COVID-19 Infection: Viral Macro- and Micro-Vascular Coagulopathy and Thromboembolism/Prophylactic and Therapeutic Management

Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology and Therapeutics 2021, Vol. 26(1) 12-24 © The Author(s) 2020 © Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1074248420958973 journals.sagepub.com/home/cpt

(\$)SAGE

Antonis S. Manolis, MD¹, Theodora A. Manolis, MD², Antonis A. Manolis, BSc, MS³, Despoina Papatheou, MD⁴, and Helen Melita, MD⁴

Abstract

Coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19) predisposes patients to arterial and venous thrombosis commonly complicating the clinical course of hospitalized patients and attributed to the inflammatory state, endothelial dysfunction, platelet activation and blood stasis. This viral coagulopathy may occur despite thromboprophylaxis and raises mortality; the risk appears highest among critically ill inpatients monitored in the intensive care unit. The prevalence of venous thromboembolism in COVID-19 patients has been reported to reach \sim 10-35%, while autopsies raise it to nearly 60%. The most common thrombotic complication is pulmonary embolism, which though may occur in the absence of a recognizable deep venous thrombosis and may be due to *pulmonary arterial thrombosis* rather than embolism, resulting in thrombotic occlusion of small- to mid-sized pulmonary arteries and subsequent infarction of lung parenchyma. This micro-thrombotic pattern seems more specific for COVID-19 and is associated with an intense immuno-inflammatory reaction that results in diffuse occlusive thrombotic micro-angiopathy with alveolar damage and vascular angiogenesis. Furthermore, thrombosis has also been observed in various arterial sites, including coronary, cerebral and peripheral arteries. Biomarkers related to coagulation, platelet activation and inflammation have been suggested as useful diagnostic and prognostic tools for COVID-19-associated coagulopathy; among them, D-dimer remains a key biomarker employed in clinical practice. Various medical societies have issued guidelines or consensus statements regarding thromboprophylaxis and treatment of these thrombotic complications specifically adapted to COVID-19 patients. All these issues are detailed in this review, data from meta-analyses and current guidelines are tabulated, while the relevant mechanisms of this virus-associated coagulopathy are pictorially illustrated.

Keywords

COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, venous thromboembolism, deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, pulmonary arterial thrombosis, coagulopathy, endothelial dysfunction

Key Points

- Coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19) predisposes patients to arterial and venous thrombosis that raises mortality and is attributed to the inflammatory state, endothelial dysfunction, platelet activation and blood stasis
- The most common pattern is *pulmonary arterial thrombosis* resulting in thrombotic occlusion of small- to mid-sized pulmonary arteries and subsequent infarction of lung parenchyma
- Biomarkers related to coagulation, platelet activation and inflammation have been suggested as useful diagnostic and prognostic tools for COVID-19-associated coagulopathy; among them, D-dimer remains a key biomarker employed in clinical practice
- Current guidelines or consensus statements can guide thromboprophylaxis and treatment of these thrombotic complications specifically adapted to COVID-19 patients

Introduction

Coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19) has been notorious for the pulmonary and cardiovascular complications that it confers.¹⁻⁴ However, more recently, this novel virus, responsible for an unprecedented pandemic disease and the world-wide turmoil

¹ First Department of Cardiology, Athens University School of Medicine, Athens, Greece

³ Patras University School of Medicine, Patras, Greece

Manuscript submitted: August 22, 2020; accepted: August 25, 2020.

Corresponding Author:

Antonis S. Manolis, First Department of Cardiology, Ippokrateio Hospital, Vas. Sofias 114, Athens 115 27, Greece. Email: asm@otenet.gr

²Red Cross Hospital, Athens, Greece

⁴Onassis Cardiac Surgery Center, Athens, Greece

that it has created, has also been demonstrated to predispose patients to arterial and venous thromboses attributable to the inflammatory state, platelet activation, endothelial dysfunction, and blood stasis.⁵

A *viral coagulopathy* correlating with poor prognosis has been amply described in recent reports in patients with COVID-19 infection who may exhibit pulmonary embolism (PE); venous, arterial, and microvascular thrombosis; lung endothelial injury; and associated thrombotic complications leading to and/or worsening acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).⁶ D-dimers and fibrin/fibrinogen degradation products are particularly elevated in these patients.⁷ However, this coagulopathy is not characterized by consumption of coagulation factors, as seen in disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC).⁸

The molecular mechanisms responsible for the hypercoagulable state observed in patients with COVID-19 are still incompletely elucidated; however, there appears to be a close link between inflammatory and hemostatic systems (Figure 1).9,10 Current data suggest that COVID-19 can infect endothelial cells with an ensuing associated immune response and attendant activation of inflammatory pathways resulting in dysregulation of the endothelium, leukocyte activation, neutrophil extracellular traps (NET) generation (a matrix of DNA decorated with neutrophil granule proteins, such as myeloperoxidase, cathepsin G, and neutrophil elastase), complement deposition, and platelet activation and consumption.¹⁰ It has been suggested that the virus instigates the process of pyroptosis, an inflammatory form of programmed cell death observed upon infection with intracellular pathogens, which could contribute to the endothelial cell death after COVID-19 infection and could increase proinflammatory cytokine releases, such as interleukin (IL)-1 beta and IL-18.11 Both these pathologic processes of endothelial dysfunction and pyroptosis might lead to systemic thrombotic events.¹¹ Monitoring of coagulation indices in severely ill COVID-19 patients seems imperative to identify those patients at increased thromboembolic risk and to adjust thromboprophylaxis accordingly.

Furthermore, there are some data for complement participating in the pathogenesis of thrombosis and end-organ damage in these patients.¹² It has been proposed that SARS-CoV-2 may also trigger complement activation through its recognition by the host as a foreign pathogen, by acting as a cofactor to enhance lectin pathway activation, and by invading and injuring ACE2 receptor-expressing host cells, with all these actions promoting a thromboinflammatory response, which in turn, recircles to further amplify complement activation and clotting.¹² Hence the suggestion that early intervention for COVID-19 with anticomplement agents may be important to limit cell/ tissue damage, which remains to be tested (see discussion below). As mentioned, in addition to complement, neutrophils yielding high tissue factor (TF) expression and releasing NETs carrying active TF, also contribute to the maladaptive immune response that leads to hyper-inflammatory reaction and thrombotic microangiopathy; hence, the recommendation for strategies against SARS-CoV-2 that exploit complement and/or NET inhibition.^{10,13} Finally, hypoxia has been suggested as a potent



Figure 1. The schema illustrates the proposed mechanisms of SARS-Cov-2-induced coagulopathy. The virus not only enters the host lung epithelial cells but can invade endothelial cells, as well. Infection of host cells leads to the release of damage- or danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) (host biomolecules that can initiate and perpetuate a noninfectious inflammatory response by activating the innate immune system), and also the release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Furthermore, leukocytes and platelets are recruited and activated that finally lead to initiation of intravascular thrombin generation which further activates endothelial cells, platelets and leukocytes in a continuous feedback loop that perpetuates thrombin generation and thrombosis. In this cascade, complement activation also plays a prothrombotic role by recruiting leukocytes and amplifying platelet activation and enhancing endothelial dysfunction and proinflammatory actions. The hypoxic milieu can further enhance these processes. This thrombotic cascade finally leads to clinical manifestations of this viral coagulopathy that include deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, arterial thrombosis, microvascular thrombosis and ischemic stroke. NETs = neutrophil extracelluar traps; PolyP = polyphosphate; TF = tissue factor; vWF = Von Willebrand factor.

trigger of thrombosis in COVID-19 that may also offer an explanation of the apparent resistance of COVID-19 patients to standard dose of heparin for effective thromboprophylaxis.¹⁴

Venous Thromboembolism (VTE)/Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)/Pulmonary Embolism (PE)/Pulmonary Arterial Thrombosis

