

Multidimensional analyses of the effect of exercise on women with depression

A meta-analysis

Lin-Bo Yan, MEd^a, Jing-Zhi Zhang, MEd^a, Qian Zhou, MEd^a, Feng-Lin Peng, PhD^{b,*}

Abstract

Background: The proportion of women is higher than men in depression. This is mainly due to women's physiological regulation is different from men, especially in puberty, menstruation, pregnancy, menopause, among others. Therefore, treating depressive women is still a health challenge. Besides, recent studies of exercise therapy have a more outstanding performance in treating depression, especially in contrast to drug therapy and psychotherapy. Its main advantages are convenience, quickness, no side effects, real-time, and long-term effectiveness.

Objective: The aim of this study was to systematically review the clinical efficacy of exercise on women with depressive symptoms.

Methods: Searching PubMed, The Cochrane Library, and Embase databases to collect randomized controlled trials about exercise in the treatment of depressive women. After literature screening, data extraction, and literature quality evaluation, the metaanalysis of acquirement data was performed with RevMan5.3 software.

Results: A total of 2294 patients were included in 25 different articles totally. Meta-analysis shows that compared with the control group, exercise could relieve female depression (standard mean difference [95% confidence interval, Cl] = -0.64 [-0.89 to -0.39], Z=4.99, P<.001). Subgroup analysis shows that different types of exercise have significant effects in improving depression symptoms. Exercise therapy has better effect on depressive patients induced by physiology or disease than ordinary depressive patients.

Conclusion: Exercise can significantly improve depressive symptoms in women.

Abbreviations: BDI = Beck Depression Inventory, BYP-BC = Bali Yoga Program for Breast Cancer Patients, <math>CES-D = The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale, DMT = dance movement therapy, DSM-IV = the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, EPDS = The Edinburgh postnatal depression scale, HADS = The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, HRR = heart rate reserve, IAYT = integrated approach to yoga therapy, ICD-10 = International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision, MS = Multiple Sclerosis, PSS = The Perceived Stress Scale, RCT = randomized controlled trial, SWEP = study of water exercise during pregnancy, $VO_2R =$ oxygen uptake reserve.

Keywords: depression, exercise, meta-analysis, randomized controlled trial

1. Introduction

Depression is a common disease that manifests as a mental disorder. According to World Health Organization statistics, it is

Editor: Mohammed Nader Shalaby.

Funding: The author(s) received no financial support for this article's and/ or authorship.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

^a Institutional affiliation: Guangxi Normal University, ^b College of Physical Education and Health, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541000, China.

* Correspondence: Feng-Lin Peng, Professor, College of Physical Education and Health, Guangxi Corral University, Guilin, China

(e-mail: pengflin@mailbox.gxnu.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Yan LB, Zhang JZ, Zhou Q, Peng FL. Multidimensional analyses of the effect of exercise on women with depression: A meta-analysis. Medicine 2021;100:33(e26858).

Received: 21 February 2021 / Received in final form: 18 July 2021 / Accepted: 20 July 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000026858

expected that depression will be the top leading cause of the global burden of disease in 2030.^[1] Nearly 800,000 people die from depression every year.^[2] During the onset of depression, the patient showed low mood, loss of interest, and lack of energy. At the same time, it is accompanied by anxiety, sleep disturbance, loss of appetite, self-guilt, inattention, and the depressed symptom that is not a direct effect of a medical condition. Not only psychological, but also social and physiological factors affect depression in different aspects. People with tremendous stress and dysfunction in life are more likely to suffer from depression. However, a series of diseases such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer are also important causes of depression.

At present, the clinical treatments of depression are still focused on psychology and antidepressants. However, neither psychotherapy nor medication can meet the patient's urgent need for recovery. Other factors may interfere with the results during the long treatment period.^[3] Therefore, more and more researchers focus on non-drug treatments and realize that changes in living behavior can help depressive patients recover. Numbers of studies have shown that exercise has a significant impact on depression, but it differs depending on exercise types. A metaanalysis^[4] showed that exercise as a treatment has a moderate to significant effect on depressive patients. Moreover, some researches^[5–10] show that yoga, Qigong, and Tai Chi can improve the symptoms of depression, but the effects of above methods are related to the coach's training level and exercise duration. In most cases, exercise as adjuvant therapy and other interventions (dietary regulation, drug therapy, among others) have a more remarkable effect. However, this is just a comparison between exercise as monotherapy and conventional care. When comparing to psychotherapy or medication, there is almost no difference in effect.

The prevalence of depression in women is higher than that in men, probably related to women's unique menstrual cycle and hormone secretion.^[11,12] For women, depression often occurs around the regular onset of the luteal phase in the menstrual cycle. The inducing factors for women with depression are mainly changes in metabolism, sex, lifestyle, ovarian activity during adolescence, pregnancy, and menopause, accompanied by complex mood disorders.^[13–15] Besides, women are susceptible to breast cancer and ovarian syndrome, which can also cause depression and anxiety.^[16]

The direction of previous meta-analysis researches usually focuses on the classification of exercise. The study by Kvam et al^[4] analyzed the effects of exercise and non-intervention, psychotherapy, and medication by randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of different interventions. Schuch et al^[17] selected different exercise types, such as moderate-intensity, aerobic, and high-intensity exercise. And part of the meta-analysis has subdivided the research objects, based on age, prenatal, postnatal, breast cancer, menopause, and so on. The metaanalysis of Gong et $al^{[18]}$ compared yoga as an intervention treatment with a control group (prenatal care, prenatal exercise, social support, among others), and the results support the efficacy of yoga on depression in pregnant women. Although Nakamura et al^[19] partially confirmed the improvement of physical activity on depression in prenatal and postpartum women, the analysis results observed unexplainable heterogeneity. This may be related to the history of depression or the subject's mental activity. However, there is no summary of exercise for women with special conditions (prenatal, postpartum, menopause, breast cancer, ovarian syndrome, and so on) and depressive women without exceptional circumstances in the database.

Considering both the increasing influence of depression and the lack of knowledge in the database, it is necessary to update the content about the effect of exercises on depression in women. The present meta-analysis objective is to investigate the effect of exercise as a treatment for depression in women. We will examine the positive effect of different exercise and the effects of different types of depression in women (prenatal, postpartum, menopause, breast cancer, among others). We will also assess the effect of exercise on depression in different types of women.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Search

A search of PubMed, The Cochrane Library, and Embass database for RCTs on exercise on depression in women published from 2005 to the present were conducted on October 15, 2020. We also searched to retrieve all potential relevant unpublished reported materials and conference proceedings referred to the topic. We set the search time limit of nearly 15 years and limit the diagnostic criteria to control heterogeneity. Search terms include Depression, Depressive disorder, Exercise, Physical activity, Women, Female, Randomly, Randomized controlled trial,

controlled clinical trial, and all types of exercise (eg, walking, running, aerobic exercise, resistance exercise, strength exercise, yoga, Qigong, Tai Chi).

2.2. Study selection

We selected all RCTs in which the language was English, and the study was exercising intervention on depression in women. There are 2 standards for participants: All adults aged >18 years with a diagnosis of depression as defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV), International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision (ICD-10) criteria or a confirmed depression scale; the participants limited to women. We exclude studies with men or a mixture of men and women and include articles of depression by diseases and physiology. Because depression often occurs in adults, our age limit is ≥ 18 years, and the ages of patients included in the exhaustive articles are 18 to 64 years. Besides, we include at least 2 groups of the articles. The experimental group was given exercise intervention, and the control group was given routine intervention measures like daily activities or lower-intensity exercise. We include documents that meet the above criteria and rule out those that meet the following criteria: intervention subjects are non-exercise conditions, and not only women; non-English articles; no experimental data can be calculated; experimental group is a combined intervention study; repeated research.

