

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Mbaga DS, Kenmoe S, Kengne-Ndé C, Ebogo-Belobo JT, Mahamat G, Foe-Essomba JR, et al. (2022) Hepatitis B, C and D virus infections and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in Africa: A metaanalysis including sensitivity analyses for studies comparable for confounders. PLoS ONE 17(1): e0262903. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0262903

Editor: Do Young Kim, Yonsei University College of Medicine, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Received: March 20, 2021

Accepted: January 9, 2022

Published: January 21, 2022

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262903

Copyright: © 2022 Mbaga et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Hepatitis B, C and D virus infections and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in Africa: A metaanalysis including sensitivity analyses for studies comparable for confounders

Donatien Serge Mbaga^{1*}, Sebastien Kenmoe^{2*}, Cyprien Kengne-Ndé³, Jean Thierry Ebogo-Belobo⁴, Gadji Mahamat¹, Joseph Rodrigue Foe-Essomba⁵, Marie Amougou-Atsama⁶, Serges Tchatchouang⁷, Inès Nyebe¹, Alfloditte Flore Feudjio⁸, Ginette Irma Kame-Ngasse⁴, Jeannette Nina Magoudjou-Pekam⁸, Lorraine K. M. Fokou⁸, Dowbiss Meta-Djomsi⁶, Martin Maïdadi-Foudi⁶, Sabine Aimee Touangnou-Chamda¹, Audrey Gaelle Daha-Tchoffo⁹, Abdel Aziz Selly-Ngaloumo⁸, Rachel Audrey Nayang-Mundo¹⁰, Jacqueline Félicité Yéngué¹¹, Jean Bosco Taya-Fokou¹, Raoul Kenfack-Momo⁸, Efietngab Atembeh Noura⁴, Cynthia Paola Demeni Emoh¹, Hervé Raoul Tazokong¹, Arnol Bowo-Ngandji¹, Carole Stéphanie Sake¹, Etienne Atenguena Okobalemba¹², Jacky Njiki Bikoi¹, Richard Njouom², Sara Honorine Riwom Essama¹

 Department of Microbiology, The University of Yaounde I, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 2 Virology Department, Centre Pasteur of Cameroon, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 3 Evaluation and Research Unit, National AIDS Control Committee, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 4 Medical Research Centre, Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 5 Department of Mycobacteriology, Centre Pasteur of Cameroon, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 6 Centre de Recherche sur les Maladies Émergentes et Re-Emergentes, Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 7 Bacteriology Department, Centre Pasteur of Cameroon, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 8 Department of Biochemistry, The University of Yaounde I, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 9 Department of Medical Biochemistry, The University of Yaounde I, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 10 Department of Microbiology, Protestant University of Central Africa, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 11 Department of Animals Biology and Physiology, The University of Yaounde I, Yaoundé, Cameroon,

* mbaga2015.mds@gmail.com (DSM); sebastien.kenmoe@ubuea.cm (SK)

Abstract

Introduction

Africa denotes unique facies for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) characterized by a conjunction of low sensitization, restricted access to diagnosis and treatment and associated with the highest incidence and mortality in the world. We investigated whether hepatitis B (HBV), C (HCV) and D (VHD) viruses were etiological agents of HCC in Africa.

Methods

Relevant articles were searched in PubMed, Web of Science, African Index Medicus, and African Journal Online databases, as well as manual searches in relevant reviews and included articles. Analytical studies from Africa evaluating the association between HCC development and HBV, HCV, and HDV were included. Relevant studies were selected, data extracted, and the risk of bias assessed independently by at least 2 investigators. The association was estimated using odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence interval (95% CI)

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This project is part of the EDCTP2 programme supported by the European Union under grant agreement TMA2019PF-2705. "The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript."

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

determined by a random-effects model. Sources of heterogeneity were determined by subgroup analyses.

Results

A total of 36 case-control studies were included. With controls having non-hepatic disease, the overall results suggested a significantly increased risk of HCC in patients with HBV (HBeAg (OR = 19.9; 95% CI = [3.7–105.2]), HBsAg (OR = 9.9; 95%) CI = [6.2–15.6]) and DNA (OR = 8.9; 95% CI = [5.9–13.4]); HCV (Anti-HCV (OR = 9.4; 95% CI = [6.3–14.0]) and RNA (OR = 16.5; 95% CI = [7.8–34.6]); HDV (Anti-VHD, (OR = 25.8; 95% CI = [5.9–112.2]); and HBV/HCV coinfections (HBV DNA/HCV RNA (OR = 22.5; 95% CI = [1.3–387.8]). With apparently healthy controls, the overall results suggested a significantly increased risk of HCC in patients with HBV (HBsAg, (OR = 8.9; 95% CI = [6.0–13.0]); HCV (Anti-HCV, (OR = 7.7; 95% CI = [5.6–10.6]); and HBV/HCV coinfections (HBsAg/Anti-HCV (OR = 7.8; 95% CI = [4.4–13.6]) Substantial heterogeneity and the absence of publication bias were recorded for these results.

Conclusions

In Africa, HBV/HCV coinfections and HBV, HCV, and HDV infections are associated with an increased risk of developing HCC. The implementation of large-scale longitudinal and prospective studies including healthy participants to search for early biomarkers of the risk of progression to HCC is urgently needed.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common cancer and the third leading cause of death due to malignancy worldwide [1]. HCC incidence is continuing increasing with more than 900 thousand new cases and as many deaths recorded worldwide in 2020 [2]. HCC rates vary widely across the world with the greatest burden reported in Southeast Asia, East Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Almost 80% of the morbidity and mortality due to HCC is attributed to developing countries [3].

Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) and Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) are the main etiologic agents of HCC [4, 5]. More than half of the HCC cases are attributable to HBV while about 25% are attributable to HCV. Controversial results have been reported on the increased risk of HBV/HCV coinfections compared to HBV or HCV monoinfections [6–9]. The role of Hepatitis D Virus (HDV) and occult hepatitis B in the development of HCC has also been demonstrated [10, 11]. The etiological factors of HCC vary considerably across regions of the world and indicate a strong disparity in the distribution of incidences of HCC [12]. The areas of high HBV prevalence are also those with the highest rates of HCC. Africa, which is a region with a high endemicity for HBV, thus has the highest incidences of HCC with more than 15 incident cases per 100 thousand inhabitants [13–16]. Key reviews, which included very few African studies, reported the importance of genotyping and mutations of interleukin-6 and HBV as biomarkers for early identification of the risk of progression to HCC [17–19]. Africa is a unique region plagued by limited awareness, late diagnosis, and limited access to care and treatment for HCC [20]. The critical burden of HCC in Africa is mostly related to late diagnosis and limited access to treatment [21–23]. A precise synthesis on the roles of the main HCC viral etiological factors

(HBV, HCV, and HDV) would be important to enlighten health decision makers on preventive and early diagnosis methods specific for the African region.

We conducted a systematic review to determine whether HBV, HCV, HDV infections and HBV/HCV coinfections are associated with an increased risk of developing HCC in Africa.

Methods

Literature search

Electronic literature search was performed for studies published from databases inception through February 2020 and updated in March 2021. Searches were conducted in Pubmed, Web of Science, African Index Medicus, and African Journal Online. The strategy included the keyword combination for exposure (HBV, HCV and HDV), outcome (HCC) and context (Africa) (S1 Table). Additional potentially relevant studies were searched manually from the reference list of included articles and relevant reviews. The protocol for this review was declared in the international PROSPERO database (CRD42020181381) and complied with the PRISMA guidelines (S2 Table).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Regardless of antiviral therapy status, comparative studies (clinical trials, cohort, and case control) examining the relationship between infection with HBV, HCV, HDV, and HBV/HCV coinfections and the risk of developing HCC were considered relevant for this review. Only studies in French or English conducted in Africa were considered. We considered all types of HCC diagnosed by clinical, histological, biochemical, and radiological approaches. The controls were apparently healthy people, people with non-hepatic diseases, people with liver cirrhosis, and people with hepatic diseases other than liver cirrhosis (other liver diseases). All the detection techniques for HBV (HBsAg, HBeAg and HBV DNA), HCV (anti-HCV antibodies and HCV RNA) and HDV (anti-HDV antibodies, AgHDV and HDV RNA) infection markers were considered. Studies excluded were those without control groups, with participant selection bias, with no full text and/or abstracts available, conducted outside of Africa, duplicates, case reports, and reviews.

Selection, data extraction and risk of bias assessment of included studies

Studies were selected on the basis of a title/abstract screening according to the study inclusion criteria. Full texts of selected studies were reviewed to validate eligibility and data extracted from included studies. Data retrieved were name of first author, year of publication, study design, sampling approach, timing of testing for hepatitis virus infection (retrospective/prospective), country, UNSD region, country income level, period of recruitment of study participants, study context (rural/urban and community/hospital). We also collected inclusion criteria of participants, the definition of HCC, the inclusion criteria for controls, socio-demographic confounding factors, other non-viral confounding factors known to be associated with the risk of HCC, biochemical parameters of liver, type of hepatic virus (HBV, HCV or HDV), the hepatitis virus detection method, the marker searched for the detection of hepatitis virus, the number of participants and controls and the number of exposed and unexposed subjects. Data for the assessment of individual risk of bias of studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa method (S3 Table). Discussion and consensus were used to resolve issues in the event of disagreements between investigators.

Statistical analysis

The parameters used to estimate the association between viral hepatitis and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma were odds ratios (OR) and the corresponding to 95% confidence intervals determined by random effect meta-analysis [24]. Quantitative analysis was performed using the packages "meta" and "metafor" of R software version 4.0.3 software [25, 26]. Heterogeneity was assessed for each analysis using Cochrane's Q-test and I² measurement [27]. P value ≤ 0.10 or I² $\geq 50\%$ indicates significant heterogeneity. The publication bias was examined by the Egger test and funnel plot, and P value ≤ 0.10 indicate the presence of a publication bias [28]. Sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the validity and reliability of overall results on studies with low risk of bias and those comparable for confounding factors [29].

Results

Study selection

The article selection process is presented in Fig 1. A total of 5469 articles were identified from our electronic literature search and 21 manually from the reviewed bibliography. We excluded 1299 duplicates, 4076 based on title and abstract screening, and 79 for various reasons including wrong study population or design and unavailable article full texts (S4 Table). We thus had a total of 36 studies (114 effect data) that fit the inclusion criteria for this review [30–65].

