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#### Abstract

PRMT3 catalyzes the asymmetric dimethylation of arginine residues of various proteins. It is crucial for maturation of ribosomes and has been implicated in several diseases. We recently disclosed a highly potent, selective, and cell-active allosteric inhibitor of PRMT3, compound 4. Here, we report comprehensive structure-activity relationship studies that target the allosteric binding site of PRMT3. We conducted design, synthesis, and evaluation of novel compounds in biochemical, selectivity, and cellular assays that culminated in the discovery of 4 and other highly potent ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values: $\sim 10-36 \mathrm{nM}$ ), selective, and cell-active allosteric inhibitors of PRMT3 (compounds 29, 30, 36, and 37). In addition, we generated compounds that are very close analogs of these potent inhibitors but displayed drastically  reduced potency as negative controls (compounds 49-51). These inhibitors and negative controls are valuable chemical tools for the biomedical community to further investigate biological functions and disease associations of PRMT3.


## - INTRODUCTION

Protein arginine methyltransferase 3 (PRMT3) is a type I PRMT that catalyzes mono- and asymmetric dimethylation of arginine residues. ${ }^{1}$ Ribosomal protein S2 (rpS2) was identified as the major substrate of PRMT3 via its interaction with PRMT3 zinc finger domain in mammalian cells. ${ }^{2,3}$ PRMT3 plays a role in ribosome biosynthesis. However, the molecular mechanism by which PRMT3 influences ribosomal biosynthesis remains unclear. ${ }^{4}$ Very recently, an extraribosomal complex comprising PRMT3, rpS2, and human programmed cell-death 2-like (PDCD2L) protein was identified. ${ }^{5}$ While PRMT3 is localized exclusively in the cytoplasm, ${ }^{6}$ it has been shown that in cells treated with palmitic acid or T0901317 (a liver X receptor $\alpha(\mathrm{LXR} \alpha)$ agonist), PRMT3 colocalizes with $\mathrm{LXR} \alpha$ in the cell nucleus, regulating hepatic lipogenesis. ${ }^{7}$ However, this effect appears to be independent of the PRMT3 methyltransferase activity. While rpS2 is the primary substrate of

PRMT3, it is not the sole substrate. PRMT3 along with PRMT1 methylates the recombinant mammalian nuclear poly(A)-binding protein (PABPN1) and has been implicated in oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy, which is caused by polyalanine expansion in PABPN1. ${ }^{8,9}$ A protein complex comprising the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) tumor suppressor protein, PRMT3, and ARF (alternative reading frame) methylates p53. ${ }^{10}$ Importantly, the tumor suppressor DAL-1 (differentially expressed in adenocarcinoma of the lung, also known as 4.1B) interacts with PRMT3 and consequently inhibits its methyltransferase activity, suggesting a possible role of PRMT3 regulation in tumor growth. ${ }^{11}$ The interaction between DAL-1 and PRMT3 in the induction of apoptosis in MCF-7 cells suggests that this interaction is likely to be an
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Figure 1. Design and synthesis of highly potent inhibitors of PRMT3.
important modulator of the apoptotic pathway and can be critical to controlling tumorigenesis in breast cancer cells. ${ }^{12}$ It has also been shown that PRMT3 methylates a histone peptide (H4 1-24) in vitro. ${ }^{13}$ Modified histone H4R3 is associated with increased transcription of a number of genes, including those under control of estrogen and androgen receptors. ${ }^{14-16}$ Furthermore, PRMT3 expression levels are elevated in myocardial tissues from patients with atherosclerosis, potentially implicating the involvement of PRMT3. ${ }^{17}$ Additionally, PRMT3 function has been reported to be essential for dendritic spine maturation in rats. ${ }^{18}$ A recent study suggests that PRMT3 mediates the preventive effects of irisin against lipogenesis and oxidative stress. ${ }^{19}$

Our group embarked on research efforts to discover potent, selective, and cell-active inhibitors of PRMT3 as chemical tools for better understanding of biology and function of this understudied protein methyltransferase. We previously reported the discovery of a novel allosteric binding site of PRMT3 and the first selective, allosteric inhibitors of PRMT3 (compounds 1-3, Figure 1) and subsequently disclosed the discovery of SGC707 (4) (Figure 1), a highly potent, selective, and cell-active allosteric inhibitor of PRMT3. ${ }^{20-22}$ Here, we describe the design and synthesis of a large set of novel analogs and evaluation of these compounds in biochemical, selectivity, and cellular assays. This comprehensive structure-activity relationship (SAR) study resulted in the identification of multiple highly potent, selective, and cell-active allosteric inhibitors of PRMT3 including compound 4.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our earlier efforts resulted in the identification of selective small-molecule inhibitors of PRMT3 (compounds 2 and 3) starting from a hit, compound 1 (Figure 1). ${ }^{20,21}$ X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in complex with PRMT3 were obtained and showed that these inhibitors occupied a novel allosteric binding site (PDB ID: 3SMQ and 4HSG). These cocrystal structures revealed that the left-hand side (LHS) bicyclic benzothiadiazole moiety fits tightly in the allosteric pocket and the middle nitrogen atom forms a hydrogen bond with T466 (Figure 2). The urea linker is located at the entrance of the cavity and forms hydrogen bonds with the guanidine of R396 and the carboxylate of E422 (Figure 2). In addition, the righthand side (RHS) moiety extends out of the allosteric binding pocket and makes hydrophobic interactions with a surface


