



# Computational Network Pharmacology–Based Strategy to Capture Key Functional Components and Decode the Mechanism of Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan-San in Treating Depression

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by: Luigi Menghini, University "G. d'Annunzio" of Chieti-Pescara, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Weiqiang Lu, East China Normal University, China Wei-Guang Li, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Li Gao gaoli87@sxu.edu.cn Aiping Lu aipinglu@hkbu.eduhk Junxiang Peng pjxlaozong@126.com Daogang Guan guandg0929@hotmail.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 23 September 2021 Accepted: 18 October 2021 Published: 12 November 2021

#### Citation:

Wang K, Li K, Chen Y, Wei G, Yu H, Li Y, Meng W, Wang H, Gao L, Lu A, Peng J and Guan D (2021) Computational Network Pharmacology–Based Strategy to Capture Key Functional Components and Decode the Mechanism of Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan-San in Treating Depression. Front. Pharmacol. 12:782060. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.782060 Kexin Wang<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Kai Li<sup>4†</sup>, Yupeng Chen<sup>3†</sup>, Genxia Wei<sup>5†</sup>, Hailang Yu<sup>3</sup>, Yi Li<sup>6</sup>, Wei Meng<sup>3</sup>, Handuo Wang<sup>3</sup>, Li Gao<sup>7</sup>\*, Aiping Lu<sup>2</sup>\*, Junxiang Peng<sup>4</sup>\* and Daogang Guan<sup>3,8</sup>\*

Key Laboratory on Brain Function Repair and Regeneration, Neurosurgery Institute, Department of Neurosurgery, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Integrated Bioinformedicine and Translational Science, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>5</sup>Huiqiao Medical Center, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>6</sup>Department of Radiology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>7</sup>Modern Research Center for Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China, <sup>8</sup>Guangdong Key Laboratory of Single Cell Technology and Application, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) usually plays therapeutic roles on complex diseases in the form of formulas. However, the multicomponent and multitarget characteristics of formulas bring great challenges to the mechanism analysis and secondary development of TCM in treating complex diseases. Modern bioinformatics provides a new opportunity for the optimization of TCM formulas. In this report, a new bioinformatics analysis of a computational network pharmacology model was designed, which takes Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan-San (CHSGS) treatment of depression as the case. In this model, effective intervention space was constructed to depict the core network of the intervention effect transferred from component targets to pathogenic genes based on a novel node importance calculation method. The intervention-response proteins were selected from the effective intervention space, and the core group of functional components (CGFC) was selected based on these intervention-response proteins. Results show that the enriched pathways and GO terms of the common pathways and GO terms that respond to the major functional therapeutic effects. Additionally, 71 components from 1,012 components were predicted as CGFC, the

Abbreviations: CCC, cumulative contribution coefficient; CHSGS, Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan-San; CGFC, core group of functional components; COVID-19, coronavirus disease-2019; C-T, component-target; CTPD, components-targets- pathogenic genesdisease; FBS, fetal bovine serum; GO, gene ontology; hERG, human Ether-à-go-go-Related Gene; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MAOI, monoamine oxidase inhibitor; MTW, maximum targeting weight; NaSSA, noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressants; OB, oral bioavailability; PLL, poly-L-polylysine; PPI, proteinprotein interactions; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; TCM, traditional Chinese medicine; T-P, targets-pathogenic genes.

targets of CGFC enriched in 174 pathways which cover the 86.19% enriched pathways of pathogenic genes. Based on the CGFC, two major mechanism chains were inferred and validated. Finally, the core components in CGFC were evaluated by *in vitro* experiments. These results indicate that the proposed model with good accuracy in screening the CGFC and inferring potential mechanisms in the formula of TCM, which provides reference for the optimization and mechanism analysis of the formula in TCM.

Keywords: Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan-San, depression, network pharmacology model, effect propagation space, intervention-response proteins, contribution index

# INTRODUCTION

Depression belongs to the mental health disorder, which is an emotional disorder that causes persistent sadness and loss of interest, and is the leading cause of worldwide disability (Malhi and Mann, 2018). Previous reports have estimated that one in six people will develop the disorder during their lifetime (Kessler et al., 2005). Many studies show that depression may be associated with genetic, environmental, psychological factors, and environmental factors (Virtanen et al., 2019). Currently, western medicine mainly adopts selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) (Bondar et al., 2020), serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) (Bondar et al., 2020), norepinephrine, noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressants (NaSSA), tricyclic antidepressants, and monoamine oxidase inhibitor (MAOI) for treating depression (Delgado and Moreno, 2000; Narasingam et al., 2017). However, western medicine, as the mainstream drug for treating depression, has a single mechanism of action, which leads to certain side effects and drug resistance. Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), as a new antidepressant, can make up for deficiency of western medicine because of its the multicomponent, multitarget, and multi-mechanism pharmacological mechanism, with relatively small side effects and can be used for a long time (Shi et al., 2019; Zong et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2021).

Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan-San (CHSGS) is comprised of seven botanical drugs and were extracted with water solution: Bupleurum scorzonerifolium Willd. (Bupleuri Radix, Chaihu) (6 g), Citrus reticulata Blanco (Pericarpium Citri Tangerinae, Chenpi) (6 g), Ligusticum striatum DC. (Rhizoma Ligustici Chuanxiong, Chuanxiong) (4.5 g), Cyperus rotundus L. (Rhizoma Cyperi, Xiangfu) (4.5 g), Citrus × aurantium L. (Fructus Aurantii, Zhiqiao) (4.5 g), Paeonia lactiflora Pall. (Radix Paeoniae, Shaoyao) (4.5 g), and Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch. ex DC. (Glycyrrhrizae Radix, Gancao) (1.5 g). CHSGS has been widely applied in treating depression and has achieved remarkable results (Meng et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019a; Huang et al., 2019). Previous pharmacological studies have indicated that CHSGS treatment markedly prevented the ethological changes in the chronic variable stress (CVS)-induced depression rat model, including the open-field test, body weight changes, and sucrose preference test (Su et al., 2011). It has been found that CHSGS treatment can alleviate depression behavior by improving sugar water consumption and the ERK1/2 mRNA

expression in the hippocampus of chronic unpredictable mild stress (CUMS) depression model rats (Wang et al., 2011). In addition, the pharmacological experimental study has found that orally administered CHSGS to depression mice models had higher SOD and catalase CAT activities, lower malondialdehyde MDA values, and higher glutathione GSH levels compared with those of the mice in the model group, suggesting that antioxidant activity of CHSGS should make contributions to its antidepression effect (Li et al., 2010). These experimental pharmacology results showed that CHSGS had evident beneficial effects in treating depression.

TCM usually plays therapeutic roles in the form of formulas in treating complex diseases. The formula has a multicomponent and multitarget mode of action during the therapy process, and these components and targets constitute the all-to-all effect network of TCM formulas in treating diseases. In the treatment procedure, some components in the effect network have auxiliary function, while others have major therapeutic actions, which were defined as the core group of functional components (CGFC). It refers to the components with suitable pharmacological features and closely associated with the effectual response to diseases. Detecting the CGFC that takes fundamental function in treating complex diseases is a big challenge due to the incomplete comprehending of the complex mechanism of multicomponents and multitargets in TCM. Optimizing formulas and obtaining CGFC are the key steps to reduce components with side effects or without activity and analyze the treatment mechanism of Chinese botanical drug formulas. Several network pharmacology-based formula optimization models have been proposed. However, these models mainly focus on the analysis of the component-target network and ignore the construction of the effect propagation space which links the drug targets to the pathogenic genes (Lee et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). Studies showed that the components of Chinese medicine could play pharmacological roles through protein-protein interactions (PPI), which means the therapeutic effect of components in TCM can be transmitted through the PPI network (Chen and Cui, 2017; Gan et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2019b). Thus, it is reasonable to design a strategy to capture the CGFC based on component analysis, target prediction, and effect propagation space construction.

Currently, a new system pharmacology strategy was developed to capture the CGFC and clarify the molecular mechanisms of CHSGS in treating depression. The potential pathogenic genes of depression were extracted by analyzing the literature reports and published

| Interval of literature support number | Number of genes distributed<br>in literature support | Average number of pathways related to each pathogenic gene | Average number of GO terms related to each pathogenic gene |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1–2                                   | 882  | 0.21   | 3.77   |  |  |  |
| 2–3                                   | 191  | 0.92   | 13.46  |  |  |  |
| 3–5                                   | 93   | 1.85   | 26.52  |  |  |  |
| 5–10                                  | 96   | 1.74   | 27.17  |  |  |  |
| 10–15                                 | 25   | 2.16   | 55.64  |  |  |  |
| 15–20                                 | 11   | 9.73   | 78.18  |  |  |  |
| 20–40                                 | 19   | 6.16   | 75.37  |  |  |  |
| Over 40                               | 12   | 2.25   | 69.08  |  |  |  |

TABLE 1 | Analysis of documentary evidence of the pathogenic genes of depression.

databases. All components of CHSGS were obtained from the database and literature and were further screened to obtain the potential active components. Three prediction tools were utilized to predict the targets of these active components. And then, the potential pathogenic genes and active component–target networks were utilized to establish effective intervention space to identify the intervention-response proteins. The intervention-response proteins selected from the effective intervention space were utilized to screen the CGFC by using the cumulative contribution coefficient (CCC) module. The CGFC was utilized to speculate the mechanisms of CHSGS in the therapy of depression.