Included studies characteristics

Bias assessment scores ranged from 3 to 9 and the median was 8 [IQR = 6-8]. Out of the 114-effect data, 75 (65.7%) were of low risk of bias, 37 (32.4%) of moderate risk of bias and 2 (1.7) of high risk of bias. The risk of bias of the effect data is shown in S5 Table. Participants in the included studies were recruited between 1981 and 2015 and studies published between 1975 and 2020 (S6 Table). All effect data included in this meta-analysis had a casecontrol design and the majority had non-probability sampling (105/114). No study was representative of a national population. Collection of data on hepatitis status was prospective in half of the effect data (56/114). Most of the effect data came from West Africa (52/ 114) and North Africa (27/114). Most of the included effects data were from The Gambia (19/114), Egypt (18/114) and South Africa (14/114). Half of the effect data came from lowmiddle income countries (57/114). None of the included study authors stated that the study was conducted in a rural area and most were in a hospital setting (89/114). Controls in effect data were predominantly people with non-hepatic disease, mostly matched for age and gender. Sixty-two effect data were for HBV, 35 for HCV, 12 for HDV and 5 for HBV/ HCV coinfection. The majority of the effect data used multiple HCC diagnostic approach including clinical, biochemical, radiological and histological methods (79/114). More than half of the effect data had confirmed HCC histologically (70/114). The most widely used hepatitis virus detection techniques were radioimmunoassay (26/114), indirect (24/114) and direct (19/114) Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay, and Enzyme immunoassay (16/114). The HBV (HBeAg, HBsAg and DNA), HCV (Anti-HCV and RNA) and HDV (HDV Ag, Anti HDV and RNA) markers studies used 4 types of controls including apparently healthy controls, controls with non-hepatic diseases, controls with cirrhosis of the liver and controls with other liver diseases. The most represented markers were HBsAg (48/114), Anti-HCV (33/114) and Ag Delta (8/114) for HBV, HCV and HDV respectively. The primary characteristics of the endpoint data are presented in <u>S7 Table</u>.





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262903.g001

Meta-analysis Hepatitis B Virus

HBeAg (OR = 19.9; 95% CI = [3.7-105.2]), HBsAg (OR = 9.9; 95% CI = [6.2-15.6]) and DNA (OR = 8.9; 95% CI = [5.9-13.4]) for HBV were associated with an increased risk of developing HCC with controls with non-hepatic disease (Fig 2). HBsAg was further associated with an

┙[┥]┽┽╎┽[╵]┽┼┼[╵]

Study or	HCC (+) Total	HCC (-) Total	Weight	OR [95%	S CII	
HBV_HBeAg Positive_Healthy contro	Is	Total	Lvento	iotai	weight	010[007		
Coursaget, 1978 Cronberg, 1984	1	103 175	1	100 50	0.9%	0.97 [0.06; 0.56 [0.13;	15.73] 2.31]	_
Kirk, 2005	21	186	2	348	1.5%	22.02 [5.10;	95.02]	
Ola, 2012 Random effect meta-analysis	5	41	0	45	0.8%	13.71 [0.73;	256.19] 19 61]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1.9631$; $Chi^2 = 14.14$,	df = 3 (P	= 0.002	27); I ² = 7	B.8% [4	13.1%; 92.	.1%]	15.01]	
HBV HBear Positive Liver cirrhosis								
Coursaget, 1978	1	103	6	76	1.1%	0.11 [0.01;	0.97]	
Kirk, 2005	21	186	14	94	1.9%	0.73 [0.35;	1.50]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = < 0.0001$; $Chi^2 = 2.58$. df = 1 (P	= 0.10	(85): I ² = 6	1.2%	3.0% 0.0%; 91	0.60 [0.30;	1.20]	
HBV_HBeAg Positive_Non-hepatic d Dhifallah, 2020	iseases 6	73	0	70	0.8%	13.58 [0.75:	245.72]	
Tswana, 1992	20	102	1	100	1.2%	24.15 [3.17;	183.77]	
Random effect meta-analysis Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$: $Chi^2 = 0.1$ df = 1.0	P = 0 7/97	175	0%	170	2.0%	19.98 [3.79;	105.27]	
Therefogenergy, fau = 0, chill = 0.1, ul = 1 (= 0.7437	<i>,,</i>	070					
HBV_HBeAg Positive_Other liver dise	orders	175	4	83	1.6%	0 70 [0 19	2 561	_
Marchio, 2018	31	195	39	263	1.9%	1.09 [0.65;	1.81]	
Random effect meta-analysis	0 0 5 2 5	370	00/	346	3.5%	1.02 [0.64;	1.65]	
Heterogeneity: Tau" = 0; Chi" = 0.38, df = 1	(P = 0.53)	9); 1- =	= 0%					
HBV_HBsAg Positive_Healthy contro	ls							
Chin'ombe, 2009	21 29	36 60	0	70 30	0.8% 1.6%	195.58 [11.23] 6.08 [1.89:	3405.78] 19.551	
Coursaget, 1978	70	103	12	100	1.8%	15.56 [7.49;	32.33]	
Coursaget, 1992 Cropherg, 1984	22	49 175	52	134	1.9%	1.28 [0.66;	2.49]	
Hassan, 2001	5	33	1	35	1.1%	6.07 [0.67;	55.04]	
Kew, 1979	178	289	24	213	1.9%	12.63 [7.76;	20.54]	
Kirk, 2005	184	380 186	9 47	152 348	2.0%	5.75 [3.77:	8.76]	
Larouzé, 1977	10	21	2	38	1.4%	16.36 [3.11;	86.20]	
Mahale, 2019 Mboto, 2005	250	431	80	470	2.0%	6.73 [4.95;	9.16]	
Mets, 1993	12	26	5	54	1.6%	8.40 [2.53;	27.90]	
Montaser, 2007	15	32	0	10	0.8%	18.60 [1.00;	344.26]	
Ola, 2012	23	42	21	450	0.8%	24.73 [11.69]	2707.441	
Omer, 2001	49	115	14	199	1.9%	9.81 [5.09;	18.93]	
Soliman, 2010 Random effect meta-analysis	132	148 2180	74	150 2587	1.9% 28.7%	8.47 [4.61; 8 91 [6 08;	15.59] 13 05]	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.4039$; $Chi^2 = 63.67$,	df = 17 (F	P < 0.00	001); I ² = ⁻	73.3%	[57.4%; 8	3.3%]	10.00]	
HBV HBsAg Desitive Liver simbasis								
Cenac, 1987	21	29	38	55	1.7%	1.17 [0.43;	3.18]	
Coursaget, 1978	70	103	62	76	1.9%	0.48 [0.23;	0.98]	-
Kirk, 2005	88	49 186	40	48 94	1.8%	1.98 [0.85; 1.21 [0.74;	2.00]	
Mahale, 2019	183	312	67	119	2.0%	1.10 [0.72;	1.69]	
Mets, 1993 Nishioka, 1975	23	42	13	18	1.7%	4.35 [1.64; 0.47 [0.14;	11.52]	_
Random effect meta-analysis		747		489	12.6%	1.17 [0.74;	1.84]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.2210; Chi ² = 16.85,	df = 6 (P	= 0.00	98); I ² = 6	4.4% [19.7%; 84	2%]		
HBV_HBsAg Positive_Non-hepatic d	iseases							
Amr, 2014 Babri, 2011	85 29	132	190	669 250	2.0%	4.56 [3.07;	6.76] 12.51]	
Cenac, 1987	21	29	1	46	1.1%	118.13 [13.86]	1006.42]	
Dhifallah, 2020	17	73	6	70	1.7%	3.24 [1.19;	8.78]	
Jaquet, 2018	34	40	7	80	1.6%	59.10 [18.45;	189.24]	
Jaquet, 2018	30	44	7	88	1.7%	24.80 [9.13;	67.36]	
Jaquet, 2018 Kew. 1986	48 54	124	2	152	1.9%	13.61 [6.85; 23.14 [5.41;	27.05] 98.94]	
Larouzé, 1977	31	39	6	53	1.6%	30.35 [9.60;	96.01]	
Lightfoot, 1997 Mandishona, 1998	160	167	148	167	1.8%	2.93 [1.20;	7.18]	
Marchio, 2018	115	195	5	40	1.7%	12.65 [4.81;	33.30]	
Mohamed, 1992	33	101	5	101	1.7%	9.32 [3.46;	25.09]	
Olubuyide, 1997 Skelton, 2000	38 74	64 148	32	64 148	1.9%	1.46 [0.73;	2.94]	
Tabor, 1977	12	19	3	40	1.5%	21.14 [4.71;	94.86]	
Tabor, 1977	22	47	3	50	1.6%	13.79 [3.76;	50.60]	
Random effect meta-analysis	102	1904		2473	32.2%	9.91 [6.28;	15.63]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.7564; Chi ² = 84.92,	df = 18 (F	P < 0.00	001); I ² = 1	78.8%	[67.5%; 8	6.2%]		
HBV_HBsAg Positive_Other liver dise	orders							
Cenac, 1987	21	29	12	28	1.7%	3.50 [1.16;	10.58]	
Cronberg, 1984 Marchio, 2018	119	1/5	45 164	263	2.0%	1.79 [1.05; 0.87 [0.59;	3.07]	
Montaser, 2007	15	32	5	15	1.6%	1.76 [0.49;	6.34]	
Random effect meta-analysis Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0 1291: Chi ² - 8.9 df	= 3 (P = 0	431	: 1 ² = 66 3	389 %[1]	7.1% 3%: 88.5%	1.48 [0.89;	2.45]	
					,			
HBV_DNA_Liver cirrhosis		100	10	70	1 00/	0.9410.49	1.651	
Random effect meta-analysis	33	198	15	78 78	1.9%	0.84 [0.43;	1.65]	
Heterogeneity: not applicable								
HBV_DNA_Non-hepatic diseases								
Gouas, 2012	33	198	2	325	1.5%	32.30 [7.66;	136.27]	
мак, 2018 Schiefelbein, 2012	80 15	150 148	56 0	438 114	2.0%	7.80 [5.09; 26.59 [1.57	11.94]	
Random effect meta-analysis	15	496	0	877	4.3%	8.94 [5.97;	13.40]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0; Chi ² = 4.03, df = 2	(P = 0.133	86); I ² =	= 50.3% [0.0%; {	85.6%]			

0.001 0.1 1 10 1000

Fig 2. Association between Hepatitis B Virus infection and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in Africa.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262903.g002

increased risk of developing HCC in apparently healthy controls (OR = 8.9; 95% CI = [6.0-13.0]). No significant difference was observed for HBeAg with apparently healthy controls (OR = 3.4; 95% CI = [0.6-19.6]), controls with liver cirrhosis (OR = 0.6; 95% CI = [0.3-1.2]), and controls with other liver diseases (OR = 1.0; 95% CI = [0.6-1.6]). No significant difference was observed for HBsAg with controls with liver cirrhosis (OR = 1.1; 95% CI = [0.7-1.8]) and controls with other liver diseases (OR = 1.4; 95% CI = [0.8-2.4]). No significant difference was observed for HBV DNA in a study of control with liver cirrhosis (OR = 0.8; 95% CI = [0.4-1.6]). For categories with 3 or more effect data, heterogeneity was significant (I2> 50%) for all analyses according to the types of HBV marker and controls.

