

Correspondence



Sentinel lymph node detection in endometrial cancer: hysteroscopic peritumoral versus cervical injection

Alessandro Buda, Andrea Lissoni, Rodolfo Milani

Gynecologic Oncology Surgery Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, San Gerardo Hospital, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy



Correspondence to

Alessandro Buda

Gynecologic Oncology Surgery Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, San Gerardo Hospital, University of Milano-Bicocca, Via Pergolesi, 33-Monza, Italy. E-mail: alebuda1972@gmail.com

Copyright © 2016. Asian Society of Gynecologic Oncology, Korean Society of Gynecologic Oncology
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly

ORCID

Alessandro Buda http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7093-6862 Andrea Lissoni http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3139-0972 Rodolfo Milani http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3349-7650

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

To the editor:

We read with great interest the article by Bogani et al. [1], highlighting some of the debated issues regarding the role of sentinel lymph node (SLN) mapping in endometrial cancer. However, taking a cue from this commentary and from the available evidence in the literature regarding the surgical staging of endometrial cancer, we would like to broaden the debate to include several important aspects not covered by the authors.

First of all, the authors state that even if hysteroscopic injection represents a more demanding and less reproducible technique, it is the more reliable and effective approach in detecting the lymphatic drainage of a tumor, whereas cervical injection seems to be more effective in detecting the lymphatic drainage of the uterus.

We disagree with that definition which sounds redundant and in contrast with the evidence the literature. In 1999, Linehan et al. [2] demonstrated that peritumoral intraparenchymatous SLN dye injection was not superior to intradermal dye injection in SLN detection of patients with breast cancer. In endometrial cancer patients one of the main criticisms regards cervical site injection and whether it map the organ rather than the tumor. In any case, the study of Khoury-Collado et al. [3] challenged the reservations about the effectiveness of cervical site injection, since after a cervical site injection, SLNs were three times more likely to harbor disease than non SLNs. More recently, Rossi et al. [4] confirmed that cervical injection of indocyanine green achieved a higher detection rate and a similar anatomic nodal distribution as hysteroscopic injection for SLN mapping in endometrial cancer patients. Furthermore, the rationale of using the cervix as injection site for SLN mapping has been confirmed by classic morphological studies. A well-known lymphatic pathway is composed of a complex network of bilaterally independent lymphatic channels, draining the uterine cervix and the corpus primarily from the lateral parametrial regions [5]. By applying the recently published SLN algorithm of the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) [6] all the women with an apparently uterine-confined disease who undergo a cervical injection for mapping it is clearly stated that any suspicious nodes should be removed regardless of mapping.

From our point of view, hysteroscopic tracer injection includes some critical drawbacks: (1) the necessity for a more demanding technique with a longer learning curve compared to the cervical injection, requires the support of nuclear medicine when Tc99m is injected

1/4



Table 1. Rate of isolated aortic nodal metastasis with negative pelvic lymph nodes in patients with endometrial cancer (published series with at least 100 cases)

Source	Year	Isolated aortic metastasis
Creasman et al. [9]*	1987	12/563 (2.1)
Morrow et al. [10]*	1991	18/802 (2.2)
Ayhan et al. [11]	1995	6/209 (3.0)
Onda et al. [12]	1997	2/173 (1.2)
Hirahatake et al. [13]	1997	2/160 (1.3)
Mariani et al. [14]	2004	5/229 (2.2)
Nomura et al. [15]	2006	4/105 (3.8)
Tanaka et al. [16]	2006	1/101 (1)
Mariani et al. [17]	2008	9/265 (3.4)
Hoekstra et al. [18]	2009	7/1,487 (0.5)
Lee et al. [19]	2009	7/284 (2.5)
Fujimoto et al. [20]	2009	7/313 (2.2)
Abu-Rustum et al. [7]	2009	12/734 (1.6)
Chiang et al. [21]	2011	2/156 (1.3)
Dogan et al. [22]	2012	2/145 (1.4)
Milam et al. [23]*	2012	12/532 (2.2)
Total		108/6,258 (1.7)

Values are presented as number/total number (%).

with the patient still awake during the procedure; (2) if we can say that a tracer injection via hysteroscopy its preferable in the case of focal endometrial lesion, when the tumor fills the majority of the uterine cavity, it's not easy to decide where to inject the dye in respect of the hypothesis that hysteroscopic injection "is effective in detecting lymphatic drainage of the tumor"; and (3) considering that the exclusive aortic migration can occur more frequently in the case of a fundal high grade tumor with a deep myometrial infiltration, is it really a commitment to standardize such a demanding technique for such a limited number of cases?

