

## 

**Citation:** Sanchez-Sandoval AL, Herrera Carrillo Z, Díaz Velásquez CE, Delgadillo DM, Rivera HM, Gomora JC (2018) Contribution of S4 segments and S4-S5 linkers to the low-voltage activation properties of T-type Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels. PLoS ONE 13(2): e0193490. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0193490

**Editor:** Alexander G. Obukhov, Indiana University School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: July 25, 2017

Accepted: February 12, 2018

Published: February 23, 2018

**Copyright:** © 2018 Sanchez-Sandoval et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This study was supported by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología-México, grant number 167790-B to JCG, https://www.conacyt. gob.mx/; Programa de Apoyo a Proyectos de Investigación e Innovación Tecnológica (PAPIIT), Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, grant number IN207614 to JCG, http://dgapa.unam.mx/ **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

## Contribution of S4 segments and S4-S5 linkers to the low-voltage activation properties of Ttype Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels

Ana Laura Sanchez-Sandoval<sup>1</sup>, Zazil Herrera Carrillo<sup>1</sup>, Clara Estela Díaz Velásquez<sup>2</sup>, Dulce María Delgadillo<sup>3</sup>, Heriberto Manuel Rivera<sup>4</sup>, Juan Carlos Gomora<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Departamento de Neuropatología Molecular, División de Neurociencias, Instituto de Fisiología Celular, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Mexico City, México, 2 Programa de Neurociencias, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Tlalnepantla de Baz, Estado de México, México, 3 Laboratorios Nacionales de Servicios Experimentales Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Mexico City, México, 4 Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos Cuernavaca, Morelos, México

\* jgomora@ifc.unam.mx

## Abstract

Voltage-gated calcium channels contain four highly conserved transmembrane helices known as S4 segments that exhibit a positively charged residue every third position, and play the role of voltage sensing. Nonetheless, the activation range between high-voltage (HVA) and low-voltage (LVA) activated calcium channels is around 30-40 mV apart, despite the high level of amino acid similarity within their S4 segments. To investigate the contribution of S4 voltage sensors for the low-voltage activation characteristics of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels we constructed chimeras by swapping S4 segments between this LVA channel and the HVA Cav1.2 channel. The substitution of S4 segment of Domain II in Cav3.3 by that of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 (chimera IIS4C) induced a ~35 mV shift in the voltage-dependence of activation towards positive potentials, showing an I-V curve that almost overlaps with that of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel. This HVA behavior induced by IIS4C chimera was accompanied by a 2-fold decrease in the voltage-dependence of channel gating. The IVS4 segment had also a strong effect in the voltage sensing of activation, while substitution of segments IS4 and IIIS4 moved the activation curve of Cav3.3 to more negative potentials. Swapping of IIS4 voltage sensor influenced additional properties of this channel such as steady-state inactivation, current decay, and deactivation. Notably, Domain I voltage sensor played a major role in preventing Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels to inactivate from closed states at extreme hyperpolarized potentials. Finally, site-directed mutagenesis in the Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channel revealed a partial contribution of the S4-S5 linker of Domain II to LVA behavior, with synergic effects observed in double and triple mutations. These findings indicate that IIS4 and, to a lesser degree IVS4, voltage sensors are crucial in determining the LVA properties of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels, although the accomplishment of this function involves the participation of other structural elements like S4-S5 linkers.



index.php/impulso-a-la-investigacion/papiit. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### Introduction

T-type calcium or Ca<sub>V</sub>3 channels are low-voltage activated (LVA) calcium channels that, together with high-voltage activated (HVA) calcium channels, are key elements in regulating calcium influx in most cells [1]. In particular, T-type calcium channels fulfill such function by activating close to the cells' resting potential, which allows these channels to participate in several cell functions as neuronal burst firing [2–4], neurotransmitter and hormone release [5–8], proliferation and differentiation [9], and vasomotor function [10–12]. Therefore, T-type calcium channels are important pharmacological targets in pathophysiological processes like epilepsy [13–16], sleep disorders [17,18], hypertension [19–21] and cancer [22–25].

All voltage-gated calcium channels (LVA and HVA) are formed by a main pore-forming subunit ( $\alpha$ 1), which consists of four repeated domains (I to IV), each of them containing six transmembrane segments (S1 to S6). Segments S1 to S4 constitute the voltage sensor domain (VSD) with the S4 segment acting as the voltage sensor (characterized by several positivelycharged residues, arginines or lysines); and the pore region is formed by segments S5, S6 and the membrane-associated loop between them [26]. It has been demonstrated that the movement of the S4 segment is responsible for the opening and closing of the voltage-gated channels [27,28]. However, to date there are just a few structure-function studies in  $Ca_V 3$  channels focused to elucidate the molecular substrates responsible for the low-voltage activation characteristics of these channels. Two previous studies performed by the group of Wray and colleagues [29,30], using chimeras between the LVA (Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1) and HVA (Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2) calcium channels, have suggested that Domains I, III and IV are decisive for channel opening and each Domain, as a whole, strongly contributes to the difference in voltage dependence of activation between  $Ca_V 3.1$  and  $Ca_V 1.2$ channels. Nevertheless, this difference in voltage dependence was not observed when only the individual S4 voltage sensors in domains I, III and IV were swapped between the LVA and HVA channels. Moreover, the molecular substrate for determining the voltage dependence of activation of Domain I was found to be the pore region rather than the VSD. Unfortunately, these studies were focused on the voltage dependence of activation characteristic, whereas other LVA properties of the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 T-type calcium channel were not investigated.

Two additional studies about the role of individual charged residues in the S4 segments have been reported. Both studies found no significant role in the voltage sensing of activation for the outermost arginine (R1) in the IVS4 of both Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 [31] and Ca<sub>V</sub>3.2 channels [32]. On the contrary, the second (R2) and third (R3) outermost arginines had a contribution in voltage-sensing activation, but they were not involved in the channel inactivation from the open state. However, the effects of these arginines on the voltage-dependence of inactivation were not evaluated. More recently, Morino et al. [33] reported a heterozygous mutation in 13 individuals from two different Japanese families diagnosed with spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA). The mutation was found to be located in S4 segment of repeat IV of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1, introducing a histidine instead of the third outermost arginine (R3) of this S4 voltage sensor (Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1-R1715H). Contrasting with the absence of effect on voltage dependence of activation of the exact same mutation on Ca<sub>V</sub>3.2 reported by Lam [31], the mutant channel Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1-R1715H from the SCA individuals resulted in robust effects on the voltage dependence of activation and inactivation, by shifting the gating to more positive potentials [33]. Interestingly, when the same mutation was introduced into a different splice variant of the Cav3.1 channel, the results showed only a discrete, although significant (4 mV), effect on the voltage sensing of activation toward more positive potentials. Such kind of contrasting results suggest that the gating of  $Ca_V 3$  channels might be related to different interactions between S4 voltage sensors and other channel structures not canonically related to the voltage sensing in voltage-gate channels, even when the S4 segment sequences are quite similar among Ca<sub>V</sub>3 channels.

The third member of  $Ca_V 3$  calcium channels subfamily is the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel [34], which is predominantly expressed in brain and in peripheral primary sensory neurons [35,36]. Recently it has been shown that  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels trigger synaptic plasticity in reticular thalamic neurons, nRT [37,38]. In addition, Cav3.3 channels dominate nRt rhythmic bursting and mediates a substantial fraction of spindle power in the NREM sleep EEG [39,40]. Structure-function studies like those mentioned above for  $Ca_V 3.1$  and  $Ca_V 3.2$ , have not been reported for  $Ca_V 3.3$ channels, with the exception of one from Zamponi's group [41], which suggested a role of Domains I and IV in the control of voltage dependence, using chimeras between Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 and  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels. However, the role of individual S4 segments to the LVA properties of  $Ca_V 3.3$ channels has not been evaluated. In the present study, we have investigated the contribution of the voltage sensors of each individual S4 segments for the low-voltage activated characteristics of the Cav3.3 T-type calcium channels. Our strategy consisted in constructing single and double chimeras where the S4 voltage sensors of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels were substituted with the corresponding S4 segments of the HVA  $Ca_V 1.2$  channel. Then, by using the whole-cell patch-clamp technique we systematically analyzed the biophysical properties of the constructs expressed in HEK-293 cells. Our results point out to a predominant role of the IIS4 voltage sensor in determining most of the low-voltage activation behavior of the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels with an additional contribution of IVS4. Furthermore, our evidences from site-directed mutagenesis suggest that the critical role of the voltage sensor of Domain II involves the participation of some residues from its own S4-S5 linker.

## Materials and methods

### Construction of calcium channel chimeras and mutants

Chimeras were made by replacing S4 segments of human  $Ca_V 3.3$  or  $\alpha 11$  (GenBank accession number AF393329) by the corresponding region of mouse  $Ca_V 1.2$  or  $\alpha 1C$  (GenBank accession number AY728090). The whole sequence of each segment swapped between both  $Ca_V$  channels is presented in Fig 1. The naming of chimeras was as follows: chimera IS4C contains the backbone of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 but the S4 segment of Domain I was replaced by the corresponding segment of  $Ca_V 1.2$ ; a similar logic applies to the chimeras IIS4C, IIIS4C and IVS4C. On the contrary, chimera IIS4I contains the backbone of Cav1.2 and the S4 segment of Domain II was replaced by the corresponding segment of  $Ca_V 3.3$ . For the IIS4C and IVS4C chimeras, we used two intermediate constructions in pUC19: one, with the 4737 bp fragment of  $Ca_V 3.3$  (*HindIII*-5'-Polylinker/HindIII-4737), named here pUC19-a1I-HindIII; and two, with the 2881 bp fragment of Ca<sub>v</sub>1.2 (SphI 1463/SphI 4343), named pUC19-a1C-SphI. Then, by using PCR-based mutagenesis with Pfu Ultra DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) we introduced restriction sites at the 5' and 3' ends of S4 segments of both channels. For IIS4, SpeI and BspEI; and for IVS4, AgeI and XhoI, respectively. Then, the S4 segments of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 were substituted with the corresponding segment in Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 using the mentioned enzymes in pUC19-a1I-HindIII. Because the insertion of such restriction sites also generated non-wanted mutations in the sequence of the S4 segments, these were corrected with a second round of PCR-based mutagenesis. Once this was done, the chimeric fragments of  $Ca_V 3.3$  containing the IIS4 and IVS4 of Cav1.2 (in pUC19-a11-HindIII) were then reinserted back to Cav3.3 wildtype (WT) using the Afel fragment (1537 bp) for the IIS4C chimera, and the BsrGI-HindIII fragment (685 bp) for the IVS4 chimera.

In contrast, IS4 and IIIS4 chimeras, were engineered using the overlapping extension PCR method [43]. For each chimeric fragment, four overlapping primers and two conventional primers were used. The combination of these primers in six different PCR reactions using Herculase II Fusion DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies), originated the IS4C and IIIS4C

			IS4
			-
Q01	815	mCav1.2	DV <mark>K</mark> AL <mark>R</mark> AF <mark>R</mark> VL <mark>R</mark> PL <mark>R</mark> LVSGVPSLQV
Q9P	0X4	HCav3.3	NLSAI <mark>R</mark> TV <mark>R</mark> VL <mark>R</mark> PL <mark>K</mark> AINRVPSMRI
095	180	HCav3.2	SLSAI <mark>R</mark> TV <mark>R</mark> VL <mark>R</mark> PL <mark>R</mark> AINRVPSMRI
043	497	HCav3.1	SFSAV <mark>R</mark> TV <mark>R</mark> VL <mark>R</mark> PL <mark>R</mark> AINRVPSMRI
			R1 R2 R3 R4 R5
			IIS4
			-
Q01	815	mCav1.2	VL <mark>R</mark> CV <mark>R</mark> LL <mark>R</mark> IF <mark>K</mark> IT <mark>R</mark> YWNSLSNLV
Q9P	0X4	HCav3.3	VL <mark>R</mark> TF <mark>R</mark> LL <mark>R</mark> VL <mark>K</mark> LV <mark>R</mark> FMPALRRQI
095	180	HCav3.2	VL <mark>R</mark> TF <mark>R</mark> LL <mark>R</mark> VL <mark>K</mark> LV <mark>R</mark> FLPALRRQI
043	497	HCav3.1	VL <mark>R</mark> TF <mark>R</mark> LM <mark>R</mark> VL <mark>K</mark> LV <mark>R</mark> FLPALQRQI
			R2 R3 R4 R5 R6
			11184
0.01	015	a 1 0	F
QUI	815	mCav1.2	VVKILRVLRVLRPLRAINRAKGLKHV
Q9P	10X4	HCav3.3	VLRVLRLLRTLRPLRVISRAPGLKLV
095	180	HCav3.2	VLRVLRLLRTLRPLRVISRAPGLKLV
043	497	HCav3.1	MLRVLRLLRTLRPLRVISRAQGLKLV
			RI RZ R3 R4 R5
			IVS4
			-
Q01	815	mCav1.2	ITFFRLFRVMRLVKLLSRGEGIRTLI
Q9P	0X4	HCav3.3	IRIMRVLRIARVLKLLKMATGMRALI
095	T80	HCav3.2	IRIMRVLRIARVLKLLKMATGMRALI
043	497	HCav3.1	IRIMRVLRIARVLKLLKMAVGMRALI
			KI KZ K3 K4 K3 K0

