

Original Article

Endocrinol Metab 2013;28:26-32 http://dx.doi.org/10.3803/EnM.2013.28.1.26 pISSN 2093-596X · eISSN 2093-5978

Association between Serum Albumin, Insulin Resistance, and Incident Diabetes in Nondiabetic Subjects

Ji Cheol Bae¹, Sung Hwan Seo¹, Kyu Yeon Hur¹, Jae Hyeon Kim¹, Myung-Shik Lee¹, Moon Kyu Lee¹, Won Young Lee², Eun Jung Rhee², Ki Won Oh²

¹Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine; ²Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Background: Serum albumin has been suggested to be associated with insulin resistance. We evaluated the association between serum albumin concentration and insulin resistance. We also investigated whether serum albumin level has an independent effect on the development of diabetes.

Methods: In our study, 9,029 subjects without diabetes, who underwent comprehensive health check-ups annually for 5 years, were categorized into tertiles based on their serum albumin levels at baseline. The odds ratio (OR) for the prevalence of insulin resistance, defined as the top quartile of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance and the presence of impaired fasting glucose and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, was evaluated cross-sectionally. Also, the hazard ratio (HR) for incident diabetes was estimated longitudinally, according to the baseline albumin tertiles using Cox proportional hazard analysis respectively.

Results: From the lowest to the highest tertile of albumin, the multivariable-adjusted ORs of insulin resistance increased significantly in both men and women. During the mean follow-up period of nearly 4 years, 556 (6.1%) subjects progressed to diabetes. The multivariable-adjusted HR (95% confidence interval [CI]) of diabetes in men were 1, 1.09 (95% CI, 0.86 to 1.40), and 1.10 (95% CI, 0.86 to 1.41), respectively, from the lowest to the highest tertiles of baseline albumin. Corresponding values for women were 1, 1.21 (95% CI, 0.66 to 2.21), and 1.06 (95% CI, 0.56 to 2.02), respectively.

Conclusion: Our study showed that increased serum albumin level was associated with insulin resistance. However, serum albumin did not have an independent effect on the development of diabetes.

Key Words: Serum albumin; Insulin resistance; Diabetes

INTRODUCTION

Albumin is one of the major proteins synthesized in the liver. Energy supply is a very important determinant for the normal physiology of albumin production [1]. Indeed, reduced serum albumin levels are observed in medical conditions associated with malnutrition [2-4], whereas high serum albumin levels have been reported to be associated with metabolic syndrome, an indicator of obesity and overnutrition [5]. In addition, recently, serum albumin has been suggested to be associated with insulin resistance [6].

In contrast, several studies reported that lower concentra-

Received: 2 January 2013, Accepted: 30 January 2013

Corresponding author: Ki Won Oh

Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 29 Saemunan-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 110-746, Korea

Tel: +82-2-2001-2482, Fax: +82-2-2001-1588, E-mail: okwendo@yahoo.co.kr

Copyright © 2013 Korean Endocrine Society

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

tions of serum albumin are associated with an increased risk of coronary heart disease, cardiovascular mortality, and carotid atherosclerosis [6,7]. Both the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of albumin in the atherogenetic process have been suggested as possible mechanisms for this inverse association [6-10]. Considering that oxidative stress and chronic inflammation play crucial roles in the generation of both insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes [11,12], the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of serum albumin may be associated with incident diabetes, as observed in the association with coronary heart disease, cardiovascular mortality, and carotid atherosclerosis.

Patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) or impaired fasting glucose (IFG) are considered to be insulin resistant [13-15]. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) is a useful method for evaluating insulin resistance [16]. Thus, in the present study, we evaluated the association between serum albumin concentration and insulin resistance, as estimated by HOMA-IR and the presence of IFG and NAFLD. We also investigated whether serum albumin level had an independent effect on the development of diabetes.

METHODS

Study population

More than 80,000 people undergo a comprehensive heath check-up each year at Total Healthcare Center at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital. Most of those examinees get a medical check-up on their own initiative or are employees of various companies or their spouses largely paid for the cost by their employer to promote health; considerable proportions of them get a medical check-up annually or biannually. All data containing anthropometric information, laboratory tests, radiology imaging results and coded answers to self-reported questionnaires were stored electronically in medical records.

