



# **Controlling Nutritional Status Score** as a Predictive Marker of In-hospital Mortality in Older Adult Patients

Chengyu Liu, Mingwei Zhu\*, Xin Yang, Hongyuan Cui, Zijian Li and Junmin Wei

Department of General Surgery, Beijing Hospital, National Center of Gerontology, Institute of Geriatric Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

The controlling nutritional status (CONUT) score assesses nutritional status and is associated with short- and long-term prognoses in some diseases, but the significance of the CONUT score for the prediction of in-hospital mortality in older adults is unknown. The purpose was to determine the importance of the CONUT score for the prediction of in-hospital mortality, short-term complications, length of hospital stay, and hospital costs in older adults. Our retrospective cohort study analyzed data from 11,795 older adult patients from two multicenter cohort studies. We performed receiver operating characteristic curve analysis using in-hospital mortality as the endpoint and determined the appropriate CONUT score cut-off by the Youden index. The patients were divided into two high and low groups according to the CONUT cut-off value, and the differences in clinical characteristics and in-hospital clinical outcomes between the two groups were compared. We compared the accuracy of the CONUT score and other nutrition-related tools in predicting in-hospital mortality by calculating the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve and performed univariate and multivariate analyses of predictors of in-hospital mortality. Among all the patients, 178 (1.5%) patients experienced in-hospital death. The optimal cut-off values was 5.5 for the CONUT score. The high CONUT group had a higher incidence of short-term complications and prolonged hospital stay than the low CONUT group (CONUT score < 6), but hospital costs were not significantly higher. The CONUT score had the highest predictive ability for in-hospital mortality among the five nutrition-related parameters compared. Multivariate analysis showed that a high CONUT score (CONUT score  $\geq$  6) was an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality. In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that the CONUT score could be used to predict in-hospital mortality in older adults.

Keywords: nutrition, controlling nutritional status, in-hospital mortality, elderly, malnutrition

# INTRODUCTION

Older adult inpatients have a high incidence of nutritional risk and malnutrition (1). Malnutrition is one of several negative predictors that affect the risk of some diseases in hospitalized patients and can predict adverse clinical outcomes (2, 3). Current guidelines recommend that routine nutritional screening of all older adult inpatients should include screening for malnutrition with a validated tool to identify malnourished patients (4, 5). There is currently no gold standard method for diagnosing malnutrition, and many tools need to be applied to assess nutritional status (6).

### **OPEN ACCESS**

### Edited by:

Arved Weimann, St. Georg Hospital, Germany

### Reviewed by:

Gökmen Zararsız, Erciyes University, Turkey Nguyen Minh Duc, Pham Ngoc Thach University of Medicine, Vietnam

> \*Correspondence: Mingwei Zhu zhumw2013@163.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Clinical Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 08 July 2021 Accepted: 24 August 2021 Published: 20 September 2021

### Citation:

Liu C, Zhu M, Yang X, Cui H, Li Z and Wei J (2021) Controlling Nutritional Status Score as a Predictive Marker of In-hospital Mortality in Older Adult Patients. Front. Nutr. 8:738045. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.738045

1

The Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) score is a nutritional scoring tool that is calculated using serum albumin, total cholesterol level, and total lymphocyte count (7). Current studies suggest that CONUT is associated with short- and long-term prognoses in some diseases, particularly cancers (8–14). However, the predictive role of the CONUT score for in-hospital mortality in older adult inpatients has not been clearly established.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the prognostic role of the CONUT score on in-hospital mortality, in-hospital complications, length of hospital stay (LOS), and hospital costs in older adult inpatients, and to compare the predictive ability of CONUT scores with the Nutritional Risk Screening-2002 (NRS-2002), the Onodera Prognostic Nutritional Index (OPNI), the Instant Nutritional Assessment (INA), and the Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI) for in-hospital mortality.

