

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Current Research in Microbial Sciences



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/current-research-in-microbial-sciences

A compendium of predicted growths and derived symbiotic relationships between 803 gut microbes in 13 different diets



Rohan Singh, Anirban Dutta, Tungadri Bose^{**}, Sharmila S. Mande

TCS Research, Tata Consultancy Services Ltd., 54-B Hadapsar Industrial Estate, Pune, 411 013, India

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Gut microbiome Symbiotic relationships Inter-species relationships Dietary compositions Metabolic simulations Metabolic interactions

ABSTRACT

Gut health is intimately linked to dietary habits and the microbial community (microbiota) that flourishes within. The delicate dependency of the latter on nutritional availability is also strongly influenced by interactions (such as, parasitic or mutualistic) between the resident microbes, often affecting their growth rate and ability to produce key metabolites. Since, cultivating the entire repertoire of gut microbes is a challenging task, metabolic models (genome-based metabolic reconstructions) could be employed to predict their growth patterns and interactions. Here, we have used 803 gut microbial metabolic models from the Virtual Metabolic Human repository, and subsequently optimized and simulated them to grow on 13 dietary compositions. The presented pairwise interaction data (https://osf.io/ay8bq/) and the associated bacterial growth rates are expected to be useful for (a) deducing microbial association patterns, (b) diet-based inference of personalised gut profiles, and (c) as a steppingstone for studying multi-species metabolic interactions.

conditions (Manor et al., 2014).

Introduction

Metabolism in the host is complemented by the microbial community (microbiota) harboured in its gut. The microbiota collectively possesses a larger repertoire of enzymes which helps in digestion and nutrient uptake from sources such as, complex carbohydrates (Sen and Orešič 2019). Microbes also synthesize and make available different key nutrients such as, essential amino acids, vitamins and short chain fatty acids (Duncan et al., 2003; Shafquat et al., 2014). Consequently, imbalances (i.e., dysbiosis) in the gut microbiota impacts an individual's health and has been linked to many diseases like inflammatory bowel disease, obesity, type II diabetes, etc. (Belenguer et al., 2006; Clemente et al., 2012; Heinken et al., 2019; Magnúsdóttir and Thiele 2018; de Souza et al., 2017). Microbiome usually evolves as a complex community (Venturelli et al., 2018) and it is imperative to investigate metabolic interconnection and resultant interactions among them. While many microbiome studies derive inferences based on the correlation of abundances (or cooccurrences) of gut microbial species, often so in a disease or a dietary context (Chen et al., 2020; Kelder et al., 2014), they seldom focus on their metabolic interactions. Deducing such metabolic communications are often laborious, time consuming and costly; given that majority of gut micro-organisms are not cultivable under in-vitro provided new impetus for development of high-quality genome-scale metabolic models which can aid in microbial metabolic network analysis. In addition to the genomic information, these metabolic models can also be adapted to use multi-omics data (viz., proteomics, transcriptomics, metabolomics, etc.) to replicate the metabolic behavior of an organism under specific environmental conditions, such as nutrient availability, stresses, co-culturing, etc. (Kumar et al., 2020; Rizvi et al., 2019). Although higher-order interactions in a bacterial community remains under-explored till date (D'hoe et al., 2018; Sanchez-Gorostiaga et al., 2019), earlier works by independent research groups have

established pairwise interactions as major drivers of bacterial community behavior (Stubbendieck et al., 2016; Venturelli et al., 2018). Metabolic exchanges between two species could exemplify the nature of interactions that occurs between them (Dai et al., 2019; Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017). This is especially pivotal while considering environmental factors, such as diet which could strongly drive the microbial composition and intrinsic metabolic behavior inside the gut (Filippo et al., 2010). Therefore, a joint genome-scale reconstruction of two different organisms, in conjunction with Flux Balance Analysis (FBA) (Ebrahim et al., 2013; Magnúsdóttir and Thiele 2018; Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017; Orth et al., 2010; Perisin and Sund 2018; Sen and Orešič 2019), could

Rapid advancement in genome sequencing in recent years have

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crmicr.2022.100127

Received 31 October 2021; Received in revised form 11 March 2022; Accepted 20 March 2022 Available online 23 March 2022 2666-5174/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the

^{*} Corresponding author.

^{**} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: tungadri.bose@tcs.com (T. Bose), sharmila.mande@tcs.com (S.S. Mande).

^{2666-5174/© 2022} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations						
FBA	Flux Balance Analysis					
VMH	Virtual Metabolic Human					
AGORA	Assembly of Gut Organisms through Reconstruction and					
	Analysis					
SRC	Spearman's Rank Correlation Test scores					
PCC	Pearson Correlation Coefficients					

elicit metabolic patterns that would define their innate relationship within a dietary/ nutrient regimen (Dai et al., 2019; Heinken et al., 2019; Perisin and Sund, 2018). This has been famously exemplified by Klitgord and Segrè (Klitgord and Segrè 2010), wherein the authors examined paired combinations of seven metabolically reconstructed microbes (models) to identify nutrient environments that induced symbiotic relations, which would otherwise deter growth in isolated condition. This involved a combinatorial approach in determining media that led to emergent mutualistic dependence through bidirectional exchange of nutrients necessary for growth. It was also surmised that environmental/ nutrient fluctuations could have more profound effect on microbial symbiosis than their genetic (or reactionary) perturbations. Along the same lines, it has been shown that cooperative behavior occurs when paired-microbes have fewer common growth promoting metabolites (Freilich et al., 2011). Another study on microbial consortia showed that these pairs/ consortia could produce new metabolites which were otherwise absent in mono-cultures (Chiu et al., 2014). Some earlier metabolic modeling efforts in this direction have also highlighted the capacity of paired models to produce metabolites which were non-existent in their secluded form, as well as presented examples of the paired models' increased potential of producing metabolites as compared to the additive sum of the metabolite fluxes in their 'mono-culture' simulations (Perisin and Sund 2018).