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) very commonly complicates the clinical course of inpatients with COVID-19, despite thromboprophylaxis; the risk appears highest among critically ill inpatients monitored in the intensive care unit (ICU).¹⁵ The incidence of VTE in COVID-19 patients has been reported to occur in ~10-35%, with autopsies indicating that it may reach nearly $60\%^{12,16}$; with pulmonary embolism being the most common thrombotic complication.¹⁷ A recent large meta-analysis of 44 studies reporting on acute complications and mortality in 14,866 hospitalized COVID-19 patients indicated that VTE occurred in 15%; however, the authors admit to very low-quality evidence due to high heterogeneity and risk of bias (Table 1).¹⁸

| Author/Year | No of Studies/ Patients | VTE | PE | DVT | Comments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------|-------|--|
| Potere et al / 2020 ¹⁸ | 44 / 14,866 | 15% | | | N.B.: The number of studies reporting on VTE is limited to only 3 studies (318 patients) |
| Wang et al / 2020 ¹⁹ | 28 / 4138 | | | 16% | Pooled DVT prevalence 23% in patients treated in ICU vs 5% in patients treated in non-ICU (P < 0.01); 30% in patients from China vs 13% in those from western countries (P < 0.01) |
| Chi et al / 2020 ²⁰ | / 98 | 23.9% | 11.9% | 11.9% | VTE incidence in ICU setting: 30.4% vs 13% in ward setting PE: 15.7% in ICU vs 2.4% in ward DVT: 10.6% in ICU vs 13.6% in ward |
| Hasan et al / 2020 ²¹ | 12 / 899 | 31% | | | All patients were in ICU receiving prophylactic or therapeutic anticoagulation |
| Fontana et al / | / 369 | | | | • Much greater risks in ICU patients, up to 53.8% |
| 202013 | | 4.4-8.2% (all patients /3 studies) 0-35.3% (ICU patients /6 studies) | | | N.B.: these numbers occurred despite thromboprophylaxis |

Table I. Meta-Analyses of Observational Studies Reporting on Thrombotic Complications in Patients With COVID-19 Infection.

DVT = deep vein thrombosis; ICU = intensive care unit; PE = pulmonary embolism; VTE = venous thromboembolism.

A recent systematic search of 28 articles reporting 397 deep vein thrombosis (DVT) cases in a total of 4138 COVID-19 patients indicated that the pooled estimate of the prevalence for DVT was 16% by using a random-effects model.¹⁹ According to patients' geographic location, a much higher pooled prevalence of DVT was found in COVID-19 patients from China (30%) compared with those from Western countries (13%, P < 0.01). The pooled prevalence of DVT in COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU was much higher (23%) compared to COVID-19 patients not requiring ICU monitoring (5%, P < 0.01).

A recent study of 184 ICU patients with COVID-19 pneumonia of whom 23 died (13%), 22 were discharged alive (12%) and 139 (76%) were still in the ICU, all receiving thromboprophylaxis, indicated that the cumulative incidence of the composite outcome (PE, DVT, ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction or systemic arterial embolism) was 31%.¹⁷ Computed tomography (CT) pulmonary angiography (CTPA) and/ or ultrasonography confirmed VTE in 27% and arterial thrombotic events in 3.7%, while PE was the most frequent thrombotic complication (n = 25, 81%). Age (adjusted hazard ratio - aHR 1.05/per year) and coagulopathy, defined as spontaneous prolongation of the prothrombin time > 3 s or activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) > 5 s (aHR 4.1), were independent predictors of thrombotic complications.

Another study of 101 COVID-19 in-hospital patients undergoing duplex ultrasound (DUS) for clinically suspected DVT indicated that 42 were positive for DVT, 7 for superficial thrombophlebitis and 24 for PE, 8 of which associated with a DVT.²² Time of onset varied greatly, but diagnosis was more frequent in the first 2 weeks since hospital admission (73.8%). Most PEs involved the most distal pulmonary vessels, and two thirds occurred in absence of a recognizable DVT, suggesting a primary thrombosis rather than embolism.²²

A single-center review of 214 CTPA studies in 1477 patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 indicated that the diagnostic yield for PE was 37%.²³ The overall proportion of PE in patients with COVID-19 was 5.4%. The proportions with Wells score of ≥ 4 ("PE likely") was 33/134 (25%) without PE vs 20/80 (25%) with PE (P = 0.951). The median National Early Warning-2 (NEWS2) score (illness severity) was 5 in the PE group vs 4 in those without PE (P = 0.133). D-dimer was higher in PE (median 8000 ng/mL) than non-PE (2060 ng/mL, P < 0.001). In the "low probability" group, D-dimer was higher (P < 0.001) in those with PE but had a limited role in ruling out PE. The authors concluded that in a non-critical care setting, PE in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 is common with almost half of PE events diagnosed upon hospital admission.

Among 25 critically ill COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU, DVT screening at days 5-10 of admission yielded a 32% prevalence of VTE; proximal DVT was detected in 6 (24%), and PE in 5 (20%).²⁴ The majority of events (75%) occurred before screening, suggesting a need for earlier screening.

A meta-analysis of 11 cohort studies indicated that among hospitalized COVID-19 patients, 23.9% developed VTE despite anticoagulation.²⁰ PE was detected in 11.6% and DVT in 11.9% of patients. Patients in the ICU had a higher risk for VTE (30.4%) than those in the ward (13%). Patients who developed VTE had higher D-dimer levels compared with those who did not develop VTE (mean difference, 2.05 µg/ mL; P = 0.02). Another meta-analysis of 12 studies where all patients were receiving low-molecular weight (LMWH) or unfractionated heparin (UFH) for thromboprophylaxis, showed that the pooled prevalence of VTE among ICU patients was 31% (95% CI 20-43%).²¹

As alluded to in the above-mentioned studies, VTE can occur in non-critically ill patients with COVID-19 infection. According to a retrospective cohort study of 289 patients (mean age 62.2 \pm 17.0 years, 59% male) admitted to general wards with confirmed COVID-19, VTE imaging tests were performed in 100 patients (34.6%) and VTE was detected in 49 patients (17%); PE was diagnosed in 42 patients (14.5%), cerebral venous thrombosis in 3 patients (1%) and DVT in 12 patients (4.2%).²⁵ The composite of death or transfer to ICU occurred in 90 patients (31%) and was almost 2-fold higher in VTE patients (47.9% vs 27.9%, P = 0.01). Lack of thromboprophylaxis was a major determinant of VTE in non-ICU COVID-19 patients.

Importantly, several data indicate that the majority of diagnosed "PE" cases occur in the absence of a recognizable DVT and may be due to primary in-situ thrombosis (*pulmonary arterial thrombosis*) rather than embolism, resulting in thrombotic occlusion of small- to mid-sized pulmonary arteries and subsequent infarction of lung parenchyma (see discussion below).^{22,26,27} In this context, thrombosis of small and midsized pulmonary arteries was found in various degrees in 11 deceased patients with COVID-19,²⁶ while in another series, histologic analysis of pulmonary vessels in 7 lungs obtained during autopsy from patients who died from COVID-19, showed widespread thrombosis with microangiopathy; alveolar capillary microthrombi were 9-fold more prevalent in patients with COVID-19 compared with patients with influenza (P < 0.001).²⁸

Other Systemic Thrombosis/ Thromboembolism

Case reports of systemic thrombosis have recently been published, such as cerebral venous thrombosis in a young woman with COVID-19 infection,²⁹ acute ophthalmic artery occlusion in a young patient with COVID-19 infection despite receiving apixaban for DVT,³⁰ femoral artery thrombosis in a young patient with non-severe COVID-19 infection,³¹ and acute superior mesenteric artery thrombosis causing acute intestinal ischemia.³² Even a case of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) with evidence of thrombosis (lung, upper extremity, skin) was recently reported.³³ Peripheral arterial embolism has occasionally been reported as the initial presentation of patients with COVID-19 infection.³⁴

A recent study comparing 16 patients with COVID-19 (age 70 \pm 14 years, 7 women) undergoing lower extremity CT angiogram (CTA) with 32 propensity-score matched control patients (age 71 \pm 15 years, 16 women), showed that all COVID-19 patients had at least 1 arterial thrombus while only 69% of controls had arterial thrombi (P = 0.02); proximal thrombi were present in 94% of COVID-19 patients compared with 47% of controls (P < 0.001).³⁵ Death or limb amputation was more common in COVID-19 patients (odds ratio-OR 25, P < 0.001).