2.3. Outcome measures

We selected the following 4 related depression scale surveys: The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D),^[20] Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), The Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS), and The Hospital Anxiety, and Depression Scale (HADS). These are widely used on a mainstream scale, with a wide range of applications.

2.4. Literature retrieval

Two researchers conducted 3 rounds of screening participants obtained from the preliminary search in an independent doubleblind manner. The study did not meet the inclusion criteria or did not meet the quality requirements was excluded. Data extraction is performed using a unified feature table. The primary information includes the name of the first author, time, region, number of subjects, course of the disease, age, the primary intervention measure, evaluation indicators, and follow-up time. The 2 researchers then checked the results with each other. If there is a disagreement, the third researcher will arbitrate.

2.5. Effect estimators' level of evidence

We use the bias risk assessment tool recommended by the *Cochrane Collaboration* to evaluate the research bias risk. There are 7 items: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases. These 7 items are mainly evaluated from 5 aspects: the selection and implementation of the experimental plan, the measurement of the result data, the completion of the subjects, and whether the result report is objective and complete.

2.6. Data analysis

We use RevMan5.3 software for meta-analysis. Because the measurement data are continuous variables, the mean difference is used as the effect indicator (confidence interval is 95%). There is no significant difference in the baseline, and the endpoint after the intervention ($M\pm$ SD) is used as the main effect parameter. When we use the Q test, if P > .10, it shows no significant heterogeneity; in the I^2 test, if $I^2 < 50\%$, it shows no significant heterogeneity. When there is no significant heterogeneity among the studies, the results should be analyzed using the fixed-effects model; for the studies with significant heterogeneity, the results should be analyzed using the authenticity of the analysis results, we select subgroup analysis according to factor classification to explore the source of its heterogeneity.

2.7. Additional information

With regard to ethical reviews, meta-analysis is based on data from published studies that do not involve patients and therefore do not require.

3. Results

3.1. Study selection

We collected 1560 articles through preliminary search and then eliminated duplicate publications. Determining the research content by reading the titles and abstracts, we screened out 284 documents. After reading the complete text, we screened 98 articles based on their experimental design and output indicators. According to the inclusion criteria and quality evaluation, 25 articles were selected for qualitative analysis, and all of which^[21–46] were finally determined, with 2294 participants. We conducted a meta-analysis on these documents. The database search was completed on October 2020. Figure 1 displays the research procedure and the flow of the study selection and inclusion.

3.2. Study characteristics and individual studies' results

Twenty-five studies were included in the qualitative and quantitative sustainability analysis. Their characteristics and main results are displayed in Table 1. For each of the studies



Figure 1. Literature selection flow diagram.

Articles.
from
extraction
ormation

Study	Participants	z	Age	Conditions	Exercise	Duration	Main outcome
Aibar et al, 2019 ^[21]	Outpatients rescuited through e-mail and telephone after contacting 2 associations of post menopausal women	55/52	69.98 (7.83)/ 66.79 (10.14)	1)Pilates 2) maintain daily habits	2 sessions/wk 60 min Mani pilates training (35 min) Cool down 40 min)	12	HADS
Elizabeth 2006 ^[22]	Healthy sedentary postmenopausal women	8/6	56 (4)	1) Aerobic exercise 2) Non-exercise	5 Sessions/wk 5 Sessions/wk 500 min	9	HADS
Razazian et al, 2016 ^[23]	Female patients diagnosed with MS were recruited from the MS center of Hospital	18/18	33.33 (7.40)/33.11 (6.60)	1) Hatha Yoga 2) Non-exercise	30% nnn 3 Sessions/wk 60 min Lutha Vaan	7	BDI
Callaghan et al, 2011 ^[24]	Female inpatients	19/19	55.0 (9.9)/50. 4 (15.2)	1) Treadmill aerobic exercise (referred intensity) 2) Treadmill aerobic exercise (prescribed intensity)	naula ruga 3 Sessions/wk referred intensity, chosen exertion level, was established using the	4	BDI
Chattha et al, 2008 ⁽²⁵⁾	Perimenopausal outpatients recruited through advertisements	54/54	49 (3.6)/48 (4. 00)	1) IAYT 2) easy hordy movements	5 Sessions/wk 60 min	80	PSS
Ho et al, 2017 ^[26]	Breast cancer patients undergoing adjuvant radiotherapy	63/58	$49.1 \pm 7.8/49.8 \pm 8.4$	 DMT DMT Dvadiotherany and standard nursing care 	2 Sessions/wk 90 min	ę	PSS
Chu et al, 2015 ^[27]	Volunteers recruited through flyers and word of mouth from a university and the surrounding community	26/26	27.58 ± 6.12/24.58 ± 5.17	2) Maintain usual level of physical activity	2 Sessions/wk 60 min Pronouna acourt and concerna	ω	PSS, CES-D
Mohammadi et al, 2015 ^[28]	Pregnant women at 26-32 wks pregnancy	36/36	$25.5 \pm 4.6/25.3 \pm 5.2$	1) Low-intensity stretching and breathing practices	1 Tariayana, asara, anu savasana 3 Sessions/wk 60 min	œ	EPDS
Coll et al, 2018 ^[29]	Pregnant women between 16 and 20 wks	192/387	$27.2 \pm 5.5/27.3 \pm 5.5$	The monotone of the tencise	3 Sessions/wk	16	EPDS
Daley et al, 2015 ^[30]	Women who presented with depression within 6 mon of giving	41/38	31.7 ±5.3/29.3 ±5.7	ZJNU IIIREI VERIUUT 1) facilitated exercise 2) usual corre	3 Sessions/wk (wks 1–12) 3–5 Sessions/wk 11 20 min modorata interativ	24	EPDS
Field et al, 2012 ^[31]	Clinically depressed pregnant women recruited from two prenatal	46/46	24.4 ± 4.7/26.0 ±5.6	z, usuar-care 1) Tai chi/yoga	(was ro-z4) ou mini moustate-intensity 1 Session/wk	12	CES-D
Robledo-Colonia et al, 2012 ^[32]	uluasound unluss anniaeu Pregnant women between 16 and 20 wks of gestation,	37/37	$21 \pm 3/21 \pm 3$	 z) walitist 1) aerobic exercise 2) no intervention 	20 IIIII 3 Sessions/wk 60 min 55075% of movimal heart rate	12	CES-D
van der Waerden et al, 2013 ^[33]	Ultrasound scan women recruited through	46/48	$43.06 \pm 8.88/43.87 \pm 7.67$	 A monitorial Aerobic exercise Maitino-list 	Low to moderate intensity	œ	CES-D, PSS
Cho et al, 2012 ^[34]	Advertisements females who were ≥ 18 y of age with a confirmed diagnosis of breast, colorectal, or ovarian cancer	46/56	49.76±8.81/50.53±10.24	2) memory more than 1) aerobic exercise 2) no intervention	3 Sessions/wk 20 min Moderate_intensity	16	CES-D
Lanctot et al, 2016 ^[35]	Breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy	58/43	$51.23 \pm 9.29/50.29 \pm 9.54$	1) BYP-BC 2) waiting period	woustaw misusing 8 Sessions/wk 90 min 2 Prayanamas, shavasanas, and	ω	BDI
Chu et al, 2009 ⁽³⁶⁾	Women with mild to moderate depressive symptoms recruited from a university community	18/18	26.4±7.3/24.6±4.8	1) aerobic exercise 2) stretching exercise	payonocountering and a second a secon	10	BDI
Zkan et al, 2019 ^[37]	Postpartum women who had a spontaneous vaginal delivery in a maternity hospital	34/31	28.90 ± 4.83	 exercise program standard care pratices 	5 Sessions/w 30 Min mild- and medium-level exercises (w/s 1-2) Medium-level exercises (wk 3) severe-level evertices (wk 43)	4	EPDS
Ko et al, 2008 ^[38]	Women recruited froma maternity center	31/30	$34.17 \pm 3.20/34.33 \pm 3.53$	1)Pilate/Yoga/music 2)no intervention	3 Sessions/WK	ę	CES-D
Mutrie et al, 2018 ^[39]	Women with polycystic ovary syndrome	22/24	$30.2 \pm 5.1/28.8 \pm 6.0$	1) intermittent aerobic training	3 Sessions/wk 3 20-50 min	16	HADS
Nanette 2007 ^[40]	Women during appointments at outpatient clinics for chemotherapy or radiotherapy	82/92	51.3 ±10.3/51.8±8.7	2, no demine 1) Aerobic exercise Program 2) usual care	3 Sessions/wk 45 min	12	BDI
							(continued)