Figure 2. Reported cocrystal structure of compound 1 in complex with PRMT3 indicating key hydrogen bonding interactions (magenta dotted lines) (PDB ID: 3SMQ). ${ }^{20}$
composed of the side chains from two different subunits of the PRMT3 homodimer. Both structural and SAR data clearly indicate that the middle urea region is essential for the affinity, and replacement of this group with its bioisosteres and more rigid analogs did not yield any inhibitors with improved potency. ${ }^{21}$ Therefore, in the current study the middle urea region of this scaffold was kept unmodified. However, further optimization of the RHS moiety led to the discovery of 3 (Figure 1). ${ }^{21}$ Herein, we further optimized both the LHS and RHS moieties of this scaffold to achieve improved potency for inhibiting PRMT3. First, we conducted a scaffold hopping exercise by using the benzothiadiazole ring of 3 as a query for the allosteric pocket. A hydrogen-bond constraint was imposed in this scaffold hopping study to preserve the important hydrogen-bond interaction between the inhibitors and the hydroxyl group of T466. As a result of this exercise, ${ }^{22}$ isoquinoline (compound 5), isobenzofuran-1-one (compound 6), and quinazoline (compound 7) groups were selected for experimental validation (Table 1).

The RHS of compound 3, piperidineamide, was kept exactly the same in these newly synthesized analogs to accurately compare the effect of the different LHS bicyclic heteroaromatic rings on potency. The isoquinoline containing analog (compound 5) with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $84 \pm 5 \mathrm{nM}$ showed a small improvement over the parent compound, $3\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=134 \pm 5\right.$ $\mathrm{nM})$. However, compounds 6 and 7 were around 6 -fold less potent as compared to 3 (Table 1). Therefore, the isoquinoline

Table 1. Inhibitors with Different LHS Bicyclic Ring Systems

Compound
bicyclic ring system was taken forward as the LHS moiety for further optimization. Compound 8 (Table 1) was also prepared to confirm the importance of the positioning and hydrogen bonding of the isoquinoline nitrogen with T466. This bicyclic ring is still an isoquinoline but substituted at the 7 -position instead of the 6-position (isoquinoline numbering) in effect walking the nitrogen to the adjacent position of compound 5 . As expected, this modification resulted in ablation of inhibitory activity.

As the 6 -substituted isoquinoline is an optimal LHS bicycle, we then turned our attention to optimizing the RHS moiety of the scaffold. We first revisited saturated aliphatic groups as the RHS functionality inspired from our initial studies (Table 2). ${ }^{20,21}$ Compound 9, a cyclohexenylethyl group containing analog, corresponding to the RHS region of the original hit (compound $\mathbf{1}$ ) was 5 -fold less potent than 5 , displaying $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $421 \pm 29 \mathrm{nM}$. As a logical extension of this compound, we also synthesized the fully saturated, cyclohexylethyl analog (10) as well as derivatives containing different ring sizes. Compound 10 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=540 \pm 54 \mathrm{nM}$ ) was very similar to 9 in potency. The cyclopentane-bearing analog (11) showed slight improvement in potency with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $295 \pm 43 \mathrm{nM}$, while the cyclopropanecontaining analog 12 was virtually inactive ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>8000 \mathrm{nM}$ ). These results indicated that hydrophobicity of the RHS moiety plays an important role in potency, and cyclohexyl and cylopentyl rings are preferred compared to the cyclopropyl group. Replacing the cyclohexyl group with a phenyl ring (compound 13) resulted in a decreased potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=833 \pm\right.$ 66 nM ), underlying the importance of changes to the hydrophobicity of the RHS moiety. On the basis of these results, compound 10 was further investigated to improve the

Table 2. Inhibitors with Saturated Aliphatic Groups as the RHS Moiety
Compound
potency. A docking study with this compound hinted that a substituent at the C1 position of the cyclohexyl group would be a favorable position from which E422 might be possibly reached for further interactions. Therefore, compound 14, featuring a methyleneamine substituted cyclohexyl group, was synthesized. Interestingly, compound 14 displayed a modest improvement in potency with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $291 \pm 36 \mathrm{nM}$, compared to compound $\mathbf{1 0}$. These compounds were synthesized following the previously published synthetic route. ${ }^{21}$

Since no significant improvement in potency was achieved with compounds $9-14$ featuring aliphatic groups as the RHS moiety, we decided to keep the amide functionality as in compound 5 and further investigate the substituents on the nitrogen atom (Table 3) as the amide group could form direct or water-mediated contacts with the side chain of K392. ${ }^{21}$ We first synthesized new amide derivatives of compound 5, by replacing the six-membered piperidine ring with the fourmembered azetidine ring (compound 15), five-membered pyrrolidine ring (compound 4), and seven-membered azepane ring (compound 16). While the azetidine amide analog 15 displayed only a slight improvement in potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=61 \pm 8$ $\mathrm{nM})$, the pyrrolidine amide $4\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=19 \pm 1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and azepane amide $16\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=17 \pm 2 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ were $\sim 5$-fold more potent than 5 in inhibiting PRMT3. The six-membered ring analogs of 5 such as 4,4-difluoro piperidine amide $17\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=35 \pm 1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ showed a more than 2 -fold improvement over the unsubstituted piperidine amide 5. However, exchanging the piperidine ring with the 4 -methylpiperizine ring (compound 18), which alters the electronic nature and polarity of the ring system, resulted in the loss of the inhibitory activity. We also designed and synthesized noncyclic di- and monosubstituted amide derivatives (compounds 19-26). The dimethyl and diethyl amide derivatives 19 and 20 displayed reduced potency