## METHODS

## Pathogenic Genes Collection and Protein–Protein Interaction Data Integration

Genes related to depression reported in DisGeNET (Pinero et al., 2017), GeneCards (Safran et al., 2010), and OMIM (Amberger et al., 2015) databases were extracted, and the number of published reports was recorded as the number of evidence, which was used to indicate the correlation between a gene and depression. The comprehensive PPI data were downloaded and integrated from Dip (Salwinski et al., 2004), HPRD (Keshava Prasad et al., 2009), Intact (Kerrien et al., 2012), Mint (Licata et al., 2012), BioGRID (Oughtred et al., 2019), and STRING (Szklarczyk et al., 2019), which were used for mapping the pathogenic genes and targets of active components.

## **Collect Chemical Components of CHSGS**

All chemical components of CHSGS were extracted from the TCMSP Database (Ru et al., 2014) (http://lsp.nwsuaf.edu.cn/ tcmsp.php), TCM@Taiwan (Chen, 2011) (http://tcm.cmu.edu. tw/zh-tw), TCMID (Huang et al., 2018b) (http://www. megabionet.org/tcmid/), SymMap (Wu et al., 2019) (https:// www.symmap.org/), and ETCM (Xu et al., 2019) (http://www. nrc.ac.cn:9090/ETCM/index.php/Home/Index/index.html).

The concentration of chemical components of the botanical drugs in CHSGS was extracted from the published reports (**Supplementary Table S2**). The Open Babel toolkit (version 2. 4.1) was employed to convert the chemical structure of components to the canonical SMILES format for further analysis (O'Boyle et al., 2011).

# Select Potential Active Components of CHSGS Based on ADMET Models

Nine ADME models, including Lipinski's rules of five Daina et al., 2017, oral bioavailability (OB (%F)), GI absorption Daina and Zoete, 2016, human Ether-à-go-go-Related Gene (hERG) inhibition, and carcinogenicity evaluation of components were utilized to select the active components from CHSGS. The Lipinski's rules specifically includes molecular weight <500 Da, number of donor hydrogen bonds <5, number of acceptor hydrogen bonds <10, -2 <the log P < 5, and meets only the criteria of 10 or fewer rotatable bonds. Components that met OB  $\geq$  30% were kept as candidate components. The screening criterion of GI absorption was defined as high. The hERG inhibition was calculated by a toxicity model which was proposed in the PreADMET webserver (Lee et al., 2012); components with a high level of hERG inhibition were removed. Here the carcinogenicity of each component in CHSGS was evaluated by the PreADMET webserver (Lee et al., 2012); the components with the negative feature of carcinogenicity were kept for further analysis.

## **Targets Prediction**

Similarity Ensemble Approach (SEA) (Keiser et al., 2007), HitPick (Liu et al., 2013), and SwissTargetPrediction (Daina et al., 2019) were utilized to predict the targets of active components in CHSGS. The Open Babel toolkit (version 2.4.1) was employed to convert canonical SMILES.

## **Network Construction**

The component-target (C-T) networks of CHSGS were established by utilizing Cytoscape software (Version 3.7.0) (Shannon et al., 2003). NetworkAnalyzer (Assenov et al., 2008) was utilized to analysis the topological parameters of networks.

## **Construct the Effective Intervention Space** and Evaluate the Intervention-Response Proteins

Constructing effect intervention space from complex networks acted by TCM can retain highly correlated small molecular targets and pathogenic genes to the greatest extent. We map the component-target network to the PPI network which integrated from Biogrid, String, DIP, HPRD, INTACT, and MINT, and then, map the pathogenic genes with the literature support to the PPI network to establish the component-target-pathogenic gene-disease network. The importance of nodes in the network is the main basis for analyzing the key components of the network.

There are many reported methods for calculating node importance, such as degree, closeness centrality, betweenness centrality, clustering coefficient, neighborhood connectivity, and average shortest path length. Here, we design a new method to characterize the importance of nodes; we defined  $Net_{ctpd} = \{N, E\}$ , where N means nodes which represent components, targets, pathogenic genes, and disease. E means edges which represent component–target–pathogenic gene–disease interactions:

 $IM_i$  means the significance of node *i* in the network; The  $\emptyset$  is the largest distance between two nodes in the network. If a network is disconnected,  $\emptyset$  is the maximum distance among all the connected components.  $g_{jk}$  represent the number of paths between node *j* and *k*.  $g_{jk}(i)$  is the number of paths from node *j* to node *k* and through node *i*.  $d_{jk}(i)$  is the number of shortest paths from node *j* to node *k* and through node *i*.  $d_{jk}(i)$  is the number of shortest paths from node *j* to node *k* and through node *i*; *m* is the number of total shortest paths in the whole network which pass the node *i*; *n* means the total number of nodes in the network. EIS represent the effective intervention space. The nodes in the effective intervention space were identified as intervention-response proteins. And then, we performed functional pathway analysis using intervention-response proteins and depression pathogenic genes to evaluate whether the intervention-response proteins could cover the pathogenic genes of depression at the functional level.

#### **Develop CCC Model to Select CGFC**

The CGFC is hidden in the components of the effective intervention space. We define the network coverage of each component *i* in the effective intervention space  $asw_i$ . The contribution rate of targeted to pathogenic genes  $isv_i$ . The maximum expected network coverage rate of CGFC is R. In these variables, R > 0,  $w_i > 0$ ,  $v_i > 0$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , GCFC is required to be found from *n* components, so that the cumulative contribution rate of targeted to pathogenic genes is the largest. The specific calculation formula is as follows:

$$CCC = \max \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i x_i$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i \le R x_i \in \{0, 1\}, 1 \le i \le n$$

Therapeutic Mechanisms of CHSGS for Depression Set the subproblems of the given question as:

$$CCC_{sub} = \max \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k x_k$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k x_k \le R_{sub} \ x_k \in \{0, 1\}, 1 \le k \le n$$

 $m(i, C_{sub})$  is the optimal solution when the expected network coverage is  $R_{sub}$ , and the optional component is *y*. From the optimal substructure properties, the recursive formula for calculating  $m(i, C_{sub})$  can be established as follows:

$$m(i, R_{sub}) = \begin{cases} \max\{m(i+1, R_{sub}), m(i+1, R_{sub} - w_i) + v_i\} & R_{sub} \ge w_i \\ m(i+1, R_{sub}) & 0 \le R_{sub} < w_i \end{cases}$$
$$m(n, R_{sub}) = \begin{cases} v_n & R_{sub} \ge w_n \\ 0 & 0 \le j < w_n \end{cases}$$

#### Gene Ontology and Pathway Analysis

The clusterProfiler (Yu et al., 2012) package of R software was utilized to perform Gene Ontology (GO) analysis. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000) was employed to perform KEGG pathway enrichment analyses. The *p*-values of GO and KEGG analyses were set at 0.05 as the cut-off criterion. The ggplot2 package was used to create graphs in the R statistical programming language (version 3.4.2). The above results were annotated by Pathview (Luo and Brouwer, 2013) in the R Bioconductor package (https://www.bioconductor.org/).

### Maximum Targeting Weight Model Calculation

We defined G = (V, E) as a weighted directed graph; V and E represents the set of set of proteins and relationships in the integrated pathway. *TG* and *PG* represent the set of target genes and pathogenic genes, respectively. For each protein pairs ( $s \leftrightarrow t$ ), we use the Dijkstra method to calculate the shortest distance between them directly in the integration pathway. The maximum target weight score can be calculated as follows:

$$Score (s \leftrightarrow t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( IM_i + R_i + D_i + R_{avg} + D_{avg} \right) & Note_i \in TG, Note_i \in PG \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( IM_i + R_i + D_i + R_{avg} - D_{avg} \right) & Note_i \notin TG, Note_i \in PG \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( IM_i + R_i + D_i - R_{avg} + D_{avg} \right) & Note_i \in TG, Note_i \notin PG \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( IM_i + R_i + D_i - R_{avg} - D_{avg} \right) & Note_i \notin TG, Note_i \notin PG \end{cases}$$

 $IM_i$ ,  $R_i$ , and  $D_i$  indicate the topological importance, strength of documentary evidence, and strength of regulated by multiple components of node *i*, respectively.  $R_{avg}$  and  $D_{avg}$  means the average strength of documentary evidence of all nodes that represent pathogenic genes and the average strength of nodes that represent target genes which are regulated by multiple components. The  $IM_i$  can be calculated by our proposed methods, the  $R_i$  and  $D_i$  were calculated by  $\frac{NV-\min(PG)}{\max(PG)-\min(PG)}$  and  $\frac{NC-\min(TG)}{\max(TG)-\min(TG)}$ , NV means the evidence number of one node,  $\min(PG)$  and  $\max(PG)$  means the minimum and maximum number of document supports in pathogenic genes. NC represent the number of components that regulates a node,  $\min(TG)$  and  $\max(TG)$  means minimum and maximum number of compounds which could regulate the node.

### Experimental Validation Reagents

Vanillic acid ( $\geq$  98% purity by HPLC) was obtained from Jingzhu Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China), and corticosterone (purity  $\geq$  98%) was purchased from TCI Shanghai (Shanghai, China). RPMI-1640 medium, fetal bovine serum (FBS), 0.25% trypsin, and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Shanghai Sangon Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Poly-L-polylysine (PLL) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, United States). The commercial kit for measuring the lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) was purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China).