4

tudy	Participants	z	Age	Conditions	Exercise	Duration	Main outcome
					Warm-up (5–10 min) Exercise (20 min) Cool-down relaxation		
Amanda 2008 ^[41]	Women with postnatal depression	16/15	≤21	1) Moderate-intensity aerobic exercise	105 min/wk Moderate-intensity	12	EPDS
Satyapriya et al, 2013 ^[42]	Women in wk 12 of gestation	51/45	$26.41 \pm 3.01/24.96 \pm 2.58$	1) SWEP	3 Sessions/wk	16	HADS
					Warm-up, aerobic element exercise, stretching. and relaxation		
3amira 2018 ^[43]	Women between 12th to 20th wks of gestation	23/22	$53.4 \pm 8.6/51.3 \pm 8.7$	1) IAYT	7 Sessions/wk	16	BDI
				2) standard antenatal stretching practices	60 min		
Davis et al, 2016 ^[44]	Women with type 2 diabetes and depression	15/14	$53.3 \pm 6.0/53.6 \pm 8.4$	1) Behavioral activation exercise	2 Sessions/wk	12	BDI
				z) usual vale	Walking, Zumba, Pilates, step aerobics, cardio-kickhowing, and nower Yoga		
(yle 2015 ^[45]	Pregnant women with elevated depression or anxiety symptoms	23/23	$29.74 \pm 5.40/30.57 \pm 4.46$	1) Yoga	1 session/wk	80	EPDS
				2) treatment as usual	75 min		

included, methodological aspects, participants' characteristics, and key results are displayed. Overall, 2294 participants with depression were included.

3.3. Assessment of publication bias

Twenty-five studies mention the use of random allocation schemes, 13 articles detail the hiding methods of specific allocation schemes, 9 articles specify the implementation process of blinding, and 5 article illustrates the implementation of blinding results evaluation. All the 25 articles ensured that the experimental results were all omitted, and there was no selective reporting phenomenon. Both the study quality and risk of bias ratings are displayed in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

3.4. Meta-analysis results

3.4.1. Analysis 1: exercise versus control. Based on 23 studies (2065 participants), we found that reduction in depressive symptoms after treatment showed a significant effect in favor of exercise (Fig. 4), (standard mean difference [SMD] [95% confidence interval, CI] = -0.64 [-0.89 to -0.39], Z=4.99, P < .001); the heterogeneity between studies was significant and high ($I^2 = 85\%$).

3.4.2. Risk of bias across studies. The risk of bias across studies is by means of a funnel plot (Fig. 5). It reveals a low risk of publication bias.

3.5. Subgroup analysis

3.5.1. Exercise versus control. We performed 2 subgroup analyses to compare studies according to the exercise mode and the patient's condition.

In the first subgroup analysis (Fig. 6), we divide the studies into aerobic exercise groups (12 studies, 828 participants) and other exercise groups (11 studies, 1237 participants) according to the exercise mode of the intervention group. We choose random-effect model combination, aerobic group (SMD [95% CI] = -0.66 [-0.99 to -0.32], Z=3.85, P < .001) and other exercise group (SMD [95% CI] =-0.63[-1.03 to -0.23], Z=3.07, P=.002) were both significant. The difference in effect size between the subgroups was not significant (P=.91).

In the second subgroup analysis (Fig. 7), we divide the study into depression group (4 studies, 220 participants) according to the patient's own condition, prenatal/postpartum/menopause group (12 studies, 1312 participants) and other symptoms group (7 studies, 533 participants). We choose random-effect model combination, depression group (SMD [95% CI] = -0.51 [-1.01to -0.00], Z=1.97, P=.05), prenatal/postpartum/menopause group (SMD [95% CI] = -0.67 [-1.07 to -0.28], Z=3.32, P<.001] and other symptoms group (SMD [95% CI] = -0.66[-1.10 to -0.21], Z=2.90, P=.004) all showed a remarkable effect. The difference in effect size between the subgroups was not significant (P=.87).

3.5.2. Analysis 2: exercise versus CES-D. Among the 25 articles we finally included, 5 articles^[27,31,32,33,34,38] reported on CES-D, a total of 475 patients. The results showed that the exercise group's CES-D was significantly lower than the control group (MD [95% CI] = -2.98 [-4.35 to -1.62], Z=4.29, P < .001, $I^2 = 32\%$), and the difference was statistically significant as we showed in Figure 8.



Figure 2. Risk of bias summary: judgments about each risk of bias item for each included trail.

3.5.3. Analysis **3:** exercise versus The Perceived Stress **Scale.** Among the 25 articles, we finally included, 4 articles^[25,26,27,33] reported on The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS),

a total of 375 patients. The results showed that the PSS of the exercise group was significantly lower than the control group (MD [95% CI] =-2.28 [-3.37 to -1.18], Z=4.07, P<.001, $I^2=25\%$), and the difference was statistically significant as we showed in Figure 9.

3.5.4. Analysis 4: exercise versus EPDS. Among the 25 articles, 6 articles^[28,29,30,37,42,46] are reported on EPDS, a total of 865 patients. The results showed that the EPDS of the exercise group was non-significantly than the control group (MD [95% CI] =-1.53 [-3.73 to 0.67], Z=1.37, P=.17, $I^2=91\%$) as we showed in Figure 10.

3.5.5. Analysis 5: exercise versus HADS. Among the 25 articles, we finally included 4 articles^[21,22,40,43] reported on HADS, a total of 266 patients. The results showed that the HADS of the exercise group was significantly lower than the control group (MD [95% CI] = -5.91 [-6.94 to -4.87], Z=11.19, P<.001, $I^2=24\%$), and the difference was statistically significant as we showed in Figure 11.

3.5.6. Analysis 6: exercise versus BDI. Among the 25 articles, 7 articles^[23,24,35,36,41,44,45] reported on BDI, a total of 459 patients. The results showed that the BDI of the exercise group was significantly lower than the control group (MD [95% CI] =-5.80 [-10.58 to -1.02], Z=2.38, P=.02, $I^2=94\%$), and the difference in effect between groups was significant as we showed in Figure 12.

3.5.7. Subgroup analysis 2: exercise versus EPDS. The 6 articles we included have a high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 91\%$), and the source may be related to exercise duration. In the 6 articles, except for the *Memiha 2019* intervention 4 weeks, other articles have been intervention for >8 weeks, so we divide these articles into 2 groups according to the length of the duration for subgroup analysis. There is no heterogeneity among the 5 articles of Group1, and the results have significant differences (MD [95% CI] = -0.59 [-1.18 to -0.00], Z = 1.95, P = .05, $I^2 = 0\%$); Group 2 has only one article (MD [95% CI] = -5.25 [-6.34 to -4.16], Z = 9.45, P < .001) (Fig. 13).