These studies demonstrate the importance of studying interspecies relationships delineating their mutualistic or inhibitory tendencies with each other in a case dependent manner. Our work finds its basis in the above premise, explores the same in context of a human gut habitat, and provides an extensive collection of potential interactions for all gut microbes for which viable metabolic models were available from the VMH (Virtual Metabolic Human) repository (A Noronha et al., 2019). The potential interactions are derived from pairwise FBA simulations of gut microbes mimicking their growth in 13 different dietary conditions. Having access to a dietary "interactome", could provide contextual guidance and justification towards elucidating underlying relations amongst gut microbes, especially so while drawing inference from such relationships determined through microbial abundance-based correlations. Furthermore, one can also posit an approach for delineating key microbial growth deviations within or inter dietary compositions, that would be helpful in understanding individual gut microbiome profiles during a comparative analysis. The pairwise interaction type (as well as growth potential) data for different diet types presented in this work essentially represents a semi-exhaustive collection of gut bacterial 'dyads' (the smallest unit of interaction in a social network/ group) and lays the foundation for progressively building onto as well as studying larger gut bacterial networks/ ecosystems.

Results

Metabolic simulations, based on flux balancing principles, were performed to gauge the growth potential of gut microbes under varying diet conditions. A total of 818 metabolic models resembling human gut associated microbes and 13 diet constraints imitating nutrient availability (to gut microbes) in different dietary habits were used (see MA-TERIALS AND METHODS). Simulations were performed for single organism models as well as paired organism models to mimic growth of gut microbes in both mono-culture and co-culture conditions under different diet conditions. Further, for each of the diet types, interactions between a pair of microbes were determined from the change in growth rates of the two organisms under co-culture (paired) and mono-culture conditions (see MATERIALS AND METHODS).

Technical validation against earlier AGORA simulations

The obtained growth rates of the metabolic models representing the gut microbial species, both in mono-culture and co-culture simulations, were benchmarked against the results presented by Magnusdottir et al. (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017), who had employed AGORA models (v1.0) in their study. Since their simulation outcomes were reported for only two diet conditions, viz., High-Fiber (AGORA) and Western (AGORA) diet, the evaluation could be performed for these two diets only. For the 768 microbial species (metabolic models) which were common between AGORA (version v1.0) (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017) and our present work, we found strong correlation in their single model (mono-culture) growth rates in both High-Fiber (AGORA) as well as Western (AGORA) diets. SRC of 0.921 and 0.954 and PCC of 0.926 and 0.952 were observed for the microbial growth rates in High-Fiber (AGORA) and Western (AGORA) diets respectively. Similarly, comparison of the collective growth rates of the pairwise model (co-culture) also showed good correlations for both the diets (considering 283,881 combinatorial pairs common to both studies). In the co-cultured simulations, SRC of 0.903 and 0.933 and PCC of 0.85 and 0.87 were noted for High-Fiber (AGORA) and Western (AGORA) diets respectively. The p-values associated with SRS and PCC for both the mono-culture as well as the co-culture growth rate simulations (mentioned above) were < 0.001.

Assessment of computed interactions in the context of literature evidences

Bifidobacterium growth patterns in High Protein and High Fat diets: Using single model simulation results in different VMH Diets, the mean growth rate of 39 different available models of Bifidobacterium species was correlated to the main dietary constituents, namely lipids (%), carbohydrates (%), protein (%), dietary fibers (mg), cholesterol (mg) and sugar (mg) (as downloaded from nutrition information table provided in www.vmh.life/#nutrition). Dietary fiber was found to have the strongest positive correlation (PCC of 0.53) with the growth rate in single (mono-culture) model condition, and conversely, lipids showed negative correlation (PCC of -0.49) to the growth rate of Bifidobacterium. PCCs obtained for the other factors, viz., carbohydrates, protein, cholesterol, and sugar (sucrose) were 0.22, 0.15, -0.19 and 0.24 respectively. Similar patterns have also been demonstrated in earlier studies (R. K. Albracht-Schulte et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2017). While high fiber and plant-/ whey-proteins have been shown to benefit Bifidobacterium species, red meat and animal fat have been shown to have a negative impact.

Complementarity between Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron and Methanobrevibacter smithii: Two gut inhabiting organisms, Methanobrevibacter smithii and Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, are known to exhibit mutualistic (syntrophic) behavior when grown in polysaccharide (dietary fiber) based diets (Samuel and Gordon 2006; Samuel et al., 2007). We investigated if their syntrophic behavior (in fiber rich diets), could also be replicated in our in-silico results. M. smithii (model name Methanobrevibacter_smithii_ATCC_35,061) was found to have higher growth rate when co-cultured (paired) with B. thetaiotaomicron (model name Bacteroides_thetaiotaomicron_VPI_5482) in fiber rich diets (Supplementary Table 1). Its growth rate was seen to increase by 4.51 folds in High-Fiber (AGORA) diet and by 1.44 folds in High-Fiber (VMH) diet. For diets with poor fiber content (like Unhealthy diet and High-Fat with Low-Carb diet), a reverse relationship of amensalism was observed wherein the growth rate of M. smithii dropped to almost negligible levels during 'simulated' co-culturing with B. thetaiotaomicron. Interestingly, the



Fig. 1. Production and consumption of key metabolites simulated co-culturing of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* (BT) and *Methanobrevibacter smithii* (MS) in 13 different diets(fluxes presented in mmol/gDW/hr units). Positive flux indicates metabolite production and negative flux indicates metabolite consumption. Diets marked in green (x-axis labels) indicate cases where the growth rate of MS increased by >10% in co-culturing with BT over its mono-culture growth. Diets marked in pink (x-axis labels) indicate cases where the growth rate of MS reduced by >10%.

Table 1

Pairwise relationship between *Eubacterium hallii* and *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* under different dietary simulations. The nutrient availability, uptake rates and metabolite release rates have been provided in mmol $gDW^{-1}h^{-1}$ units.