## **METHODS**

## **Participants**

Data from 11,795 older adult patients in this retrospective cohort analysis were obtained from two multicenter cohort studies. The first study was a multicenter nutritional survey of consecutively admitted older adult inpatients at 14 hospitals in China from March to May 2012. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Hospital (registration number: LLKYPJ2012002A). The other study was a multicenter study conducted in 34 hospitals in China from June to September 2014. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Hospital (registration number: 2014BJYYEC-022-02) and registered in the China Clinical Trial Registry (Registered No. ChiCTR-EPC-14005253). The inclusion criteria included: (1) age  $\geq$  65 years old, (2) conscious, and (3) no emergency surgery. Exclusion criteria included: (1) emergency patients, (2) refusal to participate and sign informed consent, and (3) missing information on in-hospital deaths.

## **Data Collection**

The following admission parameters were collected: age, gender, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), calf circumference (CC), upper arm circumference, handgrip strength, and laboratory data. Laboratory variables included leukocyte count, total lymphocyte count (TLC), hemoglobin, albumin, total protein, total bilirubin (TBIL), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and serum creatinine (Cr).

BMI < 18.5 (if <70 years) or < 20 (if >70 years) was considered low BMI (6). Low CC was defined as CC < 34 cm in men and CC < 33 cm in women (15). Low handgrip strength referred to handgrip strength <28.0 kg in men and <18.0 kg in women (15, 16).

## **Nutrition-Related Tools**

The NRS-2002 scores include three components: impaired nutritional status, disease severity, and age  $\geq$ 70 years, with  $\geq$  3 indicating nutritional risk (17).

CONUT score = serum albumin score + TC score + TLC score (7). Serum albumin score (0,  $\geq$  3.5 g/dL; 2, 3.0-3.49 g/dL; 4, 2.50-2.99 g/dL; 6, <2.50 g/dL), TC score (0,  $\geq$ 180 mg/dL; 1, 140-179 mg/dL; 2, 100-139 mg/dL; 3, <100 mg/dL), and TLC score (0,  $\geq$ 1.6 109 [G]/L; 1, 1.20-1.59 g/L; 2, 0.80-1.19 g/L; 3, <0.8 g/L) (7).

INA grades were classified into four grades: 1, albumin  $\geq$  3.5 g/dl and TLC  $\geq$  1.5 109/L; 2, albumin  $\geq$  3.5 g/dl and TLC < 1.5 109/L; 3, albumin < 3.5 g/dl and TLC  $\geq$  1.5 109/L; 4, albumin < 3.5 g/dl and TLC < 1.5 109/L (18, 19).

 $OPNI = 10 \times serum albumin (g/L) + 5 \times TLC (109/L)$ (20, 21).

 $GNRI = 1.489 \times serum albumin (g/L) + 41.7 \times actual weight (kg)/ideal weight (kg) (22). The ideal weight was calculated with the Lorentzian formula (22, 23).$ 

## **Clinical Outcomes**

Clinical outcome data were derived from a medical record system that included in-hospital mortality, short-term complications, LOS, and hospital costs. The short-term complications were defined as morbidity that occurred during hospitalization, and the occurrence of complications was judged according to the Clavien-Dindo classification system (24).

## **Statistical Analysis**

We performed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis using in-hospital mortality as the endpoint and calculated the Youden index to determine the appropriate cut-off value for the CONUT score (Figure 1). Patients were then divided into two groups according to the cutoff value, and the differences in clinical characteristics and in-hospital clinical outcomes between the two groups were compared. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine the type of distribution of the quantitative variables and the homogeneity of variance was assessed by F test or Levene test. Continuous variables were expressed as means  $\pm$  standards deviation and were analyzed by t-text or Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers (percentages) and were analyzed by the chi-squared test (x2). The accuracy of NRS-2002, CONUT, INA, OPNI, and GNRI values in predicting in-hospital mortality was compared by calculating the area under the curve (AUC). Univariate logistic regression (enter) was used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) between clinical factors and in-hospital mortality, and multivariate logistic regression (forward: likelihood ratio) was performed for statistically significant categorical variables in univariate logistic regression analysis. Hypothesis testing of the model was performed using the log-likelihood ratio test, and the Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to test the goodness of fit of the statistical model. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 25, and a two-sided P value < 0.05 was considered significant.