From our preliminary experience in this subset of select cases, and based on preoperative histology, the application of an integrated positron emission tomography/computed tomography/SLN algorithm [7] achieved excellent results. This strategy allows for staging of all cases and provides useful individualized information that may be a guide in deciding further therapy. Furthermore, the incidence of para-aortic metastasis in the presence of negative bilateral pelvic nodes in surgically staged endometrial cancer patients is 1% to 3% [8]. Considering the published series including more than 100 cases of endometrial cancer patients (6,858 evaluated patients), the overall rate of isolated aortic metastasis recorded was 1.7% (Table 1) [9-23]. Mariani et al. [24] already underlined the issue of a low incidence of isolated aortic metastasis in 2001 in a large series of endometrial cancer patients suggesting that the aortic involvement with a skipped pelvic route is probably a late and rare event.

Sentinel node mapping represents an acceptable valid approach for surgical staging of patients with apparently confined early stage endometrial cancer with normal-appearing nodes. Therefore, in the case of suspicious preoperative imaging or intraoperative findings, the nodes should be evaluated/biopsied as part of an extent-of-disease evaluation, consequently overriding the importance of SLN mapping. By applying a well-defined algorithm, the MSKCC group achieved a very low false-negative rate—likely below 5% [6]. Moreover, the detection of stage IIIC disease is high, particularly in the pelvis. In

^{*}Gynecologic Oncology Group Study.



absence of bilateral mapping a side-specific lymphadenectomy including the iliac and obturator nodes should be performed and this approach has been recently included in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines [25]. The detection of a stage IIIC2 disease may be higher if routine para-aortic lymphadenectomy is incorporated but the survival advantage of systematic aortic dissection in stage IIIC cases remains to be determined through a prospective trial not yet available.

In our opinion, the cervical injection still remains the easiest and most reproducible way to perform SLN mapping but at the same time, hysteroscopy injection in well skilled hands represents a valid alternative.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bogani G, Ditto A, Martinelli F, Signorelli M, Perotto S, Lorusso D, et al. A critical assessment on the role of sentinel node mapping in endometrial cancer. J Gynecol Oncol 2015;26:252-4.
- 2. Linehan DC, Hill AD, Akhurst T, Yeung H, Yeh SD, Tran KN, et al. Intradermal radiocolloid and intraparenchymal blue dye injection optimize sentinel node identification in breast cancer patients. Ann Surg Oncol 1999;6:450-4.
- 3. Khoury-Collado F, Murray MP, Hensley ML, Sonoda Y, Alektiar KM, Levine DA, et al. Sentinel lymph node mapping for endometrial cancer improves the detection of metastatic disease to regional lymph nodes. Gynecol Oncol 2011;122:251-4.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Rossi EC, Jackson A, Ivanova A, Boggess JF. Detection of sentinel nodes for endometrial cancer with robotic assisted fluorescence imaging: cervical versus hysteroscopic injection. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2013;23:1704-11.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ercoli A, Delmas V, Iannone V, Fagotti A, Fanfani F, Corrado G, et al. The lymphatic drainage of the uterine cervix in adult fresh cadavers: anatomy and surgical implications. Eur J Surg Oncol 2010;36:298-303.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Barlin JN, Khoury-Collado F, Kim CH, Leitao MM, Chi DS, Sonoda Y, et al. The importance of applying a sentinel lymph node mapping algorithm in endometrial cancer staging: beyond removal of blue nodes. Gynecol Oncol 2012;125:531-5.
 PUBMED CROSSREF
- Abu-Rustum NR, Gomez JD, Alektiar KM, Soslow RA, Hensley ML, Leitao MM, et al. The incidence of isolated paraaortic nodal metastasis in surgically staged endometrial cancer patients with negative pelvic lymph nodes. Gynecol Oncol 2009;115:236-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 8. Signorelli M, Crivellaro C, Buda A, Guerra L, Fruscio R, Elisei F, et al. Staging of high-risk endometrial cancer with PET/CT and sentinel lymph node mapping. Clin Nucl Med 2015;40:780-5.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Creasman WT, Morrow CP, Bundy BN, Homesley HD, Graham JE, Heller PB. Surgical pathologic spread patterns of endometrial cancer. A Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. Cancer 1987;60(8 Suppl):2035-41.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Morrow CP, Bundy BN, Kurman RJ, Creasman WT, Heller P, Homesley HD, et al. Relationship between surgical-pathological risk factors and outcome in clinical stage I and II carcinoma of the endometrium: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. Gynecol Oncol 1991;40:55-65.
- Ayhan A, Tuncer ZS, Tuncer R, Yüce K, Küçükali T. Tumor status of lymph nodes in early endometrial cancer in relation to lymph node size. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1995;60:61-3.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF



