokam, J., Takou, D., Semengue, E. N. J., Teto, G., Beloumou, G., Dambaya, B., et al. (2020). First case of dolutegravir and darunavir/r multi drug-resistant hiv-1 in cameroon following exposure to raltegravir: lessons and implications in the era of transition to Dolutegravir-based regimens. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control.* 9:143. doi: 10.1186/s13756-020-00799-2
- Gotte, M. (2012). The distinct contributions of fitness and genetic barrier to the development of antiviral drug resistance. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2, 644–650. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2012.08.004
- Hahn, B. H., Shaw, G. M., De Cock, K. M., and Sharp, P. M. (2000). AIDS as a zoonosis: scientific and public health implications. *Science* 287, 607–614. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5453.607
- Hamers, R. L., Rinke de Wit, T. F., and Holmes, C. B. (2018). HIV drug resistance in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet HIV* 5, e00588–e596.
- Hemelaar, J., Elangovan, R., Yun, J., Dickson-Tetteh, L., Fleminger, I., Kirtley, S., et al. (2020). Global and regional epidemiology of HIV-1 recombinants in 1990 - 2015: a systematic review and global survey. *Lancet HIV* 7, e772–e781. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(20)30252-6

- Hikichi, Y., Van Duyne, R., Pham, P., Groebner, J. L., Wiegand, A., Mellors, J. W., et al. (2021). Mechanistic analysis of the broad antiretroviral resistance conferred by hiv-1 envelope glycoprotein mutations. *mBio* 12, e3134–e3120. doi: 10.1128/mBio.03134-20
- Inzaule, S. C., Hamers, R. L., Noguera-Julian, M., Casadellà, M., Parera, M., Rinke de Wit, T. F., et al. (2018). Primary resistance to integrase strand transfer inhibitors in patients infected with diverse HIV-1 subtypes in sub-Saharan Africa. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 73, 1167–1172. doi: 10.1093/jac/dky005
- Julias, J. G., McWilliams, M. J., Sarafianos, S. G., Alvord, W. G., Arnold, E., and Hughes, S. H. (2003). Mutation of amino acids in the connection domain of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase that contact the template-primer affects RNase H activity. *J. Virol.* 77, 8548–8554. doi: 10.1128/ jvi.77.15.8548-8554.2003
- Landman, R., Koulla-Shiro, S., Sow, P. S., Ngolle, M., Diallo, M., Guèye, N. F. M., et al. (2014). Evaluation of four tenofovir-containing regimens as first-line treatments in cameroon and senegal: the ANRS 12115 DAYANA Trial. *Antiviral Ther.* 19, 51–59. doi: 10.3851/IMP2675
- Laurent, C., Kouanfack, C., Vergne, L., Tardy, M., Zekeng, L., Noumsi, N., et al. (2006). Antiretroviral drug resistance and routine therapy, cameroon. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 12, 1001–1004. doi: 10.3201/eid1206.050860
- Leman, J. K., Weitzner, B. D., Lewis, S. M., Adolf-Bryfogle, J., Alam, N., Alford, R. F., et al. (2020). Macromolecular modeling and design in rosetta: recent methods and frameworks. *Nat. Methods* 17, 665–680. doi: 10.1038/s41592-020-0848-2
- Lubke, N., Jensen, B., Huttig, F., Feldt, T., Walker, A., Kaiser, R., et al. (2019). Failure of dolutegravir first-line art with selection of virus carrying R263K and G118R. N. Engl. J. Med. 381, 887–889. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1806554
- Malet, I., Fourati, S., Charpentier, C., Morand-Joubert, L., Armenia, D., Wirden, M., et al. (2011). The HIV-1 integrase G118R mutation confers raltegravir resistance to the CRF02_AG HIV-1 subtype. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66, 2827–2830. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkr389
- Malet, I., Subra, F., Charpentier, C., Collin, G., Descamps, D., Calvez, V., et al. (2017). Mutations located outside the integrase gene can confer resistance to hiv-1 integrase strand transfer inhibitors. *mBio* 8, e922–e917. doi: 10.1128/ mBio.00922-17
- Nanfack, A. J., Agyingi, L., Noubiap, J. J., Ngai, J. N., Colizzi, V., and Nyambi, P. N. (2015). Use of amplification refractory mutation system PCR assay as a simple and effective tool to detect HIV-1 drug resistance mutations. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 53, 1662–1671. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00114-15
- Nanfack, A. J., Redd, A. D., Bimela, J. S., Ncham, G., Achem, E., Banin, A. N., et al. (2017). Multimethod longitudinal HIV drug resistance analysis in antiretroviral-therapy-naive patients. *J Clin. Microbiol.* 55, 2785–2800. doi: 10. 1128/JCM.00634-17
- National AIDS Control Committee (2015). National Guideline on the Prevention and Management of HIV in Cameroon. Available online at: https://www.childrenandaids.org/sites/default/files/2018-05/Cameroon_ NatGuidelinesHIV_2015.pdf (accessed October 19, 2020).
- Park, H., Bradley, P., Greisen, P. Jr., Liu, Y., Mulligan, V. K., Kim, D. E., et al. (2016). Simultaneous optimization of biomolecular energy functions on features from small molecules and macromolecules. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 12, 6201–6212. doi: 10.1021/acs.jctc.6b00819
- Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., et al. (2004). UCSF Chimera–a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 25, 1605–1612. doi: 10.1002/jcc.2 0084
- Rhee, S. Y., Gonzales, M. J., Kantor, R., Betts, B. J., Ravela, J., and Shafer, R. W. (2003). Human immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase and protease sequence database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 298–303. doi: 10.1093/nar/gk g100
- Rhee, S. Y., Grant, P. M., Tzou, P. L., Barrow, G., Harrigan, P. R., Ioannidis, J. P. A., et al. (2019). A systematic review of the genetic mechanisms of dolutegravir resistance. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 74, 3135–3149. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkz256
- RStudio Team (2015). RStudio: Integrated Development for R. Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc.
- Sheik Amamuddy, O., Bishop, N. T., and Tastan Bishop, O. (2018). Characterizing early drug resistance-related events using geometric ensembles from HIV protease dynamics. *Sci. Rep.* 8:17938. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36041-8