Table 3. Inhibitors Containing Different RHS Amide Moieties


| Compound | Structure (R) | $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\mathbf{n M})$ | Compound | Structure (R) | $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\mathbf{n M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | $84 \pm 5$ | 20 |  | $114 \pm 3$ |
| 15 | $\pi_{0}^{n}$ | $61 \pm 8$ | 21 |  | $87 \pm 5$ |
| 4 |  | $19 \pm 1$ | 22 |  | $476 \pm 34$ |
| 16 |  | $17 \pm 2$ | 23 |  | $1938 \pm 95$ |
| 17 |  | $35 \pm 1$ | 24 |  | $158 \pm 9$ |
| 18 |  | >1900 | 25 |  | $292 \pm 23$ |
| 19 |  | $150 \pm 11$ | 26 |  | $477 \pm 5$ |

Scheme 1. General Synthetic Route for the Preparation of Compounds Listed in Tables 3 and 4

with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $150 \pm 11$ and $114 \pm 3 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively. The $N$ cyclopentyl, $N$-methyl amide $21\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=87 \pm 5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ was as potent as compound 5. The Weinreb amide derivative 22, however, was around 6 -fold less potent than compound 5 . The monosubstituted amides were also investigated (compounds 23-26). While $N$-methyl amide 23 displayed significantly weaker inhibitory effect, the cyclopropyl (24), cyclopentyl (25), and cyclohexyl (26) amide derivatives showed reduced potency as the ring size increased. Taken together, these results suggest that cyclic amides are preferred RHS moieties, which possess balanced steric and hydrophobic properties interacting with PRMT3. We, therefore, designated the pyrrolidine amide as the RHS moiety for the rest of the SAR study.

The compounds in Table 3 were synthesized via the general route shown in Scheme 1. The synthesis started with reacting commercially available 6-aminoisoquinoline and ethyl isocya-
natoacetate to obtain the desired ethyl ester 27. The hydrolysis of the ethyl ester 27 resulted in the carboxylic acid 28, which was used as the key intermediate to perform amide coupling reactions with various amines to yield the desired amide analogs (4, 5, and 15-26). Detailed reaction conditions, yields, and characterization data for final compounds are reported in the Experimental Section.

Next, we focused our attention on analogs of compound 4 (Table 4), containing substituted pyrrolidine (compounds 2931) and fused bicyclic (compounds 32 and 33 ) and bridged bicyclic moieties (compounds 34 and 35). These compounds were again prepared according to the synthetic route outlined in Scheme 1. The 3,3,4,4-tetrafluoro pyrrolidine derivative (compound 29) as well as 2,5-dimethylpyrrolidine (a mixture of cis and trans isomers) (compound 30) analog showed very similar potency compared to compound 4. However, replacing

Table 4. Inhibitors with Modified Pyrrolidine Amide Moieties
Compound
pyrrolidine with L-proline (compound 31) resulted in around 9fold drop in potency. The fused 5,5-bicyclic ring system (compound 32) was also tolerated, albeit with reduced potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=55 \pm 5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Interestingly, the benzene-fused pyrrolidine ring (isoindoline amide, compound 33) led to a complete loss of the inhibitory effect. Finally, 7azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (compound 34) and 8azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane (compound 35), two bridged bicyclic pyrrolidine containing moieties, did not result in any improvement of potency compared to compound 4, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $46 \pm 5$ and $22 \pm 4 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively. These results indicate that small substituents such as fluoro and methyl groups on the pyrrolidine ring as well as relatively flexible hydrophobic bicyclic ring systems are tolerated.

The results summarized in Table 4 and discussed above have shown that analogs of 4, namely, compounds 29, 30, 32, 34, and 35, potently inhibited PRMT3 with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of around $20-50 \mathrm{nM}$. Although these analogs did not display improved potency compared to compound 4, these substituted pyrrolidine groups are valuable alternatives to the unsubstituted pyrrolidine group (compound 4). After completing optimization of the RHS moiety, we further investigated the LHS isoquinoline ring. Analysis of the crystal structure of PRMT3 in complex with compound 4 (PDB ID: 4RYL) ${ }^{22}$ suggested that there is room in the binding pocket to tolerate a relatively small substituent at the 1-, 3 -, 7 -, and 8 -positions of the isoquinoline ring system. Our structural analysis also suggested that a substituent at the 4 - and 5 -positions of the isoquinoline ring would not be tolerated. Therefore, we designed and synthesized the corresponding substituted isoquinoline analogs (compounds $\mathbf{3 6}-\mathbf{4 0}$ ) to determine whether potency could further be enhanced (Table 5). For example, compounds 36 and 37 featuring small 7 -fluoro and 7 -methyl substituents were prepared. Compound 36 showed similar potency as 4 , while 37, which has a slightly larger methyl substituent, was around 2fold less potent. Compound 38, however, displayed significant potency loss (about 10 -fold), indicating that the methyl group at the 1 -position of the isoquinoline ring is not preferred.

Table 5. Inhibitors with Substituted Isoquinolines

Compound

Interestingly, the 3-fluoro substituted analog 39 displayed almost 2 -fold higher potency with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $10 \pm 1 \mathrm{nM}$. This result suggests that the electronic modulation of the isoquinoline ring by a fluoro group does not have significant impact on the hydrogen bonding ability of the isoquinoline with T466 and a small substituent such as the fluoro group at the 3-position enhances potency, consistent with our structural analysis. In addition, we synthesized the 8 -chloroisoquinoline derivative 40, which exhibited similar potency as compound 4 . While the result obtained for compound 39 was consistent with our predication based on the analysis of the crystal structure of the

Scheme 2. Synthetic Routes for Preparing Intermediates 44-48 for Synthesis of Compounds 36-40


PRMT3-compound 4 complex (PDB ID: 4RYL), it was surprising that a small substituent such as the fluoro group at the 7 -position (compound 36) or the chloro group at the 8position (compound 40) did not increase potency and a slightly larger substituent such as the methyl group at the 1- and 7positions (compounds 37 and 38) reduced potency. More comprehensive structural analyses such as molecular dynamics simulation are needed to explain the SAR results. Nevertheless, the fluoro or chloro substituent could potentially improve metabolic stability of these compounds ( 36,39 , and 40 ), which are interesting alternative PRMT3 inhibitors to compound 4. Overall, these results have demonstrated that a small substituent at the isoquinoline ring can be tolerated but has limited impact on enhancing potency.