Fig 1. Sequence of the S4 segments of  $Ca_V 1.2$  and  $Ca_V 3.3 \alpha 1$  subunits. Amino acid sequence alignment of the S4 segments that were swapped between  $Ca_V 1.2$  and  $Ca_V 3.3 \alpha 1$  subunits. Amino acid sequence alignment of the S4 segments that were swapped between  $Ca_V 1.2$  and  $Ca_V 3.3 \alpha 1$  subunits. Amino acid sequence alignment of the S4 segments that were swapped between  $Ca_V 1.2$  and  $Ca_V 3.3 \alpha 1$  subunits. Amino acid sequence alignment of the S4 segments are colored in red, and those shaded yellow (labelled R1-R6) are the equivalent residues that are involved in the gating of Shaker K<sup>+</sup> channel [27]. The cylinders depicting S4 segments are restricted to the structural data reported recently by Wu et al. [42]. According to this new data, all four swapped segments in our chimeras included some residues corresponding to the S4-S5 linkers (right of cylinders indicating S4 segments). The S4 segments of mouse  $Ca_V 1.2$  (Uniprot ID: Q01815) are identical to the human  $Ca_V 1.2$  (Uniprot ID: Q13936), therefore in the alignments only the mouse sequence used here is shown. The S4 segments of  $Ca_V 3.1$  (Uniprot ID: O43497) and  $Ca_V 3.2$  (Uniprot ID: O95180) human channels are also shown for appreciation of differences within  $Ca_V 3$  subfamily members. Sequence alignments were performed with ClustalW using DNAsis MAX 3.0 (Hitachi Solutions, San Bruno, CA).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.g001

chimeric PCR fragments, which were cloned into the pJET1.2/Blunt vector by the means of the CloneJET PCR Cloning kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Full length chimeric channels were made by subcloning fragments from pJET1.2/Blunt into a Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channel. The *ClaI-AvrII* fragment (2786 bp) was used for chimera IS4C and the *AvrII-BsrGI* fragment (1388 bp) for the IIIS4C.

In the construction of IIS4I chimera, the constructs pUC19-a1I-HindIII and pUC19-a1C-SphI were used again, but in this case the IIS4 segment from  $Ca_V 1.2$  was replaced for that of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel. Previously, we have inserted an *AfeI* restriction site in pUC19-a1C-SphI, and

PLOS

this was incorporated into Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel with the subcloning of the *BsrGI-SacII* fragment (2303 bp). The insertion of the *AfeI* site generated a point mutation that changed the value at position 682 for an alanine (V682A). This residue is located in the IIS5-IIS6 loop of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2  $\alpha$ 1 subunit. Such mutation did not produce any changes in the biophysical properties of this mutant compared with the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2-WT channel (data not showed). Finally, the chimeric fragment containing the IIS4 of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 was subcloned into Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel by using the *BsrGI-AfeI* fragment (407 bp).

Double chimeras were obtained by the cut and paste strategy of single chimeras. For the chimera IS4C-IIS4C, the *AfeI* fragment (1536 bp) generated by the digestion of IS4C chimera was replaced in IIS4C chimera. In the construction of chimera IS4C-IIIS4C, ligation of three fragments was required. Fragment 1 was obtained by digesting IIIS4C chimera with *ClaI-BsrGI* enzymes, the 9053 bp fragment contained the IIIS4C chimeric fragment; fragment 2 was generated from the same chimera using *BsrGI-NsII* enzymes, producing a 1812 bp fragment; and fragment 3 was generated by the digestion of IS4C chimera with *ClaI-NsiI* (2360 bp; containing the chimeric fragment of IS4C). Finally, chimera IIS4C-IVS4C was obtained through ligation of a 11735 bp fragment, generated by digesting chimera IIS4C with *BspEII* (which contains the chimeric fragment of IIS4C), and the 1490 bp fragment obtained by digesting with the same enzyme the IVS4C chimera (containing the chimeric fragment of IVS4C).

Some chimeras were GFP-fused using the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-GFP-HA plasmid [44]. This construction encodes for a fusion protein containing the GFP attached to the amino-end of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel. With no exception, all GFP-fused chimeras were constructed by swapping of *SbfI-KpnI* fragment (7227 bp) between this construction and the respective chimera.

Site-directed mutagenesis of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel was performed by using the overlapping extension PCR method [43]. In this case, each mutant fragment was generated with three PCR reactions carried out using *Deep Vent* polymerase as specified by the manufacturer (New England Biolabs; Ipswich, MA). All PCR fragments were cloned into the pJET1.2/Blunt vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and full length mutant channels were made by subcloning the *AfeI* fragment (1536 pb) from pJET1.2/Blunt into a  $Ca_V 3.3$ -WT channel. The molecular identity of all constructions was verified by automated sequencing (Biomolecular Research Facility, Instituto de Fisiología Celular, UNAM).

### Cell culture and transfections

Human embryonic kidney (HEK-293) cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. All cell culture reagents were purchased from Gibco-Thermo Fisher Scientific. The HEK-293 cells were transiently co-transfected with plasmid DNA for green fluorescent protein (GFP) using JetPEI transfection reagent (PolyPlus Transfection, Illkirch, France). Transfections of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 and its chimeras included  $\beta$ 2a and  $\alpha$ 2 $\delta$ 1 subunits. All transfections were done with 3  $\mu$ g of total cDNA, and after 24–72 h GFP-positive cells were selected for electrophysiological experiments.

## Patch clamp experiments

Whole-cell Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents were recorded at room temperature (21–23°C) according to the patch-clamp technique [45] by using an Axopatch 200B amplifier, a Digidata 1320A/D converter, and the pCLAMP 10.4 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Currents were usually digitized and filtered at 5 kHz, except for tail currents which were sampled at 100 kHz and filtered at 10 kHz. Whole-cell series resistance and cell capacitance was estimated from

optimal cancellation of the capacitive transients with the built-in circuitry of the amplifier, and when required, compensated electrically by 60–70%. Unless otherwise stated, the holding potential (HP) was -100 mV. In some cases, currents were recorded on two channels, one with on-line leak subtraction using the P/-5 method, and the other to evaluate cell stability and holding current. Only leak subtracted data are shown. Cells were bathed in a solution containing (in mM): 5 CaCl<sub>2</sub>; 160 tetraethylammonium (TEA) chloride; and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4; 310–315 mOsm). The internal (pipette) solution contained (in mM): 135 CsCl; 10 EGTA; 4 Mg-ATP; 0.3 Tris-GTP; and 10 HEPES (pH 7.3; 295–300 mOsm).

In order to obtain peak current values and time constant from exponential fits, current recordings were analyzed with Clampfit 10.4; whereas average data (mean ± standard error) was obtained with Excel; finally graphs were plotted with Prism 6.0 software. The voltage dependence of current activation was estimated using a modified Boltzmann function to fit normalized *I*-*V* data:  $I = I_{max} (Vm - V_{rev}) / (1 + exp ((V1/2 - V_m)/k))$ , where *I* is current,  $V_m$  is the test potential,  $V_{rev}$  is the apparent reversal potential,  $V_{1/2}$  is the mid-point of activation, and *k* is the slope factor. Steady-state inactivation relationships (or availability curves) were obtained by fitting averaged data to a standard Boltzmann function:  $I = I_{max} / (1 + exp ((V_m - V_{1/2})/k)))$ , where  $I_{max}$  is the maximal current recorded at -30 mV,  $V_{1/2}$  is the midpoint of steady-state inactivation, and *k* is the slope. All quantitative results are given as the mean ± SEM. Differences in means were tested either with ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison against WT or unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, and were accepted as significant if P < 0.01.

### **Confocal microscopy**

For subcellular localization of GFP-tagged Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels, HEK-293 cells were transfected with the corresponding  $\alpha$ 1-subunit, as described above, and plated on FluoroDish 35-mm dishes (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) for 8 h under standard incubation conditions. Two hours before images were taken, the medium was replaced with 500 µl of fresh DMEM. Plasma membrane was stained with FM4-64 (Invitrogen-Thermo Fisher Scientific) by adding 1 µM directly to the culture dish 5 min before starting image acquisition. All confocal experiments were performed using an Olympus FluoView FV1000 confocal microscope, using a 60X (1.45 NA) oil immersion objective. For the detection of GFP-tagged calcium channels signal an Argon laser was used (excitation, 488 nm; emission 530 nm), and a Helium-Neon laser (excitation, 543 nm; emission 640 nm) for the FM4-64 signal. Confocal images were processed and analyzed with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

### Western blotting

Total protein from transiently transfected HEK-293 cells was extracted 48 h post-transfection using RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 1% IGEPAL; 1% Sodium deoxycholate, and 1% SDS) supplemented with complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche, Switzerland), and quantified by Bradford assay. Equal amounts of protein (30  $\mu$ g) were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and probed overnight with a specific polyclonal anti-GFP antibody at a 1:3000 dilution (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX). Blots were subsequently probed with antirabbit secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (1:10000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and visualized using the SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To confirm equal protein loading, blots were striped and probed with a homemade anti- $\beta$ -actin antibody diluted 1:1000. Signal intensity of immunoblots was calculated with ImageJ software.

### Results

#### Effects of S4 segments on the low-voltage activation of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels

We have investigated the role of the four S4 segments of the  $\alpha$ 1 subunit in the low-voltage activation behavior of  $Ca_V 3.3$  ( $\alpha 1I$ ) channels. As in previous studies [46,47], we used HEK-293 cells, a mammalian cell line, to express the constructed chimeras and Ca<sup>2+</sup> as charge carrier to perform whole-cell patch-clamp experiments. In order to investigate the role of each of the voltage sensors in the activation of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels we replaced the S4 segments of this channel with those of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 (Fig 1). In all our experiments, Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3  $\alpha$ 1 subunit was transfected alone, whereas Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channels were co-transfected with  $\beta 2a/\alpha 2\delta 1$  auxiliary subunits. As previously reported [34,48], Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channels are transient and activated at low voltages, while calcium currents of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channels are more sustained and activated at more depolarized potentials (Fig 2A). The maximal inward current for Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels was observed at -30 mV and at 0 mV for Cav1.2 channels, and currents were significantly larger for the LVA channel (Fig 2B). The normalized curves (Fig 2C) show the low-voltage activation characteristic of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels (black circles), compared with the ~30 mV right-shifted curve of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 (black triangles). The potential for the mid-point of activation  $(V_{1/2})$  and the slope of the relationship (k) was -45.4  $\pm$  0.7 mV, and 6.0  $\pm$  0.1 mV for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channels, and -11.9  $\pm$  1.3 mV, and 7.4  $\pm$  0.3 mV for Ca\_V1.2 channels (Table 1). As expected, there was a difference in the  $V_{1/2}$  of around 35 mV, which defines the difference in the voltage-dependence of activation between LVA and HVA channels.

Then, we characterized the voltage-dependence of activation of each of the four  $Ca_V 3.3$  chimeras to evaluate their contribution to the LVA behavior of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels. As shown in Fig 2A, the four chimeras of the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel, IS4C, IIIS4C, IIIS4C, and IVS4C, were functionally expressed in HEK-293 cells and generated robust inward currents, except for IIS4C, which displayed currents than were even smaller than the  $Ca_V 1.2$  channel. In order to eliminate cell size as a variable, we normalized row current values to the membrane capacitance ( $C_m$ ) for



**Fig 2. Contribution of S4 segments to the low-voltage activation of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels.** *A*, representative calcium currents of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3, IS4C, IIS4C, IIS4C, IVS4C, and Ca<sub>v</sub>1.2 channels at the indicated voltages. Holding potential was -100 mV, except for recordings of IS4C, where it was -120 mV. Charge carrier was always 5 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup>. The voltage of maximal inward current for each channel is indicated by arrows. Note the very tiny inward currents generated by IIS4C chimera, compared with those of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channel. *B*, current-voltage (*I-V*) relationships. Peak current amplitudes were normalized to the *C*<sub>m</sub> value of each cell, averaged, and plotted as a function of test potential. Note that current densities were very small for IIS4C, IVS4C, and Ca<sub>v</sub>1.2 channels. *C*, normalized *I-V* curves. For clarity purposes only inward currents are plotted. Solid lines are the best fits to the data with a modified Boltzmann function (see Material and Methods). Same cells as shown in *B*. Data in graphs represent mean ± SEM. Parameter values, number of cells and statistical significance are shown in Table 1.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.g002

each cell, and the ratio is reported as current density for each cell. Current density was  $98.3 \pm 11.5 \text{ pA/pF}$  for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channels, in contrast, this value was almost 9-times smaller for the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel, and still smaller (around 36 times) for the IIS4C chimera (Table 1). Although, is not unusual that calcium channels mutants or chimeras result in smaller current densities compared with the wild-type channel, due to the characteristics of this chimera we further study the likely causes of this small current (see below).

