Proceedings

Open Access

A model-integrated multipoint Bayesian analysis of hypertension in the Framingham Heart Study data finds little evidence of linkage Mark W Logue^{*1,2,3}, Rhinda J Goedken² and Veronica J Vieland^{1,2,3}

Address: ¹Division of Statistical Genetics, Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA, ²Center for Statistical Genetics Research, College of Public Health and Roy J. & Lucille A. Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA and ³Department of Psychiatry, Roy J. & Lucille A. Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, USA

Email: Mark W Logue* - mark-logue@uiowa.edu; Rhinda J Goedken - rhinda-goedken@uiowa.edu; Veronica J Vieland - vvieland@mail.public-health.uiowa.edu

* Corresponding author

from Genetic Analysis Workshop 13: Analysis of Longitudinal Family Data for Complex Diseases and Related Risk Factors New Orleans Marriott Hotel, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 11–14, 2002

Published: 31 December 2003

BMC Genetics 2003, 4(Suppl 1):S75

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2156/4/s1/S75

Abstract

This Genetic Analysis Workshop 13 contribution presents a linkage analysis of hypertension in the Framingham data based on the posterior probability of linkage, or PPL. We dichotomized the phenotype, coding individuals who had been treated for hypertension at any time, as well as those with repeated high blood pressure measurements, as affected. Here we use a new variation on the multipoint PPL that incorporates integration over the genetic model. PPLs were computed for chromosomes I through 5, 11, 14, and 17 and remained below the 2% assumed prior probability of linkage for 73% of the locations examined. The maximum PPL of 4.5% was obtained on chromosome I at 178 cM. Although this is more than twice the assumed prior probability of linkage, it is well below a level at which we would recommend committing substantial additional resources to molecular follow-up. While the PPL analysis of this data remains inconclusive, Bayesian methodology gives us a clear mechanism for using the information gained here in further studies.

Background

The posterior probability of linkage, or PPL, has several advantages over other parametric methods [1]. First, it is directly interpretable as the probability of linkage to a specific marker or location along the genome. Second, as a Bayesian statistic, can incorporate any prior information that the researcher might have before the outset of a study. Third, it provides an easy way to combine the information from several studies without pooling the data.

Here we exploit a fourth feature of the PPL, which allows us to integrate over the parameters of the trait model, resulting in a statistic that is model-free in the sense that it does not fix trait parameters at specific values. Thus, we are able to allow for heterogeneity, reduced penetrance, and phenocopies. Additionally, using integration in this way allows us to avoid the inflationary effects on the likelihood of maximizing over multiple parameters. This makes the model-integrated PPL an ideal tool for the analysis of complex diseases such as hypertension.

This also represents the first analysis using a model-integrated version of the PPL based on multipoint likelihoods. The multipoint PPL gives us an indication of whether or not there is a disease gene close to each position on the chromosome [2], in contrast to the two-point version [1,3-5], which estimates the probability of linkage to each marker individually.

Methods

Data description

When analyzing the Framingham Heart Study data set, two issues needed attention. First, it was necessary to establish a dichotomous phenotype definition that reliably captured the information from the multiple measurements. Our phenotype definition combined the "treated for hypertension" variable and the "high blood pressure" variable. An individual treated for hypertension at any time point was called affected. Of those not treated for hypertension, individuals having high blood pressure at four or more time points were coded as affected; the majority (57%) of people who had four or more high blood pressure readings were also treated for hypertension at some point. Of the people who had three or fewer high blood pressure readings, those who had fewer than eight recorded measurements were coded as unknown. Those with eight or more measurements were coded as unaffected. Individuals with no history of treatment and no blood pressure measurements were coded as unknown. This definition was intended to minimize the number of misclassified individuals by coding those without a clear propensity for high or normal blood pressure as unknown.

The large amounts of relatively sparse data pose a second problem. First, 144 pedigrees with fewer than three affected members (according to our phenotype definition) were removed. This could have the effect of eliminating sporadic cases, resulting in a more homogeneous data set, but primarily this was done to speed the calculations. Of the remaining 190 pedigrees, GENEHUNTER [see below] was unable to use 60 due to their structure. Therefore, we split these pedigrees into their constituent nuclear families using MEGA2 (Version 2.3, http:// watson.hgen.pitt.edu[6]). (See [7] for potential pitfalls.) The final data set was made up of 620 pedigrees, with an average pedigree size of 5.96. Of the 3695 individuals in the data set, 1312 were coded as affected, 232 were coded as unaffected, and the remaining 2151 were coded as unknown.

Due to the computational complexity of the methods, eight chromosomes were chosen for analysis. PPLs are presented for chromosomes 1 through 5, 11, 14, and 17.

Statistical methods

The statistical methods used in this paper are a logical extension of previous work on the PPL. Initially, the PPL was developed as a two-point statistic based on a heterogeneity LOD score [1,4-6]. In the Genetic Analysis Workshop 12 this was expanded to include a multipoint version [2]. Recently the two-point PPL has been adapted to allow integration over the parameters of the genetic model [8], and used in a genome screen for specific language impairment [9]. In this paper we have combined these two variants to produce a multipoint version of the model-integrated PPL. The model integrated multipoint PPL at position t_0 can be written:

$$PPL(t_{0}) = \frac{P_{L} \int_{t_{0}-k}^{t_{0}+k} \int_{\alpha g} 10^{HLOD(t,\alpha,g)} h(t-t_{0})h(\alpha)h(g) dg d\alpha dt}{P_{L} \int_{t_{0}-k}^{t_{0}+k} \int_{g} 10^{HLOD(t,\alpha,g)} h(|t-t_{0}|)h(\alpha)h(g) dg d\alpha dt + (1-P_{L})},$$

where *t* is the cM position; P_L is the assumed prior probability of linkage; *k* is the size of the moving window (see below); α is the admixture parameter [10]; and *g* is a vector of parameters that describe the genetic model (disease allele frequency and three penetrances). The HLOD is the multipoint heterogeneity (admixture) LOD score [11] as a function of *t*, α and *g*. The prior distributions for *g* and α are represented by *h*(*g*) and *h*(α).