Meta-analysis Hepatitis C Virus

Anti-HCV (OR = 9.4; 95% CI = [6.3-14.0]) and RNA (OR = 16.5; 95% CI = [7.8-34.6]) of HCV were associated with increased risk of developing HCC with controls with non-hepatic disease (Fig 3). Anti-HCV was also associated with an increased risk of developing HCC in apparently healthy controls (OR = 7.7; 95% CI = [5.6-10.6]). There were no studies of HCV RNA with apparently healthy individuals, controls with cirrhosis of the liver, and those with other liver diseases. No significant difference was observed for anti-HCV with controls with liver cirrhosis (OR = 1.9; 95% CI = [0.9-3.8]) and controls with other liver diseases (OR = 1.1; 95% CI = [0.7-1.7]). For categories with 3 or more outcome data, with the exception of anti-HCV with apparently healthy controls, heterogeneity was significant (I² > 50%) for the rest of the analyzes by type of marker HCV and controls.

Meta-analysis Hepatitis B Virus/Hepatitis C Virus co-infections

HBV DNA/HCV RNA (OR = 22.5; 95% CI = [1.3-387.8]) of HBV/HCV coinfection were associated with an increased risk of developing HCC with controls with non-hepatic disease (Fig 4). HBsAg/anti-HCV (OR = 7.8; 95% CI = [4.4-13.6]) of HBV/HCV coinfection were associated with an increased risk of developing HCC with apparently healthy controls. No significant difference was observed for HBsAg/anti-HCV with controls with non-hepatic diseases (OR = 1.8; 95% CI = [0.5-6.6]). Only one category of analyzes on HBV/HCV coinfection had 3 or more effect data and showed no heterogeneity in the estimation of the association between HBsAg/anti-HCV and HCC with apparently healthy controls.

Meta-analysis Hepatitis D Virus

No positive HDV antigen was found in two studies and no meta-analysis was possible. Most of the categories analyzed had less than 3 studies and it is therefore difficult to draw conclusions on the results obtained. Anti-HDV was associated with a risk of developing HCC with controls with non-hepatic disease (OR = 25.8; 95% CI = [5.9-112.2], 3 studies) (Fig 5). Only one category of HDV assays had 3 or more effect data and showed no heterogeneity in the estimation of the association between anti-HDV and HCC with controls with non-hepatic disease.

Publication bias and sensitivity analysis

Egger's linear regression test found no evidence of significant publication bias for any analysis category with at least 3 effect data (p > 0.005; Table 1). This result was confirmed by funnel plots which showed no asymmetry (S1–S11 Figs). We performed a sensitivity analysis for categories with 3 or more outcome data by selecting only studies with low risk of bias and studies comparable for confounding factors identified in the included studies. S8 and S9 Tables present the distribution between cases and controls for covariates known to be associated with the

Subgroup Events Total Events HCV_Anti-VHC_Healthy controls Events Total Events Chin'ombe, 2009 12 60 6 49 Hassan, 2001 25 33 Kew, 1990 110 380 Kirk, 2005 35 186 Mahale, 2019 53 382 Mboto, 2005 1 13 13 Mets, 1993 24 26 Montaser, 2007 22 32 Ola, 2012 18 41 Omer, 2001 13 115 Soliman, 2010 136 148 Random effect meta-analysis 1465 1465 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455) 100/// 455)	$rac{1}{2}$ rac	Total M 30 134 35 152 348 443 443 39 54 10 45 199 150 1639 1639 5 % [0.09 48 89 106 79 106	Veight 1.1% 2.1% 3.0% 1.7% 3.6% 3.8% 0.9% 2.3% 1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	OR [95% CI] 15.72 [0.90; 275.28] 9.21 [1.79; 47.33] 4.17 [1.47; 11.79] 61.52 [8.50; 445.07] 7.83 [3.78; 16.23] 4.94 [2.69; 9.05] 9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
HCV_Anti-VHC_Healthy controls Chin'ombe, 2009 12 60 Coursaget, 1992 6 49 Hassan, 2001 25 33 Kew, 1990 110 380 Kirk, 2005 35 186 Mahale, 2019 53 382 Mboto, 2005 1 13 Mets, 1993 24 26 Montaser, 2007 22 32 Ola, 2012 18 41 Omer, 2001 13 115 Soliman, 2010 136 148 Random effect meta-analysis 1465 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 15 \\ 1 \\ 10 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 74 \\ 1 $	30 134 35 152 348 443 39 54 10 45 199 150 6 639 % [0.09 48 89 106 79	1.1% 2.1% 3.0% 1.7% 3.6% 3.8% 0.9% 2.3% 1.0% 2.3% 3.7% 28.7% 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	15.72 [0.90; 275.28] 9.21 [1.79; 47.33] 4.17 [1.47; 11.79] 61.52 [8.50; 445.07] 7.83 [3.78; 16.23] 4.94 [2.69; 9.05] 9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Chin'ombe, 20091260Coursaget, 1992649Hassan, 20012533Kew, 1990110380Kirk, 200535186Mahale, 201953382Mboto, 2005113Mets, 19932426Montaser, 20072232Ola, 20121841Omer, 200113115Soliman, 2010136148Random effect meta-analysis1465Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)HOY, Apti, VIIO, Liver circhools	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 15 \\ 1 \\ 10 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 74 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 75 \\ 7 \\ 75 \\ 1^2 = 52.3^4 \end{array}$	30 134 35 152 348 443 39 54 10 45 199 150 1639 % [0.09 48 89 106 79	1.1% 2.1% 3.0% 1.7% 3.6% 2.3% 1.0% 2.3% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	15.72 [0.90; 275.28] 9.21 [1.79; 47.33] 4.17 [1.47; 11.79] 61.52 [8.50; 445.07] 7.83 [3.78; 16.23] 4.94 [2.69; 9.05] 9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Coursaget, 1992649Hassan, 20012533Kew, 1990110380Kirk, 200535186Mahale, 201953382Mboto, 2005113Mets, 19932426Montaser, 20072232Ola, 20121841Omer, 200113115Soliman, 2010136148Random effect meta-analysis1465Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)HOXApti, MLC	2 15 1 10 14 0 31 0 5 3 74 1 (); $ ^2 = 0$? 7 5 7 75 $ ^2 = 52.3^{\circ}$	134 35 152 348 443 39 54 10 45 199 150 1639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	2.1% 3.0% 1.7% 3.6% 3.8% 0.9% 2.3% 1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% 58.2% 3.2% 3.2% 3.4%	9.21 [1.79; 47.33] 4.17 [1.47; 11.79] 61.52 [8.50; 445.07] 7.83 [3.78; 16.23] 4.94 [2.69; 9.05] 9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Hassan, 20012533Kew, 1990110380Kirk, 200535186Mahale, 201953382Mboto, 2005113Mets, 19932426Montaser, 20072232Ola, 20121841Omer, 200113115Soliman, 2010136148Random effect meta-analysis1465Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)HOY, Apti, MUC, Liver circhools	$15 \\ 1 \\ 10 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 74 $ $1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 75 \\ 7 \\ 75 \\ 1^2 = 52.3^4$	35 152 348 443 39 54 10 45 199 150 1639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	3.0% 1.7% 3.6% 3.8% 0.9% 2.3% 1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% %; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	4.17 [1.47; 11.79] 61.52 [8.50; 445.07] 7.83 [3.78; 16.23] 4.94 [2.69; 9.05] 9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Kew, 1990110 380 Kirk, 2005 35 186 Mahale, 2019 53 382 Mboto, 20051 13 Mets, 199324 26 Montaser, 200722 32 Ola, 201218 41 Omer, 200113 115 Soliman, 2010136 148 Random effect meta-analysis 1465 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)HOXApti, MLC	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 10 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 74 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7$	152 348 443 39 54 10 45 199 150 1639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	1.7% 3.6% 3.8% 0.9% 2.3% 1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% %; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	61.52 [8.50; 445.07] 7.83 [3.78; 16.23] 4.94 [2.69; 9.05] 9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Kirk, 200535186Mahale, 201953382Mboto, 2005113Mets, 19932426Montaser, 20072232Ola, 20121841Omer, 200113115Soliman, 2010136148Random effect meta-analysis1465Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)HOY, Anti, MUC, Liver circhools	10 14 0 31 0 5 3 74 1 5 7 75 $7^2 = 52.3^4$	348 443 39 54 10 45 199 150 [639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	3.6% 3.8% 0.9% 2.3% 1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% 6; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	7.83 [3.78; 16.23] 4.94 [2.69; 9.05] 9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6]
Mahale, 201953 382 Mboto, 2005113Mets, 19932426Montaser, 20072232Ola, 20121841Omer, 200113115Soliman, 2010136148Random effect meta-analysis1465Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)HOY, Apti, MLC, Liver circhools	14 0 31 0 5 3 74 1 2 5 7 75 $7^2 = 52.3^4$	443 39 54 10 45 199 150 [639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	3.8% 0.9% 2.3% 1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% 6; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	4.94 [2.69; 9.05] 9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Mboto, 2005113Mets, 19932426Montaser, 20072232Ola, 20121841Omer, 200113115Soliman, 2010136148Random effect meta-analysis1465Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)HOMApti, MLO, Liver circhoole	0 31 0 5 3 74 1 1 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	39 54 10 45 199 150 [639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	0.9% 2.3% 1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% 6; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	9.48 [0.36; 247.74] 8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Mets, 1993 24 26 Montaser, 2007 22 32 Ola, 2012 18 41 Omer, 2001 13 115 Soliman, 2010 136 148 Random effect meta-analysis 1465 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455) HOY, Apti, MUC, Liver circhools	$31 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 74 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 75 \\ 1^2 = 52.3^4$	54 10 45 199 150 1639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	2.3% 1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% %; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	8.90 [1.91; 41.53] 45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Montaser, 2007 22 32 Ola, 2012 18 41 Omer, 2001 13 115 Soliman, 2010 136 148 Random effect meta-analysis 1465 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455) HOY, April VIC, Liver circhools	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 74 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 75 \\ 1^2 = 52.3^4 \end{array}$	10 45 199 150 1639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	1.0% 2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% %; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	45.00 [2.40; 842.81] 6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Ola, 2012 18 41 Omer, 2001 13 115 Soliman, 2010 136 148 Random effect meta-analysis 1465 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455) HOY, Apti, MUC, Liver circhoolo	5 3 74 1); I ² = 09 7 5 7 75 1 ² = 52.3 ⁴	45 199 150 1639 3 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	2.9% 2.7% 3.7% 28.7% %; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	6.26 [2.05; 19.11] 8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Omer, 2001 13 115 Soliman, 2010 136 148 Random effect meta-analysis 1465 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455) HOY: Aptin VIIC Liver circlesis	3 74 1); I ² = 09 7 5 7 75 1 ² = 52.3 ⁴	199 150 1639 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	2.7% 3.7% 28.7% %; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	8.33 [2.32; 29.89] 11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Soliman, 2010 Random effect meta-analysis Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0110; Chi ² = 10.97, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)	74 1 5 7 75 1 ² = 09	150 1639 3 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	3.7% 28.7% %; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	11.64 [5.95; 22.78] 7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Random effect meta-analysis1465Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0110$; $Chi^2 = 10.97$, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)HCM: Aptic VLIC: Liver circlesic		1639 3 % [0.0% 48 89 106 79	28.7% %; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	7.77 [5.65; 10.68] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0110$; $Chi^2 = 10.97$, df = 11 (P = 0.4455)	i); I ² = 09 7 5 7 75 1 ² = 52.3 ⁴	% [0.0% 48 89 106 79	%; 58.2% 2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	6] 0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
UCV Anti VUC Liver circhesis	7 5 7 75 1 ² = 52.3 ⁴	48 89 106 79	2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
HUV ANU-VHU LIVER CITTIOSIS	7 5 7 75 1 ² = 52.3 ⁴	48 89 106 79	2.8% 3.2% 3.4%	0.82 [0.25; 2.64] 3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Coursaget, 1992 6 49	5 7 75 $1^2 = 52.3^{\circ}$	89 106 79	3.2% 3.4%	3.89 [1.47; 10.32]
Kirk, 2005 35 186	7 75 1 ² = 52.3	106 79	3.4%	
Mahale, 2019 46 276	75 $l^2 = 52.36$	79		2.83 1.23; 6.48
Mets. 1993 24 26	l ² = 52.30		2.0%	0.64 [0.11; 3.71]
Random effect meta-analysis 537	l ² = 52.39	322	11.4%	1.95 [0.99: 3.81]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.1530; Chi ² = 6.29, df = 3 (P = 0.0985); I		3% [0.09	%; 84.29	%]
HCV Anti VHC Non honotic dispasos				
Amr 2014 107 122	120	660	2 0%	17 02 [11 12: 20 02]
Rahri 2011 98 164	10	250	3.6%	35 64 [17 60: 72 15]
Dafili, 2011 98 104 Dhifallah 2020 40 73	6	20	3.0%	12 03 [4 07: 33 61]
Errat 2005 20/ 236	97	236	1.0%	$9.14[5.80 \cdot 14.38]$
Laguot 2018 9 40	37 1	230	4.078	22 04 [2 70, 188 67]
Jaquet 2018 9 40	6	88	2 0%	2 51 [1 16: 10 62]
Jaquet, 2018 9 44	0	00 152	2.9%	3.51[1.10, 10.02] 0.26[3.08, 22.01]
Lightfoot 1007	0	102	3.4 /0	9.30[3.90, 22.01]
Mak 2018 10 150	9 12	107	3.5%	0.07[3.14, 14.17]
Mandishana 1009 1 24	13	430	3.0%	4.74 [2.26; 9.60]
Mandishona, 1998 I 24	1	48	1.1%	2.04 [0.12; 34.16]
Marcino, 2018 47 195	ו ד	49	1.170	1 00 [0 00; 5 12]
Olubuyude, 1997 12 64 Schiefelbein, 2012 122 140	1	04 140	3.1% 2.70/	
Skelton 2000 40 140	13	140	3.1%	0.40 [4.0U; 13.01] 7 46 [2.22: 17.20]
Skellon, 2000 40 148	/	148	3.4%	7.40 [3.22; 17.30]
Tswana, 1992 99 182	4		3.0%	28.03 [10.10; 81.14]
Ranuom effect meta-analysis 1843 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.3723 ; Chi ² = 44.47, df = 14 (P < 0.0001)	2); I ² = 68	2 /0/ 8.5% [40	45.1% 6.2%; 8 ⁻	້ອ.40 [6.31; 14.01] 1.6%]
HUV_ANTI-VHU_OTHER liver disorders	50	000	4.001	
Iviarchio, 2018 47 195	59	263	4.0%	1.10[0.71; 1.70]
Montaser, 2007 22 32	8	15	2.1%	1.93 [0.55; 6.79]
Kandom effect meta-analysis 227	07	278	b ./%	1.17[0.77; 1.76]
meterogeneity: iau [−] = 0; ⊂ni [−] = 0.68, dt = 1 (P = 0.4094); l ⁺ = 0%	70			
HCV_RNA_Non-hepatic diseases				
Ezzat, 2005 191 236	69	236	4.0%	10.27 [6.69; 15.78]
Schiefelbein, 2012 120 148	14	114	3.6%	30.61 [15.29; 61.29]
Random effect meta-analysis 384		350	7.6%	16.50 [7.84; 34.69]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.2061; Chi ² = 6.88, df = 1 (P = 0.0087); \vec{P}	² = 85.5°	5% [41.3	3%; 96.4	9%]
				_