Regardless the differences observed in current density for each chimera, the striking results were obtained with chimera IIS4C in which the voltage sensor of domain II (IIS4 segment and part of the S4-S5 linker; Fig 1) of Cav3.3 channel was replaced with the corresponding segment of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2. It displayed the most right-shifted relationship (more than 35 mV), almost overlapping the corresponding I-V curve of Cav1.2 channels (Fig 2C). Fitting of IIS4C experimental data with a modified Boltzmann function gives a  $V_{1/2}$  value of -7.8 ± 2.2 mV, practically the same of  $Ca_V 1.2$  channels (Table 1). We use this method to calculate activation parameters in order to allow direct comparison with previous studies, however, strictly speaking is invalid to use a modified Boltzmann function since open Cav channels do not obey Ohm's linear law. Therefore, the parameters of Table 1 should be considered as empirical measurements that may not fully describe the true voltage dependence of the activation process. Nevertheless, the meaningful of the shift in  $V_{1/2}$  observed for the IIS4C chimera in comparison with the  $Ca_V 3.3$ -WT channel (Table 1), was also confirmed when using a tail currents protocol, where the tail current amplitude was plotted versus the voltage of 20-ms depolarizing pulses (S1 Fig). This activation analysis also showed a much smaller change in the slope's relationship (k parameter) for the activation of IIS4C chimera when compared with the WT channel (less than 2 mV; S1 Fig), whereas the modified Boltzmann function method yield a difference of 6 mV (Table 1). Therefore, the results regarding the *k* parameter of activation curves shown in Table 1 should be taken cautiously, as they may not accurately reflect the changes in the slope 's relationships. Finally, the chimera IIS4C was followed by IVS4C chimera in terms of displaying HVA-like I-V curves, with about a 15 mV shift to more positive voltages (Fig 2C); and the swapping of Domains I and III voltage sensors (chimera IS4 and IIIS4), induced smaller, but significant, shifts in the *I-V* curve to more negative potentials (Table 1).

The results of swapping the voltage sensors of each domain of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels with those of  $Ca_V 1.2$  channels show a crucial role of Domain II voltage sensor and, in to a lesser degree

Tabl	e 1.	Activation	parameters of	Ca <sub>v</sub> 3.3,	Ca <sub>V</sub> 1.2 and	l chimeric channels.
------	------	------------	---------------	----------------------	-------------------------	----------------------

Channel	pA/pF	$V_{1/2}$ (mV)	<i>k</i> (mV)	V <sub>rev</sub> (mV)	n
Ca <sub>V</sub> 3.3	-98.3 ± 11.5	$-45.4 \pm 0.7$	$6.0 \pm 0.1$	$30.1 \pm 0.5$	39
IS4C	-97.1 ± 18.4	$-53.8 \pm 1.5^{b}$	$6.5 \pm 0.3$	29.7 ± 0.8	11
IIS4C	$-2.7 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$-7.8 \pm 2.2^{a}$	$12.4 \pm 0.8^{a}$	$38.5 \pm 1.5^{a}$	21
IIIS4C	-90.2 ± 20.9	$-49.8 \pm 0.9^{b}$	$4.8 \pm 0.2^{b}$	29.0 ± 0.6	10
IVS4C	$-17.6 \pm 3.7^{a}$	$-29.6 \pm 0.8^{a}$	$6.9 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$32.1 \pm 0.7$	14
IS4C-IIS4C	$-2.6 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$-5.6 \pm 5.9^{a}$	$13.2 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$38.2 \pm 1.4^{a}$	9
IS4C-IIIS4C	$-36.6 \pm 6.1^{a}$	$-51.0 \pm 1.2^{b}$	5.6 ± 0.3	$26.2 \pm 0.4^{b}$	9
IIS4C-IVS4C	$-0.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$-3.8 \pm 4.9^{a}$	$15.4 \pm 1.9^{a}$	$38.19 \pm 6.3^{a}$	5
IIS4I	-10.4 ± 2.3	$-27.1 \pm 2.1^{c}$	7.0 ± 0.3	$42.6 \pm 2.8^{c}$	16
Ca <sub>V</sub> 1.2	-11.3 ± 2.7	-11.9 ± 1.3	$7.4 \pm 0.3$	50.3 ± 1.7	16

Values are given as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Current density (pA/pF) from *I*-V's peak.  $V_{1/2}$ , *k* and  $V_{rev}$  values were obtained from *I*-V data fits from each cell with a modified Boltzmann equation (see Experimental Procedures), and then averaged. The number of investigated cells is shown in the *n* column. Statistical significance is indicated with <sup>*a*</sup> or <sup>*b*</sup> when using analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison against WT (*P* < 0.01) or Student's *t* test (*P* < 0.01), respectively, for Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 as a control, and <sup>*c*</sup> when using Student's *t* test (*P* < 0.01) for Ca<sub>v</sub>1.2 as control.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.t001

the Domain IV voltage sensor, for the low-voltage activation behavior of these channels. We further investigated the influence of those segments by constructing double chimeras; a total of three additional chimeras were engineered and constructed: chimera IS4C-IIS4C, in which IS4 and IIS4 segments of  $Ca_V 3.3$  were swapped for those of  $Ca_V 1.2$  channel; and chimeras IS4C-IIIS4C and IIS4C-IVS4C, following the same rationale. The results for the voltagedependence of activation of these chimeric channels are summarized also in Table 1. As can be appreciated, the  $V_{1/2}$  value for the chimera IS4C-IIS4C was practically the same as for the IIS4 chimera alone, meaning that the contribution of IIS4 segment overpassed the effect observed for the IS4C chimera, which alone induced a shift in the  $V_{1/2}$  value toward more negative potentials compared with the Cav3.3-WT channel (around 8 mV; Table 1). Also the parameters k and  $V_{rev}$  were pretty similar to those observed for the IIS4C chimera alone, rather than to the IS4C chimera (Table 1), which indicates that both chimeric channels were less voltage dependent than the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel. On the other hand, when the IS4C construction was combined with chimera IIIS4C, i.e., IS4C-IIIS4C double chimera; the parameters of voltage-dependence of activation were closer to the IIIS4C chimera (Table 1). The third double chimera, IIS4C-IVS4C, behaved as predicted by the results of individual IIS4C and IVS4C chimeras, that is, the currents were activated at very positive potentials compared with the  $Ca_V 3.3$ -WT channels, meaning that those two voltage sensors (from domains II and IV), have the major contribution to the low-voltage activation of Cav3.3 channels. The double chimera displayed a  $V_{1/2}$  value even more positive than the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel itself, although the difference was not significant at P<0.01. On the contrary, the steepness of the I-V curve decreases drastically with a slope value of  $15.4 \pm 1.9$  mV, even more than IIS4C alone ( $12.4 \pm 0.8$  mV), and almost 3-times larger than that of  $Ca_V 3.3$  wild-type channel (Table 1). In addition, the current density was so low, yet detectable (less than 1 pA/pF) that only the I-V voltage protocol produced reliable data to characterize this double chimera. In summary, the results presented in this section show that voltage sensors of domain II and IV have a substantial contribution to the low-voltage activation behavior of Cav3.3 channels, although individually the IIS4C chimera induced the most significant changes.

#### Effects on current activation and inactivation kinetics

We next sought to examine the effects of swapping the voltage sensors on current kinetics. The effects were observed mainly in the time course of current activation of IS4C, IIIS4C and IVS4C chimeras, being drastically accelerated in comparison with the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT currents; and significantly slowed down inactivation kinetics of IIS4C chimera (Fig 3A and 3B). For all chimeras, smaller activation tau values were observed in the range from -60 to -20 mV. In this case, the IS4C chimera showed the more drastic effect; for example, the activation tau at -30 mV was  $19.6 \pm 1.1$  ms and  $5.8 \pm 0.7$  ms, for the wild-type and the chimeric channel, respectively. In other words, current expressed by the IS4C chimera activates with a time course more than 3-fold faster than the wild-type channel. In fact, this difference can be observed in the normalized currents recorded at -40 and -30 mV of these two channels illustrated in Fig 3C. On the contrary, the major difference of the IIS4C chimera with the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 current kinetics was observed in the tau constant of inactivation, which was significantly slowed down. At -30 mV, inactivation of the chimeric channel was 2.5-times slower than the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel (Fig 3B); and considering the 35 mV shift in the IV of both channels (Fig 2C), still the inactivation of the chimeric channel was twice slower than the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel (72.5  $\pm$  3.5 ms at -30 mV for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 and 151.9  $\pm$  18.0 ms at 0 mV for IIS4C chimera; \*P<0.01; Fig 3D). An opposite effect was observed for chimeras IS4C and IIIS4C, which showed faster inactivation kinetics (mainly between -20 to +20 mV) than the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel (Fig 3B). The most drastic effect was



**Fig 3. Time course of activation and inactivation of the current from Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels and the chimeras.** Time constants (tau) of activation (*A*) and inactivation (*B*) for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 and the indicated chimeric channels. Currents like those illustrated in Fig 2*A*, were fitted with two exponentials, one for the activation and the other for the inactivation of the current; and the respective constants were plotted as a function of membrane potential. Due to the small amplitude and the 30 mV shift in the *I*-*V* curve of IIS4C chimera (Fig 2*A* and 2*B*), data of fitted currents is only shown for the range of -30 to +30 mV. Data points in graphs represent mean ± SEM; same cells as in Fig 2*B*. **C**, representative current traces showing the much faster activation kinetics for the IS4C channel (x1.49 and x1.29, respectively). **D**, inactivation kinetics of IIS4C channel are slower than the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channel. Calcium currents recorded at -30 mV for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 and at 0 mV for IIS4C channels. Chimeric IIS4C peak current was scaled up to that of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel by a 21.7 factor.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.g003

observed with the IIIS4C chimera that exhibited a tau value of  $40.4 \pm 2.6$  ms at 0 mV, compared with 85.7 ± 4.3 ms for the WT-channel (\**P*<0.01). The IS4C current inactivation was faster too, although to a lesser degree (Fig 3B). Thus, the IIS4 voltage sensor is also relevant for the inactivation of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel as the chimera significantly slowed down the transition rate of open to inactivated channels, whereas the IIIS4C and IVS4C chimeras accelerated the same transition. Also, activation is severely accelerated by the IS4C, indicating that this voltage sensor is important for the transition from the closed to the open state, but with less influence in the inactivation from the open state of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels. Similar results were obtained for the activation and inactivation kinetics of chimeras between voltage sensors of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 and Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channels [29].

A couple of previous reports with a similar topic of the present research did not explore the effects of swapping voltage sensors between LVA and HVA channels beyond the voltage-dependence of activation and the associated current kinetics [29,30]. On the contrary, in this study we investigated whether additional distinctive properties of LVA channels were modified in the chimeric  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels, in particular the steady-state inactivation, the recovery from inactivation and the closing time of the channels.

## The role of S4 segments in inactivation and recovery at negative potentials of $Ca_V 3.3$ channels

The steady-state inactivation at more hyperpolarized potentials is an additional property of LVA channels compared with HVA channels, whose inactivation take place at more

PLOS ONE



**Fig 4. Contribution of S4 segments to the steady-state inactivation of low-voltage activated Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels.** *A*, families of currents recorded at -30 mV from Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 (upper traces) or IS4C chimeric (bottom traces) channels. Current recordings were obtained after 15-s prepulses to potentials between -110 and -45 mV, as shown by the voltage protocol. For a better appreciation only the last 70 ms of the prepulses are shown. Blue traces show the difference in voltage channels availability after the prepulse to -85 mV in both channels. *B*, steady-state inactivation curves for WT and chimeric Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels. Currents at -30 mV like those shown in *A* (or at 0 mV for IIS4C) were normalized to the value at -110 (-130 for IS4C) mV, averaged and plotted as a function of the prepulse potential for each channel. Smooth lines are fits to Boltzmann functions. *C*, steady-state inactivation curves for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 and its double-chimeras IS4C-IIIS4C and IS4C-IIIS4C. Currents at -30 mV like those shown in *A* (or at 0 mV for IS4C-IIIS4C) were normalized to the value at -110 mV, averaged and plotted as a function of the prepulse potential for each channel. Smooth lines are fits to Boltzmann functions. Data in graphs represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. Parameter values, number of cells and statistical significance are shown in Table 2.

