Inflammatory bowel disease evolution in the past two decades: a chronological multinational study



оа

Pezhman Alavinejad,^{a,*} Seyed Jalal Hashemi,^a Nitin Behl,^b Ahmad Hormati,^c Abubakr Elbasuny,^d Naser Ebrahimi Daryani,^e Mehdi Pezeshai Modarres,^f Masoud Arshadzadeh,^e Samira Panahande,^a Dao Viet Hana,^g Aya Mohammed Mahros,^h Abazar Parsi,^a Hazhir Javaherizadeh,^a Ata Rehman,ⁱ Katarzyna M. Pawlak^j Mitra Ahmadi,^a Mohammed Hussien Ahmed,^h Farnaz Farsi,^k Mohammad Arefi,^l Afreen Ouadri,^m Ouang Trung Tran,ⁿ Foroogh Alborzi,^e Seved Mohammad Amin Alavi,^a Bahman Cheraghian,^a Elmira Ramezani.^o Mohammed Fathi Gouda.^{p.t} Babak Saadati.⁹ Ahmed Alam Ouadri.^r Rahim Hirani.^s Maha Maher.^u and Elsaved Ghoneem^{t.c}

^aAlimentary Tract Research Centre, Imam Khomeini Hospital Clinical Research Development Unit, The School of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran ^bInstitute of Gastro and Liver Diseases, Fortis Hospital, Ludhiana, India ^cLiver and Pancreatobiliary Diseases Research Centre, Digestive Diseases Research Institute, Shariati Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ^dMit Ghamr Oncology Centre, Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Egypt ^elmam Khomeini Hospital GI Ward, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ^fResearch Centre, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran ⁹Internal Medicine Faculty, Hanoi Medical University, Endoscopy Centre, Hanoi Medical University Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam ^hHepatology, Gastroenterology and Infectious Diseases, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt Faisalabad Medical University, Faisalabad, Pakistan ^jDivision of Gastroenterology Department, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada k Minimally Invasive Surgery Research Centre, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran Toxicology Centre of Baharloo Hospital, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ^mDr VRK Woman's Medical College, Aziznagar, Telangana, India ⁿUniversity of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hue University, Vietnam ^oDepartment of Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ^PConsultant of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Giza, Egypt ^qAbacus Medicine AS, Copenhagen, Denmark ^rShadan Institute of Medical Sciences. Hyderabad, India School of Medicine, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY, 10595, USA ^tMouwasat Medical Hospital, Dammam, Saudi Arabia ⁴Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Internal Medicine) Department, Specialised Medical Hospital, Mansoura University

Summary

Background The multifactorial nature of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), which manifests differently in individuals creates a need for a better understanding of the behaviour and pattern of the disease due to environmental factors. The current study aimed to study the changes in IBD behaviour, presentation, and characteristics in patients over the past two decades with a goal of improving patients' diagnosis, management and outcomes.

Methods During a 6-month period (1/02/2022-30/07/2022), the information of patients with IBD who attended IBD outpatient clinics of 11 referral centre's in six countries was collected, and based on the first time of diagnosis with IBD, they were allocated as group A (those who were diagnosed more than 15 years ago), group B (those who were diagnosed with IBD between 5 and 15 years ago) and group C (IBD cases who diagnosed in recent 5 years). Then the most prevalent subtypes and characters of the disease are evaluated and compared to make clear if the presenting pattern and behaviour of the disease has changed in the last 2 decades.

Findings Overall 1430 patients with IBD including 1207 patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) (84.5%) and 205 patients with Crohn's disease (CD; 14.3%) included. Mean age of participants at the first time of diagnosis with IBD was 30 years. The extra-intestinal involvement of IBD in groups A and B was more prevalent in comparison with group C. Most of those in groups A & B had academic education but in group C, the most prevalent educational status was high school or diploma (P = 0.012). In contrast to groups A and B, the relative prevalence of medium socioeconomic level in group C had decreased (65%). Relative prevalence of UC subtypes was similar among groups A and B (extensive colitis as most prevalent) but in group C, the most prevalent subtype is left side colitis



eClinicalMedicine 2024;70: 102542

Published Online xxx https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.eclinm.2024. 102542

^{*}Corresponding author. Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran. E-mail address: pezhmanalavinejad@gmail.com (P. Alavinejad).