Interaction	% change in growth rate (<i>E. hallii</i>)	% change in growth rate (<i>B. adolescentis</i>)	Diet	Starch available in Diet	Starch uptake by <i>B. adolescentis</i>	Maltose released by <i>B. adolescentis</i>	Maltose uptake by <i>E. halii</i>
Amensalism	-61.93	0.00	High-Fat Low-	0.005	-0.01	0.01	-0.01
			Carb				
Neutralism	-1.59	0.00	Unhealthy	3.176	-0.55	0.55	-1.80
Neutralism	0.00	0.00	High-Fiber	3.572	-0.33	0.33	-0.4
Neutralism	8.27	0.00	Vegan	2.444	-0.99	0.99	-1.03
Commensalism	33.31	0.00	Vegetarian	3.273	-0.50	0.50	-0.52
Commensalism	47.32	0.00	EU Average	2.616	-0.70	0.7	-1.36
Commensalism	54.08	0.00	High-Protein	2.145	-0.77	0.77	-1.44
Commensalism	66.65	0.00	Type-2 Diabetes	2.010	-1.23	1.23	-1.46
Commensalism	83.11	0.00	Gluten Free	5.295	-0.56	0.59	-0.59
Commensalism	96.92	0.00	Mediterranean	3.248	-2.26	2.26	-2.60
Commensalism	168.93	0.00	DACH	2.969	0.00	2.17	-2.22
Parasitism	314.82	-45.55	Western (Agora)	0.257	-0.26	0.26	-0.34
Parasitism	385.40	-58.07	High-Fiber	0.068	-0.70	0.2	-0.22
			(Agora)				

growth rate of *M. smithii* in the 'simulated' co-culturing was noted to be significantly higher in High-Protein, Gluten Free, DACH and Type-2 Diabetes diets, even higher than in High-Fiber (AGORA) and High-Fiber (VMH) diets. The positive interaction (commensalism) can be attributed to the cross-feeding of *B. thetaiotaomicron* derived CO_2 (and H_2 to some extent) by *M. smithii* for its growth and methane production (Fig. 1). Further, acetate produced by *B. thetaiotaomicron* also appeared to promote the growth of *M. smithii* (data not shown). Notably, all the diets which showed commensal behavior contained moderate to high amounts of dietary fiber. However, potential contribution of other factors favouring the growth of *M. smithii* in these diets cannot be ruled out.

Complementarity between Bifidobacterium adolescentis and Eubacterium hallii: In yet another instance, our simulation results could mimic the commensal behavior between Eubacterium hallii (model name Eubacterium_hallii_DSM_3353), a prominent butyate-producing bacterium (Tap et al., 2009) and Bifidobacterium adolescentis (model name Bifidobacterium_adolescentis_ATCC_15703), in diets which are rich in starch. Notably, it has been reported that *E. hallii* by itself is not able to sustain in a starch rich diet and require assistance from *B. adolescentis* for its survival (Belenguer et al., 2006). In the data presented in Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1 this pair exhibited commensalism in seven out of 13 diets, all of which feature higher starch content. Three of the remaining diets (viz., Unhealthy, High-Fiber and Vegan) also had higher

starch content but did not lead to any appreciable increase in the growth of *E. hallii* (i.e. $\geq 10\%$ of growth rate) and their overall interaction was thus interpreted as neutralism for those diets. Diets with poor starch content yielded negative interactions for this pair. In the diets, wherein a commensal behavior was noted, we observed a cross-feeding wherein *E. hallii* was seen to benefit from the maltose produced by *B. adolescentis* while utilizing starch (Supplementary Figure 1).

Discussion

Genome scale metabolic reconstruction is one of the prime examples of genomics aiding metabolomic research. Continuous growth in this field has propelled the gaining of metabolic insights into complex problems like estimating the growth capacity of a microbe in a nutritional environment (Heinken et al., 2019; Klitgord and Segrè 2010) or cross feeding in a microbial community (Dai et al., 2019; Perisin and Sund 2018). Hence, a collection of such genome scale metabolic reconstructed models (like VMH repository - www.vmh.life) along with several pre-determined dietary compositions provides an opportunity to compile and build a vast resource of individual and/or symbiotic growth capacity of gut microbes, tailored to these available diets. This, otherwise, via conventional experimental procedures would be cumbersome, time consuming and costly if not infeasible. Here in our study, we have computed the growth rates and the interactions for 4,182,618 combinations of available microbial pairs, and attempted validation of simulated growth rates and derived inter-species relationships to existing literature.

The ideal validations for the single model (mono-culture) and pairwise model (co-culture) simulation results would be to compare the insilico results with the experimental growth rates under different diet types. However, given a multitude of factors, including difficulties to replicate the diets in culture media, and the challenges in growing most gut microbes in the laboratory, the availability of experimental data to benchmark in-silico findings are limited. Consequently, the publication presenting the original AGORA models (v1.0) (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017) evaluated simulation results using growth rates of only a single pair of gut microbes under a specialized nutrient environment. This being a seminal publication on the topic, the results presented therein were considered as a benchmark while performing the technical validations for our current study. In brief, the mono-culture and co-culture growth rates of the 773 gut microbial models (from AGORA v1.0), simulated under the two AGORA diets, viz., High-Fiber (AGORA) and Western (AGORA) were used for this comparison. Subsequently, we have also evaluated some of our predicted growth rates and derived inter-species relationships against experimentally observed diet-linked microbial growths and interaction patterns available from literature.

It may be noted that the current version of AGORA models (v1.03), that has been used for simulations performed in the current study, have been updated and refined since the original publication (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017). The changes include rectification of false positive predictions of nutrient uptakes within the model, implementation of improved gap-filing protocols on a new refined growth media (Tramontano et al., 2018), and introduction of new pathway reactions from several studies like aromatic amino acid degradation (Dodd et al., 2017), putrefaction pathways in the gut (Kaur et al., 2017), bile-acid biosynthesis (Heinken et al., 2019), etc. Given these differences in the models used as well as certain differences in the methodology when compared to Magnusdottir et al. (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017), some deviations pertaining to the computed growth values, and the interactions derived, could be anticipated. The methodological differences included usage of some revised reaction constraints (see Diet Construction sub-section of MATERIALS AND METHODS), usage of COBRApy library (python) in place of COBRA toolbox (MATLAB), usage of glpk solver (publicly available) instead of the proprietary CPLEX solver (IBM, Inc.), using an adapted version of Mminte (a python package) for paired model reconstruction (Mendes-Soares et al., 2016) (see Code Usage in Supplementary File 1), and employment of auxiliary flux coupling constraints, all of which were implemented within python (see MATERIALS AND METHODS section and Code Usage in Supplementary File 1). Despite the technical and methodological differences, the two studies displayed similar results in terms of growth rates for the individual and paired organisms (See RESULTS section).