Fig 3. Association between Hepatitis C Virus infection and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in Africa.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262903.g003

٦

10 100

0.01 0.1

1

Study or	HCC (+	-)	HCC (-	-)							
Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	OR [95% CI]					
HBV_DNA/HCV_RNA_Non-hepatic d	iseases				-						
Schiefelbein, 2012	10	148	0	148	3.1%	22.52 [1.31; 387.88]			_	+	
Random effect meta-analysis		148		148	3.1%	22.52 [1.31; 387.88]			-		
Heterogeneity: not applicable											
HBV_HBsAg Positive/HCV_Anti-VHC	_Health	y cont	rols								
Chin'ombe, 2009	5	60	0	30	2.9%	6.05 [0.32; 113.05]			_	•	
Kew, 1990	47	380	0	152	3.2%	43.44 [2.66; 709.34]			-		•
Soliman, 2010	129	148	72	150	75.4%	7.36 [4.12; 13.12]					
Random effect meta-analysis		588		332	81.5%	7.84 [4.49; 13.67]				•	
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$; $Chi^2 = 1.52$, df = 2	(P = 0.46	75); I ² =	= 0% [0.0	%; 86.3	%]						
HBV_HBsAg Positive/HCV_Anti-VHC	_Non-h	epatic	disease	es							
Olubuyide, 1997	7	64	4	64	15.4%	1.84 [0.51; 6.63]			-	_	
Random effect meta-analysis		64		64	15.4%	1.84 [0.51; 6.63]			-	-	
Heterogeneity: not applicable											
							0.01	0.1	1	10	100
Fig 4. Association between Hepatitis B and C	Virus coi	infectio	n and risl	k of hev	atocellula	r carcinoma in Africa.					

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262903.g004

risk of HCC. The selection of these studies for sensitivity analysis did not change the overall trends (Table 1). A few exceptions were recorded for certain confounding factors represented by a single study.

Source of heterogeneity examination

In the additional sub-analysis, a study with data from HBeAg infections collected retrospectively (p = 0.001), conducted in The Gambia (p = 0.001), in a low-resource setting (p = 0.001), after the years 2000 (p < 0.001) using enzyme immunoassays for HBeAg detections (p = 0.001) had a significantly higher effect of the association between HBeAg and HCC with apparently healthy controls (OR = 22.0; 95% CI = [5.1-95.0]) (S10 Table) [46]. The association between HBsAg and HCC with apparently healthy controls was maintained in all categories of further sub-analysis. This effect, however, varied statistically significantly depending on the country (p = 0.005) and the method of hepatitis detection (p = 0.030). An increase of the effect size between HBsAg and HCC with apparently healthy controls depending on the country's income level (p = 0.021) was observed. No difference was observed in the subgroup analysis of the effect between HBsAg and HCC with controls with liver cirrhosis. With controls having non-hepatic diseases, the effect between HBsAg and HCC varied significantly by country (p <0.001), UNSD region (effect highest in West Africa, p = 0.001), level of country income (effect higher in low-income countries, p = 0.032) and hepatitis detection technique (effect higher with rapid diagnostic tests, p < 0.001). With controls with other hepatic diseases, the effect between HBsAg and HCC varied significantly depending on the timing of data collection on hepatitis virus infection (high effect in prospective studies, p = 0.005), the UNSD region (size of the high effect in West Africa, p = 0.021) and the year of publication (size of the high effect in articles published before 2000, p = 0.021). No difference was observed in the effect analysis subgroups between HBV DNA and HCC with controls with non-hepatic disease. No difference was observed in the subgroup analysis of the effect between anti-HCV and HCC with apparently healthy controls. With controls having liver cirrhosis, the effect between anti-

Study or	нс	C (+)	нс	C (-)								
Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	OR [95%	6 CI]					
HDV_Anti-delta Positive_Healthy	controls											
Mahale, 2019	27	250	2	80	10.3%	4.72 [1.10;	20.32]			—	-	
Random effect meta-analysis		250		80	10.3%	4.72 [1.10;	20.32]					
Heterogeneity: not applicable												
HDV_Anti-delta Positive_Liver ciri	hosis											
Cenac, 1987	14	29	23	55	13.3%	1.30 [0.53;	3.21]			-	-	
Mahale, 2019	18	183	9	67	13.5%	0.70 [0.30;	1.65]					
Random effect meta-analysis		212		122	26.8%	0.94 [0.50;	1.75]			+		
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$; $Chi^2 = 0.93$, df =	= 1 (P = 0.33	36); I ² =	= 0%			-	_					
HDV_Anti-delta Positive_Non-hep	atic diseas	es										
Cenac, 1987	14	29	1	46	7.4%	42.00 [5.09;	346.85]					-
Dhifallah, 2020	3	51	0	55	4.8%	8.01 [0.40;	158.99]					
Marchio, 2018	46	195	0	49	5.3%	30.79 [1.86;	508.99]			_		.
Random effect meta-analysis		275		150	17.5%	25.85 [5.95:	112.29					
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$; $Chi^2 = 0.81$, df =	= 2 (P = 0.66	75); I ² =	= 0% [0.09	%; 89.6	%]	•••••	•					
HDV_Anti-delta Positive_Other liv	er disorder	S										
Cenac, 1987	14	29	8	28	12.2%	2.33 [0.78;	6.991			-	<u> </u>	
Marchio, 2018	46	195	65	263	15.3%	0.94 [0.61:	1.45]					
Random effect meta-analysis		224		291	27.6%	1.06 [0.71:	1.591			-		
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = \langle 0.0001; Chi^2 = 2$.28, df = 1 (P	= 0.13	809); I ² = 5	56.2% [0.0%; 89.	4%]						
HDV RNA Healthy controls												
Mahale, 2019	17	250	0	80	5.2%	12.07 [0.72:	202.95]					
Random effect meta-analysis		250		80	5.2%	12.07 [0.72:	202.951					
Heterogeneity: not applicable												
HDV RNA Liver cirrhosis												
Mahale, 2019	11	183	6	67	12.6%	0.65 [0.23:	1.83]		-			
Random effect meta-analysis		183	0	67	12.6%	0.65 [0.23	1.83]					
Heterogeneity: not applicable		100			12.070	0.00 [0.20,	1.001					
house agenery. not applicable												
								0.01	0.1	1	10	100
								0.01	0.1		10	100

Fig 5. Association between Hepatitis D Virus infection and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in Africa.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262903.g005

HCV and HCC varied significantly depending on the timing of data collection for hepatitis virus infection (high effect size for retrospective studies, p = 0.014), country (highest effect size in Gambia, p = 0.049) and publication year (high effect size in articles published after 2000, p = 0.014). With controls having non-hepatic disease, the effect between anti-HCV and HCC varied significantly by country (p = 0.002) and UNSD region (highest effect size in East Africa, p = 0.006). No significant difference was observed in the analysis subgroups of the effect between anti-HDV and HCC with the controls with non-hepatic disease and HBV/HCV and HCC coinfection with the apparently healthy controls.