### **Cell Culture and Treatment**

The differentiated PC12 cells were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Cells were cultured in an incubator at  $37^{\circ}$ C with an RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS. When the cells reached 80% confluency, the cells were treated with vanillic acid for 3 h; then, the cells were treated with corticosterone (400  $\mu$ M).

### Cell Viability Assay

PC12 cells ( $2 \times 10^4$  per/well) were seeded in 96-well plates, which were coated with PLL (0.01%). After 24 h incubation, PC12 cells were treated with 0.1, 1, 10, 25, 50, and 100  $\mu$ M vanillic acid and corticosterone. MTT was superinduced to a 96-well plate for 4 h; then, the culture supernatant was removed. Finally, DMSO was utilized to dissolve the purple crystals. The plate reader was utilized to detect the absorbance at 570 nm.

### Measurement of LDH Release

The release of LDH was detected by utilizing the assay kits according to specifications.

## **Statistical Analysis**

All data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM. The differences were analyzed by one-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons. Results were considered as statistically significant if the *p*-value was <0.05.

# RESULTS

A new bioinformatics analysis of the network pharmacology model was designed to investigate the core functional components of CHSGS in treating depression and to speculate its potential mechanism of action (**Figure 1**).

# Extraction and Analysis of the Pathogenic Genes of Depression

The process of depression is related to an intricate series of changes in gene expressions and phenotypes. These different

phenotypic changes are accompanied by a large number of gene expression changes, which could be marked as the pathogenic genes both at the diagnosis and intervention level. In order to extract comprehensive pathogenic genes with confirmed evidence of depression, the DisGeNET and OMIM databases were used to collect the genes associated with depression. 1,329 genes and 3,069 documentary evidences have been reserved as pathogenic genes with confirmed evidence for further construction of the effective intervention space (Supplementary Table S1). Most of these pathogenic genes have less documentary evidence, 882 genes have only one documentary evidence (Table 1), and 42 genes have more than 15 documentary supports. In order to test whether genes with more documentary support have more extensive functions, we performed KEGG and GO analyses on all pathogenic genes and found that genes with more literature support are associated with more pathways and GO terms. Genes with more than or equal to 15 and less than 20 literature support have the largest average pathways and GO term association numbers (Table 1). The genes with the top 10 literature reports are SLC6A4, BDNF, APOE, HTR1A, COMT, HTR2A, MAOA, NR3C1, TPH2, and CRH (Figure 2A). These genes are mainly related to depression in single nucleotide polymorphism and expression regulation. Among the top 30 enriched pathways the neuroactive ligand-receiver interaction, dopaminergic synapse, MAPK signaling pathway, and PI3k-akt signaling pathway have been widely reported to be related to depression (Figure 2B).

## **Chemical Analysis of CHSGS**

Chemical analysis exerts pivotal effects for clarifying substance basis and the molecular mechanism of formulas. The concentration of specific chemical components in CHSGS was captured by extracting from the published reports (**Supplementary Table S2**). The concentration of components in botanical drugs from chemical analysis provides a reliable basis for optimizing active components.

# Select Active Components in CHSGS

A total of 1,012 components from seven botanical drugs in CHSGS were extracted from TCM@Taiwan, TCMID, TCMSP, SymMap, and ETCM databases (**Supplementary Table S3**). Previously proposed ADME screening methods were applied to capture potential active components. After ADME screening, 249 active components in CHSGS passed the combined filtering criteria which were integrated by Lipinski's rule, OB, GI, hERG, and carcinogenicity (**Table 2, Supplementary Table S4**).

By analyzing these active components, we found that 29 of them were shared by two or more botanical drugs (**Figure 3**). Kaempferol (CHSGS20) was a common component in CH, XF, SY, and GC. Vanillin (CHSGS26) was another common component in CH, CP, and CX. Naringenin was shared by CH, ZQ, and GC.

Except the shared components, most of the botanical drugs play therapeutic roles through their specific ingredients. *Bupleurum scorzonerifolium* Willd. (CH), *Citrus reticulata* Blanco (CP), *Ligusticum striatum* DC. (CX), *Cyperus rotundus* L. (XF), *Citrus × aurantium* L. (ZQ), *Paeonia lactiflora* Pall. (SY), and *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* Fisch. ex DC. (GC) have 55, 7, 22, 21, 4, 36, and 75 specific active components, respectively. These results





|            |             |           | Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction            |        |                 |
|------------|-------------|-----------|--|--------|-----------------|
|            |             |           | cAMP signaling pathway-                            |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Rap1 signaling pathway                             |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Amphetamine addiction -                            |        |                 |
| Disease    | Gene symbol | #Evidence | Calcium signaling pathway-                         |        |                 |
| Depression | SLC6A4      | 457       | Dopaminergic synapse-                              |        |                 |
| Depression | BDNF        | 285       | Glutamatergic synapse-                             |        |                 |
| Depression | APOE        | 90        | Serotonergic synapse                               |        |                 |
| Depression | HTR1A       | 83        | Cocaine addiction                                  |        |                 |
| Depression | COMT        | 82        | Cholinergic synapse-                               |        |                 |
| Depression | HTR2A       | 68        | Growth hormone synthesis, secretion and action     |        |                 |
| Depression | MAQA        | 67        | Estrogen signaling pathway-                        |        | p.adjust        |
| Depression | NR3C1       | 59        | PI3K-Akt signaling pathway-                        |        |                 |
| Depression | TPH2        | 59        | MAPK signaling pathway                             |        | 2.5e-11         |
| Depression | CRH         | 58        |  |        | 5.0e-11         |
| Depression | C.C.        |           |  |        | 7.50-11         |
|            |             |           | EGER tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance          |        | 7.00-11         |
|            |             |           | Neurotrophin signaling pathway-                    |        | <b>1.0e</b> -10 |
|            |             |           | Human cytomegalovirus infection                    |        |                 |
|            |             | AC        | E-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Hepatitis B-                                       |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Prostate cancer-                                   |        |                 |
|            |             |           | GnRH secretion                                     |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)                |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection -  |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Relaxin signaling pathway-                         |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Chagas disease (American trypanosomiasis)-         |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Ras signaling pathway-                             |        |                 |
|            |             |           | Fluid shear stress and atherosclerosis             |        |                 |
|            |             |           | 0  | 50 100 |                 |

TABLE 2 | The number of components collected in the published databases and active components screened by ADMET models in CHSGS.

| Botanical drugs                          | #Components   | #Active components |  |  |
|--|---------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Bupleurum scorzonerifolium Willd. (CH)   | 354           | 79                 |  |  |
| Citrus reticulata Blanco (CP)            | 74            | 14                 |  |  |
| Ligusticum striatum DC. (CX)             | 193           | 35                 |  |  |
| Cyperus rotundus L. (XF)                 | 104           | 29                 |  |  |
| Citrus × aurantium L. (ZQ)               | 17            | 6                  |  |  |
| Paeonia lactiflora Pall. (SY)            | 166           | 45                 |  |  |
| Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch. ex DC. (GC) | 283           | 82                 |  |  |
| Total (remove duplication)               | 1,191 (1,012) | 290 (249)          |  |  |



show that different components in CHSGS act as a synergistic mode; in this process, the specific components play a leading role.

### Predict Targets of Active Components and Establish the Components-Targets Network

We used three tools to predict the target genes of the active components and got 1,286 predicted target genes. To probe the therapeutic mechanism of CHSGS in treating depression, 249 active components and 1,286 targets (**Supplementary Table S5**) were utilized to establish the C-T network. Most of these active components are associated with multiple targets, resulting in 9822 component-target associations between active components and targets. The average number of targets of per component is 39.39. It indicates the multicomponent and multitarget features of CHSGS for treating of depression. Among these components, vanillic acid (CHGSG4, degree = 258) has the highest number of targets.

Moreover, we previously described that the common components shared by two or more botanical drugs and specific components of certain botanical drugs, kaempferol (CHSGS20), vanillin (CHSGS26), naringenin (CHSGS137), limetin (CHSGS158), L-Menthone (CHSGS35), and patchouli alcohol (CHSGS29) also have higher targets number. The degrees of these components are 78, 95, 36, 61, 16, and 16, respectively. These results suggested that the pivotal roles of these components in treating depression and further confirmed that CHSGS has the effect of multi-targets treatment of depression.

In the component-target network, the mean degree of targets for different components is 7.63. Interestingly, majority of these targets are confirmed related to the pathogenesis of depression and that may indicate potential therapeutic mechanisms of CHSGS on depression. These results indicated that CHSGS act synergistically to treat depression in a multi-component manner.

