

Cancer Res Treat. 2020;52(2):492-504

**Original Article** 

https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2019.457

**Open Access** 

# EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 Targets p53 Gene: Potential Role in Epstein-Barr Virus Associated Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia

Dan-Min Xu, MD<sup>12,3</sup> Yi-Lin Kong, MD<sup>12,3</sup> Li Wang, MD<sup>12,3</sup> Hua-Yuan Zhu, PhD<sup>12,3</sup> Jia-Zhu Wu, PhD<sup>12,3</sup> Yi Xia, PhD<sup>12,3</sup> Yue Li, PhD<sup>12,3</sup> Shu-Chao Qin, PhD<sup>12,3</sup> Lei Fan, PhD<sup>12,3</sup> Jian-Yong Li, PhD<sup>12,3</sup> Jin-Hua Liang, MD<sup>12,3</sup> Wei Xu, PhD<sup>12,3</sup>

\**A* list of author's affiliations appears at the end of the paper.

Correspondence: Wei Xu, PhD Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu Province Hospital, Key Laboratory of Hematology of Nanjing Medical University, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Personalized Medicine, Nanjing 210029, China Tel: 86-25-83781120 Fax: 86-25-83781120 E-mail: xuwei10000@hotmail.com Co-correspondence: Jin-Hua Liang, MD Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu Province Hospital, Key Laboratory of Hematology of Nanjing Medical University, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Personalized Medicine, Nanjing 210029, China Tel: 86-25-83781120 Fax: 86-25-83781120 E-mail: 115152549@qq.com Received August 13, 2019 Accepted October 24, 2019 Published Online October 29, 2019 \*Dan-Min Xu and Yi-Lin Kong contributed equally to this work.

#### Purpose

The purpose of this study was to investigate the prognostic impact of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-microRNA (miRNA, miR)-BHRF1-1 with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) as well as role of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 in p53 gene.

#### Materials and Methods

Quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction and western blotting were used to quantify EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and p53 expression in cultured CLL.

#### Results

p53 aberration was associated with the higher expression level of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 (p < 0.001) which was also an independent prognostic marker for overall survival (p=0.028; hazard ratio, 5.335; 95% confidence interval, 1.193 to 23.846) in 97 newly-diagnosed CLL patients after adjusted with International Prognostic Index for patients with CLL. We identified EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 as a viral miRNA regulator of p53. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 repressed luciferase reporter activity by specific interaction with the seed region within the p53 3'-untranslated region. Discordance of p53 messenger RNA and protein expression was associated with high EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 levels in CLL patients and cell lines. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibition upregulated p53 protein expression, induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis and decreased cell proliferation in cell lines. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimics downregulated p53 protein expression, and induced cell proliferation in cell lines.

### Conclusion

This study supported the role of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 in p53 regulation *in vitro*. Our results support the potential of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 as a therapeutic target in EBV-associated CLL with p53 gene aberration.

#### Key words

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, EBV-miR-BHRF1-1, p53

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/] which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Introduction

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) was the first virus shown to encode viral microRNAs (miRNAs, miR). Five EBV-associated miRNAs were firstly identified from EBV B95-8– infected Burkitt's lymphoma in 2004 by Pfeffer et al. [1]. To date, 44 mature miRNAs that could be classified into two clusters (BHRF1 cluster and BART cluster) have been identified in EBV. BHRF1 miRNA is highly expressed in stage III latency while BART miRNAs are expressed in all EBV-positive cell lines [2]. Their contributions to viral pathogenesis and oncogenesis have been shown in many EBV-associated lymphomas [3,4]. Diverse cellular pathways including p53, B-cell signaling, immune activation, cell proliferation, and apoptosis are now known to be regulated by EBV miRNAs in lymphomas [5].

Our previous study showed that nearly 10.0% of newlydiagnosed chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) patients had a high level of EBV-DNA viral load in whole blood [6]. Furthermore, a positive blood EBV-DNA test at diagnosis was significantly associated with inferior time-to-treatment (TTT) and overall survival (OS) in CLL patients [6,7]. Further studies are needed to investigate the potential mechanisms of EBV's oncogenesis in CLL patients.

p53 gene has a vital role in the disease-progress, clone evolution and drug-resistance for CLL patients. Until now, no therapies can fully overcome the inferior prognosis caused by p53 aberration even in the era of the emerging of so many molecular targeted drugs such as BTK inhibitor and Bcl-2 inhibitors [8-10]. Interestingly, one of the members of the BHRF1 miRNAs, EBV-miR-BHRF1-1, was found to bind to the 3'-untranslated regions (UTR) of p53, suggesting that p53 may be a target of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 [11]. In our studies, we provide functional evidence that p53 is indeed a cellular target for EBV-miR-BHRF1-1. We demonstrated that the inhibition of p53 expression by EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 is likely to confer a growth advantage to EBV-infected CLL cells. These findings provide one of the potential mechanisms by which EBV can promote CLL development through its miRNA functions.