Discussion

This review shows a quantitative overview of the relative contribution of HBV, HCV and HDV in the development of HCC in 20 countries of the 5 African USND regions. As expected, HBV, HCV and HDV markers were important contributors to the development of HCC with

	OR (95%CI)	95% Prediction interval	N Studies	N HCC cases	N controls	H (95% CI)	I ² (95%CI)	P heterogeneity	P Egger test
HBV									
HBeAg									
Healthy controls									
Overall	3.5 [0.6– 19.6]	[0-4317]	4	505	543	2.2 [1.3– 3.6]	78.8 [43.1– 92.1]	0.003	0.329
Risk of bias	3.5 [0.6– 19.6]	[0-4317]	4	505	543	2.2 [1.3– 3.6]	78.8 [43.1– 92.1]	0.003	0.329
Male gender	3.4 [0.5– 25.7]	NA	2	144	145	1.3	39.4	0.199	NA
HBsAg									
Healthy controls									
Overall	8.9 [6.1– 13.1]	[2.2–36.4]	18	2180	2587	1.9 [1.5– 2.4]	73.3 [57.4– 83.3]	0	0.214
Risk of bias	8.9 [6.9– 11.5]	[4.7–16.7]	12	1934	1814	1.4 [1- 1.9]	46.3 [0-72.5]	0.039	0.142
Alcohol drinking	7.2 [5.5–9.5]	[1.2-43.1]	3	579	704	1 [1-2.3]	0 [0-80.3]	0.59	0.834
Anti-Schistosoma IgG	6.1 [0.7–55]	NA	1	33	35	NA	NA	1	NA
Cancer family history	8.5 [4.6– 15.6]	NA	1	148	150	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	11.2 [7.8– 15.9]	[7.2–17.3]	8	509	651	1.2 [1- 1.9]	35.5 [0-71.5]	0.145	0.387
Married	7.1 [5.4–9.3]	NA	2	579	620	1	0	0.509	NA
Oral contraceptive user	6.1 [0.7-55]	NA	1	33	35	NA	NA	1	NA
Smoke exposure	8.3 [4.6– 14.9]	NA	2	181	185	1	0	0.775	NA
Age	9.2 [5.2– 16.2]	NA	2	169	188	1	0	0.466	NA
Liver cirrhosis									
Overall	1.2 [0.7–1.8]	[0.3-4.5]	7	747	489	1.7 [1.1– 2.5]	64.4 [19.7– 84.2]	0.01	0.724
Risk of bias	1.1 [0.7–1.7]	NA	1	312	119	NA	NA	1	NA
Alcohol drinking	1.1 [0.7–1.7]	NA	1	312	119	NA	NA	1	NA
Earth floor house	1.1 [0.7–1.7]	NA	1	312	119	NA	NA	1	NA
Education (some)	1.1 [0.7–1.7]	NA	1	312	119	NA	NA	1	NA
Family history of cancer	1.1 [0.7–1.7]	NA	1	312	119	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	1.4 [0.3-6.3]	NA	2	129	155	3.6 [1.9– 6.6]	92.2 [73.4– 97.7]	0	NA
Married	1.1 [0.7–1.7]	NA	1	312	119	NA	NA	1	NA
Age	4.4 [1.6– 11.5]	NA	1	26	79	NA	NA	1	NA
Non-hepatic diseases									
Overall	9.9 [6.3– 15.6]	[1.5-66.2]	19	1904	2473	2.2 [1.8– 2.7]	78.8 [67.5– 86.2]	0	0.237
Risk of bias	9.7 [6.2– 15.4]	[1.6-60.5]	17	1802	2357	2.2 [1.7– 2.7]	78.9 [66.9– 86.6]	0	0.282
Alcohol drinking	18.2 [11.2– 29.4]	[6.2–53.4]	5	285	469	1.3 [1- 2.1]	40.6 [0-78.1]	0.151	0.577
Born in rural areas	2.4 [1-5.5]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Diabetes mellitus	12.7 [4.8-33.3]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA

Table 1. Hepatocellular carcinoma development in people with and without viral hepatitis B, C, and D infections in Africa and influence of confounders.

	OR (95%CI)	95% Prediction interval	N Studies	N HCC cases	N controls	H (95% CI)	I ² (95%CI)	P heterogeneity	P Egger test
Diet cassava consumption	12.7 [4.8– 33.3]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet groundnut consumption	12.7 [4.8– 33.3]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet maize consumption	12.7 [4.8– 33.3]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet rice consumption	12.7 [4.8– 33.3]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet sorghum consumption	12.7 [4.8– 33.3]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Education (some)	9.1 [3-27.7]	[0-5312239.1]	3	356	476	2.7 [1.6– 4.6]	86.5 [61.2– 95.3]	0.001	0.777
Ever helping in farming	2.4 [1-5.5]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Ever working in farming	2.4 [1-5.5]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
HIV coinfection	23 [12-44.2]	[0.1-9519.1]	3	160	320	1.5 [1- 2.9]	57.2 [0-87.8]	0.097	0.293
Iron overload	17.2 [4.9– 60.4]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	7.8 [4.2– 14.5]	[0.9-69.2]	12	1263	1196	2.1 [1.6– 2.8]	77.9 [61.7– 87.2]	0	0.217
Occupation, None	1.5 [0.7-2.9]	NA	1	64	64	NA	NA	1	NA
Other alcoholic beverages	17.2 [4.9– 60.4]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Pesticides at home	2.4 [1-5.5]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Presence of HGV	2.9 [1.2-7.2]	NA	1	167	167	NA	NA	1	NA
Previous blood transfusion	3.9 [1-15.1]	NA	2	246	164	3.9 [2.2– 7]	93.4 [78.5– 98]	0	NA
Rodenticides at field	2.4 [1-5.5]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Rodenticides at home	2.4 [1-5.5]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Smoke exposure	11.2 [4.7– 26.7]	[0.5-249.2]	5	648	618	2.3 [1.5– 3.5]	81 [55.5– 91.8]	0	0.849
Surgical antecedents	1.5 [0.7-2.9]	NA	1	64	64	NA	NA	1	NA
Tattoos-scarifications	3.7 [1.4–9.6]	[0-303110.2]	3	319	234	2.8 [1.6- 4.7]	86.9 [62.6– 95.4]	0	0.512
Use of intravenous drug	1.5 [0.7-2.9]	NA	1	64	64	NA	NA	1	NA
Aflatoxin B1-albumin adducts (pg_mg)	17.2 [4.9– 60.4]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Age	6.7 [2.6– 16.9]	[0.2–197.7]	5	425	436	2.7 [1.8– 4]	86.5 [70.7– 93.8]	0	0.774
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm_hr)	17.2 [4.9– 60.4]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Hemoglobin (g_dL)	17.2 [4.9– 60.4]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Transferrin saturation (%)	17.2 [4.9– 60.4]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Other liver disorders									
Overall	1.5 [0.9–2.5]	[0.2–9.9]	4	431	389	1.7 [1– 2.9]	66.3 [1.3- 88.5]	0.031	0.227
Risk of bias	1.8 [1-3.1]	NA	1	175	83	NA	NA	1	NA
Diabetes mellitus	0.9 [0.6–1.3]	NA	1	195	263	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet cassava consumption	0.9 [0.6–1.3]	NA	1	195	263	NA	NA	1	NA

	OR (95%CI)	95% Prediction interval	N Studies	N HCC cases	N controls	H (95% CI)	I ² (95%CI)	P heterogeneity	P Egger test
Diet maize consumption	0.9 [0.6-1.3]	NA	1	195	263	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet rice consumption	0.9 [0.6-1.3]	NA	1	195	263	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet sorghum consumption	0.9 [0.6-1.3]	NA	1	195	263	NA	NA	1	NA
Liver cirrhosis	1.8 [0.5-6.3]	NA	1	32	15	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	2.6 [1.1-6]	NA	2	61	43	1	0	0.427	NA
Non-BDC	0.9 [0.6–1.3]	NA	1	195	263	NA	NA	1	NA
Smoke exposure	0.9 [0.6–1.3]	NA	1	195	263	NA	NA	1	NA
DNA									
Non-hepatic diseases									
Overall	8.9 [6-13.4]	[0.7–123]	3	496	877	1.4 [1- 2.6]	50.3 [0-85.6]	0.134	0.802
Risk of bias	8.9 [6-13.4]	[0.7–123]	3	496	877	1.4 [1- 2.6]	50.3 [0-85.6]	0.134	0.802
Alcohol drinking	7.8 [5.1–	NA	1	150	438	NA	NA	1	NA
Diabetes mellitus	7.8 [5.1–11.9]	NA	1	150	438	NA	NA	1	NA
HIV coinfection	7.8 [5.1–11.9]	NA	1	150	438	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	8 [5.3-12.2]	NA	2	298	552	1	0	0.4	NA
Married	7.8 [5.1– 11.9]	NA	1	150	438	NA	NA	1	NA
Residence in rural area	8 [5.3-12.2]	NA	2	298	552	1	0	0.4	NA
Smoke exposure	7.8 [5.1– 11.9]	NA	1	150	438	NA	NA	1	NA
Age	7.8 [5.1–11.9]	NA	1	150	438	NA	NA	1	NA
HCV									
Anti-HCV									
Healthy controls									
Overall	7.8 [5.6– 10.7]	[5-12]	12	1465	1639	1 [1-1.5]	0 [0-58.2]	0.445	0.177
Risk of bias	8.2 [5.7– 11.9]	[4.4–15.1]	8	1297	1386	1.1 [1- 1.7]	24.4 [0-65.5]	0.235	0.128
Alcohol drinking	5.1 [3.2-8.3]	[0.2-118.7]	3	530	677	1 [1-1.9]	0 [0-71.1]	0.697	0.63
Anti-Schistosoma IgG	4.2 [1.5– 11.8]	NA	1	33	35	NA	NA	1	NA
Cancer family history	11.6 [5.9– 22.8]	NA	1	148	150	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	10 [6-16.7]	[4.4-23]	5	349	443	1 [1-1.5]	0 [0-57.7]	0.742	0.317
Married	7.4 [4.1–13.4]	NA	2	530	593	1.9 [1- 3.9]	71 [0–93.5]	0.063	NA
Oral contraceptive user	4.2 [1.5– 11.8]	NA	1	33	35	NA	NA	1	NA
Smoke exposure	8.4 [4.5– 15.6]	NA	2	181	185	1.6 [1- 3.4]	62.2 [0-91.3]	0.104	NA
Age	11.6 [5.9– 22.8]	NA	1	148	150	NA	NA	1	NA
Liver cirrhosis									