Furthermore, recent data from 115 patients presenting with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and concurrent COVID-19 infection indicated that there was a strong trend toward higher thrombus burden and poorer outcomes.³⁶ Interestingly, other data from a recent case of STEMI have indicated the presence of microvascular thrombi accounting for the dismal outcome of this STEMI patient in the absence of epicardial vessel occlusion.³⁷ Another case of STEMI in a COVID-19 patient complicated by endocavitary thrombus was recently described despite receiving anticoagulation therapy; D-dimer levels were inordinately elevated and the patient succumbed to his disease.³⁸ A case of multiple coronary thromboses causing STEMI in a patient with COVID pneumonia was reported who was managed with thromboaspiration, coronary stenting and combined antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy.³⁹ Finally, a high rate of stent thrombosis (4/19 or 21%) was recently reported in COVID-19 patients presenting with STEMI managed with primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), indicating a possible need to modify STEMI management for COVID-19 patients.⁴⁰

Importantly, pathological data indicate that thrombosis appears to be a prominent feature in multiple organs, in some cases despite full anticoagulation and regardless of timing of the disease course, as suggested by the finding of megakaryocytes and platelet-rich thrombi in the lungs, heart and kidneys.⁴¹

Hypercoagulable State

The association of COVID-19 infection severity with changes in hemostatic parameters was explored in a meta-analysis of 60 studies comparing 5487 patients with severe and 9670 patients with mild COVID-19 infection.⁴² The meta-analysis found a higher prothrombin time (PT) (standardized mean difference-SMD: 0.41), D-dimer (SMD: 0.67), and fibrinogen values (SMD: 1.84), with lower platelet count (SMD: -0.74) among severe infection patients. Analysis of 25 studies on 1511 COVID-19 non-survivors and 6287 survivors showed higher PT (SMD: 0.67) and D-Dimer values (SMD: 3.88), with lower platelet count (SMD: -0.60) among non-survivors. Regression models showed that C-reactive protein (CRP) values were correlated with the difference in PT and fibrinogen levels.

A recent systematic review of 16 studies pointed to existing correlations between COVID-19 infection, severe elevation of D-dimer levels, and increase in the rate of complications.⁴³ Such findings suggest a significant role of early and continuous D-dimer monitoring and labeled anticoagulation as management tools for COVID-19 disease to prevent complications and reduce interventions, as COVID-19 patients treated with anti-coagulants demonstrated lower mortality compared with those not treated (P = 0.017).

Impaired Fibrinolysis

COVID-19 patients present not only with hypercoagulability but also with impaired fibrinolysis. Fibrinolytic activity and thrombin generation were assessed in 78 COVID-19 patients, of whom 48 were admitted to the ICU and 30 to the medical ward.⁴⁴ All patients received thromboprophylaxis with heparin. A high thrombin generation capacity was observed, which was not mitigated with heparin therapy; furthermore, a hypofibrinolytic state was observed, mainly associated with increased plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) levels. Similarly, another study of 21 COVID patients in the ICU who had a rotational thromboelastometry test, indicated that 11 (52.4%) met the criteria for impaired fibrinolysis.⁴⁵ Nine (42.9%) patients had been diagnosed with VTE. Eight (89%) of these 9 VTE patients met criteria for impaired fibrinolysis. The authors concluded that these data support the use of viscoelastic testing to evaluate for the presence of impaired fibrinolysis to identify patient subsets who might benefit from the administration of fibrinolytics.

Thromboembolism Versus Microthrombosis

As already mentioned, there seem to be 2 phenotypic patterns of thrombotic manifestations of COVID-19 infection, the classical *thromboembolic* disease, also observed in other types of sepsis, and the diffuse *micro-thrombotic* type, prevailing in the lungs but occasionally extending to other organs, as well.^{26,46} Both types can cause severe disease and are potentially lethal. The micro-thrombotic pattern seems more specific for COVID-19; it is characterized by hypercoagulability associated with an intense immuno-inflammatory reaction that results in diffuse occlusive thrombotic micro-angiopathy with alveolar damage and vascular angiogenesis. Coexisting impaired fibrinolysis exacerbates the thrombotic process and leads to persistence of micro-thrombi.⁴⁶

The role of vascular angiogenesis as a distinctive feature of COVID-19 infection was recently demonstrated in a small pathology series, where vascular angiogenesis distinguished the pulmonary pathobiology of COVID-19 from that of equally severe influenza virus infection; the lungs from patients with COVID-19 had widespread vascular thrombosis with microangiopathy and occlusion of alveolar capillaries.²⁸ Similar findings were reported by another pathology series, where thrombosis of small and mid-sized pulmonary arteries was found in various degrees in 11 deceased patients with COVID-19 and was associated with infarction in 8 patients and bronchopneumonia in 6 patients.²⁶

Pulmonary Angiopathy/Computed Tomography Findings

A recent study comprising 39 consecutive patients with COVID-19 pneumonia (32 males, age 53 \pm 10 years, 64% black and ethnic minority) undergoing CTPA and/or dualenergy CT showed that there was a significant vascular perfusion abnormality and increased physiologic dead-space with evidence of hypercoagulability and fibrinolytic suppression.⁴⁷ Perfusion defects on CT (assessable in 18/20 [90%]) were present in all patients. The authors concluded that these data show not only the presence of a hypercoagulable phenotype in severe COVID-19 pneumonia but also markedly impaired pulmonary perfusion likely caused by pulmonary angiopathy and thrombosis. Similarly, among 34 COVID-19 patients who underwent CTPA, 26 had PE (76%; 20 males, median age 61 years, 77% with comorbidities).⁴⁸ Mortality was at 31% in this group. Importantly, 8 PE patients had been under thromboprophylaxis with low-molecular-weight heparin; 4 PE patients had DVT at ultrasound examination.

Another study of 51 patients (mean age 45 years, 74.5% men) with proven COVID-19 pneumonia receiving extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) investigated the presence and extension of pulmonary thromboembolic disease via chest CT.⁴⁹ All patients had severe COVID-19 pneumonitis, and 18/51 (35.3%) had macroscopic thrombosis (15 with associated ischemia); however, 13/51 (25.5%) patients had ischemia without associated thrombus, highly suggestive of lung ischemia due to isolated microvascular immune thrombosis.

Markers of Thrombosis

Clinical criteria are of utmost importance in rendering a diagnosis of VTE, which are greatly enhanced with use of D-dimer levels.⁵⁰ As mentioned, multi-detector CTPA to diagnose PE and compression ultrasound to diagnose DVT are the preferred imaging modalities. The development of PE-excluding algorithms are most important, e.g. applying the YEARS diagnostic algorithm in patients with suspected PE which includes 3 clinical items (clinical signs of DVT, hemoptysis, and whether PE is the most likely diagnosis) and D-dimer levels, PE can be safely excluded.⁵¹ In this context, several biomarkers related to coagulation, platelet activation and inflammation have been suggested as useful prognosticators of the occurrence of thrombotic complications in patients with COVID-19 infection (Table 2). Among them, D-dimer levels seem to be the strongest predictor.⁵²

A recent retrospective study of 158 COVID-19 patients undergoing venous duplex ultrasound testing which rendered a DVT diagnosis in 52 patients showed that all patients had increased D-dimer levels using conventional criteria, and 154/ 158 (97.5%) had increased levels with age-adjusted criteria (mean D-dimer 16,163 \pm 5,395 ng/mL).⁵² Those with DVT had higher D-dimer levels than those without DVT (median 13,602 vs. 2,880, P < 0.001); an optimal D-dimer cutoff of 6,494 ng/mL could differentiate those with and without DVT (sensitivity 80.8%, specificity 68.9%, negative predictive value 88.0%). Wells DVT criteria were not found to be a significant predictor of DVT. The authors concluded that D-dimer levels are uniformly elevated in COVID-19 patients; a D-dimer of <6,494 ng/mL may exclude DVT, thus potentially limiting the need for venous duplex ultrasonography.