Our initial data was provided by 10,950 subjects who participated in comprehensive health check-ups annually for 5 years (between January 2005 and December 2009). Based on records from 2005, 1,921 subjects were excluded from the final analysis for the following reasons: 1) positive serologic markers for either hepatitis B (n=558) or C (n=17) virus; 2) liver cirrhosis (n=8); 3) white blood cell count >11,000/mm³ (n= 98) or serum creatinine \geq 1.5 mg/dL (n=45); 4) self-reported diabetes and undiagnosed diabetes fasting plasma glucose concentration \geq 7.0 mmol/L or glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) \geq 6.5% (n=437); 5) malignancy (n=250); and 6) ab-

sence of data including HbA1c at any visit (n=632). Thus, final analyses were performed on 9,029 subjects (6,654 men and 2,375 women).

The informed consent requirement for this study was exempted by the Institutional Review Board because researchers only accessed the database for analysis purposes, and personal information was not accessed. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital.

Measurements

Serum albumin was measured by the Bromocresol green dyebinding method, using Bayer reagent packs on an automated chemistry analyzer (Advia 1650 Autoanalyzer, Bayer Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany). The intra-assay coefficient of variation was 1.3% and interassay coefficient of variation was 2.1%. Anthropometric variables, blood pressure (BP), and other biochemical markers were measured, as described previously [14]. Lifestyle information was self-reported.

Definitions

As a marker of insulin resistance, HOMA-IR was calculated using the following formula: HOMA-IR=[fasting insulin (μIU/mL)×fasting glycemia (mmol/L)]/22.5. Subjects with HOMA-IR level above the highest quartile (2.51 for men and 2.28 for women) were classified as having insulin resistance. IFG was defined as fasting plasma glucose between 100 and 125 mg/dL. Fatty liver was diagnosed using an abdominal ultrasonogram (Logic Q700 MR, GE, Milwaukee, WI, USA) based on known standard criteria, including hepatorenal echo contrast, liver brightness, deep attenuation, and vascular blurring. Several experienced radiologists performed the ultrasound exam. The development of diabetes was assessed from the annual records of all subjects and was defined as fasting plasma glucose \geq 126 mg/dL or A1_C \geq 6.5%. In addition, based on the self-reported questionnaire at each visit, subjects who had a history of diabetes or who currently used insulin or other oral antidiabetic drugs were considered to have developed diabetes.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as the number of subjects with the percentage (%) and the mean value with standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance and Pearson's chi-squared test were used to analyze any statistical differences in study participant characteristics between tertiles of serum albumin. The odds ratio (OR) for the prevalence of insulin resistance (defined as the top quartile of HOMA-IR and the presence of IFG and

EnM

NAFLD) was evaluated cross-sectionally according to the baseline albumin tertiles, using binary logistic regression. The hazard ratio (HR) for incident diabetes was estimated longitudinally based on the albumin tertiles at baseline, using binary Cox proportional hazard analysis. When analyzing the OR for the presence of NAFLD, subjects with alcohol intake >20 g/day were excluded.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the study subjects by tertiles of serum albumin. Fasting glucose, fating insulin, HOMA-IR, systolic BP, uric acid, and low density lipoprotein cholesterol increased with increasing albumin tertiles, in both men and women.

From the lowest to the highest tertile of albumin, the multivariable-adjusted ORs of insulin resistance (defined as the top