	OR (95%CI)	95% Prediction interval	N Studies	N HCC cases	N controls	H (95% CI)	I ² (95%CI)	P heterogeneity	P Egger test
Overall	1.9 [1–3.8]	[0.2–18.2]	4	537	322	1.4 [1- 2.5]	52.3 [0-84.2]	0.099	0.112
Risk of bias	2.8 [1.2-6.5]	NA	1	276	106	NA	NA	1	NA
Alcohol drinking	2.8 [1.2-6.5]	NA	1	276	106	NA	NA	1	NA
Earth floor house	2.8 [1.2-6.5]	NA	1	276	106	NA	NA	1	NA
Education (some)	2.8 [1.2-6.5]	NA	1	276	106	NA	NA	1	NA
Family history of cancer	2.8 [1.2-6.5]	NA	1	276	106	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	0.6 [0.1-3.7]	NA	1	26	79	NA	NA	1	NA
Married	2.8 [1.2-6.5]	NA	1	276	106	NA	NA	1	NA
Age	0.6 [0.1-3.7]	NA	1	26	79	NA	NA	1	NA
Non-hepatic diseases									
Overall	9.4 [6.3–14]	[2.3–37.7]	15	1843	2707	1.8 [1.4– 2.3]	68.5 [46.2- 81.6]	0	0.095
Risk of bias	9.2 [6-14]	[2.1-40]	14	1770	2637	1.8 [1.4– 2.4]	70.6 [49.3– 82.9]	0	0.107
Alcohol drinking	5.9 [3.6-9.4]	[2.7–12.7]	5	334	806	1.1 [1- 2.3]	10 [0-81.3]	0.349	0.58
Born in rural areas	9.1 [5.8– 14.4]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Diabetes mellitus	5.4 [2.7– 10.8]	NA	2	345	487	1.1	12.9	0.284	NA
Diet cassava consumption	15.2 [2– 113.5]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet groundnut consumption	15.2 [2– 113.5]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet maize consumption	15.2 [2– 113.5]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet rice consumption	15.2 [2– 113.5]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet sorghum consumption	15.2 [2– 113.5]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Education (some)	8.2 [5.6–12]	[0.7–94.6]	3	356	476	1.1 [1- 3.5]	22 [0-91.9]	0.278	0.792
Ever helping in farming	9.1 [5.8– 14.4]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Ever working in farming	9.1 [5.8– 14.4]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
HIV coinfection	6 [3.7–9.8]	[2.1–17.5]	4	310	758	1.1 [1- 2.9]	22.8 [0-88.2]	0.274	0.943
Iron overload	2 [0.1-34.2]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	8.2 [4.8– 14.1]	[1.5-44.9]	9	1221	1470	1.9 [1.4– 2.7]	72.8 [46.7– 86.1]	0	0.164
Married	4.7 [2.3-9.9]	NA	1	150	438	NA	NA	1	NA
Occupation, None	1.9 [0.7–5.1]	NA	1	64	64	NA	NA	1	NA
Other alcoholic beverages	2 [0.1-34.2]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Pesticides at home	9.1 [5.8– 14.4]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Presence of HGV	6.7 [3.1– 14.2]	NA	1	167	167	NA	NA	1	NA
Previous blood transfusion	7.3 [1.1–	NA	2	246	164	3.7 [2-	92.6 [75.2– 97.8]	0	NA

	OR (95%CI)	95% Prediction interval	N Studies	N HCC cases	N controls	H (95% CI)	I ² (95%CI)	P heterogeneity	P Egger test
Residence in rural area	6.7 [4.2– 10.7]	NA	2	298	586	1.2	29.9	0.232	NA
Rodenticides at field	9.1 [5.8– 14.4]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Rodenticides at home	9.1 [5.8– 14.4]	NA	1	236	236	NA	NA	1	NA
Smoke exposure	8.3 [5.9– 11.6]	[4.7–14.4]	5	697	955	1 [1-2.1]	0 [0-77.8]	0.442	0.702
Surgical antecedents	1.9 [0.7–5.1]	NA	1	64	64	NA	NA	1	NA
Tattoos-scarifications	8.8 [2.4–32]	[0-41387963.3]	3	319	234	2.7 [1.6- 4.6]	86.3 [60.5– 95.3]	0.001	0.309
Use of intravenous drug	1.9 [0.7–5.1]	NA	1	64	64	NA	NA	1	NA
Aflatoxin B1-albumin adducts (pg_mg)	2 [0.1-34.2]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Age	5.5 [3-10.2]	[0.5-58.4]	4	435	720	1.6 [1- 2.8]	63.1 [0-87.6]	0.044	0.351
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm_hr)	2 [0.1-34.2]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Hemoglobin (g_dL)	2 [0.1-34.2]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
Transferrin saturation (%)	2 [0.1-34.2]	NA	1	24	48	NA	NA	1	NA
HDV									
Anti-HDV									
Non-hepatic diseases									
Overall	25.8 [5.9– 112.3]	[0-353332.5]	3	275	150	1 [<u>1</u> - <u>2</u>]	0 [0-74.3]	0.667	0.691
Risk of bias	30.8 [1.9– 509]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diabetes mellitus	30.8 [1.9– 509]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet cassava consumption	30.8 [1.9– 509]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet groundnut consumption	30.8 [1.9– 509]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet maize consumption	30.8 [1.9– 509]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet rice consumption	30.8 [1.9– 509]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Diet sorghum consumption	30.8 [1.9– 509]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	25.8 [5.9– 112.3]	[0-353332.5]	3	275	150	1 [1-2]	0 [0-74.3]	0.667	0.691
Smoke exposure	30.8 [1.9– 509]	NA	1	195	49	NA	NA	1	NA
Tattoos-scarifications	8 [0.4–159]	NA	1	51	55	NA	NA	1	NA
Age	8 [0.4–159]	NA	1	51	55	NA	NA	1	NA
HBV/HCV									
HBsAg/Anti-HCV									
Healthy controls									
Overall	7.8 [4.5– 13.7]	[0.2-288.2]	3	588	332	1 [1-2.7]	0 [0-86.3]	0.468	0.676

	OR (95%CI)	95% Prediction interval	N Studies	N HCC cases	N controls	H (95% CI)	I ² (95%CI)	P heterogeneity	P Egger test
Risk of bias	7.9 [4.5– 13.9]	NA	2	528	302	1.2	32.9	0.222	NA
Cancer family history	7.4 [4.1– 13.1]	NA	1	148	150	NA	NA	1	NA
Male gender	7.4 [4.1– 13.1]	NA	1	148	150	NA	NA	1	NA
Married	7.4 [4.1– 13.1]	NA	1	148	150	NA	NA	1	NA
Smoke exposure	7.4 [4.1– 13.1]	NA	1	148	150	NA	NA	1	NA
Age	7.4 [4.1– 13.1]	NA	1	148	150	NA	NA	1	NA

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262903.t001

apparently healthy controls or those with non-hepatic disease. These results were confirmed when taking into account multiple confounding factors for the risk of developing HCC such as pesticides, aflatoxin B1, alcohol, smoking, other comorbidities, geographic context, mode of diet, gender, and age. Significant associations were seen in all subgroups of HBsAg, HBV DNA, anti-HCV markers with apparently healthy controls and those with non-hepatic disease.

The high risk of HCC associated with HBV, HCV, HDV and HBV/HCV markers observed during this study is consistent with previous systematic reviews conducted at global, regional and national levels [6–10, 66].

The following information should be taken into account when interpreting the findings in this review. The gold standard for diagnosing HCC is histology. In this review of data from Africa, a context where access to HCC diagnosis is restricted [21–23], only half of the included studies had used histology for the diagnosis of HCC. Difficulty in accessing the diagnosis of HCC in the African context may also have overrepresented patients with liver tumors in the last disease stages in this review. More than half of the included studies used multiple diagnostic approaches for HCC, suggesting a substantial amount of residual heterogeneity that we were not able to address in a subgroup analysis. The majority of included study investigators also did not ascertain the absence of HCC in controls, which suggests the possibility of misclassifying cases as controls. Although we planned to include prospective longitudinal studies in this review, all included studies were retrospective case-control studies. This suggests a potential recall bias, which is further exacerbated by the poor prognosis of cases recruited in the terminal phase of HCC. All the studies that we included had a case-control design with a prospective diagnosis of markers of viral hepatitis B, C and D for the majority. It is usually accepted that chronic hepatitis is those that have lasted in patients for at least 6 months. Without the participant follow-up data reported in the included studies, we are unable to distinguish acute from chronic hepatitis in the findings of the present review. Due to insufficient or absence of data [40, 52], for HBV, HCV and HDV, we did not assess the role played by occult infections, viral load, mutations, genotypes, and antiviral therapy on the risk of development of the HCC. Our findings are, however, very robust due to 1) a strengthening of the level of confidence for the overall results by a sensitivity analysis including only comparable studies for the major confounding factors 2) a wide range of markers of past and active HBV, HCV, and HDV infections, and 3) absence of publication bias.

The facies of hepatic viruses circulating in Africa being specific to both genotypes and potential mutations, prospective longitudinal studies are required to determine the role of

these parameters as biomarkers for the early diagnosis of the risk of progression to HCC [18, 19, 67]. Further studies investigating the role of occult viral hepatitis and the role of the viral load and viral therapy in progression to HCC are also required for African populations [11, 68, 69]. In this review with only case-control studies including cases in the terminal phase of the disease with a poor prognosis, large-scale longitudinal studies on healthy populations with prospective follow-up and/or a systematic collection of health data could lead to the identification of more early predictors of progression to HCC, and thus hope to modify the high mortality of HCC cases recorded in Africa. HBV, the predominant etiological agent of HCC, is highly endemic in Africa. Due to the protective effect of HBV treatment on the risk of progression to HCC [70, 71], it would be crucial to improve prevention through strong vaccination policies, diagnostic access and appropriate HBV treatment. Improving access in Africa to new direct-acting HCV therapies could potentially be of importance in reducing the burden of HCC.

Taking into account a wide range of confounders, the findings of this review suggest that HBV/HCV coinfections and HBV, HCV, and HDV infections are associated with a high risk of the occurrence of HCC.

