

The Presence of Telomere Fusion in Sporadic Colon Cancer Independently of Disease Stage, *TP53/KRAS* Mutation Status, Mean Telomere Length, and Telomerase Activity

Hiromi Tanaka , Matthew J. Beam and Kevin Caruana

Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine, IN, USA

Abstract

Defects in telomere maintenance can result in telomere fusions that likely play a causative role in carcinogenesis by promoting genomic instability. However, this proposition remains to be fully understood in human colon carcinogenesis. In the present study, the temporal sequence of telomere dysfunction dynamics was delineated by analyzing telomere fusion, telomere length, telomerase activity, hotspot mutations in KRAS or BRAF, and TP53 of tissue samples obtained from 18 colon cancer patients. Our results revealed that both the deficiency of p53 and the shortening of mean telomere length were not necessary for producing telomere fusions in colon tissue. In five cases, telomere fusion was observed even in tissue adjacent to cancerous lesions, suggesting that genomic instability is initiated in pathologically non-cancerous lesions. The extent of mean telomere attrition increased with lymph node invasiveness of tumors, implying that mean telomere shortening correlates with colon cancer progression. Telomerase activity was relatively higher in most cancer tissues containing mutation(s) in KRAS or BRAF and/or TP53 compared to those without these hotspot mutations, suggesting that telomerase could become fully active at the late stage of colon cancer development. Interestingly, the majority of telomere fusion junctions in colon cancer appeared to be a chromatid-type containing chromosome 7q or 12q. In sum, this meticulous correlative study not only highlights the concept that telomere fusion is present in the early stages of cancer regardless of TP53/KRAS mutation status, mean telomere length, and telomerase activity, but also provides additional insights targeting key telomere fusion junctions which may have significant implications for colon cancer diagnoses.

Neoplasia (2014) 16, 814-823

Introduction

Telomeres are repetitive structures at the end of chromosomes that are essential for maintaining and protecting the chromosomes from degradation and end-to-end fusion [1,2]. Many studies suggest that the loss of telomere function leads to genomic instability [3–7]. Telomere dysfunction could be produced by critical telomere erosion, by disruption of various telomere-capping proteins, by stalling telomere replication, by epigenetic changes in subtelomeres, or by prolonged mitotic arrest [8–13]. The production of a broken chromosome with the dysfunctional telomere is mutagenic, because fusion of these dysfunctional telomeres propagates a breakage-fusion-bridge cycle during mitosis that results in chromosome rearrangements, deletions or amplifications. Thus, telomere dysfunction is likely a key event initiating genomic instability leading to cancer formation. Telomere integrity is mediated in part by the reverse transcriptase telomerase, which adds telomeric DNA repeats *de novo* at the ends of chromosomes ([14,15]). In human cells, telomerase activity has been shown to be very low or absent in non-malignant somatic cells. In contrast, it is activated in stem cells, germ cells, and nearly 90% of human cancer cells. Collectively, these observations imply that the immortality conferred by telomerase plays a key role in cancer development [16–18]. Telomerase is therefore required for the infinite proliferation of almost all cancer cells [19].

Address all correspondence to: Hiromi Tanaka, 975 Walnut Walnut Street, IB130, Indianapolis, IN 46202. Tel.:+1 317 274 8274. E-mail: hirtanak@iu.edu Received 21 July 2014; Revised 19 August 2014; Accepted 20 August 2014

© 2014 Neoplasia Press, Inc. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/). 1476-5586/14

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2014.08.009

Transgenic murine models have demonstrated that dysfunctional telomeres (from telomerase deficiency) along with the incomplete p53dependent DNA damage response pathway (from p53 deficiency) lead to increased chromosome end-to-end fusions, resulting in the massive genomic instability necessary for cancer initiation [20,21]. Telomere dysfunction can also be considered as a barrier to cancer development in the presence of intact p53-cell cycle checkpoint response [22]. Therefore, these data suggest that telomere dysfunction plays a dual role in carcinogenesis. Recent murine studies also provide evidence that telomere dysfunction (induced either by telomerase deficiency or ectopic expression of dominant-negative trf2) promotes chromosomal instability that drives the early stage of carcinogenesis, and subsequent telomerase activation is critical to cancer progression including metastasis [23,24]. Although murine telomerase-knockout models have been significantly useful in identifying and characterizing the major basic biology of telomeres and telomerase, it is important to note that there are several fundamental differences between laboratory mice and humans in telomere biology [25-27]. For example, laboratory mice have about more than four-fold longer telomere length but a 40-fold shorter lifespan than humans. Telomerase is expressed in almost all organs of mice, while absent or not detectable in most organs of humans. In addition, only p53 function is required for the replicative arrest of murine cells but inactivation of both the p53 and p16 pathways are needed for human cells to become malignant [28,29]. There are obviously environmental differences between human life in the world and murine life in the animal facility cage. Thus, it is critical to study carefully the complexity of human carcinogenesis by contrasting the distinctive features of telomerase and telomere biology in mouse and human carcinogenesis.

Human colorectal carcinogenesis is a well-characterized disease model for identifying genetic disposition of cancer pathogenesis [30]. Approximately 85% of colorectal cancers are characterized by a chromosome instability (CIN) phenotype, which displays an increased frequency of structural and numerical chromosomal changes. It is thought that *KRAS* (not *BRAF*) and *TP53* gene mutations are linked to the CIN-associated carcinogenesis [31–34]. A number of studies have reported that accelerated telomere shortening is observed in colorectal cancer lesions when compared to adjacent non-

Table 1.	Patient	Characteristics.
----------	---------	------------------

cancerous lesions [35–37]. While telomere shortening seems to be involved in the process of cancer progression, it remains unclear whether or when these short telomeres actually become dysfunctional. Interestingly, a recent study shows that extensive telomere erosion is associated with large-scale chromosome rearrangements in polyps obtained from familial adenomatous polyposis patients with *APC* gene defects. These data propose that the combination of short telomeres together with *APC* gene alterations in polyps may lead to chromosome instability, potentially driving clonal evolution and colorectal cancer progression [38]. Nonetheless, it is apparent that telomere length is not the sole factor determining the fusogenic behavior of human telomeres in cells, but other biological and physiological changes also cause the telomere fusion event [12,39–46]. There is a lack of conclusive data as to the degree and nature of telomere dysfunction that is linked to human colorectal cancer.

Telomere fusion (or association) has traditionally been detected by cytogenetic analysis using a significant amount of proliferating cells to obtain metaphase chromosomes, making it difficult to study kinetics and pathogenetic significance of telomere fusion events in large cohorts, especially in tissue samples. Alternatively, the anaphase bridge index is often measured in tissue sections as a surrogate marker of telomere dysfunction. It has been reported that there are 15% to 20% of anaphase bridges in tissue sections ranging from high-grade dysplasia to colon cancer, while there are less than 1% of anaphase bridges in adenoma [47]. However, a temporal relationship between telomere dysfunction dynamics and the known genomic and phenotypic characteristics of human colorectal cancer development has not yet been fully established. It is also known that the formation of anaphase bridges is associated with other events, such as cohesin defects [48] or internal double-strand DNA breaks [49]. Therefore, to overcome these technical difficulties of detecting and analyzing telomere fusions directly within human solid tumor tissue, we have developed a new molecular technique (called Telomere-Associated Repeat fusion PCR "TAR-fusion PCR" assay [50]). This assay indeed detected telomere fusions in early breast cancer such as ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), providing strong evidence for the occurrence of telomere dysfunction during breast cancer development [50].