#### https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.g004

depolarized potentials [49,50]. As expected, the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channels showed a typical decrease in channel availability at very negative potentials (Fig 4A, upper traces), and this behavior was not significantly affected by the replacement of IIIS4 and IVS4 voltage sensors with those of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel. In contrast, the substitution of the IIS4 voltage sensor (chimera IIS4C) lead to a significant shift in the steady-state inactivation curve toward more depolarized potentials (around 6 mV; \*P<0.01, Table 2; Fig 4B). The change in the mid-point inactivation potential  $(V_{1/2})$  was also accompanied by a significant change in the steepness of the inactivation curve, parameter k, from  $4.8 \pm 0.1$  mV in Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 to  $8.4 \pm 0.6$  mV in the IIS4C chimeric channels (\*P<0.01, Table 2), inducing again an HVA-like behavior of the channel, and a decrease in the voltage-dependence of inactivation. Somewhat unexpected, the chimeric IS4C channels inactivated at potentials much more negative than the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels (Fig 4A, bottom traces). While the channel availability after a 15-s prepulse to -85 mV was around 85% for wild-type, in the chimeric IS4C channel this value was diminished to less than 10% (compare blue traces of Fig 4A). As a consequence, the steady-state inactivation curve of IS4C chimera was shifted around 20 mV toward more negative potentials in comparison with the  $Ca_V 3.3$ channel (Fig 4B). This drastic difference in the  $V_{1/2}$  values of inactivation occurred without

PLOS ONE

Channel	V <sub>1/2</sub> (mV)	<i>k</i> (mV)	n
Ca <sub>v</sub> 3.3	$-74.6 \pm 0.6$	$4.8 \pm 0.1$	32
IS4C	$-93.3 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$4.0 \pm 0.3$	7
IIS4C	$-68.4 \pm 1.7^{b}$	$8.4 \pm 0.6^{a}$	12
IIIS4C	-77.9 ± 1.0	$4.6 \pm 0.1$	8
IVS4C	-76.5 ± 1.3	$6.2 \pm 0.4^{b}$	14
IS4C-IIS4C	$-83.3 \pm 2.2^{a}$	$9.0 \pm 0.8^{a}$	7
IS4C-IIIS4C	$-94.6 \pm 0.7^{a}$	$4.8 \pm 0.1$	8
IIS4I	$-79.3 \pm 1.5^{c}$	$9.3 \pm 0.8$	8
Ca <sub>V</sub> 1.2	-36.0 ± 5.4	$12.2 \pm 1.5$	8

Table 2. Steady-state inactivation parameters of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3, Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 and chimeric channels.

Values are given as mean  $\pm$  SEM, and were obtained from Boltzmann function fits to steady-state inactivation data for each cell and then averaged. The number of investigated cells is shown in the *n* column. Statistical significance is indicated with <sup>*a*</sup> or <sup>*b*</sup> when using analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison against WT (P < 0.01) or Student's *t* test (P < 0.01), respectively, for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 as a control, and <sup>*c*</sup> when using Student's *t* test (P < 0.01) for Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 as control.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.t002

significant changes in the slope of the relationships (parameter k; Table 2), suggesting that the rate of inactivation from closed states was the same for both channels, the difference being that the IS4C chimera changed the voltage range where such inactivation occurs. Therefore, the fundamental contribution of IS4 voltage sensor for preventing inactivation of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels at more negative potentials was further evidenced with the IS4C-IIIS4C double chimera, whose steady-state inactivation curve was significantly shifted to more negative potentials in comparison with that one of individual IIS4C chimera, and of course, with the one of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 wild-type curve (Fig 4C). Also, the steady-state inactivation curve of IS4C-IIIS4C double chimera was fitted with  $V_{1/2}$  and k parameters very similar to those obtained from the IS4C individual chimera (Table 2). In other words, the contribution of IS4 voltage sensor to the inactivation of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels is so critical that it overrode the high-voltage activation behavior induced by the IIS4C chimera.

Both parameters, the speed of channel inactivation from the open state (Fig 3B), and the voltage-dependence of inactivation from closed state (Fig 4B and 4C), were substantially modified by the chimeras. Therefore, significant changes in the recovery from inactivation caused by the substitutions of the S4 voltage sensors could be predicted. Representative currents illustrating the recovery of  $Ca_V 3.3$ , IS4C and IIS4C channels at -100 mV are shown in Fig 5A. As shown previously [34,51], Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 current recovered to a value slightly greater than control (after 2 s at -100 mV, normalized current was  $1.07 \pm 0.01$ ; P < 0.01), because of the facilitation induced by the inactivating prepulse. On the contrary, the fraction of current recovered after the same period of time was incomplete for the IS4C channels (indicated by the blue dashed line) than for the  $Ca_V 3.3$  and IIS4C channels (black and red dashed lines). Relationships of normalized current amplitudes versus time for the single chimeras and the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels are shown in Fig 5B. In addition to the incompleteness of current recovery, chimeras IS4C, IIIS4C and IVS4C exhibited a slower time course of recovery from inactivation than the  $Ca_V$  3.3 and the IIS4C chimeric channels, in fact, the latter channels showed a slightly faster (not significant) tau of recovery ( $\tau_{rec}$ ; Fig 5C). The effect of partial recovery was more pronounced in the IS4C chimera as the fractional recovery reach a value around  $84 \pm 4\%$  (*n* = 7) after 2 seconds, whereas the IIIS4C and IVS4C chimeras still show a tendency to increase the fractional recovery at the same time (Fig 5B). The apparent incompleteness of recovery from inactivation for these chimeras is not due to an irreversible rundown of the current during the



Fig 5. Consequences on the recovery from inactivation of  $Ca_V3$  channels due to the substitution of S4 segments. *A*, representative recordings of  $Ca^{2+}$  currents illustrating the recovery from inactivation of  $Ca_V3.3$ , IS4C and IIS4C

PLOS ONE

channels. As shown by the two-pulse protocol at the bottom, calcium currents were inactivated by a 500 ms pulse to -30 mV (-10 for IS4C), then the membrane potential was stepped to -100 mV for periods ranging from 1 to 2000 ms before applying a 60 ms activating voltage step to -30 mV (-10 for IS4C). Shown are traces corresponding to 0.08, 0.4, 1.2 and 2 s between the turn off of the inactivating pulse and the turn on of the test pulse. Tail currents generated by the membrane repolarization to -100 mV were cut out to emphasize the amplitude of the currents. Dashed lines indicate the maximum current amplitude recovered after 2 s at -100 mV for each channel. **B**, time course of recovery from inactivation at -100 mV for the indicated Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels. Data are the peak current (mean  $\pm$  SEM) during the 60-ms pulse, normalized to the peak current recorded during the 500-ms pulse. Smooth curves are fits to the data using a one phase exponential association equation. **C**, time constants of recovery from inactivation ( $\tau_{rec}$ ). Columns are means, and bars the standard error. Number of investigated cells are given in parenthesis. \*Statistical significance with one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test against Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3. Note that the fraction of IS4C chimeric channels recovered after 2 s was incomplete and slower than Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels. On the contrary, IIS4C channels recovered faster and at the same level of wild-type channels.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.g005

lasting of the voltage protocol, but instead to a real slower component of recovery induced by the swapping of the respective voltage sensors. This is based on the fact that the amplitude of the peak current evoked by the first (at 0 s) and last prepulse (at 2 s) of the applied voltage protocol (illustrated in Fig 4A) were practically the same: Ipeak pp 2s/Ipeak pp 0s was  $0.96 \pm 0.08$ for IS4C;  $0.97 \pm 0.03$  for IIIS4C; and  $1.09 \pm 0.05$  for IVS4C. In a few cells we actually applied longer protocols finding that after 6 s, these chimeras were able to fully recover from inactivation. Tau of recovery was significantly slower for IS4C, IIIS4C and IVS4C, and also for the double chimera IS4C-IIIS4C; but not for the IS4C-IIS4C chimera (Fig 5C), evidencing again the major influence of the IIS4 voltage sensor over the IS4 on the speed for the recovery from inactivation of the channels. However, for the completeness of recovery, the IS4C chimera was preponderant over the IIS4C channels, as the double chimera IS4C-IIS4C reached a plateau recovery of 90  $\pm$  3% (n = 7); which was not statistically different from the IS4C single chimera  $(84 \pm 4\%; n = 7; P < 0.001)$ , but the difference was significant compared with the IIS4C single chimera (108  $\pm$  4%, *n* = 10, *P*<0.001). The results of the recovery from inactivation are in agreement with the alterations observed in the inactivation from the open state and the shift of the steady-state inactivation curve for such chimeras, suggesting a differential role of the S4 voltage sensors in such biophysical properties of the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels.

## Effects of S4 segments on the slow deactivation behavior of $Ca_V 3.3$ channels

Another distinctive characteristic between HVA and LVA channels is the 10- to 20-fold slower deactivation of the  $Ca_V 3$  channels in response to the repolarization of the membrane potential [52,53]. Such a difference can be observed in the tail currents illustrated in Fig 6A. After a 10-ms activating pulse to +60 mV from a HP of -100 mV, the repolarization to -100 mV generated tail currents that were very fast for the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2-WT channels (deactivation tau,  $\tau_{Deact}$  = 0.17 ± 0.03 ms, n = 7), but rather slow for the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channels ( $\tau_{\text{Deact}} = 2.7 \pm 0.12$  ms, n = 25). Again the IIS4C chimera showed a behavior very similar to the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel, exhibiting tail currents that were 4-times faster ( $\tau_{\text{Deact}} = 0.69 \pm 0.05 \text{ ms}, n = 9$ ) than the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channels, almost overlapping with that of  $Ca_V 1.2$  channel. On the contrary, the tail current of the IIIS4C chimera overlapped almost perfectly with the one of the  $Ca_V 3.3$ -WT channel. These differences were observed for a range of voltages between -120 and -80 mV (Fig 6B). The chimeric IVS4C channels also deactivated with a much faster time course ( $\tau_{\text{Deact}} = 0.71 \pm 0.05$  ms, n = 12), whereas the closing of IS4C chimera develops with intermediate constant tau values  $(\tau_{\text{Deact}} = 1.66 \pm 0.18 \text{ ms}, n = 5)$  (Fig 6B). The double chimera IS4C-IIS4C also imposed the HVA fast-deactivating behavior on the Cav3.3 channel, and the IS4C-IIIS4C closed as slow as the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT channel (Fig 6B, Inset). The acceleration in tail currents observed in IIS4C and



**Fig 6. Effect of S4 segments in the slow-deactivating behavior of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels.** *A*, representative tail currents for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3, IIS4C, IIIS4C and Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channels. Ten-ms depolarization pulses to +60 mV were used to activate channels, and deactivation was recorded during subsequent repolarization to -100 mV, as indicated. Tail current amplitudes were scaled to Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 tail amplitude. For clarity, the voltage protocol and current recordings show only 1.5 out of 10 ms of the depolarization to +60 mV, and 10 out of 30 ms of the repolarization. *B*, time constants of channel deactivation for the indicated channels as a function of the repolarizing potential. Time constants were estimated by fitting tail currents to a single exponential function. Data in graphs represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. For clarity purposes, size of IIS4C and IIIS4C data points have been reduced to show the similarity with those of IVS4C and WT channels, respectively. For example, time constant values at -90 mV were: 3.33  $\pm$  0.15 ms for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 (*n* = 25); 1.86  $\pm$  0.35 ms for IS4C (*n* = 7); 0.81  $\pm$  0.03 ms for IIIS4C (*n* = 16); 3.22  $\pm$  0.32 ms for IIIS4C (*n* = 6); 0.80  $\pm$  0.06 ms for IVS4C (*n* = 12); and 0.19  $\pm$  0.03 ms for Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2-WT (*n* = 7). Smooth lines are single exponential fits to the averaged tau values. *Inset:* Time constants of channel deactivation for the double chimeras and Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels. The IS4 segment is not relevant for the slow closing of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel, as double chimeras with IIS4C follows the individual behavior of these two: fast closing with first and slow closing with the second one. The number of studied cells was 7 for each channel.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.g006

IVS4C channels could not be due to the positive shift of activation observed for these channels (Fig 2C, Table 1), as the voltage-dependence of deactivation time constants does not show a parallel shift along the voltage axis (Fig 6B). In addition, as previously described for Ca<sub>V</sub>3 channels [51,54], the final step for channel opening ( $k_0$ ) is voltage independent, in contrast with closing of the channel ( $k_{-0}$ ) which is strongly voltage dependent. Thus, any modification in the tail currents kinetics (closing of the channel) is not related to the voltage of channel activation (opening), but to the modification of the constant rate of closing,  $k_{-0}$ ; therefore, the shift in the  $V_{1/2}$  of activation of IIS4C chimeric channels does not explain the acceleration in closing kinetics observed for this and the IVS4C chimera, instead the results suggest that the substitution of these voltage sensors in Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 with those of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channels speeds tail currents primarily by affecting channel gating.

These results indicate that the effects of S4 voltage sensors are not limited to determining the low-voltage dependence of activation of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels; on the contrary they also contribute to additional properties of LVA behavior as observed for the steady-state inactivation, recovery and deactivation kinetics of the channel.

