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#### Abstract

The phosphoinositide 3-kinase ( PI 3 K ) pathway is aberrantly activated in many disease states, including tumor cells, either by growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases or by the genetic mutation and amplification of key pathway components. A variety of PI3K isoforms play differential roles in cancers. As such, the development of PI3K inhibitors from novel compound classes should lead to differential pharmacological and pharmacokinetic profiles and allow exploration in various indications, combinations, and dosing regimens. A screening effort aimed at


the identification of $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$ inhibitors for the treatment of inflammatory diseases led to the discovery of the novel 2,3-dihy-droimidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline class of PI3K inhibitors. A subsequent lead optimization program targeting cancer therapy focused on inhibition of PI3K $\alpha$ and PI3K $\beta$. Herein, initial struc-ture-activity relationship findings for this class and the optimization that led to the identification of copanlisib (BAY 80-6946) as a clinical candidate for the treatment of solid and hematological tumors are described.

## Introduction

The phosphoinositide 3 -kinase (PI3K) family of lipid kinases generates $3^{\prime}$-phosphoinositides that bind to and activate a variety of cellular targets, initiating a wide range of signal transduction cascades. ${ }^{[1-3]}$ These cascades ultimately induce changes in a range of cellular processes including proliferation, survival, differentiation, migration, vesicle trafficking, and chemotaxis.

Class I PI3Ks transmit signals downstream of transmembrane receptors, signaling from receptor tyrosine kinases via $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$, $\mathrm{p} 110 \beta$ and p110 isoforms, and from G-protein-coupled receptors via p110 and p110 isoforms. Class I PI3Ks are divided into two distinct subclasses based on differences in protein subunit composition. The class $I_{A}$ PI3Ks are comprised of a catalytic p110 subunit ( $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha, \mathrm{p} 110 \beta$ or $\mathrm{p} 110 \delta$ ) heterodimerized
with a member of the p85 regulatory subunit family. The class $I_{A}$ PI3K isoforms associate with activated receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) (including PDGFR, EGFR, VEGFR, IGF1-R, c-KIT, CSF-R and Met), or with tyrosine phosphorylated adapter proteins, via their p85 regulatory subunits, resulting in stimulation of the lipid kinase activity.
In contrast, the class $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}$ PI3Ks are comprised of a catalytic p110 subunit ( $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma$ ) heterodimerized with a distinct p101 regulatory subunit. ${ }^{[4,5]}$ Activation of class I PI3K-mediated signaling has been directly linked to cancer. Somatic mutations or amplification of the gene encoding human p110 (PIK3CA) is known to be causative in many cancers. ${ }^{[5-8]}$ Overexpression or mutational activation of RTKs leading to increased PI3K signal-
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ing occurs in multiple tumor types. ${ }^{[5,9]}$ Loss of function or inactivation of the tumor suppressor PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue) also leads to increased PI3K signaling and tumor growth. ${ }^{[10-12]}$ This appears to be mediated via the p110 $\beta$ isoform. ${ }^{[13-15]}$ In addition, aberrant activation of class I PI3Ks has been associated with both intrinsic and acquired resistance to targeted therapeutic agents, such as lapatinib or vemurafenib, ${ }^{[16-18]}$ as well as resistance to traditional cytotoxic chemotherapy and radiation therapy. ${ }^{[19-21]}$
A number of PI3K/mTOR, pan-PI3K and selective PI3K inhibitors have entered early clinical development. ${ }^{[3,22-25]}$ Idelalisib (Figure 1), a PI3K $\delta$-selective inhibitor, has recently been approved for use in the treatment of two subtypes of indolent non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. ${ }^{[26]}$ In contrast, the clinical benefit of PI3K inhibition in solid tumors has yet to be clearly demonstrated. This is largely due to the following factors: 1) feedback activation of physiologic signaling in tumors, ${ }^{[27-29]} 2$ ) selectivity
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Figure 1. PI3K inhibitors.
to PI 3 K and disease relevant PI 3 K isoforms, 3) both on-target and off-target toxicity. These factors limited pathway inhibition and efficacy. Accordingly, there remains a need for the development of novel PI3K inhibitors with differing pharmacologic and pharmacokinetic profiles.

Guided by X-ray crystallographic structures of first-generation PI3K inhibitors bound to $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$, it has been shown that interaction with the protein hinge region is obligatory, and activity can be enhanced via affinity pocket interactions. ${ }^{[30-32]}$ This led to the development of two major classes of PI3K inhibitors (Figure 1). There is a family of morpholine-based inhibitors, typified by pictilisib, buparlisib and apitolisib, having hinge binding with the relatively weak hydrogen-bond-accepting morpholine oxygen, and having strong affinity pocket interactions, typically involving both a hydrogen-bond acceptor and a hydrogen-bond donor. ${ }^{[33]}$ In contrast, PI3Kס-selective inhibitors, such as idelalisib, PIK-39 and AMG-319, possess strong hinge interactions via a purine moiety combined with induction of a selectivity pocket. ${ }^{[34-36]}$ A novel approach might involve discovery of a compound class that allows both strong binding at the hinge and strong affinity pocket interactions. Herein, we describe the optimization of the 2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazoline class of PI3K inhibitors which strongly bind at both critical sites, leading to the discovery of copanlisib.

## Results and Discussion

## Structure-activity relationships of 2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2c]quinazoline PI3K inhibitors

Initial interest in PI3K inhibitors at Bayer centered around the development of PI3K $\gamma$ inhibitors as anti-inflammatory agents with potential as anti-asthmatic agents. ${ }^{[37]}$ High-throughput screening for $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$-active leads led to the discovery of the novel lead 2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline 1 ( $1110 \gamma I C_{50}=$ $810 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{p} 110 \beta \mathrm{IC}_{50}=4000 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) (Figure 2). During lead optimization efforts, it was established that the enol moiety of structure 1 could be replaced with an amide moiety to minimize potential for untoward reactivity. In addition, the phenyl substituent was replaced with a 3-pyridyl moiety, leading to analogue 2 . In addition, it was found that A-ring substitution, such as in compound 3, could affect isoform activity ratios. With this information in hand, a program to optimize the $\mathrm{p} 110 \beta$ and $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ ac-


Figure 2. Optimization of the initial lead.
tivity of the 2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline lead for potential use in cancer therapies was undertaken.
Docking of 2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolines with the published structure for $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma_{,}^{[31]}$ and making the assumption that the inhibitors are bound to the ATP binding site, led to the hypothesis that the dihydroimidazole N 1 nitrogen binds at the protein hinge, while the C -ring $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbons fill a nearby hydrophobic pocket. Modeling also suggested that the C5 heterocyclic amide moiety occupies a pocket not used by ATP, and offers potential hydrogen-bonding opportunities. In addition, C7 was predicted to point toward the ATP sugar pocket and C8 toward a channel leading to solvent. Thus, initial synthetic efforts were directed toward confirmation of the binding hypothesis, as well as toward inhibitor optimization. The binding model was substantiated after the conclusion of the optimization program, via an X-ray structure of copanlisib (39i) bound to $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma$ (see below).