(38.17%). The most prevalent subtype of CD in groups A and B was ileocolic involvement while in group C, upper GI involvement is significantly increased. The rate of food sensitivity among groups A and B was more than group C (P = 0.00001). The relative prevalence of patients with no flare has increased with a steady slope (P < 0.00001). Relative prevalence of presenting symptoms among patients with UC in group C differs and nowadays the rate abdominal pain (70.7%) and bloating (43.9%) have increased and frequency of diarrhoea (67.4%) has decreased.

Interpretation In the recent 5 years, the pattern of UC presentation has changed. The rate of upper GI involvement in CD and relative prevalence of patients with no disease flare increased and the rate of extra intestinal involvement decreased.

Funding None.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: IBD; UC; CD; Flare; Behavior

Research in context

Evidence before this study

The authors searched PubMed and google scholar on November 1st 2021 until April 30 2023; the Montreal classification criteria was used to include or exclude studies and the search terms were related to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), disease behaviour and environment in English language, considering the impact of climate changes on the behaviour of multifactorial disorders including IBD.

Introduction

Inflammatory Bowel disease (IBD) is a collective term for chronic gastrointestinal inflammatory diseases including, but not limited to, Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.^{1,2} Usually characterised by prolonged inflammation of the gastrointestinal (GI) mucosa, these subsets of diseases could be present with a variety of symptoms such as abdominal pain, diarrhea, fatigue, joint pain, night sweats, vomiting, and bleeding.³ Because of its ability to turn into a life-threatening condition with disease progression, it is vital to diagnose and provide treatment in a timely manner.²

Due to the heterogeneity of this disease, mechanisms involved in IBD are poorly understood and morbidity rates are rapidly increasing, making it not only an important concern for healthcare practitioners but also for healthcare facilities around the globe.⁴ This is even more concerning given the fact that the relative risk of death related to IBD has not decreased despite several advances in the field and the development of new drugs for its treatment.⁵ Therefore, it is vital to understand various risk factors that affects the course of these diseases, which will in turn inform us to formulate better treatment plan for the patients.

It has been reported that environmental factors play an important role in the development of IBD by altering intestinal permeability and disrupting native

Added value of this study

We found that there is lack of any multinational chronological study in the field of IBD and this article can help understanding of IBD as a multifactorial disease.

Implications of all the available evidence

Findings of the current work provide evidence about changing of IBD behavior in line with the changing environment and climate.

microbiota.6 Although smoking, public hygiene, air pollution, and rapidly changing environmental factors have been isolated as the common aggravating factors in IBD, it is unclear how these factors affect the progression and change of the course of the disease in patients during the last several decades.⁷⁻⁹ On the other hand, the phenomena of global warming and associated and predictable climate changes in the last decades have been characterised by extreme weather events such as the increase in temperature, rainfall, the length of the warmest season, and droughts and subsequently epidemiological disease cycles and patterns have changed.¹⁰ The multifactorial nature of IBD manifesting differently in individuals creates a need for a better understanding of the behavior and pattern of the disease due to such environmental factors. Given the rise of cases and death rates concerning IBD, this study aimed to isolate and study the changes in IBD behavior, presentation, and characteristics in patients over the last two decades with a goal of improving patients' diagnosis, management and outcomes.

Methods

Study design and participants

During a 6-month period (1/02/2022-30/07/2022), the information of patients with IBD who attend IBD

outpatient clinics of 11 referral centres in 6 countries was collected, and based on the first time of diagnosis with IBD, they were allocated as group A (those who were diagnosed more than 15 years ago), group B (those who involved with IBD between 5 and 15 years ago) and group C (IBD cases who diagnosed in recent 5 years). Different IBD centres joined the study via social media announcing. The diagnosis and type of IBD (ulcerative colitis (UC) vs Crohn's disease (CD)) allocation confirmed by academic gastroenterologist based on patients history, previous documents and endoscopic and pathologic reports.

Procedures and outcomes

After obtaining a consent form, the participants were requested to fill out the study questionnaire which was designed by 3 expert gastroenterologists. Then the most prevalent subtypes and characters of the disease are evaluated and compared to make clear if the presenting pattern and behavior of the disease have changed in the last 2 decades.