## Intervention-Response Proteins Selection and Validation From Effective Intervention Space

The process of drug action is a complex process which is responded by series of different proteins or genes. These proteins and genes are regulated by or co-occurred with other proteins or genes in the process of disease occurrence and development, which constitute a complex network related to disease progression. The therapeutic effect could be propagated through the network. Here, we map the pathogenic genes and targets of C-T network to the integrated PPI network to construct the component-target-pathogenic gene-disease (CTPD) network. This complex network contains 2,548 nodes and 41,378 edges. In this huge network, the correlation and transmission of target genes to pathogenic is the fundamental part. Thus, we take genes targets-pathogenic genes (T-P) subnetwork in the CTPD network for further analysis. Importance of nodes in a network is the key topological property that can be used to evaluate the roles of nodes in the network. For each node i in the T-P network, if the important score of a node is more than the median important score of all nodes in the network, such node is believed to play a pivotal role in the network structure and can be treated as a hub node (Liu et al., 2016). Following this rule, the important score of each node in the T-P network was calculated and then compared with the median important score of all nodes in the network; the passed nodes and their edges in the T-P network were kept and defined as effective intervention space. The effective intervention space contains 1,019 nodes and 18,466 edges; each node represents one therapeutic protein, and thus, we identified 1,019 intervention-response proteins from the effective intervention space. To test whether the intervention-response proteins we selected from effective intervention space could cover the pathogenic genes of depression at the functional level, we used all target genes of active components and pathogenic genes of depression to do pathway and GO analysis and found that there are 150 and 1991 common enriched pathways and GO terms, respectively. These enriched pathways and GO terms are the functional basis of CHSGS in treating depression, which we selected for evaluating effective intervention space and intervention-response proteins. The number of interventionresponse proteins enriched pathways and GO terms were 143 (p < 0.05) and 1905 (p < 0.05). The intervention-response proteins enriched pathways and GO terms were found to cover 95.3 and 95.7% of the 150 common pathways and 1991 common GO terms (Figure 4A). These results prove that we proposed effective intervention space based on the novel

importance calculation method of nodes which is reliable. We compare the performance of proposed models by using the coverage of 150 common KEGG enriched pathways and 1991 common GO terms with other widely used methods on the calculation of node importance, including betweenness centrality, closeness centrality, clustering coefficient, degree, and neighborhood connectivity. Results show that our model has the highest coverage both at enriched pathways and GO terms. These results further prove the reliability and accuracy of our novel node importance calculation method.

There are three categories of intervention-response proteins in effective intervention space. The first category is the direct interactions between the component targets and pathogenic genes. We defined this category as the essential common targets. The second category is the interactions of diseasespecific targets. The third category is the interactions of component-specific targets. In order to test whether the effective intervention space can be replaced by essential common targets, disease-specific targets or component-specific targets for further optimization. We performed pathway analysis on essential common targets, disease-specific targets, component-specific targets, respectively. Results show that the coverage proportion of enriched pathways of three categories compared with the enrichment pathways of pathogenic genes is 90.7, 82, and 84.7%, respectively, (Figure 4B). The coverage proportion of enriched GO terms of three categories compared with the enrichment pathways of pathogenic genes is 81.5, 73.3, and 55.9%, respectively, (Figure 4B). Far less than that of the intervention-response proteins, these results confirmed the accuracy and reliability of our proposed effective intervention space and further demonstrated that the intervention-response proteins selected in the effective intervention space play a key role in the pathogenesis of depression.

# CGFC Extracted Form Effective Intervention Space

The CCC module was established to optimize effective components and get the CGFC, which would be used to clarify the molecular mechanism of CHSGS in the therapy of depression. According to the contribution accumulation results, the top eight components including vanillic acid (CHSGS4), DTR (CHSGS163), apocynin (CHSGS109), isovanillic acid (CHSGS79), phenylacetic acid (PAC, CHSGS149), Karenzu DK2 (CHSGS177), benzoic acid (BOX, CHSGS11), and quercetin (CHSGS2) contribute to 53.23% target coverage of effective proteins. For further analysis, 61 components can contribute to 90.08% target coverage of effective proteins, while the target coverage of effective proteins quickly increased to 95.12% after the 71 components were taken in the CCC model. Thus, we selected the 71 components as the CGFC (Figure 5 and Table 3). Higher target coverage of effective proteins proved that the CGFC may play the leading role and generate combination effects in the treatment of depression.

For the analysis of CHSGS in the treatment of depression at the functional level, we performed pathway analysis using CGFC



targets and depression pathogenic genes, respectively. Among them, the number of CGFC target-enriched pathways was 174 (p < 0.05), and the number of pathogenic gene-enriched pathways was 181 (p < 0.05). The CGFC target-enriched pathways were found to cover 86.19% of the pathogenic gene-enriched pathways (Figure 6A). To our surprise, the number of enriched pathways of full target is  $184 \ (p < 0.05)$ , compared to the pathogenic genes, the coverage of enriched pathway on CGFC targets and full targets was 83.7 and 81.5%, respectively. This result indicates that the CGFC selection model could effectively select key targets and remove noise. These major targets of CGFC were frequently involved in the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (hsa04151), MAPK signaling pathway (hsa04010), cAMP signaling pathway (hsa04024), Rap1 signaling pathway (hsa04015), calcium signaling pathway (hsa04020), oxytocin signaling pathway (hsa04921), phospholipase D signaling pathway (hsa04072), sphingolipid signaling pathway (hsa04071), relaxin signaling pathway (hsa04926), thyroid hormone signaling pathway (hsa04919), ErbB signaling pathway (hsa04012), and VEGF signaling

pathway (hsa04370), etc. (**Figure 6B**). Among these pathways, the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (hsa04151), MAPK signaling pathway (hsa04010), and cAMP signaling pathway (hsa04024) were widely reported to be related to the onset and treatment of depression. These results demonstrate that CHSGS can exert a therapeutic role in the treatment of depression through cooperation of multi-signaling pathways.

# Potential Mechanisms Analysis of CGFC Treats Depression

In order to further clarify the potential mechanism of CGFCmediated CHSGS in treating depression, we compared and analyzed the pathways enriched by CGFC targets and pathogenic genes and found that 17 of the top 30 pathways were overlapped (**Figure 7**), and among these 17 pathways, the cAMP signaling pathway (HSA 04024), dopaminergic synapse (HSA 04728), PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (HSA 04151), and MAPK signaling pathway (HSA 04010) are widely reported to be related to the pathogenesis and treatment of depression. For



exploring the mechanism of CHSGS in treating depression at the system level, we constructed a comprehensive signaling pathway using these four important molecular pathways (**Supplementary Figure S1**).

In this comprehensive pathway, we use the maximum targeting weight (MTW) model to calculate the cascade pathways, which indicate drug enter cells through extracellular receptors to cause a cascade effect of downstream genes. 13 Cascade pathways with scores greater than 0.7 were retained for further analysis (**Table 4**).

These cascade pathways are integrated, two main cascade targeting modules can be obtained (Figure 8). The first module controls the downstream GRIA1, GRIN2A, GSK3A, CREB3, BDNF, FOS, ATF2, MAPK8, MAPK14, JUND, RHOA, and other genes to treat depression through ADCYAP1R1--GNAS--ADCY1-Camp--PRKACA/RAPGEF3 cascade reactions. In this module, ADCYAP1R1, PRKACA, MAPK8, MAPK14, GRIA1, and FOS are both targeted genes of CGFC and pathogenic genes of depression; RHOA is a specific targeted gene of CGFC, and most of these genes are related to the pathogenesis or treatment of depression. The second module control downstream FOS to treat depression by targeting the DRD1/DRD5--GNAQ--PLCB1--DAG--PRKCA cascade reaction. In this module, DRD1, DRD5, PRKCA, and FOS are both pathogenic genes and targeted genes of CGFC. Most of these targeted genes are related to the pathogenesis or treatment of depression. The above results show that the components in CGFC play a therapeutic role in treating depression through a synergistic way.

## **Experimental Evaluation of Important CGFC**

To validate the accuracy and reliability of our model, the important CGFC predicted were experimentally validated in PC12 cells. The effect of vanillic acid was evaluated in PC12 cells. The results showed that 0.1, 1, 10, 25, and 50  $\mu$ M vanillic acid had almost no effects to PC12 cells (**Figure 9A**). MTT results showed that vanillic acid (10 and 25  $\mu$ M) markedly increased the cell viability as compared with that of the corticosterone group (**Figure 9B**). LDH was utilized to evaluate the damage and toxicity of cells. As shown in **Figure 9C**, the level of LDH release was markedly increased after corticosterone treatment, and vanillic acid (10 and 25  $\mu$ M) significantly decreased the level

of LDH, which suggested that vanillic acid possesses a protective effect against corticosterone-induced neurotoxicity in PC12 cells by reducing LDH release.

Previous pharmacological studies have shown that vanillic acid treatment could block oxidative damage in PC12 cells and exert the effect of neuroprotective (Kim et al., 2007). It has been reported that vanillic acid could improve the nervous behavior of the depressed model mice and raise the content of 5-HT in the mouse plasma, which may affect the metabolization of the 5-HT loop and the activities in the hippocampus, amygdala and other brain areas to prevent depression (Wang et al., 2013). The above experimental results suggested that vanillic acid may possess obvious beneficial effects in the treatment of depression.

## DISCUSSION

TCM plays therapeutic roles in treating complex diseases with the characteristics of multi components and multi targets. These components and targets form a complex intervention network. How to find the most effective intervention relationship in this intervention network and find CGFC is the key to understand the material basis and molecular mechanism of TCM and is also the basis for the secondary development of TCM. At present, the main purpose of formulas optimizing based on network pharmacology is to improve the curative effect of the formula and reduce the nonpharmacological factors. According to the principle of compatibility of TCM, each formula consists of several botanical drugs, each of which contains hundreds of chemical components. Whether botanical drugs or ingredients in the formula are necessary, especially when treating a specific disease, still needs analysis and verification. Through compound optimization, these botanical drugs and components with a better intervention effect can be screened out, while those botanical drugs and components with the antagonistic effect and even side effects are removed, making the compound simpler and more effective (Wang et al., 2020a; Wang et al., 2020b; Gao et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021).