# **Materials and Methods**

## 1. Subjects

We collected 97 previously-untreated CLL patients from January 2004 to December 2015. The diagnosis of CLL was based on the revised National Cancer Institution criteria [12].

Variables ascertained at diagnosis included: age, sex, Binet stage, blood absolute lymphocyte level (ALC), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH),  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin, EBV-DNA load in whole blood, *IGHV* mutation state, p53 aberration (p53 mutation or deletion) state and cytogenetics (studied by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization).

## 2. Lentivirus transfection

The cell lines we used for cell function experiments in vitro were MEC1 and JVM3. Cells were plated in 6-well plates at a density of 2.0×10<sup>5</sup> cells/well and stably transfected with maturing miRNA inhibitors of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1, maturing miRNA mimics of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1, and negative control random miRNA, respectively (GeneChem, Shanghai, China), using enhanced infection solution and polybrene (Gene-Chem) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The lentivirus was loaded with gene that contains green fluorescence and puromycin resistance gene. The 6-well plates were centrifuged by centrifugal machine (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5810 R, Hamburg, Germany), 2,000 rpm, 30 minutes at 37°C. The cell medium (IMDM) was changed after 3 days of lentivirus transfected and puromycin  $(1 \mu g/mL)$  was added into a new medium to screen the transfected cells. The cell medium (IMDM) was changed after another 3 days and cultured cells for further function experiments.

## 3. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 detection

Total RNA was extracted from CLL patients' peripheral blood mononuclear cells, cultured cell lines and lentivirus transfected cell lines. RNA (1 µg) was reverse transcribed to cDNA by using specific stem-loop reverse transcription primers, forward primers, reverse primers (S1 Table), and amplification with fluorescent dye SYBR Green MasterMix by using reverse transcription kit (GenePharma, Shanghai, China). U6 was used as an internal control. Cycle conditions for EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and U6 were one cycle for 3 minutes at 95°C, 40 cycles for 12 seconds at 95°C, 40 seconds at 62°C, and melting curves were measured at the same time. The threshold cycle (Ct) was defined as the cycle number at the fluorescence over the fixed threshold.  $\Delta$ Ct was calculated by subtracting the Ct of U6 from the Ct of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1. Relative expression level of a gene was calculated by the equation 2<sup>-ΔCt</sup>. Reactions for quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) were conducted in triplicate, using the Applied Biosystems ABI StepOnePlus Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and replicated for three times. Productions were verified by DNA sequencing.

#### 4. p53 mRNA detection

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cell lines and lentivirus transfected cell lines. RNA (1 µg) was reverse transcribed using random hexamers, and amplification with fluorescent dye SYBR Green MasterMix and primers (S1 Table). β-Actin was used as an internal control. Cycle conditions for p53 and  $\beta$ -actin were one cycle for 5 minutes at 95°C, 35 cycles for 15 seconds at 95°C, 30 seconds at 60°C, 30 seconds at 72°C and melting curves were measured after amplification.  $\Delta$ Ct was calculated by subtracting the Ct of  $\beta$ -actin from the Ct of p53.  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct was calculated by the  $\Delta$ Ct of unprocessed cell lines from the  $\Delta$ Ct of lentivirus transfected cell lines. Relative expression level of a gene was calculated by equation 2-ADCt. Reactions for qRT-PCR were conducted three times, using the Applied Biosystems ABI StepOnePlus Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Productions were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

#### 5. Dual-luciferase reporter assay

Renilla-luciferase assay was performed to verify whether EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 is combined with p53 gene. The pmir-GLO Dual-Luciferase miRNA Target Expression Vector is designed to quantitatively evaluate miRNA activity by the insertion of miRNA target sites 3'-UTR region of the firefly luciferase gene (luc2). EBV-miR-BRHF1-1 or negative controls (NC) were transfected together with pmirGLO vector in the 293T cell line by using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The ratios of Renilla versus firefly signals was used to measure the efficiency of transfection by using dualluciferase reporter gene assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI) at 24 hours and 48 hours after transfection.