## The severely reduced current density in IIS4C chimera is mainly due to a mechanistic effect

Considering its fundamental contribution for the low-voltage activation behavior, we further investigated the IIS4C chimera. As shown in Fig 2 and Table 1, this chimera either alone or in

double chimeras with IS4C or IVS4C voltage sensors, generates very tiny inward currents such that current density was at least 30-fold smaller than that of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels. However, we observed that outward currents were not affected in the same magnitude. For instance, the average current density at +80 mV was  $39.1 \pm 4.1$  pA/pF (n = 37) for the wild-type channel and  $12.0 \pm 1.3$  pA/pF (n = 21) for the IIS4C chimera. In other words, maximal inward current was reduced more than 30-times but outward current at +80 mV was diminished only by a factor of 3. Even more, at +150 mV the respective values were  $314.6 \pm 41.6 \text{ pA/pF}$  (*n* = 11) and  $152.5 \pm 14.8 \text{ pA/pF}$  (*n* = 19), meaning that the more positive the depolarization the more IIS4C channels activate. The same tendency was observed with outward currents evoked by the tail currents protocol used to generate the activation curves of Cav3.3-WT and IIS4C chimeric channels of S1 Fig. A possible explanation for this data is that the IIS4C channel might be requiring more energy (very positive potentials) to fully activate in comparison with the wild-type channel, which is also supported by the lowered voltage dependence for the activation of these channels suggested by the increase in the slope values of the activation *I*-V curves (Table 1). This possibility would assume that protein expression of IIS4C chimera was not significantly affected for the interchange of the voltage sensor of Domain II, but rather that the smaller inward current could be due to an effect on the activation mechanism involving the requirement of a higher energy to activate the channel. We performed two additional sets of experiments in order to obtain more evidences supporting this interpretation. First, by GFPtagging the chimeras and the wild-type  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel we looked for cell localization of the channels in HEK-293 transfected cells. Confocal images confirmed that the expression of chimera IIS4C at the plasma membrane, and also at intracellular membranes, was quite similar to that observed for the wild-type channel (S2 Fig, panel A). Furthermore, western blot analysis of total protein in a similar stock of transfected cells showed similar amounts of protein for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 wild-type, and chimeras IIS4C, and IVS4C (S2 Fig, panels B and C). In addition, two more evidences indicate that IIS4C robust outward currents maintain the LVA properties related to pharmacological and holding potential parameters. Thus, currents of  $Ca_V 3.3$  and IIS4C channels showed almost the same sensitivity to the block by 10 µM TTA-A2 [55] and  $5 \,\mu$ M mibefradil (S3 Fig); and to the changing in holding potential from -100 to -60 mV (S4 Fig). In other words, swapping of IIS4 voltage sensor from Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 to Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel transfers the property of high-voltage activation to the LVA channel, but do not modify its sensitivity to the holding potential or to the blocking by mibefradil and TTA-A2. Altogether, these results suggest that the severe reduction in current density observed in chimera IIS4C might be mainly due to a mechanistic effect that makes the channel less voltage dependent requiring very positive potentials to fully activate (most likely a reduction in open channel probability), rather than an issue with the protein channel expression. Other possibilities, although less unlikely, could be an effect on the unitary conductance of the IIS4C chimeric channels or an induced rectification of the channel.

# Effects of the complementary chimera IIS4I on the gating of $\rm Ca_V 1.2$ channels

In order to investigate whether the voltage sensor of Domain II of  $Ca_V 3.3$  was able to modify the HVA behavior of  $Ca_V 1.2$  channels, we constructed the chimera IIS4I, by swapping the IIS4 segment of  $Ca_V 3.3$  into  $Ca_V 1.2$  channels. The chimera generated currents that were similar in amplitude to those of the  $Ca_V 1.2$ -WT channel (current density was -10.4 ± 2.3 for the chimera and -11.3 ± 2.7 pA/pF for the wild-type channel; n = 16 cells for each channel; Table 1). The voltage-dependence of activation for the chimera was shifted about 15 mV to more negative potentials, as compared with the  $Ca_V 1.2$ -WT channel curve (Fig 7A, Table 1), in other words,



Fig 7. The complementary chimera IIS4I shifts the gating of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channels towards low-voltage behavior. A, normalized I-V curves for Cav3.3, IIS4I, and Cav1.2 channels. For clarity purposes only inward currents are plotted. Solid lines are the best fits to the data with a modified Boltzmann function (see Material and Methods). Data in graphs represent mean ± SEM. Parameter values, number of cells and statistical significance are shown in Table 1. B, representative currents recorded at 0 mV from Cav1.2 (black traces) or IIS4I chimeric (red traces) channels. Current recordings were obtained after 15-s prepulses to potentials between -100 and -50 mV, as shown by the voltage protocol. For clarity purposes only the last 50 ms of the prepulses are shown. Note that chimeric channels availability decreases proportionally as the  $V_{\rm m}$  become more positive, similar to the behavior of Cav3.3-WT channels (see Fig 4). C, steady-state inactivation curves for Cav3.3, IIS4I, and Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channels. Currents at 0 mV like those shown in B (or at -30 mV for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3) were normalized to the value at -100 (-110 for Cav3.3) mV, averaged and plotted as a function of the prepulse potential for each channel. Smooth lines are fits to Boltzmann functions. It should be noted that fitting of Cav1.2 data was performed by constraining the Boltzmann function to go from 1 to 0 (as for all the other channels listed in Table 2), although the experimental data reach only a value of 0.72 at -50 mV. In other words, the obtained  $V_{1/2}$  value is in fact an extrapolation of the fitted data. Data in graphs represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. Parameter values, number of cells and statistical significance are shown in Table 2. D, time constants of channel deactivation for Cav3.3, IIS4I, and Cav1.2 channels. Time constants were estimated by fitting tail currents to a single exponential function and plotted as a function of the repolarizing potential. Time constants at -90 mV were:  $3.33 \pm 0.15$  ms for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT (n = 25);  $0.27 \pm 0.04$  ms for IIS4I (n = 8); and  $0.19 \pm 0.03$  ms for Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2-WT (n = 7). Data in graphs represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. Smooth lines are single exponential fits to the averaged tau values.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.g007

the influence of the individual IIS4 voltage sensor of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel resulted in a LVA-like gating of  $Ca_V 1.2$  channels. This shift was not accompanied by significant changes in the slope of the current-voltage relationship. In concordance with the effects observed for the chimeras IIS4C, the  $V_{rev}$  value was significantly more negative than that obtained for  $Ca_V 1.2$ -WT channel (Table 1). On the other hand, the availability of the channels to be activated after a long depolarizing prepulse was also strongly affected in the chimera IIS4I when compared with the HVA channel. As shown in Fig 7B, current amplitude at 0 mV diminished progressively as the prepulse potential become more positive for chimera IIS4I (red traces), whereas the effect on the current amplitude of the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2-WT channel was rather discrete (black traces). Therefore, the steady-state inactivation curve of this chimera was severely shifted to more negative potentials when compared with Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2-WT channel (Fig 7C). In fact the  $V_{1/2}$  for the inactivation of chimeric IIS4I channels was almost 5 mV more negative than the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels themselves (Table 2). In addition, the Boltzmann constant (*k*) of the chimeric channel inactivation curve showed a discrete tendency towards the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2-WT channel, and even though deactivation of chimeric channels was around 50% slower than the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel, this difference was minimal when compared with the LVA channel, i.e., Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT (Fig 7D). Thus, the IIS4 segment of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel translates some LVA properties to the HVA Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel, mainly for the voltage dependence of gating.

# Contribution of the S4-S5 linker of Domain II in the LVA behavior of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels

Because IIS4C chimera showed the most significant effects in determining the LVA behavior of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels, and considering that the segment swapped in the construction of such chimera included the sequence ALRRQL (Fig 1), which has been located in the S4-S5 linker region of Domain II by recent structural data of  $Ca_V 1.1$  channel [42], we further investigate the contribution of some of these residues by introducing single, double and triple mutations. Both channels, Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 and Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3, share exactly the same positively charged residues from R2 to R6 (Fig 1), however the latter has two additional arginines (R714 and R715) and a proline (P711) in the intracellular-half of the IIS4 segment. Due to the participation of these residues in the activation of voltage-gated ion channels [56,57], we changed them for alanines and for the corresponding residues from the  $Ca_V 1.2$  channel. The results from the electrophysiological characterization of such mutants are summarized in Fig 8 and Table 3. While all the mutants displayed current densities significantly smaller than the wild type channel (Table 3), none of them generated currents as small as those observed for IIS4C chimera (Table 1). Interestingly, single mutants of proline 711 (P711A and P711N) lead to  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels requiring more positive voltages for activation (12 and 10 mV, respectively; Table 3); although the substitution for alanine (P711A) showed a more robust HVA behavior for the steady-state of inactivation than when proline was substituted for the asparagine (P711N) from  $Ca_V 1.2$  channel (Fig 8D). However, the substitution of proline for alanine or asparagine did not significantly modify the recovery from inactivation of the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel (Fig 8E; Table 3).

The contribution of the two arginines to the HVA behavior was more discrete and limited to the voltage-dependence of activation. When mutated for alanines (RR714AA) or the corresponding residues from  $Ca_V 1.2$  channel (RR714SN) the activation curve was significantly shifted to more depolarized potentials by about 5 and 6 mV, respectively (P < 0.01; Table 3). Something similar was observed with the glutamine 716 mutant (Q716A), whose electrophysiological parameters were not different from the wild type channel, except that the recovery from inactivation was faster (Table 3). The observed effects with these double and single mutant were boosted with the triple mutation (RRQ714SNL), where the voltage dependence of activation was 13 mV more positive than the WT channel (Fig 8C), while the steady-state inactivation curve was shifted 9 mV in the same direction (Fig 8D). Also the closing of the channel and the time course of recovery from inactivation were faster for this triple mutant compared with the WT channel (Table 3). Together, these results indicate that amino acids like P711 and the tripeptide RRQ (714–716) have an important contribution to the low-voltage



**Fig 8. Mutagenesis in Domain II reveals a participation of the S4-S5 linker in the LVA behavior of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels.** *A*, examples of calcium currents generated by the indicated channels. Holding potential was -100 mV, and current recordings were obtained at -30 mV for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT and Q716A, and at -20 mV for P711A and RRQ714SNL. *B*, *I*-V relationships. Current density was calculated for each cell, averaged, and plotted as a function of test potential. *C*, normalized *I*-V curves for inward currents. Data were fitted with a modified Boltzmann function (continuous line). Same cells as shown in *B*. *D*, steady-state inactivation curves for WT and the indicated Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 mutants. Smooth lines are fits to Boltzmann functions. *E*, time course of recovery from inactivation at -100 mV for the indicated Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels. Data was analyzed and plotted as indicated in Fig 5. *F*, deactivation time constants for channel closing as a function of the repolarizing potential. Data in graphs represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. Parameter and tau values, number of cells and statistical significance are shown in Table 3.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.g008

activation of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel. In fact, the results obtained with the mutant RRQ714SNL (~13 mV shift in the  $V_{1/2}$  of activation; Table 3) and those with the IIS4C chimera (~37 mV shift in the  $V_{1/2}$  of activation; Table 1), indicate that most of such 37 mV (around 2/3) were due to the contribution of the actual IIS4 segment sequence, while around only 1/3 could be associated with the residues of the S4-S5 linker (Fig 1). In other words, the differences in IIS4 sequences

Table 3. Biophysical parameters of Domain II mutants of  $Ca_V 3.3$ .

Channel	Density	Activation			Inactivation			Kinetics			
	pA/pF	$V_{1/2} ({ m mV})$	<i>k</i> (mV)	n	$V_{1/2} ({ m mV})$	<i>k</i> (mV)	n	τ <sub>deact</sub> (ms)	n	τ <sub>rec</sub> (ms)	n
Ca <sub>V</sub> 3.3-WT	-84.3 ± 7.9	$-46.6 \pm 0.7$	$5.5 \pm 0.2$	40	$-76.4 \pm 0.6$	$4.9 \pm 0.1$	31	$3.2 \pm 0.2$	19	381 ± 25	27
P711A	$-17.3 \pm 3.3^{a}$	$-34.5 \pm 1.2^{a}$	$7.7 \pm 0.3^{a}$	12	$-69.4 \pm 1.3^{a}$	$6.8 \pm 0.4^{a}$	10	$2.2 \pm 0.1^{a}$	10	397 ± 33	9
P711N	$-21.5 \pm 3.2^{a}$	$-36.8 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$7.1 \pm 0.3^{a}$	13	-74.0 ± 1.7	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	9	$2.6 \pm 0.4$	9	454 ± 32	10
RR714AA	$-21.5 \pm 2.7^{a}$	$-41.8 \pm 1.1^{a}$	$6.4 \pm 0.2^{a}$	25	-76.7 ± 0.8	$5.9 \pm 0.5$	15	$2.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	12	$455 \pm 24$	14
RR714SN	$-34.3 \pm 5.6^{a}$	$-40.8 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$6.7 \pm 0.3^{a}$	15	-74.7 ± 1.0	$5.5 \pm 0.2$	14	$2.4 \pm 0.2$	10	$422 \pm 25$	9
RRQ714SNL	$-15.7 \pm 2.3^{a}$	$-33.3 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$8.2 \pm 0.2^{a}$	15	$-67.3 \pm 1.3^{a}$	$7.5 \pm 0.7^{a}$	14	$2.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	13	$257 \pm 17^{a}$	12
Q716A	$-41.2 \pm 8.1^{a}$	-44.3 ± 1.6	$7.6 \pm 0.3^{a}$	14	-75.7 ± 0.7	$5.7 \pm 0.3$	15	$2.6 \pm 0.2$	16	$244 \pm 12^{a}$	14

Values are given as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Current density, activation and inactivation parameters were obtained as described in Tables 1 and 2. Deactivation time constants ( $\tau_{deact}$ ) at -90 mV were estimated by fitting tail currents to a single exponential function and  $\tau_{rec}$  was obtained from single exponential fits to the recovery from inactivation data at -100 mV. The number of investigated cells is shown in *n* columns.