The moving-window prior $h(|t - t_0|)$ on the disease gene location is used to summarize the probability that a risk locus is close to each position. This prior is constructed to mirror the one used in the two-point case, and places positive probability at all points within 44 cM, with 95% of the probability concentrated within \pm 5 cM [2]. Multipoint LOD scores are computed by a series of programs that make repeated calls to GENEHUNTER [12], varying the values of the genetic model in each run. HLODs are computed every cM at 20 different α values for 1650 different genetic models (see [9] for a complete description of the model grid). The average likelihood ratio $(10^{HLOD(t, \alpha, g)})$ is computed at each position to approximate the integration of the likelihood surface over the genetic model. A 2% prior probability was assumed [13].

Results

73% of the PPLs were below the prior probability of linkage. The maximum PPL of 4.5% was observed on chromosome 1 at 178 cM. The next highest PPL of 4.2% was achieved on chromosome 3 at 135 cM. The PPL was below 4% on the remaining chromosomes. The graphs of the PPLs for chromosomes 1 through 5, 11, 14, and 17 are presented in Figure 1.

Conclusions

At this point we have no compelling evidence for a hypertension-predisposing gene on any of the chromosomes we examined. Our largest PPLs of 4.5% and 4.2% were observed on chromosomes 1 and 3. A PPL of 4% indicates a location that is twice as likely to be linked to the trait being studied than one chosen at random. However, this is well below the level at which we would suggest committing substantial additional resources to fine mapping or molecular work.



Figure I

Chromosome PPLs. A, chromosome 1; B, chromosome 2;C, chromosome 3; D, chromosome 4; E, chromosome 5; F, chromosome 11;G, chromosome 14; H, chromosome 17

Overall, PPLs obtained do not differ much from the assumed prior probability of linkage. This indicates that we have little evidence for or against linkage across the genome. The PPL has the property that as the amount of genetic information increases the PPL converges to 1 under linkage and 0 under no linkage [4]. One explanation for this is a lack of sufficient data. Further work with this data set could involve performing the analysis using a program other than GENEHUNTER, which was chosen for computational convenience. It has been shown that the pedigree trimming performed by GENEHUNTER and the splitting of pedigrees into nuclear families can cause low power and misleading results [7]. Furthermore, as additional data become available, the Bayesian nature of the PPL yields a natural way to update the information from one study to the next. The posterior distributions computed in this analysis could be used as the prior information for further studies of hypertension. Alternatively, our definition of the phenotype may not be genetically relevant.

Acknowledgments

MWL is supported under National Institutes of Health grant 5 T32 MH14620 (RR Crowe PI). In addition, this work was partially supported by National Institutes of Health grant MH52841 (VJ Vieland PI).

References

- 1. Vieland VJ: Bayesian linkage analysis, or: how I learned to stop worrying and love the posterior probability of linkage. Am J Hum Genet 1998, 63:947-954.
- Wang K, Huang J, Logue M, Vieland VJ: Combined multipoint analysis of multiple asthma data sets based on the posterior probability of linkage. Genet Epidemiol 2001, 21 (suppl 1):S73-S78.
- Wang K, Vieland V, Huang J: A Bayesian approach to replication of linkage finding. Genet Epidemiol 1999, 17(suppl 1):S749-S754.
- Wang K, Huang J, Vieland VJ: The consistency of the posterior probability of linkage. Ann Hum Genet 2000, 64:533-553.
- Vieland VJ, Wang K, Huang J: Power to detect linkage in the presence of locus heterogeneity: comparative evaluation of model-based linkage methods for affected sib pair data. Hum Hered 2001, 51:199-208.
- Mukhopadhyay N, Almasy L, Schroeder M, Mulvihill WP, Weeks DE: Mega2, a data-handling program for facilitating genetic linkage and association analyses. Am J Hum Genet 1999, 65(suppl):A436.
- Goedken R, Ludington E, Crowe R, Fyer AJ, Hodge SE, Knowles JA, Vieland VJ, Weissman MM: Drawbacks of Genehunter for larger pedigrees: application to panic disorder. Am J Med Genet (Neuropsych Genet) 2000, 96:781-783.
- 8. Logue MW: Complications of an Unknown Genetic Model in the Presence of Heterogeneity for Linkage Analysis. Doctoral Thesis lowa City, University of Iowa; 2001.
- Bartlett CW, Flax JF, Logue MW, Vieland VJ, Bassett AS, Tallal P, Brustowicz LM: A major susceptibility locus for specific language impairment is located on 13q21. Am J Hum Genet 2002, 71:45-55.
- Smith CAB: Testing for heterogeneity of recombination values in human genetics. Am J Hum Genet 1963, 27:175-182.
- Ott J: Counting methods (ÉM algorithm) in human pedigree analysis: linkage and segregation analysis. Ann Hum Genet 1977, 40:443-454.
- Kruglyak L, Daly MJ, Reeve-Daly MP, Lander ES: Parametric and nonparametric linkage analysis: a unified multipoint approach. Am J Hum Genet 1996, 58:1347-1363.
- 13. Elston RC, Lange K: **The prior probability of autosomal linkage.** *Ann Hum Genet* 1975, **38:**341-350.



Page 4 of 4 (page number not for citation purposes)