		Albumin tertile, g/dL	P value ^a	Tatal		
	<4.5	4.5-4.6	≥4.7	P value	Total	
Men						
No.	1,683	2,544	2,427		6,654	
Age, yr	45.8 ± 5.7	45.0 ± 5.0	44.4 ± 5.0	< 0.001	45.0 ± 5.2	
BMI, kg/m ²	24.2 ± 2.5	24.3 ± 2.6	24.5 ± 2.7	< 0.001	24.4 ± 2.6	
Albumin, mg/dL	4.32 ± 0.11	4.55 ± 0.05	4.80 ± 0.12	< 0.001	4.58 ± 0.21	
Fasting glucose, mg/dL	95.5±8.5	96.3 ± 8.5	97.6 ± 8.6	< 0.001	96.6 ± 8.6	
Fasting insulin, µIU/mL	7.99 ± 3.06	8.51 ± 3.13	9.14 ± 3.46	< 0.001	8.61 ± 3.27	
HOMA-IR	1.90 ± 0.91	2.04 ± 0.82	2.22 ± 0.91	< 0.001	2.07 ± 0.86	
Triglyceride, mg/dL	137.5 ± 85.2	147.4 ± 84.6	161.0 ± 91.0	< 0.001	149.8 ± 87.6	
LDL-C, mg/dL	109.8 ± 26.1	113.6 ± 26.7	119.4±27.4	< 0.001	114.8 ± 27.1	
HDL-C, mg/dL	49.0 ± 10.0	49.1 ± 10.1	50.6 ± 10.4	< 0.001	49.6 ± 10.4	
SBP, mm Hg	113.1 ± 13.6	114.6 ± 13.9	115.9 ± 14.8	< 0.001	114.7 ± 14.2	
Uric acid, mg/dL	5.93 ± 1.13	6.05 ± 1.17	6.27 ± 1.20	< 0.001	6.10 ± 1.18	
ALT, U/L	25.4±15.6	27.5 ± 14.9	31.8 ± 18.7	< 0.001	28.5 ± 16.8	
Smoking status						
Current smoking, n (%)	546 (32.4)	854 (33.6)	807 (33.3)	0.744	2,207 (33.2)	
Alcohol consumption	413 (24.6)	562 (22.1)	674 (27.7)	< 0.001	1,649 (24.8)	
		Albumin tertile, g/dL				
	<4.4	4.4-4.5	≥4.6	P value ^a	Total	
Women						
No.	763	869	743		2,375	
Age, yr	43.7 ± 4.7	43.5 ± 5.2	43.3 ± 5.3	0.349	43.5 ± 5.1	
BMI, kg/m ²	22.4 ± 2.7	22.3 ± 2.7	22.1 ± 2.7	0.075	22.2 ± 2.7	
Albumin, mg/dL	4.22 ± 0.11	4.52 ± 0.05	4.70 ± 0.12	< 0.001	4.45 ± 0.22	
Fasting glucose, mg/dL	90.7 ± 6.7	92.5 ± 7.6	94.6±8.5	< 0.001	92.6 ± 7.8	
Fasting insulin, µIU/mL	7.91 ± 2.86	8.30 ± 2.97	8.67 ± 2.79	< 0.001	8.30 ± 2.89	
HOMA-IR	1.78 ± 0.69	1.91 ± 0.75	2.04 ± 0.70	< 0.001	1.90 ± 0.73	
Triglyceride, mg/dL	90.3 ± 58.9	99.5 ± 56.4	96.9 ± 53.2	0.004	95.7±56.4	
LDL-C, mg/dL	99.9±25.4	105.1 ± 24.5	109.7 ± 26.7	< 0.001	104.9 ± 25.8	
HDL-C, mg/dL	56.7 ± 12.1	57.6 ± 12.9	60.0 ± 12.6	< 0.001	58.1 ± 12.6	
SBP, mm Hg	106.4 ± 13.9	108.7 ± 13.3	111.3 ± 14.7	< 0.001	108.7 ± 14.1	
Uric acid, mg/dL	4.03 ± 0.85	4.09 ± 0.81	4.19 ± 0.83	< 0.001	4.10 ± 0.83	
ALT, U/L	18.7 ± 7.0	19.1 ± 7.9	19.1 ± 6.8	0.569	18.9 ± 7.6	
Smoking status						
Current smoking, n (%)	13 (1.7)	5 (0.6)	8 (1.1)	0.09	26 (1.1)	
Alcohol consumption	23 (3.0)	24 (2.8)	20 (2.7)	0.923	67 (2.8)	

Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

BMI, body mass index; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; ALT, alanine aminotransferase.

^aBy one way analysis of variance.