## Synthetic strategy

C-ring SAR was explored during early studies directed toward development of PI3K $\gamma$ inhibitors by variation of the diamine used for imidazoline synthesis. ${ }^{[37]}$ Unsubstituted 2,3-dihydroimi-dazo[1,2-c]quinazoline, 2, was synthesized starting from 2-cyanoaniline (4) by treatment with ethylenediamine in the presence of phosphorus pentasulfide, which afforded aniline 5 (Scheme 1). Cyclization with cyanogen bromide led to tricyclic


Scheme 1. Synthesis of C -ring analogues 2 and $9 .{ }^{[37]}$ Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n+1} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{5}, 0$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) $\mathrm{BrCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; c) nicotinic acid, PyBOP, Hünig's base, DMF.
amine 7, which was acylated to afford amide 2. The corresponding ring-expanded 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrimido[1,2-c]quinazoline 9 was synthesized in an analogous manner using 1,3propylenediamine.
To examine the effect of substitution on the C-ring, dichloroquinazoline 10 was treated with the appropriate chiral aminopropanol, and the resulting alcohols 11 and 12 were cyclized to afford 5-chloro-2,3-dihydroimidazoquinazolines 13 and 14 (Scheme 2). The quinazolines were treated with ammonia, followed by nicotinic acid to afford amides 17 and 18.
The effect of the C -ring oxidation state on efficacy was examined using imidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline 21. Thus, oxidation


Scheme 2. Synthesis of C-ring analogues 17 and $18 .{ }^{[37]}$ Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCHR}^{1} \mathrm{CHR}^{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{TEA}, \mathrm{THF}$, quant.; b) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ (crude product used in the next step); c) aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \%(15), 17 \%(16)$; d) nicotinic acid, PyBOP, Hünig's base, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 28 \%(17), 35 \%$ (18).


Scheme 3. Synthesis of C-ring analogue 21. ${ }^{[37]}$ Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{DMPU}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 61 \%$; b) $\mathrm{BrCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 61 \%$; c) nicotinic acid, PyBOP, Hünig's base, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \%$.
$\left(\mathrm{MnO}_{2}\right)$ of aminodihydroimidazole 5 to aminoimidazole 19 was followed by cyclization with cyanogen bromide to give 20 and then by acylation with nicotinic acid to afford amide 21 (Scheme 3).
To study variation at the C5, C7, and C8 positions, a more general approach involving preparation of key intermediate 29 was envisioned (Scheme 4). The synthesis began with treatment of vanillin acetate (22) with fuming nitric acid to readily afford known aldehyde 23. ${ }^{[38,39]}$ Conversion into benzyl ether 25 followed by ammonium hydroxide/iodine oxidation gave nitrile 26. Reduction provided aniline 27, and treatment with ethylenediamine allowed formation of the C-ring. Imidazoline 28 was then cyclized using cyanogen bromide to give tricyclic amine 29, which was acylated using classical peptide-coupling conditions to provide amide 30. Treatment of amide 30 with trifluoroacetic acid afforded deprotected phenol 31, which could then be alkylated at C 8 giving access to the aryl ethers, 32.

The C7 methyl ethers of 8-alkoxy-7-methoxy analogues proved labile to sulfide-based hydrolysis, likely due to the


Scheme 4. General synthetic approach to analogues for SAR studies. Reagents and conditions: a) fuming $\mathrm{HNO}_{3},<10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 41 \%$; b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 88 \%$; c) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 97 \%$; d) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(28 \%), \mathrm{I}_{2}$, THF, $95 \%$; e) $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT, $88 \%$; f) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{8}$, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 86 \%$; g) $\mathrm{BrCN}, \mathrm{TEA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT, quant.; h) nicotinic acid, PyBOP, Hünig's base, DMF, $98 \%$; i) TFA, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 66 \%$; j) R ${ }^{8} \mathrm{X}$, base, DMF; k) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{NMP}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 51 \%\left[\mathrm{R}^{8}=3-\right.$ (morpholin-4-yl)propyl, 32 g ]; I) $\mathrm{R}^{\top} \mathrm{X}$, base, DMF; m) TFA, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, crude starting material was used; n) 3-(morpholin-4-yl)propyl chloride, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 44 \%$; o) $\mathrm{R}^{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, PyBOP, Hünig's base, DMF.
(Table 2). Benzyl ether 30 and phenol 31 were highly potent against $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$; however, they showed decreased activity against p110 $\beta$ relative to methoxy analogue 32 a . Exchanging the methyl ether for the ethyl ether ( $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{b}$ ) had little influence on the potency but the bulkier isobutyl ether 32 c was sevenfold less potent against p110ß.

Consistent with the binding model, addition of a polar moiety attached via an alkoxy linker was tolerated. Thus, aminopropoxy analogue 32 d and the corresponding dimethylamine, 32 e , showed potency against $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ similar to that of methoxy analogue 32a; however, they were slightly more potent against $\mathrm{p} 110 \beta$. Expanding the bulk around the amine, such as with piperidine $\mathbf{3 2 f}$ or morpholine $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{g}$, slightly improved $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ potency without modifying the activity against p110ß. The length of the linker between the morpholine moiety and the core was also investigated. Thus, compound 32 h , bearing a two-carbon linker, showed improved activity however it appeared to be chemically unstable, while analogue $32 \mathbf{i}$, bearing a four-carbon linker, showed a drop in potency. In summary, variation of the alkoxy moiety at C8 had little effect on $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ potency. In contrast, activity against p110 $\beta$ proved somewhat more sensitive.

Inclusion of an amine base tethered to the core via an alkyl ether appeared optimal. Compounds with strong nitrogen bases at C8, such as amine 32 d , dimethylamine 32 e or piperidine 32 f , led to high clearance in PK studies (data not shown), and were not further pursued.
Table 1. C-ring SAR.

Table 2. A-ring C8 SAR.
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Table 3. A-ring C7 SAR.
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## A-ring C7 SAR

The binding hypothesis suggested that modifications at C7 might allow probing of the ATP sugar pocket. Variation of the C7 methoxy group of morpholine derivative $\mathbf{3 2 g}$ was initiated by replacement with a propoxy moiety (34a). An increase in potency against p110 $\beta$ was observed in the biochemical assay (Table 3); however, this effect did not translate to the cellular assays (data not shown). Neither allyl ether $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ nor isobutyl ether $\mathbf{3 4 c}$ offered any benefit over methyl ether $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{~ g}$. In contrast, introduction of a cyclohexyl group ( $\mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{d}$ ) resulted in a significant decrease in activity against p110 $\beta$. This could be recovered by addition of distal polarity, as in tetrahydropyran 34 e or pyridine 34 f. Because no significant improvement was achieved by modifying the substituents at C7, the initial methoxy moiety was chosen for additional studies in order to minimize molecular weight.

## B-ring C5 amide SAR

The strongest interactions predicted by the binding hypothesis involve the dihydroimidazole binding at the hinge, and interactions within the C5 heterocyclic amide pocket. Thus, optimization at C5 offered a high potential for improvements in activity, and a series of amide modification was undertaken multiple times during the program.