The substituted 6 -amino isoquinoline derivatives used for the synthesis of compounds 36-40 (Table 5) were not commercially available. Therefore, we devised synthetic routes and prepared these substituted 6 -amino isoquinolines as shown in Scheme 2. The synthesis of 6 -amino- 7 -fluoroisoquinoline (43), 6-amino-7-methylisoquinoline (44), and 6-amino-1methylisoquinoline (45) started with reductive amination reactions of amino acetaldehyde dimethyl acetal with the corresponding 4-bromo aryl aldehyde or methyl aryl ketone to give amino dimethyl acetals 41 (Scheme 2A). The intermediates 41 were converted to the sulfonamides 42, via
tosylation, which were then treated with aluminum chloride to yield the desired 6 -bromoisoquinolines (43). These substituted 6 -bromoisoquinolines were then converted to the desired 6aminoisoquinolines (44-46) via aryl amination reactions (Scheme 2A). The 3 -fluoro-6-aminoisoquinoline (47) was synthesized starting from the commercially available 3-amino-6bromoisoquinoline in two steps via the Balz-Schiemann reaction ${ }^{23,24}$ followed by an aryl amination (Scheme 2B). As shown in Scheme 2C, 6 -amino-8-chloroisoquinoline (48) was prepared in six steps. The commercially available 5 -aminoisoquinoline was first acetylated and then chlorinated to install a chloro group at the 8 -position. Bromination at the 6 -position was achieved by using dibromoisocyanuric acid. Deacetylation followed by reductive diazotization resulted in 6-amino-8chloroisoquinoline (48) (Scheme 2C). Intermediates 44-48 were then used to prepare compounds 36-40 according to the synthetic route outlined in Scheme 1.

In addition, we designed and synthesized several close analogs of compound 4 to serve as negative controls for chemical biology studies. As described earlier, the middle urea region of these PRMT3 inhibitors forms the key hydrogenbonding interactions with E422 of PRMT3. We therefore predicted that taking either of these hydrogen-bonding interactions away by methylating either nitrogen atom of the urea would drastically decrease PRMT3 inhibition. Indeed, as
shown in Table 6, compound 49 displayed markedly diminished inhibitory activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2594 \pm 129 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, while

Table 6. Compounds Prepared as Negative Controls
Compound
compound 50 was completely inactive $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>50000 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Furthermore, the nitrogen atom in the isoquinoline ring of compound 4 forms a key hydrogen bond with T466 of PRMT3 in the crystal structure of the PRMT3-compound 4 complex (PDB ID: 4RYL). Thus, we replaced the isoquinoline ring of 4 with the naphthalene ring (compound 51 (XY1)), ${ }^{22}$ effectively removing the critical hydrogen bond with T466. As we reported previously, ${ }^{22}$ compound 51 displayed no inhibition of the PRMT3 catalytic activity in biochemical assays.

We previously reported that compound 4 was more than 200-fold selective for PRMT3 over 31 other methyltransferases and more than 250 kinases, GPCRs, ion channels, and transporters. ${ }^{22}$ Similarly, inhibitors 29, 30, and 36 were selective for PRMT3 over 31 other lysine methyltransferases, arginine methyltransferases, and DNA and RNA methyltransferases (Figure 3 and Supporting Information). In addition, 29, 30, and 36 were tested in a CEREP selectivity panel consisting of 55 protein targets ( 47 GPCRs, five ion channels, and three transporters) and did not show any significant off-target
activities (\% of inhibition $<50 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). It is of note that the cocrystal structure of compound 4 in complex with PRMT3 reported recently (PDB ID: 4RYL) ${ }^{22}$ clearly shows that this inhibitor binds the same allosteric pocket as earlier inhibitors (compounds 1 and 2 (PDB ID: 3SMQ and 4HSG)).

To establish the target engagement of PRMT3 inhibitors in cells (namely, inhibitors 4, 29, 36, and 37), we used an InCELL Hunter Assay, which measures intracellular binding of inhibitors to the methyltransferase domain of PRMT3 in cell lines expressing the methyltransferase domain of PRMT3 tagged with a short fragment of $\beta$-galactosidase (ePL). Binding of a compound to ePL-PRMT3 increases the fusion protein half-life. Inhibitors 4, 29, 36, and 37 stabilized PRMT3 in A549 cells, a human lung carcinoma cell line, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 2.0, 2.7, 1.6, and $4.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Figure 4, top). The same assay was performed in HEK293 cells and these compounds displayed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of $1.8,3.1,2.7$, and $5.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Figure 4, bottom). Compound 51 was used as a negative control in these assays. As expected, no stabilization was observed with this compound.

Furthermore, to establish whether these PRMT3 inhibitors can inhibit the PRMT3 catalytic activity in cells, we examined their effects on H4R3 asymmetric dimethylation. Since methylated arginine residues have relatively slow turnover, we overexpressed human Flag-tagged PRMT3 and followed the methylation of both endogenous H 4 and exogenously introduced GFP-tagged H4. As we previously reported, overexpressed PRMT3 increased the endogenous H4R3me2a from the baseline levels, and compound 4 effectively inhibited this increase with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $225 \mathrm{nM} .^{22}$ The asymmetric dimethylation of exogenous H4R3 was also inhibited by compound $4\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=91 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, indicating that this inhibitor has robust cellular effect. ${ }^{22}$ Similarly, as shown in Figure 5, compounds 29, 30, and 36 inhibited the exogenous asymmetric dimethylation of H4R3 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=240,184\right.$, and 134 nM , respectively). The dependency on the transfected PRMT3 catalytic activity was determined by using the catalytically dead PRMT3 mutant (E335Q) that did not affect endogenous or exogenous H4R3me2a levels and therefore was used to


Figure 3. Inhibitor 36 is highly selective for PRMT3 over 31 other methyltransferases. The selectivity data for compounds 29 and 30 are shown in the Supporting Information.


