DOI: 10.1002/igf2.472

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

WILEY

Cancer causes emergency home visits

To the Editor,

In their recently published study, Kuroda et al.¹ investigated the factors associated with emergency home visits (EHVs). They analyzed a total of 608 EHVs in 214 patients within the 2-year duration and reported that higher care-needed level, urinary catheter use, and central venous port use showed a positive correlation with the frequency of EHVs. On the other hand, the association between the cancer-bearing state and the frequency of EHVs was not statistically significant. However, Kato et al.² analyzed 278 EHVs and demonstrated different results from the study; the incidence rate ratio of the cancer-bearing state was 4.71 (95% confidence interval, 2.60– 8.52). Why do the two results differ?

Kuroda et al. did not show summary statistics of the duration of the follow-up period of each case. Those periods are vital information for the analyses. For example, the statistical weight is more massive in a count of 10 EHVs out of 14 days than the same count out of 730 days. Cancer patients usually have a shorter follow-up period because their prognoses are worse than noncancer patients. Kato et al.² reported that the median follow-up period was 42 days in cancer patients and 82 days in noncancer patients out of the 3month study period. The shorter follow-up of cancer patients was caused by death at home or hospitalization. Although Kuroda et al. did not mention whether they included the time information in the Poisson and negative binomial regressions, they should have incorporated an offset variable³ in the models if they did not. The lack of the information could cause an underestimation of the weighing of the cancer-bearing state variable for EHVs.

In conclusion, this study is informative because it demonstrated the factors of emergency home visits. However, we think they need to implement the duration of the follow-up period for each case into their analyses to determine the appropriate statistical weight of each factor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The other authors have stated explicitly that there are no conflicts of interest in connection with this article.

Koki Kato MD, MPH^{1,2} Masaya Tomita MD³ Moe Kato MD⁴ Takaaki Goto MD⁵ Kyukei Nishizono MD⁶

¹Madoka Family Clinic, Fukuoka, Japan
²Hokkaido Centre for Family Medicine Academic and Research Centre, Hokkaido, Japan
³Ebetsu Home Care Clinic, Hokkaido, Japan
⁴Sakuragaoka Clinic, Hokkaido, Japan
⁵Naito Clinic, Miyagi, Japan
⁶lizuka Memorial Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

Correspondence

Koki Kato, Madoka Family Clinic, 1-40 Asumi, Ogori-city, Fukuoka 838-0109, Japan. Email: koki.kato@hcfm.jp

ORCID

Koki Kato D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2562-1893

REFERENCES

- Kuroda K, Miura T, Kuroiwa S, Kuroda M, Kobayashi N, Kita K. What are the factors that cause emergency home visit in home medical care in Japan? J Gen Fam Med. 2020;22:1-6. https://doi. org/10.1002/jgf2.389
- Kato K, Tomita M, Kato M, Goto T, Nishizono K. Prospective cohort study on the incidence and risk factors of emergency home visits among Japanese home care patients. J Gen Fam Med. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgf2.461
- Zheng H, Kimber A, Goodwin VA, Pickering RM. A comparison of different ways of including baseline counts in negative binomial models for data from falls prevention trials. Biom J. 2018;60(1):66–78.

How to cite this article: Kato K, Tomita M, Kato M, Goto T, Nishizono K. Cancer causes emergency home visits. *J Gen Fam Med*. 2022;23:67–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jgf2.472</u>

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. Journal of General and Family Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japan Primary Care Association.