## Amides of A-ring-unsubstituted 5-amino-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazoline

An initial understanding of C5 amide SAR was developed using the A-ring-unsubstituted 2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline system (Table 4). ${ }^{[31]}$ Alkyl amides, such as acetamide 38 a and cyclohexyl analogue $\mathbf{3 8 b}$, were significantly less active than pyridine 2. The same was observed for aryl amides, for example phenyl analogue $\mathbf{3 8 c}$ and thiazole $\mathbf{3 8 d}$. This decreased activity may be due to the inability of these amides to act as hy-drogen-bond acceptors. Inclusion of a hydrogen-bond acceptor in the 3'-position, such as in thiazole $\mathbf{3 8}$ e, led to an activity equivalent to that of pyridine 2, even in the presence of steric hindrance. Further addition of a hydrogen-bond donor, for example as in aminopyridine $\mathbf{3 8} \mathrm{f}$ or imidazopyridine $\mathbf{3 8} \mathrm{g}$, resulted in a significant improvement in activity.

## Amides of 5-amino-7-methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)pro-poxy]-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline

C5 Amide SAR for compounds substituted at C8 with an aminoalkoxy group revealed similar trends to those observed for the C8-unsubstituted analogues (Table 5 vs. Table 4). Thus, the absence of a hydrogen-bond donor, such as in compound 39 a , resulted in decreased activity against p110 $\beta$, while thiazole 39 b (a direct analogue of 38 e ) proved slightly more potent than 32 g (Table 5). Moving the pyridine nitrogen from position $3^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}(\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{g}$ vs. $\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{c}$ ) led to reduced activity against p110 $\beta$ by a factor of three. Addition of a hydrogen-bond donor, such as an amino group on the pyridine ring as in ana-
3able 4. SAR of amides of A-ring-unsubstituted 5-amino-2,3-dihydroimi-
logues 39 d and 39 e , resulted in a slight increase in potency. However, dimethylation of the aminopyridine ( $\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{f}$ ) led to a decrease in activity. Introduction of a second nitrogen in the ring, such as in pyrazine $\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{g}$ and pyrimidine $\mathbf{3 9}$ h, led to a significant drop in activity. Surprisingly, the activity could be recovered by introduction of a free amino group in the 4'-position of the pyrimidine ( $39 \mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{j}$ ); in the case of $\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{j}$, an additional methyl substituent was tolerated. Thus, activity was optimized by the use of both a hydrogen-bond acceptor and a hy-drogen-bond donor.

## Cellular screening

Selected compounds were tested in mechanistic and functional cellular assays, allowing differentiation of suitable candidates (Table 6). Cellular mechanistic activity of compounds was probed by investigating inhibition of AKT phosphorylation by

Table 5. SAR of amides of 5-amino-7-methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)pro-poxy]-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline.


Compd $-\mathrm{NH}-(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{R}^{5} \quad \mathrm{p}_{50} \mathrm{ICM}^{[\mathrm{na]}}$
32g 39 c

PI3K. ${ }^{[42]}$ KPL4, a breast cancer cell line that carries a PIK3CA-activating mutation, was selected for profiling of anti-proliferative effects. ${ }^{[42]}$

Relative activity in cellular assays generally paralleled that observed in the biochemical assays. Thus, C7-extended ana-

logue 34 d proved approximately equivalent to methyl ether 32 a, while 4'-aminopyridine 39 e was significantly more active. Surprisingly, 3'-aminopyridine 39 d displayed significantly less activity than expected in the mechanistic assay. Consistent with the biochemical data, aminopyrimidine $39 i$ and $2^{\prime}$-amino-4'-methylpyrimidine 39 j proved optimal in cellular assays.

## SAR summary

In summary (see Figure 3), modification of the imidazoline Cring, either by substitution, oxidation or ring expansion, result-


Figure 3. SAR summary.
ed in a loss of activity, supporting the hypothesis that the N1 nitrogen is involved in hinge binding. The aromatic C5 amide proved to be the key driver of potency. The amide should preferably have a five- or six-membered monocyclic, or bicyclic, ni-trogen-containing heterocycle. Inclusion of a hydrogen-bond donor significantly increased potency, but at the expense of decreased water solubility and oral bioavailability. The optimal amide contained an aminopyrimidine moiety.

Substitution at C7 affords SAR consistent with occupation of a pocket amenable to polar interactions. Unfortunately, while tolerated, substitution did not lead to increased potency or beneficial properties. Thus, the C7 methoxy moiety was considered optimal. The SAR indicated that inclusion of polar groups at C8 allowed the tuning of water solubility and PK properties. Aminoalkoxy substituents were optimal, and balancing of activity with PK properties led to the selection of a 3-morpholinylpropoxy moiety at C8 (Figure 3). Thus, overall optimization led to the selection of analogue $39 \mathbf{i}$, copanlisib (BAY 80-6946), for further development. In addition, relative to 7,8-dimethoxy
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Figure 4. Influence of A-ring C7/C8/C9 substitution.
compound 32a, 8,9-dimethoxy analogue 3 was significantly less active (Figure 4). Removal of either the C7 methoxy moiety (analogue 40) or the C8 methoxy moiety (analogue 41) from 32 a led to decreased activity. This led to the conclusion that substitution at both C7 and C8 was optimal.

## Binding mode

After the conclusion of the optimization program, an X-ray structure of copanlisib (39i) bound to $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma$ was determined. The structure is in general agreement with that previously reported for dimethoxy analogue 32 a bound to $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma .{ }^{[32]}$ The imidazoline N1 nitrogen forms a critical hydrogen bond to Val882 in the adenine pocket (Figure 5). The majority of known PI3K $\alpha$ inhibitors use a morpholine oxygen for this interaction. ${ }^{[32,33]}$

The C5 aminopyrimidine group fills the affinity pocket, forming hydrogen bonds with Asp836 and Asp841 through the amino group, and with Lys833 through a pyrimidine nitrogen. In addition, Asp964 appears to contribute a pi-stacking interaction. Finally, the morpholine group of copanlisib lies over Trp812, apparently adding a hydrophilic shield to the hydrophobic heterocycle. The binding hypothesis, as validated by the X-ray structure, provides a structural rationale for and validation of the conclusions generated from the SAR studies.

## Pharmacology

The pharmacology of copanlisib (39i) has been described in detail elsewhere; ${ }^{[42]}$ the results are summarized in Table 7. Copanlisib is a potent class I PI3K inhibitor with preferential activi-


Figure 5. X-ray crystal structure of copanlisib bound to PI3K $\gamma$.