Case No.	Age	Sex	TMN	Stage	Differentiation	Location	QC ^a (% tumor)
1012-35	67	F	TisMxN0	0	Moderately	Sigmoid	80
811-15	88	М	T1MxN0	Ι	Moderately	Ascending	100
1105-08	50	М	T3MxN0	IIB			60
1010-39	55	F	T3MxN0	IIB	Moderately	Ascending	80
1005-69	67	М	T3MxN0	IIB	Poorly	Sigmoid	70
1008-15	80	F	T3MxN0	IIB			70
807-54	89	F	T3M0N0	IIB	Moderately	Sigmoid	70
1012-31	89	М	T3MxN0	IIB			50
903-22	78	М	T4MxN0	IIB	Moderately		70
1106-29	54	М	T4bMxN0	IIB	Moderately to poorly		60
1005-62	60	М	T3MxN1	IIIB	Poorly		50
609-08	65	F	T3MxN1	IIIB	Moderately	Moderately	
114-30	65	М	T3MxN1b	IIIA		Cecum	
908-41	65	F	T4MxN1	IIIB	Poorly	Poorly	
708-50	70	М	T3M1N1	IIIB	Poorly	Cecum	60
910-44	47	М	T3MxN2	IIIC	Moderately	Sigmoid	60
1004-70	68	F	T3MxN2	IIIC	Moderately		60
808-41	60	Μ	T4MxN2	IIIC	Well-differenced		70

Group above dashed line indicates lymph node non-invasive cases.

^a QC, histologic quality control assessment of tissue samples.

Name	Sequences (5' to 3')
Gene mutation analysis	
TP53_exon 5-6_forward	cacttgtgccctgactttca
TP53_exon 5-6_reversed	ggcccttagcctcgtaagc
TP53_exon 5 for seq	aaccagccctgtcgtctct
TP53_exon 6 for seq	agagacgacagggctggtt
TP53_exon 7-8_forward	ctgcttgccacaggtctcc
TP53_exon 7-8_ reversed	taactgcacccttggtctcc
TP53_exon 7 for seq	tggaagaaatcggtaagaggtg
TP53_exon 8 for seq	gcttcttgtcctgcttgctt
KRAS_c.12/13_forward	cgtctgcagtcaactggaat
KRAS_ c.12/13_reversed	ccctgacatactcccaagga
KRAS_c.12/13 for seq	gtatcaaagaatggtcctgc
KRAS_c.61_forward	tcatctttggagcaggaaca
KRAS_ c.61_reversed	cactgctctaatcccccaag
KRAS_c.61 for seq	ggtgcactgtaataatccag
BRAF_V600E_forward	ggaaagcatctcacctcatcc
BRAF_V600E_ reversed	gaacactgatttttgtgaatactgg
BRAF_V600E for seq	catttcaagccccaaaaatct
Telomere qPCR	
Ref_1_forward	ggtgatgggatttccattgatg
Ref_1_reversed	cttcattgacctcaactacatgg
Ref_2_forward	gggaagctcactggcatgg
Ref_2_reversed	ttcttgatgtcatcatatttggcagg
Telg ^a	acactaaggtttgggtttgggtttgggtttgggttagtgt
Telc ^a	tgttaggtatccctatccctatccctatccctatccctatc
HBG1 ^b	gcttctgacacaactgtgttcactagc
HBG2 ^b	caccaacttcatccacgttcacc
36B4u ^b	cagcaagtgggaaggtgtaatcc
36B4d ^b	cccattctatcatcaacgggtacaa

^b [15].

In this present study, we investigated the relationship between known genetic alterations and the dynamic of telomere dysfunction in human colon cancer tissues and adjacent non-cancerous tissues by determining telomere fusion, telomere length, and telomerase activity. These correlative studies demonstrate that a majority of colon cancer

Table 3. Summary of Mutations and Telomere Dysfunction Dynamics.

specimens have telomere fusion regardless of the status of hotspot mutations in both *TP53* and *KRAS* genes. Mean telomere shortening and weak telomerase activity were not associated strongly with the presence of telomere fusion, implying that telomere fusion is a key genetic aberration resulting from telomere dysfunction. Moreover, the results from the telomere fusion junction analyses suggest that the nature of telomere fusion junctions may be linked to neoplasticity.

Materials and Methods

Tissue Samples

Tissues specimens were obtained at the time of surgery, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in liquid nitrogen until use. All patients had only surgery without combination therapies. Paired frozen tissues (100–150 mg) from sporadic colon cancer tissues (n = 18) and their adjacent tissues (n = 18) were obtained from the tissue bank at the Indiana University Simon Cancer Center. All cases were reviewed by pathologists to assess tumor histology. Each pathologically normal adjacent tissue was harvested at least 2 cm distal from the cancer tissue. The purity of each specimen was shown as % tumor in Table 1. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the Indiana University.

DNA Isolation

Genomic DNA from each frozen tissue was isolated by a salt precipitation method as previously described [51]. Briefly, about 20 mg of each frozen tissue fragment was minced quickly in cold PBS (-) and the PBS (-) was removed by centrifuging at $3500 \times g$. The pellets were resolved in lysis buffer (20 mM Tri-HCl/pH 8.2, 10 mM EDTA, 400 mM NaCl, 0.5% SDS, 0.05 µg/µl proteinase K) and incubated overnight at 56°C. The DNA solution was centrifuged for 15 min at 9600 × g after adding the one-fourth volume of saturated NaCl. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and the DNA

Case No	TP53	KRAS Codons 12&13 ^a	BRAF V600E	Telomere fusion junction ^b		Relative telomere	TRAP activity (%) ^d	
Case INU.	Exon 5-8			Tumor	Adjacent	erosion ^c	Tumor	Adjacent
1012–35 811–15		GtTGGC		7q–7q other		0.874 0.559	49.92 10.53	8.69 4.04
1105-08	Codon 245 (GGC>AGC)	GtTGGC				0.786	24.18	13.69
1010–39	Codon 132 (AAG>AGG)	aGTGGC		other		0.730	87.30	1.94
1005–69 1008–15			+	7q–7q		1.060 0.7053	13.84 104.63	2.12 14.71
807–54	Codon 199 (GGA>AGA)		+	7q–7q		0.753	10.68	4.49
1012-31	Codon 162 (ATC>AAC)			7q–7q, 4pq–XpYp		0.731	7.79	1.31
903–22 1106–29			+	other 4pq–XpYp	other	0.501 0.721	318.58 8.13	1.38 3.64
1005–62 609–08 1104–30		GtTGGC	+	7q–7q, other 7q–7q other	7q–7q, other other	0.400 0.706 0.796	15.92 27.13 20.48	8.85 3.29 3.43
908-41	Codon 131 (AAC>GAC)		+	4pq–XpYp	7q–7q	0.261	64.11	11.76
708-50			+			0.701	70.53	1.80
910-44	Codon 282 (CCG>CTG)			7q–7q, 4pq–XpYp		0.567	43.14	9.72
1004–70	Codon 135 (TGC>TGG)	GGTGaC		7q–7q		0.361	85.23	5.55
808-41	()			7q–7q	7q–7q	0.417	0.76	0.70

Group above dashed line indicates lymph node non-invasive cases.