## 6. Western blotting

Cells were harvested after stable transfection with miRNA inhibitors (including EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and NC miRNA inhibitors) and miRNA mimics (including EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and NC miRNA mimics). CLL patients' peripheral blood mononuclear cells and cells of transfection were and solubilized by incubating in ice-cold RIPA lysis buffer. Then cells were fragmented fully by ultrasonic instruments. Protein concentration was determined by BCA (Real-Times, Shanghai, China). Proteins were added in sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE) loading buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) for dye and storage. Protein samples separated on 12% SDS-PAGE (Beyotime), transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Immobilon-P Membrane) followed by incubating with a mouse monoclonal antibody to p53 (Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, MA) at 1:1,000 dilutions, a rabbit monoclonal antibody to p-p53 (Cell Signaling Technology) at 1:1,000 dilutions, a rabbit monoclonal antibody to PDLIM7 (Cell Signaling Technology) at 1:1,000 dilutions, and a rabbit monoclonal antibody to p21 (Cell Signaling Technology) at 1:1,000 dilutions. The secondary antibody used was rabbit anti-goat IgG (Multi Sciences) and mouse anti-goat IgG (Multi Sciences) both at 1:3,000 dilutions at room temperature. Protein levels were normalized to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; Cell Signaling Technology), a mouse monoclonal antibody, at 1:10,000 dilutions. Antibody complexes were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany).

#### 7. Cell Counting Kit-8 proliferation experiment

Cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of  $1.0 \times 10^4$  cells/well with 100 µL IMDM medium, and stabilized cells for 4 hours at 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 37°C incubator (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA). Then take out to add in 10 µL Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) at once, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours after planked cells in triplicate, using CCK-8 kit (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) and incubate cells continuously. After 2 hours, OD value was tested by using a microplate reader (Bio-Tek, Winooski, VT) and data were collected by Gene5 software (Bio-Tek).

#### 8. Apoptosis assay

Cells after stable transfection was performed to detect apoptosis ratio by using Annexin V propidium iodide (PI)/ 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) flow cytometric assay kit (BD Pharmingen, Franklin Lakes, NJ). We collected 1.0×106 transfected cells into a tube, and cells were washed twice with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and re-suspended in 1 mL Annexin V binding buffer. Cells were incubated in the dark for 15 minutes at room temperature after the addition of 5.0 µL of PI and 3.0 µL of 7-AAD. We added 4 mL binding Annexin V buffer additionally before test. Apoptosis of lentivirus transfected cells was quantified using a FAC-SCalibur flow cytometer (BD FACSCanto II Flow Cytometer) and FlowJo software. Phycoerythrin and PI are the same fluorescent emission channel. 7-AAD and PerCP-Cyanine5-5 (PerCP-cy5-5) are the same fluorescent emission channel. Experiments were done in triplicate.

## 9. Cell cycle analysis

Cells at the concentration of  $1.0 \times 10^6$  were incubated and saved at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for 30 minutes fixed in 70% ethanol, then washed twice in PBS and incubated in 1 mL DNA staining solution and 10 µL PI for 30 minutes at 37°C using cell cycle staining kit (MultiSciences). DNA content was determined by flow cytometry using Beckman Coulter Gallios flow cyto-

	Value (%)	Median (10⁻⁵) (95% CI)	p-value
Age (yr)			
≤ 65	64	129.0 (1.251485-4,867.529)	0.501
> 65	33	121.0 (1.75156-1,670.395)	
Sex			
Male	67	148.0 (1.251485-4,867.529)	0.833
Female	30	97.5 (1.75156-1,670.395)	
Binet stage			
А	34	122.0 (1.251485-727.867)	0.506
B/C	63	121.0 (1.554705-4,867.529)	
Lymphocytes (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)			
< 50	66	119.5 (1.251485-4,867.529)	0.996
$\geq 50$	31	140.0 (1.75156-4,403.11)	
LDH			
≤ ULN	66	103.0 (1.251485-4,867.529)	0.007
>ULN	31	409.0 (1.75156-4,727.867)	
β2-MG			
≤ ULN	33	193.2 (1.554705-4,867.529)	0.651
>ULN	64	117.3 (1.251485-4,727.867)	
EBV-DNA (copy/mL)			
≤ 5,000	76	82.53 (1.251485-4,155.982)	< 0.001
> 5,000	21	577.4 (21.70026-4,867.529)	
IGHV			
Mutation	58	110.8 (1.75156-4,867.529)	0.378
Unmutation	39	197.2 (1.251485-4,403.11)	
p53 disruption			
No	74	82.53 (1.251485-4,867.529)	< 0.001
Yes	23	409.2 (21.64018-4,403.11)	

Table 1. Clinical variables and associations between EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and baseline variables for the 97 CLL subject

EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CI, confidence interval; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; β2-MG, β2-microglobulin.

metry (Boston, MA) and analyzed by Wincycle 32 software (Beckman Coulter). Experiments were done in triplicate.