3.5.8. Subgroup analysis 3: exercise versus BDI. The 7 articles we included have highly heterogeneous ($I^2 = 91\%$), and the source may be related to the symptoms on depression in women. Only 2 of the 7 articles (Chu et al, $2009^{[36]}$, Callaghan et al, $2011^{[24]}$) were ordinary depression in women, and the other 5 articles were all suffering from other diseases (multiple sclerosis, breast cancer, primary Sjogren syndrome, diabetes). Therefore, according to whether they have other diseases, they are divided into 2 groups for subgroup analysis. There is high heterogeneity among the 5 articles in Group 1, and the difference in effect was significant (MD [95% CI] = -4.47 [-10.04 to -1.09], Z = 1.58, P = .12, $I^2 = 95\%$). There is no heterogeneity among the articles of Group 2, and the difference in effect was significantly (MD [95% CI] = -8.95 [-13.02 to -4.87], Z = 4.30, P < .001, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Fig. 14).

4. Discussion

The main purpose of our study is to analyze the efficacy of exercise therapy for depression in women. The study showed exercise treatment, as a significant monotherapy, can effectively reduce the symptoms in the treatment of women with depression. Mood states rely on endorphin secretion. Exercise augments





	Exp	eriment	al	0	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Aibar 2019	8.74	4.74	55	16.18	5.03	52	4.7%	-1.51 [-1.94, -1.08]	
Amanda 2008	13.1	5.2	16	14.3	5.4	15	3.8%	-0.22 [-0.93, 0.49]	
Angelo 2012	10	6	37	16	8	37	4.6%	-0.84 [-1.32, -0.36]	
Carolina 2018	4.8	3.7	192	5.4	4.1	387	5.4%	-0.15 [-0.32, 0.02]	
Chu 2009	6.4	4	18	14.6	9.2	18	3.8%	-1.13 [-1.84, -0.42]	
Chu 2015	10.38	7.2	26	12.38	6.76	26	4.4%	-0.28 [-0.83, 0.26]	
Daley 2015	12.02	5.29	41	12.55	5.17	38	4.7%	-0.10 [-0.54, 0.34]	
Dominique 2016	11.15	0.83	58	11.43	0.99	43	4.9%	-0.31 [-0.71, 0.09]	
Elizabeth 2006	5.5	3.8	9	10.33	5.5	8	2.9%	-0.98 [-2.01, 0.04]	
Iris 2018	10.5	4.34	22	16.22	6.59	24	4.1%	-1.00 [-1.62, -0.38]	
Judith 2013	20.44	11.47	46	20.51	11.91	48	4.8%	-0.01 [-0.41, 0.40]	-
Ko 2008	12.42	5.37	31	14.53	6.94	30	4.5%	-0.34 [-0.84, 0.17]	
Kristin 2016	15.1	13.8	15	16.5	7.2	14	3.8%	-0.12 [-0.85, 0.61]	
Kyle 2015	6.35	3.99	20	7.32	5.06	19	4.1%	-0.21 [-0.84, 0.42]	
Maria 2012	10.11	0.047	46	13.95	9.32	56	4.9%	-0.55 [-0.95, -0.15]	
Memiha 2019	7.29	1.67	34	12.54	2.65	31	4.1%	-2.37 [-3.01, -1.72]	
Mohammadi 2015	6.5	5.12	36	6.59	4.63	36	4.7%	-0.02 [-0.48, 0.44]	
Nanette 2007	8.6	6.8	82	11.5	8.6	92	5.1%	-0.37 [-0.67, -0.07]	
Nazanin 2016	5.06	2.92	18	21.33	6.88	18	3.0%	-3.01 [-3.99, -2.03]	
Patrick 2011	18.1	13	19	29.6	13.9	19	4.0%	-0.84 [-1.50, -0.17]	
Samira 2018	15.2	9.7	23	15.7	12.1	22	4.3%	-0.04 [-0.63, 0.54]	
Satyapriya 2013	9.65	1.94	51	14.8	4.5	45	4.7%	-1.51 [-1.96, -1.05]	
Tiffany 2012	23.5	9	46	23.9	11.4	46	4.8%	-0.04 [-0.45, 0.37]	
Total (95% CI)			941			1124	100.0%	-0.64 [-0.89, -0.39]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.30; C	hi² = 14	6.42, d	f = 22 (F	× 0.00 ×	001); I ^z	= 85%		
Test for overall effect:	Z= 4.99	9 (P < 0.1	00001)						-4 -2 U 2 4
									Favours (experimental) Favours (control)
Figure	4. Fore	est plot	of met	a-analys	sis on th	ne effec	t size of e	exercise on standard mea	an difference on depression in women.

endorphin secretion which, in turn, reduces depression levels.^[47] Also exercise may alleviate depression through neuromolecular mechanisms.^[48] For women, depression often occurs during the active ovarian period. Adolescence, menstruation, pregnancy, and menopause are all "window of vulnerability" for depression,^[49] but they may resist this period from a psychological level. As a long-term treatment plan for patients to follow, on the one hand, it effectively alleviates depressive symptoms to patients living discomfort. However, it can prevent psychological stress due to examination. Accordingly, compared with pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy of depression, exercise has similar beneficial effects.

Nevertheless, our analysis 1 shows the exercise has a significant effect on reducing depression in women (P < .001), but there is

still high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 85\%$). We guess that there are 2 reasons for this situation: different types of exercise will have different degrees of effect on depressive patients; the state of the patients may affect the therapeutic effect. We immediately subgroup analysis found that different types of exercise (aerobic exercise, resistance exercise, yoga, among others) have significant effects on depression in women, which is consistent with Kvam et al,^[4] Cramer et al.^[50] However, it is significant for the treatment of depressive patients with physiological conditions or other diseases (breast cancer, multiple sclerosis, primary Sjogren syndrome, and so on). It may be because exercise slightly improves the quality of life or reduce stress for ordinary depressive patients. But exercise can reduce the pain (dysmenor-rhea, chemotherapy, among others) caused by physiological or



Figure 5. Funnel plot of all studies included. Each first sustainability SMD and their belonging SE are plotted. SE = standard error, SMD = standard mean difference.