| Profile | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Biochemical profile |  |
| p110 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nm] | 0.5 |
| p110ß $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nm] | 3.7 |
| p110\% $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nm] | 6.4 |
| p1108 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nm] | 0.7 |
| mTOR kinase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{~nm}]$ | 45 |
| Panel of 220 kinases ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) | $<50 \%$ inhibition |
| Cellular mechanistic profile (pAKT-S473) |  |
| PI3K $\alpha$ (KPL4) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{~nm}]$ | 0.4 |
| PI3Kß (LPA-stimulated PC3) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nm] | 10 |
|  | 94 |
| PI3K (IgM-stimulated Raji) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nm] | 7.4 |
| mTOR ( $\mathrm{p}-4 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{BP} 1-\mathrm{S} 65$ in ELT3) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [ nm ] | $>500$ |
| In vitro antiproliferative activity $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [ nm ] |  |
| KPL4 (PIK3CA ${ }^{\text {mut }}$ ) $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ [nm] | 3.7 |
| ZR-75-1 (PTEN ${ }^{\text {loss }}$ ) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [nm] | 24 |
| TMD-8 (CD79 ${ }^{\text {mut }}$ ) $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ [ nm$]$ | 2.3 |
| In vivo activity [\% TGI] ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ |  |
| KPL4 (14 mg kg ${ }^{-1}$, Q2D) | $>100 \%{ }^{[b]}$ |
| TMD-8 (14 mg kg ${ }^{-1}$, Q2D) | 75\% |
| [a] In mice; TGl = tumor growth inhibition. [b] Tumor regression. |  |

ty against $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$ and $\mathrm{p} 110 \delta$ as compared with $\mathrm{p} 110 \beta$ and p110 . Copanlisib has potent cellular mechanistic activity, inhibiting both IGF-1-stimulated AKT phosphorylation in A549 cells, and basal AKT phosphorylation in KPL4 cells. This trans-
lated to the potent inhibition of proliferation in many cell lines, ${ }^{[42]}$ as exemplified by KPL4.

## Preclinical pharmacokinetics

The preclinical pharmacokinetics of copanlisib (39i) has been presented in detail elsewhere. ${ }^{[42]}$ Copanlisib has a high plasma-free fraction across all species tested ( 42.5 \% in male Wistar rats, $27.6 \%$ in male CD1 mice, $42.4 \%$ in female Beagle dogs and $15.8 \%$ in male humans). Furthermore, copanlisib has low permeability in the Caco2 assay, with a $P_{\text {app }}$ value for the apical (A) to basal (B) direction of $56.9 \pm 2.91 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the absence of a P-glycoprotein (P-gp) inhibitor. Copanlisib was characterized as a substrate of the efflux transporters P-gp and BCRP. In in vitro studies with different cell lines, P-gp ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for digoxin and $7.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for dipyridamole) and BCRP ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ : $11.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for topotecan) mediated transport was inhibited.
The pharmacokinetic profile of copanlisib was evaluated following administration of single or multiple intravenous doses to nude rats. The volume of distribution $\left(V_{s s}\right)$ was very high in all species investigated including mouse, rat, dog and monkey ( $V_{\mathrm{ss}}=7$ $32 \mathrm{Lkg}^{-1}$ ). In rats and dogs, the whole-blood clearance (CL) was 2.8 and $0.74 \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{hkg})^{-1}$, respectively, and thus was 66 and $35 \%$, respectively, relative to hepatic blood flow. Pharmacokinetics was not dependent on sex and no relevant drug accumulation was observed after repeated administration (every second day $\times 5$ ), suggesting that there is no change in metabolic processes. Thus, the pharmacokinetic profile of copanlisib was supportive of initiating clinical studies.

## Conclusions

The discovery of the novel 2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazoline scaffold has led to the development of potent and selective PI3K inhibitors which strongly bind at both at the hinge and the affinity pocket. During the course of optimization, compound 39i (copanlisib, BAY 80-6946) ${ }^{[42]}$ was identified as having met program criteria, and as having excellent efficacy in in vitro and in vivo studies ${ }^{[43]}$ Copanlisib was selected for clinical development, and is currently in phase III trials for the treatment of non-Hodgkin lymphoma ( NHL ), both as monotherapy (CHRONOS-2: NCT02369016) and in combination with rituximab (CHRONOS-3: NCT02367040) or rituximab-based chemotherapy (CHRONOS-4: NCT02626455).

## Experimental Section

Biochemical $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ assays: ${ }^{[42]} \mathrm{p} 110 \alpha, \mathrm{p} 100 \beta$ and $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma$ activities were measured by the inhibition of 33P incorporation into phosphatidylinositol (PI) in 384-well MaxiSorp ${ }^{\circledR}$ plates coated with PI ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per well) and phosphatidylserine (PS) (1:1 molar ratio). Each PI3K isoform assay contained: reaction buffer ( $9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MOPSO
( $50 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{pH} 7.0$ ), NaCl ( 100 mm ), $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ ( 4 mm ), BSA ( $0.1 \%$ )) containing His-tagged N -terminal truncated ( $\Delta \mathrm{N}$ 1-108) p110 $\alpha$ or p110 $\beta$ protein ( 7.5 ng ), or purified human $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma$ protein ( 25 ng ) (Alexis Biochemicals). The reaction was started by adding ATP solution containing $\left.20 \mu \mathrm{CimL}^{-1}{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP $(5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 40 \mu \mathrm{M})$. After incubation for 2 h at RT, the reaction was terminated by addition of EDTA solution ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The plates were washed and Ultima Gold ${ }^{T M}$ scintillation cocktail ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was then added. The radioactivity incorporated into the immobilized PI substrate was determined with a BetaPlate Liquid Scintillation Counter. Each $I C_{50}$ value reported is the mean of a minimum of two experiments.

General procedures: Air- and moisture-sensitive liquids and solutions were transferred via syringe or cannula, and introduced into reaction vessels through rubber septa. Commercial grade reagents and solvents were used without further purification. The term 'concentrated under reduced pressure' refers to use of a Büchi rotary evaporator at approximately 15 mmHg . Thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated glass-backed silica gel 60A $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ plates.
NMR: Routine 1D-NMR spectroscopy was performed on either a 300 or 400 MHz Varian Mercury Plus spectrometer, except where otherwise indicated. Samples were dissolved in deuterated solvents. Chemical shifts, recorded on the ppm scale, are referenced to the appropriate solvent signals (e.g., for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra: [ $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ]DMSO, $2.49 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

GC-MS: Electron-impact mass spectra (EI-MS) were obtained using a Hewlett Packard 5973 mass spectrometer equipped with a Hewlett Packard 6890 gas chromatograph with a J\&W HP-5 column ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). The ion source was maintained at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and spectra were scanned from 50-550 amu at 0.34 s per scan.

Synthesis: Compounds 5-21, 38a-38g, 40 and 41 were synthesized as previously reported. ${ }^{[37]}$