## 10. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software for Windows ver. 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The difference of target gene mRNA expression between groups with different prognostic factors was described using the Mann-Whitney U test. The difference of miRNA and p53 expression and apoptosis rate between groups was described using the paired-samples T test. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to determine the cut-off value. OS was calculated as time from diagnosis until death or last followup. TTT was calculated as interval from diagnosis until the first CLL-specific treatment or the last follow-up. Survival and TTT were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method and results were compared using the log-rank test. The prognostic influence of variables was tested using the Cox proportional hazards model in univariate and multivariate analysis. Protein bands of Western blot were quantified through the Image J program for Windows after normalizing the data for GAPDH. Two-sided p-values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## 11. Ethical statement

Subject gave informed consent in accordance with requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki. The research was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Nanjing medical University.



**Fig. 1.** Time-to-treatment (TTT) (A) and overall survival (OS) (B) curve of 97 patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia based on Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)–microRNA (miR)–BHRF1-1 by Kaplan-Meier estimation. Low group value is below the cut-off value (0.0012), and the high group above the cut-off value.

# Results

#### 1. Clinical variables

The baseline clinical and biological variables of the 97 newly-diagnosed CLL patients are shown in Table 1. The median age at diagnosis was 59 years (range, 16 to 82 years). There were 64 males (65.9%). Sixty-one patients (62.8%) were Binet stage A and 36 (37.1%) patients were staged as B/C. There were 21 patients (21.6%) who had pretreatment high EBV-DNA copies (more than 5,000 copies/mL) in whole blood. Thirty-two (33.0%) had lymphocyte count of more than 50×10<sup>9</sup>/L and 31 patients (32.0%) had elevated LDH levels. Thirty-five patients (36.1%) were *IGHV* gene unmutated. p53 aberration (p53 mutation or deletion) was detected in 22 of 97 subjects (22.7%) and 10 patients (10.3%) had both p53 mutation and deletion. The median duration of follow-up is 71 months (range, 36 to 138 months). Seventy patients (72.2%) had indications and received treatment. Seventeen (17.5%) subjects died of CLL-related causes.

# 2. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 expression and association with other variables

The relative expression level of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 in all subjects was 0.00001 to 0.05120 (median, 0.01056). EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 expression of CLL subjects was analyzed for association with baseline variables (Table 1). Significant associations were found with LDH  $\leq$  upper limit of normal (ULN) vs. LDH > ULN (p=0.007), *IGHV* mutation vs. *IGHV* unmutation (p < 0.001) and p53 disruption vs. no disruption (p < 0.001).

# 3. The prognostic roles of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 in CLL patients

ROC curve analysis of OS was used to determine the optimal threshold cut-off value for EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 level. Area under the curve was 0.651 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.531 to 0.771; p < 0.001). The optimal cut-off value of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 was 0.0012, with a 51% sensitivity and a 77% specificity. We split the cohort of CLL into low (n=49) and high (n=48) EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 expression based on a value of 0.0012. Subjects in the low EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 expression cohort had a briefer TTT (p=0.018) and worse OS (p < 0.001) compared with subjects in high EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 expression cohort (Fig. 1).

In univariate analyses, Binet B/C stage, ALC  $\ge 50 \times 10^{9}$ /L, LDH > ULN, EBV-DNA > 5,000 copies/mL, *IGHV* unmutated, p53 disruption, EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 high expression were significantly associated with inferior TTT (Table 2). However, in multivariate analyses, only ALC  $\ge 50 \times 10^{9}$ /L (p=0.030; hazard ratio [HR], 1.960; 95% CI, 1.068 to 3.599), LDH > ULN (p=0.046; HR, 1.695; 95% CI, 1.009 to 2.848) and *IGHV* unmutated status (p=0.024; HR,1.828; 95% CI, 1.083 to 3.087) remained significant (Table 2).