^a Mutation shown in lower case. There were no mutations found in codon 61.

^b 7q-7q and 4pq-XpYp indicate a fusion junction containing DNA sequences shown in Figure 4, *A* and *B*, respectively. Other means a fusion junction containing chromosome XpYp and one from other chromosomes. Identical fusion junctions between paired cancer and adjacent DNA samples were shown in *italic*.

^c Graph is shown in Figure 2*C*.

^d Graph is shown in Figure 3C.



Figure 1. Telomere fusions arise prior to *KRAS/TP53* mutations and are retained during tumor progression. (A) Dot blots show representative telomere fusion assays. Each case contains six PCR reactions using TAR-fusion PCR primers and two PCR reactions using control primers. Telomere fusion junctions were PCR-amplified, denatured and dot-blotted onto membranes and hybridized to a DIG-labeled telomere probe. (B) Relationship between telomere fusion status and lymph node invasive case. *N*₀, lymph node non-invasive case. *N*₁₋₂, lymph node invasive case. The data from tumor tissue samples represent only "neogenetic" fusion. (C) Relationship between telomere fusion status and mutation status in *KRAS/BRAF* and *TP53* genes. Student's *t* test was performed in (B) and (C).

was precipitated by adding the equal volume of isopropanol. After rinse with 70% ethanol, DNA was resolved in TE. The DNA concentration was measured by Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Telomere Quantitative PCR (qPCR) Assay

Telomere length was determined using real-time qPCR [52,53] with minor modifications. All real-time PCR reactions were carried out using the LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics) and Roche-brand SYBR green I master mix. Commercialized diploid DNA was used as a control template (Promega). Telomere and reference sequences were amplified using the following conditions: 95°C for 10 minutes to activate DNA polymerase, and 2 cycles each at 95°C for 10 seconds, 49°C for 10 seconds, and then 35 cycles each at 95°C for 10 seconds, 60°C for 10 seconds and 72°C for 10 seconds. LightCycler 480

software version 1.5 was used for analysis. Primer sequence information is shown in Table 2. The reference primers minimized the effect of chromosome and/or gene copy number variation in each sample by amplifying 13 loci on eleven chromosomes including chromosome 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 19, 21, and X.

Telomeric Repeat Amplification Protocol (TRAP) Assay

Telomerase activity was analyzed by TRAP assay as previously described [54]. Briefly, 1 μ g of protein extracts was used for the assay in 50 μ l reactions with Taq DNA polymerase. The PCR products were electrophoresed in a 12.5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel and stained with SYBR Gold dye (Life Technologies). The gels were scanned using the STORM 860 molecular imager and quantified using ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Signals from all telomerase ladders were summed for a net TRAP activity per sample. The data were normalized to the signal from the internal standard (IC), and then % was calculated as relative to the HeLa TRAP standard (Figure 2B; [55]). TRAP activity of 1.0 μ g HeLa extract is defined as 100%.

Telomere Associated Repeat (TAR)–Fusion PCR Assay

Telomere fusions were determined using TAR-fusion PCR assay [50] with minor modifications. Two-step touchdown PCR was performed in a 20 µl reaction mixture using 50 ng of DNA, multiple primers, 10% 7-Deaza-dGTP (Roche Diagnostics), and Advantage GC Genomic LA Polymerase Mix (Clontech Laboratories). Multiple PCR primers were designed within TAR1 (Telomere Associated Repeat 1)-like sequences common to many chromosomes distal regions [56]. The primer sequence information and PCR condition are shown in Tanaka et al. [50]. As an internal positive PCR control, the following primers were used for amplifying ancestral interstitial telomere head-to-head fusion within chromosome 2q13-q14.1 [57]: 5'-GCA AGG CGA GGG GCT GCA TTG CAG GGT GAG-3', 5'-CAG CAG GGG GCG CTG GAC AGC ACT GTA AG-3'. TAR fusion PCR products were dot-blotted onto Hybond⁺ membranes and hybridized using a DIG-labeled telomere probe (Roche Diagnostics). Six PCR reactions per each case were used for every PCR. Telomere fusion was defined as 'negative' when TAR-fusion PCR did not amplify any products using total twenty PCR reactions which correspond to more than 1×10^5 cells.

Analysis of Telomere Fusion Junctions

TAR-fusion PCR products were purified by the GENECLEAN Turbo Kit (MP Biomedicals). Cloning and transformation were carried out with a TOPO TA PCR Cloning Kit (Life Technologies). TOP10 (Life Technologies) or SURE (Agilent Technologies) competent cells were used for transformation with blue/white selection. White colonies were picked and each inserted DNA was confirmed by colony PCR. More than 1 kb of inserted DNA length was selected for DNA sequencing. The sequencing service was provided by GENEWIZ after DNA isolation using Qiaprep Miniprep kit (Qiagen). Sequence alignments were performed using the University of California Santa Clara Genome Bioinformatics database (http://genome.ucsc.edu/).

Gene Mutation Analysis

TP53 mutation between exons 5 and 8, *KRAS* mutation at codons 12, 13 and 61, as well as *BRAF* mutation (V600E) at exon 15 were determined by direct sequencing. The sequencing service was provided by GENEWIZ after PCR. The primer sequence



Figure 2. Colon cancer develops with increasing telomere shortening. (A) Influence of DNA ploidy in the existing PCR method developed by Cawthon [52,53]. Graph shows a poor correlation between two different reference primers, 36B4 and β -globin [52]. X-axis represents 36B4 primers, which encodes acidic ribosomal phosphoprotein PO and y-axis represents β -globin primers. Human diploid DNA was used as reference template (36B4: β -globin = 1:1 ratio) and relative value in each case was calculated based on the value from diploid DNA. Each circle shows human diploid DNA in black, tumor tissue DNA in gray, and adjacent tissue DNA in white, respectively. Dash line indicates \pm 10% far from the 1:1 ratio. (B) Semi-logarithmic graph shows a linear correlation between input DNA from all specimens and Cp (crossing-point) value of new reference primers for improved telomere qPCR assay. The Cp is the cycle number at which the fluorescence rises appreciably above the background fluorescence. Eighteen pairs of matched tumor and adjacent tissue DNA were amplified, along with diploid DNA. (C) Change in telomere length was measured in tumor DNA compared to the matched adjacent DNA. Change in <1 represents telomere shortening in tumor DNA. Graph shows significant regression of telomere length in lymph node invasive-positive group (N = 8, *average* = 0.526) compared to lymph node invasive-negative group (N = 10, *average* = 0.742). Black bar represents *average* in each group. (D) Relationship between telomere fusion status and change in telomere length. Black bar represents *average* in each group. Paired Student's *t* test was performed.