4-Formyl-2-methoxy-3-nitrophenyl acetate (23): Fuming nitric acid ( 2.2 L ) under nitrogen was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at which time vanillin acetate $(22 ; 528 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added portionwise, keeping the internal temperature below $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h the resulting mixture was poured into ice with stirring. The slurry was filtered and the resulting solids were washed with water $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and airdried. After 2 d the solids were heated in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3000 mL ) until complete dissolution. The solution was allowed to cool to RT while hexane ( 3000 mL ) was added dropwise. The solids were collected by filtration, washed with hexane ( 500 mL ) and air-dried to give 23 ( $269 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ([D $\left.\left.\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (d, 1 H ), 7.94 (d, 1 H ), $9.90 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
4-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde (24): A mixture of acetate 23 ( $438 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(506 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{~mol})$ in MeOH $(4 \mathrm{~L})$ was stirred at RT for 16 h . Then, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a viscous oil. The residue was dissolved in water, and the solution was acidified using $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~N})$ and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to $1 / 3$ volume and the resulting solid was collected by filtration to give 24 (317 g, 88\%): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ([D. $\left.{ }_{6}\right]$ DMSO): $\delta=3.82$ (s, 3 H ), 7.19 (d, 1 H ), $7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.69 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI +) m/z: $198[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(Benzyloxy)-3-methoxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde (25): Phenol 24 ( $155 \mathrm{~g}, 786 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 1500 mL ) and the stirred solution was treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(217 \mathrm{~g}, 1.57 \mathrm{~mol})$ followed by benzyl bromide ( $161 \mathrm{~g}, 0.94 \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred
for 16 h , then concentrated under reduced pressure and separated between water ( 2 L ) and EtOAc ( 2 L ). The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution $(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~L})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting solids were triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~L})$ to give $25(220 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ([DD $\left.\left.{ }_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.77 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 288[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(Benzyloxy)-3-methoxy-2-nitrobenzonitrile (26): lodine (272 g, $1.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to a mixture of aldehyde 25 ( $220 \mathrm{~g}, 766 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium hydroxide ( $28 \%$ solution, 3 L ) dissolved in THF ( 5 L ). After 16 h the reaction mixture was treated with sodium sulfite ( $49 \mathrm{~g}, 383 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), then concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a thick slurry. The slurry was filtered, washed with water $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and air-dried to give nitrile 26 ( $206 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (d, 1 H ), $7.89 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-Amino-4-(benzyloxy)-3-methoxybenzonitrile (27): A degassed solution of nitrobenzonitrile 26 ( $185 \mathrm{~g}, 651 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in glacial acetic acid $(3500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with iron powder ( $182 \mathrm{~g}, 3.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). After 3 d at RT the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The oil, thus obtained, was treated with saturated aqueous NaCl solution, neutralized with sodium bicarbonate solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting emulsion was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, after which the organic layer was separated, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give 27 (145 g, 88\%): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=3.68$ (s, 3H), 5.15 (s, 2H), 5.69 (s, 2H), 6.47 (d, 1 H ), 7.15 (d, 1 H ), 7.32-7.44 ppm (m, 5H).

## 3-(Benzyloxy)-6-(4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-2-methoxyaniline

(28): A mixture of nitrile 27 ( $144 \mathrm{~g}, 566 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sulfur ( 55 g , 1.7 mol ) in ethylenediamine ( 800 mL ) was degassed for 30 min , then heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 16 h the reaction mixture was cooled to RT and then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting solids were recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane giving 28 ( $145 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ (brs, 2 H$), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 H), 6.32(d, 1 H), 6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-$ $7.48 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
8-(Benzyloxy)-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5amine (29): A mixture of amine 28 ( $100 \mathrm{~g}, 336 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine (TEA) ( 188 mL ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~L})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with cyanogen bromide ( $78.4 \mathrm{~g}, 740 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred and allowed to warm to RT gradually. After 16 h it was diluted with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution followed by multiple washes with saturated aqueous NaCl solution. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give 29 ( 130 g , quant.): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.48 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI + ) m/z: $323[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$N$-[8-(Benzyloxy)-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazo-
lin-5-yl]nicotinamide (30): Amine 29 ( $21 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and nicotinic acid ( $12 \mathrm{~g}, 97.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were suspended in DMF ( 240 mL ). $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) ( $33.7 \mathrm{~g}, 260.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and then (benzotria-zol-1-yloxy)tripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP; $51 \mathrm{~g}, 97.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the resulting mixture
was stirred with overhead stirring at RT for 3 d . The resultant precipitate was isolated by vacuum filtration. After repeated washing with EtOAc, the material was dried under reduced pressure with slight heating to give 30 ( $27.3 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+$ 2 drops [D]TFA): $\delta=3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (d, 1 H$), 7.37$ (d, 1 H$), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89$ (brm, 1 H ), 8.84 (d, 1 H ), 8.89 (brm, 1 H ), $9.32 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 428[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

N-(8-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5$y l) n i c o t i n a m i d e ~(31): ~ B e n z y l ~ e t h e r ~ 30(20 ~ g, ~ 45.1 ~ m m o l) ~ w a s ~ a d d e d ~$ portionwise over 1 h to a round-bottom flask containing trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) ( 400 mL ) precooled with an ice bath. The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir at this temperature for 17 h , at which time it was cooled to RT. Then, it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and hexane, and the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The material thus obtained was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting solids were dried overnight under reduced pressure with low heat to give 31 (bis-TFA salt; $17.3 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ([D6] $]$ DMSO +2 drops [D]TFA): $\delta=3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (d, 1 H$), 7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.38$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 12.21 (brs, 1 H ), $13.41 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} /$ z: $338[M+H]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 338.1253, found: 338.1252.

N-(7,8-Dimethoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl)nicotinamide (32 a): ${ }^{[32]}$ Potassium tert-butylate ( $69.9 \mathrm{mg}, 623 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a slurry of phenol $31(210 \mathrm{mg}, 623 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by dimethyl sulfate ( $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 2 h . Dimethyl sulfate ( $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was again added and the reaction mixture was stirred at RT for a further 2 h , then concentrated under reduced pressure and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1,5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Potassium tert-butylate ( $69.9 \mathrm{mg}, 623 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and dimethyl sulfate ( $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were again added. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 1 h , then concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to give 32 a ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ([D6] $]$ DMSO): $\delta=4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-$ 4.64 (m, 2H), 7.53 (d, 1H), 8.03-8.13 (m, 2H), 9.05 (d, 2H), $9.56 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI +) m/z: $352[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI m/z $[M+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 352.1410$, found: 352.1408.

## $N$-(8-Ethoxy-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-

$\mathrm{yl})$ nicotinamide ( 32 b ): Ethyl methanesulfonate ( $68 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a suspension of phenol 31 (TFA salt; 150 mg , $0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(433 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 7 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 48 h , then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-2 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give 32 b ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \quad\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+3$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=1.47(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (d, 1H), 8.07 (dd, 1 H$), 8.09$ (d, 1 H$), 9.02-9.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.58 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 366.1566$, found: 366.1569.
$N$-(8-Isobutoxy-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl)nicotinamide ( 32 c ): A suspension of phenol 31 (bis-TFA salt; $150 \mathrm{mg}, 265 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(346 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 5 mL ) was stirred at RT for 1.5 h . 2-Methylpropan-1-ol ( $51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 560 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and TEA ( $78 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 560 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were solubilized in anhydrous DMF ( 2 mL ), and this solution was slowly added to the previous suspension. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for $48 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $346 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was again added to the mixture which was
stirred for an additional 48 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was cooled to RT, then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography ( 0 $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give $32 \mathrm{c}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+3$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=1.06(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ (d, 2H), 4.25-4.32 (m, 2H), 4.56-4.63 (m, 2H), 7.51 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83$ (dd, 1 H$), 8.07$ (d, 1 H$), 8.80$ (d, 1 H ), 8.94 (dd, 1 H ), $9.49 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 394.1879, found: 394.1880.
tert-Butyl [3-(\{7-methoxy-5-[(pyridin-3-ylcarbonyl)amino]-2,3-di-hydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-8-yl\}oxy)propyl]carbamate (32d'): Phenol 31 ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 50 mL ) containing a few drops of water. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(7.24 \mathrm{~g}, 22.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Nal ( $0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, followed by tert-butyl (3-bromopropyl)carbamate ( $3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After the mixture was cooled to RT, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:9), and solids were removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel flash column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to afford 32d' (1.13 g, $51 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+2$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (brt, 1 H ), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91$ (m, 1H), $9.46 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$N$-[8-(3-Aminopropoxy)-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]qui-nazolin-5-yl]nicotinamide hydrotrifluoroacetate (32d): Carbamate $32 \mathbf{d}^{\prime}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of TFA $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(24 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at RT overnight, then concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a viscous yellow oil. Acetonitrile was added to the mixture, and the desired product 32 d was isolated by vacuum filtration as a white solid (TFA salt; $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+2$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=$ $2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.57 (m, 2H), 7.45 (d, 1 H$), 7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ (brm, 2 H$), 8.06$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.43 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