Statistical analysis

We used Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 16.0 for data analysis. Data was checked for normality using Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests. Mann Whitney U test and independent two-sample t-test were employed for comparing two quantitative variables. The chi-square test was used to evaluate the association between two qualitative variables. Analyses were declared significant for P value <0.05.

Ethics information

This study followed the Declaration of Helsinki, and all participants signed written informed consent forms before data collection began. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (IR.AJUMS.REC.1400.269).

Role of the funding source

This research performed under supervision and approval of Alimentary Tract Research Centre (ATRC) in Ahvaz Jundishapur University of medical Sciences without any financial support and there was no funding source for this study. All of the authors had full access to all of the data in the study and accept responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

During this multinational observational study, overall information of 1430 patients with IBD including 1207 patients with UC (84.5%), 205 patients with CD (14.3%), and 18 cases with indeterminate colitis (1.3%) from 11 referral centres of 6 countries (Egypt, India, Iran, Pakistan, Poland, Vietnam) were included. The participants were originally citizens of 9 countries (Fig. 1) and 48.1% of them (687 cases) were male. The mean age was 37.2 years (range 3–83) (Table 1) and 45.8% of them were Caucasian in descent (Table 2). Mean number of family members per living area was 5 persons (range 1–18). The mean age of participants at the first time of diagnosis with UC among groups A, B, and C were 31, 31.5, and 33.6 y respectively (P > 0.05), and about patients with CD were 27, 28.6, and 26.7 y respectively which was also similar in 3 groups (P > 0.05). The extra-intestinal involvement of IBD in groups A and B was more prevalent in comparison with group C (19.6% and 19.2% vs 13.7%) (P = 0.08 & 0.009 respectively) (Table 1).

Educational status

Most of those who were diagnosed more than 5 years ago (groups A & B) had academic education (41.6% and 42.3% respectively) but among those whose disease began in 5 **most** recent years, the most prevalent educational status was high school or diploma (45.07%, P = 0.012) (Fig. 2).

Economic status

Among groups A and B, most of the patients belong to the medium socioeconomic class (83% & 74% respectively) but in group C (those who were involved during the last 5 years), the relative prevalence of medium socioeconomic level decreased (65%). Subsequently, in recent years (group C) more people with high or low monthly income diagnosed as IBD in comparison with group A (15% vs 6%, P = 0.004 and 20% vs 11%, P = 0.015 respectively) (Fig. 3).

Subtypes of IBD

a. Ulcerative colitis

Relative prevalence of UC subtypes based on anatomic involvement was almost similar among groups A and B with E3 (extensive colitis) as the most prevalent subtype (48.73% and 48.47% respectively) but among those who involved in recent 5 years (group C), the most prevalent subtype is left side colitis (E2, 38.17% vs 24.55% as E1 and 37.27% as E3; Montreal Classification) (Fig. 4).

b. Crohn's Disease

The most prevalent subtype of CD in groups A and B was ileocolic involvement (L3, 25%, and 25.3% respectively; Montreal Classification) while among those who involved during the last 5 years (group C), upper GI involvement (L3 + L4) is significantly increased (30.89% vs 8.3% in group A and 6.3% in group B; P = 0.09 & 0.0009 respectively) (Fig. 5).

Food sensitivity

The rate of food sensitivity among groups A and B (25% and 22.3% respectively) was more than group C (9.4%) (P = 0.00001, Table 1).



Fig. 1: Original country of participants.

Habitual behavior

The rate of recreational behavior such as smoking and drinking alcohol was similar between the 3 groups (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

Disease flare

The relative prevalence of patients who have not experienced disease flare raised among study groups with a

Character	Group A	Group B	Group C
Male	54	236	397
Female	80	241	422
Male/Female ratio	54/80	236/241	397/422
Mean Age (range)	49.5 (24-77)	40.1 (3-83)	33.4 (6-75)
BMI (mean)	26.22	26.28	24.63
Food sensitivity	25%	22.30%	9.40%
Family History of IBD	11.30%	13.30%	7.20%
Smoking	9%	11.20%	9.20%
Alcohol drinking	4.50%	4.60%	3.60%
extra intestinal involvement	19.60%	19.20%	13.70%

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants; group A: those who diagnosed with IBD more than 15 years ago, group B: those who diagnosed with IBD between 5 and 15 years ago, group C: IBD cases who diagnosed in recent 5 years; BMI, body Mass Index.

steady slope (group A 15.9%, group B 22.9%, and group C 42.38%, P < 0.00001; Fig. 6).