	Exp	eriment	al	c	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subaroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
3.1.1 Aerobic exercis	e							, ,	
Amanda 2008	13.1	52	16	14.3	54	15	3.8%	-0 22 [-0 93 0 49]	
Angelo 2012	10	6	37	16	8	37	4.6%	-0.84 [-1.32 -0.36]	
Chu 2009	6.4	4	18	14.6	92	18	3.8%	-1 13 [-1 84 -0 42]	
Daley 2015	12 02	5 29	41	12 55	5 17	38	4.7%	-0 10 [-0 54 0 34]	
Elizabeth 2006	5.5	3.8	9	10.33	5.5	8	2.9%	-0.98 [-2.01, 0.04]	
Irie 2018	10.5	1 34	22	16.22	6 5 9	24	1 1%	-1 00 [-1 62 -0 38]	
Judith 2013	20.44	11 47	46	20.51	11 91	48	4.1%		
Maria 2012	10 11	0.047	46	13.95	9.32	56	4.0%	-0.55 [-0.95 -0.15]	
Memiha 2019	7 29	1 67	34	12 54	2.65	31	4.0%	-2 37 [-3 01 -1 72]	
Mohammadi 2015	6.5	5.12	36	6 59	4.63	36	4.1%		
Nanette 2007	8.6	6.8	82	11 5	4.05	92	5 1%	-0.37 [-0.67 -0.07]	
Patrick 2011	18.1	13	10	29.6	13.0	10	4.0%		
Subtotal (95% CI)	10.1	15	406	29.0	13.9	422	4.0 %	-0.64 [-1.30, -0.17]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² -	0 27. CF	ni ² - 56	12 df -	- 11 (P -	- 0 000	 	80%	0.000[0.000, 0.02]	
Test for overall effect:	7 - 3 85	P = 0.0	12, ui -		- 0.0000	51), 1 =	00 /0		
rest for overall effect.	2 - 0.00	/(i = 0.	5001)						
3.1.2 other exercise									
Aibar 2019	8.74	4.74	55	16.18	5.03	52	4.7%	-1.51 [-1.94, -1.08]	
Carolina 2018	4.8	3.7	192	5.4	4.1	387	5.4%	-0.15 [-0.32, 0.02]	-
Chu 2015	10.38	7.2	26	12.38	6.76	26	4.4%	-0.28 [-0.83, 0.26]	
Dominique 2016	11.15	0.83	58	11.43	0.99	43	4.9%	-0.31 [-0.71, 0.09]	
Ko 2008	12.42	5.37	31	14.53	6.94	30	4.5%	-0.34 [-0.84, 0.17]	
Kristin 2016	15.1	13.8	15	16.5	7.2	14	3.8%	-0.12 [-0.85, 0.61]	
Kyle 2015	6.35	3.99	20	7.32	5.06	19	4.1%	-0.21 [-0.84, 0.42]	
Nazanin 2016	5.06	2.92	18	21.33	6.88	18	3.0%	-3.01 [-3.99, -2.03]	
Samira 2018	15.2	9.7	23	15.7	12.1	22	4.3%	-0.04 [-0.63, 0.54]	
Satyapriya 2013	9.65	1.94	51	14.8	4.5	45	4.7%	-1.51 [-1.96, -1.05]	
Tiffany 2012	23.5	9	46	23.9	11.4	46	4.8%	-0.04 [-0.45, 0.37]	•
Subtotal (95% CI)			535			702	48.5%	-0.63 [-1.03, -0.23]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.38; Cł	ni² = 88.	88, df =	= 10 (P 🕯	< 0.000	01); I² =	89%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.07	(P = 0.0	002)						
Total (95% CI)			941			1124	100.0%	-0.64 [-0.89, -0.39]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.30; Cł	ni² = 146	6.42, df	= 22 (P	< 0.000	001); I ²	= 85%	_	-+ + + + +
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.99) (P < 0.0	00001)						-4 -2 0 2 4
Test for subgroup diffe	rences:	Chi ² = 0	, 0.01, df	= 1 (P =	= 0.91).	$I^2 = 0\%$,		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 6. Forest plot of subgroup analysis on the effect size of exercise mode on standard mean difference on depression in women. Cl = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.

Experimental Control Std. Mean Difference Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl	
3.2.1 depression	
Chu 2009 6.4 4 18 14.6 9.2 18 3.8% -1.13 1-1.84 -0.421	
Chu 2015 10.38 7.2 26 12.38 6.76 26 4.4% -0.28 -0.83 0.261	
Judith 2013 20.44 11.47 46 20.51 11.91 48 4.8% -0.01 -0.41, 0.40	
Patrick 2011 18.1 13 19 29.6 13.9 19 4.0% -0.84 [-1.50, -0.17]	
Subtotal (95% Cl) 109 111 17.0% -0.51 [-1.01, -0.00]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.18; Chi ² = 9.51, df = 3 (P = 0.02); l ² = 68%	
Test for overall effect; Z = 1.97 (P = 0.05)	
and an and a second s	
3.2.2 prenatal/postpartum/menopause	
Aibar 2019 8.74 4.74 55 16.18 5.03 52 4.7% -1.51 [-1.94, -1.08]	
Amanda 2008 13.1 5.2 16 14.3 5.4 15 3.8% -0.22 [-0.93, 0.49]	
Angelo 2012 10 6 37 16 8 37 4.6% -0.84 [-1.32, -0.36]	
Carolina 2018 4.8 3.7 192 5.4 4.1 387 5.4% -0.15 [-0.32, 0.02] 🔭	
Daley 2015 12.02 5.29 41 12.55 5.17 38 4.7% -0.10 [-0.54, 0.34]	
Elizabeth 2006 5.5 3.8 9 10.33 5.5 8 2.9% -0.98 [-2.01, 0.04]	
Ko 2008 12.42 5.37 31 14.53 6.94 30 4.5% -0.34 [-0.84, 0.17]	
Kyle 2015 6.35 3.99 20 7.32 5.06 19 4.1% -0.21 [-0.84, 0.42]	
Merniha 2019 7.29 1.67 34 12.54 2.65 31 4.1% -2.37 [-3.01, -1.72]	
Mohammadi 2015 6.5 5.12 36 6.59 4.63 36 4.7% -0.02 [-0.48, 0.44]	
Satyapriya 2013 9.65 1.94 51 14.8 4.5 45 4.7% -1.51 [-1.96, -1.05]	
Tiffany 2012 23.5 9 46 23.9 11.4 46 4.8% -0.04 [-0.45, 0.37]	
Subtotal (95% Cl) 568 744 53.0% -0.67 [-1.07, -0.28]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.42; Chi ² = 103.96, df = 11 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 89%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.32 (P = 0.0009)	
3.2.3 other symptoms	
Dominique 2016 11.15 0.83 58 11.43 0.99 43 4.9% -0.31 [-0.71, 0.09]	
Iris 2018 10.5 4.34 22 16.22 6.59 24 4.1% -1.00 [-1.62, -0.38]	
Kristin 2016 15.1 13.8 15 16.5 7.2 14 3.8% -0.12 [-0.85, 0.61]	
Maria 2012 10.11 0.047 46 13.95 9.32 56 4.9% -0.55 [-0.95, -0.15]	
Nanette 2007 8.6 6.8 82 11.5 8.6 92 5.1% -0.37 [-0.67, -0.07]	
Nazanin 2016 5.06 2.92 18 21.33 6.88 18 3.0% -3.01 [-3.99,-2.03]	
Samira 2018 15.2 9.7 23 15.7 12.1 22 4.3% -0.04 [-0.63, 0.54]	
Subtotal (95% Cl) 264 269 30.0% -0.66 [-1.10, -0.21]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.27; Chi ² = 32.49, df = 6 (P < 0.0001); l ² = 82%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.90 (P = 0.004)	
Total (95% CI) 941 1124 100.0% _0.64 [.0.89_0.39]	
Heterogeneity: Tau? = 0.30: Chi? = 146.42 df = 22 (P < 0.00001): I? = 85%	<u> </u>
Test for overall effect 7 = 4 99 (P < 0 00001)	4
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.28 df = 2 (P = 0.87) l ² = 0% Favours [control of the subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.28 df = 2 (P = 0.87) l ² = 0%	ol]

Figure 7. Forest plot of subgroup analysis on the effect size of patient's own condition on standard mean difference on depression in women. Cl = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.

	Exp	erimen	tal	c	Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	I IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Angelo 2012	10	6	37	16	8	37	17.9%	-6.00 [-9.22, -2.78]	
Chu 2015	10.38	7.2	26	12.38	6.76	26	12.9%	-2.00 [-5.80, 1.80]	
Judith 2013	20.44	11.47	46	20.51	11.91	48	8.3%	-0.07 [-4.80, 4.66]	
Ko 2008	12.42	5.37	31	14.53	6.94	30	19.1%	-2.11 [-5.23, 1.01]	
Maria 2012	10.11	0.047	46	13.95	9.32	56	31.2%	-3.84 [-6.28, -1.40]	
Tiffany 2012	23.5	9	46	23.9	11.4	46	10.6%	-0.40 [-4.60, 3.80]	
Total (95% CI)			232			243	100.0%	-2.98 [-4.35, -1.62]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	7.31, df	= 5 (P =	0.20);	l² = 32%	6				
Test for overall effect:	7 = 4 29	(P < 0)	0001)						-20 -10 0 10 20
i set ist svorall cheot.	- 4.20		0001)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 8. Forest plot of meta-analysis on the effect size of exercise on The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale on depression in women. CI = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.