In order to better optimize the classical formulas with clinical efficacy, network pharmacology methods and bioinformatics

#### TABLE 3 | The information of CGFC in CHSGS.

| ID       | molecule_name   | MW     | Hdon | Hacc | RBN      | logP        | OB (%)   |
|----------|---|--------|------|------|----------|-------------|----------|
| CHSGS2   | quercetin   | 302 24 | 5    | 7    | 1        | 1 07        | 46 43    |
| CHSGS3   | 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid   | 138.12 | 2    | 3    | 1        | 1.58        | 30.15    |
| CHSGS4   | vanillic acid   | 168.15 | 2    | 4    | 2        | 1.7         | 35.47    |
| CHSGS5   | Nonanal   | 142.24 | 0    | 1    | 7        | 3.81        | 40.28    |
| CHSGS11  | benzoic acid  | 122.12 | 0    | 2    | 1        | 1.72        | 31.55    |
| CHSGS13  | Jaranol   | 314.29 | 2    | 6    | 3        | 2.8         | 50.83    |
| CHSGS17  | isorhamnetin  | 316.26 | 4    | 7    | 2        | 1.31        | 49.6     |
| CHSGS18  | formononetin  | 268.26 | 1    | 4    | 2        | 3.01        | 69.67    |
| CHSGS19  | Calycosin   | 284.26 | 2    | 5    | 2        | 2.82        | 47.75    |
| CHSGS20  | kaempferol  | 286.24 | 4    | 6    | 1        | 1.23        | 41.88    |
| CHSGS26  | vanillin  | 152.15 | 1    | 3    | 2        | 1.31        | 52       |
| CHSGS51  | Polyhydric alcohols   | 92.09  | 3    | 3    | 2        | -1.93       | 72.87    |
| CHSGS52  | Indole-3-carboxylic acid  | 161.16 | 2    | 2    | 1        | 1.79        | 33.86    |
| CHSGS54  | adenine   | 135.13 | 3    | 4    | 0        | -0.38       | 62.81    |
| CHSGS56  | salicylic acid  | 138.12 | 2    | 3    | 1        | 1.96        | 32.13    |
| CHSGS60  | Dibutylphenol   | 206.32 | 1    | 1    | 2        | 4.9         | 38.9     |
| CHSGS63  | Methylgallate   | 184.15 | 3    | 5    | 2        | 1.01        | 30.91    |
| CHSGS67  | albiflorin_qt   | 318.32 | 2    | 6    | 4        | 0.53        | 66.64    |
| CHSGS68  | (3R,3aR,6S,7aR)-6-hydroxy-3,6-dimethyl-3a,4,7,7a-tetrahydro-3H-benzofuran-2,5-dione | 198.22 | 1    | 4    | 0        | 0.02        | 104.94   |
| CHSGS76  | scoparone   | 206.19 | 0    | 4    | 2        | 1.91        | 74.75    |
| CHSGS78  | hexanoic acid   | 116.16 | 1    | 2    | 4        | 1.88        | 73.08    |
| CHSGS79  | Isovanillic acid  | 168.15 | 2    | 4    | 2        | 1.81        | 39.42    |
| CHSGS81  | Valerophenone   | 162.23 | 0    | 1    | 4        | 2.94        | 42.58    |
| CHSGS82  | Isobutyrophenone  | 148.2  | 0    | 1    | 2        | 2.54        | 80.37    |
| CHSGS85  | Perlolyrine   | 264.28 | 2    | 3    | 2        | 2.66        | 65.95    |
| CHSGS86  | senkyunolide-C  | 204.22 | 1    | 3    | 2        | 3.49        | 46.8     |
| CHSGS87  | senkyunolide-E  | 204.22 | 1    | 3    | 2        | 1.93        | 34.4     |
| CHSGS89  | 1-Acetyl-beta-carboline   | 210.23 | 1    | 2    | 1        | 2.53        | 67.12    |
| CHSGS93  | WLN: 2VR  | 134.18 | 0    | 1    | 2        | 2.15        | 60.17    |
| CHSGS96  | 3-cyclohexen-1-ol   | 98.14  | 1    | 1    | 0        | 0.96        | 70.57    |
| CHSGS97  | 4,7-Dihydroxy-3-butylphthalide  | 222.24 | 2    | 4    | 3        | 2.69        | 106.09   |
| CHSGS109 | Apocynin  | 166.17 | 1    | 3    | 2        | 1.62        | 31.71    |
| CHSGS114 | Chryseriol  | 300.26 | 3    | 6    | 2        | 2.53        | 35.85    |
| CHSGS116 | m-Methylacetophenone  | 134.18 | 0    | 1    | 1        | 2.08        | 40.63    |
| CHSGS118 | Lupiwighteone   | 338.35 | 3    | 5    | 3        | 3.23        | 51.64    |
| CHSGS119 | 7-Methoxy-2-methyl isoflavone   | 266.29 | 0    | 3    | 2        | 3.48        | 42.56    |
| CHSGS121 | Visnagin  | 230.22 | 0    | 4    | 1        | 1.92        | 44.25    |
| CHSGS128 | Isodalbergin  | 268.26 | 1    | 4    | 2        | 3.76        | 35.45    |
| CHSGS130 | Khell   | 260.24 | 0    | 5    | 2        | 1.78        | 33.19    |
| CHSGS134 | Hyndarin  | 355.43 | 0    | 5    | 4        | 3.09        | 73.94    |
| CHSGS141 | Nonenoic acid   | 156.22 | 1    | 2    | 6        | 3.53        | 65.17    |
| CHSGS143 | 3,5,6,7-tetramethoxy-2-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)chromone                             | 432.42 | 0    | 9    | 8        | 2.59        | 31.97    |
| CHSGS145 | Ayapanin  | 176.17 | 0    | 3    | 1        | 2.06        | 41.55    |
| CHSGS146 | 8-NONENOIC ACID   | 156.22 | 1    | 2    | 7        | 2.84        | 52.31    |
| CHSGS149 | Phenylacetic acid   | 136.15 | 1    | 2    | 2        | 1.72        | 72.35    |
| CHSGS151 | cis-2-Undecenal   | 168.28 | 0    | 1    | 8        | 4.93        | 47.07    |
| CHSGS154 | Ethyl protocatechuate   | 182.17 | 2    | 4    | 3        | 1.83        | 35.77    |
| CHSGS160 | (E)-non-2-en-4-one  | 140.22 | 0    | 1    | 5        | 2.98        | 37.78    |
| CHSGS161 | Cumic acid  | 164.2  | 1    | 2    | 2        | 2.86        | 45.78    |
| CHSGS163 | (2R)-2-amino-3-(1H-indol-3-yl)propionic acid  | 204.23 | 4    | 3    | 3        | -1.1        | 75.63    |
| CHSGS164 | Veratryl alcohol  | 168.19 | 1    | 3    | 3        | 1.27        | 71.49    |
| CHSGS171 | (E)-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-3-(2,2-dimethylchromen-6-yl)prop-2-en-1-one             | 322.35 | 2    | 4    | 3        | 4.46        | 39.62    |
| CHSGS177 | Karenzu DK2   | 224.25 | 0    | 2    | 4        | 3.14        | 62.26    |
| CHSGS181 | Gancaonin B   | 368.38 | 3    | 6    | 4        | 3.14        | 48.79    |
| CHSGS184 | 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-6-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)chromone                | 354.35 | 4    | 6    | 3        | 2.99        | 44.15    |
| CHSGS201 | (-)-Medicocarpin  | 432.42 | 4    | 9    | 4        | 1.26        | 40.99    |
| CHSGS206 | 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-7-methoxychromen-4-one  | 268.26 | 1    | 4    | 2        | 2.92        | 38.37    |
| CHSGS207 | 1-Methoxyphaseollidin   | 354.4  | 2    | 5    | 3        | 3.66        | 69.98    |
| CHSGS208 | Quercetin der.  | 330.29 | 3    | 7    | 3        | 2.55        | 46.45    |
| CHSGS209 | (Z)-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one                                 | 240.25 | 2    | 3    | 3        | 3.3         | 73.18    |
| CHSGS211 | 3'-Methoxyglabridin   | 354.4  | 2    | 5    | 2        | 3.76        | 46.16    |
| CHSGS223 | Glycyrrhiza flavonol A  | 370.35 | 4    | 7    | 1        | 2.18        | 41.28    |
| CHSGS226 | Phaseol   | 336.34 | 2    | 5    | 2        | 4.59        | 78.77    |
| CHSGS227 | Mipax   | 194.18 | 0    | 4    | 4        | 1.96        | 57.4     |
|          |   |        |      | (C   | ontinued | on followiı | ng page) |

#### TABLE 3 | (Continued) The information of CGFC in CHSGS.

| ID       | molecule_name  | MW     | Hdon | Hacc | RBN | logP | OB (%) |
|----------|--|--------|------|------|-----|------|--------|
| CHSGS228 | 5,7-dihydroxy-2-(3-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)chroman-4-one | 302.28 | 3    | 6    | 2   | 2.52 | 47.74  |
| CHSGS234 | Citromitin   | 404.41 | 0    | 8    | 7   | 2.61 | 86.9   |
| CHSGS237 | nobiletin  | 402.39 | 0    | 8    | 7   | 2.61 | 61.67  |
| CHSGS238 | 7-Demethylsuberosin                                      | 230.26 | 1    | 3    | 2   | 3.41 | 41.19  |
| CHSGS241 | N-Methyltyramine   | 151.21 | 2    | 2    | 3   | 0.48 | 75.52  |
| CHSGS245 | Nerylacetone   | 194.31 | 0    | 1    | 6   | 4.59 | 45.53  |
| CHSGS247 | Cubebin  | 356.37 | 1    | 6    | 4   | 2.54 | 57.13  |



algorithms are used to screen the key corresponding relationships from component targets to pathogenic genes. In this process, we established a new node importance calculation method. Based on this method, we constructed the effective intervention space and traced the CGFC from the effective intervention space, then inferred the possible mechanism using the maximum target weight model based on the CGFC. It provides methodological reference for the secondary development of TCM and the development of new drugs.