In univariate analyses, LDH > ULN, *IGHV* unmutated, p53 disruption and EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 high expression were significantly associated with inferior OS (Table 2). However, in multivariate analyses, only *IGHV* unmutated (p=0.023; HR, 4.433; 95% CI, 1.223 to 16.069), p53 disruption (p=0.013; HR, 2.316; 95% CI, 1.197 to 4.482), and EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 high expression (p=0.028; HR, 5.335; 95% CI, 1.193 to 23.846) remained significant (Table 2).

able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for TTT and OS in patients with	IJ
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for TTT and OS in patients	with
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for TTT and OS in	patients
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for TTT and	l OS in
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for TTT	and
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for	TTT
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis	for
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression anal	ysis
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression	anal
able 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox	regression
able 2. Univariate and multivariate	Cox
able 2. Univariate and multi	variate
able 2. Univariate and	d multi
able 2. Univariat	te and
able 2. U	nivaria
able 2	Р.
<u> </u>	<b>Table 2</b>

Ц

V/	Univariate analysi	s to TTT	Multivariate analys	is to TTT	Univariate analysi	s to OS	Multivariate analysi	is to OS
V di la Die	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Age > 65 yr	1.068 (0.650-1.754)	0.795		•	0.815 (0.306-2.537)	0.882		
Male sex	1.275 (0.745-2.184)	0.376		ı	0.985 (0.342-2.835)	0.978		
Binet B/C stage	2.817 (1.614-4.916)	< 0.001	1.413 (0.835-2.390)	0.197	1.619 (10.52-5.020)	0.404		
$ALC \ge 50 \times 10^9 / L$	2.323 (1.409-3.829)	0.001	1.960 (1.068-3.599)	0.030	1.277 (0.464-3.515)	0.635		
LDH > ULN	2.138 (1.303-3.509)	0.003	1.695(1.009-2.848)	0.046	2.737 (1.019-7.350)	0.046	1.361 (0.493-3.762)	0.552
$\beta$ 2-MG > ULN	1.471 (0.879-2.461)	0.142		ı	0.859 (0.312-2.365)	0.769		ı
EBV-DNA > ULN	2.020 (1.171-3.484)	0.012	1.191 (0.632-2.243)	0.588	4.653 (1.733-12.494)	0.002	1.528 (0.500-4.665)	0.457
<i>IGHV</i> unmutated	2.556 (1.569-4.164)	< 0.001	1.828 (1.083-3.087)	0.024	5.919 (1.687-20.770)	0.006	4.433 (1.223-16.069)	0.023
p53 disruption	1.495(1.085 - 2.060)	0.014	1.093 (0.735-1.626)	0.661	2.328 (1.343-4.035)	0.003	2.316 (1.197-4.482)	0.013
EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 high expression	1.676 (1.036-2.711)	0.035	1.492 (0.884-2.516)	0.134	7.146 (1.624-31.441)	0.009	5.335 (1.193-23.846)	0.028
TTT, time-to-treatment; OS, overall surviv, lactate dehvdrogenase; ULN, upper limit (	al; CLL, chronic lyn of normal: 82-MG, I	nphocytic 82-microg	leukemia; HR, haza lobulin: EBV, Epstei	rd ratio; CI n-Barr viru	. confidence interval s: miR, microRNA.	l; ALC, ab	solute lymphocyte le	vel; LDH,

#### 4. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 targets the 3'UTR of p53 mRNA

The computational algorithms, including TargetScan and RNAhydrid, were used in combination to identify potential miRNAs that target p53. As shown in Fig. 2A, the bioinformatics analysis identified EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 as the possible regulator of p53. As a first step to validate that p53 is indeed a functional target of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mediated through the potential miRNA blinding site, we used luciferase reporters containing either the wild-type p53 3'-UTR harboring a point mutation in the critical seed region of the EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 binding site. Wild-type or mutant reporter plasmid was then co-transfected with 100 nM EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimics into 293T cells, and their luciferase activities were measured at 24 hours and 48 hours. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 repressed wild-type p53 luciferase reporter activity by 6.28% at 24 hours (p < 0.001) and 48.24% at 48 hours (p < 0.001) but had no effect on the luciferase activity of the mutant p53 plasmid (Fig. 2B). Thus, the current results showed that EBVmiR-BHRF1-1 inhibits the expression of p53 by binding to the target sequence.

# 5. High expression of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 correlates with low p53 expression in EBV-positive CLL

To further investigate whether there is also a negative correlation between EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 levels and p53 expression in primary EBV-positive CLL cases, EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and p53 expression were assessed in five CLL cases (Fig. 3). Patient 4 has a relatively higher level of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) protein expression and lower level of p53 and p21 protein expression. Patients 1, 2, and 3 showed relatively lower levels of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and LMP1 protein expression and higher levels of p53, and p21 protein expression. This negative association between EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and p53 expression is well demonstrated in patient 5, whose samples patient5-1 (Pt5-1) and patient5-2 (Pt5-2) represent the initial diagnostic and relapsed samples, respectively. When the disease of case 5 relapsed after standard treatment, the levels of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 increased to relatively higher level and LMP1 protein. Furthermore, we found that the levels of p53 and p21 decreased to relatively lower level.