Supporting information

S1 Checklist. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses checklist. (PDF)

S1 Table. Search strategy in PubMed. (PDF)

S2 Table. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses checklist. (PDF)

S3 Table. Items for risk of bias assessment. (PDF)

S4 Table. Main reasons of exclusion of eligible studies. (PDF)

S5 Table. Risk of bias assessment. (PDF)

S6 Table. Characteristics of included studies. (PDF)

S7 Table. Individual characteristics of included studies. (PDF)

S8 Table. P-value of Khi-2 and fisher exact tests for qualitative confounding factors. (PDF)

S9 Table. P-value of student test for quantitative confounding factors. (PDF)

S10 Table. Subgroup analyses of hepatocellular carcinoma development in people with and without viral hepatitis infections in Africa. (PDF)

S1 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between HBeAg in cases and apparently healthy controls and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF) S2 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between HBsAg in cases and apparently healthy controls and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S3 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between HBsAg in cases and controls with liver cirrhosis and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S4 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between HBsAg in cases and controls with non-hepatic diseases and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S5 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between HBsAg in cases and controls with other liver disorders and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S6 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between HBV DNA in cases and controls with non-hepatic diseases and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S7 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between anti-HCV in cases and apparently healthy controls and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S8 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between anti-HCV in cases and controls with liver cirrhosis and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S9 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between anti-HCV in cases and controls with non-hepatic diseases and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S10 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between anti-HDV in cases and controls with non-hepatic diseases and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

S11 Fig. Funnel chart for publications of the association between HBV/HCV coinfection in cases and apparently healthy controls and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. (PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Donatien Serge Mbaga, Sebastien Kenmoe, Sara Honorine Riwom Essama.

Data curation: Donatien Serge Mbaga, Sebastien Kenmoe, Cyprien Kengne-Ndé, Jean Thierry Ebogo-Belobo, Arnol Bowo-Ngandji.

Formal analysis: Sebastien Kenmoe, Cyprien Kengne-Ndé.

Methodology: Donatien Serge Mbaga, Sebastien Kenmoe, Cyprien Kengne-Ndé, Jean Thierry Ebogo-Belobo, Gadji Mahamat, Joseph Rodrigue Foe-Essomba, Marie Amougou-Atsama, Serges Tchatchouang, Inès Nyebe, Alfloditte Flore Feudjio, Ginette Irma Kame-Ngasse, Jeannette Nina Magoudjou-Pekam, Lorraine K. M. Fokou, Dowbiss Meta-Djomsi, Martin Maïdadi-Foudi, Sabine Aimee Touangnou-Chamda, Audrey Gaelle Daha-Tchoffo, Abdel Aziz Selly-Ngaloumo, Rachel Audrey Nayang-Mundo, Jacqueline Félicité Yéngué, Jean Bosco Taya-Fokou, Raoul Kenfack-Momo, Efietngab Atembeh Noura, Cynthia Paola Demeni Emoh, Hervé Raoul Tazokong, Arnol Bowo-Ngandji, Carole Stéphanie Sake, Etienne Atenguena Okobalemba, Jacky Njiki Bikoi, Richard Njouom, Sara Honorine Riwom Essama.

- **Project administration:** Donatien Serge Mbaga, Sebastien Kenmoe, Richard Njouom, Sara Honorine Riwom Essama.
- Supervision: Sebastien Kenmoe.
- Validation: Donatien Serge Mbaga, Sebastien Kenmoe, Cyprien Kengne-Ndé, Jean Thierry Ebogo-Belobo, Gadji Mahamat, Joseph Rodrigue Foe-Essomba, Marie Amougou-Atsama, Serges Tchatchouang, Inès Nyebe, Alfloditte Flore Feudjio, Ginette Irma Kame-Ngasse, Jeannette Nina Magoudjou-Pekam, Lorraine K. M. Fokou, Dowbiss Meta-Djomsi, Martin Maïdadi-Foudi, Sabine Aimee Touangnou-Chamda, Audrey Gaelle Daha-Tchoffo, Abdel Aziz Selly-Ngaloumo, Rachel Audrey Nayang-Mundo, Jacqueline Félicité Yéngué, Jean Bosco Taya-Fokou, Raoul Kenfack-Momo, Efietngab Atembeh Noura, Cynthia Paola Demeni Emoh, Hervé Raoul Tazokong, Arnol Bowo-Ngandji, Carole Stéphanie Sake, Etienne Atenguena Okobalemba, Jacky Njiki Bikoi, Richard Njouom, Sara Honorine Riwom Essama.

Writing - original draft: Donatien Serge Mbaga, Sebastien Kenmoe.

Writing – review & editing: Donatien Serge Mbaga, Sebastien Kenmoe, Cyprien Kengne-Ndé, Jean Thierry Ebogo-Belobo, Gadji Mahamat, Joseph Rodrigue Foe-Essomba, Marie Amougou-Atsama, Serges Tchatchouang, Inès Nyebe, Alfloditte Flore Feudjio, Ginette Irma Kame-Ngasse, Jeannette Nina Magoudjou-Pekam, Lorraine K. M. Fokou, Dowbiss Meta-Djomsi, Martin Maïdadi-Foudi, Sabine Aimee Touangnou-Chamda, Audrey Gaelle Daha-Tchoffo, Abdel Aziz Selly-Ngaloumo, Rachel Audrey Nayang-Mundo, Jacqueline Félicité Yéngué, Jean Bosco Taya-Fokou, Raoul Kenfack-Momo, Efietngab Atembeh Noura, Cynthia Paola Demeni Emoh, Hervé Raoul Tazokong, Arnol Bowo-Ngandji, Carole Stéphanie Sake, Etienne Atenguena Okobalemba, Jacky Njiki Bikoi, Richard Njouom, Sara Honorine Riwom Essama.

References

- Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Mathers C, Parkin DM (2019) Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. 144: 1941–1953. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1002/ijc.31937</u> PMID: 30350310
- 2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL (2021) Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries.
- 3. Boyle P, Levin B (2008) World Cancer Report 2008.
- 4. Maucort-Boulch D, de Martel C (2018) Fraction and incidence of liver cancer attributable to hepatitis B and C viruses worldwide. 142: 2471–2477.
- de Martel C, Georges D, Bray F, Ferlay J, Clifford GM (2020) Global burden of cancer attributable to infections in 2018: a worldwide incidence analysis. Lancet Glob Health 8: e180–e190. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30488-7 PMID: 31862245
- Cho LY, Yang JJ, Ko K-P, Park B, Shin A, et al. (2011) Coinfection of hepatitis B and C viruses and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: Systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Cancer 128: 176–184. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.25321 PMID: 20232388
- Donato F, Boffetta P, Puoti M (1998) A meta-analysis of epidemiological studies on the combined effect of hepatitis B and C virus infections in causing hepatocellular carcinoma. International Journal of Cancer 75: 347–354. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0215(19980130)75:3<347::aid-ijc4>3.0.co;2-2 PMID: 9455792

- Li L, Lan X (2016) Association between hepatitis B virus/hepatitis C virus infection and primary hepatocellular carcinoma risk: A meta-analysis based on Chinese population. Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics 12: 284. https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-1482.200763 PMID: 28230038
- Shi J, Zhu L, Liu S, Xie W-f (2005) A meta-analysis of case–control studies on the combined effect of hepatitis B and C virus infections in causing hepatocellular carcinoma in China. British Journal of Cancer 92: 607–612. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6602333 PMID: 15685242
- Alfaiate D, Clément S, Gomes D, Goossens N, Negro F (2020) Chronic hepatitis D and hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Journal of Hepatology 73: 533–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.02.030 PMID: 32151618
- Shi Y, Wu YH, Wu W, Zhang WJ, Yang J, et al. (2012) Association between occult hepatitis B infection and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. Liver International: Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver 32: 231–240. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1478-3231. 2011.02481.x PMID: 21745272
- Bray F, Colombet M, Mery L, Piñeros M, Znaor A, et al. (2021) Cancer Incidence in Five Continents Volume XI.
- Kew MC (2010) Hepatocellular carcinoma in African Blacks: Recent progress in etiology and pathogenesis. World J Hepatol 2: 65–73. https://doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v2.i2.65 PMID: 21160975
- Kramvis A, Kew MC (2007) Epidemiology of hepatitis B virus in Africa, its genotypes and clinical associations of genotypes. Hepatol Res 37: S9–s19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1872-034X.2007.00098.x</u> PMID: 17627641
- Mittal S, El-Serag HB (2013) Epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma: consider the population. J Clin Gastroenterol 47 Suppl: S2–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0b013e3182872f29 PMID: 23632345
- El-Serag HB (2012) Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology 142: 1264–1273.e1261. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2011.12.061 PMID: 22537432
- An P-P, Feng L-N, Zhang X-X, Jin Q-L (2020) Association of interleukin-6 gene polymorphisms with the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. Medicine 99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000023659</u> PMID: 33327352
- Liu S, Zhang H, Gu C, Yin J, He Y, et al. (2009) Associations Between Hepatitis B Virus Mutations and the Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute 101: 1066–1082. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djp180 PMID: 19574418
- Wong GL-H, Chan HL-Y, Yiu KK-L, Lai JW-Y, Chan VK-K, et al. (2013) Meta-analysis: the association of hepatitis B virus genotypes and hepatocellular carcinoma. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics 37: 517–526. https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.12207 PMID: 23305043
- Spearman CW, Sonderup MW (2015) Health disparities in liver disease in sub-Saharan Africa. Liver International: Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver 35: 2063–2071. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.12884 PMID: 26053588
- Spearman CW, Sonderup MW (2015) Health disparities in liver disease in sub-Saharan Africa. Liver Int 35: 2063–2071. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.12884 PMID: 26053588
- 22. Kew MC (2013) Epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in sub-Saharan Africa. Ann Hepatol 12: 173–182. PMID: 23396727
- Bialecki ES, Di Bisceglie AM (2005) Diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. HPB (Oxford) 7: 26–34. https://doi.org/10.1080/13651820410024049 PMID: 18333158
- DerSimonian R, Laird N (2015) Meta-analysis in clinical trials revisited. Contemporary Clinical Trials 45: 139–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2015.09.002 PMID: 26343745
- Balduzzi S, Rücker G, Schwarzer G (2019) How to perform a meta-analysis with R: a practical tutorial. Evidence-Based Mental Health 22: 153–160. https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmental-2019-300117 PMID: 31563865
- 26. Schwarzer G (2007) meta: An R package for meta-analysis. R News 7: 40-45.
- 27. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG (2002) Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Statistics in Medicine 21: 1539–1558. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1186 PMID: 12111919
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ: British Medical Journal 315: 629–634. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629 PMID: 9310563
- Kenmoe S, Bowo-Ngandji A, Kengne-Nde C, Ebogo-Belobo JT, Mbaga DS, Mahamat G, et al. Association between early viral LRTI and subsequent wheezing development, a meta-analysis and sensitivity analyses for studies comparable for confounding factors. van den Hoogen B, editor. PLoS ONE. 2021; 16: e0249831. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249831 PMID: 33857215