Presenting symptoms

Regardless of bleeding, the relative prevalence of the majority of presenting symptoms among patients with UC in recent 5 years (group C) differs from those who were diagnosed more than 15 years ago (group A) (Table 3) and nowadays the rate of symptoms such as abdominal pain (70.7% vs 54.1%, P = 0.0003), bloating

Race	number	Percent
Caucasian	655	45.8%
Arab	489	34.50%
Indian	111	7.83%
Asian	80	5.64%
Turk	60	4.19%
African	26	1.83%
Afghan	8	0.56%
Spanish	1	0.07%

 Table 2: Race of participants (this racial categorization was taken from

 Fig. 2 in Irritable Bowel Syndrome Demographics; https://www.ncbi.

 nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9489318/).



Fig. 2: Educational condition of participants.

(43.9% vs 20.8%, P = 0.00001) and fatigue (28.9% vs 13.3%, P = 0.0003) have increased and frequency of diarrhea (67.4% vs 80.8%, P = 0.003) has decreased.

Among patients with CD, the relative prevalence of presenting symptoms is almost similar between new cases in recent years (group C) and those who were diagnosed earlier (Table 4).

Discussion

IBD is an increasing health-care problem all over the world and environmental factors have a considerable role in the pathophysiology of these disorders.¹¹ In the Recent decades, the world encountered with phenomena of global warming and its predictable impact on the behavior of not only vital species but also epidemiology and presentation of different disorders including IBD.^{10,12,13} So, it is expected that the clinical presentation and behavior of various subtypes of IBD be modified and this item highlights the necessity of following the behavior of these disorders in a chronological way for better understanding and management.

Based on the findings of the current study, while the average age of the first presentation of IBD has not changed during the last 2 decades, the rate of extra intestinal involvement has decreased during the last 5 years (19.6% and 19.2% vs 13.7%; P = 0.08 & 0.009 respectively). Regardless of etiology, extra-intestinal manifestation (EIM) could affect quality of life and may require additional healthcare resources.¹⁴ So the decreased prevalence of EIM with considering the relative stability of its risk factors such as female gender, too high or too low body mass index, moderate-to-severe disease activity, extensive Crohn's disease, lower



Fig. 3: Relative proportion of IBD among different socioeconomic condition (IBD, inflammatory bowel disease).



Fig. 4: Relative proportion of UC subtypes (UC, ulcerative colitis; Montreal Classification; E1, ulcerative Proctitis; E2, left sided UC; E3, Extensive UC).

hemoglobin level, lower serum albumin, and higher Creactive protein level, could be a harvest of earlier diagnosis and more effective management of IBD in recent years¹⁵ while it may present later on the disease course.

Previously, IBD was more prevalent among those with academic education (groups A & B 41.6% and 42.3% respectively) and related to more tension in life,¹⁶ but in recent years the relative prevalence of those who were diagnosed as IBD without any higher level education has raised (45.07%, P = 0.012) and could illustrate the role of social media and broadcasting in creating not only awareness but also anxiety, depression and stress especially among the younger generation.^{17,18} On the other hand, recently more people with low or high socioeconomic levels have been diagnosed with IBD and this item could also be because of changes in the epidemiology of social stress.^{19,20}

could be a reflection of better awareness, more rapid diagnosis, and development of more potent and effective medication.^{21,22} Mutually the relative prevalence of upper GI involvement by CD has increased (31% vs 8% in group A and 6% in group B; P = 0.09 & 0.0009 respectively). This is in concordance with the findings of the Greuter et al. study which reported male sex and young age as main risk factors.23 In this regard, another study in 2019 found the female sex as a protective factor and advised more aggressive initial therapy for L4 phenotype.²⁴ The authors of the current study believe that more research is necessary to identify the potential causes of increase the incidence upper GIT involvement in cases of CD which we think may be related to better health care awareness and screening of upper GIT in such cases.