Table 2. The Risk for Insulin Resistance Estimated by HOMA-IR and the Presence of IFG and NAFLD According to the Serum Albumin Level in Men

Albumin tertile, g/dL	Total (n=6,654)	IFG, n (%)	Adjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI)	P value
<4.5	1,683	466 (27.7)	1	-	1	-
4.5-4.6	2,544	788 (31.0)	1.22 (1.07-1.40)	0.004	1.17 (1.02-1.35)	0.028
≥4.7	2,427	896 (36.9)	1.65 (1.43-1.89)	< 0.001	1.42 (1.23-1.64)	< 0.001
Albumin tertile, g/dL	Total ^c (n=5,005)	NAFLD, n (%)	Adjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted ^d OR (95% CI)	P value
<4.5	1,270	371 (29.2)	1	-	1	-
4.5-4.6	1,982	719 (36.3)	1.39 (1.21-1.59)	< 0.001	1.34 (1.15-1.57)	< 0.001
≥4.7	1,753	826 (47.1)	2.05 (1.79-2.34)	< 0.001	1.93 (1.64-2.26)	< 0.001
Albumin tertile, g/dL	Total (n=6,654)	HOMA-IR ^e , n (%)	Adjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted ^f OR (95% CI)	P value
<4.5	1,683	284 (16.8)	1	-	1	-
4.5-4.6	2,544	595 (23.4)	1.48 (1.26-1.73)	< 0.001	1.39 (1.16-1.66)	0.011
≥4.7	2,427	757 (31.2)	2.17 (1.86-2.54)	< 0.001	1.77 (1.48-2.11)	< 0.001

HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aAdjusted for age; ^bAdjusted for age, triglycerides, high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), systolic blood pressure (BP), body mass index (BMI), presence of fatty liver, smoking status, and alcohol consumption; °1,649 were excluded due to alcohol intake >20 g per day; dAdjusted for age, triglycerides, HDL-C, LDL-C, systolic BP, BMI, presence of IFG, and smoking status; Subjects with HOMA-IR level above the highest quartiles (HOMA-IR >2.51); Adjusted for age, triglycerides, HDL-C, LDL-C, systolic BP, BMI, presence of fatty liver, presence of IFG, smoking status, and alcohol consumption.

Table 3. The Risk for Insulin Resistance Estimated by HOMA-IR and the Presence of IFG and NAFLD According to the Serum Albumin Level in Women

Albumin tertile, g/dL	Total (n=2,375)	IFG, n (%)	Adjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI)	P value
<4.4	763	73 (9.6)	1	-	1	-
4.4-4.5	869	136 (15.7)	1.77 (1.31-2.39)	< 0.001	1.67 (1.21-2.30)	0.002
≥4.6	743	194 (26.1)	3.43 (2.56-4.60)	< 0.001	3.27 (2.39-4.49)	< 0.001
Albumin tertile, g/dL	Total ^c (n=2,308)	NAFLD, n (%)	Adjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted ^d OR (95% CI)	P value
<4.4	740	53 (6.9)	1	-	1	-
4.4-4.5	845	87 (10.0)	1.51 (1.05-2.17)	0.026	1.32 (0.86-2.02)	0.207
≥4.6	723	81 (10.9)	1.66 (1.15-2.40)	0.005	1.59 (1.02-2.50)	0.041
Albumin tertile, g/dL	Total (n=2,375)	HOMA-IR ^e , n (%)	Adjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted ^f OR (95% CI)	P value
<4.4	763	136 (17.8)	1	-	1	-
4.4-4.5	869	217 (25.0)	1.49 (1.17-1.90)	0.001	1.34 (1.02-1.76)	0.036
≥4.6	743	232 (31.2)	2.03 (1.57-2.59)	< 0.001	1.82 (1.37-2.42)	< 0.001

HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aAdjusted for age; ^bAdjusted for age, triglycerides, high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), systolic blood pressure (BP), body mass index (BMI), presence of fatty liver, smoking status, and alcohol consumption; '67 were excluded due to alcohol consumption; '67 were excluded due to alcohol consumption; '68 were excluded due to alcohol consumption; '69 were excluded due to alcohol consumption; '60 were excluded due to alcohol consumption hol intake >20 g per day; dAdjusted for age, triglycerides, HDL-C, LDL-C, systolic BP, BMI, presence of IFG, and smoking status; Subjects with HOMA-IR level above the highest quartiles (HOMA-IR >2.28); Adjusted for age, triglycerides, HDL-C, LDL-C, systolic BP, BMI, presence of fatty liver, presence of IFG, smoking status, and alcohol consumption.