At present, how to optimize and obtain the CGFC and analyze their mechanism of action is the basis for quantification of TCM. TCM emphasizes a holistic and systematic view and regards the integrated treatment of different botanical drugs and ingredients as a coordinated whole. Network pharmacology has the characteristics of systematisms and integrity and conforms to the core theory of TCM. Network pharmacology emphasizes multitarget regulation of signal pathways to improve drug efficacy and reduce toxic and side effects. Network pharmacology is widely used to speculate the potential mechanism in treating complex diseases in TCM (Wang et al., 2021). For example, to determine the potential mechanism of the formula in TCM for treating complex diseases and infer the mechanism of "treating the same disease with different methods" and "treating different diseases with the same method," but there are few reports on optimization research of TCM based on network pharmacology. To address this issue, we proposed a novel bioinformatics analysis of the network pharmacology model to obtain the CGFC of CHSGS in the treatment of depression and analyze the potential mechanism of CGFC, which were verified by published literature reports. Our method has several advantages:

In the process of analyzing the therapeutic mechanism, network pharmacology formed a fixed analysis rule. In this rule, the first step is to collect the components of botanical drugs, do ADME/T screening for selecting the active components, then predict targets and infer the molecular mechanism. The flow chart really solves the molecular



FIGURE 7 | Enriched pathways of CGFC targets and pathogenic genes. The red part represents the shared pathways of CGFC targets and pathogenic genes.

| TABLE 4   Cascade pathways predicted by the MTW model. |       |  |  |  |
|--|-------|--|--|--|
| Potential mechanism chain                              | Score |  |  |  |
| ADCY1cAMPPRKACARHOA                                    | 0.962 |  |  |  |
| ADCY1cAMPPRKACAGRIA1                                   | 0.939 |  |  |  |
| ADCY1cAMPPRKACAGRIN2A                                  | 0.916 |  |  |  |
| DRD1GNAQPLCB1DAGPRKCAFOS                               | 0.867 |  |  |  |
| ADCY1cAMPPRKACAGRIA1GSK3A                              | 0.801 |  |  |  |
| DRD5GNAQPLCB1DAGPRKCAFOS                               | 0.793 |  |  |  |
| ADCY1cAMPPRKACACREB3BDNF                               | 0.790 |  |  |  |
| ADCY1cAMPPRKACACREB3FOS                                | 0.754 |  |  |  |
| ADCYAP1R1GNASADCY1cAMPPRKACARHOA                       | 0.735 |  |  |  |
| ADCYAP1R1GNASADCY1cAMPPRKACAGRIA1                      | 0.720 |  |  |  |
| ADCY1cAMPPRKACAMAPK14ATF2                              | 0.710 |  |  |  |
| ADCY1cAMPRAPGEF3MAPK8JUND                              | 0.710 |  |  |  |
| ADCYAP1R1GNASADCY1cAMPPRKACAGRIN2A                     | 0.705 |  |  |  |

mechanism of some formulas for treating complex diseases in TCM, such as decoding the synergistic mechanism of zhi-zhu wan for functional dyspepsia (Wang et al., 2018), analyzing the underlying mechanism of Kaixin powder in treating Alzheimer's disease (Luo et al., 2020), investigating the mechanism of Oryeong-san formula for the treatment of hypertension (Kim et al., 2019). However, there are also exist two problems. One is the redundancy and interference of component target networks.

The other is that most network pharmacological analysis ignores that the intervention effect of components is a cascade transmission process, specifically refers to the transmission of the intervention effect from target genes to pathogenic genes. In order to solve these two problems, we have adopted some new strategies. The first strategy is to construct a new node importance calculation method. Comparison results show that our proposed node importance calculation method has better performance on function coverage than several commonly used node importance calculation methods. Another strategy is that we consider that the intervention effect of component targets can be transmitted to pathogenic genes through the PPI network, and based on this, we construct a complex network of components-targets-pathogenic genes-diseases, and then, use our proposed node importance calculation method to obtain the relatively important partial relationship between targets and pathogenic genes to construct and verify the effective intervention space. We found that the ratio of the EIS gene-enriched pathways and GO terms to the reference both reached above 95%, which also confirmed the reliability and accuracy of the constructed EIS. In order to further verify the EIS, we divide the relationships in the EIS into three categories. The first category is the direct interactions linking the component targets to pathogenic genes and was defined as the interactions of essential common targets. The interactions of





pathogenic genes were defined as the second category. The interactions of component-specific targets belong to the third category in order to test whether the effective intervention space can be replaced by interactions of essential common targets, the interactions of pathogenic genes or the interactions of componentspecific targets. In the functional pathways and GO terms enrichment analysis, we found that the genes in the effective intervention space had the highest coverage proportion compared to the enrichment pathways of pathogenic genes. This confirmed once again the accuracy and reliability of our proposed EIS and further demonstrated that the intervention-response proteins selected in the EIS play a key role in the pathogenesis of depression.

We used a dynamic programming algorithm to infer the CGFC from the genes in the effective intervention space and made functional analysis and verification. It was found that the target genes of the CGFC were enriched to 174 pathways, with 156 pathways coinciding with the enriched pathways of pathogenic genes, accounting for 86.19%, while the enriched pathways of the whole CHSGS target genes only have 150 pathways coinciding with the pathogenic genes, accounting for

82.87% of the pathogenic gene enrichment pathways. This result indicates that after optimization, invalid or weak effect relationships were removed, and the functional coverage rate was improved. It also shows the reliability of our effective intervention space and the strategy of selection of CGFC.

The CGFC contains 71 components and is extracted from the effective intervention space; after that the enrichment pathway of these component target genes is combined for decoding the potential mechanism. To address this issue, we design a maximum target weight model to make mechanism speculation. The core idea of the model is to ensure that drugs enter cells from outside and target as many pathogenic genes as possible with the lowest cost. We predicted 13 functional cascade chains and after summarizing these cascade chains. We found that they were mainly concentrated in two major cascade signal modules. The first module controls the downstream GRIA1, GRIN2A, GSK3A, CREB3, BDNF, FOS, ATF2, MAPK8, JUND and other genes to treat depression through the cascade reaction of ADCYAP1--ADCYAP1R1--GNAS--ADCY1--cAMP--PRKACA. The second module is target to the DRD1/5-GNAQ-PLC B1-DAG-PRKCA cascade signal to control the downstream FOS to treat depression. Both modules start from typical receptors and regulate downstream genes through protein kinase A or C to treat depression after cascade signal changes. Particularly, ADCYAP1R1 encodes the type I adenylate cyclase activated polypeptide receptor, which is a member of g protein coupled receptor (GPCRs). The receptor mediates various biological actions of adenylate cyclase-activated polypeptide 1 (ADCYAP1). ADCYAP1 is the main regulator of central and peripheral stress responses needed to restore and maintain internal balance (Mustafa, 2013). It can stimulate adenylate cyclase which is encoded by ADCY1 and increase cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) levels (Fimia and Sassone-Corsi, 2001; Mustafa, 2013); cAMP regulates pivotal physiologic processes including metabolism, secretion, calcium homeostasis, muscle contraction, cell fate, and gene transcription. cAMP acts directly on protein kinase A (PRKACA), PRKACA modulates, via phosphorylation, a number of cellular substrates, including transcription factors, ion channels, transporters, exchangers, intracellular Ca2+ -handling proteins, and the contractile machinery (Lizcano et al., 2000; Voglis and Tavernarakis, 2006; Gerlo et al., 2011). Dopamine (DA) is an important and prototypical slow neurotransmitter in the mammalian brain, where it controls a variety of functions including locomotor activity, motivation and reward, learning and memory, and endocrine regulation. Dopamine D1 and D5 receptors (DRD1 and DRD5) are typical G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) mainly expressed in the neurogenic area, with high constitutive activity and belong to the D1-like receptors (D1Rs), D1 and D5 receptors, both positively coupled to adenylyl cyclase and cAMP production, D1-like receptor stimulation activates PKA to potentiate subthreshold L-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents, yet it acts via PKC to suppress large amplitude Ca<sup>2+</sup> spikes, thereby tuning Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents to have the greatest activation in the voltage range necessary to produce spikes. Coupled with the D1 receptor-mediated increase in INap and decrease in K<sup>+</sup> currents, D1 receptor activation greatly prolongs the output of prefrontal pyramidal neurons (Neve et al., 2004; Beaulieu and Gainetdinov, 2011).

## CONCLUSION

A network pharmacology model-based bioinformatics algorithm was established to extract the core components group and decode the mechanisms of CHSGS in the treatment of depression. Compared with other published work, the effective intervention space construction strategy based on the novel node importance calculation method, CGFC prediction and validation strategy, and maximum targeting weight model for mechanism speculation were reported. Our research is a computational mining work based on pharmacological basic data, which provides a feasible scheme to reduce the verification scale for the experiment, provides methodological reference for the optimization of the core components group and interpretation of the molecular mechanism in the treatment of complex diseases using TCM.