Another study indicated that high D-dimer levels at initial presentation were predictive of coagulation-associated complications during hospitalization (D-dimer >2500 ng/mL, adjusted odds ratio - OR for thrombosis 6.79, for bleeding 3.56), critical illness, and death.⁵³ Additional markers at initial presentation

 Table 2. Markers of Thrombosis in Patients With COVID-19

 Infection.

| Coagulation Markers D-dimer (the most useful marker) Fibrinogen Fibrin/fibrinogen degradation products von Willebrand Factor |
|--|
| PT/APTT |
| Platelet count |
| Platelet Activation |
| Thromboxane B2 |
| P-selectin |
| Soluble CD40 ligand |
| Mean platelet volume |
| Inflammation Markers |
| Very high CRP |
| High ESR |
| Ferritin |
| Procalcitonin |

APTT = activated partial thromboplastin time; CRP = C-reactive protein; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; PT = prothrombin time.

predictive of in-hospital thrombosis included platelet count >450 \times 109/L (adjusted OR, 3.56), C-reactive protein (CRP) >100 mg/L (adjusted OR, 2.71), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) >40 mm/h (adjusted OR, 2.64). ESR, CRP, fibrinogen, ferritin, and procalcitonin were higher in patients with thrombotic complications than in those without.

A study of 184 COVID patients in the ICU setting indicated that spontaneous prolongation of the prothrombin time (PT) > 3 s or activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) > 5 s (adjusted hazard ratio-aHR 4.1, 95%CI 1.9-9.1), were independent predictors of thrombotic complications.¹⁷

Another study of 100 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 (median age 65 years) proposed that certain biomarkers of platelet activation are associated with thrombosis or death in patients hospitalized with COVID-19.⁵⁴ Specifically, plasma levels of thromboxane B2 (TxB2) (P = 0.006), P-selectin (P = 0.005), soluble CD40 ligand (sCD40 L) (P = 0.016) and mean platelet volume (MPV) (P = 0.012) were independently associated with the composite of thrombosis or death. Of the 14 patients who experienced a thrombotic event, only TxB2 was associated with thrombosis after multivariable adjustment (P = 0.013). Of the 24 deceased patients, TxB2 (P = 0.006), P-selectin (P = 0.005), sCD40 L (P = 0.016) and MPV (p = 0.012) were associated with all-cause mortality after multivariable adjustment.

Therapeutic Interventions

As thrombotic complications are key determinants of the high mortality rate in patients with COVID-19 infection, strategies of thromboprophylaxis are of paramount importance to combat these potentially lethal complications. Several antithrombotic agents have been proposed as potential therapies to prevent COVID-19-associated thrombosis, including, low-molecular weight heparin (LMWH) or unfractionated heparin (UFH), direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs), antiplatelet agents, FXII inhibitors, thrombolytic drugs, and nafamostat, many of which also possess pleiotropic anti-inflammatory or anti-viral effects.¹⁰

However, VTE has been reported despite seemingly adequate thromboprophylaxis. Hence an intensified antithrombotic therapy has been suggested. However, bleeding complications of such intensified antithrombotic therapy may lurk. According to a multicenter retrospective study of 400 hospital-admitted COVID-19 patients (144 critically ill) primarily receiving standard-dose prophylactic anticoagulation, VTE rate was 4.8%, and the overall thrombotic complication rate was 9.5%.53 The overall and major bleeding rates were 4.8% and 2.3%, respectively. In the critically ill, VTE and major bleeding rates were 7.6% and 5.6%, respectively. Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), thrombocytopenia, and reduced fibrinogen were rare and were associated with significant bleeding manifestations. The authors concluded that given the observed bleeding rates, randomized trials are needed to determine any potential benefit of intensified anticoagulant prophylaxis in COVID-19 patients.

For cases of documented or highly suspected VTE, therapeutic anticoagulation is the mainstay of VTE management.⁵ When selecting the appropriate antithrombotic agent, one needs to take into account coexisting comorbidities such as renal or hepatic dysfunction, thrombocytopenia, and gastrointestinal function. In general, parenteral anticoagulation (e.g., UFH) is the treatment of choice. However, due to the need for frequent blood drawing to assess the aPTT, LMWHs may be preferred. DOACs may have several advantages as there is no need for follow-up testing and are more convenient to receive both in the hospital and out-hospital setting, although there may be some concern about the prompt availability of effective reversal agents. Catheter-directed therapies are available but should be limited for the most urgent situations. Selective use of inferior vena cava filters is also recommended, especially in the setting of contraindications to anticoagulation.⁵ In cases of submassive PE, rescue systemic fibrinolysis should be considered, with catheter-directed options as an alternative option.⁵⁵ For patients with hemodynamic instability, systemic fibrinolysis or catheter-based therapies are available. Interventional approaches such as aspiration thrombectomy may remove or reduce thrombus material and improve flow in the pulmonary arteries and produce clinical improvement in patients with massive or submassive PE.⁵⁶ In certain critical situations, bedside insertion of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) may be employed.⁵⁷

A retrospective study reported the results of thrombolysis with use of alteplase in 12 COVID-19 patients (median age 61.5 years) with profound hypoxia who failed proning and were on mechanical ventilation (n = 11) or continuous positive air way pressure (n = 1), with or without evidence of pulmonary thrombosis on pulmonary angiography (CTPA).⁵⁸ Five (41.7%) patients had multiorgan failure and required renal replacement therapy. PaO2/FiO2 ratios (PF ratio) pre and

Table 3. Current Guidelines on Prophylactic and Therapeutic Intervention for Thromboembolism in Patients With COVID-19 Infection.