Table 4. Hazard Ratios for Developing Type 2 Diabetes According to Serum Albumin Tertiles at Baseline	Table 4. Hazard Ratios	for Developing Type 2	2 Diabetes According to Serum	Albumin Tertiles at Baseline
---	-------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------

	Albumin tertiles, g/dL			
	<4.5	4.5-4.6	≥4.7	
Men				
No. of subjects	1,683	2,544	2,427	
No. of subjects who developed diabetes (%)	101 (6.0)	180 (7.1)	197 (8.1)	
Person-years of follow-up	6,633	9,994	9,456	
Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) ^a				
Age and sex	1 (reference)	1.24 (0.97-1.59)	1.54 (1.21-1.96)	
Age, BMI, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, and SBP	1 (reference)	1.20 (0.94-1.54)	1.36 (1.06-1.74)	
Multivariable ^b	1 (reference)	1.09 (0.86-1.40)	1.10 (0.86-1.41)	
		Albumin tertiles, g/dL		
	<4.4	4.4-4.5	≥4.6	
Women				
No. of subjects	763	869	743	
No. of subjects who developed diabetes (%)	20 (2.6)	30 (3.5)	28 (3.8)	
Person-years of follow-up	3,039	3,449	2,932	
Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) ^a				
Age and sex	1 (reference)	1.32 (0.75-2.32)	1.50 (0.84-2.66)	
Age, BMI, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, and SBP	1 (reference)	1.28 (0.71-2.30)	1.56 (0.85-2.87)	
Multivariable ^b	1 (reference)	1.21 (0.66-2.21)	1.06 (0.56-2.02)	

CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; TG, Triglyceride; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

quartile of HOMA-IR and the presence of IFG and NAFLD) increased significantly in both men and women (Tables 2, 3). During the mean follow-up period of nearly 4 years (47.2 months), 556 (6.1%, 478 men and 78 women) of the 9,029 subjects progressed to diabetes. In the Cox proportional hazard model, after adjusting for age, body mass index, triglyceride, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, systolic BP, presence of IFG and fatty liver, smoking status, and alcohol consumption, the HRs (95% confidence interval [CI]) of diabetes in men were 1, 1.09 (95% CI, 0.86 to 1.40), and 1.10 (95% CI, 0.86 to 1.41), respectively, from the lowest to the highest tertile of albumin. Corresponding values for women were 1, 1.21 (95% CI, 0.66 to 2.21), and 1.06 (95% CI, 0.56 to 2.02), respectively (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In our study, serum albumin concentration was associated with higher levels of HOMA-IR, and the presence of IFG and NAFLD in nondiabetic subjects. Several studies have also reported that serum albumin was positively associated with metabolic syndrome or metabolic risk factors including lipid profile, BP, and body mass index [6,17-19]. These results suggest that serum

albumin is associated with insulin resistance.

Insulin resistance is a principal cause of type 2 diabetes [20] and serum albumin has been associated with insulin resistance [6,17-19]. However, in our study, serum albumin did not have independent effect on the development of diabetes. Although it is not clear whether there is causal relationship between insulin resistance and serum albumin levels, our results indicate that insulin resistance may affect serum albumin levels. Insulin resistance is by definition linked to hyperinsulinemia [21]. Nondiabetic patients with insulin resistance have compensatory hyperinsulinemia, a state which predisposes to the development of metabolic impairments, including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, IFG, and metabolic syndrome [14,22]. This compensatory hyperinsulinemia may contribute to this relationship between insulin resistance and serum albumin levels. Several studies investigating the possibility of a direct relationship between insulin and albumin synthesis have provided insight into that theory. Insulin has effects on the synthesis rates of liver proteins such as albumin and fibrinogen. In vivo in rats and in rat hepatocytes cultures, insulin increased albumin gene transcription and mRNA synthesis in a dose-dependent manner [23,24]. In contrast, insulin deficiency decreased both albumin gene transcription and mRNA concentration with a re-

^aEstimated by Cox proportional hazard analysis; ^bAdjusted for age, triglyceride, HDL-C, LDL-C, systolic BP, BMI, presence of IFG and fatty liver, smoking status, and alcohol consumption.

sultant decrease of albumin synthesis [23-25]. Additionally, in type 1 diabetes patients, insulin withdrawal resulted in a decrease in the albumin synthetic rate, with these changes being reversed by insulin [26]. In diabetic patients, however, plasma albumin concentration has been reported to be inversely related with HbA1c levels, revealing a large proportion of poorly controlled diabetes in patients with lower plasma albumin concentrations [27]. This inverse relationship may also be explained by the fact that poorly controlled type 2 diabetes has been associated with a further decrease in insulin production and secretion by the pancreatic β -cell [28,29].