N-\{8-[3-(Dimethylamino)propoxy]-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (32e): A suspension of phenol 31 (bis-TFA salt; $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-chloro- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl-propan-1-amine hydrochloride ( $839 \mathrm{mg}, 5.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(5.76 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 40 mL ) was heated in a sealed tube at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to RT and concentrated under reduced pressure. Ice was added to the mixture and the precipitate was collected by filtration and dried under reduced pressure to give 32 e , without further purification ( 1 g , $67 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+3$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=2.26$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.37 (t, 2H), 4.61 (dd, 2H), 7.50 (d, 1 H ), 7.86-7.92 (m, 1 H$), 8.11$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.83-8.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96-9.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.52 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS $(E S I+) m / z: 423[M+H]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 423.2145$, found: 423.2145 .

## N -\{7-Methoxy-8-[3-(piperidin-1-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-

 zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide ( 32 f ): Phenol 31 (bis-TFA salt; $150 \mathrm{mg}, 265 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and 1-(3-chloropropyl)piperidine hydrochloride ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 796 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added to a suspension of NaH $(41.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 4 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , then $\mathrm{NaH}(41.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added again. After 2 h further $\mathrm{NaH}(41.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, along with 1-(3-chloropropyl)piperidine hydrochloride (158 mg, $796 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, then cooled to RT and carefully quenched by the addition of water. The organic phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the extract wasconcentrated under reduced pressure; trituration with EtOAc gave $32 \mathrm{f}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+3$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=1.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.92-3.00 (m, 2H), 3.23-3.29 (m, 2H), 3.53 (d, 2H), 4.04 (s, 3H), 4.25-4.31 (m, 2H), 4.37 (t, 2H), 4.57-4.63 (m, 2H), $7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ (dd, 1 H ), 8.11 (d, 1 H$), 8.73$ (d, 1 H$), 8.91$ (dd, 1 H$), 9.47 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 463.2458$, found: 463.2455.

4-(3-Chloropropyl)morpholine hydrochloride ( $32 \mathrm{~g} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$ ): To a solution of 1-bromo-3-chloropropane ( $45 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in toluene ( 100 mL ), morpholine ( $38 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The solution was stirred at $84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , during which time a precipitate formed. After the solution was cooled to RT, the precipitate was isolated by vacuum filtration and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the solid was discarded. The mother liquor was acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{~N})$ in dioxane ( 72 mL , 0.29 mol ), which resulted in the desired product precipitating as the HCl salt. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the resultant solid was dried to give $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{HCl}$ salt; 53 g , $90 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=2.21$ (m, 2H), 3.03 (m, 2H), 3.15 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 11.45 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{brs}$, 1 H ).

## N-\{7-Methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-

 zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide ( $\mathbf{3 2} \mathrm{g}$ ): Phenol 31 (bis-TFA salt; $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was solubilized in DMF ( 81 mL ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $14.4 \mathrm{~g}, 44.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at RT for 1.5 h , then 4 -(3-chloropropyl)morpholine hydrochloride ( $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$; $2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , then the crude mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was stirred in water ( 200 mL ) for 1 h , and the suspension was filtered and dried under reduced pressure to give $\mathbf{3 2 g}(3.26 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+2$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.65 (brt, 2H), $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34$ (brt, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.01 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS $(E S I+) m / z: 465[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}: 465.2250$, found: 465.2249 .$N$-\{7-Methoxy-8-[2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (32 h): Phenol 31 ( 1.34 g , $3.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ was solubilized in DMF ( 50 mL ) and $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil; $794 \mathrm{mg}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 20 min , then 4-(2-chloroethyl)morpholine hydrochloride ( $1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 7.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h , then was cooled to RT and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was solubilized in chloroform and the solution was washed with water. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography to give 32 h ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ): HRMS-ESI m/ $z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 451.2094, found: 451.2097.

N-[8-(4-Chlorobutoxy)-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]qui-nazolin-5-yl]nicotinamide ( $32 \mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ ): A mixture of 1-bromo-4-chlorobutane ( $57.0 \mathrm{mg}, 333 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), phenol 31 ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 302 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(296 \mathrm{mg}, 907 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ) was stirred at RT for 2 d . Then, the reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography to give $32 \mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ).

## N-\{7-Methoxy-8-[4-(morpholin-4-yl)butoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-

zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (32i): A mixture of chloride $32 \mathrm{i}^{\prime}(50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 117 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and morpholine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 4 mL ) was held at reflux for 18 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to RT and concentrated under reduced pressure.

Water was added to the mixture, and the precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $32 \mathbf{i}$ ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ), without further purification.

## N-\{7-Hydroxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-

 zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (33): Methyl ether $\mathbf{3 2 g}$ $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in N -methyl-2-pyrrolidinone ( 20 mL ) was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 10.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise. The reaction mixture was heated at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then cooled to RT and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting slurry was diluted with water ( 100 mL ) and the mixture was adjusted to pH 7 by the slow addition of aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N})$; the mixture was stirred for 2 h . The solid was collected via vacuum filtration and washed with water ( 50 mL ), and finally triturated with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ / heptane (1:1, 10 mL ). High-vacuum drying overnight gave 33 $(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+2$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=2.14-2.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.95-4.05 (m, 2H), 4.18-4.35 (m, 4H), 4.51-4.64 (m, 2H), 7.38 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 13.39 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI +) m/z: $451[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.N-\{8-[3-(Morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-7-propoxy-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (34a): Phenol 33 (TFA salt; $370 \mathrm{mg}, 655 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(452 \mathrm{mg}, 3.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ were suspended in DMF ( 3 mL ), and 1-iodopropane ( $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 5 h , then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(0-7 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give 34 a as an oil that solidified on standing. Trituration with cold acetone/ MeOH (9:1) gave a white solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ): MS (ESI +) m/z: 493.1 $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 493.2563, found: 493.2559.

N-\{7-(Allyloxy)-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (34b): To an orange-brown solution of phenol $33(50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 111 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~m} ; 62 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 330 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added, followed by allyl bromide ( $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $170 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The resulting pale-yellow mixture was stirred at RT for 1 d . Then, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and a small volume of MeOH , and the slurry was filtered. The filtrate was purified by flash chromatography $\left(5-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give $34 \mathbf{b}$ as a white solid (10 mg, 18\%): MS (ESI +) m/z: $491[M+H]^{+}$.