In recent years the most prevalent subtype of ulcerative colitis has been left-side colitis (E2, 38.17%) and The rate of food sensitivity has decreased among recent cases (9.4% vs 25% and 22.3% in groups A & B respectively; P = 0.00001). The potential reason could be



Fig. 5: Relative proportion of CD subtypes (CD, Crohn's disease; GI, gastrointestinal; Montreal Classification; L1, Terminal Ilium; L2, Colon; L3, Ileocolon; L4, upper GI; L1 + L4, Terminal Ilium + Upper GI; L2 + L4, Colon + Upper GI; L3 + L4, Ileocolon + Upper GI).

Articles



Fig. 6: The rate of disease flare per year.

a better understanding of the anti-inflammatory properties of foods and providing patients with IBD with better evidence on which foods they should eat or avoid to reduce flares.^{25,26} The rate of recreational behavior such as smoking and drinking alcohol saw no changes in the last 2 decades and necessitates further focus and public education regarding awareness about their hazards.

Symptom	Group A	Group B	Group C	P value
Bleeding	90%	90.07%	84.76%	0.132
Diarrhea	80.80%	78.41%	67.45%	0.003
Abdominal pain	54.10%	57.56%	70.71%	0.0003
Weight loss	30%	23.07%	19.08%	0.0065
Bloating	20.80%	29.52%	43.93%	0.00001
Fever	18.30%	8.68%	4.28%	0.00001
Fatigue	13.30%	23.57%	28.99%	0.0003
Articular pain	12.50%	11.66%	9.02%	0.232
Mucus discharge	12.50%	7.69%	2.66%	0.00001
Nausea & Vomiting	10.83%	10.66%	4.88%	0.01
Hair loss	5.83%	3.47%	5.32%	0.820
Anorexia	5.83%	5.95%	3.10%	0.135
Constipation	4.16%	5.45%	1.62%	0.067
Skin involvement	1.66%	2.23%	0.88%	0.430
Oral ulcer	1.66%	3.72%	1.33%	0.771
Blurred vision	0.83%	0.74%	0.73%	0.912
Miscellaneous	5.83%	4.96%	1.92%	0.011

Bold indicates significance level <0.05.

Table 3: Relative proportion of clinical symptoms during the first presentation of UC (UC, ulcerative colitis; total percentage is more than 100% because most of participants presented with more than one symptom).

Multiple lifestyle factors may significantly impact natural history and clinical outcomes in patients with established IBD. So, a conscious and deliberate assessment of these lifestyle factors is warranted for every patient with IBD and serves as a key aspect in engaging patients in adjunctive self-management of their disease.²⁷

The relative prevalence of those patients who have not experienced disease flares has risen in the last 2 decades with a steady slope and again could reflect more effective

Symptom	Group A	Group B	Group C	P value
Diarrhea	75%	73.84%	71.20%	0.780
Abdominal pain	75%	86.15%	84.60%	0.377
Fatigue	75%	55.38%	37.60%	0.018
Bleeding	41.60%	43.07%	24.80%	0.204
Weight loss	41.60%	66.15%	50.40%	0.398
Bloating	33.30%	49.23%	32.80%	0.970
Anorexia	33.30%	32.30%	15.20%	0.108
articular pain	25%	26.15%	23.20%	0.888
Nausea & Vomiting	25%	36.92%	17.60%	0.526
Fever	16.60%	36.92%	16%	0.952
Skin involvement	16.60%	15.38%	6.40%	0.191
Hair loss	8.30%	20%	15.20%	0.519
Constipation	8.30%	1.53%	2.40%	0.243
Oral ulcer	8.30%	23.07%	18.40%	0.380
Blurred vision	8.30%	4.61%	1.60%	0.127

old indicates significance level <0.05.

Table 4: Relative proportion of clinical symptoms during the first presentation of CD (CD, Crohn's disease; total percentile is more than 100% because most of participants presented with more than one symptom).

management and availability of more potent medications.²⁸⁻³⁰ On the other hand, the relative prevalence of most of the presenting symptoms, regardless of rectal bleeding, has changed among patients with UC and could be a warning sign to avoid misdiagnosis of the disease. Nowadays more patients present with previously considered nonspecific symptoms such as bloating and fatigue and the frequency of diarrhea has decreased. These findings are in concordance with the study of Song et al. about different behaviors and course of the disease.31 In contrast to UC, the presenting symptoms and clinical pattern of CD have not changed in the last 2 decades.