Figure 4. InCELL Hunter Assay results of compounds 4, 29, 36, 37, and 51 in A549 and HEK cells.
establish the baseline levels of the mark. It is of note that effects of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compounds 29,30 , and 36 matched with that of the catalytically dead PRMT3 mutant E335Q.

## - CONCLUSION

In summary, we conducted comprehensive SAR studies, starting from early inhibitors $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ and culminating in the discovery of highly potent ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $=\sim 10-36 \mathrm{nM}$ ), selective, and cell-active allosteric inhibitors of PRMT3 (inhibitors 4, 29, 30, 36, and 37). In addition, we generated compounds that are very close analogs of these potent
inhibitors but that displayed drastically diminished potency as negative controls (compounds 49-51). The new inhibitors (compounds 29, 30, and 36) were highly selective for PRMT3 over 31 other methyltransferases and 55 other protein targets. In cell-based assays, compounds 29, 30, and 36 engaged PRMT3 and potently inhibited its methyltransferase activity (Figures 4 and 5). These inhibitors and negative controls are excellent chemical tools for the biomedical community to further investigate biological functions and disease associations of PRMT3.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry General Procedures. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed employing EMD Milipore 210-270 $\mu \mathrm{m} 60$-F254 silica gel plates. The plates were visualized by exposure to UV light. Flash column chromatography was performed on a Teledyne ISCO CombiFlash $\mathrm{Rf}^{+}$system equipped with a variable wavelength UV detector and a fraction collector using RediSep Rf normal phase silica columns. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were acquired on a Bruker DRX-600 spectrometer or on a Varian Mercury spectrometer at 400 MHz . Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\mathrm{ppm}, \delta$ ) scale relative to solvent residual peak (chloroform- $d$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 7.26 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 77.16 \mathrm{ppm}$; methanol- $d_{4}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 3.31 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 49.0$ $\mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{p}=$ pentet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, app $=$ apparent), coupling constant, and integration. HPLC spectra for all compounds were acquired using an Agilent 6110 series system with a UV detector set to 254 nm . Samples were injected ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) onto an Agilent Eclipse Plus, $4.6 \AA, \sim 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.8$ $\mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C} 18$ column at room temperature, either with a linear gradient from $50 \%$ to $100 \%$ B ( $\mathrm{MeOH}+0.1 \%$ acetic acid) in 5.0 min followed by pumping $100 \%$ B for another 2 min with A being $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \%$ acetic acid, or by a linear gradient from $10 \%$ to $100 \%$ B ( $\mathrm{MeOH}+$ $0.1 \%$ acetic acid) in 5.0 min followed by pumping $100 \%$ B for another 2 min with A being $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \%$ acetic acid. The flow rate was $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} /$ min . Mass spectrometry (MS) data were acquired in positive ion mode using an Agilent 6110 single-quadrupole mass spectrometer with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source. HRMS analysis was conducted on an Agilent Technologies G1969A high-resolution API-TOF mass spectrometer attached to an Agilent Technologies 1200 HPLC system. Samples were ionized by ESI in positive mode. All biologically


Figure 5. Cellular inhibitory activity of compounds 29, 30, and 36. HEK293 cells were cotransfected with FLAG tagged PRMT3 (wt) or its catalytic mutant (mt) and GFP-tagged histone H 4 and treated with different concentrations of compounds, as indicated. Total cell lysates were collected 24 h post inhibitor treatment and analyzed for H4R3me2a, GFP, and FLAG levels by Western blotting. The graphs represent nonlinear fits of H4R3me2a fluorescence intensities normalized to GFP. The results are the averages of three replicates.
evaluated compounds had $>95 \%$ purity using the HPLC methods described above.

1-(Benzo[d][1,2,3]thiadiazol-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (3). Compound 3 was prepared according to previously published procedures. ${ }^{21}$

1-(Isoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (4). Compound 4 was prepared according to previously published procedures. ${ }^{22}$

1-(Isoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (5). To a solution of isoquinolin-6-amine ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.347 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$ dimethylformamide (DMF) $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added $N, N^{\prime}$-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.520 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h prior to the addition of 2-amino-1-(piperidin-1-yl)ethan-1-one ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.694 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred for a further 6 h . Following dilution with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (EtOAc) $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After filtration, all solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford title compound (5) (31 mg, 29\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.38-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-$ $1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . m / z(\mathrm{HRMS})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$: calculated 313.1659, found 313.1662.

1-(1-Oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-5-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (6). To a solution of 5 -amino-3H-benzofuran-1-one ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF ( 1.5 mL ) was added CDI ( 90 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 8 h at rt . 2-Amino-1-piperidin-1-ylethanone hydrochloride salt ( 134 mg , $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was then added followed by Hunig's base ( 131 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). After being stirred for 18 h at rt , the resulting mixture was diluted with water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product, which was then purified by flash column chromatography to yield desired compound as white solid ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{+}$: calculated 318.1, found 318.1.