Additional validations were subsequently performed to check if the interaction patterns (and the simulated growth rates) among a pair of microbes, as reported in this work, could replicate the biologically observed phenomenon under different diet conditions. The three case studies (as shown in RESULTS section) highlight the potential use in this context.

Numerous studies have focussed attention to *Bifidobacterium*, an eminent gut inhabiting species, which is particularly known for its probiotic interplay within host and with other gut microbial species (O'Callaghan and van Sinderen 2016; Ruas-Madiedo et al., 2008). Studies suggest that *Bifidobacterium* species grows poorly in diet compositions made with high protein (Hwang et al., 2017), and with high-fat and low-carbohydrate (Cani et al., 2007). Our simulation data gives similar indications for this species as shown by moderately negative correlation to lipid content (See RESULTS section). It may be mentioned in this context that Hwang and his co-workers (Hwang et al., 2017) also evaluated the growth patterns of *Sutterella*, another gut bacterium, in

addition to *Bifidobacterium* and reported contrasting growth trends. Unfortunately, the two models of *Sutterella* which have so far been reconstructed, were part of a subset of 27 gut bacterial models (out of 803 used in this study) exhibiting no appreciable change in growth rates across diet types and often demonstrated very poor growth in mono-cultures (Supplementary Table 3). Therefore, growth patterns of *Sutterella* in response to different dietary constituents could not be assessed in course of technical validation for this work. While the diet-invariant very low growth rates, possibly due to the inability of these organisms to survive in isolation in the human gut, may be construed as a limitation of this work, it may be noted that the growth rates of these organisms (including *Sutterella*) showed significant variations in the co-culture simulations across different diet types.

Literature evidence also substantiates the simulation results i.e. growth rate derived interaction paradigms, obtained in our study. For instance, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, one of the most common gut species, and Methanobrevibacter smithii, a pre-dominant gut microbe of Archaea domain, have been notably shown to have a syntrophic relationship, wherein B. thetaiotaomicron assists M. smithii to grow in polysaccharide (dietary fiber) based diets (Samuel and Gordon 2006; Samuel et al., 2007). Aligned with the experimental evidences, we observed commensalism in our paired-model simulations between these two species in diets with higher fiber content. M. smithii was seen to grow (and generate methane) by utilizing the CO₂ (and H₂) produced by B. thetaiotaomicron in 'simulated' co-cultures in diets with high fiber content (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). On a similar note, our derived interactions between gut microbes could also be validated against another prominent experimental observation (Belenguer et al., 2006), which included Bifidobacterium adolescentis and Eubacterium hallii. From the data presented in Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1, the above interaction phenomenon could be observed in diets which had higher starch contents, wherein the co-cultured pair tend to display commensalism in favor of E. hallii. This behavior was based on the exchange of maltose between the two organisms. E. hallii, which is otherwise unable to thrive in a starch-rich nutrient medium, grew on the maltose produced by B. adolescentis in a co-culture.

A bacterial community is a complex ecosystem involving high degrees of interactions among the participating entities. Given the challenges associated with culturing such complex communities, there are a very few studies targeted towards understanding higher-order interactions in a bacterial community (D'hoe et al., 2018; Sanchez-Gorostiaga et al., 2019). Instead, pairwise interactions have been used as steppingstones for elucidating the expected behavior of a microbe in presence of multiple co-habiting species (Stubbendieck et al., 2016; Venturelli et al., 2018). It may be possible to estimate the behavior of a microbe in the presence of multiple co-habiting species by borrowing insights from their pairwise interactions with neighbours. For example, one may consider a small community comprising of B. adolescentis, Ruminococcus bromii, and E. hallii, wherein B. adolescentis and R. bromii are well known for their starch utilization capabilities, while E. hallii mono-cultures grow poorly on starch (Belenguer et al., 2006; Ze et al., 2012). In the 'simulated' co-culture experiments involving B. adolescentis and R. bromii, R. bromii showed a decline in growth rate compared to its mono-culture growth rate, while that of B. adolescentis remained unaltered (Supplementary Figure 2). R. bromii was also found to demonstrate a slower growth rate in co-cultures with E. hallii when compared to its mono-culture growth rate (Supplementary Figure 2). This, in conjunction with our finding that *B. adolescentis* could promote the growth of E. halii through the generation of maltose leads us to infer that the community would be dominated by the metabolic co-operation favouring B. adolescentis and E. halii, while R. bromii would demonstrate a diminished growth.

The Altered Schaedler Flora (ASF) (Bayer et al., 2021) can be considered to be a small model community allowing further investigations into multi-species metabolic cooperation. When simulated under contrasting dietary conditions, the emergent community behavior of ASF was seen to depend on the nature of the available nutrients (Supplementary Figure 3). The list of organisms used for our study has been provided in Supplementary Table 2. It may be noted that since the genome-scale metabolic models for some of the members of ASF were not available, their phylogenetic neighbours were considered instead (chosen from a neighbor-joining tree constructed with 16S rRNA genes listed under the respective genera available in RDP database (Cole et al., 2014) with filters applied - Type strains only; Isolates; >1200 bp; Good quality). Looking deeper into the interactions amongst these community members representing the ASF, while a large proportion of interactions in both High-Fat Low-Carb as well as High-fiber diets were found to be negative, Lactobacillus amylolyticus was seen to benefit from the presence of Clostridium sp. in High-fiber diet. The faster growth of L. amylolyticus was fueled by the acetaldehyde produced by Clostridum sp. (data not shown). This contrasted with the competition between the two bacterial groups in a High-Fat Low-Carb diet. Further, the impact of Lactobacillus crispatus on Pseudoflavonifractor was observed to change from 'parasitism' in High-Fat Low-Carb diet to 'competition' in High-fiber diet. Overall, based on the nature of pairwise interactions, it appears that ASF in a High-fiber diet would be dominated by the *Lactobacillus* species.

We believe that the resource provided in this work would be useful in drawing inferences from putative interactions between different gut organisms or from their overall growth patterns across diverse set of predetermined nutritional compositions. Our simulation data could aid in providing clues (from metabolic perspective) to microbial interrelationships derived solely from abundance-based correlations. And with a wider choice of dietary compositions available to the users, there is an added propensity to mimic the diet of the samples from which those correlations were derived, which makes the inferences/ justifications more meaningful.