	Expe	rimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mean D	ifference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	I	IV, Fixe	d, 95% Cl		
Chu 2015	20.73	6.06	26	23.92	6.58	26	10.2%	-3.19 [-6.63, 0.25]			+		
Judith 2013	21.32	7.59	46	21.88	6.76	48	14.2%	-0.56 [-3.47, 2.35]					
Rainbow 2018	18	4.4	63	19.8	4.2	58	51.2%	-1.80 [-3.33, -0.27]		-	-		
Ritu 2008	11.74	6.15	54	15.63	5.61	54	24.4%	-3.89 [-6.11, -1.67]					
Total (95% CI)			189			186	100.0%	-2.28 [-3.37, -1.18]		•			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4	4.01, df =	= 3 (P =	= 0.26)	; I² = 25	%					10	1	10	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.07	(P < 0	.0001)						-20 F	avours [experimental]	Favours [cc	ontroll	20

Figure 9. Forest Plot of meta-analysis on the effect size of exercise on The Perceived Stress Scale on depression in women. Cl = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.

	Expe	erimen	ital	С	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mear	Differen	ce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	1	IV, Ra	ndom, 95	% CI	
Amanda 2008	13.1	5.2	16	14.3	5.4	15	12.7%	-1.20 [-4.94, 2.54]			•	-	
Carolina 2018	4.8	3.7	192	5.4	4.1	387	19.8%	-0.60 [-1.26, 0.06]					
Daley 2015	12.02	5.29	41	12.55	5.17	38	16.5%	-0.53 [-2.84, 1.78]			-		
Kyle 2015	6.35	3.99	20	7.32	5.06	19	15.0%	-0.97 [-3.84, 1.90]			•		
Memiha 2019	7.29	1.67	34	12.54	2.65	31	19.2%	-5.25 [-6.34, -4.16]					
Mohammadi 2015	6.5	5.12	36	6.59	4.63	36	16.7%	-0.09 [-2.34, 2.16]			-		
Total (95% CI)			339			526	100.0%	-1.53 [-3.73, 0.67]					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	6.22; Cł	ni² = 54	1.63, df	= 5 (P •	< 0.000	001); l²	= 91%		H				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.37	(P=0).17)	,					-10 Fa	-5 vours [experiment	0 al] Favoi	5 urs [control]	10

Figure 10. Forest plot of meta-analysis on the effect size of exercise on The Edinburgh postnatal depression scale on depression in women. Cl = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.

	Exp	erimen	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference		Me	an Differenc	e	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV	, Fixed, 95%	СІ	
Aibar 2019	8.74	4.74	55	16.18	5.03	52	31.1%	-7.44 [-9.29, -5.59]					
Elizabeth 2006	5.5	3.8	9	10.33	5.5	8	5.2%	-4.83 [-9.38, -0.28]					
Iris 2018	10.5	4.34	22	16.22	6.59	24	10.5%	-5.72 [-8.92, -2.52]			-		
Satyapriya 49	9.65	1.94	51	14.8	4.5	45	53.2%	-5.15 [-6.57, -3.73]		-	-		
Total (95% CI)			137			129	100.0%	-5.91 [-6.94, -4.87]		•			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	3.95, df	= 3 (P	= 0.27)	; I² = 24	%				20	10		10	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 11.1	9 (P <	0.0000	1)					-20 Fav	ours [experime	ental] Favou	rs [control]	20



	Expe	erimen	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference		Me	an Differer	ice	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, R	andom, 95	5% CI	
Chu 2009	6.4	4	18	14.6	9.2	18	14.8%	-8.20 [-12.83, -3.57]			-		
Dominique 2016	11.15	0.83	58	11.43	0.99	43	17.1%	-0.28 [-0.64, 0.08]			•		
Kristin 2016	15.1	13.8	15	16.5	7.2	14	11.6%	-1.40 [-9.34, 6.54]			-	_	
Nanette	8.6	6.8	82	11.5	8.6	92	16.5%	-2.90 [-5.19, -0.61]		-			
Nazanin 2016	5.06	2.92	18	21.33	6.88	18	15.8%	-16.27 [-19.72, -12.82]	_				
Patrick 2011	18.1	13	19	29.6	13.9	19	11.1%	-11.50 [-20.06, -2.94]			-		
Samira 2018	15.2	9.7	23	15.7	12.1	22	13.1%	-0.50 [-6.92, 5.92]				_	
Total (95% CI)			233			226	100.0%	-5.80 [-10.58, -1.02]					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	34.65; C	Chi² = 1	02.54,	df = 6 (P < 0.0	00001);	l² = 94%	-		10		10	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.38	(P = 0	.02)						-20	-10	U Tava	10 una facetrali	20

Figure 12. Forest plot of meta-analysis on the effect size of exercise on Beck Depression Inventory on depression in women. Cl = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.

	Expe	erimen	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	I	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
2.1.1 New Subgroup										
Amanda 2008	13.1	5.2	16	14.3	5.4	15	1.9%	-1.20 [-4.94, 2.54]		
Carolina 2018	4.8	3.7	192	5.4	4.1	387	61.5%	-0.60 [-1.26, 0.06]		
Daley 2015	12.02	5.29	41	12.55	5.17	38	5.1%	-0.53 [-2.84, 1.78]		
Kyle 2015	6.35	3.99	20	7.32	5.06	19	3.3%	-0.97 [-3.84, 1.90]		
Mohammadi 2015	6.5	5.12	36	6.59	4.63	36	5.3%	-0.09 [-2.34, 2.16]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			305			495	77.1%	-0.59 [-1.18, 0.00]		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0).36, df =	= 4 (P	= 0.99)	; I ² = 0%	, D					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.95	(P = 0	.05)							
2.1.2 EPDS 2										
Memiha 2019	7.29	1.67	34	12.54	2.65	31	22.9%	-5.25 [-6.34, -4.16]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			34			31	22.9%	-5.25 [-6.34, -4.16]		•
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 9.45	(P < 0	.00001)						
Total (95% CI)			339			526	100.0%	-1.66 [-2.18, -1.14]		•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5	54.63, di	f = 5 (F	e < 0.00	0001); l²	= 91%	, D				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.24	(P < 0	.00001)					-10	-5 U 5 10
Test for subgroup diffe	rences:	Chi² =	54.26,	df = 1 (I	> < 0.0	0001),	l ² = 98.2%	6	F	avours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 13. Forest plot of subgroup analysis on the effect size of exercise on The Edinburgh postnatal depression scale on depression in women. Cl = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.