1-(2-Oxo-2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)-3-(quinazolin-7-yl)urea (7). To a solution of quinazolin- 7 -amine ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added CDI ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 8 h at rt. 2-Amino-1-piperidin-1ylethanone hydrochloride salt ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was then added followed by Hunig's base ( $131 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). After being stirred for 18 h at rt , the resulting mixture was diluted with water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product, which was then purified by flash column chromatography to yield desired compound ( 10 mg , $6 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$: calculated 314.2, found 314.2.

1-(Isoquinolin-7-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (8). To a solution of isoquinolin- 7 -amine $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.347 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1.6 mL ) at room temperature was added CDI ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.520 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h prior to the addition of 2 -amino-1-(piperidin-1-yl)ethan-1-one ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.694 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred for a further 6 h . Following dilution with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After filtration, all solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford title compound (8) ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $9.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85$
$(\mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-$ $3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (HRMS) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$: calculated 313.1659, found 313.1664.

General Procedures for the Preparation of Compounds 914 in Table 2. Compounds 9-14 shown in Table 2 were prepared according general procedures described below. To a solution of isoquinolin- 6 -amine ( 1.0 equiv) and triethylamine (TEA) ( 2 equiv) in DMF ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.347 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added CDI ( 1.5 equiv), and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . To the reaction mixture was then added the corresponding amine ( 2 equiv), and the mixture was allowed to stir for additional 1 h . Then 50 mL of water and 50 mL of EtOAc were added to the reaction mixture. After extraction, the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with $0-$ $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to give the product.

1-(2-(Cyclohex-1-en-1-yl)ethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (9). Yellow oil ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (chloroform-d) $\delta: 9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.627-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$: calculated 296.2, found 296.1.

1-(2-Cyclohexylethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (10). Yellow oil (69 $\mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.83-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$: calculated 298.2, found 298.1.

1-(2-Cyclopentylethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (11). Light yellow oil ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (chloroform-d) $\delta: 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.56$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-$ $1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$: calculated 284.2, found 284.1.

1-(2-Cyclopropylethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (12). White solid $\left(27 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta: 9.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.46$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-$ $3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.61-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.35-0.49$ (m, 2H). MS (ESI) $m / z[M+H]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$: calculated 256.1, found 256.1.

1-(Isoquinolin-6-yl)-3-phenethylurea (13). Light yellow oil ( 23 mg , $22 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (chloroform-d) $\delta: 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-8.47$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (dd, $J=$ $8.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$: calculated 292.1, found 292.1.

1-(2-(1-(Aminomethyl)cyclohexyl)ethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (14). The general procedure was applied using tert-butyl ((1-(2aminoethyl)cyclohexyl)methyl)carbamate as the amine ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ to give tert-butyl ((1-(2-(3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)ethyl)cyclohexyl)methyl) carbamate as a white solid. To the solution of tertbutyl ((1-(2-(3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)ethyl)cyclohexyl)methyl)carbamate $(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.305 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TFA $(1.000 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.98 \mathrm{mmol})$. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . To the mixture was added 5 mL of toluene, and it was then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparativeHPLC to give the product as white solid ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 8.94-9.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (br s, 1H), 7.88-7.99 (m, 1H), 7.49-7.69 (m, 2H), 3.26-3.44 (m, 2H), 3.02-3.26 (m, 2H), $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}^{+}$: calculated 327.2, found 327.2.

General Procedures for the Synthesis of Amides 15-35 in Tables 3 and 4. Synthesis of (Isoquinolin-6-ylcarbamoyl)glycine (28) (Scheme 1). To a stirring solution of 6-aminoisoquinoline ( 1.2 g , $8.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in a mixture of dichloromethane and DMF (30
and 10 mL ) was added ethyl isocyanatoacetate ( $2.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removal of volatiles, the crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (gradient from $100 \%$ dichloromethane to $10 \%$ methanol in dichloromethane) to yield the desired ethyl ester (27) $)^{22}$ as a pale yellow solid, which was resuspended in methanol $(48 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the addition of 1 N solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(24 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting clear mixture was then stirred at room temperature overnight. After concentration of the mixture under reduced pressure, the crude mixture was purified by reverse phase flash column chromatography (gradient from 100\% water to $10 \%$ methanol in dichloromethane) to yield the desired acid 28 as a TFA salt ( $2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ over two steps).

To a stirring mixture of the above acid 28 (1.0 equiv) in DMF ( 0.8 $\mathrm{mL} / 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added N -(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride ( $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ) ( 1.5 equiv), 1-hydroxy-7azabenzotriazole (HOAt) ( 1.5 equiv), and the corresponding amine (1.5 equiv) followed by $N$-methylmorpholine (NMM) ( 2 equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The reaction was purified by either flash column chromatography or HPLC to give pure products.

1-(2-(Azetidin-1-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (15). The reaction mixture was purified by HPLC to give pure product as a white solid (mono-TFA salt, $8 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.02$ (d, $J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-$ $2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 285.1, found 285.2.

1-(2-(Azepan-1-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (16). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (mono-TFA salt, 21 mg , $40 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 9.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-$ $3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 170.5$, 156.7, 149.3, 146.9, 145.6, 142.1, 133.3, 132.1, 125.4, 124.2, 112.4, 48.1, 47.4, 42.5, 29.7, 28.5, 28.3, 27.8. MS (ESI) $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 327.2, found 327.2.

1-(2-(4,4-Difluoropiperidin-1-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (17). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (monoTFA salt, $51 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.39(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 169.5,156.8,149.3,147.0,145.7,142.1$, 132.2, 125.3, 124.3, 122.9, 112.5, 111.2, 42.6, 40.3, 35.1, 34.6. MS (ESI) $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 349.2, found 349.2.

1-(Isoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-oxoethyl)urea (18). The title compound was obtained as a light yellow solid (bis-TFA salt, $48 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.96$ (br s, 1H), $9.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12$ ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}$; these protons are obscured by residual water in DMSO), 2.83 (br s, 3 H ). MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 328.2, found 328.2.