# 6. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor up-regulate p53 expression and affected CLL cell functions in MEC1 and JVM3

We then explored the biological effects of inhibiting EBVmiR-BHRF1-1 on cell lines of MEC1 and JVM3 and whether of these effects may be mediated through increase of p53 levels. We only used EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor to decrease the EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 levels in cell lines. qRT-PCR, western



**Fig. 2.** Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)–microRNA (miR)–BHRF1-1 targets the p53 3'-untranslated regions (3'-UTR). (A) Schematic representation of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 putative blinding site on the 3'-UTR of p53. (B) Dual-luciferase assay performed in 293T cells suggested that EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimics significantly suppress the activity of p53 when compared to EBV-miR-BHRF1-1-NC (negative control).



**Fig. 3.** Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)–microRNA (miR)–BHRF1-1 and p53 expressions in five chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) patients. (A) Quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction analysis of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 expression in five CLL patients. (B) Western blot analysis of LMP1, p53 and p21, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the control.

blotting (WB) and cell function tests were conducted after cells were transfected stable. Significant reductions of EBVmiR-BHRF1-1 expression level were observed in both MEC1 and JVM3 (Fig. 4A). Although p53 mRNA level remained unchanged on EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor transfection compared with NC (Fig. 4B), WB revealed up-expression level of p53, p-p53 and p53 proteins (Fig. 4C). The CCK-8 experiments were done to detect the proliferation ability of cell in 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours. Lower proliferation ability was observed in MEC1 and JVM3 cell lines transfected with EBVmiR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor compared with MEC1 and JVM3 cell lines transfected with miRNA NC (Fig. 4D and E). This is associated with a decrease in cell cycle progression based on BrdU incorporation assay. As shown in Fig. 4F and G, the S phase was reduced from 60.5% in MEC1 cells and 66.7% in JVM3 cells transfected with miRNA inhibitor NC, to 40.3%and 44.9% on EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor transfection (p < 0.05). Apoptosis assay was performed to ascertain the biological effect of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor on the apoptosis of cell lines. A marked increase in apoptosis was observed in the MEC1 and JVM3 cell lines transfected with EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor (Fig. 4H and I).



**Fig. 4.** Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)–microRNA (miR)–BHRF1-1 inhibitor up-regulate p53 expression and induced chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells apoptosis in MEC1 and JVM3 cells. Quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction analysis of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 (A) and p53 mRNA (B) expression in MEC1 and JVM3 cells after transfected with EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor. (C) Western blot analysis of LMP1, p53, p-p53 and p21, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the control. The distribution of cell cycle in MEC1 (D) and JVM3 (E) cells; Cell Counting Kit-8 experiments detected the proliferation ability of MEC1 (F) and JVM3 (G) cells. Apoptosis assay of MEC1 (H) and JVM3 (I) cells. Experiments were done in triplicate. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001. (*Continued to the next page*)

## 7. EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimics down-regulate p53 expression and affected CLL cell functions in MEC1 and JVM3

We then explored the biological effects of overexpression of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 on cell lines of MEC1 and JVM3 and whether these effects may be mediated through decrease of p53 levels. We only used EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimic to increase the EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 levels in cell lines. qRT-PCR, WB and cell function tests were conducted after stably transfected. A significant increase of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 expression



Fig. 4. (Continued from the previous page)



**Fig. 5.** Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)–microRNA (miR)–BHRF1-1 mimic down-regulate p53 expression and increased S phase in MEC1 and JVM3 cells. Quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction analysis of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 (A) and p53 mRNA (B) expression in MEC1 and JVM3 cells after transfected with EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimics. (C) Western blot analysis of LMP1, p53 and p21, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the control. The distribution of cell cycle in JVM3 (D) and MEC1 (E) cells; Cell Counting Kit-8 experiments detected the proliferation ability of MEC1 (F) and JVM3 (G) cells. Apoptosis assay of MEC1 (H) and JVM3 (I) cells. Experiments were done in triplicate. \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001. (*Continued to the next page*)

sion level was observed in JVM3 (Fig. 5A). Although p53 mRNA level remained unchanged on EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimic transfection compared with NC (Fig. 5B), WB revealed down-expression of p53 and p21 protein (Fig. 5C). The CCK-8 experiments were done to detect the proliferation ability of

cell in 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours. Higher proliferation ability was observed in MEC1 and JVM3 cell lines transfected with EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimic compared with MEC1 and JVM3 cell lines transfected with miRNA NC at 96 hours (Fig. 5D and E). As shown in Fig. 5F-G, the G0/G1 phase was