	Experimental			Control			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
2.4.1 BDI 1									
Dominique 2016	11.15	0.83	58	11.43	0.99	43	17.1%	-0.28 [-0.64, 0.08]	•
Kristin 2016	15.1	13.8	15	16.5	7.2	14	11.6%	-1.40 [-9.34, 6.54]	
Nanette 2007	8.6	6.8	82	11.5	8.6	92	16.5%	-2.90 [-5.19, -0.61]	
Nazanin 2016	5.06	2.92	18	21.33	6.88	18	15.8%	-16.27 [-19.72, -12.82]	
Samira 2018	15.2	9.7	23	15.7	12.1	22	13.1%	-0.50 [-6.92, 5.92]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			196			189	74.2%	-4.47 [-10.04, 1.09]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 34.88; Chi ² = 85.78, df = 4 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 95%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.58 (P = 0.12)									
2.4.2 BDI 2									
Chu 2009	6.4	4	18	14.6	9.2	18	14.8%	-8.20 [-12.83, -3.57]	
Patrick 2011	18.1	13	19	29.6	13.9	19	11.1%	-11.50 [-20.06, -2.94]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			37			37	25.8%	-8.95 [-13.02, -4.87]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 0.44, df = 1 (P = 0.51); l ² = 0%									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.30 (P < 0.0001)$									
Total (95% CI)			233			226	100.0%	-5.80 [-10.58, -1.02]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 34.65; Chi ² = 102.54, df = 6 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 94%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.38 (P = 0.02)								-20 -10 0 10 20	
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 1.62, df = 1 (P = 0.20), l ² = 38.1%									

Figure 14. Forest plot of subgroup analysis on the effect size of exercise on The Edinburgh postnatal depression scale on depression in women. CI = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.

disease conditions and indirectly improve depressive patients. The effect of exercise remains to be investigated because we included a small number of RCTs (only 4 articles) in ordinary depressive patients.

In addition, we will classify the different scales included in the articles and analyze them separately to examine the practicality of exercise therapy in more detail. We found that CED-S, HADS, BDI, EPDS statistics show that the treatment effect of exercise in depressive women is more prominent, and EPDS, BDI results are highly heterogeneous. We guess that it is related to the scales'

own evaluation criteria and experimental conditions (exercise duration or research subjects).

We conducted a subgroup analysis of all the articles that included EPDS, and the results show that the degree of improvement in depressive patients is related to the exercise duration. However, due to the limited number of included articles, the findings remain high heterogeneity. We suspect that the heterogeneity and the personal recovery progress of postpartum women about the reasons, the latter may also need evidence. EPDS applies only to postpartum women. The degree of pain during childbirth itself will inevitably affect the posttreatment. But either because of the subjects or intervention itself or scale limitations, the movement of the efficacy of depression in women is still relatively significant.

Finally, we conduct a subgroup analysis of the articles whose indicator is BDI. The results show that although the exercise has some effect, there are specific differences in the symptoms of patients with primary depressive mood and with disease complicated by depressed mood. It is similar to the results of a meta-analysis for breast cancer patients.^[51] Although the final result is that exercise has a slight improvement in the depression of breast cancer patients, the number of references is limited, and the specific effects need to be studied in depth. From the intrinsic quality of the scale, BDI has high sensitivity. It is the final result that may fluctuate as a wide range of data appears. Wang et al^[51] also conducted the meta-analysis on the application of BDI and found that BDI has a certain sensitivity. The questions it raised is too transparent for self-tested people, and it is more suitable for some patients who voluntarily participate or are familiar with their own conditions.

Interestingly, we additionally found that some articles selected PSS to perform stress tests on patients. We also carried out a PSS analysis that showed a significant improvement in exercise for the role of patient pressure. Life stress is one of the risk factors for depression. Nowadays, many people choose to exercise as a decompression project in their free time.

5. Strengths and limitations

Our study included not just ordinary depression in women, but also through its contrast with depression by the disease or physiological problems (pregnancy, postpartum, menopause) caused analyzed and discussed, and provided new ideas for the treatment of depressive by exercise. We also analyzed the therapeutic effect of different types of exercise, and evaluated the effects of different scales on the treatment of depressive patients. At the same time, we also recognize several limitations. If we take all the experiment blindly for depression, it may cause psychological resistance, making it difficult to conduct and affecting the results. The assessment of depression scales is generally an indicator of subjective impressions. We also found that for the RCTs of depressive patients, although randomized, it is difficult to ensure that baseline indicators of each group are similar. This situation will cause high heterogeneity, making it difficult for us to judge the difference in efficacy by comparing endpoints.

6. Conclusions

The existing meta-analysis usually shows that the exercise intervention group reflects a more significant treatment effect than the control group. Therefore, exercise is an effective means to treat the women with depression, regardless of the cause including puberty, pregnancy, postpartum, menopause, or disease. However, due to the lack of research and publication of the highest quality deviation method, it is difficult to explain the findings. For now, the actual effect of exercise therapy in female patients with clinical depression requires further study in the future of more high-quality articles.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Yu-hu Lv, PhD, and Wen-hua Huang, PhD, for proofreading this manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Lin-Bo Yan, Feng-Lin Peng

- Data curation: Lin-Bo Yan, Jing-Zhi Zhang, Qian Zhou, Feng-Lin Peng
- Formal analysis: Lin-Bo Yan, Qian Zhou, Feng-Lin Peng
- Investigation: Lin-Bo Yan, Jing-Zhi Zhang, Qian Zhou, Feng-Lin Peng
- Methodology: Lin-Bo Yan, Jing-Zhi Zhang, Qian Zhou, Feng-Lin Peng
- Project administration: Lin-Bo Yan.
- Resources: Lin-Bo Yan, Qian Zhou, Feng-Lin Peng
- Software: Lin-Bo Yan, Jing-Zhi Zhang, Qian Zhou, Feng-Lin Peng
- Supervision: Lin-Bo Yan, Feng-Lin Peng
- Validation: Lin-Bo Yan, Jing-Zhi Zhang, Qian Zhou, Feng-Lin Peng
- Visualization: Lin-Bo Yan, Jing-Zhi Zhang, Qian Zhou, Feng-Lin Peng
- Writing original draft: Lin-Bo Yan.
- Writing review & editing: Lin-Bo Yan.

References

- [1] Mathers C., Boerma T., Fat D.M. The global Burden of Diesease:2004. update. 2008.
- [2] WHO, 2019. Depression (fact sheet, detail) [WWW Document]. Available at: https://www.who.int/zh/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/de pression.
- [3] Schuch FB, Stubbs B. The role of exercise in preventing and treating depression. Curr Sports Med Rep 2019;18:299–304.
- [4] Kvam S, Kleppe CL, Nordhus IH, Hovland A. Exercise as a treatment for depression: a meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2016;202:67–86.
- [5] Duan-Porter W, Coeytaux RR, McDuffie JR, et al. Evidence map of yoga for depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder. J Phys Act Health 2016;13:281–8.
- [6] Prathikanti S, Rivera R, Cochran A, Tungol JG, Fayazmanesh N, Weinmann E. Treating major depression with yoga: a prospective, randomized, controlled pilot trial. PLoS One 2017;12:e0173869.
- [7] Gallagher A, Kring D, Whitley T. Effects of yoga on anxiety and depression for high risk mothers on hospital bedrest. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2020;38:101079.
- [8] Lee P, Cai S, Lu EY, Ng BFL, Jensen MP, Tsang HWH. Qigong reduces depressive symptoms of Taiwanese elderly with chronic physical illness: a randomized controlled trial. J Altern Complement Med 2020;26:76–8.
- [9] Liu J, Xie H, Liu M, et al. The effects of Tai Chi on heart rate variability in older Chinese individuals with depression. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2018;15:2771.
- [10] Siu KC, Padilla C, Rajaram SS. The interrelationship between balance, Tai Chi and depression in Latino older adults. Aging Clin Exp Res 2017;29:395–401.
- [11] Sassarini DJ. Depression in midlife women. Maturitas 2016;94:149-54.
- [12] Krystal AD. Depression and insomnia in women. Clin Cornerstone 2004;6 suppl 1B:S19–28.
- [13] Ferreira CR, Orsini MC, Vieira CR, do Amarante Paffaro AM, Silva RR. Prevalence of anxiety symptoms and depression in the third gestational trimester. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2015;291:999–1003.
- [14] Reulbach U, Bleich S, Knörr J, et al. Prä-, peri- und postpartale Depressivität [Pre-, peri- and postpartal depression]. Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr 2009;77:708–13.
- [15] Brummelte S, Galea LA. Postpartum depression: etiology, treatment and consequences for maternal care. Horm Behav 2016;77:153–66.
- [16] Kugbey N, Oppong Asante K, Meyer-Weitz A. Depression, anxiety and quality of life among women living with breast cancer in Ghana: mediating roles of social support and religiosity. Support Care Cancer 2020;28:2581–8.
- [17] Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Richards J, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Stubbs B. Exercise as a treatment for depression: A meta-analysis adjusting for publication bias. J Psychiatr Res 2016;77:42–51.
- [18] Gong H, Ni C, Shen X, Wu T, Jiang C. Yoga for prenatal depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Psychiatry 2015;15:14.