# RESULTS

# **Patient Characteristics**

The mean age of the enrolled inpatients was  $74.8 \pm 7.0$  years, and 7,092 (60.1%) were male. Baseline characteristics of the patients included in the study shown in **Table 1**. Of all patients, there were 178 (1.5%) in-hospital deaths and 5,564 (47.2%) patients were at nutritional risk.

# Estimation and Validation of the CONUT Score Cut-Off Value

The CONUT score was calculated in 8,279 (70.2%) cases and it was missing in 3,516 (29.8%) cases. The median CONUT score was 4 (3, 6). By ROC curve analysis, the cutoff value at the maximum Youden index was 5.5, with a sensitivity of 57.6% and a specificity of 72.1% (**Figure 2**). The enrolled patients were

divided into two groups, a low CONUT group (CONUT score <6; 5,930 patients, 50.3%) and a high CONUT group (CONUT score  $\geq$ 6; 2,349 patients, 19.9%). Of 132 in-hospital deaths with complete CONUT scores, 56 (42.4%) were in the low CONUT group and 76 (57.6%) were in the high CONUT group.

There were significant differences in the following indicators between the two groups: age, gender, BMI, CC, upper arm circumference, handgrip strength, TLC, hemoglobin, albumin, total protein, TBIL, ALT, TG, TC, BUN, and Cr (P < 0.05).

The high CONUT group had a significantly higher incidence of short-term complications than the low CONUT group (11.0 vs. 6.4%, P < 0.001), and the LOS was prolonged (14.88 ± 9.96 vs. 13.84 ± 9.45 days, P < 0.001), but hospital costs were not significantly higher (4,415.84 ± 37,877.41 vs. 3,592.66 ± 5,350.06 EUR, P = 0.105).

### TABLE 1 | Demographic and clinical characteristics of older adult patients.

Characteristic	Total	CONUT score		
	( <i>n</i> = 11,795)	< 6 ( <i>n</i> = 5,930)	≥6 ( <i>n</i> = 2,349)	P value
Age, year	74.8 ± 7.0	$76.5 \pm 7.5$	74.8 ± 7.0	<0.001
Gender male	7,092 (60.1%)	1,558 (66.3%)	3,421 (57.7%)	< 0.001
Height	$164.0 \pm 8.0$	$164.5 \pm 8.1$	$163.6 \pm 8.1$	< 0.001
Weight	$62.5 \pm 11.7$	$60.3 \pm 12.1$	$63.8 \pm 11.4$	< 0.001
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$23.2 \pm 3.8$	$22.2 \pm 3.8$	$23.8 \pm 3.6$	< 0.001
CC, cm	$32.1 \pm 3.9$	$30.8 \pm 4.1$	$32.8 \pm 3.8$	< 0.001
Upper arm circumference, cm	$25.7 \pm 3.7$	$24.4 \pm 3.8$	$26.5 \pm 3.5$	< 0.001
Handgrip strength, kg	$17.8 \pm 16.5$	$13.6 \pm 14.0$	$18.9 \pm 16.7$	< 0.001
Total leukocyte count, 109/ L	$8.98 \pm 123.88$	$9.76 \pm 124.18$	$9.58 \pm 152.11$	0.959
TLC, 10 <sup>9</sup> / L	$2.09 \pm 4.22$	$1.04 \pm 2.86$	$2.65 \pm 5.00$	< 0.001
Hemoglobin, g/L	$123.35 \pm 33.44$	$112.94 \pm 34.69$	$128.20 \pm 27.70$	< 0.001
Albumin, g/dL	$3.74 \pm 0.55$	$3.30 \pm 0.53$	$3.93 \pm 0.41$	< 0.001
Total protein, g/mL	$6.51 \pm 1.58$	$6.64 \pm 1.08$	$6.09 \pm 1.41$	< 0.001
ALT, U/L	$25.33 \pm 50.16$	$27.85 \pm 48.35$	$22.75 \pm 39.40$	< 0.001
TBIL, umol/L	$15.62 \pm 28.20$	$16.60 \pm 34.01$	$13.74 \pm 18.99$	< 0.001
TG, mmol/L	$1.54 \pm 2.84$	$1.30 \pm 1.85$	$1.64 \pm 2.83$	< 0.001
TC, mg/dL	$78.84 \pm 31.22$	$69.92 \pm 24.02$	$82.18 \pm 32.53$	< 0.001
BUN, mmol/L	$7.38 \pm 19.00$	$8.88 \pm 28.65$	$7.16 \pm 18.18$	0.007
Cr, umol/L	$86.48 \pm 140.80$	$95.89 \pm 100.53$	$83.43 \pm 148.43$	<0.001
TG, mmol/L TC, mg/dL BUN, mmol/L Cr, umol/L	$1.54 \pm 2.84$ $78.84 \pm 31.22$ $7.38 \pm 19.00$ $86.48 \pm 140.80$	$1.30 \pm 1.85$ $69.92 \pm 24.02$ $8.88 \pm 28.65$ $95.89 \pm 100.53$	$1.64 \pm 2.83$ $82.18 \pm 32.53$ $7.16 \pm 18.18$ $83.43 \pm 148.43$	<0.00 <0.00 0.00 <0.00