While our results and those previously reported in other studies show that increased serum albumin is associated with several atherogenic risk factors including lipid profile, BP, body mass index, and insulin resistance [6], several prospective studies have demonstrated the cardioprotective role of serum albumin as lower concentrations of serum albumin were associated with increased risk of coronary heart disease, cardiovascular mortality and carotid atherosclerosis [7,30]. The antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of serum albumin in the atherogenetic process have been suggested as possible mechanisms for this inverse association [6-10]. Oxidative stress and chronic inflammation play crucial roles in the generation of both insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes [11,12]. The reported antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of serum albumin indicate that serum albumin may have an independent protective effect on incident diabetes, as observed in the association with carotid atherosclerosis and cardiovascular mortality. However, in our study, serum albumin did not have a protective effect on incident diabetes, suggesting that serum albumin does not have anti-inflammatory or antioxidant properties in the development of diabetes.

A limitation in the current study was that we did not use the 2 hours postload glucose test for diagnosing diabetes. This may have included subjects with undiagnosed diabetes at baseline and underestimated the development of diabetes during the follow-up period. Likewise, the self-reporting of diabetes and use of diabetic medications on the questionnaires included in our assessment of diabetes development may have also led to under-reporting of diabetes. Finally, the use of ultrasonography to diagnose NAFLD was another limitation in our study. Although our ultrasonography exams were performed by several experienced radiologists, we did not assess interobserver reliability or consider the degree of fatty liver.

In conclusion, our study showed that increased serum albumin level is associated with insulin resistance. However, anal-

ysis of the causal relationship indicated that serum albumin did not have an independent effect on the development of diabetes.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the staff at the Total Healthcare Center at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul, Korea. This work was supported by the Samsung Biomedical Research Institute grant, SBRI C-B0-226-1, C-B0-226-2.

REFERENCES

- 1. Doweiko JP, Nompleggi DJ. The role of albumin in human physiology and pathophysiology. Part III: albumin and disease states. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 1991;15:476-83.
- 2. Kaysen GA. Biological basis of hypoalbuminemia in ESRD. J Am Soc Nephrol 1998;9:2368-76.
- Yamanaka H, Nishi M, Kanemaki T, Hosoda N, Hioki K, Yamamoto M. Preoperative nutritional assessment to predict postoperative complication in gastric cancer patients. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 1989;13:286-91.
- 4. Seiler WO. Clinical pictures of malnutrition in ill elderly subjects. Nutrition 2001;17:496-8.
- 5. Kadono M, Hasegawa G, Shigeta M, Nakazawa A, Ueda M, Yamazaki M, Fukui M, Nakamura N. Serum albumin levels predict vascular dysfunction with paradoxical pathogenesis in healthy individuals. Atherosclerosis 2010;209: 266-70
- Ishizaka N, Ishizaka Y, Nagai R, Toda E, Hashimoto H, Yamakado M. Association between serum albumin, carotid atherosclerosis, and metabolic syndrome in Japanese individuals. Atherosclerosis 2007;193:373-9.
- Djousse L, Rothman KJ, Cupples LA, Levy D, Ellison RC. Serum albumin and risk of myocardial infarction and allcause mortality in the Framingham Offspring Study. Circulation 2002;106:2919-24.
- 8. Halliwell B. Albumin: an important extracellular antioxidant? Biochem Pharmacol 1988;37:569-71.
- 9. Zoellner H, Hofler M, Beckmann R, Hufnagl P, Vanyek E, Bielek E, Wojta J, Fabry A, Lockie S, Binder BR. Serum