However, there are some limitations in this study. Firstly, more components from the core group of functional components should be selected for validating the reliability of our approach. Secondly, the precise mechanisms were speculated by maximum targeting the weight model warrant further validation. Finally, the undirected network was utilized in our algorithm, which ignores the activation or inhibition effects of the targets.

In the era of big data and artificial intelligence, network pharmacology is helpful to study the TCM formula systematically. There are some suggestions for future research in network pharmacology. The dose-effect relationship of TCM components should be considered. Metabolites of TCM after entering the body may also be the material basis for exerting therapeutic effects, and the metabolic process of TCM in the body also needs to be considered in the network pharmacology study. In summary, there is still a long way to go in the quantification and digitization of TCM.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

DG, AL, JP, and LG provided the concept and designed the study; KW and DG conducted the analyses and wrote the manuscript. KW, KL, YC, GW, HY, YL, WM, HW, and DG participated in data analysis. DG and KW contributed to revising and proof-reading the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## FUNDING

This study is financially supported by the Startup fund from Southern Medical University (grant No. G619280010), the Natural Science Foundation Council of China (grant Nos. 31501080, 32070676), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (grant No. 2021A1515010737), Hong Kong Baptist University Strategic Development Fund (grant No. SDF13-1209-P01, SDF15-0324-P02(b) and SDF19-0402-P02), Hong Kong Baptist University Interdisciplinary Research Matching Scheme (grant No. RC/IRCs/ 17-18/04).

### REFERENCES

- Amberger, J. S., Bocchini, C. A., Schiettecatte, F., Scott, A. F., and Hamosh, A. (2015). OMIM.org: Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM<sup>®</sup>), an Online Catalog of Human Genes and Genetic Disorders. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D789–D798. doi:10.1093/nar/gku1205
- Assenov, Y., Ramírez, F., Schelhorn, S. E., Lengauer, T., and Albrecht, M. (2008). Computing Topological Parameters of Biological Networks. *Bioinformatics* 24 (2), 282–284. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm554
- Beaulieu, J. M., and Gainetdinov, R. R. (2011). The Physiology, Signaling, and Pharmacology of Dopamine Receptors. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 63 (1), 182–217. doi:10.1124/pr.110.002642
- Bondar, J., Caye, A., Chekroud, A. M., and Kieling, C. (2020). Symptom Clusters in Adolescent Depression and Differential Response to Treatment: a Secondary Analysis of the Treatment for Adolescents with Depression Study Randomised Trial. *Lancet Psychiatry* 7 (4), 337–343. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30060-2
- Chen, C. Y. (2011). TCM Database@Taiwan: the World's Largest Traditional Chinese Medicine Database for Drug Screening In Silico. *PLoS One* 6, e15939. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015939
- Chen, S. J., and Cui, M. C. (2017). Systematic Understanding of the Mechanism of Salvianolic Acid A via Computational Target Fishing. *Molecules* 22 (4), 644. doi:10.3390/molecules22040644
- Daina, A., Michielin, O., and Zoete, V. (2017). SwissADME: a Free Web Tool to Evaluate Pharmacokinetics, Drug-Likeness and Medicinal Chemistry Friendliness of Small Molecules. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 42717. doi:10.1038/srep42717
- Daina, A., Michielin, O., and Zoete, V. (2019). SwissTargetPrediction: Updated Data and New Features for Efficient Prediction of Protein Targets of Small Molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, W357–W364. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz382
- Daina, A., and Zoete, V. (2016). A BOILED-Egg to Predict Gastrointestinal Absorption and Brain Penetration of Small Molecules. *ChemMedChem.* 11 (11), 1117–1121. doi:10.1002/cmdc.201600182
- Delgado, P. L., and Moreno, F. A. (2000). Role of Norepinephrine in Depression. J. Clin. Psychiatry 61 (Suppl. 1), 5–12.
- Fimia, G. M., and Sassone-Corsi, P. (2001). Cyclic AMP Signalling. J. Cel Sci. 114 (Pt 11), 1971–1972. doi:10.1242/jcs.114.11.1971
- Gan, Y., Zheng, S., Zhao, J., Zhang, C., Gao, T., Liao, W., et al. (2018). Protein Network Module-Based Identification of Key Pharmacological Pathways of Curcuma Phaeocaulis Val. Acting on Hepatitis. J. Ethnopharmacol 221, 10–19. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2018.03.004
- Gao, Y., Wang, K. X., Wang, P., Li, X., Chen, J. J., Zhou, B. Y., et al. (2020). A Novel Network Pharmacology Strategy to Decode Mechanism of Lang Chuang Wan in Treating Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 512877. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.512877
- Gerlo, S., Kooijman, R., Beck, I. M., Kolmus, K., Spooren, A., and Haegeman, G. (2011). Cyclic AMP: a Selective Modulator of NF-Kb Action. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 68 (23), 3823–3841. doi:10.1007/s00018-011-0757-8
- Guo, P., Cai, C., Wu, X., Fan, X., Huang, W., Zhou, J., et al. (2019b). An Insight into the Molecular Mechanism of Berberine towards Multiple Cancer Types through Systems Pharmacology. *Front. Pharmacol.* 10, 857. doi:10.3389/fphar.2019.00857
- Huang, L., Xie, D., Yu, Y., Liu, H., Shi, Y., Shi, T., et al. (2018b). TCMID 2.0: a Comprehensive Resource for TCM. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46 (D1), D1117–D1120. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1028
- Huang, X., Xu, J., He, J., Shi, S., Yan, H., Wang, J., et al. (2019). Pharmacokinetic Study of the Prokinetic ABCs Liquiritigenin, Naringenin and Hesperitin Following the Oral Administration of Si-Ni-San Decoction to Functional Dyspepsia Patients. *Xenobiotica* 49 (6), 708–717. doi:10.1080/00498254.2018.1493756
- Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 28 (1), 27–30. doi:10.1093/nar/28.1.27

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.782060/full#supplementary-material

- Keiser, M. J., Roth, B. L., Armbruster, B. N., Ernsberger, P., Irwin, J. J., and Shoichet,
   B. K. (2007). Relating Protein Pharmacology by Ligand Chemistry. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 25 (2), 197–206. doi:10.1038/nbt1284
- Kerrien, S., Aranda, B., Breuza, L., Bridge, A., Broackes-Carter, F., Chen, C., et al. (2012). The IntAct Molecular Interaction Database in 2012. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D841–D846. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1088
- Keshava Prasad, T. S., Goel, R., Kandasamy, K., Keerthikumar, S., Kumar, S., Mathivanan, S., et al. (2009). Human Protein Reference Database--2009 updateHuman Protein Reference Database-2009 Update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, D767-D772. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn892
- Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., and Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime Prevalence and Age-Of-Onset Distributions of DSM-IV Disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 62 (6), 593–602. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593
- Kim, H. J., Hwang, I. K., and Won, M. H. (2007). Vanillin, 4-hydroxybenzyl Aldehyde and 4-hydroxybenzyl Alcohol Prevent Hippocampal CA1 Cell Death Following Global Ischemia. *Brain Res.* 1181, 130–141. doi:10.1016/ j.brainres.2007.08.066
- Kim, S. K., Lee, S., Lee, M. K., and Lee, S. (2019). A Systems Pharmacology Approach to Investigate the Mechanism of Oryeong-San Formula for the Treatment of Hypertension. J. Ethnopharmacol 244, 112129. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2019.112129
- Lee, A. Y., Park, W., Kang, T. W., Cha, M. H., and Chun, J. M. (2018). Network Pharmacology-Based Prediction of Active Compounds and Molecular Targets in Yijin-Tang Acting on Hyperlipidaemia and Atherosclerosis. *J. Ethnopharmacol* 221, 151–159. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2018.04.027
- Lee, S. K., Lee, I. H., Kim, H. J., Chang, G. S., Chung, J. E., and No, K. T. (2002). The PreADME Approach: Web-Based Program for Rapid Prediction of Physico-Chemical, Drug Absorption and Drug-like Properties. *EuroQSAR 2002 Designing Drugs Crop Protectants: Process. Probl. solutions* 3, 418–420.
- Li, B., Rui, J., Ding, X., and Yang, X. (2019). Exploring the Multicomponent Synergy Mechanism of Banxia Xiexin Decoction on Irritable Bowel Syndrome by a Systems Pharmacology Strategy. J. Ethnopharmacol 233, 158–168. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2018.12.033
- Li, S.-Q., Su, Z.-H., Peng, J.-B., Zou, Z.-M., and Yu, C.-Y. (2010). *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Antioxidant Effects and the Possible Relationship between the Antidepression Efficacy of Traditional Chinese Medicine Formulation Chaihu Shugan San. *Chin. J. Nat. Medicines* 8 (5), 353–361. doi:10.1016/s1875-5364(10)60042-8
- Licata, L., Briganti, L., Peluso, D., Perfetto, L., Iannuccelli, M., Galeota, E., et al. (2012). MINT, the Molecular Interaction Database: 2012 Update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D857–D861. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr930
- Liu, H., Zeng, L., Yang, K., and Zhang, G. (2016). A Network Pharmacology Approach to Explore the Pharmacological Mechanism of Xiaoyao Powder on Anovulatory Infertility. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat Med.* 2016, 2960372. doi:10.1155/2016/2960372
- Liu, X., Vogt, I., Haque, T., and Campillos, M. (2013). HitPick: a Web Server for Hit Identification and Target Prediction of Chemical Screenings. *Bioinformatics* 29 (15), 1910–1912. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt303
- Lizcano, J. M., Morrice, N., and Cohen, P. (2000). Regulation of BAD by cAMP-dependent Protein Kinase Is Mediated via Phosphorylation of a Novel Site, Ser155. *Biochem. J.* 349 (Pt 2), 547–557. doi:10.1042/0264-6021:3490547
- Luo, W., and Brouwer, C. (2013). Pathview: an R/Bioconductor Package for Pathway-Based Data Integration and Visualization. *Bioinformatics* 29 (14), 1830–1831. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt285
- Luo, Y., Li, D., Liao, Y., Cai, C., Wu, Q., Ke, H., et al. (2020). Systems Pharmacology Approach to Investigate the Mechanism of Kai-Xin-San in Alzheimer's Disease. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 381. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.00381
- Malhi, G. S., and Mann, J. J. (2018). Depression. *Lancet* 392 (10161), 2299–2312. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31948-2