BMI, Body mass index; CC, Calf circumference; TLC, Total lymphocyte count; ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; TBIL, Total bilirubin; TG, Triglycerides; TC, Total cholesterol; BUN, Blood urea nitrogen; Cr, Creatinine.



FIGURE 2 | Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was used to assess the accuracy of the controlling nutritional status score in predicting in-hospital mortality. AUC, area under the curve.

## Comparison of the Predictive Ability of the CONUT Score With Other Nutrition-Related Tools

The CONUT score (AUC = 0.706) had the highest predictive ability for in-hospital mortality among the five nutrition-related tools compared, followed by the OPNI (AUC = 0.694).

 $\label{eq:table_table_table} \textbf{TABLE 2} \mid \textbf{Comparison of AUC of different nutrition-related tools in predicting in-hospital mortality.}$ 

	AUC	P value	95%CI	
CONUT	0.706	<0.001	0.661-0.750	
OPNI	0.694	< 0.001	0.654–0.735	
INA	0.653	< 0.001	0.612-0.694	
GNRI	0.653	< 0.001	0.592-0.714	
NRS-2002	0.649	< 0.001	0.603–0.694	

AUC, Area under the curve; CI, Confidence Interval; CONUT, Controlling Nutritional Status; OPNI, Onodera Prognostic Nutritional Index; INA, Instant Nutritional Assessment; GNRI, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; NRS-2002, Nutritional Risk Screening-2002.

The NRS-2002 had the last predictive ability (AUC = 0.649) (Table 2).

# Univariate and Multivariate Analyses of Clinical Factors and In-hospital Death

Univariate logistic regression analysis indicated that in-hospital mortality was associated with gender, age, low BMI, low CC, low handgrip strength, low hemoglobin, low serum albumin, low total protein, low TC, nutritional risk, high INA, low GNRI, and high CONUT score ( $\geq 6$ ) (**Table 3**). Multivariate analysis further showed that high CONUT score ( $\geq 6$ ) (OR 3.242, 95% CI 2.148–4.892, P < 0.001), nutritional risk (OR 1.580, 95% CI 1.038–2.403, P = 0.33), and low handgrip strength (OR 2.116, 95% CI 1.168–3.834, P = 0.13) were

TABLE 3   Univariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors as	ssociated wit	ίh
in-hospital death in older adult patients ( $n = 11795$ ).		

Characteristics	OR	95%CI	P value
Gender male	0.601	0.434–0.834	0.002
Age	1.088	1.067-1.109	< 0.001
Total leukocyte count	1.000	0.998-1.002	0.928
TLC	0.891	0.784-1.103	0.078
Hemoglobin	0.988	0.983-0.994	< 0.001
ALT	1.000	0.997-1.003	0.951
TBIL	1.003	1.000-1.007	0.079
TG	0.830	0.686-1.003	0.053
TC	0.991	0.984-0.999	0.022
BUN	1.002	0.997-1.007	0.419
Cr	1.000	1.000-1.001	0.180
CONUT	1.400	1.305-1.502	< 0.001
OPNI	0.970	0.940-1.001	0.061
INA	1.605	1.404-1.836	< 0.001
GNRI	0.937	0.920-0.955	< 0.001
NRS-2002	1.442	1.319-1.576	< 0.001
Low BMI	2.421	1.587-3.694	< 0.001
Low CC	2.304	1.596-3.326	< 0.001
Low handgrip strength	2.433	1.527-3.876	< 0.001
Nutritional risk	2.403	1.750-3.299	< 0.001
CONUT≥6	3.507	2.475-4.970	< 0.001