- Amr S, Iarocci EA, Nasr GR, Saleh D, Blancato J, et al. (2014) Multiple pregnancies, hepatitis C, and risk for hepatocellular carcinoma in Egyptian women. BMC Cancer 14: 893. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2407-14-893 PMID: 25432765
- Bahri O, Ezzikouri S, Alaya-Bouafif NB, Iguer F, Feydi AE, et al. (2011) First multicenter study for risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma development in North Africa. World J Hepatol 3: 24–30. https://doi. org/10.4254/wjh.v3.i1.24 PMID: 21307984
- Brown SE, Howard CR, Steward MW, Ajdukiewicz AB, Whittle HC (1984) Hepatitis B surface antigen containing immune complexes occur in seronegative hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Clinical and experimental immunology 55: 355–359. PMID: 6199140
- Cenac A, Develoux M, Lamothe F, Soubiran G, Vetter JM, et al. (1987) Delta superinfection in patients with chronic hepatitis, liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in a Sahelian area. Study of 112 cases versus 46 controls. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 81: 994–997. https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203 (87)90375-0 PMID: 2845609
- Chin'ombe N, Chavhunduka E, Matarira HT (2009) Seroprevalence of HBV and HCV in primary hepatocellular carcinoma patients in Zimbabwe. Infect Agent Cancer 4: 15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-</u> 9378-4-15 PMID: 19814789
- Coursaget P, Leboulleux D, Le Cann P, Bao O, Coll-Seck AM (1992) Hepatitis C virus infection in cirrhosis and primary hepatocellular carcinoma in Senegal. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 86: 552–553. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(92)90107-n PMID: 1282278
- Coursaget P, Maupas P, Goudeau A, Drucker J (1978) Incidence and significance of hepatitis B e antigen and antibody in postnecrotic cirrhosis and primary hepatocellular carcinoma. J Clin Microbiol 7: 394–395. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.7.4.394-395.1978 PMID: 211144
- Cronberg S, Hansson BG, Thermos M, Moestrup T, Sow AM (1984) Hepatitis D (delta agent) in primary hepatocellular carcinoma and liver disease in Senegal. Liver 4: 275–279. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1600-0676.1984.tb00937.x PMID: 6090857
- Dhifallah I, Khedhiri M, Chouikha A, Kharroubi G, Hammami W, et al. (2020) Hepatitis viruses take advantage of traditional practices to increase the burden of hepatocellular carcinoma in Tunisia. Arch Virol 165: 33–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-019-04440-5 PMID: 31630275
- Ezzat S, Abdel-Hamid M, Eissa SA, Mokhtar N, Labib NA, et al. (2005) Associations of pesticides, HCV, HBV, and hepatocellular carcinoma in Egypt. Int J Hyg Environ Health 208: 329–339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2005.04.003</u> PMID: 16217918
- 40. Gouas DA, Villar S, Ortiz-Cuaran S, Legros P, Ferro G, et al. (2012) TP53 R249S mutation, genetic variations in HBX and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in The Gambia. Carcinogenesis 33: 1219–1224. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgs068 PMID: 22759751
- Hassan MM, Zaghloul AS, El-Serag HB, Soliman O, Patt YZ, et al. (2001) The role of hepatitis C in hepatocellular carcinoma—A case control study among Egyptian patients. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology 33: 123–126. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004836-200108000-00006 PMID: 11468438
- Jaquet A, Tchounga B, Tanon A, Bagny A, Ekouevi DK, et al. (2018) Etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in West Africa, a case-control study. Int J Cancer 143: 869–877. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc. 31393 PMID: 29569722
- Kew MC, Desmyter J, Bradburne AF, Macnab GM (1979) Hepatitis B virus infection in southern African blacks with hepatocellular cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 62: 517–520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/62.3</u>. 517 PMID: 84090
- Kew MC, Houghton M, Choo QL, Kuo G (1990) Hepatitis C virus antibodies in southern African blacks with hepatocellular carcinoma. Lancet 335: 873–874. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(90)90474-j</u> PMID: 1691422
- Kew MC, Kassianides C, Hodkinson J, Coppin A, Paterson AC (1986) Hepatocellular carcinoma in urban born blacks: frequency and relation to hepatitis B virus infection. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 293: 1339–1341. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.293.6558.1339 PMID: 3024771
- 46. Kirk GD, Lesi OA, Mendy M, Szymañska K, Whittle H, et al. (2005) 249(ser) TP53 mutation in plasma DNA, hepatitis B viral infection, and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncogene 24: 5858–5867. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1208732 PMID: 16007211
- Larouzé B, Blumberg BS, London WT, Lustbader ED, Sankalé M, et al. (1977) Forecasting the development of primary hepatocellular carcinoma by the use of risk factors: studies in West Africa. J Natl Cancer Inst 58: 1557–1561. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/58.6.1557 PMID: 68119
- Lightfoot K, Skelton M, Kew MC, Yu MC, Kedda MA, et al. (1997) Does hepatitis GB virus-C infection cause hepatocellular carcinoma in black Africans? Hepatology 26: 740–742. https://doi.org/10.1002/ hep.510260328 PMID: 9303506

- Mahale P, Aka P, Chen X, Pfeiffer RM, Liu P, et al. (2019) Hepatitis D virus infection, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in The Gambia. J Viral Hepat 26: 738–749. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvh.13065 PMID: 30661282
- Mak D, Babb de Villiers C, Chasela C, Urban MI, Kramvis A (2018) Analysis of risk factors associated with hepatocellular carcinoma in black South Africans: 2000–2012. 13: e0196057. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0196057 PMID: 29718992
- Mandishona E, MacPhail AP, Gordeuk VR, Kedda MA, Paterson AC, et al. (1998) Dietary iron overload as a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma in Black Africans. Hepatology 27: 1563–1566. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.510270614</u> PMID: 9620327
- Marchio A, Amougou Atsama M, Béré A, Komas NP, Noah Noah D, et al. (2018) Droplet digital PCR detects high rate of TP53 R249S mutants in cell-free DNA of middle African patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. 18: 421–431. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10238-018-0502-9 PMID: 29749584
- Mboto CI, Davies-Russell A, Fielder M, Jewell AP (2005) Hepatocellular Carcinoma in The Gambia and the role of Hepatitis B and Hepatitis C. J Hepatocell Carcinoma 2: 20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-</u> 7800-2-20 PMID: 16202160
- Mets T, Smitz J, Ngendahayo P, Sabbe L, Bigilimana I, et al. (1993) Hepatitis C virus infection in African patients with liver cirrhosis or primary hepatocellular carcinoma. Scand J Gastroenterol 28: 331–334. https://doi.org/10.3109/00365529309090251 PMID: 8387695
- Mohamed AE, Kew MC, Groeneveld HT (1992) Alcohol consumption as a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma in urban southern African blacks. Int J Cancer 51: 537–541. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.</u> 2910510406 PMID: 1318267
- Montaser LM, Abbas OM, Saltah AM, Waked IA (2007) Circulating AFP mRNA as a Possible Indicator of Hematogenous Spread of HCC Cells: A Possible Association with HBV Infection. J Egypt Natl Canc Inst 19: 48–60. PMID: 18839035
- Nishioka K, Levin AG, Simons MJ (1975) Hepatitis B antigen, antigen subtypes, and hepatitis B antibody in normal subjects and patients with liver disease. Bull World Health Organ 52: 293–300. PMID: 1084799
- Ola SO, Akere A, Otegbayo JA, Odaibo GN, Olaleye DO, et al. (2012) Are patients with primary hepatocellular carcinoma infectious of hepatitis B, C and D viruses? Afr J Med Med Sci 41: 187–191. PMID: 23678655
- Olubuyide IO, Aliyu B, Olalelye OA, Ola SO, Olawuyi F, et al. (1997) Hepatitis B and C virus and hepatocellular carcinoma. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 91: 38–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-9203(97) 90387-4 PMID: 9093625
- **60.** Omer RE, Van't Veer P, Kadaru AM, Kampman E, el Khidir IM, et al. (2001) The role of hepatitis B and hepatitis C viral infections in the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in Sudan. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 95: 487–491. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-9203(01)90013-6 PMID: 11706655
- Schiefelbein E, Zekri AR, Newton DW, Soliman GA, Banerjee M, et al. (2012) Hepatitis C virus and other risk factors in hepatocellular carcinoma. Acta Virol 56: 235–240. https://doi.org/10.4149/av_ 2012_03_235 PMID: 23043603
- Skelton M, Kew MC, Yu MC, Crookes RL, Swanevelder JP, et al. (2000) Transfusion-transmissible virus and hepatocellular carcinoma: a case-control study. J Viral Hepat 7: 230–234. https://doi.org/10. 1046/j.1365-2893.2000.00223.x PMID: 10849266
- Soliman AS, Hung CW, Tsodikov A, Seifeldin IA, Ramadan M, et al. (2010) Epidemiologic risk factors of hepatocellular carcinoma in a rural region of Egypt. Hepatol Int 4: 681–690. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-010-9187-1 PMID: 21286338</u>
- Tabor E, Gerety RJ, Vogel CL, Bayley AC, Anthony PP, et al. (1977) Hepatitis B virus infection and primary hepatocellular carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 58: 1197–1200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/58.5.</u> 1197 PMID: 192895
- Tswana SA, Moyo SR (1992) The interrelationship between HBV-markers and HIV antibodies in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. J Med Virol 37: 161–164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.</u> 1890370302 PMID: 1279108
- 66. Thi Vo T, Poovorawan K, Charoen P, Soonthornworasiri N, Nontprasert A, et al. (2019) Association between Hepatitis B Surface Antigen Levels and the Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis B Infection: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP 20: 2239–2246. https://doi.org/10.31557/APJCP.2019.20.8.2239 PMID: 31450890
- 67. Fang ZL, Sabin CA, Dong BQ, Ge LY, Wei SC, et al. (2008) HBV A1762T, G1764A mutations are a valuable biomarker for identifying a subset of male HBsAg carriers at extremely high risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective study. Am J Gastroenterol 103: 2254–2262. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2008.01974.x</u> PMID: 18844615

- Livingston SE, Simonetti JP, McMahon BJ, Bulkow LR, Hurlburt KJ, et al. (2007) Hepatitis B virus genotypes in Alaska Native people with hepatocellular carcinoma: preponderance of genotype F. J Infect Dis 195: 5–11. https://doi.org/10.1086/509894 PMID: 17152003
- Truong BX, Yano Y, Seo Y, Phuong TM, Tanaka Y, et al. (2007) Variations in the core promoter/precore region in HBV genotype C in Japanese and Northern Vietnamese patients. J Med Virol 79: 1293– 1304. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.20934 PMID: 17607788
- 70. Papatheodoridis GV, Dalekos GN, Yurdaydin C, Buti M, Goulis J, et al. (2015) Incidence and predictors of hepatocellular carcinoma in Caucasian chronic hepatitis B patients receiving entecavir or tenofovir. J Hepatol 62: 363–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2014.08.045 PMID: 25195548
- Kim WR, Loomba R, Berg T, Aguilar Schall RE, Yee LJ, et al. (2015) Impact of long-term tenofovir disoproxil fumarate on incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Cancer 121: 3631–3638. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29537 PMID: 26177866