Conclusions

The datasets generated in our study allows analysis/ data-inferences at intra/ inter diet level, both of which enables investigation of diet induced growth patterns of an organism, a taxonomic group or at the gross level for the entire microbiome samples. This could be useful for investigation/ validation of any inter-species interactions and growth deviations observed for an organism of interest across single or several diets from experimental or in-silico studies. Users can also utilize the pairwise growth values and deploy different growth cut-off parameters for customizing definitions of inter-species relationships and mining for such interactions in a dataset of interest. In addition, users can make use of the organism's growth rates/ interaction information for pruning microbial association networks derived from abundance-based studies, as shown in an earlier study (Dai et al., 2019). This data makes it possible to filter or validate the edges of interaction networks of gut microbes from abundance-based correlations and justify those connections from metabolic perspective. Furthermore, the scripts provided in the repository allows for the extension of the framework to microbes residing in any ecosystem and is thus expected to be beneficial for microbiologists, ecological experts and other researchers working in allied areas.

Materials and methods

Mono-culture (single model) simulation

A total of 818 models representing the metabolic potential of human gut associated microbes were retrieved from AGORA (assembly of gut organisms through reconstruction and analysis) v1.03 (version dated 25-Feb-2019) hosted at www.vmh.life (Alberto Noronha et al., 2019) (see Supplementary Table 4). While the current version of AGORA metabolic models has been reported to be curated and refined based on experimental evidences in recent scientific publications, for the purpose of the current study, each of the downloaded metabolic models were

Table 2

List of the diets used in this study along with the number of their reactionary constraints and the literature where they were first defined.

Diet Type	Description	Source	Total Reactions
DACH	A recommended diet composition made by the society for Nutrition in Switzerland Germany and Austria, to guarantee healthy	(A Noronha et al. 2019)	162
EU Average	nutrition for an adult human being. A diet derived from a large		162
	nutrient based survey done where the participants are from many European nations different age groups		
Gluten Free	A diet devoid of gluten for individuals with gluten intolerance		162
High-Fat Low- Carb	The high fat diet should imitate a ketogenic diet (as recommended for epileptic patients), which is composed of 1,7% of energy of carbohydrates, 70% of energy of lipids and 24% of energy of proteins.		162
High-Protein	A composition typically representing a sports-based diet for athletes.		162
High-Fiber	This diet composing of high amounts of fibers than a plant-based diet (i.e. vegan diet) and includes animal derived products in it		162
Mediterranean	This diet is consumption of fresh plant foods, dairy products, poultry, and fish, but minimizes on consumption of processed food, red meat, and olive oil (as fat source)		162
Type-2 Diabetes	A diet for type 2 diabetes patient is which constitutes high content of vitamins (eg. Vitamin C & E) and minerals, but is low in kcal		162
Vegan	A plant-based diet with no consumption of animal derived products		162
Vegetarian	An ovo-lacto-vegetarian diet which constitutes consumption of dairy, egg products, fruits and vegetables		162
Unhealthy	It contains very low amount of dietary fibers, but high kcal amount, simple sugars, saturated fatty acids, and cholesterol	(Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017)	162
High-Fiber (AGORA)	A diet with higher fiber content but lower in simple sugars and fat content		177
Western (AGORA)	A diet with high amounts of simple sugars and fat content and but low in fiber content		175

further modified in the following manner:

- a) The reactions and metabolite identifiers within the models were converted to BiGG identifier notation style so as to make it compatible and convenient for its use in with COBRApy package (Ebrahim et al., 2013).
- b) The lower bounds of the exchange reactions were modified to mimic the appropriate diet constraints (see Diet Construction sub-section of



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the process followed for determining pairwise metabolic relationship between gut microbial species. The '>' and '<' symbols denote that the growth of an organism in paired simulations $[G_{org}]^{P}$ (mimicking co-cultures) deviates at least by 10% or more when compared to its growth when simulated independently $[G_{org}]^{I}$ (mimicking monoculture).

Table 3

Pairwise interaction patterns based on the growth profile outcomes of the two organisms constituting a (paired) co-culture simulation experiment.

Type of PairedInteraction	Description	Abbreviation
Amensalism	One organism deteriorates in growth while the other organism remains unaffected	(0, -) or (-, 0)
Commensalism	One organism increases in growth while	(0, +) or (+,
	the other organism remains unaffected	0)
Competition	Both organisms suffer from drop in their	(-, -)
	individual growths under paired condition	
Mutualism	Both organisms have augmentation in	(+, +)
	their individual growths under paired condition	
Neutralism	Growths of both organisms remain	(0, 0)
	unchanged under paired condition	
Parasitism	Growth of one organism diminishes while	(-, +) or (+, -)
	the same increases in the other organism	

Abbreviation keys - 0: Unaffected; +: positive change; -: negative change.

MATERIALS AND METHODS). If an exchange reaction of the model was absent in a diet's constraints list, then the lower bound for that reaction was set to 0.

Finally, FBA was performed on each of the modified metabolic models under different diet constraints (see Diet Construction subsection of MATERIALS AND METHODS) using glpk solver and COBR-Apy package in python (Ebrahim et al., 2013). The objective of the simulations was to predict maximum possible growth of each of the bacteria (represented by their metabolic models), when grown as anaerobic mono-culture under different diet conditions.

