www.nature.com/bmt

LETTER TO THE EDITOR Cyclophosphamide vs salvage chemotherapy plus G-CSF as chemo-mobilization in Asian patients undergoing autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant

Bone Marrow Transplantation (2017) 52, 299-300; doi:10.1038/ bmt.2016.245; published online 14 November 2016

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (auto-HSCT) is the standard treatment option for multiple myeloma (MM) and relapsed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) patients.¹⁻³ Granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) combined with high-dose chemotherapy is a frequently used mobilization approach.² Chemo-mobilization success depends on the patient's age, apheresis timing, chemotherapy and immunomodulatory regimens as well as chemotherapy-related adverse events (AEs).³ Mobilization failure leads to remobilization, which negatively impacts clinical outcomes and healthcare costs.[∠]

We retrospectively analyzed 526 patients with MM (n = 269) and relapsed NHL (n = 257) undergoing stem cell collection after chemo-mobilization, in 14 centers in Asian Pacific countries, during 2009-2012. Among 269 MM patients, 235 (87.4%) received cyclophosphamide (CY) plus G-CSF and 34 (12.6%) were mobilized with other chemotherapeutic drugs (Table 1). Overall 232 MM patients (86.2%) achieved at least 2×10⁶ CD34+ cells/kg after 1-2 aphereses at initial mobilization. For MM patients who had received cyclophosphamide as a mobilizing agent, 223 (94.9%) had at least CD34+ 2×10⁶ cells/kg and 182 patients (77%) achieved 5×10⁶ CD34+ cells/kg or higher (Table 1).

Among 257 NHL patients, 187 patients (72.8%) received salvage chemotherapy such as ICE (n = 20), RICE (n = 21), ESHAP (n = 21) and RDHAP (n = 8), and 70 patients (27.2%)received CY plus G-CSF (Table 1). Only 143 NHL patients (55.6%) achieved at least 2×10^{6} CD34+ cells/kg after 1-2 aphereses at initial mobilization. Administration of salvage regimens resulted in mobilizing at least 2×10^6 CD34+ cells/kg in 172 NHL patients (92.0%) (Table 1). In 70 NHL patients, who received CY as a chemo-mobilizing agent, 53 patients (76%) achieved 2×10^6 CD34+ cells/kg (Table 1).

Apheresis outcomes	<i>MM</i> (N = 269)			NHL (N = 257)		
	CY-only N = 235		P-value	CY-only N = 70		P-value
$\geq 2 \times 10^{6}$ CD34+ cells	223 (95%)	31 (91%)	0.312	53 (76%)	172 (92%)	0.0005
\geq 5 × 10 ⁶ CD34+ cells	182 (77%)	20 (59%)	0.0165	23 (33%)	114 (61%)	0.00008

Following chemo-mobilization and apheresis, a total of 242 MM patients (95.3%) and 178 NHL patients (79.1%), who achieved a minimum of 2×10^6 CD34+ cells/kg, underwent HSCT. Overall, a higher population of MM patients was able to mobilize adequate numbers of stem cells for collection. The reasons for MM patients not proceeding to HSCT included patient withdrawal (5), being unfit for procedure (3), death (2) and disease progression (1). It is noteworthy that two patients had more than one reason. Of the 225 NHL patients who achieved at least 2×10⁶ CD34+ cells/kg, 5 patients died from a severe adverse event and 41 patients (18.2%) did not proceed to transplant because of patient withdrawal (20), disease progression (14) and being unfit for procedure (7).

This was the first study in an Asian Pacific population regarding the efficacy of chemo-mobilization of stem cells for auto-HSCT in MM and NHL pat`ients. Cyclophosphamide plus G-CSF was the most appropriate combination to mobilize adequate number of stem cells in MM patients. By contrast, salvage chemotherapy plus G-CSF was more efficacious than cyclophosphamide for mobilizing stem cells in NHL patients. The majority of MM patients, mobilized with cyclophosphamide, and NHL patients receiving salvage chemotherapy, underwent auto-HSCT.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was sponsored by Sanofi Oncology and designed by the sponsor in close collaboration with the Study Steering Committee.

> S Issaragrisil¹, T Cheng-Hwai², U Bunworasate³, Y Su-Peng⁴, LY Hung⁵, GY Tee⁶, SFA Wahid⁷, NS Chin⁸, T Puavilai⁹, GG Gin¹⁰, KL Piu¹¹, H Wen-Li¹², C Tsai-Yun¹³ and T Numbenjapon¹⁴ ¹Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand;

²Division of Hematology, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan;

³Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital,

Bangkok, Thailand;

⁴Division of Hematology and Oncology, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan;

⁵Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong; ⁶Department of Hematology, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Sinaapore:

⁷Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Cell Therapy Center, University Kebangsaan Malaysia Medical Center,

Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; ⁸Subang Jaya Medical Centre, Selangor, Malaysia;

⁹Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand;

¹⁰Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University Malaya Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; ¹¹Department of Haematology-Oncology, National University Hospital, Singapore, Singapore; ¹²Division of Hematology/Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; ¹³Department of General Medicine, Hematology and Oncology, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Taipan Taiwan and

National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Tainan, Taiwan and ¹⁴Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Phramongkutklao Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand E-mail: surapolsi@gmail.com

REFERENCES

1 Mehta J, Singhal S. Current status of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in myeloma. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2008; **42**: S28–S34.

2 Alegre A, Tomás JF, Martinez-Chamorro C, Gil-Fernández JJ, Fernández-Villalta MJ, Arranz R et al. Comparison of peripheral blood progenitor cell mobilization in patients with multiple myeloma: high dose cyclophosphamide plus GM-CSF vs G-CSF alone. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1997; **20**: 211–217.

- 3 Shaughnessy P, Islas-Ohlmayer M, Murphy J, Hougham M, MacPherson J, Winkler K et al. Cost and clinical analysis of autologous hematopoietic stem cell mobilization with G-CSF and Plerixafor compared to G-CSF and cyclophosphamide. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2011; **17**: 729–736.
- 4 Bensinger W, DiPersio JF, McCarty JM. Improving stem cell mobilization strategies: future directions. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2009; **43**: 181–195.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017