information is shown in Table 1. A summary of the sequence analysis was shown in Table 3.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Pearson correlation, Fisher's exact test, and the two-tailed Student t test. A P value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

Relationship Between Telomere Fusion Status and Known Genetic Changes in Colon Carcinogenesis

Determining the presence of telomere fusion is the firmest evidence that telomeres are actually dysfunctional in cells. Molecular analyses of telomere fusion in human epithelial tissue have begun since two groups have independently developed PCR-based methods to amplified telomere fusion junctions ([50,58]). Although Roger et al. reported that telomere fusion events were detected in 6 of 45 adenomatous colorectal polyps (13.3%) and 5 of 8 colorectal adenocarcinomas (62.5%), there was little discussion about the temporal sequence of telomere dysfunctions along with any other factors which may be related to the telomere fusion events [38]. In this study, we further investigated both the degree and nature of telomere dysfunctions in 18 paired tissue samples of tumor and adjacent tissue lesions from sporadic colon cancer patients. Informative characteristics of all specimens were shown in Table 1. Eight cases belonged to a lymph node invasive cancer group and the remaining 10 cases were a non-invasive cancer group. Because cancer cells are almost always intermixed with an unknown fraction of normal cells, we selected specimens with more than 50% of tumor cells and repeated experiments with multiple reactions. The TAR-fusion PCR assay is composed of two steps, multiplex PCR and dot-blot using a telomere probe. We improved the current assay by using internal control primers to rule out the possibility of false negative amplification (Figure 1A).



Figure 3. Telomerase activity is up-regulated in tumor tissue compared to the matched adjacent tissue and the up-regulation is associated with the presence of gene mutations. (A) Representative TRAP result was shown. HeLa cell extract (1 μ g, 0.1 μ g, and 0.01 μ g) was used as a positive control. N represents no template (lysis buffer) as a negative control. IC represents internal control for PCR. A and T represent adjacent and tumor tissue, respectively. Two individual gels were combined in this image. (B) Double-logarithmic graph shows standard curve for calculating percent of HeLa's TRAP activity. The progression line closely fits the data ($R^2 = 0.971$). Data represent mean \pm SEM (N = 6). (C) Semi-logarithmic graph shows that tumor tissues have higher TRAP activity than their adjacent tissues TRAP in all 18 cases. More than 20% (corresponding to 0.05–0.06 μ g of HeLa extract) of TRAP activity was defined as higher. Dashed line represents 20% TRAP activity. (D) Histogram shows higher telomerase activity is significantly associated with the presence of mutations in *TP53* and/or either *KRAS* or *BRAF* genes. (E) Relationship between telomere fusion status and TRAP activity. Black bar represents *average* in each group. Paired Student's *t* test was performed.

Unexpectedly, but not surprisingly, telomere fusions were found not only in cancer tissues but also in five adjacent non-cancerous tissues (e.g., case #808-41; Figure 1, A and B, and Table 3). This result reinforced previous evidence that pathologically normal did not always mean genetically normal [59–63]. Therefore, we carefully estimated the presence of 'neogenetic' telomere fusion in each cancer group by excluding identical fusions between adjacent non-cancerous tissue and the matched cancer specimen based on the fusion junction DNA sequences (see below). While we do not understand at this point how cancer tissue contains the same fusion type as the adjacent tissue, it could be due to the fact that identical fusions resulted simply from intermixed tissues or cancer tissue evolved from a cell in the adjacent tissue.

Overall, telomere fusions were highly detectable in 61.1% of total cases independently of the lymph node invasion status (Figure 1*B*). Telomere fusions are presented in 60% of the cases without any hotspot mutations in *TP53*, *KRAS*, and *BRAF* genes, as well as 77.8% of the cases with *TP53* and/or *KRAS* mutation(s) (Figure 1*C*). These results suggest that telomere fusions arise prior to the occurrence

of oncogenic/tumor suppressor mutations and are retained during a mutagenic period. In contrast, BRAF V600E mutation alone was poorly associated with the presence of telomere fusion in colon cancer (Figure 1*C*). This observation is consistent with the previous findings that BRAF V600E mutation is a reasonable indicator of CIN-negative colorectal cancer [34]. Therefore, it is speculated that cancer with a BRAF V600E mutation alone may not be involved in the telomere dysfunction-driven genomic instability pathway.

Change in Telomere Length Between Lymph Node Invasive and non-Invasive Colon Cancer

The current telomere qPCR method facilitates measurement of relative telomere length in large cohorts, especially in the scope of estimating cancer risk, mortality, or natural survival rate by using peripheral leukocyte telomere length [52,53]. This method is designed to determine average telomere length normalized by a single copy gene such as human β -globin gene. Hence, this method is optimized for diploid DNA samples as a template. As expected, DNA from tumor