| | Chinese Guidelines ⁶¹ | Italian Guidelines ⁶² | Swiss Guidelines ⁶⁵ | International Guidelines ⁵ | American Guidelines ⁶⁷ | ISTH Guidance ⁶³ | Brazilian Guidelines ⁶⁴ | Dutch Guidelines ⁶⁶ |
|---------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Prophylaxis • | VTE prophylaxis for all | Use of LMWH, UFH, or | All in-hospital COVID-19 | -Pts with mild COVID-19 • | Thrombo-prophylaxis in | Monitor PT, | Follow the WHO | Prophylactic-LMWH |
| | severe and critically ill | fondaparinux at doses | pts should receive | (outpatient) | acutely or critically ill | D-dimer, | interim guidance | should be initiated in all |
| | COVID-19 pts in | indicated for VTE | thrombonrophylaxis per | Pronhvlaxis after risk | hospitalized patients with | platelet | statement: | hospitalized pts with |
| | absonce of | prochylovie ie etronoly | rick stratification score | accessment on individual | | count and | Prochylactic I MM/Hs | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | contraindication | | unless contraindicated | pasis for pts who have | or rongaparinux over | TIDFINOGEN | da, or su urn bid | OT LISK SCORES |
| • | For mild / moderate | hospitalized pts | In pts with CrCl >30 ml/ | VTE risk, without high | UFH; or LMWH, | Prophylactic | In case | Obtain a baseline (non- |
| | COVID-19 pts, | Pts with contra- | min: LMWH / A higher | bleeding risk | fondaparinux or UFH | dose LMWH | pharmacological | contrast) chest CT in all |
| | determine VTE risk / | indications should be | dose in over-weight pts | -Pts with moderate / | over DOAC | in all patients | prophylaxis is | pts |
| | VTE prevention in high- | treated with limb | (>100 kg) | severe COVID-19 • | Recommending against | who require | contraindicated: | In pts with high clinical |
| | and moderate-risk pts | compression | In pts with CrCl <30 ml/ | without DIC | use of antiplatelet agents | hospital | mechanical VTE | suspicion for PE. CTPA |
| | in abcord of | Thromho products | | (hosnitalized) | Docommonding current | admission | | chould be considered if D |
| | | | | | | | /intermittent | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| - | FOR PLAS AL NIGN FISK OF | | | enoxaparin 40 mg/d or | anticoaguiant | | preumauc | age-adjusted threshold, or |
| | Dieeding or with active | nospital stay and also | Anti-Xa activity should be | SIMILAR LINIVIA (e.g., | unromboprophylaxis over | | compression) in | ZI,UUU mg/L when ho |
| | bleeding use IPC | maintained at home for 7- | monitored when indicated | dalteparin 5,000 U/d) / SC | intermediate (LMWH bid | | immobilized patients | YEARS criteria are |
| • | Use LMWH as first-line | 14d after discharge or in | (e.g., evidence of renal | heparin (5,000 U 2-3 x/d) | or increased weight-based | • | Extended VTE | present ** |
| | treatment / In pts with | the pre-hospital phase, in | dysfunction) | for pts with renal | dosing) or full treatment | | prophylaxis should be • | Obtain routine D-dimer |
| | severe renal impairment | case of pre-existing or | Antithrombin need not be | dysfunction (i.e., CrCl<30 | dosing | | considered after | testing on admission and |
| | (CrCl: <30mL/min), use | persisting VTE risk factors | monitored or consider on | • (ml/min) | Recommending inpatient | | hospital discharge | serially during hospital |
| | UFH | | an individual basis in cases | If prophylaxis is | thromboprophylaxis only | | with enoxaparin or | stay with additional |
| • | In case of thrombo- | | of DIC or sepsis-induced | contraindicated \rightarrow IPC | over inpatient plus | | DOACs (up to 45 | imaging as available |
| | cytopenia with | | coagulopathy or heparin | -Pts with moderate or | extended | | days) † | In pts with a D-dimer |
| | suspected HIT use non- | | resistance | severe COVID-19 and | thromboprophylaxis after | | | <1 000 ug/l on admission |
| | benarin anticoagulants | | Regularly monitor PT D | DIC (hosnitalized) | hospital discharge | | | and no significant 1 during |
| | Approximited | | dimons fibrinoson | Example in the second of the s | | | | |
| | (danaparoid, | | dimers, fibrinogen, | Lor put without overt | Suggesting against the | | | |
| | argatroban, or | | platelet count, LDH, | bleeding, prophylactic | addition of mechanical | | | anticoagulation should be |
| | bivalirudin) over | | creatinine & ALT (daily or | anticoagulation should be | prophylaxis to | | | continued |
| | fondaparinux or | | at least $2-3 x/w$) | given | pharmacological | | | In pts with a D-dimer |
| | rivaroxaban | | In pts in ICU with a large | For pts on chronic OAC, | thromboprophylaxis, | | | <1,000 µg/L on admission |
| | | | increase in D dimers. | who develop DIC without | unless there is a | | | but a significant 1 during |
| | | | severe inflammation or | bleeding reasonable to | contraindication to drugs | | | hospital stav to levels |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | constant attaccagulation | | | | |
| | | | dystunccion or imminent | | | | | |
| | | | respiratory tailure, | bleeding when making | | | | should be considered |
| | | | intermediate or | clinical decisions regarding | | | • | For pts with D-dimer |
| | | | therapeutic dosing of | dose adjustments or | | | | between 1,000 and 2,000 |
| | | | LMWH or UHF should be | discontinuation | | | | μg/L, start prophylactic |
| | | | considered, according to | For pts with an indication | | | | anticoagulation |
| | | | bleeding risk | for DAPT (e.g., PCI within | | | | |
| | | | HIT should be considered | 3 mos or recent MI) and | | | | |
| | | | in pts with fluctuations in | DIC without overt | | | | |
| | | | platelet counts or signs of | bleeding, individualize | | | | |
| | | | heparin resistance | decisions / In general, | | | | |
| | | | In pts with ECMO, | reasonable to continue | | | | |
| | | | maintain UFH at doses | DAPT if plt count is | | | | |
| | | | bringing anti-Xa activity | >50,000, reduce to single | | | | |
| | | | into the therapeutic range | antiplatelet therapy if plt | | | | |
| | | | There are no data on the | count is >25,000 and | | | | |
| | | | use of DOACs | <50,000 and stop if plt | | | | |
| | | | | count <25.000 | | | | |

(continued)

| (continued) | |
|-------------|---|
| ы. | |
| <u>le</u> | |
| Tat | l |

| Italian Guidelines ²⁴ | Swiss Guidelines ⁶⁵ | International Guidelines ⁵ | American Guidelines ⁶⁷ | Guidance ⁶³ | Brazilian Guidelines ⁶⁴ | Dutch Guidelines ⁶⁶ |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---|---|
| | | For pts with COVID-19 being discharged, routine screening for VTE risk is rasonable for consideration of pharmacological prophylaxis for up to 45 | | | | |
| ses of UFH | | days post-discharge • Therapeutic | For acutely ill hospitalized | | Full anticoagulation | If PE and/or DVT is |
| for | | anticoagulation for VTE / | COVID-19 pts with DVT | | (mainly LMWH, ‡ | confirmed, therapeutic |
| ioses of ging | | Parenteral anticoagulation (e.g., UFH) is preferred | or PE, use parenteral anticoagulation with | | tondaparinux, or UFH while at hospital and | anticoagulation is indicated |
| n VKA | | For agent selection | LMWH or IV UFH | | DOACs for long-term | |
| | | consider comorbidities | In pts without any drug- | | treatment) at | |
| s of | | (renal or hepatic | to-drug interactions, use | | standard doses | |
| DUAC, | | dystunction, thrombocytopenia, and GI | UAC with apixaban or rivaroxahan / Dahigatran | | ↓ I he use of IVC filters and catherer-directed | |
| nti-factor | | tract function) | and edoxaban can be used | | thrombolysis | |
| DAC | | Concerns with UFH | after initial parenteral | | Home treatment | |
| ested | | include the time to | anticoagulation / VKA can | | whenever possible | |
| DAC | | achieve therapeutic aPTT | be used after overlap with | | Treat suspected PE as | |
| with | | and increased health care | initial parenteral | | PE | |
| in | | worker exposure for | anticoagulation | | PE management | |
| - | | frequent blood draws | For outpatients with | | should follow | |
| ach is | | Inus, LINV Hs may be | proximal UVI or PE and | | International | |
| | | preferred in pts unlikely to | intermetions use DOAC / | | guidelines | |
| | | The henefit of OAC with | Initial narenteral | | PF — anticoagulation | |
| | | DOACs includes no need | anticoagulation is needed | | Massive (unstable PE) | |
| | | for monitoring, facilitation | before dabigatran and | | = fibrinolysis | |
| | | of discharge planning, and | edoxaban / For pts who | | No evidence to ↑ | |
| | | outpatient management | are not treated with a | | doses off-label | |
| | | Potential risk: clinical | DOAC, use VKA over | | Dose adjustments per | |
| | | deterioration and lack of | LMVVH (for pt | | renal function [‡] | |
| | | timely availability of | convenience and comfort) | | | |
| | | reversal agents | / Farenteral | | | |
| | | For pts who are ready for | anticoagulation needs to | | | |
| | | discriatige, DOACS of | | | | |
| | | LMWH would be | For CUVIU 19 pts with provimal DVT or DE 110 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Use of catheter- | anticoagulation for a | | | |
| | | should be limited to the | | | | |
| | | most critical situations | | | | |