The current study focused on the changes in IBD behavior in the last decades but have also some limitations. We have no data from territories of Europe or America and performing similar studies with the participation of more countries and more cases could help in better understanding and management of IBD.

While in recent 5 years, the pattern of UC presentation has changed and the rate of upper GI involvement by CD increased but also the relative prevalence of patients with IBD who have not experienced disease flare increased and the rate of extra intestinal involvement decreased which means better understanding and management of disease.

Contributors

PA as corresponding author and main colleague in case collection, clinical supervisor, final draft writing and verified the underlying DATA; SJH as main colleague in case collection; NB as main colleague in case collection; AH as main colleague in case collection; AE as main colleague in case collection; NED as main colleague in case collection; MPM as main colleague in case collection; MA as main colleague in case collection; SP as main colleague in case collection; DVH as main colleague in case collection and final draft writing; AMM as main colleague in case collection; AP as main colleague in case collection; HJ as main colleague in case collection; AR as main colleague in case collection; KMP as main colleague in case collection; MA as main colleague in case collection; MHA as main colleague in case collection; FF as main colleague in case collection; MA as main colleague in case collection; AQ as main colleague in case collection; QTT as main colleague in case collection and figures designing; FA as main colleague in case collection; SMAA as main colleague in case collection; BC as statistician and epidemiologist for DATA analysis; ER as statistician for DATA analysis; MFG as main colleague in case collection; BS as main colleague in final draft writing; AAQ as main colleague in case collection; RH as main colleague in final draft writing and editing; MM as main colleague in case collection; EG as main colleague in case collection, clinical supervisor, final draft writing and verified the underlying DATA.

All of the authors had full access to all of the data in the study and accept responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Data sharing statement

The study DATA could be shared based on direct personal request to the corresponding author.

Declaration of interests

The authors have none to declare.

Acknowledgements

This study was approved and endorsed by the alimentary tract research centre of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences without any financial support.

The authors would like to acknowledge Professor Ibrahim Mostafa for his endless efforts and continued support in creating and promoting the WEO emerging star group as a global network of young researchers. We also would like to appreciate Professor Rupa Banerjee for her effort in the field of IBD especially creating the IBD-ENC consortium to unify the eastern Asian territory for better management of IBD