Co-culture (paired model) simulation

In order to replicate metabolic interactions among a pair of gut microbes, pairwise simulations were carried out for 13 different diets (Table 2). Notably, the metabolic models representing 15 gut microbes showed infeasible FBA solution for growth optimization in at least one of the diets under mono-culture condition and were excluded from the pairwise simulation experiments. All combinations of the remaining 803 models were considered which totalled to 322,003 pairs. The Mminte package (Mendes-Soares et al., 2016) in python was employed to reconstruct the paired models (representing a pair of gut microbes) using earlier suggested strategies (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017; Mendes--Soares et al., 2016). In brief, the models were joined into a common lumen compartment which acted as an extracellular interface for the exchange of metabolites. Additionally, to avoid scenarios where an organism (metabolic model) benefits the other without producing any biomass (i.e. the objective function), flux coupling constraints were introduced which stoichiometrically coupled every reaction to the biomass objective function, as per the strategy suggested in earlier literature (Heinken et al., 2019; Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017). After introducing dietary constraints to the extracellular compartment of the model (as followed for single model simulations), FBA was run to simultaneously maximize growth of both organisms. Out of all the 322, 003 model pairs, 331 model pairs could not be solved for either one or more VMH diets using glpk solver that was used in this study. The output of each solvable pair, i.e. growth of each organism in paired condition, single condition, percentage growth change between the conditions and finally the interaction type was computed and saved for each diet. Thus, output from 321,692 pairs for each VMH diet and 322,003 pairs for each AGORA diet were tabulated and uploaded to the OSF Home repository. The pairwise metabolic fluxes were computed using parsimonious FBA (pFBA) from the COBRApy package.

Determination of interaction

Interaction types, between each pair of organisms, were evaluated from the simulated growth rates of the organisms under co-culture (paired) and mono-culture conditions (Fig. 2). In line with previous studies (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017; Mendes-Soares et al., 2016; Perisin and Sund 2018), whenever the growth rate of an organism changed by $\geq 10\%$ during co-culture ($[G_{org}]^P$), when compared to its growth rate in isolation ($[G_{org}]^I$), a discernible interaction amounting to an inter-species relationship was considered (Table 3). Positive influence (+) was denoted for increased growth rate, negative influence (-) for a decrease in growth rate, and no effect (0) if the growth rate did not change by at least 10%. For every given pair of organisms (in a given diet type), one of the six different interactions were assigned based on possible pairwise growth profile outcomes depicted in Table 3.

Diet construction

Human societies around the world have different diet preferences which differ widely in nutrient composition. Gut microbes are known to exhibit alternate metabolic behavior, and consequently varying growth rates, in response to different diet types (Belenguer et al., 2006; Filippo et al., 2010; Jang et al., 2019). To mimic this, the metabolic models of the gut microbes were simulated to grow on 13 different diet types (Table 2), as mono- and bi-cultures (paired). Of the total 13 diets used in this study, metabolic exchange constraints representing two diets (High-Fiber and Western) were obtained from Magnusdottir et al. (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2017). These two diets were then edited to incorporate modified flux constraints for certain exchange reactions (such as setting lower bounds of exchanges of acetaldehyde, 2-oxoglutarate, L-lactate, L-malate, succinate to 0 mmol/gDW/hr), as mentioned in AGORA v1.01 update (from www.vmh.life). The remaining 11 diets were retrieved from "Nutrition" section of VMH (from www.vmh.life). Since these set of constraints defining the diet types by itself could not support growth for majority of AGORA models, an adaptation protocol was additionally followed (as described in Heinken et al., 2019). This protocol was adapted from "adaptVMHDietToAGORA" functionality of Microbiome Modeling Toolbox (Baldini et al., 2019) and was implemented in python for our study (see Code Usage in Supplementary File 1).

Data availability

All data pertaining to this work has been tabulated and archived in OSF Home Data Repository (R. Singh et al., 2020) and can be downloaded from https://osf.io/ay8bq/. Details of the data records along with the format for each of the data files are provided in Supplementary File 1 (see Data Record Information and Supplementary Tables 5,6).

Author contributions

R.S., A.D., T.B. and S.S.M. conceived the idea, designed the protocol for data simulation and analysis. R.S. implemented the codes, performed the simulation experiments and created the data repository. R.S., A.D. and T.B. analysed the results. All authors contributed towards drafting the final manuscript.

Data availability statement

The simulation results obtained in this study has been deposited to 'OSF Home' repository (R. Singh et al., 2020). The data deposited to 'OSF Home' further comprise of the mono-culture and co-culture simulation growth rates of 803 gut microbial species in 13 different diet types and the derived inter-species relationships between the gut microbial species. Description of the file formats for these data records have been provided in Supplementary Tables 5 and 6. In addition, a

stand-alone program used for obtaining the co-culture simulation results is also provided. This program accepts, as argument, a pair of genome scale metabolic model files (in json or xml format) and a diet file (in json format) to generates co-culture growth rates of the two microbes as well as infer the type of interaction among them. All these resources are freely accessible for academic use.

Declaration of Competing Interest

All authors are employed by the Research & Development division of Tata Consultancy Services Ltd., a commercial company. However, the authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.crmicr.2022.100127.