Fig. 5. (Continued from the previous page)

increased from 20.9% in MEC1 cells transfected with EBVmiR-BHRF1-1 mimic, to 31.2% on miRNA mimic NC (p < 0.05). Furthermore, the G0/G1 phase was increased from 23.1% in JVM3 cells transfected with EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimic, to 59.1% on miRNA mimic NC (p < 0.05). Apoptosis assay was performed to ascertain the biological effect of EBVmiR-BHRF1-1 mimics on the apoptosis of cell lines. A marked decrease in apoptosis was observed in the MEC1 and JVM3 cell lines transfected with EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 mimic (Fig. 5H and I).

# Discussion

In this study, we show that high expression levels of EBV-BHRF1-1 in CLL patients were predictive of inferior TTT and OS. The predictive power of OS was retained after adjusted for all the prognostic factors in International Prognostic Index for patients with CLL [13]. We further explored the pathologic role of EBV in EBV-associated CLL and provided functional evidence that p53 was targeted by one of EBV miRNAs, EBV-miR-BHRF-1, which could affect the growth, proliferation, and apoptosis of EBV-positive CLL cells.

Thought the clues of EBV in CLL patients have been reported in several studies, we still did not know the latency type of EBV in EBV-positive CLL patients. Combined with the facts that LMP1 mRNA reported by Tarrand et al. [14] and EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 in our study have been detected in EBVpositive CLL patients, we hypothesized that EBV-positive CLL might be stage III type of latency, in which all latent genes, including LMP1, LMP2A, LMP2B, EBNA2, and EBN-As3-6 are all expressed and both EBV miRNAs of BHRF1 and BART clusters. Therefore, similar signaling pathways abnormities such as nuclear factor kB and p53 caused by EBV latency genes and EBV miRNAs might be also presented in EBV-positive CLL patients. In our study, we found that EBV-DNA positive CLL patients expressed higher levels of EBV-BHRF1-1 than EBV-DNA negative ones in CD19+ CD5+ B cells while the expression levels of EBV-DNA negative ones were similar to the normal healthy patients which were similar to the result reported by Visco et al. [7]. It can be easily explained by the infection of lytic infection in these CLL patients. Similar to our previous reports [6], high levels of EBV-BHRF1-1 were associated with inferior survival in CLL patients. Furthermore, high levels of EBV-BHRF1-1 were associated with elevated LDH levels, IGHV unmutated status and p53 aberration, suggesting a possible role of EBV-BHR-F1-1 in the events that lead to CLL progression.

The study reported by Li et al. [11] has described p53 as a functionally relevant target of EBV-BHRF1-1 to potentiate

lytic replication of EBV in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Furthermore, several studies have demonstrated that lymphomas driven by EBV are dependent upon MDM2 which can further regulate p53 gene [15,16]. We also found that higher expressions of EBV-BHRF1-1 were associated with lower p53 and p53 protein which can reflect the functional role of p53 gene in our CLL patients. We further demonstrated for the first time that p53 is a potential miRNA target with likely pathogenic consequences in two mostly used two CLL cell lines (MEC1 and JVM3). Both MEC1 (with p53 mutation) and JVM3 (without p53 mutation) are EBV-positive cell lines with relatively higher EBV-BHRF1-1 levels. Therefore, MEC1 and JVM3 might be the optimal cell lines for us to validate the fact that p53 is indeed a cellular target for EBV-miR-BHRF1-1. In this study, we found that even a modest increase in p53 on treatment of MEC1 and JVM3 cells with miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor is sufficient to alter cell cycle, inducing CLL cell apoptosis and inhibit the CLL cell proliferation. Similar results were also found by overexpression of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 in MEC1 and JVM3 cells. From these results, we found that EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor can affect the CLL cells in both p53 mutation and no p53 mutation cell lines. Therefore, EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 inhibitor might be a potential targeted drug in a small cohort of EBV-positive CLL patients with the high-risk cytogenetics feature of p53 aberration whose inferior prognosis cannot be overcome by current treatment strategies such as the conventional fludarabine based chemotherapy and newly molecular targeted drugs of BTK inhibitor and Bcl-2 inhibitors-based chemotherapy.