N-\{7-Isobutoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide ( 34 c ): To a slurry of phenol 33 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 222 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 4.0 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(16 \mathrm{mg}$, $670 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$; gas evolution was observed upon the dissolution of 33. After 30 min , 1-bromo-2-methylpropane ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 277 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The resulting orange solution was stirred at RT for 17 h . Then, further 1-bromo-2-methylpropane ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 292 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 3 h . Water was added, and the mixture was adjusted to pH 7 with a few drops of aqueous HCl $(1 \mathrm{~N})$. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography ( $5-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave 34 c as a white solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ ): MS (ESI +) m/z: $507[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$N$-\{7-(Cyclohexylmethoxy)-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-di-hydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (34d): A slurry of phenol $33(74.0 \mathrm{mg}, 164 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide ( 2 mL ) was treated with NaH ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil; $13.1 \mathrm{mg}, 329 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) for 30 min while being stirred vigorously. To the resulting solution was added (bromomethyl)cyclohexane ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 250 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $67.9 \mathrm{mg}, 246 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ); the mixture was stirred overnight and then
heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Dilution with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ resulted in a deep purple mixture which was filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The pad was washed with water and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 2: 8$ to $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 5: 95$ ) to give 34 d as a white solid ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ): MS (ESI +) m/z: $547[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 547.3033, found: 547.3033.

## N-\{8-[3-(Morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-7-[(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-

 methoxy]-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (34e): To a slurry of phenol $33(63.0 \mathrm{mg}, 140 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added NaH ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil; $8 \mathrm{mg}, 210 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). After $30 \mathrm{~min} \quad 4$-(bromomethyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran ( $27 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $210 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. The resulting orange solution was stirred at RT for 17 h . Then, further 4-(bromomethyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran $(27 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 210 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred for 3 h . Water was added and the mixture was adjusted to pH 7 with a few drops of aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N})$. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the combined extracts were dried, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (5$10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave 34 e as a white solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ ): MS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 549[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.$N$-\{8-[3-(Morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-7-[(pyridin-4-yl)methoxy]-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (34 f): To a slurry of phenol $33(100 \mathrm{mg}, 222 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaH}$ ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil; $27 \mathrm{mg}, 670 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added; gas evolution was observed upon the dissolution of 33 . After $20 \mathrm{~min}, 4$-(chloromethyl)pyridine hydrochloride ( $1: 1 ; 54.6 \mathrm{mg}, 333 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The resulting orange mixture was stirred at RT; after 2 h a thick slurry formed. Stirring was continued overnight. Then, water was added and the mixture was adjusted to pH 7 with a few drops of aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N})$. The slurry was filtered to give 34 f as a white solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+3$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=2.22-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{t}$, 2 H ), 5.64 (brs, 2 H ), 7.56 (d, 1 H$), 7.81-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.28 (brs, 2H), 8.75-8.89 (m, 1H), 8.93-9.02 (m, 1 H ), 9.11 (brs, 2H), $9.49 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI +) m/z: $542[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

5-Amino-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-8-ol bis(trifluoroacetate) (35): Amine 29 ( $30 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portionwise over 1 h to a round-bottom flask containing TFA $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ precooled with an ice bath. The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir at this temperature for 17 h , at which time it was cooled to RT and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and hexanes, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The material thus obtained was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was dried overnight under reduced pressure with low heat to give 35 ( 44.7 g , quant.): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.15 (brt, 2H), 6.87 (m, 1 H ), 7.61 ppm (m, 1 H ); MS (ESI +) m/z: 233 $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 7-Methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-

zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-amine (36): Phenol bis(trifluoroacetate) 35 $(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and TEA ( $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The suspension was stirred at RT for 1.5 h , after which time 5-amino-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-8-ol hydrotrifluoroacetate was isolated. Thus prepared, this compound was dissolved in DMF ( 10 mL ). $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
( $1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and chloride $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$ ( $218 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Additional chloride $32 \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$ ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and stirring was continued for 1 h . Another portion of chloride $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$ ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the temperature was increased to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h the reaction mixture was cooled to RT and filtered through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, washing with MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, dry-loaded onto silica gel and purified by chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} \rightarrow 9: 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH 85:15). The resultant oil was triturated with hexanes/EtOAc $(1: 1,15 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford 36 as a solid (171 mg, 44\%): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI +) m/z: 360.3 $[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## N-\{7-Methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}-1,3-benzodioxole-5-carboxamide (39a):

 To a vigorously stirred solution of amine 36 ( $50 \%$ purity; 154 mg , $214 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) containing piperonylic acid (1,3-benzo-dioxole-5-carboxylic acid; $53.4 \mathrm{mg}, 321 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and PyBOP ( 167 mg , $321 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added DIPEA ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 860 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. After 20 min a thick slurry formed, and stirring was continued for 4 h . The slurry was filtered and the solid was washed with EtOAc to give 39 a as a white solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+3$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=2.29$ (dd, 2H), 3.14-3.21 (m, 2H), 3.33-3.37 (m, 2H), $3.54(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.22-4.27 (m, 2H), 4.36 (t, 2H), 4.52-4.57 (m, 2H), 6.15 (s, 2H), 7.03 (d, 1 H$), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI +) m/z: $508[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $m / z[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 508.2196, found: 508.2198.
## N-\{7-Methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-

 zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}-2,4-dimethyl-1,3-thiazole-5-carboxamide (39b): Amine 36 ( $80 \%$ purity; $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 1.2 mL ), and 2,4-dimethyl-1,3-thiazole-5-carboxylic acid $(2.62 \mathrm{~g}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{PyBOP}(8.69 \mathrm{~g}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $7.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sequentially added. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT overnight, cooled, then filtered. The white solid was triturated with EtOAc, filtered and dried to give 39 b ( 450 mg , 8\%).
## N-\{7-Methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-

 zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}isonicotinamide (39c): To a vigorously stirred solution of amine 36 ( $50 \%$ purity; $100 \mathrm{mg}, 139 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 2.0 mL ) was added isonicotinic acid ( $25.7 \mathrm{mg}, 209 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), followed by DIPEA ( $97 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 560 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and PyBOP ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 209 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). After a few seconds a clear brown solution was obtained, then a thick slurry immediately formed. Stirring was continued overnight. The slurry was filtered and the solid was washed with EtOAc to give 39 c as a white solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ): MS (ESI +) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ : 465 (100) $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 465.2250, found: 465.2249.
## 2-Amino- $N$-\{7-methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihy-

 droimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}isonicotinamide (39 d): Amine 36 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 3 mL ), and 2-aminoisonicotinic acid ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. PyBOP ( 217 mg , $0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sequentially added, and the mixture was stirred at RT overnight and then concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by HPLC gave 39d ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ([D6] DMSO): $\delta=2.25$ (m, 2H), 3.13 (m, 2H), 3.31 (brt, 2H), 3.50 (d, 2H), 3.66 (t, 2H), 3.99 (brs, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 4.27 (dd, 2H), 4.35 (brt, 2H), 4.50 (dd, 2H), 7.38 (dd, 1 H ), 7.50 (d,$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (s, 1H), 8.06 (d, 1 H ), 8.08 (s, 1 H ), 8.42 (brs, 1 H$), 10.15$ (brs, 1 H ), $13.25 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI +) m/z: $480.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 6-Amino- $N$-\{7-methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihy-

 droimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (39e): To amine $36(150 \mathrm{mg}, 417 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and 6 -aminonicotinic acid $(69.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $501 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ) was added DIPEA ( $218 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), then $\operatorname{PyBOP}(261 \mathrm{mg}, 501 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 18 h . Amide 39 e was collected by filtration, washed with water ( 20 mL ) and oven-dried ( 112 mg , $56 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=1.88-2.02$ (m, 2H), 2.32-2.42 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}$, 1 H ), 8.04 (dd, 1 H ), 8.78 (d, 1 H ), $12.88 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI-) m/z: $478[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
## 6-(Dimethylamino)- $N$-\{7-methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-