| Chinese Guidelines ⁶¹ | Italian Guidelines ⁶² | Swiss Guidelines ⁶⁵ | International Guidelines ⁵ | American Guidelines ⁶⁷ | ISTH Guidance ⁶³ | Brazilian Guidelines ⁶⁴ | Dutch Guidelines ⁶⁶ |
|--|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Selective use IVC filters In case of further | Use thrombolysis for hemodynamically | | | |
| | | | deterioration, rescue | compromised pts without | | | |
| | | | systemic fibrinolysis should be considered. | high risk of bleeding In nts with recurrent VTF | | | |
| | | | with catheter-directed | despite anticoagulation | | | |
| | | | options as an alternative. | with LMWH, [†] dose of | | | |
| | | - | For patients with overt | LMWH by 25-30% | | | |
| | | | hemodynamic instability | | | | |
| | | | systemic fibrinolysis is | | | | |
| | | | indicated, with catheter- | | | | |
| | | | based therapies | | | | |
| | | | reserved for scenarios | | | | |
| | | | that are not suitable for | | | | |
| | | | systemic fibrinolysis. | | | | |
| | | - | If infection control | | | | |
| | | | settings are equal, bedside | | | | |
| | | | initiation of ECMO is | | | | |
| | | | preferred | | | | |
| CrCl = creatinine clearance; DOACs International Society of Thrombosis ar | = direct oral anticoagulants; nd Hemostasis; IVC = inferic | ECMO = extracorporeal or vena cava; LMWH = low | membrane oxygenation; HIT v-molecular weight heparin; | = heparin-induced thromb ots = patients; UFH = unfr? | ocytopenia; IPC actionated hepa | = intermittent pneumati in; VKA = vitamin K ant | ic compression; ISTH = agonist; VTE = venous |

thromboembolism; WHO = World Health organization. * Enoxaparin 40 mg SC once daily* / Fondaparinux 2.5 mg once daily /Unfractionated heparin 5.000 IU SC bid.

† ↓ risk of VTE but ↑ major bleeding. ‡ N.B.: Enoxaparin dose adjustment: CrCl < 30 mL/minute = enoxaparin 20 mg SC once daily (↓50% of the dose) / Enoxaparin dose adjustment for body mass index (BMI): 40-60 mg qd for BMI 30-40 kg/m², 40 mg bid for BMI > 40 kg/m²; 60 mg bid for BMI > 50 kg/m².

N.B.: DOAC doses for COVID-19 patients. 1) Rivaroxaban 15 mg bid x $3w \rightarrow 20$ mg dd x 6 mos $\rightarrow 20$ mg/10 mg dd extended; 2) Apixaban 10 mg bid x 1w $\rightarrow 5$ mg bid x 6 mos $\rightarrow 2.5$ mg bid extended; 3) Dabigatran: UFH/LMWH x 5d $\rightarrow 40$ mg to 30 mg dd x 6 mos/extended. LMWH x 5d $\rightarrow 4$ dabigatran 150 mg bid x 6 mos / extended; 4) Edoxaban: UFH/LMWH x 5d $\rightarrow 60$ mg to 30 mg dd x 6 mos/extended. ** The YEARS clinical decision rule consists of 3 items (clinical signs of DVT, hemoptysis, and whether PE is the most likely diagnosis), and D-dimer levels.⁵¹

Table 3. (continued)

24 h after thrombolysis showed significant improvement in all patients (P = 0.002). Seven patients survived to hospital discharge, while the other 5 died (41.7%) from 2-11 days following thrombolysis due to multiorgan failure. Twenty-four hours after thrombolysis, median fibrinogen fell from 7.0 g/L to 3.40 g/L (P = 0.03) and median d-dimer increased from 3502 ng/ml to 19450 (P = 0.002). There were no major or clinically significant minor bleeding complication of thrombolysis, except for 1 patient who had intracranial bleeding 17 days after thrombolysis while on unfractionated heparin.

Several ongoing RCTs aim to determine the optimal anticoagulation regimen in both ICU and non-ICU patients with COVID-19 infection (CORRIMMUNO-COAG, NCT04 344756: active anticoagulation vs standard of care including thromboprophylaxis; COVID-HEP, NCT04345848: therapeutic anticoagulation vs thromboprophylaxis).

Due to the involvement of complement and neutrophils, in addition to platelets and other coagulation and endothelial factors, in the pathogenesis of virus-induced immunoinflammatory coagulopathy and thrombotic microangiopathy, there is a suggestion that early intervention with anticomplement agents and NET inhibition may be important to limit cell/ tissue damage and its attendant thrombosis; however, this remains to be tested.^{10,12,13}

A strong argument has also been put forth for the inhibition of interleukin (IL)-1 as a therapeutic strategy to circumvent the deleterious effects of this cytokine which is involved in inducing inflammation, endothelial dysfunction and microthrombi.⁵⁹ All these effects together with the activation of macrophages by COVID-19 lead to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, metalloproteinases and other proteolytic enzymes that can cause thrombi formation and severe respiratory dysfunction. IL-1 induces itself and tissue necrosis factor (TNF), which may also participate in hemodynamic compromise and produce shock syndrome in COVID-19, while these pro-inflammatory cytokines can cause pulmonary edema, thrombosis and bleeding, and can produce leukopenia and thrombocytopenia. IL-1 contributes to the formation of thrombi also by stimulating the formation of thromboxane A2 which is released into the inflamed environment; furthermore, IL-1 induces the release of thromboxane B2 (TxB2) in activated neutrophils and macrophages, and stimulates endothelial cell-leukocyte adhesion, all accounting for the dramatic thrombi formation and organ dysfunction. Thus, inhibiting or preventing the formation of IL-1 avoids all these deleterious and potentially fatal effects; hence the recommendation for the use of IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) which can prevent hemodynamic changes, septic shock, organ inflammation and vascular thrombosis in patients with COVID-19 infection.59

Post-Discharge Thromboprophylaxis

Data are emerging that patients hospitalized for COVID-19 infection may need post-discharge thromboprophylaxis. According to a retrospective observational cohort study of 163 COVID-19 patients who were discharged from the hospital

without receiving anticoagulation, the cumulative incidence of thrombosis (including arterial and venous events) at day 30 following discharge was 2.5% (95% CI 0.8-7.6), of VTE 0.6% (95% CI 0.1-4.6) and of major hemorrhage 0.7% (95% CI 0.1-5.1) with clinically relevant non-major bleeds at 2.9% (95% CI 1.0-9.1).⁶⁰

Current Guidelines

Several societies have recently produced guidelines regarding the prophylactic and therapeutic management of VTE in patients with COVID-19 infection, which are outlined in Table 3.^{5,61-67} In addition, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the American Society of Hematology had issued guidelines (2018) for management of VTE for hospitalized and nonhospitalized medical patients.⁶⁸ In that document, strong recommendations included use of VTE prophylaxis in acutely or critically ill inpatients at acceptable bleeding risk; use of mechanical prophylaxis in patients with high bleeding risk; advising against use of DOACs during hospitalization, and against extending pharmacological prophylaxis after hospital discharge. However, certain differences may apply for COVID-19 patients, as outlined in Table 3.

Conclusion and Perspective

Ample evidence has recently been accumulated indicating that COVID-19 infection may induce a viral coagulopathy and a thrombotic cascade which finally leads to clinical manifestations of venous and arterial macro- and micro-thrombosis including DVT. PE or pulmonary arterial thrombosis, microvascular thrombosis, other arterial thromboses, acute myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke. Two phenotypic patterns of thrombotic manifestations of COVID-19 infection have been discerned, the classical thromboembolic disease, also observed in other types of sepsis, and the diffuse *micro-thrombotic* type, prevailing in the lungs but occasionally extending to other organs, as well. The molecular mechanisms implicated in this thrombotic state observed in patients with COVID-19 have not been fully elucidated; however, there appears to be a close link between inflammatory and hemostatic systems, involving infected endothelial cells, leukocytes and platelets, as well as complement activation and the hypoxic milieu produced by the virus which can further enhance these processes (Figure 1). There is both hypercoagulability and impaired thrombolysis that account for this viral coagulopathy. Medical societies have issued guidelines or consensus statements (Table 3) to guide clinicians in properly applying thromboprophylaxis and antithrombotic therapy in these patients both during the hospital stay and after discharge.