<sup>a</sup> Statistical significance when using analysis of variance (P < 0.01) followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test against Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193490.t003

between  $Ca_V 3.3$  and  $Ca_V 1.2$  have a preponderant role in channel gating with an important contribution from the S4-S5 linker of Domain II.

### Discussion

## The IIS4 voltage sensor has a major role in the low-voltage activation of $Ca_V 3.3$ channels

In this study we have demonstrated the relevance of IIS4 voltage sensor to the low-voltage activation behavior of  $Ca_V 3.3$  T-type calcium channel, although IVS4 segment also has significant effects on the low-voltage activation and the fast-deactivation of the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel. In contrast, the voltage sensors of segments IS4 and IIIS4 showed minor contribution to the low-voltage activation of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels; interestingly, the IS4 segment seems to play an important role in preventing the inactivation of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels at extreme hyperpolarized potentials. These results suggest that voltage sensors contribute differentially to the activation process of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels.

Previous studies in  $Ca_V 3.1$  channels have shown a similar contribution of S4 segments to channel activation [29,30], however, our results show three major differences with such reports. First, the effect of the replacement of IS4 voltage sensor in  $Ca_V 3.1$  with that of  $Ca_V 1.2$ did not produce any significant effect on the voltage-dependence of activation of the T-type calcium channel (Fig 3 from [29]), whereas our corresponding IS4C chimera, in which the IS4 voltage sensor of  $Ca_V 3.3$  was replaced with that of  $Ca_V 1.2$ , displayed a significant shift in the I-V curve to more negative potentials (almost 9 mV, Table 1), and an even greater shift in the steady-state inactivation curve (19 mV, Table 1). Interestingly, Cav 3.1 and Cav 3.2 differ in IS4 sequence, having an arginine in RAIN rather than a lysine in Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3's KAIN (Fig 1). If such a difference in the amino acid sequence accounts for the distinct effects on the voltage sensing of activation between Cav3.1 and Cav3.3 channels, it remains to be elucidated. Second, swapping the IIIS4 segment in the  $Ca_V 3.1$  channel caused a shift of the *I-V* curve towards more positive potentials (around 8 mV) (Fig 5 from [29]). In contrast our equivalent chimera with the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel showed a small shift to more hyperpolarized potentials (Table 1). And third, the swapping of IIS4 voltage sensor in  $Ca_V 3.1$  with the corresponding region from  $Ca_V 1.2$ channels produced the most drastic effect in the voltage dependence of activation of  $Ca_V 3.1$ channels towards more positive potentials (about 20 mV), but still far from the Cav1.2 channel  $V_{1/2}$  of activation by around 25 mV (Fig 4 from [29]). In the present study, the swapping of the IIS4 voltage sensor between Cav3.3 and Cav1.2 channels (chimera IIS4C) produced channels with an *I-V* curve that almost overlaps with that of the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel (Fig 2C), i.e., the  $V_{1/2}$ for IIS4C chimeric channels was shifted almost 40 mV to more positive potentials in comparison with  $Ca_V 3.3$ -WT channels (Table 1). A likely explanation for this difference in the magnitude of the  $V_{1/2}$ -shifting could be associated to the differences in the sequence of segments swapped. The segment substituted in the present work of  $Ca_V 3.3$  has an extra arginine compared with the one of  $Ca_V 3.1$  (Fig 1); and is longer by two residues (Q and L) at the intracellular end compared with that swapped in  $Ca_V 3.1$  [29]. In fact, our results show a significant role of this region of  $Ca_V 3.3$  as the mutant RRQ714SNL shifted the  $V_{1/2}$  of activation by 13 mV to more positive potentials compared with the Cav3.3-WT channel (Table 3). According to the structural data obtained recently by cryo-electron microscopy from the rabbit Ca<sub>V</sub>1.1 channel [42], the triplet RRQ forms part of the S4-S5 linker region of Domain II. Thus, these results indicate that the whole effect observed with the IIS4C chimera in the low-voltage activation behavior of the Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channel would be the sum of the contribution of the IIS4 segment and the triplet RRQ from the S4-S5 linker of Domain II.

The evidence provided by the Wray group [29,30], strongly suggest a contribution of additional structural elements to the S4 segments in each Domain of  $\alpha$ 1 subunit that regulates the low-voltage gating of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 channels. In fact they found that, except for Domain II, all other Domains (I, III and IV) shifted the I-V relationships of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 channels towards more depolarized potentials, very close to the *I*-V curve for the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channels. However, they also reported that just by swapping the S4 voltage sensor of this domain between Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 and  $Ca_V 1.2$  channels, the resulting chimera showed an *I-V* curve that was shifted about 20 mV to more positive potentials, suggesting the crucial role of S4 voltage sensor of Domain II for Ca<sub>V</sub>3 channels. In the present study, we found that IIS4 voltage sensor, with an important contribution of some residues from the S4-S5 linker, has the most dominant effect, followed by the IVS4 segment, in the low-voltage activation behavior of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels. In this regard, a more recent study has shown that the activation of  $Ca_V 3.2$  channels might be the result of cooperative contribution of residues from the S4-S5 linker of Domain II and the S6 helices from Domains II and III [58]. In such a scenario, the results presented here for  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel might be due to the disruption of structural interactions between S4 voltage sensors, S4-S5 linkers, and S6 helices, that leads to the gating of the channel and, therefore, it can be anticipated that such interactions could be more relevant in the case of Domain II of Cav 3.3 channels. In this context, our results and those reported for  $Ca_V 3.1$  channels [29,30], suggest a general pattern for T-type calcium channels activation, although subtle differences, as those shown here for  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels, might be expected when studying each individual channel. It remains to be investigated if this is the case for  $Ca_V 3.2$  channels. As shown recently, the differences in gating among T-type calcium channels are present even at the isoform level of channels [33,59].

It is worthy of note that the IVS4 voltage sensor also has an important role on such behavior as its substitution for that of the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.2 channel shifted the  $V_{1/2}$  of activation by 16 mV to more depolarized potentials (Table 1). Some support for the role of Domain IV voltage sensor in activation sensing was shown in Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 channels [29], and also from Zamponi's group who studied the gating properties of  $Ca_V 3.1$  and  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels by constructing chimeras between these two LVA channels [41]. They described a role for Domain IV in the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel gating, however, this effect (around 6 mV shift in the *I-V* towards more negative potentials) was observed in a chimera where Domain IV and the carboxy terminus of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channel was substituted by that of a Cav3.1 channel. Additional evidence for the role of IVS4 voltage sensor in  $Ca_V3$  channels gating came from a study focused on the neutralization of the three outermost arginines (R) in Domain IV of  $Ca_V 3.2$  channels [60]. The research showed that the neutralization of R2 and R3 with glutamine or cysteine residues led to a significant positive shift of Cav3.2 channels I-V curve, though R1 (the most external arginine) did not have significant effects on any of the channel biophysical properties. Finally, we cannot rule out the possibility that the effects on channel gating observed with chimera IVS4C might involve the participation of the S4-S5 linker residues included in this chimera (Fig 1). Further mutagenesis experiments are required to address this issue.

## Influence of IIS4 voltage sensor on additional LVA properties of $\rm Ca_V 3.3$ channels

In addition to the voltage dependence of activation, in this study we investigated the influence of S4 voltage sensors in other biophysical parameters of the low-voltage activation behavior of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels. Particularly, the closing of the channels, the recovery and the voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation. Channel closing kinetics from the open state (deactivation) is a common characteristic used to discriminate between LVA and HVA channels. We found that IIS4 voltage sensor is also crucial for the slow-deactivating characteristic of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels, followed by the IVS4 voltage sensor. Both significantly accelerated the closing kinetics of

the channel, whereas the IS4 voltage sensor had a smaller effect and the IIIS4 had no influence at all on the deactivation of the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel (Fig 6B). Therefore, it can be concluded that IIS4 and IVS4 voltage sensors of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel contributes to the stabilization of the channel in the open state, preventing a faster transition from this to the closed state. So far, there is no data on the literature to directly compare our results with, as the existing studies concentrated only on the voltage-dependence of activation, and activation and inactivation kinetics [29,30]. However, the study of Hanck's group [60] reported a positive shift of  $Ca_V 3.2$  channels *I-V* curve after the neutralization of R2 and R3 arginines of IVS4 voltage sensor with glutamine or cysteine residues, which was accompanied by an acceleration of the tail current kinetics. On the contrary, the deactivation time constant of  $Ca_V 3.1$  channels was significantly slowed down as a result of neutralization of the outermost arginine in IVS4, and also in the IIIS4 segments [32].

Interestingly, the substitution of S4 segments in  $Ca_V 3.3$  for those of  $Ca_V 1.2$  channel led to very modest changes, if any, in the steady-state inactivation curves of most chimeras. The exceptions were IIS4C chimera, which caused a small but significant positive shift (around 8 mV), and the IS4C chimera that promoted 19 mV shifts in the inactivation curve to more hyperpolarized potentials with respect to the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel, either with the single substitution of Domain I voltage sensor (chimera IS4C) or when it was in combination with the voltage sensor of Domain III (double chimera IS4C-IIIS4C; Table 2). The importance of IS4 voltage sensor for the voltage dependence of inactivation was better appreciated with the double chimera IS4C-IIS4C, where the  $V_{1/2}$  value was -83.3 ± 2.2 mV, compared with the -68.4 ± 1.7 mV displayed by the IIS4C single chimera, which in turn had a significant small shift to more positive potentials when compared with Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels (Table 2). Interestingly, the extremely strong effect of IS4C chimera on the inactivation curve was somehow unexpected. Previously, we have shown a similar effect on the steady-state inactivation by disrupting the structure of a particle termed the "gating brake" that resides in the amino end of the I-II loop in Ca<sub>V</sub>3 channels [44,47,61]. Unfortunately, previous similar studies in Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 channels did not report effects about the voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation of the constructed chimeras [29,30], thus it is difficult to suggest whether the effect of IS4 voltage sensor on steady-state inactivation present here for  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels is a conserved characteristic among the  $Ca_V3$  channels subfamily. In this regard, substitution of the whole Domain I of  $Ca_V3.3$ channel for that of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.1 channel did not have any effect on the voltage dependence of inactivation of the channels, and the effect the authors found with the equivalent swapping of Domain III was in the opposite direction, making the  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel to inactivate at more positive potentials [41]. In another study where the outermost arginines in the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.1 channels were neutralized with cysteines, the authors reported that the four individual neutralizations shifted significantly the quasi steady-state inactivation of the channels to more negative potentials (from 7 to 17 mV) compared with the  $Ca_V 3.1$  channel; with the neutralization of the arginine of Domain III voltage sensor (R1379C) inducing the most drastic effect [32]. Therefore, the role of the outermost arginines in Ca<sub>V</sub>3 channels might not represent the behavior of the whole voltage sensor, at least in the voltage dependence of inactivation of the channels.

On the other hand, it has been shown in  $Ca_V 3.2$  channels that single and double mutations of residues in IIS4-S5 linker and IIIS6 helix led to a 25 mV negative shift in the steady-state inactivation curve [58]. Therefore, one possible explanation of our results in  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels could be that IS4 segment, the IS4-S5 linker or even the IS6 segment, have structural interactions with the "gating brake" structure localized in the I-II loop, and that the substitution of this voltage sensor with that of  $Ca_V 1.2$  does not restore such interactions leading the channels to inactive from closed states at very negative potentials. In fact, a 3-D model of the gating brake predicts that the second helix of the gating brake establishes important contacts with the gating machinery, including the IS4-S5 linker, thereby stabilizing a closed state of T-type calcium channels [62].