References

- Guan Q. A comprehensive review and update on the pathogenesis 1 of inflammatory bowel disease. J Immunol Res. 2019;2019: e7247238
- 2 Colombel J-F, D'haens G, Lee W-J, Petersson J, Panaccione R. Outcomes and strategies to support a treat-to-target approach in inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review. J Crohns Colitis. 2020:14:254-266.
- Singh S, Blanchard A, Walker JR, Graff LA, Miller N, 3 Bernstein CN. Common symptoms and stressors among individuals with inflammatory bowel diseases. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011;9(9):769-775.
- Ye Y, Pang Z, Chen W, Ju S, Zhou C. The epidemiology and risk 4 factors of inflammatory bowel disease. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(12):22529.
- 5 Amarapurkar AD, Amarapurkar DN, Rathi P, et al. Risk factors for inflammatory bowel disease: a prospective multi-centre study. Indian J Gastroenterol. 2018;37:189-195.
- Dam AN, Berg AM, Farraye FA. Environmental influences on the 6 onset and clinical course of Crohn's disease-Part 1: an overview of external risk factors. Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013;9(11):711.
- Ananthakrishnan AN. Environmental triggers for inflammatory 7 bowel disease. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. 2013;15(1):1-7.
- Nejad PA, Mard SA, Larki S, et al. Role of air pollution in inflammatory bowel disease flares: a retrospective study. Govaresh. 2016;20(4):261-267.
- Piovani D, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Nikolopoulos GK, Lytras T, 9 Bonovas S. Environmental risk factors for inflammatory bowel diseases: an umbrella review of meta-analyses. Gastroenterology. 2019;157(3):647-659.
- 10 El-Sayed A, Kamel M. Climatic changes and their role in emergence and re-emergence of diseases. Environ Sci Pollut Control Ser. 2020;27(18):22336-22352.
- Ananthakrishnan AN, Bernstein CN, Iliopoulos D, et al. Environmental triggers in IBD: a review of progress and evidence. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;15(1):39-49.
- Giorgini P, Di Giosia P, Petrarca M, Lattanzio F, Stamerra CA, 12 Ferri C. Climate changes and human health: a review of the effect of environmental stressors on cardiovascular diseases across epidemiology and biological mechanisms. Curr Pharm Des. 2017;23(22):3247-3261.
- Ananthakrishnan AN, Kaplan GG, Ng SC. Changing global epide-13 miology of inflammatory bowel diseases: sustaining health care delivery into the 21st century. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;18(6):1252-1260.
- Sîngeap AM, Girleanu I, Diculescu M, et al. Risk factors for extraintestinal manifestations in inflammatory bowel diseases-data from the Romanian National Registry. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis. 2021;30(3):346-357.
- Cohen S, Padlipsky J, Yerushalmy-Feler A. Risk factors associated 15 with extraintestinal manifestations in children with inflammatory bowel disease. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2020;74:691-697. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41430-019-0490-1.
- Jiang L, Xia B, Li J, et al. Retrospective survey of 452 patients with 16 inflammatory bowel disease in Wuhan city, central China. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2006;12(3):212-217.
- Alavinejad P, Ghanavati PM, Alboraie M, et al. Irritable bowel 17 syndrome demographics: a middle eastern multinational crosssectional study. Middle East J Dig Dis. 2022;14(2):222.
- Iftikhar R, Abaalkhail B. Health-seeking influence reflected by 18 online health-related messages received on social media: crosssectional survey. *J Med Internet Res.* 2017;19(11):e382. Turner RJ, Wheaton B, Lloyd DA. The epidemiology of social
- 19 stress. Am Socio Rev. 1995;60(1):104-125.
- Hossain MM, Tasnim S, Sultana A, et al. Epidemiology of mental 20 health problems in COVID-19: a review. F1000Res. 2020;9:636.

- 21 Hanauer SB, Present DH. The state of the art in the management of inflammatory bowel disease. *Rev Gastroenterol Disord*. 2003;3(2):81–92.
- 22 Chudy-Onwugaje KO, Christian KE, Farraye FA, Cross RK. A stateof-the-art review of new and emerging therapies for the treatment of IBD. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2019;25(5):820–830.
- Greuter T, Piller A, Fournier N, et al. Upper gastrointestinal tract involvement in Crohn's disease: frequency, risk factors, and disease course. J Crohns Colitis. 2018;12(12):1399–1409.
 Sun XW, Wei J, Yang Z, et al. Clinical features and prognosis of
- 24 Sun XW, Wei J, Yang Z, et al. Clinical features and prognosis of Crohn's disease with upper gastrointestinal tract phenotype in Chinese patients. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2019;64:3291–3299.
- 25 Campmans-Kuijpers MJ, Dijkstra G. Food and food groups in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD): the design of the groningen antiinflammatory diet (GrAID). *Nutrients*. 2021;13(4):1067.
- 26 Alavinejad P, Nayebi M, Parsi A, et al. Is dairy foods restriction mandatory for inflammatory bowel disease patients: a

multinational cross-sectional study. Arq Gastroenterol. 2022;59:358–364.

- 27 Rozich JJ, Holmer A, Singh S. Effect of lifestyle factors on outcomes in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Am J Gastroenterol. 2020;115(6):832.
- 28 Berg DR, Colombel JF, Ungaro R. The role of early biologic therapy in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2019;25(12):1896–1905.
- 29 Chapman TP, Gomes CF, Louis E, Colombel JF, Satsangi J. Deescalation of immunomodulator and biological therapy in inflammatory bowel disease. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 2020;5(1):63–79.
- 30 Ng SC. Changing epidemiology and future challenges of inflammatory bowel disease in Asia. *Intest Res.* 2010;8(1):1–9.
- **31** Song EM, Yang SK. Natural history of inflammatory bowel disease: a comparison between the East and the West. *Intest Res.* 2022;20(4):418–430.