Α	7q_tel or 12q_t	el								
	GCTTTGACAC GACTO	CGGGGC	TTCATTGATG	GTGAATACAA	TCCTTTCTGT	TTGCAGCGCT	GAATATTCAG	GGTCAGAGAA	CAGTTAGAAG	
	GGTTCAGTGT GGAAA	ACGGG	AAATCAAAAG	CCCCTCTGAA	TCCTGCGCAC	CGAGATTCTC	CCCAGCCAAG	GTGAGGCGGC	AGCAGTGGGA	
	GATCCACACC GTAGO	CGTTGG	AACACAAATG	CAGCATTACA	AATGCAGACA	TGACACCGAA	AATATAACAC	ACCCCATTGC	TCATGTAACA	
	AGCACCTGTA ATGCT	TAATGC	ACTGCCTCAA	AACAAAATAT	TAATATAAGA	TCGGCAATCT	GCACACTGCC	GTGCAGTGCT	AAGACAGCAA	
	TGAAAATAGT CAACA	ATAATA	ACCCTAATAG	TGTTAGGGTT	AGGGTGAGGG	TGAGGGTGAG	GGTGAGGGTG	AGGGTTGGGT	GAGGGTGAGG	
	GTGAGGGTGA GGGTG	BAGGGT	CAGGGTGAGG	GCTGAGGGTC	AGGGCGAGGG	CTAGGGTCAG	GGCTAGGGCT	AGGGGTCAGG	GCCAGGGCTA	
	GGGTCAGGGT CAGGG	STCAGG	GTCAGGGTCA	GGGTTAGGGG	TTAGGGTCAG	GGTCAGGGTC	AGGGTCAGGG	TCAGGGTTAG	GGGTCGGGGT	
	CAGGGTTAGG GTTAG	GGTTA	GGGTCAGGGT	TAGGGGTCAG	GGTCAGGGTC	AGGGTCAGGG	TCAGGGTCAG	GGTTAGGGGT	TAGGGTTAGG	
	GTTAGGGTTA GGGTT	AGGGT	GAGGGTGAGG	GTGAGGGTGA	GGGTCAGGGT	GAGGGCTGAG	GGTCAGGGCG	AGGGCTAGGG	GTCAGGGCTA	
	GGGCTAGGGG TCAGG	GCTAG	GGCTAGGGTC	AGGGTCAGGG	TCAGGGTCAG	<u>GG</u> TGGGCAGA	ACGCCGCTCC	AACCTCGCGG	AGCCCCCGTC	
	CCACCCAACC GCCCG	GCGTCT	GTGCTGAGGA	GAATGCTGCT	TCGCCTCCGC	GGTACCCCAA	AAGGCTGTGC	AAAAGAGAAC	GCAACTCCGC	
	CCTCGCAAAG GC						-	— 7q_tel	or 12q_tel	
В	4p tel or 4q te	I ——								
_	GAGCTCCGTT TTCCT	CTGCA	GAGACTTCGG	GGGGAACGCG	ATGACGGAGC	TGCATTCTGC	TCAGCACAGA	CGCGGGAGAC	ATCGCGAAGG	
	TGGAGCAGCG CATGO	CTCTC	CACAGACGTT	GGGGGCACTG	CTTCATTTTG	GGACAACTGG	GGGCCACATC	CACGGTAAAG	ATCCTTCCTC	
	TTTGCAGCCG AGAAT	AATGG	GGGTTGGGGT	TAGGGTTAGG	GTTAGGGTTA	GGGTTTAGGG	TGAGGGTGAG	GGTGAGGGTG	AGGGTGAGGG	
	TGAGGGTTAG GGCGA	AGGGCT	AGGGCTAGGG	CTAGGGCTGG	GGCTAGGGCT	GGGGTTAGGG	TTAGGGGTTA	GGGTGAGGGT	GAGGGTGAGG	
	GTGAGGGTGA GGGTT	AGGGT	TAGGGTTAGG	GTTAGGGTAG	GGTTAGGGTT	AGGGTTAGGG	TTAGGGGTCG	<u>GGGTCGG</u> AA	AGAAGAAACT	
	CGGAACACAT CTGAA	ACATCA	GAAGAAACAA	ACTCCGGACA	TGCCACCTTT	AAGAACTGTA	ACACTCACCG	CGAGGTTCCG	CGTCTTCATT	
	CTTGAAGTCA GTGAG	BACCAA	GAACCCACCA	ATTCCAGACA	CACTAGGACC	CTGAGACAAC	CCCTAGAGGA	GCACCTGGTC	GATAACCCAG	
	TTCCCATCTG GGATT	TAGGG	GACCTGGACA	GCCCGGAAAA	TGAGCTCCTC	ATCTCTAACC	CAGTTCCCCT	GTGGGGATTT	AGGGGACCAG	
	GGACAGCCCG TTACA	ATCAGC	CCCTGGTCTC	TAACCCAGTT	CCCTTCTGGA	ATTTAGGGGC	CCTGGGACAG	CCCTGTACAT	GAGCTCCTGG	
	TCTGTAACAC AGTTC	2					-	Xp_te	l or Yp_tel	
С)	-				
	Euclide Ton		NI			Tumor				
	Fusion Type N					8				
	A	A 5 B 1 A & B 2			Fusion (-) N=3 Fusion (+) N=15					
	В									
	A & B									
	Other		3							
						ndistinavia	hable	N	eogenetic	
	11				' '	naistingula			eogenetic	

Figure 4. The nature of telomere fusion junctions may be linked to neoplasticity. (A and B) Representative DNA sequences of telomere fusion junctions in tumor DNA. (A) shows the sequences between 7q or 12q and 7q or 12q. (B) shows the sequences between 4p or 4q and Xp or Yp. Telomeric repeats were underscored. Microhomology at each fusion junction was indicated by *boldface*. (C) Each tumor was categorized by telomere fusion type. A and B correspond to the telomere fusion junction shown in (A) and (B), respectively. (D) Frequency of telomere fusion in tumor tissues.

tissues was not able to show one-to-one (1:1) correlation of PCR amplification between two different single copy genes (Figure 2A; β-globin and 36B4). This finding coincides evidence that cancer-driven DNA is no longer diploid [64-66]. Interestingly, there is no 1:1 correlation even in DNA from some of surrounding non-cancerous tissue samples, supporting previous evidence that those tissues contain aneuploid DNA [67,68]. Indeed, several studies have demonstrated that surrounding tissues no longer act normal in terms of gene expression and genetic mutation [59-63]. Therefore, to avoid the negative impact on changes in chromosome and/or gene copy number in each sample, we optimized the telomere qPCR by using new designed reference primers (Table 2). A significant correlation as 0.827 of coefficient of determination (R^2) was shown in a relationship between reference Cp value and template DNA amount in each sample (Figure 2B). The 18 paired tumor and adjacent tissue specimens were used for the telomere length measurement. The alteration of average telomere length in tumor tissues was evaluated by comparison of their adjacent non-cancerous tissues. The results revealed that the change in telomere length was affected by a degree of regional lymph node involvement (P = .007, Figure 2C). Consistent with other cancer studies [69-71], our findings suggested that colon cancer could develop with continuing telomere shortening. However, there have been observations indicating that telomere length is not correlated with tumor stage or grade in colorectal cancer [35,37,72]. These contradictions could result from interpretation without sufficient information about the purity of specimens, family history of cancer, or additional therapies besides surgery, because we now know that these factors have an impact on telomere length alteration and need to have been fully considered [73-77]. Also, some of the analyses were performed by comparing average telomere length in each group, instead of comparing ageadjusted telomere length or a ratio of telomere length in cancer tissue to corresponding non-cancer tissue in each patient. This is critical to adjust the age-dependent variation of telomere length values [78,79]. Establishing a standardized analysis technique may help resolve the different interpretations of telomere length measurement. Furthermore, there was no significant association between telomere length and telomere fusion in this study (P > .05, Figure 2D). It is notable that telomere fusion was detected even in carcinoma in situ (e.g., case #1012-35, Table 3). This observation is not ruled out of a causal connection between telomere shortening and telomere fusion, however instead, indicates that the sensitivity of TAR-fusion PCR as a telomere dysfunction marker could be higher than both telomere qPCR and TRAP assay (Figures 2D and 3D, also see below).

Timing of the Onset of Telomerase up-Regulation in Colon Cancer

We next quantified telomerase activity carefully in each sample using TRAP assay (Figure 3A). After subtracting a PCR internal control (IC) signal from the intensity of net telomerase ladders,

telomerase activity was shown as a percentage (%) after normalization to the telomerase activity in HeLa cells. We confirmed that there was a linear correlation between TRAP activity and the amount of HeLa protein in a log-log plot ($R^2 = 0.971$, Figure 3B). In all 18 cases, we observed increasing telomerase activity independent of the degree of regional lymph node involvement (Figure 3C). Interestingly, when samples were divided into two groups with or without hotspot mutation(s) in TP53 and/or either KRAS or BRAF genes, 83.3% of the mutation-positive group has higher (<20%) telomerase activity (Figure 3D). In contrast, only 16.7% of the mutation-negative group has higher telomerase activity. This result suggested that the upregulation of telomerase activity was associated with the presence of known genetic changes, and corroborated an existing idea that the full activation of telomerase could be a late event in colorectal carcinogenesis [80-83]. No link between telomerase activity and telomere length was observed in this study (data not shown). An association between telomere fusion rate and telomerase activity was not statistically significant (Figure 3E).