## On the voltage sensing mechanism of $Ca_V$ channels: Relations between functional and structural data

Recently, the structure of the Cav1.1 channel was resolved by cryo-electron microscopy at 3.6 Å resolution [42]. First of all, the structure of this HVA channel shows that our original design of chimeras included 5 to 8 more residues at the intracellular end than the actual S4 segments found in the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.1 structure. Those extra amino acids would be forming part of the S4-S5 linker, which has been already described as an important motif for the gating of Cav3.2 channels [58], but its contribution to the differences in gating threshold between LVA and HVA channels has not been addressed. Regarding the meaning of our data about the crucial role of IIS4 and IVS4 voltage sensors in the low-voltage activation of Ca<sub>v</sub>3.3 channels under the light of the Cav1.1 channel structure some considerations could be formulated. A shared characteristic between VSD<sub>II</sub> and VSD<sub>IV</sub> is that they include the negative residue on transmembrane helix S3 (aspartic acid) that is part of the charge transfer center (CTC) [63], while this residue is not present in the other two VSDs (S5 Fig). Structural and functional data obtained from voltage-gated ion channels (potassium and sodium mainly) have shown that this Asp residue on S3, together with two additional residues in S2, one negatively charged (Glu) and other non-polar residue (Phe), form the CTC, which supports the voltage-dependent transition from the resting to the activated state of the S4 positively charged residues [63–65]. Therefore, because the sequence of HVA and LVA channels is identical in such residues (D673 in S3 of  $VSD_{II}$  and D1520 in S3 of  $VSD_{IV}$  of the Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 used here), we speculate that this difference between VSD<sub>II</sub> and VSD<sub>IV</sub> with VSD<sub>I</sub> and VSD<sub>III</sub> could be a partial explanation of our data showing the crucial contribution of these two voltage sensors in the proper gating of  $Ca_V 3.3$ channels, and in general for the Ca<sub>V</sub> channels family. However, such explanation does not account for the difference in gating between LVA and HVA channels, as the swapping of IIS4 and IVS4 voltage sensors from Cav1.2 to Cav3.3 channels does not include the Asp residue in segment S3, and this is present in both channels. More recently, it has been shown the participation of an additional Asp residue on S3 (named D4), outside the CTC of the fourth VSD of  $Ca_V 1.1$  channel (S5 Fig) that is regulated by the alternative splicing of the S3-S4 linker [66]. The authors found that the Ca<sub>V</sub>1.1 isoform with the shorter S3-S4 linker (Ca<sub>V</sub>1.1e) generates 6-fold larger currents and ~26 mV left shift in voltage-dependence compared with the longer S3-S4 linker isoform ( $Ca_V 1.1a$ ), and that the neutralization of this aspartate by mutating it to asparagine reverted the Cav1.1e current properties to those of Cav1.1a. Interestingly, the D4 residue is conserved in 6 out of 7 HVA channels, but in LVA channels the position is occupied by a glycine (S5 Fig), and the corresponding S3-S4 linker is also as short as the one of  $Ca_V 1.1e$ . The pro-HVA role of D4 in our results with the S4 voltage sensors chimeras was not observed, because even in its absence the chimera IVS4C shifted the gating properties of Cav3.3 channels towards those of  $Ca_V 1.2$ . Therefore, despite the demonstrated participation of additional structures to the S4 segment itself (like S3-S4 and S4-S5 linkers) and individual amino acids (like those of CTC and D4) in the mechanism for the proper voltage-sensing of  $Ca_V$  channels, the molecular substrate for the differences in gating between LVA and HVA remains elusive. Our results demonstrate that swapping the S4 voltage sensors of Domain II and IV, together with some residues from the S4-S5 linkers, is enough to induce an HVA-like gating behavior of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels, and in concordance with the data from other groups discussed here, suggest the necessity to perform additional studies to dissect the contribution of each residue in the S4 voltage sensors (not only the positively charged residues but also those that are around

them), to obtain more insights about the differences in gating between LVA and HVA channels.

In conclusion, the results of the chimeric analysis of the S4 voltage sensors presented here revealed the crucial role of S4 voltage sensor and the S4-S5 linker of Domain II in the LVA behavior of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels, followed in importance by the Domain IV voltage sensor. On the contrary, voltage sensor of Domain I and III did not show significant relevance for the low-voltage activation characteristic of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels, although the IS4 voltage sensor alone had a strong influence in preventing the inactivation of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels from closed-states. It seems to be that the outstanding contribution of the IIS4 and IVS4 voltage sensors for the LVA properties of  $Ca_V 3.3$  channel is concerted through the residues of the S4 voltage sensors themselves, and also by structural interactions with other regions of the channel.

## Supporting information

S1 Fig. Voltage-dependence of activation for CaV3.3 and IIS4C chimera determined with an instantaneous *I-V* protocol. *A*. Representative family currents recorded from HEK-293 cells transfected with Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 (black traces) or IIS4C chimeric (red traces) channels by using the indicated voltage protocol. Twenty-ms depolarizing pulses were applied from -80 to +120 mV in 10-mV steps from a HP of -100 mV. Tail currents were recorded at -120 mV in order to promote larger currents in the IIS4C chimera. The characteristics of each channel are observed, significantly larger inward currents and slower tail currents for the wildtype channel in comparison with the chimeric channel. *B*. Activation curves. Tail current amplitudes were normalized to the maximum value and plotted as the fraction of open channels against test potential. Data points were fit with a Boltzmann function of the form:  $I = I_{max} / (1 + \exp ((-V_m - V_{1/2})/k)))$ . The obtained parameters  $V_{1/2}$  and *k* were: -11.1 ± 3.4 mV and 17.4 ± 0.9 mV (n = 7) for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3; and +45.9 ± 4.0 mV and 19.2 ± 0.6 mV for the IIS4C chimeric channels (n = 6).

(TIF)

S2 Fig. The small current density of chimera IIS4C is not associated with a significant reduction of channel expression. *A*, localization of wild-type and IIS4C chimeric Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels within HEK-293 cells. Representative confocal images showing subcellular distribution of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 and IIS4C chimera in HEK-293 cells after 48 h of transfection. Both channels were GFP-tagged (green), and cell membranes were stained with FM4-64 (red). The merged images are shown at the bottom, GFP-tagged channels located in the plasma membrane are seen as punctuate yellow clusters. *Scale bars*, 3.3 µm. Representative data from 12–15 cells from three independent experiments. *B*, expression of Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-WT and IIS4C chimera in HEK-293 cells. Western blot of protein homogenates from untransfected HEK-293 cells (HEK-293), or transiently transfected with Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3-GFP, IIS4C-GFP or IVS4C-GFP. Blots were probed with anti-GFP (top), and  $\beta$ -actin was used as a loading control (bottom). Results are representative of three independent experiments with similar results. *C*, bar chart showing quantitation of three experiments like the one shown in *B*. Data in graphs represent mean ± SEM. The data were corrected with the loading control ( $\beta$ -actin). There were no statistical differences among the means.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. The pharmacological profile of IIS4C currents agrees with the CaV3.3 channels. Examples of family currents obtained from  $Ca_V 3.3$  and IIS4C chimeric channels before (Control), during the exposure to mibefradil or TTA-A2, and after washing out the corresponding compound (Recovery). Currents were evoked by 10 mV-step depolarizations starting at -80 mV (for Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3) or at -40 mV (for IIS4C) until +120 mV, in both cases, from a HP of -100 mV. Traces in red denotes the peak of the inward current for each channel. The blocking effect of Mibefradil and TTA-A2 was practically the same on wildtype and IIS4C chimeric Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3 channels. Mibefradil: Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3, 89.6  $\pm$  2.4% at -30 mV, and 79.6  $\pm$  4.4% at +120 mV (n = 2); IIS4C: 87.1  $\pm$  7.8% at 0 mV, and 93.5  $\pm$  4.0% at +120 mV (n = 3). TTA-A2: Ca<sub>V</sub>3.3, 79.4  $\pm$  10.2% at -30 mV, and 64.6  $\pm$  14.7% at +120 mV (n = 2); IIS4C: 85.8  $\pm$  7.8% at 0 mV, and 75.3  $\pm$  3.4% at +120 mV (n = 4). (TIF)

S4 Fig. Amplitude of IIS4C currents is holding-potential dependent as LVA channels. Representative current traces at the indicated voltages for  $Ca_V 3.3$ , IIS4C and  $Ca_V 1.2$  channels evoked by using a holding potential (HP) of -100 mV (left panels) or -60 mV (right panels). As a typical LVA channel, currents of  $Ca_V 3.3$  are severely reduced in response to the changing the HP from -100 to -60 mV. On the contrary, the  $Ca_V 1.2$  currents are practically unaffected for this experimental maneuver. In agreement with the LVA behavior, currents amplitude of IIS4C chimera was equally dependent on the HP as for  $Ca_V 3.3$  channels. In order to obtained larger currents of IIS4C chimera, for these experiments the concentration of the charge carrier in the external solution was increased from 5 to 10 mM  $Ca^{2+}$ . In some panels, tail currents mixed with uncompensated capacitive transients are off scale. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Protein sequence alignment from IS2 through IVS4 segments of  $\alpha$ 1 subunit for human CaV channels. S2, S3 and S4 segments are delineated by black lines above the sequence alignment and amino acids are color-coded: red, positively charged; green, negatively charged; blue, polar; and yellow, hydrophobic. The charge transfer center (CTC) that facilitates the movement of voltage sensors consists of An1 and An2 (negative or polar residues; shaded magenta), and Phe (the occluding residue, shaded cyan) on the S2 segment; and an Asp residue in the S3 segment in each repeat. However, in all Ca<sub>V</sub> channels this residue is only conserved in VSD<sub>II</sub> and VSD<sub>IV</sub> (shaded red), as shown by the alignments. The negative residue near the extracellular end of S3 (outside the charge transfer center of VSD<sub>IV</sub> that is critical for voltagesensing of Ca<sub>V</sub>1.1 channels) is highly conserved (shaded green) in all HVA channels, but in LVA channels is missing. (TIFF)

## Acknowledgments

We are very grateful with Dr. Edward Perez-Reyes (University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA) for providing the wild-type  $Ca_V 3.3$  and  $Ca_V 3.3$ -GFP-HA constructs and also for helpful discussions. We also thank Dr. Diane Lipscombe from Brown University (Providence, RI) for the donation of  $Ca_V 1.2$  clone. The authors would like to thank also Jonatan Barrera-Chimal (Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas, UNAM) for supporting with some mutagenesis and patch-clamp experiments in the early phases of this work; Melanie Gomora-Garcia for help with the artwork; and Laura Ongay, Minerva Crespo and Guadalupe Codiz from Unidad de Biología Molecular at Instituto de Fisiología Celular, UNAM, for expert technical support.

### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Juan Carlos Gomora.

Formal analysis: Zazil Herrera Carrillo, Juan Carlos Gomora.

Investigation: Ana Laura Sanchez-Sandoval, Zazil Herrera Carrillo, Clara Estela Díaz Velásquez, Dulce María Delgadillo, Heriberto Manuel Rivera, Juan Carlos Gomora.

Methodology: Heriberto Manuel Rivera.

- Writing original draft: Juan Carlos Gomora.
- Writing review & editing: Ana Laura Sanchez-Sandoval, Heriberto Manuel Rivera, Juan Carlos Gomora.

#### References

- Berridge MJ, Bootman MD, Roderick HL (2003) Calcium signalling: dynamics, homeostasis and remodelling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 4: 517–529. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1155 PMID: 12838335
- Perez-Reyes E (2003) Molecular physiology of low-voltage-activated T-type calcium channels. Physiological Reviews 83: 117–161. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00018.2002 PMID: 12506128
- Turner RW, Zamponi GW (2014) T-type channels buddy up. Pflugers Archiv-European Journal of Physiology 466: 661–675. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-013-1434-6 PMID: 24413887
- Lambert RC, Bessaih T, Crunelli V, Leresche N (2014) The many faces of T-type calcium channels. Pflugers Archiv-European Journal of Physiology 466: 415–423. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-013-1353-6 PMID: 24043572</u>
- Weiss N, Zamponi GW (2013) Control of low-threshold exocytosis by T-type calcium channels. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes 1828: 1579–1586.
- Gomora JC, Xu L, Enyeart JA, Enyeart JJ (2000) Effect of mibefradil on voltage-dependent gating and kinetics of T-type Ca2+ channels in cortisol-secreting cells. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 292: 96–103. PMID: <u>10604935</u>
- Enyeart JJ, Enyeart JA (2015) Adrenal fasciculata cells express T-type and rapidly and slowly activating L-type Ca2+ channels that regulate cortisol secretion. American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology 308: C899–C918. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00002.2015 PMID: 25788571
- Rossier MF, Ertel EA, Vallotton MB, Capponi AM (1998) Inhibitory action of mibefradil on calcium signaling and aldosterone synthesis in bovine adrenal glomerulosa cells. Journal of Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics 287: 824–831.
- Lory P, Bidaud I, Chemin J (2006) T-type calcium channels in differentiation and proliferation. Cell Calcium 40: 135–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceca.2006.04.017 PMID: 16797068
- Kuo IYT, Howitt L, Sandow SL, McFarlane A, Hansen PB, Hill CE (2014) Role of T-type channels in vasomotor function: team player or chameleon? Pflugers Archiv-European Journal of Physiology 466: 767–779. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-013-1430-x PMID: 24482062
- Pluteanu F, Cribbs LL (2011) Regulation and function of Ca(v)3.1 T-type calcium channels in IGF-Istimulated pulmonary artery smooth muscle cells. American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology 300: C517–C525. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00107.2010 PMID: 21148410
- Poulsen CB, Al-Mashhadi RH, Cribbs LL, Skott O, Hansen PB (2011) T-type voltage-gated calcium channels regulate the tone of mouse efferent arterioles. Kidney International 79: 443–451. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2010.429</u> PMID: 21068717
- Gomora JC, Daud AN, Weiergraber M, Perez-Reyes E (2001) Block of cloned human, T-type calcium channels by succinimide antiepileptic drugs. Molecular Pharmacology 60: 1121–1132. PMID: 11641441
- 14. Huguenard JR (2004) T-Channel Defects in Patients with Childhood Absence Epilepsy. Epilepsy Curr 4: 7–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1535-7597.2004.04102.x PMID: 15346133
- Powell KL, Cain SM, Snutch TP, O'Brien TJ (2014) Low threshold T-type calcium channels as targets for novel epilepsy treatments. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 77: 729–739. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12205</u> PMID: 23834404
- Powell KL, Sirdesai S, Kyi M, Ng C, Garcia E, Reid CA, et al. (2007) A novel mutation in the rat Ca(v)3.2 T-type Ca2+ channel CACNA1H gene increases absence seizure expression. Epilepsia 48: 390–390.
- Llinas R, Jahnsen H (1982) Electrophysiology of Mammalian Thalamic Neurons Invitro. Nature 297: 406–408. PMID: 7078650
- Choi S, Yu E, Lee S, Llinas RR (2015) Altered thalamocortical rhythmicity and connectivity in mice lacking Ca(V)3.1 T-type Ca2+ channels in unconsciousness. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112: 7839–7844. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420983112 PMID: 26056284