Importantly, all these data emanate mostly from observational studies and a lot need to be done to further investigate the extent of this crucial problem that has emerged as a major determinant of the clinical outcome of COVID-19 patients. We need to further scrutinize screening tools to early detect this prothrombotic state that will not be limited to coagulation markers but will also include markers of infection, inflammation and endothelial function (Table 2). We need to refine our diagnostic tools that determine the extent of lung injury and focus on microvascular thrombosis that could be helpful to guide individualized thromboprophylaxis and antithrombotic treatment for COVID-19 patients. Furthermore, we are in dire need for further evidence from RCTs of optimal anticoagulant and antithrombotic regimens that will effectively protect and manage this particular group of patients; current thromboprophylaxis schemes seem to be incomplete as they do not fully protect these patients from VTE.

Importantly, preliminary data indicate that, in addition to anticoagulants, other therapies need to be explored, such as anticomplement and NET inhibiting agents, IL-1 receptor antagonists, and other yet to be discovered agents, as they emerge from our better understanding of the pathogenetic mechanisms involved in this unusual and probably singular thrombotic process, which seems to differ from those processes that we, hitherto, have been familiar with.

Abbreviations

| ACE | angiotensin converting enzyme |
|----------|--|
| ARDS | acute respiratory distress syndrome |
| COVID-19 | Coronavirus-2019 |
| CRP | C-reactive protein |
| СТ | computed tomography |
| СТРА | computed tomography pulmonary |
| | angiography |
| DIC | disseminated intravascular coagulation |
| DVT | deep venous thrombosis |
| ESR | erythrocyte sedimentation rate |
| ICU | intensive care unit |
| IL | interleukin |
| MPV | mean platelet volume |
| NET | neutrophil extracellular traps |
| PE | pulmonary embolism |
| STEMI | ST-elevation myocardial infarction |
| TF | tissue factor |
| TxB2 | thromboxane B2 |
| VTE | venous thromboembolism |
| | |

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Antonis S. Manolis D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0336-4745 Helen Melita D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2912-2127

References

 Manolis AS, Manolis TA. Cardiovascular complications of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) infection. *Rhythmos.* 2020;15:23-28.

- Manolis AS, Manolis AA, Manolis TA, Apostolopoulos EJ, Papatheou D, Melita H. COVID-19 infection and cardiac arrhythmias. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* 2020. (in press). 2020 August 16; S1050-1738(20)30107-9. doi:10.1016/j.tcm.2020.08.002. Online ahead of print.
- Manolis AS, Manolis TA, Manolis AA, Melita H. The controversy of renin-angiotensin-system blocker facilitation versus countering COVID-19 Infection. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 2020. (in press). 2020 August 3. doi:10.1097/FJC. 000000000000894. Online ahead of print.
- Manolis AS, Manolis TA. Pediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome temporally associated with SARS-Cov-2 infection (PIMS-TS): Kawasaki-like multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) during the COVID-19 pandemic with predominant myocarditis. *Rhythmos.* 2020;15(3):42-46.
- Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez D, et al. COVID-19 and thrombotic or thromboembolic disease: implications for prevention, antithrombotic therapy, and follow-up: JACC state-of-theart review. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75(23):2950-2973.
- 6. Kipshidze N, Dangas G, White CJ, et al. Viral coagulopathy in patients with COVID-19: treatment and care. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost*. 2020;26:1076029620936776.
- Connors JM, Levy JH. COVID-19 and its implications for thrombosis and anticoagulation. *Blood*. 2020;135(23):2033-2040.
- Martín-Rojas RM, Pérez-Rus G, Delgado-Pinos VE, et al. COVID-19 coagulopathy: an in-depth analysis of the coagulation system. *Eur J Haematol*. 2020. doi:10.1111/ejh.13501 Online ahead of print.
- Franchini M, Marano G, Cruciani M, et al. COVID-19-associated coagulopathy. *Diagnosis (Berl)*. 2020. /j/dx.ahead-of-print/dx-2020-0078/dx-2020-0078.xml. doi:10.1515/dx-2020-0078 Online ahead of print.
- McFadyen JD, Stevens H, Peter K. The emerging threat of (Micro)thrombosis in COVID-19 and its therapeutic implications. *Circ Res.* 2020;127(4):571-587.
- Nagashima S, Mendes MC, Camargo Martins AP, et al. Endothelial dysfunction and thrombosis in patients with COVID-19. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2020:Atvbaha120314860.
- Conway EM, Pryzdial ELG. Is the COVID-19 thrombotic catastrophe complement-connected? *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020. doi: 10.1111/jth.15050 Online ahead of print.
- Skendros P, Mitsios A, Chrysanthopoulou A, et al. Complement and tissue factor-enriched neutrophil extracellular traps are key drivers in COVID-19 immunothrombosis. *J Clin Invest.* 2020. August 6;141374. doi:10.1172/JCI141374. Online ahead of print.
- Thachil J. Hypoxia—an overlooked trigger for thrombosis in COVID-19 and other critically ill patients. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020. doi:10.1111/jth.15029 Online ahead of print.
- Fontana P, Casini A, Robert-Ebadi H, Glauser F, Righini M, Blondon M. Venous thromboembolism in COVID-19: systematic review of reported risks and current guidelines. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2020;150:w20301.
- Wichmann D, Sperhake JP, Lütgehetmann M, et al. Autopsy findings and venous thromboembolism in patients with COVID-19. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173(4):268-277.

- Klok FA, Kruip M, van der Meer NJM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res.* 2020;191:145-147.
- Potere N, Valeriani E, Candeloro M, et al. Acute complications and mortality in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care.* 2020; 24(1):389.
- Wang Y, Shi L, Yang H, Duan G, Wang Y. Pooled prevalence of deep vein thrombosis among coronavirus disease 2019 patients. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):466.
- Chi G, Lee JJ, Jamil A, et al. Venous thromboembolism among hospitalized patients with COVID-19 undergoing thromboprophylaxis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(8):E2489.
- Hasan SS, Radford S, Kow CS, Zaidi STR. Venous thromboembolism in critically ill COVID-19 patients receiving prophylactic or therapeutic anticoagulation: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2020;1-8. doi:10.1007/ s11239-020-02235-z Online ahead of print:1-8.
- Marone EM, Bonalumi G, Curci R, et al. Characteristics of venous thromboembolism in COVID-19 patients: a multicenter experience from Northern Italy. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2020. S0890-5096(20)30598-7. doi:10.1016/j.avsg.2020.07.007 Online ahead of print.
- 23. Whyte MB, Kelly PA, Gonzalez E, Arya R, Roberts LN. Pulmonary embolism in hospitalised patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res.* 2020;195:95-99.
- Longchamp A, Longchamp J, Manzocchi-Besson S, et al. Venous thromboembolism in critically Ill patients with COVID-19: results of a screening study for deep vein thrombosis. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost*. 2020;4(5):842-847.
- Trimaille A, Curtiaud A, Marchandot B, et al. Venous thromboembolism in non-critically ill patients with COVID-19 infection. *Thromb Res.* 2020;193:166-169.
- Lax SF, Skok K, Zechner P, et al. Pulmonary arterial thrombosis in COVID-19 with fatal outcome: results from a prospective, single-center, clinicopathologic case series. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;173(5):350-361.
- Lax SF, Skok K, Trauner M. Pulmonary arterial thrombosis as an important complication of COVID-19 pulmonary disease: letter to the editor. *Virchows Arch.* 2020;477(3):467-468.
- Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, et al. Pulmonary vascular endothelialitis, thrombosis, and angiogenesis in Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(2):120-128.
- Klein DE, Libman R, Kirsch C, Arora R. Cerebral venous thrombosis: a typical presentation of COVID-19 in the young. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2020;29:104989.
- Dumitrascu OM, Volod O, Bose S, Wang Y, Biousse V, Lyden PD. Acute ophthalmic artery occlusion in a COVID-19 patient on Apixaban. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2020;29:104982.
- Veyre F, Poulain-Veyre C, Esparcieux A, et al. Femoral arterial thrombosis in a young adult following non-severe COVID-19. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2020. S0890-5096(20)30604-X. doi:10.1016/j. avsg.2020.07.013 Online ahead of print.
- 32. Cheung S, Quiwa JC, Pillai A, Onwu C, Tharayil ZJ, Gupta R. Superior mesenteric artery thrombosis and acute intestinal

ischemia as a consequence of COVID-19 infection. *Am J Case Rep.* 2020;21:e925753.