- Meng, P., Han, Y., Yang, Q., Yang, H., Zhu, Q., Lin, X., et al. (2018). Xiaoyao Kangai Jieyu Fang, a Chinese Herbal Formulation, Ameliorates Cancer-Related Depression Concurrent with Breast Cancer in Mice via Promoting Hippocampal Synaptic Plasticity. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat Med.* 2018, 3967642. doi:10.1155/2018/3967642
- Mustafa, T. (2013). Pituitary Adenylate Cyclase-Activating Polypeptide (PACAP): a Master Regulator in central and Peripheral Stress Responses. *Adv. Pharmacol.* 68, 445–457. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-411512-5.00021-X
- Narasingam, M., Vijeepallam, K., Mohamed, Z., and Pandy, V. (2017). Anxiolyticand Antidepressant-like Activities of a Methanolic Extract of *Morinda citrifolia* Linn. (Noni) Fruit in Mice: Involvement of Benzodiazepine-GABAAergic, Serotonergic and Adrenergic Systems. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 96, 944–952. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2017.11.148
- Neve, K. A., Seamans, J. K., and Trantham-Davidson, H. (2004). Dopamine Receptor Signaling. J. Recept Signal. Transduct Res. 24, 165–205. doi:10.1081/rrs-200029981
- O'Boyle, N. M., Banck, M., James, C. A., Morley, C., Vandermeersch, T., and Hutchison, G. R. (2011). Open Babel: An Open Chemical Toolbox. J. Cheminform 3, 33. doi:10.1186/1758-2946-3-33
- Oughtred, R., Stark, C., Breitkreutz, B. J., Rust, J., Boucher, L., Chang, C., et al. (2019). The BioGRID Interaction Database: 2019 Update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (D1), D529–D541. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1079
- Piñero, J., Bravo, À., Queralt-Rosinach, N., Gutiérrez-Sacristán, A., Deu-Pons, J., Centeno, E., et al. (2017). DisGeNET: a Comprehensive Platform Integrating Information on Human Disease-Associated Genes and Variants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45 (D1), D833–D839. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw943
- Ren, Q., Li, M., Deng, Y., Lu, A., and Lu, J. (2021). Triptolide Delivery: Nanotechnology-Based Carrier Systems to Enhance Efficacy and Limit Toxicity. *Pharmacol. Res.* 165, 105377. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105377
- Ru, J., Li, P., Wang, J., Zhou, W., Li, B., Huang, C., et al. (2014). TCMSP: a Database of Systems Pharmacology for Drug Discovery from Herbal Medicines. J. Cheminform 6, 13. doi:10.1186/1758-2946-6-13
- Safran, M., Dalah, I., Alexander, J., Rosen, N., Iny Stein, T., Shmoish, M., et al. (2010). GeneCards Version 3: the Human Gene Integrator. *Database (Oxford)* 2010, baq020. doi:10.1093/database/baq020
- Salwinski, L., Miller, C. S., Smith, A. J., Pettit, F. K., Bowie, J. U., and Eisenberg, D. (2004). The Database of Interacting Proteins: 2004 Update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, D449–D451. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh086
- Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., et al. (2003). Cytoscape: a Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Res.* 13 (11), 2498–2504. doi:10.1101/gr.1239303
- Shi, B., Luo, J., Fang, Y., Liu, X., Rao, Z., Liu, R., et al. (2019). Xiaoyao Pills Prevent Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Depression by Inhibiting Inflammation and Protecting Nerves. Front. Pharmacol. 10, 1324. doi:10.3389/fphar.2019.01324
- Su, Z. H., Li, S. Q., Zou, G. A., Yu, C. Y., Sun, Y. G., Zhang, H. W., et al. (2011). Urinary Metabonomics Study of Anti-depressive Effect of Chaihu-Shu-Gan-San on an Experimental Model of Depression Induced by Chronic Variable Stress in Rats. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 55 (3), 533–539. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2011.02.013
- Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A. L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. (2019). STRING V11: Protein-Protein Association Networks with Increased Coverage, Supporting Functional Discovery in Genome-wide Experimental Datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (D1), D607–D613. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1131
- Virtanen, S., Kuja-Halkola, R., Mataix-Cols, D., Jayaram-Lindstrom, N., D'Onofrio, B. M., Larsson, H., et al. (2019). Comorbidity of Substance Misuse with Anxiety-Related and Depressive Disorders: a Genetically Informative Population Study of 3 Million Individuals in Sweden. *Psychol. Med.* 50 (10), 1706–1715. doi:10.1017/s0033291719001788
- Voglis, G., and Tavernarakis, N. (2006). The Role of Synaptic Ion Channels in Synaptic Plasticity. *EMBO Rep.* 7 (11), 1104–1110. doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400830
- Wang, C., Ren, Q., Chen, X. T., Song, Z. Q., Ning, Z. C., Gan, J. H., et al. (2018). System Pharmacology-Based Strategy to Decode the Synergistic Mechanism of

Zhi-Zhu Wan for Functional Dyspepsia. Front. Pharmacol. 9, 841. doi:10.3389/fphar.2018.00841

- Wang, K. X., Chen, Y. P., Lu, A. P., Du, G. H., Qin, X. M., Guan, D. G., et al. (2021).
  A Metabolic Data-Driven Systems Pharmacology Strategy for Decoding and Validating the Mechanism of Compound Kushen Injection against HCC. *J. Ethnopharmacol* 274, 114043. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2021.114043
- Wang, K. X., Gao, Y., Gong, W. X., Ye, X. F., Fan, L. Y., Wang, C., et al. (2020b). A Novel Strategy for Decoding and Validating the Combination Principles of Huanglian Jiedu Decoction from Multi-Scale Perspective. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 567088. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.567088
- Wang, K. X., Gao, Y., Lu, C., Li, Y., Zhou, B. Y., Qin, X. M., et al. (2020a). Uncovering the Complexity Mechanism of Different Formulas Treatment for Rheumatoid Arthritis Based on a Novel Network Pharmacology Model. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 1035. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.01035
- Wang, M., Bi, Y., Zeng, S., Liu, Y., Shao, M., Liu, K., et al. (2019a). Modified Xiaoyao San Ameliorates Depressive-like Behaviors by Triggering Autophagosome Formation to Alleviate Neuronal Apoptosis. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 111, 1057–1065. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.12.141
- Wang, S., Hu, S., Zhang, C., Qiu, J., and Li, Y. (2011). Effect of Chaihu Shugan San and its Components on Expression of ERK1/2 mRNA in the hippocampus of Rats with Chronic Mild Unpredicted Stress Depression, *Zhong Nan da Xue Xue Bao. Yi Xue Ban*, 36. 93–100. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1672-7347.2011.02.001
- Wang, Y. M., Xu, H., Xu, J. Y., Li, G. W., and Xu, S. C. (2013). Effect of Vanillin Sinff on Relieving Depression-Likebehaviors in Mice and Discussion on the Possible Mechanism. *Anat. Clin.* 18 (5), 394–398.
- Wu, Y., Zhang, F., Yang, K., Fang, S., Bu, D., Li, H., et al. (2019). SymMap: an Integrative Database of Traditional Chinese Medicine Enhanced by Symptom Mapping. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (D1), D1110–D1117. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1021
- Xu, H. Y., Zhang, Y. Q., Liu, Z. M., Chen, T., Lv, C. Y., Tang, S. H., et al. (2019).
   ETCM: an Encyclopaedia of Traditional Chinese Medicine. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (D1), D976–D982. doi:10.1093/nar/gky987
- Yang, L., Fan, L., Wang, K., Chen, Y., Liang, L., Qin, X., et al. (2021). Analysis of Molecular Mechanism of Erxian Decoction in Treating Osteoporosis Based on Formula Optimization Model. *Oxid Med. Cel Longev.* 2021, 6641838. doi:10.1155/2021/6641838
- Yu, G., Wang, L. G., Han, Y., and He, Q. Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. OMICS 16 (5), 284–287. doi:10.1089/omi.2011.0118
- Zong, Y., Chen, T., Dong, H., Zhu, L., and Ju, W. (2019). Si-Ni-San Prevents Reserpine-Induced Depression by Inhibiting Inflammation and Regulating CYP450 Enzymatic Activity. *Front. Pharmacol.* 10, 1518. doi:10.3389/fphar.2019.01518

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Wang, Li, Chen, Wei, Yu, Li, Meng, Wang, Gao, Lu, Peng and Guan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.