In conclusion, we presented evidence that p53 is a target of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1, which might be the underlying pathologic mechanisms for the inferior prognostic value for high expression levels of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 in patients with CLL. The interaction between EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 and p53 may represent one of the many ways by which EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 promotes EBV-positive lymphomagenesis of latency III type. Our results support the potential of EBV-miR-BHRF1-1 as a therapeutic target for CLL patients with p53 gene aberrations.

#### **Electronic Supplementary Material**

Supplementary materials are available at Cancer Research and Treatment website (https://www.e-crt.org).

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

Conflict of interest relevant to this article was not reported.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81470328, 81600130, 81770166, 81720108002), Jiangsu Province's Medical Elite Programme (ZDRCA2016022), Project of National Key Clinical Specialty, Jiangsu Provincial Special Program of Medical Science (BE2017751) and National Science and Technology Major Project (2018ZX09734007).

#### Author Details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu Province Hospital, Nanjing, <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Hematology of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, <sup>3</sup>Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Personalized Medicine, Nanjing, China

# References

- 1. Pfeffer S, Zavolan M, Grasser FA, Chien M, Russo JJ, Ju J, et al. Identification of virus-encoded microRNAs. Science. 2004; 304:734-6.
- 2. Qiu J, Cosmopoulos K, Pegtel M, Hopmans E, Murray P, Middeldorp J, et al. A novel persistence associated EBV miRNA expression profile is disrupted in neoplasia. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7:e1002193.
- 3. Imig J, Motsch N, Zhu JY, Barth S, Okoniewski M, Reineke T, et al. microRNA profiling in Epstein-Barr virus-associated B-cell lymphoma. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39:1880-93.
- 4. Barth S, Meister G, Grasser FA. EBV-encoded miRNAs. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011;1809:631-40.
- 5. Navari M, Etebari M, Ibrahimi M, Leoncini L, Piccaluga PP. Pathobiologic roles of Epstein-Barr virus-encoded microRNAs in human lymphomas. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19:E1168.
- Liang JH, Gao R, Xia Y, Gale RP, Chen RZ, Yang YQ, et al. Prognostic impact of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-DNA copy number at diagnosis in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Oncotarget. 2016;7:2135-42.
- Visco C, Falisi E, Young KH, Pascarella M, Perbellini O, Carli G, et al. Epstein-Barr virus DNA load in chronic lymphocytic leukemia is an independent predictor of clinical course and survival. Oncotarget. 2015;6:18653-63.
- Baliakas P, Hadzidimitriou A, Sutton LA, Rossi D, Minga E, Villamor N, et al. Recurrent mutations refine prognosis in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Leukemia. 2015;29:329-36.
- 9. Roberts AW, Davids MS, Pagel JM, Kahl BS, Puvvada SD, Gerecitano JF, et al. Targeting BCL2 with venetoclax in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2016;374: 311-22.

- 10. Burger JA, Sivina M, Jain N, Kim E, Kadia T, Estrov Z, et al. Randomized trial of ibrutinib vs ibrutinib plus rituximab in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood. 2019;133: 1011-9.
- 11. Li Z, Chen X, Li L, Liu S, Yang L, Ma X, et al. EBV encoded miR-BHRF1-1 potentiates viral lytic replication by downregulating host p53 in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2012;44:275-9.
- 12. Hallek M, Cheson BD, Catovsky D, Caligaris-Cappio F, Dighiero G, Dohner H, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a report from the International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia updating the National Cancer Institute-Working Group 1996 guidelines. Blood. 2008;111:5446-56.
- International CLL-IPI Working Group. An international prognostic index for patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL-IPI): a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet Oncol. 2016; 17:779-90.
- 14. Tarrand JJ, Keating MJ, Tsimberidou AM, O'Brien S, LaSala RP, Han XY, et al. Epstein-Barr virus latent membrane protein 1 mRNA is expressed in a significant proportion of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cancer. 2010;116:880-7.
- Renouf B, Hollville E, Pujals A, Tetaud C, Garibal J, Wiels J. Activation of p53 by MDM2 antagonists has differential apoptotic effects on Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-positive and EBVnegative Burkitt's lymphoma cells. Leukemia. 2009;23:1557-63.
- AlQarni S, Al-Sheikh Y, Campbell D, Drotar M, Hannigan A, Boyle S, et al. Lymphomas driven by Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen-1 (EBNA1) are dependent upon Mdm2. Oncogene. 2018;37:3998-4012.