References

- Noronha, A., Modamio, J., Jarosz, Y., Guerard, E., Sompairac, N., Preciat, G., Daníelsdóttir, Ad, et al., 2019a. The virtual metabolic human database: integrating human and gut microbiome metabolism with nutrition and disease. Nucleic Acids Res. Nucleic Acids Res. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky992. January 8, 2019.
- Albracht-Schulte, Kembra, Islam, Tariful, Johnson, Paige, Moustaid-Moussa, Naima, 2020. Systematic review of beef protein effects on gut microbiota: implications for health. Adv. Nutrition 12 (1), 102–114. https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/ nmaa085.
- Baldini, Federico, Heinken, Almut, Heirendt, Laurent, Magnusdottir, Stefania, Fleming, Ronan M.T., Thiele, Ines, 2019. The microbiome modeling toolbox: from microbial interactions to personalized microbial communities. Bioinformatics 35 (13), 2332–2334. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty941.
- Bayer, Franziska, Ascher, Stefanie, Kiouptsi, Klytaimnistra, Kittner, Jens M., Stauber, Roland H., Reinhardt, Christoph, 2021. Colonization with altered schaedler flora impacts leukocyte adhesion in mesenteric ischemia-reperfusion injury. Microorganisms 9 (8), 1601. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9081601.
- Belenguer, Alvaro, Duncan, Sylvia H., Calder, A.Graham, Holtrop, Grietje, Louis, Petra, Lobley, Gerald E., Flint, Harry J., 2006. Two Routes of Metabolic cross-feeding between bifdobacterium adolescentis and butyrate-producing anaerobes from the human gut. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72 (5), 3593–3599. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.72.5.3593-3599.2006.
- Cani, P.D., Neyrinck, A.M., Fava, F., Knauf, C., Burcelin, R.G., Tuohy, K.M., Gibson, G.R., Delzenne, N.M., 2007. Selective increases of bifidobacteria in gut microflora improve high-fat-diet-induced diabetes in mice through a mechanism associated with endotoxaemia. Diabetologia 50 (11), 2374–2383. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00125-007-0791-0.
- Chen, Lianmin, Collij, Valerie, Jaeger, Martin, Munckhof, Inge C.L.van den, Vila, Arnau Vich, Kurilshikov, Alexander, Gacesa, Ranko, et al., 2020. Gut microbial coabundance networks show specificity in inflammatory bowel disease and obesity. Nat. Commun. 11 (1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17840-y.
- Chiu, Hsuan-Chao, Levy, Roie, Borenstein, Elhanan, 2014. Emergent biosynthetic capacity in simple microbial communities. PLoS Comput. Biol. 10 (7), e1003695 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003695.
- Clemente, Jose C., Ursell, Luke K., Parfrey, Laura Wegener, Knight, Rob, 2012. The impact of the gut microbiota on human health: an integrative view. Cell 148 (6), 1258–1270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.035.
- Cole, James R., Wang, Qiong, Fish, Jordan A., Chai, Benli, McGarrell, Donna M., Sun, Yanni, Brown, C.Titus, Porras-Alfaro, Andrea, Kuske, Cheryl R., Tiedje, James M., 2014. Ribosomal database project: data and tools for high throughput RRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 42 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1244. Database issueD633-42.
- Dai, Die, Wang, Teng, Wu, Sicheng, Gao, Na L., Chen, Wei-Hua, 2019. Metabolic dependencies underlie interaction patterns of gut microbiota during enteropathogenesis. Front. Microbiol. 10 https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.01205.
- D'hoe, Kevin, Vet, Stefan, Faust, Karoline, Moens, Frédéric, Falony, Gwen, Gonze, Didier, Lloréns-Rico, Verónica, et al., 2018. Integrated culturing, modeling and transcriptomics uncovers complex interactions and emergent behavior in a threespecies synthetic gut community. ELife 7. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37090 e37090.
- Dodd, Dylan, Spitzer, Matthew H., Van Treuren, William, Merrill, Bryan D., Hryckowian, Andrew J., Higginbottom, Steven K., Le, Anthony, et al., 2017. A gut bacterial pathway metabolizes aromatic amino acids into nine circulating metabolites. Nature 551 (7682), 648–652. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24661.
- Duncan, Sylvia H., Scott, Karen P., Ramsay, Alan G., Harmsen, Hermie J.M., Welling, Gjalt W., Stewart, Colin S., Flint, Harry J., 2003. Effects of alternative dietary substrates on competition between human colonic bacteria in an anaerobic fermentor system. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69 (2), 1136–1142. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/AEM.69.2.1136-1142.2003.

- Ebrahim, Ali, Lerman, Joshua A., Palsson, Bernhard O., Hyduke, Daniel R., 2013. COBRApy: cOnstraints-based reconstruction and analysis for python. BMC Syst. Biol. 7 (1), 74. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-7-74.
- Filippo, Carlotta De, Cavalieri, Duccio, Paola, Monica Di, Ramazzotti, Matteo, Poullet, Jean Baptiste, Massart, Sebastien, Collini, Silvia, Pieraccini, Giuseppe, Lionetti, Paolo, 2010. Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. Proceedings of the Nat. Acad. Sci. 107 (33), 14691–14696. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005963107.
- Freilich, Shiri, Zarecki, Raphy, Eilam, Omer, Segal, Ella Shtifman, Henry, Christopher S., Kupiec, Martin, Gophna, Uri, Sharan, Roded, Ruppin, Eytan, 2011. Competitive and cooperative metabolic interactions in bacterial communities. Nat. Commun. 2 (1), 589. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1597.
- Heinken, Almut, Ravcheev, Dmitry A., Baldini, Federico, Heirendt, Laurent, Fleming, Ronan M.T., Thiele, Ines, 2019. Systematic assessment of secondary bile acid metabolism in gut microbes reveals distinct metabolic capabilities in inflammatory bowel disease. Microbiome 7 (1), 75. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40168-019-0689-3.
- Hwang, Nakwon, Taekil, Eom, Sachin, K, Gupta, Seong-Yeop, Jeong, Do-Youn, Jeong, Yong Sung, Kim, Ji-Hoon, Lee, Michael, J, Sadowsky, Tatsuya, Unno, 2017. Genes and gut bacteria involved in luminal butyrate reduction caused by diet and loperamide. Genes (Basel) 8 (12). https://doi.org/10.3390/genes8120350.
- Jang, Lae-Guen, Choi, Geunhoon, Kim, Sung-Woo, Kim, Byung-Yong, Lee, Sunghee, Park, Hyon, 2019. The combination of sport and sport-specific diet is associated with characteristics of gut microbiota: an observational study. J. Int. Soc. Sports Nutr. 16 (1), 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-019-0290-y.
- Kaur, Harrisham, Das, Chandrani, Mande, Sharmila S., 2017. In silico analysis of putrefaction pathways in bacteria and its implication in colorectal cancer. Front Microbiol 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02166. November.
- Kelder, T., H M Stroeve, J., Bijlsma, S., Radonjic, M., Roeselers, G., 2014. Correlation network analysis reveals relationships between diet-induced changes in human gut microbiota and metabolic health. Nutr. Diabetes 4 (6), e122. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nutd.2014.18.
- Klitgord, Niels, Segrè, Daniel, 2010. Environments that induce synthetic microbial ecosystems. PLoS Comput. Biol. 6 (11) https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pcbi.1001002 e1001002.
- Kumar, C.M, Santosh, Kritika, Chugh, Anirban, Dutta, Vishnuvardhan, Mahamkali, Tungadri, Bose, Sharmila, S, Mande, Shekhar C, Mande, Peter A, Lund, 2020. Chaperonin abundance boosts bacterial fitness. BioRxiv 12 (31), 891820. https:// doi.org/10.1101/2019.12.31.891820. January 2019.
- Magnúsdóttir, Stefanía, Heinken, Almut, Kutt, Laura, Ravcheev, Dmitry A., Bauer, Eugen, Noronha, Alberto, Greenhalgh, Kacy, et al., 2017. Generation of genome-scale metabolic reconstructions for 773 members of the human gut microbiota. Nat. Biotechnol. 35 (1), 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3703.
- Magnúsdóttir, Stefanía, Thiele, Ines, 2018. Modeling metabolism of the human gut microbiome. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 51, 90–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. copbio.2017.12.005.
- Manor, Ohad, Levy, Roie, Borenstein, Elhanan, 2014. Mapping the inner workings of the microbiome: genomic- and metagenomic-based study of metabolism and metabolic interactions in the human microbiome. Cell Metab. 20 (5), 742–752. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cmet.2014.07.021.
- Mendes-Soares, Helena, Mundy, Michael, Soares, Luis Mendes, Chia, Nicholas, 2016. MMinte: an application for predicting metabolic interactions among the microbial species in a community. BMC Bioinformatics 17 (1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12859-016-1230-3.
- Noronha, Alberto, Modamio, Jennifer, Jarosz, Yohan, Guerard, Elisabeth, Sompairac, Nicolas, Preciat, German, Daníelsdóttir, Anna Dröfn, et al., 2019b. The virtual metabolic human database: integrating human and gut microbiome metabolism with nutrition and disease. Nucleic Acids Res. 47 https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nat/gky992. Database issueD614–24.
- O'Callaghan, Amy, van Sinderen, Douwe, 2016. Bifidobacteria and their role as members of the human gut microbiota. Front. Microbiol. 7, 925. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2016.00925.
- Orth, Jeffrey D., Thiele, Ines, Palsson, Bernhard Ø., 2010. What is flux balance analysis? Nat. Biotechnol. 28 (3), 245–248. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1614.
- Perisin, Matthew A., Sund, Christian J., 2018. Human gut microbe co-cultures have greater potential than monocultures for food waste remediation to commodity chemicals. Sci Rep 8 (1), 15594. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33733-z.
- Rizvi, Arshad, Shankar, Arvind, Chatterjee, Ankita, More, Tushar H., Bose, Tungadri, Dutta, Anirban, Balakrishnan, Kannan, et al., 2019. Rewiring of metabolic network in mycobacterium tuberculosis during adaptation to different stresses. Front Microbiol 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02417. October.
- Ruas-Madiedo, Patricia, Gueimonde, Miguel, Fernández-García, María, de los Reyes-Gavilán, Clara G., Margolles, Abelardo, 2008. Mucin degradation by bifidobacterium strains isolated from the human intestinal microbiota. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74 (6), 1936–1940. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02509-07.
- Samuel, Buck S., and Jeffrey I. Gordon. 2006. "A humanized gnotobiotic mouse model of host-archaeal-bacterial mutualism." Proceedings of the Nat. Acad. Sci. United States of America 103 (26): 10011–16. 10.1073/pnas.0602187103.
- Samuel, Buck S., Hansen, Elizabeth E., Manchester, Jill K., Coutinho, Pedro M., Henrissat, Bernard, Fulton, Robert, Latreille, Philippe, Kim, Kung, Wilson, Richard K., Gordon, Jeffrey I., 2007. Genomic and metabolic adaptations of methanobrevibacter smithii to the human gut. Proceedings of the Nat. Acad. Sci. 104 (25), 10643–10648. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704189104.
- Sanchez-Gorostiaga, Alicia, Bajić, Djordje, Osborne, Melisa L., Poyatos, Juan F., Sanchez, Alvaro, 2019. High-order interactions distort the functional landscape of