OR, Odds Ratio; TLC, Total lymphocyte count; ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; TBIL, Total bilirubin; TG, Triglycerides; TC, Total cholesterol; BUN, Blood urea nitrogen; Cr, Creatinine; CONUT, Controlling Nutritional Status; OPNI, Onodera Prognostic Nutritional Index; INA, Instant Nutritional Assessment; GNRI, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; NRS-2002, Nutritional Risk Screening-2002; BMI, Body mass index; CC, Calf circumference.

independent risk factors for in-hospital mortality, -2 log-likelihood value was the smallest, and the goodness of fit test *P* was 0.251.

## DISCUSSION

Our study indicated that CONUT score can be used as a predictive tool for in-hospital mortality in older adult patients, and older adult patients with high CONUT score have a higher risk of in-hospital death and complications as well as hospital length of stay.

The CONUT score is simple and easy to obtain and is calculated using objective values. The CONUT score is a nutrition-related tool that includes serum albumin, TC, and TLC (7). Studies suggest that all three components of the CONUT score are associated with short-term prognosis that encompasses in-hospital mortality in older adult patients. Low serum albumin levels are associated with increased short-term and long-term mortality in hospitalized patients (25, 26), and serum albumin levels are an important predictor of in-hospital mortality or in-hospital complications in older adult patients (27, 28). The increased mortality predicted by albumin may be explained by two main mechanisms. First, albumin has specific antioxidant functions because of its structure, and hypoalbuminemia may

result in cellular oxidative damage and apoptosis (29). Second, serum albumin levels report on the state of systemic protein metabolism and inflammation (27). Although it is controversial whether serum albumin levels directly indicate malnutrition, studies show that declining serum albumin levels serve as a marker of inflammation associated with nutritional risk and the risk of developing adverse clinical outcomes (30, 31).

Most studies suggest that low TC is associated with a higher risk of death in older adult patients (32–35). Low TC levels represent deterioration of nutritional status and exacerbated inflammation in older adult patients (32, 36, 37). A TLC less than 0.8 G/L was associated with the risk of in-hospital death, readmission, and LOS in hospitalized patients (38). A low TLC was also associated with higher mortality in older adult patients and was a predictor of prognosis (39, 40). Reduced TLC is also associated with decreased immune status and inflammatory status (41–43).

We found that the CONUT cut-off value was effective in distinguishing older adult inpatients with and without adverse outcomes. Older adult inpatients with CONUT scores higher than 6 had a higher risk of in-hospital death, a higher risk of short-term complications, and a longer LOS. Multivariate logistics regression analysis indicated that a CONUT score greater than 6 could be used as an independent risk factor for in-hospital mortality in older adult patients. Although current studies support that the CONUT score has a predictive effect on the prognosis of patients with a variety of diseases, the current findings have different cut-off values (10, 12, 14). Therefore, the predictive role of different CONUT score cut-off values need to be validated in populations with different diseases, and the best cut-off value should be confirmed in multicenter, large-sample, prospective clinical studies in the future.

According to the results of our study, in comparison with NRS-2002, INA, OPNI and GRNI in predicting in-hospital mortality, the CONUT score had the highest AUC value in ROC curve analysis, indicating a better predictive value of the CONUT score. Sze et al. showed that the CONUT score was slightly more discriminating for short-term prognosis than the OPNI and GNRI in patients with chronic heart failure (44). Consistent with our findings, the CONUT score has good prognostic value compared with other simple nutrition-related tools.

This study has several strengths. Our study is the first to the best of our knowledge to investigate the short-term prognostic value of the CONUT score in older adult inpatients. The predictive value of higher CONUT score on admission is an important finding, which enables clinicians to identify older adult patients at risk of death who may benefit from interventions such as early nutritional supplementation more quickly.