 2,3-dihydroimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}nicotinamide (39 f): Amine 36 ( $50 \%$ purity; $150 \mathrm{mg}, 209 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 6-(dimethylamino)nicotinic acid ( $69.4 \mathrm{mg}, 417 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were suspended in DMF $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DIPEA $(110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 630 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. Then, solid PyBOP $(217 \mathrm{mg}$, $417 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added all at once. The mixture was stirred at RT for 3 d . The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with EtOAc and dried in a vacuum oven at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to give $39 \mathrm{f}(53.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $51 \%)$.N-\{7-Methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}pyrazine-2-carboxamide (39 g): Amine 36 ( $50 \%$ purity; $150 \mathrm{mg}, 209 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid ( $51.8 \mathrm{mg}, 417 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were suspended in DMF ( 1.0 mL ) and DIPEA ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 630 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Solid PyBOP ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 417 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added all at once. The mixture was stirred at RT for 7 d . The white solids were collected by filtration, washed copiously with EtOAc and dried in a vacuum oven at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight to give $39 \mathrm{~g}(59 \mathrm{mg}$, $61 \%)$.
$N$-\{7-Methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihydroimida-zo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}pyrimidine-5-carboxamide (39h): Amine 36 ( $80 \%$ purity; $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 5 mL ), and pyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. PyBOP ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sequentially added, and the mixture was stirred at RT overnight. EtOAc was added, and the precipitate was isolated by vacuum filtration to give $39 \mathrm{~h}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+2$ drops [D]TFA): $\delta=2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.67 (brt, 2H), $4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ (brt, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.48(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H); MS (ESI +) m/z: $466[M+H]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 466.2203, found: 466.2203.

2-Aminopyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid (39i'): Sodium (1Z)-2-(dime-thoxymethyl)-3-methoxy-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-olate ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), prepared as reported, ${ }^{[37]}$ was dissolved in DMF ( 12 mL ), and guanidine hydrochloride ( $640 \mathrm{mg}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then cooled to RT and diluted with water. Methyl 2-aminopyrimidine-5-carboxylate precipitated as a light yellow solid, which was isolated by vacuum filtration ( $510 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=3.79$ (s, 3 H ), 7.56 (brs, 2 H ), 8.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). Methyl 2-aminopyrimidine-5-carboxylate ( 300 mg , 2.0 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing a few drops of water. Lithium hydroxide ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, then diluted with water, and the solution was adjusted to pH 4 with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N})$. 2-Aminopyrimi-dine-5-carboxylic acid (39i') precipitated as a white solid, which
was isolated by vacuum filtration ( $244 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=7.44$ (brs, 2H), 8.63 (s, 2H), 12.73 (brs, 1 H ).

2-Amino-N-\{7-methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihy-droimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}pyrimidine-5-carboxamide (BAY 80-6946, 39 i): Amine 36 ( $80 \%$ purity; $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 5 mL ), and acid $39 \mathrm{i}^{\prime}(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. PyBOP $(173 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ were sequentially added, and the mixture was stirred at RT overnight. EtOAc was added, and the solids were isolated by vacuum filtration to give $39 \mathrm{i}(42.7 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}+$ 2 drops [D]TFA): $\delta=2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{brt}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34$ (brt, 2H), 4.54 (m, 2H), 7.43 (d, 1H), 8.04 (d, 1 H$), 9.01$ (s, 2H); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the bis-HCl salt ( $500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=2.30-2.37(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 3.11 (brs, 2H), $3.25-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.83-3.90 (m, 2H), 3.95-4.00 (m, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 4.17-4.22 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{t}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (s, 2H), 8.32 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 1 H ), 8.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 11.46$ (brs, 1H), 12.92 (brs, 1H), 13.41 (brs, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , [ $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=23.09,45.22,46.00,51.21,53.38,61.54,63.40,67.09$, 101.18, 112.55, 118.51, 123.96, 132.88, 134.35, 148.96, 157.25, 160.56, 164.96, 176.02 ppm; MS (ESI +) m/z: $481[M+H]^{+}$.

2-Amino-4-methylpyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid (39j'): To a solution of ethyl 2-amino-4-methylpyrimidine-5-carboxylate (1.00 g, 5.52 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(27 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 41 mL ) was added NaOH ( $2 \mathrm{~N}, 14 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at RT overnight. Then, the mixture was neutralized with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N}, 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated to $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ under reduced pressure and filtered to give $39 \mathrm{j}^{\prime}$ ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ): MS (ESI +) m/z: $154[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 2-Amino-N-\{7-methoxy-8-[3-(morpholin-4-yl)propoxy]-2,3-dihy-

 droimidazo[1,2-c]quinazolin-5-yl\}-4-methylpyrimidine-5-carboxamide ( 39 j ): To a solution of amine $36(100 \mathrm{mg}, 278 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and acid 39j' ( $42.6 \mathrm{mg}, 278 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 3.0 mL ) was added DIPEA ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 830 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and PyBOP ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 417 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at RT overnight. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with MeOH to give 39 j ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ): MS $(\mathrm{ESI}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 495[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.X-ray structure of copanlisib (BAY 80-6946, 39i) in complex with PI3K $\gamma$ : Protein was expressed in insect cells and purified using Ni affinity chromatography, ion-exchange chromatography (Resource Q) and size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 26/60). The protein was concentrated to $5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ in Tris ( $20 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{pH} 7.2$ ), $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.5 \mathrm{~mm})$, ethylene glycol ( $1 \%$ ), CHAPS ( $0.02 \%$ ) and DTT ( 5 mm ). Prior to crystallization, copanlisib ( 2 mm ) was added to the protein. Crystals were obtained using the sitting drop method by mixing an equal volume of protein and reservoir solution ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}+$ $1 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Crystals were obtained using PEG 4000 (19\%), $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ $(0.15 \mathrm{~m})$ and Tris ( $0.1 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ). Data were collected at the synchrotron facility at the SLS in Villigen, Switzerland. The structure was solved using 2CHX as search model. The structure was refined using REFMAC within the CCP4 suite. The crystallographic data for the structure have been deposited with the RCSB Protein Data Bank (PDB) with access code 5G2N.
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