The Nature of Telomere Fusion Junctions

To identify the key internal structures of telomere fusion junctions, we performed the sequence analysis. The results showed that all fusion junctions lost telomeric (TTAGGG)n (or telomere-like) sequences on one end and fused to the most proximal subtelomere region of another chromosome. We identified two major fusion junction sequences in sporadic colon cancer specimens (Figure 4, A and B). Out of 11 telomere fusion-positive cancers, seven cancers had 7q to 7q (or 12q to 12q, or 7q to 12q) fusion junction (Figure 4C and Table 3). Interestingly, these two junctions are different from those that were found previously in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and breast cancer [50,70], proposing that the chromosomes involved in end-to-end fusions may not be randomly distributed. In other words, the telomere fusion may occur preferentially between cancer types. It is expected that identifying the target of specific chromosomes or elements responsible toward malignancy could potentially provide useful clues into targeting treatment strategies, as well as into screening cancer risk. Our result does not necessarily imply that only chromosome 7 and 12 are vulnerable to fusion in sporadic colon cancer, in part because our PCR primers do not cover all possible fusion types. Total 15 out of 18 cases contained telomere fusion, however, telomere fusion was observed even in tissue adjacent to cancerous lesions in five cases. Four of them were indistinguishable from telomere fusion found in their cancer tissues (Figure 4D and Table 3).

Conclusions

This careful correlative study using paired tumor and adjacent tissue specimens corroborated the concept that telomere fusion is indeed present in the early stages of sporadic colon cancer, prior to *TP53* and/or *KRAS* mutations, critical shortening of mean telomere length, and telomeres activation in human colon cancer. In addition, the type of telomere fusion may vary with the type of cancer. Our results pave the way for further investigations to help elucidate the relationship between telomere dysfunction dynamics and human carcinogenesis. Moreover, new insights gained from each normal adjacent tissue will facilitate our further understanding that how the neighboring cells impinge on non-autonomous tumor progression.

Acknowledgment

We thank the IUSCC Cancer Center at Indiana University School of Medicine, for the use of the Tissue Procurement and Distribution Core, which provided tissue specimen service. We also thank Brittney-Shea Herbert, Tom Imperiale, Charles Wyeth, as well as members of the Tanaka laboratory for helpful discussions and critical reading of the manuscript. K.C. was a fellow of the Undergraduate Research Opportunity Program (UROP) at Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis (IUPUI). This work was supported in part by an Indiana University School of Medicine Biomedical Research grant, the Showalter Foundation, the Susan G. Komen Foundation, the Avon Foundation, and the American Cancer Society.

References

- Blackburn EH (2001). Switching and signaling at the telomere. *Cell* 106, 661–673 [Review].
- [2] Moyzis RK, Buckingham JM, Cram LS, Dani M, Deaven LL, Jones MD, Meyne J, Ratliff RL, and Wu JR (1988). A highly conserved repetitive DNA sequence, (TTAGGG)n, present at the telomeres of human chromosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 85, 6622–6626.
- [3] DePinho RA (2000). The age of cancer. Nature 408, 248-254 [Review].
- [4] Feldser DM, Hackett JA, and Greider CW (2003). Telomere dysfunction and the initiation of genome instability. *Nat Rev Cancer* 3, 623–627.
- [5] Hackett JA, Feldser DM, and Greider CW (2001). Telomere dysfunction increases mutation rate and genomic instability. *Cell* 106, 275–286.
- [6] Murnane JP (2010). Telomere loss as a mechanism for chromosome instability in human cancer. *Cancer Res* 70, 4255–4259 [Review].
- [7] Murnane JP (2012). Telomere dysfunction and chromosome instability. *Mutat Res* 730, 28–36 [Review].
- [8] Capper R, Britt-Compton B, Tankimanova M, Rowson J, Letsolo B, Man S, Haughton M, and Baird DM (2007). The nature of telomere fusion and a definition of the critical telomere length in human cells. *Genes Dev* 21, 2495–2508.
- [9] Decottignies A and d'Adda di Fagagna F (2011). Epigenetic alterations associated with cellular senescence: a barrier against tumorigenesis or a red carpet for cancer? *Semin Cancer Biol* 21, 360–366 [Review].
- [10] Hayashi MT, Cesare AJ, Fitzpatrick JA, Lazzerini-Denchi E, and Karlseder J (2012). A telomere-dependent DNA damage checkpoint induced by prolonged mitotic arrest. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 19, 387–394.
- [11] Suram A, Kaplunov J, Patel PL, Ruan H, Cerutti A, Boccardi V, Fumagalli M, Di Micco R, Mirani N, and Gurung RL, et al (2012). Oncogene-induced telomere dysfunction enforces cellular senescence in human cancer precursor lesions. *EMBO J* 31, 2839–2851.
- [12] van Steensel B, Smogorzewska A, and de Lange T (1998). TRF2 protects human telomeres from end-to-end fusions. *Cell* 92, 401–413.
- [13] Vera E, Canela A, Fraga MF, Esteller M, and Blasco MA (2008). Epigenetic regulation of telomeres in human cancer. *Oncogene* 27, 6817–6833.
- [14] Greider CW and Blackburn EH (1987). The telomere terminal transferase of Tetrahymena is a ribonucleoprotein enzyme with two kinds of primer specificity. *Cell* 51, 887–898.
- [15] Cech TR (2004). Beginning to understand the end of the chromosome. *Cell* 116, 273–279 [Review].
- [16] Kim NW, Piatyszek MA, Prowse KR, Harley CB, West MD, Ho PL, Coviello GM, Wright WE, Weinrich SL, and Shay JW (1994). Specific association of human telomerase activity with immortal cells and cancer. *Science* 266, 2011–2015.
- [17] Nakayama J, Tahara H, Tahara E, Saito M, Ito K, Nakamura H, Nakanishi T, Tahara E, Ide T, and Ishikawa F (1998). Telomerase activation by hTRT in human normal fibroblasts and hepatocellular carcinomas. *Nat Genet* 18, 65–68.
- [18] Tanaka H, Shimizu M, Horikawa I, Kugoh H, Yokota J, Barrett JC, and Oshimura M (1998). Evidence for a putative telomerase repressor gene in the 3p14.2-p21.1 region. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 23, 123–133.
- [19] Hahn WC, Counter CM, Lundberg AS, Beijersbergen RL, Brooks MW, and Weinberg RA (1999). Creation of human tumour cells with defined genetic elements. *Nature* 400, 464–468.
- [20] Artandi SE, Chang S, Lee SL, Alson S, Gottlieb GJ, Chin L, and DePinho RA (2000). Telomere dysfunction promotes non-reciprocal translocations and epithelial cancers in mice. *Nature* 406, 641–645.
- [21] O'Hagan RC, Chang S, Maser RS, Mohan R, Artandi SE, Chin L, and DePinho RA (2002). Telomere dysfunction provokes regional amplification and deletion in cancer genomes. *Cancer Cell* 2, 149–155.