This study has several limitations. First, the results of our study cannot explain causality because this was a retrospective study combining two multicenter studies, and the two studies have some heterogeneity. Second, potential factors affecting immune nutritional status, such as acute stress status, cancerrelated inflammation, chronic renal failure, and cirrhosis, were not obtained. Additionally, serum albumin levels as an indicator of nutritional status are unreliable for patients with recent acute stress; excluding older adult patients with acute stress will allow for a more accurate predictive effect of the CONUT score. Third, no information on whether surgery or chemotherapy was performed was available. Finally, we only assessed in-hospital clinical outcomes, so it is difficult to draw any conclusions about long-term prognosis.

In summary, the CONUT score has high prognostic accuracy and has advantages in predicting in-hospital mortality in older adult patients. The findings of this study indicate that high CONUT scores were associated with an increased risk of inhospital mortality, short-term complications, and longer hospital stays in older adult patients. The CONUT score could be used as a simple nutrition-related tool for predicting in-hospital mortality in older adult patients.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## REFERENCES

- Cereda E, Pedrolli C, Klersy C, Bonardi C, Quarleri L, Cappello S, et al. Nutritional status in older persons according to healthcare setting: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence data using MNA(<sup>®</sup>). *Clin Nutr.* (2016) 35:1282–90. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2016.03.008
- van Bokhorst-de M, van der Schueren Guaitoli P, Jansma E, de Vet H. Nutrition screening tools: does one size fit all? A systematic review of screening tools for the hospital setting. *Clin Nutr.* (2014) 33:39– 58. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2013.04.008
- Lim S, Ong K, Chan Y, Loke W, Ferguson M, Daniels L. Malnutrition and its impact on cost of hospitalization, length of stay, readmission and 3-year mortality. *Clin Nutr.* (2012) 31:345–50. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2011. 11.001
- Volkert D, Beck AM, Cederholm T, Cruz-Jentoft A, Goisser S, Hooper L, et al. ESPEN guideline on clinical nutrition and hydration in geriatrics. *Clin Nutr.* (2019) 38:10–47. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2018.05.024
- Zhu M, Cui H, Chen W, Jiang H, Li Z, Dong B, et al. Guidelines for parenteral and enteral nutrition in geriatric patients in China. *Aging Med.* (2020) 3:110–24. doi: 10.1002/agm2.12110
- Cederholm T, Jensen GL, Correia ITD M, Gonzalez MC, Fukushima R, Higashiguchi T, et al. GLIM criteria for the diagnosis of malnutrition - A consensus report from the global clinical nutrition community. *Clin Nutr.* (2019) 38:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2019.02.033
- De Ulíbarri JI, González-Madroño A, de Villar NG, González P, González B, Mancha A, et al. CONUT a tool for controlling nutritional status First validation in a hospital population. *Nutr Hosp.* (2005) 20:38–45.
- Kheirouri S, Alizadeh M. Prognostic potential of the preoperative controlling nutritional status (CONUT) score in predicting survival of patients with cancer: a systematic review. *Adv Nutr.* (2021) 12:234–50. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmaa102
- Niu X, Zhu Z, Bao J. Prognostic significance of pretreatment controlling nutritional status score in urological cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Cell Int.* (2021) 21:126. doi: 10.1186/s12935-021-01813-2
- Sun F, Zhang C, Liu Z, Ai S, Guan W, Liu S. Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) score as a predictive marker for short-term complications following gastrectomy of gastric cancer: a retrospective study. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2021) 21:107. doi: 10.1186/s12876-021-01682-z

## ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

CL and MZ conceptualized, analyzed, and interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript. XY, HC, and ZL collected, and interpreted the clinical data, and revised the manuscript critically for important content. JW interpreted the clinical data, and revised the manuscript critically for important content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript criteria.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the support of members of Geriatric Study Group from Chinese Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition.