- Svenningsen P, Andersen K, Thuesen AD, Shin HS, Vanhoutte PM, Skott O, et al. (2014) T-type Ca2+ channels facilitate NO-formation, vasodilatation and NO-mediated modulation of blood pressure. Pflugers Archiv-European Journal of Physiology 466: 2205–2214. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-014-1492-4 PMID: 24627154
- Reimer EN, Walenda G, Seidel E, Scholl UI (2016) CACNA1H(M1549V) Mutant Calcium Channel Causes Autonomous Aldosterone Production in HAC15 Cells and Is Inhibited by Mibefradil. Endocrinology 157: 3016–3022. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2016-1170 PMID: 27258646
- Thuesen AD, Lyngso KS, Rasmussen L, Stubbe J, Skott O, Poulsen FR, et al. (2016) P/Q-type and Ttype voltage-gated calcium channels are involved in the contraction of mammary and brain blood vessels from hypertensive patients. Acta Physiol (Oxf).
- 22. Zhang Y, Zhang JH, Jiang DS, Zhang D, Qian ZY, Liu CF, et al. (2012) Inhibition of T-type Ca2+channels by endostatin attenuates human glioblastoma cell proliferation and migration. British Journal of Pharmacology 166: 1247–1260. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2012.01852.x PMID: 22233416
- Valerie NCK, Dziegielewska B, Hosing AS, Augustin E, Gray LS, Brautigan DL, et al. (2013) Inhibition of T-type calcium channels disrupts Akt signaling and promotes apoptosis in glioblastoma cells. Biochemical Pharmacology 85: 888–897. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2012.12.017 PMID: 23287412
- Mariot P, Vanoverberghe K, Lalevee N, Rossier MF, Prevarskaya N (2002) Overexpression of an alpha (1H) (Ca(v)3.2) T-type calcium channel during neuroendocrine differentiation of human prostate cancer cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry 277: 10824–10833. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M108754200</u> PMID: 11799114
- Huang WF, Lu CJ, Wu Y, Ouyang S, Chen YZ (2015) T-type calcium channel antagonists, mibefradil and NNC-55-0396 inhibit cell proliferation and induce cell apoptosis in leukemia cell lines. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research 34.
- Zamponi GW, Striessnig J, Koschak A, Dolphin AC (2015) The Physiology, Pathology, and Pharmacology of Voltage-Gated Calcium Channels and Their Future Therapeutic Potential. Pharmacological Reviews 67: 821–870. https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.114.009654 PMID: 26362469
- Bezanilla F (2008) How membrane proteins sense voltage. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9: 323–332. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nrm2376 PMID: 18354422
- Tombola F, Pathak MM, Isacoff EY (2006) How does voltage open an ion channel? Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 22: 23–52. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.21.020404.145837 PMID: 16704338
- Li J, Stevens L, Klugbauer N, Wray D (2004) Roles of molecular regions in determining differences between voltage dependence of activation of CaV3.1 and CaV1.2 calcium channels. J Biol Chem 279: 26858–26867. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M313981200 PMID: 15100229
- Li J, Stevens L, Wray D (2005) Molecular regions underlying the activation of low- and high-voltage activating calcium channels. Eur Biophys J 34: 1017–1029. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00249-005-0487-7</u> PMID: 15924245
- Lam AD, Glaaser IW, Hanck DA (2002) Role of DIV/S4 outer charges in determining kinetics of T-type Ca channels. Biophysical Journal 82: 101a–101a.
- 32. Kurejova M, Lacinova L, Pavlovicova M, Eschbach M, Klugbauer N (2007) The effect of the outermost basic residues in the S4 segments of the Ca(V)3.1 T-type calcium channel on channel gating. Pflugers Archiv-European Journal of Physiology 455: 527–539. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-007-0302-7</u> PMID: 17638012
- Morino H, Matsuda Y, Muguruma K, Miyamoto R, Ohsawa R, Ohtake T, et al. (2015) A mutation in the low voltage-gated calcium channel CACNA1G alters the physiological properties of the channel, causing spinocerebellar ataxia. Molecular Brain 8.
- 34. Gomora JC, Murbartian J, Arias JM, Lee JH, Perez-Reyes E (2002) Cloning and expression of the human T-type channel Ca(v)3.3: Insights into prepulse facilitation. Biophysical Journal 83: 229–241. PMID: 12080115
- Talley EM, Cribbs LL, Lee JH, Daud A, Perez-Reyes E, Bayliss DA (1999) Differential distribution of three members of a gene family encoding low voltage-activated (T-type) calcium channels. Journal of Neuroscience 19: 1895–1911. PMID: 10066243
- Yue J, Liu LJ, Liu ZG, Shu B, Zhang Y (2013) Upregulation of T-type Ca2+ Channels in Primary Sensory Neurons in Spinal Nerve Injury. Spine 38: 463–470. https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e318272fbf8 PMID: 22972512
- Cueni L, Canepari M, Lujan R, Emmenegger Y, Watanabe M, Bond CT, et al. (2008) T-type Ca(2+) channels, SK2 channels and SERCAs gate sleep-related oscillations in thalamic dendrites. Nature Neuroscience 11: 683–692. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2124 PMID: 18488023
- Astori S, Wimmer RD, Prosser HM, Corti C, Corsi M, Liaudet N, et al. (2011) The Ca(V)3.3 calcium channel is the major sleep spindle pacemaker in thalamus. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences of the United States of America 108: 13823–13828. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1105115108 PMID: 21808016

- 39. Astori S, Luthi A (2013) Synaptic Plasticity at Intrathalamic Connections via Ca(V)3.3 T-type Ca2+ Channels and GluN2B-Containing NMDA Receptors. Journal of Neuroscience 33: 624–630. https:// doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3185-12.2013 PMID: 23303941
- Pellegrini C, Lecci S, Luthi A, Astori S (2016) Suppression of Sleep Spindle Rhythmogenesis in Mice with Deletion of Ca(V)3.2 and Ca(V)3.3 T-type Ca2+ Channels. Sleep 39: 875–885. https://doi.org/10. 5665/sleep.5646 PMID: 26612388
- Hamid J, Peloquin JB, Monteil A, Zamponi GW (2006) Determinants of the differential gating properties of Cav3.1 and Cav3.3 T-type channels: A role of domain IV? Neuroscience 143: 717–728. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.08.023 PMID: 16996222
- Wu JP, Yan Z, Li ZQ, Qian XY, Lu S, Dong MQ, et al. (2016) Structure of the voltage-gated calcium channel Ca(v)1.1 at 3.6 angstrom resolution. Nature 537: 191-+. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19321 PMID: 27580036
- Horton RM, Ho SN, Pullen JK, Hunt HD, Cai ZL, Pease LR (1993) Gene-Splicing by Overlap Extension. Methods in Enzymology 217: 270–279. PMID: 8474334
- Baumgart JP, Vitko I, Bidaud I, Kondratskyi A, Lory P, Perez-Reyes E (2008) I-II Loop Structural Determinants in the Gating and Surface Expression of Low Voltage-Activated Calcium Channels. PLoS One 3.
- Hamill OP, Marty A, Neher E, Sakmann B, Sigworth FJ (1981) Improved patch-clamp techniques for high-resolution current recording from cells and cell-free membrane patches. Pflugers Arch 391: 85– 100. PMID: 6270629
- Lopez-Charcas O, Rivera M, Gomora JC (2012) Block of Human CaV3 Channels by the Diuretic Amiloride. Mol Pharmacol.
- Arias O II, Vitko I, Fortuna M, Baumgart JP, Sokolova S, Shumilin IA, et al. (2008) Characterization of the gating brake in the I-II loop of Ca(v)3.2 T-type Ca(2+) channels. J Biol Chem 283: 8136–8144. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M708761200 PMID: 18218623
- Lee TS, Karl R, Moosmang S, Lenhardt P, Klugbauer N, Hofmann F, et al. (2006) Calmodulin kinase II is involved in voltage-dependent facilitation of the L-type Cav1.2 calcium channel: Identification of the phosphorylation sites. J Biol Chem 281: 25560–25567. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M508661200 PMID: 16820363
- Fox AP, Nowycky MC, Tsien RW (1987) Kinetic and pharmacological properties distinguishing three types of calcium currents in chick sensory neurones. J Physiol 394: 149–172. PMID: 2451016
- Bean BP (1985) Two kinds of calcium channels in canine atrial cells. Differences in kinetics, selectivity, and pharmacology. J Gen Physiol 86: 1–30. PMID: 2411846
- Frazier CJ, Serrano JR, George EG, Yu XF, Viswanathan A, Perez-Reyes E, et al. (2001) Gating kinetics of the alpha 1I T-type calcium channel. Journal of General Physiology 118: 457–470. PMID: 11696605
- Matteson DR, Armstrong CM (1986) Properties of two types of calcium channels in clonal pituitary cells. J Gen Physiol 87: 161–182. PMID: 2419479
- Perez-Reyes E, Cribbs LL, Daud A, Lacerda AE, Barclay J, Williamson MP, et al. (1998) Molecular characterization of a neuronal low-voltage-activated T-type calcium channel. Nature 391: 896–900. https://doi.org/10.1038/36110 PMID: 9495342
- Serrano JR, Perez-Reyes E, Jones SW (1999) State-dependent inactivation of the alpha 1G T-type calcium channel. Journal of General Physiology 114: 185–201. PMID: <u>10435997</u>
- 55. Kraus RL, Li YX, Gregan Y, Gotter AL, Uebele VN, Fox SV, et al. (2010) In Vitro Characterization of T-Type Calcium Channel Antagonist TTA-A2 and In Vivo Effects on Arousal in Mice. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 335: 409–417. https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.110.171058 PMID: 20682849
- 56. Yamaguchi H, Muth JN, Varadi M, Schwartz A, Varadi G (1999) Critical role of conserved proline residues in the transmembrane segment 4 voltage sensor function and in the gating of L-type calcium channels. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 96: 1357–1362. PMID: 9990028
- Stuhmer W, Conti F, Suzuki H, Wang XD, Noda M, Yahagi N, et al. (1989) Structural Parts Involved in Activation and Inactivation of the Sodium-Channel. Nature 339: 597–603. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 339597a0 PMID: 2543931
- Demers-Giroux PO, Bourdin B, Sauve R, Parent L (2013) Cooperative Activation of the T-type Ca(V)3.2 Channel INTERACTION BETWEEN DOMAINS II AND III. Journal of Biological Chemistry 288: 29281– 29293. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.500975 PMID: 23970551

- Coutelier M, Blesneac J, Monteil A, Monin ML, Ando K, Mundwiller E, et al. (2015) A Recurrent Mutation in CACNA1G Alters Cav3.1 T-Type Calcium-Channel Conduction and Causes Autosomal-Dominant Cerebellar Ataxia. American Journal of Human Genetics 97: 726–737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg. 2015.09.007 PMID: 26456284
- 60. Lam AD, Chikina MD, McNulty MM, Glaaser IW, Hanck DA (2005) Role of Domain IV/S4 outermost arginines in gating of T-type calcium channels. Pflugers Archiv-European Journal of Physiology 451: 349–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-005-1407-5 PMID: 16133267
- Vitko I, Bidaud I, Arias JM, Mezghrani A, Lory P, Perez-Reyes E (2007) The I-II loop controls plasma membrane expression and gating of Ca(v)3.2 T-type Ca2+ channels: A paradigm for childhood absence epilepsy mutations. Journal of Neuroscience 27: 322–330. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1817-06.2007 PMID: 17215393
- Perez-Reyes E (2010) Characterization of the gating brake in the I-II loop of Ca(V)3 T-type calcium channels. Channels 4: 453–458. https://doi.org/10.4161/chan.4.6.12889 PMID: 21099341
- **63.** Tao X, Lee A, Limapichat W, Dougherty DA, MacKinnon R (2010) A Gating Charge Transfer Center in Voltage Sensors. Science 328: 67–73. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185954 PMID: 20360102
- 64. Yan Z, Zhou Q, Wang L, Wu JP, Zhao YY, Huang GXY, et al. (2017) Structure of the Na(v)1.4-beta 1 Complex from Electric Eel. Cell 170: 470-+. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.039</u> PMID: 28735751
- **65.** Shen HZ, Zhou Q, Pan XJ, Li ZQ, Wu JP, Yan N (2017) Structure of a eukaryotic voltage-gated sodium channel at near-atomic resolution. Science 355: 924–+.
- Tuluc P, Yarov-Yarovoy V, Benedetti B, Flucher BE (2016) Molecular Interactions in the Voltage Sensor Controlling Gating Properties of Ca-V Calcium Channels. Structure 24: 261–271. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.str.2015.11.011 PMID: 26749449