- [22] Cosme-Blanco W, Shen MF, Lazar AJ, Pathak S, Lozano G, Multani AS, and Chang S (2007). Telomere dysfunction suppresses spontaneous tumorigenesis in vivo by initiating p53-dependent cellular senescence. *EMBO Rep* 8, 497–503.
- [23] Begus-Nahrmann Y, Hartmann D, Kraus J, Eshraghi P, Scheffold A, Grieb M, Rasche V, Schirmacher P, Lee HW, and Kestler HA, et al (2012). Transient telomere dysfunction induces chromosomal instability and promotes carcinogenesis. *J Clin Invest* 122, 2283–2288.
- [24] Ding Z, Wu CJ, Jaskelioff M, Ivanova E, Kost-Alimova M, Protopopov A, Chu GC, Wang G, Lu X, and Labrot ES, et al (2012). Telomerase reactivation following telomere dysfunction yields murine prostate tumors with bone metastases. *Cell* 148, 896–907.
- [25] Calado RT and Dumitriu B (2013). Telomere dynamics in mice and humans. Semin Hematol 50, 165–174 [Review].
- [26] Smogorzewska A and de Lange T (2002). Different telomere damage signaling pathways in human and mouse cells. *EMBO J* 21, 4338–4348.
- [27] Wright WE and Shay JW (2000). Telomere dynamics in cancer progression and prevention: fundamental differences in human and mouse telomere biology. *Nat Med* 6, 849–851 [Review].
- [28] Beauséjour CM, Krtolica A, Galimi F, Narita M, Lowe SW, Yaswen P, and Campisi J (2003). Reversal of human cellular senescence: roles of the p53 and p16 pathways. *EMBO J* 22, 4212–4222.
- [29] Itahana K, Campisi J, and Dimri GP (2004). Mechanisms of cellular senescence in human and mouse cells. *Biogerontology* 5, 1–10 [Review].
- [30] Fearon ER and Vogelstein B (1990). A genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. *Cell* 61, 759–767.
- [31] Blount PL, Galipeau PC, Sanchez CA, Neshat K, Levine DS, Yin J, Suzuki H, Abraham JM, Meltzer SJ, and Reid BJ (1994). 17p allelic losses in diploid cells of patients with Barrett's esophagus who develop aneuploidy. *Cancer Res* 54, 2292–2295.
- [32] Carder P, Wyllie AH, Purdie CA, Morris RG, White S, Piris J, and Bird CC (1993). Stabilised p53 facilitates aneuploid clonal divergence in colorectal cancer. *Oncogene* 8, 1397–1401.
- [33] Leslie A, Pratt NR, Gillespie K, Sales M, Kernohan NM, Smith G, Wolf CR, Carey FA, and Steele RJ (2003). Mutations of APC, K-ras, and p53 are associated with specific chromosomal aberrations in colorectal adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Res* 63, 4656–4661.
- [34] Weisenberger DJ, Siegmund KD, Campan M, Young J, Long TI, Faasse MA, Kang GH, Widschwendter M, Weener D, and Buchanan D, et al (2006). CpG island methylator phenotype underlies sporadic microsatellite instability and is tightly associated with BRAF mutation in colorectal cancer. *Nat Genet* 38, 787–793.
- [35] Engelhardt M, Drullinsky P, Guillem J, and Moore MA (1997). Telomerase and telomere length in the development and progression of premalignant lesions to colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 3, 1931–1941.
- [36] Plentz RR, Wiemann SU, Flemming P, Meier PN, Kubicka S, Kreipe H, Manns MP, and Rudolph KL (2003). Telomere shortening of epithelial cells characterises the adenoma-carcinoma transition of human colorectal cancer. *Gut* 52, 1304–1307.
- [37] Rampazzo E, Bertorelle R, Serra L, Terrin L, Candiotto C, Pucciarelli S, Del Bianco P, Nitti D, and De Rossi A (2010). Relationship between telomere shortening, genetic instability, and site of tumour origin in colorectal cancers. *Br J Cancer* 102, 1300–1305.
- [38] Roger L, Jones RE, Heppel NH, Williams GT, Sampson JR, and Baird DM (2013). Extensive telomere erosion in the initiation of colorectal adenomas and its association with chromosomal instability. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 105, 1202–1211.
- [39] Bailey SM, Meyne J, Chen DJ, Kurimasa A, Li GC, Lehnert BE, and Goodwin EH (1999). DNA double-strand break repair proteins are required to cap the ends of mammalian chromosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96, 14899–14904.
- [40] Cesare AJ, Hayashi MT, Crabbe L, and Karlseder J (2013). The telomere deprotection response is functionally distinct from the genomic DNA damage response. *Mol Cell* 51, 141–155.
- [41] Gilley D, Tanaka H, Hande MP, Kurimasa A, Li GC, Oshimura M, and Chen DJ (2001). DNA-PKcs is critical for telomere capping. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98, 15084–15088.
- [42] Iwano T, Tachibana M, Reth M, and Shinkai Y (2004). Importance of TRF1 for functional telomere structure. J Biol Chem 279, 1442–1448.
- [43] Nakamura M, Nabetani A, Mizuno T, Hanaoka F, and Ishikawa F (2005). Alterations of DNA and chromatin structures at telomeres and genetic instability in mouse cells defective in DNA polymerase alpha. *Mol Cell Biol* 25, 11073–11088.
- [44] Saltman D, Morgan R, Cleary ML, and de Lange T (1993). Telomeric structure in cells with chromosome end associations. *Chromosoma* 102, 121–128.
- [45] Samper E, Goytisolo FA, Slijepcevic P, van Buul PP, and Blasco MA (2000). Mammalian Ku86 protein prevents telomeric fusions independently of the

length of TTAGGG repeats and the G-strand overhang. *EMBO Rep* 1, 244–252.