- Zhang C, Li X, Cong Z, Zheng C, Luo C, Xie K, et al. Controlling nutritional status is a prognostic factor for patients with lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Palliat Med.* (2021). doi: 10.21037/apm-20-2328
- Kato T, Yaku H, Morimoto T, Inuzuka Y, Tamaki Y, Yamamoto E, et al. Association with controlling nutritional status (CONUT) score and inhospital mortality and infection in acute heart failure. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:3320. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-60404-9
- Yagi T, Oshita Y, Okano I, Kuroda T, Ishikawa K, Nagai T, et al. Controlling nutritional status score predicts postoperative complications after hip fracture surgery. *BMC Geriatr.* (2020) 20:243. doi: 10.1186/s12877-020-01643-3
- Zhou H, Chao W, Cui L, Li M, Zou Y, Yang M. Controlling nutritional status (CONUT) score as immune-nutritional predictor of outcomes in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis. *Clin Nutr.* (2020) 39:2564– 70. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2019.11.018
- Chen L, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung T, Chou M, Iijima K, et al. Asian working group for sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis treatment. J Am Med Dir Assoc. (2020). 21:300–7.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2019.12.012
- Auyeung T, Arai H, Chen L, Woo J. Letter to the editor: Normative data of handgrip strength in 26344 older adults - a pooled dataset from eight cohorts in Asia. J Nutr Health Aging. (2020) 24:125–6. doi: 10.1007/s12603-019-1287-6
- Kondrup J, Allison SP, Elia M, Vellas B, Plauth M. ESPEN guidelines for nutrition screening 2002. *Clin Nutr.* (2003) 22:415-21. doi: 10.1016/S0261-5614(03)00098-0
- Seltzer M, Bastidas J, Cooper D, Engler P, Slocum B, Fletcher H. Instant nutritional assessment. JPEN J Parenteral Enteral Nutr. (1979) 3:157– 9. doi: 10.1177/014860717900300309
- Budzyński J, Tojek K, Czerniak B, Banaszkiewicz Z. Scores of nutritional risk and parameters of nutritional status assessment as predictors of in-hospital mortality and readmissions in the general hospital population. *Clin Nutr.* (2016) 35:1464–71. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2016.03.025
- Onodera T, Goseki N, Kosaki G. Prognostic nutritional index in gastrointestinal surgery of malnourished cancer patients. *Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi*. (1984) 85:1001–5.
- Kang W, Zhu C, Yang X, Yu J, Ma Z, Ye X, et al. Application of the Onodera prognostic nutrition index and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in risk evaluation of postoperative complications in Crohn's disease. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:8481. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09265-3

- Bouillanne O, Morineau G, Dupont C, Coulombel I, Vincent J, Nicolis I, et al. Geriatric nutritional risk index: a new index for evaluating at-risk elderly medical patients. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2005) 82:777–83. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/ 82.4.777
- Abd-El-Gawad W, Abou-Hashem R, El Maraghy M, Amin G. The validity of Geriatric Nutrition Risk Index: simple tool for prediction of nutritional-related complication of hospitalized elderly patients. Comparison with mini nutritional assessment. *Clin Nutr.* (2014) 33:1108–16. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2013.12.005
- 24. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg. (2004) 240:205–13. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae
- Thongprayoon C, Cheungpasitporn W, Chewcharat A, Mao M, Thirunavukkarasu S, Kashani K. Impacts of admission serum albumin levels on short-term and long-term mortality in hospitalized patients. *QJM*. (2020) 113:393–8. doi: 10.1093/qjmed/hcz305
- Akirov A, Masri-Iraqi H, Atamna A, Shimon I. Low albumin levels are associated with mortality risk in hospitalized patients. *Am J Med.* (2017). 130:1465.e1114–65.e19. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2017.07.020
- Cabrerizo S, Cuadras D, Gomez-Busto F, Artaza-Artabe I, Marín-Ciancas F, Malafarina V. Serum albumin and health in older people: Review and meta analysis. *Maturitas*. (2015) 81:17–27. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2015.02.009
- Garwe T, Albrecht R, Stoner J, Mitchell S, Motghare P. Hypoalbuminemia at admission is associated with increased incidence of in-hospital complications in geriatric trauma patients. *Am J Surg.* (2016) 212:109–15. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2015.06.013
- Taverna M, Marie A, Mira J, Guidet B. Specific antioxidant properties of human serum albumin. Ann Intensive Care. (2013) 3:4. doi: 10.1186/2110-5820-3-4
- Zhu M, Wei J, Chen W, Yang X, Cui H, Zhu S. Nutritional risk and nutritional status at admission and discharge among Chinese hospitalized patients: a prospective, nationwide, multicenter study. J Am Coll Nutr. (2017) 36:357– 63. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2017.1304293
- Evans DC, Corkins MR, Malone A, Miller S, Mogensen KM, Guenter P, et al. The use of visceral proteins as nutrition markers: an ASPEN position paper. *Nutr Clin Pract.* (2021) 36:22–8. doi: 10.1002/ncp.10588
- Sittiwet C, Simonen P, Gylling H, Strandberg T. Mortality and cholesterol metabolism in subjects aged 75 years and older: the Helsinki businessmen study. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2020) 68:281–7. doi: 10.1111/jgs.16305
- 33. Weingärtner O, Lütjohann D, Meyer S, Fuhrmann A, Cremers B, Seiler-Mußler S, et al. Low serum lathosterol levels associate with fatal cardiovascular disease and excess all-cause mortality: a prospective cohort study. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2019) 108:1381–5. doi: 10.1007/s00392-019-01474-2
- Weiss A, Beloosesky Y, Schmilovitz-Weiss H, Grossman E, Boaz M. Serum total cholesterol: a mortality predictor in elderly hospitalized patients. *Clin Nutr.* (2013) 32:533–7. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2012.11.012
- 35. Lewington S, Whitlock G, Clarke R, Sherliker P, Emberson J, Halsey J, et al. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from. 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. *Lancet.* (2007) 370:1829–39. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61778-4