- albumin is a specific inhibitor of apoptosis in human endothelial cells. J Cell Sci 1996;109(Pt 10):2571-80.
- Zhang WJ, Frei B. Albumin selectively inhibits TNF alphainduced expression of vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 in human aortic endothelial cells. Cardiovasc Res 2002;55: 820-9.
- 11. Ceriello A, Motz E. Is oxidative stress the pathogenic mechanism underlying insulin resistance, diabetes, and cardio-vascular disease? The common soil hypothesis revisited. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004;24:816-23.
- 12. Wellen KE, Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation, stress, and diabetes. J Clin Invest 2005;115:1111-9.
- 13. Bugianesi E, Gastaldelli A, Vanni E, Gambino R, Cassader M, Baldi S, Ponti V, Pagano G, Ferrannini E, Rizzetto M. Insulin resistance in non-diabetic patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: sites and mechanisms. Diabetologia 2005;48:634-42.
- 14. Bae JC, Cho YK, Lee WY, Seo HI, Rhee EJ, Park SE, Park CY, Oh KW, Sung KC, Kim BI. Impact of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease on insulin resistance in relation to HbA1c levels in nondiabetic subjects. Am J Gastroenterol 2010;105:2389-95.
- 15. Abdul-Ghani MA, Tripathy D, DeFronzo RA. Contributions of beta-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance to the pathogenesis of impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glucose. Diabetes Care 2006;29:1130-9.
- 16. Ikeda Y, Suehiro T, Nakamura T, Kumon Y, Hashimoto K. Clinical significance of the insulin resistance index as assessed by homeostasis model assessment. Endocr J 2001; 48:81-6.
- 17. Hostmark AT, Tomten SE, Berg JE. Serum albumin and blood pressure: a population-based, cross-sectional study. J Hypertens 2005;23:725-30.
- Danesh J, Muir J, Wong YK, Ward M, Gallimore JR, Pepys MB. Risk factors for coronary heart disease and acute-phase proteins. A population-based study. Eur Heart J 1999;20: 954-9.
- 19. Saito I, Yonemasu K, Inami F. Association of body mass index, body fat, and weight gain with inflammation mark-

- ers among rural residents in Japan. Circ J 2003;67:323-9.
- 20. Kahn CR. Banting Lecture. Insulin action, diabetogenes, and the cause of type II diabetes. Diabetes 1994;43:1066-84.
- 21. Shanik MH, Xu Y, Skrha J, Dankner R, Zick Y, Roth J. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia: is hyperinsulinemia the cart or the horse? Diabetes Care 2008;31 Suppl 2: S262-8.
- 22. Reaven GM. Pathophysiology of insulin resistance in human disease. Physiol Rev 1995;75:473-86.
- Lloyd CE, Kalinyak JE, Hutson SM, Jefferson LS. Stimulation of albumin gene transcription by insulin in primary cultures of rat hepatocytes. Am J Physiol 1987;252(2 Pt 1):C205-14.
- Peavy DE, Taylor JM, Jefferson LS. Time course of changes in albumin synthesis and mRNA in diabetic and insulintreated diabetic rats. Am J Physiol 1985;248(6 Pt 1):E656-63.
- 25. Kimball SR, Horetsky RL, Jefferson LS. Hormonal regulation of albumin gene expression in primary cultures of rat hepatocytes. Am J Physiol 1995;268(1 Pt 1):E6-14.
- 26. De Feo P, Gaisano MG, Haymond MW. Differential effects of insulin deficiency on albumin and fibrinogen synthesis in humans. J Clin Invest 1991;88:833-40.
- 27. Rodriguez-Segade S, Rodriguez J, Mayan D, Camina F. Plasma albumin concentration is a predictor of HbA1c among type 2 diabetic patients, independently of fasting plasma glucose and fructosamine. Diabetes Care 2005;28: 437-9.
- 28. Kahn SE. The relative contributions of insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction to the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2003;46:3-19.
- 29. Marshak S, Leibowitz G, Bertuzzi F, Socci C, Kaiser N, Gross DJ, Cerasi E, Melloul D. Impaired beta-cell functions induced by chronic exposure of cultured human pancreatic islets to high glucose. Diabetes 1999;48:1230-6.
- 30. Danesh J, Collins R, Appleby P, Peto R. Association of fibrinogen, C-reactive protein, albumin, or leukocyte count with coronary heart disease: meta-analyses of prospective studies. JAMA 1998;279:1477-82.