2-(3-(Isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide (19). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (mono-TFA salt, 14.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 18 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29$ (d, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 273.1, found 273.2.

N,N-Diethyl-2-(3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)acetamide (20). The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $34.1 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.60 (dd, $J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42$ (apparent $\mathrm{p}, J=7.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 301.2, found 301.2.

N -Cyclopentyl-2-(3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)-N-methylacetamide (21). The title compound was obtained as a white solid $(18.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $40 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.27-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 327.2, found 327.2.

2-(3-(Isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)- $N$-methoxy- $N$-methylacetamide (22). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (mono-TFA salt, $34.9 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{+}$: calculated 289.1, found 289.1.

2-(3-(Isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)-N-methylacetamide (23). The reaction mixture was then purified by HPLC to give pure product as a white mono-TFA salt ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36-8.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$: calculated 259.1, found 259.1.

N-Cyclopropyl-2-(3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)acetamide (24). The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-262(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.48-$ $0.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 285.1, found 285.1.

N-Cyclopentyl-2-(3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)acetamide (25). The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.00-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{dq}, J=$ 14.4, 8.3, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 313.2, found 313.2.

N-Cyclohexyl-2-(3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)ureido)acetamide (26). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (mono-TFA salt, 48.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 53 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (dd, $J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.87$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 327.2, found 327.2.

1-(Isoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(3,3,4,4-tetrafluoropyrrolidin-1yl)ethyl)urea (29). The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $48.3 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z(\mathrm{HRMS})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 371.1126, found 371.1153.

1-(2-(2,5-Dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6yl)urea (30). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (48.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). The amine, 2,5-dimethylpyrrolidine, is used as mixture of cis and trans for the coupling reaction, and only the major product is reported below. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 9.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-$ $4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z(\mathrm{HRMS})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 327.1816, found 371.1819.

Methyl(isoquinolin-6-ylcarbamoyl)glycyl-ь-prolinate (31). The title compound was obtained as a yellow solid (mono-TFA salt, 51 $\mathrm{mg}, 65 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-$
$2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{+}$: calculated 357.2, found 357.2. $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-70$ (c 1.6, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$.

1-(2-(Hexahydrocyclopenta[c]pyrrol-2(1H)-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(iso-quinolin-6-yl)urea (32). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (mono-TFA salt, $33 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol$\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 9.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-279(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.73-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$: calculated 339.2, found 339.2.

1-(2-(|soindolin-2-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (33). The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 347.2, found 347.2.

1-(2-(7-Azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6-yl)urea (34). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (mono-TFA salt, $33 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta$ $9.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{q}, J=9.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 325.2, found 325.2.

1-(2-(8-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-8-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(isoquinolin-6$y l) u r e a$ (35). The title compound was obtained as a white solid (22 $\mathrm{mg}, 38 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 8.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 339.2, found 339.2.

1-(7-Fluoroisoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (36) (Scheme 2A; Table 5). A mixture of aminoacetaldehyde dimethyl acetal ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 4-bromo-3fluorobenzaldehyde ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in toluene ( 40 mL ) in a round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser and Dean-Stark trap was heated to reflux for 6 h . Then the reaction mixture was quenched with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. After concentration of volatiles, crude mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 4.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was added at room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was then quenched with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. Combined organic layers were washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the desired amino acetal $\left(41\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)\right.$ in Scheme 2A) $(0.65 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%$ ). The amino acetal ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was resuspended in DCM ( 20 mL ), and TEA ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.57 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3 equiv), $\mathrm{TsCl}(0.27 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and DMAP ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were added to give a clear solution, which was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then suspended in water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). Combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated down. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography to give the desired tosyl amine $(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)\left(42\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)\right.$ in Scheme 2A).

To a flame-dried flask equipped with Teflon stir bar was added $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv) under nitrogen atmosphere followed by the addition of the above solution of tosyl amine ( 0.2 g , $0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{DCM}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was stirred under nitrogen at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. Combined organic layers were washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude oil was then purified by flash column chromatography to yield desired 6-bromo-7-fluoroisouinoline ( 58 mg , $58 \%)\left(43\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)\right.$ in Scheme 2 A$)$.

To a flame-dried pressure vessel equipped with Teflon stirring bar was added $\mathrm{CuI}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, L-proline ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10$ mmol, $40 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) as solid, and the vessel was flame-dried again under vacuum. Then DMSO (1 mL ) solution of 6-bromo-7-fluoroisouinoline ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added to the pressure vessel under nitrogen atmosphere followed by the addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension in a sealed vessel was then heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was then cooled down to rt , suspended in water ( 5 $\mathrm{mL})$ and EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and further extracted with EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 3). Combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude oil was then purified by flash column chromatography to yield desired 7-fluoroisouinoline-6amine $\left(44\left(R_{1}=F, R_{2}=H\right)\right.$ in Scheme $\left.2 A\right)$. This amine was then immediately dissolved in DCM/DMF ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and ethyl isocyanato acetate ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, and after removal of volatiles, it was purified by flash column chromatography and immediately hydrolyzed to acid with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ overnight. After purification by reverse phase chromatography, the desired acid was obtained as a white solid. To a stirring mixture of the acid ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) was added pyrrolidine ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.70$ equiv) followed by $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(23.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.70$ equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Flash column chromatography yielded 1-(7-fluoroisoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (36) as a white solid ( 16.2 mg , $20 \%$ yield over four steps, starting from 6-bromo-7-fluoroisouinoline $\left.43\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 9.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.88(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H). MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (HRMS) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$: calculated 317.1408, found 317.1418.