- Zhang Z, Pereira SL, Luo M, Matheson EM. Evaluation of blood biomarkers associated with risk of malnutrition in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients*. (2017). 9:829. doi: 10.3390/nu9080829
- Tilvis R, Valvanne J, Strandberg T, Miettinen T. Prognostic significance of serum cholesterol, lathosterol, and sitosterol in old age; a 17-year population study. *Ann Med.* (2011) 43:292–301. doi: 10.3109/07853890.2010.5 46363
- Tojek K, Banaś W, Czerniak B, Kowalczyk G, Szukay B, Korzycka-Wilińska W, et al. Total blood lymphocyte count as a prognostic factor among unselected inpatients. *Adv Med Sci.* (2020) 65:141–8. doi: 10.1016/j.advms.2020. 01.001
- Saito H, Kono Y, Murakami Y, Shishido Y, Kuroda H, Yamamoto M, et al. Prognostic significance of pre- and postoperative lymphocyte counts in patients with gastric cancer. *Dig Surg.* (2019) 36:137–43. doi: 10.1159/000486581
- Acanfora D, Scicchitano P, Carone M, Acanfora C, Piscosquito G, Maestri R, et al. Relative lymphocyte count as an indicator of 3-year mortality in elderly people with severe COPD. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2018) 18:116. doi: 10.1186/s12890-018-0685-6
- Liu D, Huang Y, Li L, Song J, Zhang L, Li W. High neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratios confer poor prognoses in patients with small cell lung cancer. *BMC Cancer.* (2017) 17:882. doi: 10.1186/s12885-017-3893-1
- Sun X, Liu X, Liu J, Chen S, Xu D, Li W, et al. Preoperative neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio plus platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in predicting survival for patients with stage I-II gastric cancer. *Chin J Cancer.* (2016) 35:57. doi: 10.1186/s40880-016-0122-2
- 43. Campian JL, Sarai G, Ye X, Marur S, Grossman SA. Association between severe treatment-related lymphopenia and progression-free survival in patients with newly diagnosed squamous cell head and neck cancer. *Head Neck*. (2014) 36:1747–53. doi: 10.1002/hed.23535
- Sze S, Pellicori P, Zhang J, Weston J, Clark A. The impact of malnutrition on short-term morbidity and mortality in ambulatory patients with heart failure. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2021) 113:695–705. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa311

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Liu, Zhu, Yang, Cui, Li and Wei. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.