- Onda T, Yoshikawa H, Mizutani K, Mishima M, Yokota H, Nagano H, et al. Treatment of node-positive endometrial cancer with complete node dissection, chemotherapy and radiation therapy. Br J Cancer 1997;75:1836-41.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hirahatake K, Hareyama H, Sakuragi N, Nishiya M, Makinoda S, Fujimoto S. A clinical and pathologic study on para-aortic lymph node metastasis in endometrial carcinoma. J Surg Oncol 1997;65:82-7.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Mariani A, Keeney GL, Aletti G, Webb MJ, Haddock MG, Podratz KC. Endometrial carcinoma: paraaortic dissemination. Gynecol Oncol 2004;92:833-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Nomura H, Aoki D, Suzuki N, Susumu N, Suzuki A, Tamada Y, et al. Analysis of clinicopathologic factors predicting para-aortic lymph node metastasis in endometrial cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2006;16:799-804.
- Tanaka H, Sato H, Miura H, Sato N, Fujimoto T, Konishi Y, et al. Can we omit para-aorta lymph node dissection in endometrial cancer? Jpn J Clin Oncol 2006;36:578-81.
- Mariani A, Dowdy SC, Cliby WA, Gostout BS, Jones MB, Wilson TO, et al. Prospective assessment of lymphatic dissemination in endometrial cancer: a paradigm shift in surgical staging. Gynecol Oncol 2008;109:11-8.
 PUBMED CROSSREF
- Hoekstra AV, Kim RJ, Small W, Rademaker AW, Helenowski IB, Singh DK, et al. FIGO stage IIIC endometrial carcinoma: prognostic factors and outcomes. Gynecol Oncol 2009;114:273-8.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Lee KB, Ki KD, Lee JM, Lee JK, Kim JW, Cho CH, et al. The risk of lymph node metastasis based on myometrial invasion and tumor grade in endometrioid uterine cancers: a multicenter, retrospective Korean study. Ann Surg Oncol 2009;16:2882-7.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 20. Fujimoto T, Nanjyo H, Fukuda J, Nakamura A, Mizunuma H, Yaegashi N, et al. Endometrioid uterine cancer: histopathological risk factors of local and distant recurrence. Gynecol Oncol 2009;112:342-7.
- 21. Chiang AJ, Yu KJ, Chao KC, Teng NN. The incidence of isolated para-aortic nodal metastasis incompletely staged endometrial cancer patients. Gynecol Oncol 2011;121:112-5.
- 22. Dogan NU, Gungor T, Karsli F, Ozgu E, Besli M. To what extent should para-aortic lymphadenectomy be carried out for surgically staged endometrial cancer? Int J Gynecol Cancer 2012;22:607-10.
- Milam MR, Java J, Walker JL, Metzinger DS, Parker LP, Coleman RL, et al. Nodal metastasis risk in endometrioid endometrial cancer. Obstet Gynecol 2012;119:286-92.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Mariani A, Webb MJ, Keeney GL, Podratz KC. Routes of lymphatic spread: a study of 112 consecutive patients with endometrial cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2001;81:100-4.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) version 2.2015 [Internet]. Fort Washington, PA: NCCN; c2015 [cited 2015 Dec 2]. Available from: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/drug_compendium/content/changes_archive.asp?Panel_ID=40.