1-(7-Methylisoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (37) (Scheme 2A; Table 5). The same procedures as above were used for the synthesis of 1-(7-methylisoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea starting from 4-bromo-3-methylbenzaldehyde. 6-Bromo-7-methylisouinoline (43 ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ ); 50\%, over the first four steps). Compound 37 was obtained in $20 \%(24 \mathrm{mg})$ over the last four steps as described above. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol$\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{p}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS $m / z$ (HRMS) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$: calculated 313.1659, found 313.1662.

1-(1-Methylisoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (38) (Scheme 2A; Table 5). The same procedures as above were used for the synthesis of 1-(7-methylisoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (36) starting from 4'-bromoacetophenone. 6-Bromo-7-methylisouinoline $\left(43\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)\right)$ was obtained in $27 \%$, over the first four steps. Compound 38 was then obtained ( 2 mg , $2 \%)$ over the last four steps as described above. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 8.17-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{p}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{p}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H). MS (ESI) $m / z[M+H]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 313.2, found 313.2.

1-(3-Fluoroisoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (39) (Scheme 2B; Table 5). To the mixture of 6-bromoisoquinolin-3-amine ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and HF. pyridine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ ( $243 \mathrm{mg}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) in water ( 5 mL ). Upon completion of the addition, the cold bath was removed and the reaction was allowed to warm up to room temperature for 1.5 h at which point saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 45 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution, and phases were separated and aqueous phase further extracted with DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. Combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude material was purified
by flash column chromatography to yield 6-bromo-3-fluoroisoquinoline as a white solid $(128 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$. The same procedure that was used for the synthesis of 36 (last four steps) was repeated to obtain the title compound $39(6 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 8.79$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9,2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{p}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI) $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 317.1, found 317.2.

1-(8-Chloroisoquinolin-6-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (40) (Scheme 2C; Table 5). To a stirring mixture of 5aminoisoquinoline ( $5.76 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in pyridine ( 40 mL ) was added acetic anhydride ( $5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The precipitate formed was filtered and washed with cold hexanes $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ in portions to give acetylated product ( $6.98 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). To a stirring mixture of 5-acetyl aminoisoquinoline ( $3.36 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in DMF ( 30 mL ) was added NCS $(2.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and the resulting mixture was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and water ( 50 mL ) was added. After separation, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$, and combined organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude material was purified by flash column chromatography to yield desired compound ( $3.53 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ).

To a solution of 5-acetylamino-8-chloroisoquinoline ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.53$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added dibromocyanuric acid $(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), and the reaction mixture was heated to 65 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After concentration, the obtained crude product resuspended in $\mathrm{EtOH}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$, conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 3 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled down to rt and neutralized with 1 N NaOH to pH 7 and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. Combined organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude material ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ over two steps) was used for the next step without further purification. Crude material ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ (in 15 mL water) and $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ (in 18 mL water). The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{AcOH}(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction was allowed to stir at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was neutralized with 1 N $\mathrm{NaOH}(\sim 350 \mathrm{~mL})$ to $\mathrm{pH} 8-9$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(250 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 3). Combined organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude material was purified by flash column chromatography to yield the desired compound ( 100 mg , $34 \%$ ). The same procedures that were used for the synthesis of 36 (last four steps) were repeated to obtain the title compound 40 ( 51 $\mathrm{mg}, 30 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 9.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: calculated 333.1 and 335.1 ; found 333.1 and 335.1.

1-(Naphthalen-2-yl)-3-(2-oxo-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)urea (51). Compound 51 was prepared according to a previously published procedure. ${ }^{22}$

Synthesis and characterization data for compounds 49 and 50 are detailed in the Supporting Information.

PRMT3 Biochemical Assay. The radiometric scintillation proximity assays to evaluate the potency of the compounds were performed as described previously. ${ }^{22}$ The reactions were done under balanced conditions using the biotinylated histone H 4 peptide (Tufts University Peptide Synthesis Core Facility, Boston, Ma) with the sequence of SGRGKGGKGLGKGGAKRHRKVLRDK-biotin) as substrate and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{S}$-adenosylmethionine (Waltham, MA, Cat\# NET155 V001MC, specific activity range $12-18 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as the methyl donor.

Selectivity Assays. The methyltransferase selectivity of 29, 30, and 36 was assessed at compound concentrations of 1,5 , and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ as described previously. ${ }^{22,25-27}$

Cellular PRMT3 Assay. Compound effects in cells were determined as described previously (PMID: 27423858, 25728001). Briefly, HEK293 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS, penicillin ( 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ), and streptomycin $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$. The cells were cotransfected with FLAG-tagged PRMT3/mutantPRMT3 and GFP-tagged histone H4 (constructs described in PMID 25728001) using 293fectin Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen), following manufacturer instructions. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (in mM: 20 Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH}=8,150 \mathrm{NaCl}, 1$ EDTA, $10 \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \%$ Triton-X100, $12.5 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ benzonase (Sigma), complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). After 3 min incubation at rt, SDS was added to a final $1 \%$ concentration. Lysates were separated on SDS PAGE, blotted, and probed with indicated antibodies: mouse anti-GFP (1:5000, Clontech \#632381), mouse anti-H4 (1:1000, Abcam \#174628), rabbit anti-H4R3me2a (1:1000 Active Motif \#39705), and mouse anti-FLAG (1:5000, Sigma \#F1804). The signal was read on an Odyssey scanner (LiCor) at 800 and 700 nm . Fluorescence intensity of H4R3me2a was quantified and normalized to GFP and H4 signals for exogenous and endogenous histones, respectively.

PRMT3 In-Cell Hunter Assay. This cellular assay was performed as described previously. ${ }^{22}$
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