- Siedner, M. J., Moorhouse, M. A., Simmons, B., Oliveira, T. D., Lessells, R., Giandhari, J., et al. (2020). Reduced efficacy of HIV-1 integrase inhibitors in patients with drug resistance mutations in reverse transcriptase. *Nat. Commun.* 11:5922. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19801-x
- Stanford University HIV Drug Resistance Database (2020). *Mutation Prevalence According to Subtype and Treatment*. Available online at: https://hivdb.stanford. edu/cgi-bin/MutPrevBySubtypeRx.cgi (accessed October 3, 2020).
- Sutherland, K. A., Ghosn, J., Gregson, J., Mbisa, J. L., Chaix, M. L., Codar, I. C., et al. (2015). HIV-1 subtype influences susceptibility and response to monotherapy with the protease inhibitor lopinavir/ritonavir. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 70, 243–248. doi: 10.1093/jac/dku365
- Swindells, S., Andrade-Villanueva, J. F., Richmond, G. J., Rizzardini, G., Baumgarten, A., Masiá, M., et al. (2020). Long-acting cabotegravir and rilpivirine for maintenance of HIV-1 suppression. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382, 1112– 1123. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1904398
- Theys, K., Libin, P. J. K., Van Laethem, K., and Abecasis, A. B. (2019). An evolutionary model-based approach to quantify the genetic barrier to drug resistance in fast-evolving viruses and its application to HIV-1 subtypes and integrase inhibitors. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 63, e00539–e519. doi: 10. 1128/AAC.00539-19
- UNAIDS (2017a). Ending AIDS, Progress Towards the 90-90-90 Targets. Global AIDS Update. Geneva: UNAIDS.
- UNAIDS (2017b). New High-Quality Antiretroviral Therapy to be Launched in South Africa, Kenya and Over 90 Low-and Middle-Income Countries at Reduced Price. Geneva: UNAIDS.
- UNAIDS (2020). UNAIDS Global AIDS Update 2020 Seizing the Moment. Available online at: https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/ 2020_global-aids-report_en.pdf (accessed October 19, 2020).
- Van Duyne, R., Kuo, L. S., Pham, P., Fujii, K., and Freed, E. O. (2019). Mutations in the HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein can broadly rescue blocks at multiple steps in the virus replication cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 116, 9040–9049. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1820333116
- Wainberg, M. A., and Brenner, B. G. (2012). The impact of HIV genetic polymorphisms and subtype differences on the occurrence of resistance to antiretroviral drugs. *Mol. Biol. Int.* 2012:256982. doi: 10.1155/2012/256982
- WHO (2003). Global Initiative to Provide Antiretroviral Therapy to 3 Million People with HIV/AIDS in Developing Countries by the End of 2005. Available online at: http://www.who.int/3by5/publications/documents/en (accessed October 19, 2020).
- WHO (2005). Summary Country Profile for HIV/AIDS Treatment Scale-Up. Available online at: https://www.who.int/3by5/support/june2005_cmr.pdf (accessed December 9, 2021).
- WHO (2015). Consolidated Guidelines on the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs for Treating and Preventing HIV Infection. Available online at: https://apps.who. int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/208825/9789241549684_eng.pdf?sequence=1 (accessed December, 2021).
- WHO (2019). HIV Drug Resistance Report 2019. Available online at: https://www. who.int/hiv/pub/drugresistance/hivdr-report-2019/en/ (accessed October 2, 2020).

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design or conduct of the study.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Bimela, Nanfack, Yang, Dai, Kong, Torimiro and Duerr. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.