- [19] Nakamura A, van der Waerden J, Melchior M, Bolze C, El-Khoury F, Pryor L. Physical activity during pregnancy and postpartum depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2019;246:29–41.
- [20] Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Appl Psychol Measure 1977;1:385–401.
- [21] Aibar-Almazán A, Hita-Contreras F, Cruz-Díaz D, de la Torre-Cruz M, Jiménez-García JD, Martínez-Amat A. Effects of pilates training on sleep quality, anxiety, depression and fatigue in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. Maturitas 2019;124:62–7.
- [22] Asbury EA, Chandrruangphen P, Collins P. The importance of continued exercise participation in quality of life and psychological well-being in previously inactive postmenopausal women: a pilot study. Menopause 2006;13:561–7.
- [23] Razazian N, Yavari Z, Farnia V, et al. Exercising impacts on fatigue, depression, and paresthesia in female patients with multiple sclerosis. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2016;48:796–803.
- [24] Callaghan P, Khalil E, Morres I, Carter T. Pragmatic randomised controlled trial of preferred intensity exercise in women living with depression. BMC Public Health 2011;11:465.
- [25] Chattha R, Raghuram N, Venkatram P, Hongasandra NR. Treating the climacteric symptoms in Indian women with an integrated approach to yoga therapy: a randomized control study. Menopause 2008;15:862–70.
- [26] Ho RTH, Fong TCT, Yip PSF. Perceived stress moderates the effects of a randomized trial of dance movement therapy on diurnal cortisol slopes in breast cancer patients. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2018;87:119–26.
- [27] Chu IH, Lin YJ, Wu WL, Chang YK, Lin IM. Effects of yoga on heart rate variability and mood in women: a randomized controlled trial. J Altern Complement Med 2015;21:789–95.
- [28] Mohammadi F, Malakooti J, Babapoor J, Mohammad-Alizadeh-Charandabi S. The effect of a home-based exercise intervention on postnatal depression and fatigue: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Nurs Pract 2015;21:478–85.
- [29] Coll CVN, Domingues MR, Stein A, et al. Efficacy of regular exercise during pregnancy on the prevention of postpartum depression: The PAMELA Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2: e186861.
- [30] Daley AJ, Blamey RV, Jolly K, et al. A pragmatic randomized controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of a facilitated exercise intervention as a treatment for postnatal depression: the PAM-PeRS trial. Psychol Med 2015;45:2413–25.
- [31] Field T, Diego M, Delgado J, Medina L. Tai chi/yoga reduces prenatal depression, anxiety and sleep disturbances. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2013;19:6–10.
- [32] Robledo-Colonia AF, Sandoval-Restrepo N, Mosquera-Valderrama YF, Escobar-Hurtado C, Ramírez-Vélez R. Aerobic exercise training during pregnancy reduces depressive symptoms in nulliparous women: a randomised trial. J Physiother 2012;58:9–15.
- [33] van der Waerden JE, Hoefnagels C, Hosman CM, Souren PM, Jansen MW. A randomized controlled trial of combined exercise and psychoeducation for low-SES women: short- and long-term outcomes in the reduction of stress and depressive symptoms. Soc Sci Med 2013;91:84–93.
- [34] Cho MH, Dodd MJ, Cooper BA, Miaskowski C. Comparisons of exercise dose and symptom severity between exercisers and nonexercisers in women during and after cancer treatment. J Pain Symptom Manage 2012;43:842–54.

- [35] Lanctôt D, Dupuis G, Marcaurell R, Anestin AS, Bali M. The effects of the Bali Yoga Program (BYP-BC) on reducing psychological symptoms in breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy: results of a randomized, partially blinded, controlled trial. J Complement Integr Med 2016;13: 405–12.
- [36] Chu IH, Buckworth J, Kirby TE, et al. Effect of exercise intensity on depressive symptoms in women[J]. Mental Health Phys Act 2009;2: 37–43.
- [37] Ozkan SA, Kücükkelepce DS, Korkmaz B, et al. The effectiveness of an exercise intervention in reducing the severity of postpartum depression: a randomized controlled trial[J]. Perspect Psychiatr Care 2020;56:844–50.
- [38] Ko YL, yang CL, Chiang LC. Effects of postpartum exercise program on fatigue and depression during "doing-the-month" period. J Nurs Res 2008;16:177–86.
- [39] Lopes IP, Ribeiro VB, Reis RM, et al. Comparison of the Effect of Intermittent and Continuous Aerobic Physical Training on Sexual Function of Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: Randomized Controlled Trial. J Sex Med 2018;15:1609–19.
- [40] Mutrie N, Campbell AM, Whyte F, et al. Benefits of supervised group exercise programme for women being treated for early stage breast cancer: pragmatic randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2007;334:517.
- [41] Daley AJ, Winter H, Grimmett C, et al. Feasibility of an exercise intervention for women with postnatal depression: a pilot randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract 2008;58:178–83.
- [42] Rodríguez-Blanque R, Aguilar-Cordero MJ, Marín-Jiménez AE, Menor-Rodríguez MJ, Montiel-Troya M, Sánchez-García JC. Water exercise and quality of life in pregnancy: a randomised clinical trial. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:128.
- [43] Satyapriya M, Nagarathna R, Padmalatha V, Nagendra HR. Effect of integrated yoga on anxiety, depression & well being in normal pregnancy. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2013;19:230–6.
- [44] Schneider KL, Panza E, Handschin B, et al. Feasibility of pairing behavioral activation with exercise for women with type 2 diabetes and depression: the get it study pilot randomized controlled trial. Behav Ther 2016;47:198–212.
- [45] Davis K, Goodman SH, Leiferman J, Taylor M, Dimidjian S. A randomized controlled trial of yoga for pregnant women with symptoms of depression and anxiety. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2015;21: 166–72.
- [46] Soares CN. Mood disorders in midlife women: understanding the critical window and its clinical implications. Menopause 2014;21:198–206.
- [47] Dinas PC, Koutedakis Y, Flouris AD. Effects of exercise and physical activity on depression. Ir J Med Sci 2011;180:319–25.
- [48] Gujral S, Aizenstein H, Reynolds CF3rd, Butters MA, Erickson KI. Exercise effects on depression: Possible neural mechanisms. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2017;49:2–10.
- [49] Cramer H, Lauche R, Langhorst J, Dobos G. Yoga for depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Depress Anxiety 2013;30: 1068–83.
- [50] Furmaniak AC, Menig M, Markes MH. Exercise for women receiving adjuvant therapy for breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;9: CD005001.
- [51] Wang YP, Gorenstein C. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory-II: a comprehensive review. Braz J Psychiatry 2013;35: 416–31.