R. Singh et al.

microbial consortia. PLoS Biol. 17 (12), e3000550 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pbio.3000550.

- Sen, Partho, Orešič, Matej, 2019. Metabolic modeling of human gut microbiota on a genome scale: an overview. Metabolites 9 (2). https://doi.org/10.3390/ metabo9020022.
- Shafquat, Afrah, Joice, Regina, Simmons, Sheri L., Huttenhower, Curtis, 2014. Functional and phylogenetic assembly of microbial communities in the human microbiome. Trends Microbiol. 22 (5), 261–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tim.2014.01.011.
- Singh, Rasnik K., Chang, Hsin-Wen, Yan, Di, Lee, Kristina M., Ucmak, Derya, Wong, Kirsten, Abrouk, Michael, et al., 2017. Influence of diet on the gut microbiome and implications for human health. J. Transl. Med. 15, 73. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12967-017-1175-y. April.
- Singh, Rohan, Anirban Dutta, Tungadri Bose, and Sharmila Mande. 2020. "Gut microbe simulation data resource," September. None.
- Souza, Heitor S.P.de, Fiocchi, Claudio, Iliopoulos, Dimitrios, 2017. The IBD interactome: an integrated view of aetiology, pathogenesis and therapy. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 14 (12), 739–749. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2017.110.

- Stubbendieck, Reed M., Vargas-Bautista, Carol, Straight, Paul D., 2016. Bacterial communities: interactions to scale. Front. Microbiol. 7, 1234. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01234.
- Tap, Julien, Mondot, Stanislas, Levenez, Florence, Pelletier, Eric, Caron, Christophe, furet, Jean-pierre, ugarte, edgardo, et al., 2009. Towards the human intestinal microbiota phylogenetic core. Environ. Microbiol. 11 (10) https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1462-2920.2009.01982.x, 2574–84.
- Tramontano, Melanie, Andrejev, Sergej, Pruteanu, Mihaela, Klünemann, Martina, Kuhn, Michael, Galardini, Marco, Jouhten, Paula, et al., 2018. Nutritional preferences of human gut bacteria reveal their metabolic idiosyncrasies. Nat. Microbiol. 3 (4), 514–522. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0123-9.
- Venturelli, Ophelia S, Carr, Alex C, Fisher, Garth, Hsu, Ryan H, Lau, Rebecca, Bowen, Benjamin P, Hromada, Susan, Northen, Trent, Arkin, Adam P, 2018. Deciphering microbial interactions in synthetic human gut microbiome communities. Mol. Syst. Biol. 14 (6) https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20178157.
- Ze, Xiaolei, Duncan, Sylvia H., Louis, Petra, Flint, Harry J., 2012. Ruminococcus bromii is a keystone species for the degradation of resistant starch in the human colon. ISME J. 6 (8), 1535–1543. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.4.