- Riker RR, May TL, Fraser GL, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia with thrombosis in COVID-19 adult respiratory distress syndrome. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost.* 2020;4(5):936-941.
- Ilonzo N, Rao A, Berger K, et al. Acute thrombotic events as initial presentation of patients with COVID-19 infection. *J Vasc Surg Cases Innov Tech*. 2020;6(3):381-383.
- 35. Goldman IA, Ye K, Scheinfeld MH. Lower extremity arterial thrombosis associated with COVID-19 is characterized by greater thrombus burden and increased rate of amputation and death. *Radiology*. 2020:202348.
- 36. Choudry FA, Hamshere SM, Rathod KS, et al. High thrombus burden in Patients with COVID-19 presenting with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;76(10): 1168-1176.
- Guagliumi G, Sonzogni A, Pescetelli I, Pellegrini D, Finn AV. Microthrombi and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in COVID-19. *Circulation*. 2020;142(8):804-809.
- Fenton M, Siddavaram S, Sugihara C, Husain S. Lessons of the month 3: ST-elevation myocardial infarction and left ventricular thrombus formation: an arterial thrombotic complication of severe COVID-19 infection. *Clin Med (Lond)*. 2020;20(4):437-439.
- Kurdi H, Obaid DR, UlHaq Z, Ionescu A, Sekar B. Multiple spontaneous coronary thrombosis causing ST-elevation myocardial infarction in a patient with COVID-19. *Br J Hosp Med* (*Lond*). 2020;81(7):1-6.
- Hamadeh A, Aldujeli A, Briedis K, et al. Characteristics and outcomes in patients presenting with COVID-19 and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 2020;131:1-6.
- Rapkiewicz AV, Mai X, Carsons SE, et al. Megakaryocytes and platelet-fibrin thrombi characterize multi-organ thrombosis at autopsy in COVID-19: a case series. *EClin Med.* 2020;24:100434.
- 42. Di Minno MND, Calcaterra I, Lupoli R, et al. Hemostatic changes in patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis with meta-regressions. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(7):2244.
- Vidali S, Morosetti D, Cossu E, et al. D-dimer as an indicator of prognosis in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a systematic review. *ERJ Open Res.* 2020;6(2):00260-2020.
- 44. Nougier C, Benoit R, Simon M, et al. Hypofibrinolytic state and high thrombin generation may play a major role in sars-cov2 associated thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020. doi:10.1111/ jth.15016 Online ahead of print.
- 45. Creel-Bulos C, Auld SC, Caridi-Scheible M, et al. Fibrinolysis shutdown and thrombosis in A COVID-19 ICU. *Shock*. 2020. doi: 10.1097/SHK.00000000001635 Online ahead of print.
- Coccheri S. COVID-19: The crucial role of blood coagulation and fibrinolysis. *Intern Emerg Med.* 2020:1-5. 2020 August 3;1-5. doi: 10.1007/s11739-020-02443-8. Online ahead of print.
- Patel BV, Arachchillage DJ, Ridge CA, et al. Pulmonary angiopathy in severe COVID-19: physiologic, imaging and hematologic observations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2020;202(5): 690-699.
- 48. Monfardini L, Morassi M, Botti P, et al. Pulmonary thromboembolism in hospitalised COVID-19 patients at moderate to high risk

by Wells score: a report from Lombardy, Italy. *Br J Radiol*. 2020; 93(1113):20200407.

- Mak SM, Mak D, Hodson D, et al. Pulmonary ischaemia without pulmonary arterial thrombus in COVID-19 patients receiving extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: a cohort study. *Clin Radiol.* 2020. S0009-9260(20)30275-0. doi:10.1016/j.crad.2020. 07.006 Online ahead of print.
- 50. Patel H, Sun H, Hussain AN, Vakde T. Advances in the diagnosis of venous thromboembolism: a literature review. *Diagnostics* (*Basel*). 2020;10(6):365.
- van der Hulle T, Cheung WY, Kooij S, et al. Simplified diagnostic management of suspected pulmonary embolism (the YEARS study): a prospective, multicentre, cohort study. *Lancet*. 2017; 390(10091):289-97.
- Cho ES, McClelland PH, Cheng O, et al. Utility of D-dimer for diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis in COVID-19 infection. *J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord*. 2020. S2213-333X(20)30420-0. doi:10.1016/j.jvsv.2020.07.009 Online ahead of print.
- Al-Samkari H, Karp Leaf RS, Dzik WH, et al. COVID-19 and coagulation: bleeding and thrombotic manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Blood*. 2020;136(4):489-500.
- 54. Barrett TJ, Lee A, Xia Y, et al. Biomarkers of platelet activity and vascular health associate with thrombosis and mortality in patients with COVID-19. *Circ Res.* 2020. doi:10.1161/CIRCRE-SAHA.120.317803 Online ahead of print.
- 55. Jaff MR, McMurtry MS, Archer SL, et al. Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2011;123(16):1788-1830.
- Pohlan J, Kamel SN, Torsello GF, et al. Successful aspiration thrombectomy in a patient with submassive, intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism following COVID-19 pneumonia. *Radiol Case Rep.* 2020;15(10):1764-1768.
- Mustafa AK, Alexander PJ, Joshi DJ, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for patients with COVID-19 in severe respiratory failure. *JAMA Surg.* 2020;11:e203950. doi:10.1001/ jamasurg.2020.3950 Online ahead of print.
- Arachchillage DJ, Stacey A, Akor F, Scotz M, Laffan M. Thrombolysis restores perfusion in COVID 19 hypoxia. *Br J Haematol*. 2020. doi:10.1111/bjh.17050 Online ahead of print.

- Conti P, Caraffa A, Gallenga CE, et al. IL-1 induces throboxane-A2 (TxA2) in COVID-19 causing inflammation and microthrombi: inhibitory effect of the IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra). *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2020;34(5). doi:10 .23812/20-34-4EDIT-65 Online ahead of print;34.
- Patell R, Bogue T, Koshy AG, et al. Post-discharge thrombosis and hemorrhage in patients with COVID-19. *Blood*. 2020. doi:10. 1182/blood.2020007938 Online ahead of print.
- Zhai Z, Li C, Chen Y, et al. Prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolism associated with coronavirus disease 2019 Infection: a consensus statement before guidelines. *Thromb Haemost.* 2020;120(6):937-948.
- Marietta M, Ageno W, ArtonXazi A, et al. COVID-19 and Haemostasis: a position paper from Italian Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (SISET). *Blood Transfus*. 2020;18(3):167-169.
- Thachil J, Tang N, Gando S, et al. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19. J Thromb Haemost. 2020;18(5):1023-1026.
- Ramacciotti E, Macedo AS, Biagioni RB, et al. Evidence-based practical guidance for the antithrombotic management in patients with coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in 2020. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* 2020;26. doi:1076029620936350
- Casini A, Alberio L, Angelillo-Scherrer A, et al. Thromboprophylaxis and laboratory monitoring for in-hospital patients with COVID-19—a Swiss consensus statement by the working party hemostasis. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2020;150:w20247.
- 66. Oudkerk M, Büller HR, Kuijpers D, et al. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of thromboembolic complications in COVID-19: report of the National Institute for Public Health of the Netherlands. *Radiology*. 2020:201629. 2020 April 23;201629. doi:10. 1148/radiol.2020201629. Online ahead of print.
- Moores LK, Tritschler T, Brosnahan S, et al. Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of VTE in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. *Chest.* 2020. S0012-3692(20)31625-1. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2020.05.559 Online ahead of print.
- Schünemann HJ, Cushman M, Burnett AE, et al. American Society of Hematology 2018 guidelines for management of venous nthromboembolism: prophylaxis for hospitalized and nonhospitalized medical patients. *Blood Adv.* 2018;2(22):3198-3225.