- [46] Zou Y, Sfeir A, Gryaznov SM, Shay JW, and Wright WE (2004). Does a sentinel or a subset of short telomeres determine replicative senescence? *Mol Biol Cell* 15, 3709–3718.
- [47] Rudolph KL, Millard M, Bosenberg MW, and DePinho RA (2001). Telomere dysfunction and evolution of intestinal carcinoma in mice and humans. *Nat Genet* 28, 155–159.
- [48] Hauf S, Waizenegger IC, and Peters JM (2001). Cohesin cleavage by separase required for anaphase and cytokinesis in human cells. *Science* 293, 1320–1323.
- [49] Acilan C, Potter DM, and Saunders WS (2007). DNA repair pathways involved in anaphase bridge formation. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 46, 522–531.
- [50] Tanaka H, Abe S, Huda N, Tu L, Beam MJ, Grimes B, and Gilley D (2012). Telomere fusions in early human breast carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109, 14098–14103.
- [51] Miller SA, Dykes DD, and Polesky HF (1988). A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 16, 1215.
- [52] Cawthon RM (2002). Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 30(10), e47.
- [53] Cawthon RM (2009). Telomere length measurement by a novel monochrome multiplex quantitative PCR method. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37, e21.
- [54] Herbert BS, Hochreiter AE, Wright WE, and Shay JW (2006). Nonradioactive detection of telomerase activity using the telomeric repeat amplification protocol. *Nat Protoc* 1, 1583–1590.
- [55] Wright WE, Shay JW, and Piatyszek MA (1995). Modifications of a telomeric repeat amplification protocol (TRAP) result in increased reliability, linearity and sensitivity. *Nucleic Acids Res* 23, 3794–3795.
- [56] Brown WR, MacKinnon PJ, Villasanté A, Spurr N, Buckle VJ, and Dobson MJ (1990). Structure and polymorphism of human telomere-associated DNA. *Cell* 63, 119–132.
- [57] IJdo JW, Baldini A, Ward DC, Reeders ST, and Wells RA (1991). Origin of human chromosome 2: an ancestral telomere-telomere fusion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A 88, 9051–9055.
- [58] Letsolo BT, Rowson J, and Baird DM (2010). Fusion of short telomeres in human cells is characterized by extensive deletion and microhomology, and can result in complex rearrangements. *Nucleic Acids Res* 38, 1841–1852.
- [59] Celebiler Cavusoglu A, Sevinc AI, Saydam S, Canda T, Baskan Z, Kilic Y, and Sakizli M (2010). Promoter methylation and expression changes of CDH1 and P16 genes in invasive breast cancer and adjacent normal breast tissue. *Neoplasma* 57(5), 465–472.
- [60] Chandran UR, Dhir R, Ma C, Michalopoulos G, Becich M, and Gilbertson J (2005). Differences in gene expression in prostate cancer, normal appearing prostate tissue adjacent to cancer and prostate tissue from cancer free organ donors. *BMC Cancer* 5, 45.
- [61] Försti A, Louhelainen J, Söderberg M, Wijkström H, and Hemminki K (2001). Loss of heterozygosity in tumour-adjacent normal tissue of breast and bladder cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 37(11), 1372–1380.
- [62] Schmitt CA, Thaler KR, Wittig BM, Kaulen H, Meyer zum Büschenfelde KH, and Dippold WG (1998). Detection of the DCC gene product in normal and malignant colorectal tissues and its relation to a codon 201 mutation. *Br J Cancer* 77, 588–594.
- [63] Zhu D, Keohavong P, Finkelstein SD, Swalsky P, Bakker A, Weissfeld J, Srivastava S, and Whiteside TL (1997). K-ras gene mutations in normal colorectal tissues from K-ras mutation-positive colorectal cancer patients. *Cancer Res* 57, 2485–2492.
- [64] Frankfurt OS, Slocum HK, Rustum YM, Arbuck SG, Pavelic ZP, Petrelli N, Huben RP, Pontes EJ, and Greco WR (1984). Flow cytometric analysis of DNA aneuploidy in primary and metastatic human solid tumors. *Cytometry* 5(1), 71–80.
- [65] Kearney TJ, Price EA, Lee S, and Silberman AW (1993). Tumor aneuploidy in young patients with colorectal cancer. *Cancer* 72(1), 42–45.
- [66] Solomon E (1990). Molecular genetics. Colorectal cancer genes. Nature 343, 412–414.
- [67] Ngoi SS, Staiano-Coico L, Godwin TA, Wong RJ, and DeCosse JJ (1990). Abnormal DNA ploidy and proliferative patterns in superficial colonic epithelium adjacent to colorectal cancer. *Cancer* 66(5), 953–959.
- [68] Saccani Jotti G, Fontanesi M, Orsi N, Sarli L, Pietra N, Peracchia A, Sansebastiano G, and Becchi G (1995). DNA content in human colon cancer and non-neoplastic adjacent mucosa. *Int J Biol Markers* 10(1), 11–16.
- [69] Heaphy CM and Meeker AK (2011). The potential utility of telomere-related markers for cancer diagnosis. J Cell Mol Med 15, 1227–1238 [Review].

- [70] Lin TT, Letsolo BT, Jones RE, Rowson J, Pratt G, Hewamana S, Fegan C, Pepper C, and Baird DM (2010). Telomere dysfunction and fusion during the progression of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: evidence for a telomere crisis. *Blood* 116, 1899–1907.
- [71] Martinez-Delgado B, Gallardo M, Tanic M, Yanowsky K, Inglada-Perez L, Barroso A, Rodriguez-Pinilla M, Cañamero M, Blasco MA, and Benitez J (2013). Short telomeres are frequent in hereditary breast tumors and are associated with high tumor grade. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 141, 231–242.
- [72] Valls C, Piñol C, Reńé JM, Buenestado J, and Viñas J (2011). Telomere length is a prognostic factor for overall survival in colorectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 13, 1265–1272.
- [73] Carter SL, Cibulskis K, Helman E, McKenna A, Shen H, Zack T, Laird PW, Onofrio RC, Winckler W, and Weir BA, et al (2012). Absolute quantification of somatic DNA alterations in human cancer. *Nat Biotechnol* 30, 413–421.
- [74] Diker-Cohen T, Uziel O, Szyper-Kravitz M, Shapira H, Natur A, and Lahav M (2013). The effect of chemotherapy on telomere dynamics: clinical results and possible mechanisms. *Leuk Lymphoma* 54, 2023–2029.
- [75] Martinez-Delgado B, Yanowsky K, Inglada-Perez L, Domingo S, Urioste M, Osorio A, and Benitez J (2011). Genetic anticipation is associated with telomere shortening in hereditary breast cancer. *PLoS Genet* 7, e1002182.
- [76] Schröder CP, Wisman GB, de Jong S, van der Graaf WT, Ruiters MH, Mulder NH, de Leij LF, van der Zee AG, and de Vries EG (2001). Telomere length in

breast cancer patients before and after chemotherapy with or without stem cell transplantation. *Br J Cancer* **84**, 1348–1353.

- [77] Unryn BM, Hao D, Glück S, and Riabowol KT (2006). Acceleration of telomere loss by chemotherapy is greater in older patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 12, 6345–6350.
- [78] Allsopp RC, Chang E, Kashefi-Aazam M, Rogaev EI, Piatyszek MA, Shay JW, and Harley CB (1995). Telomere shortening is associated with cell division in vitro and in vivo. *Exp Cell Res* 220, 194–200.
- [79] Hastie ND, Dempster M, Dunlop MG, Thompson AM, Green DK, and Allshire RC (1990). Telomere reduction in human colorectal carcinoma and with ageing. *Nature* 346, 866–868.
- [80] Bachor C, Bachor OA, and Boukamp P (1999). Telomerase is active in normal gastrointestinal mucosa and not up-regulated in precancerous lesions. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 125, 453–460.
- [81] Ghori A, Usselmann B, Ferryman S, Morris A, and Fraser I (2002). Telomerase expression of malignant epithelial cells correlates with Dukes' stage in colorectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 4, 441–446.
- [82] Liu JL, Ge LY, and Zhang GN (2006). Telomerase activity and human telomerase reverse transcriptase expression in colorectal carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 12, 465–467.
- [83] Tahara H, Kuniyasu H, Yokozaki H, Yasui W, Shay JW, Ide T, and Tahara E (1995). Telomerase activity in preneoplastic and neoplastic gastric and colorectal lesions. *Clin Cancer Res* 1, 1245–1251.