

A DNA microarray for the versatile diagnosis of infectious diarrhea

EMILIE DONATIN, SYLVAIN BUFFET, QUENTIN LEROY, DIDIER RAOULT and MICHEL DRANCOURT

Aix Marseille Université, URMITE, UMR63 CNRS 7278, IRD 198, Inserm 1095, Marseille, France

Emilie Donatin, Sylvain Buffet, Quentin Leroy, Didier Raoult, Michel Drancourt. A DNA microarray for the versatile diagnosis of infectious diarrhea. APMIS 2013; 121: 634–42.

Several bacteria, viruses, and parasites cause diarrhea as coinfecting pathogens. We designed a DNA microarray comprising 60-bp probes spotted 194 times for the multiplex detection of 33 enteropathogenic bacteria and seven enteropathogenic viruses, and the archaeon *Methanobrevibacter smithii* was used as an internal positive control. Nine pathogen-free stool specimens were used as negative controls. One of these control specimens was further spiked with *Salmonella enterica* as a positive control. The microarray was then tested with 40 pathological stool specimens, comprising *S. enterica* (n = 30), *Campylobacter jejuni* (n = 4), pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (n = 2), and adenovirus (n = 4). *M. smithii* was detected in 47/49 (95.9%) specimens, no pathogen was detected in negative controls and *S. enterica* was identified in the *S. enterica*-spiked positive control. The overall specificity was 100% and the overall sensitivity was 97.5% because one *S. enterica* sample was missed by the microarray. The multiplexed detection of *C. jejuni* spiked into an adenovirus-positive stool sample gave positive results, with fluorescence values of 14.3 and 9.1, respectively. These data indicate that using the protocol developed in this article, the DNA array allows for the multiplexed detection of some enteropathogens in stool samples.

Key words: DNA microarray; diagnosis; infectious diarrhea.

Professeur Michel Drancourt, Unité des Rickettsies, Faculté de Médecine, 27, Boulevard Jean Moulin-Cedex 5- France. e-mail: Michel.Drancourt@univmed.fr

Infectious diarrhea is estimated to be the fifth leading cause of death worldwide, with an estimated 2.16 million deaths a year, including 1.5 million pediatric deaths (http://who.int/en/). In France, diarrhea is estimated to generate approximately three million yearly visits to a general practitioner (1). Pathogens known to be responsible for diarrhea include the bacteria *Campylobacter* spp. *Salmonella* spp., *Clostridium difficile*, pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella* spp., and *Yersinia enterocolitica* (2) (http:// www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/Pages/home.aspx). Viruses, including noroviruses, rotaviruses, toroviruses, coronaviruses, astroviruses, enteroviruses, and adenoviruses, reportedly cause 50% of

Received 3 August 2012. Accepted 17 October 2012

cases of diarrhea (3). In particular, noroviruses are now the leading cause of diarrhea and enteritis outbreaks worldwide (4, 5).

Routinely, human enteropathogenic bacteria and viruses are searched for separately in different clinical laboratories in hospitals, but coinfections have been reported, particularly in developing countries (6–9). Therefore, a multiplex detection approach is warranted to speed diagnosis for the proper treatment and isolation of contagious patients. In addition, such an approach would allow for the detection of clusters and epidemics. A DNA microarray is such a technology for the rapid multiplexed detection of microorganisms in clinical specimens (10–14). Accordingly, DNA microarrays have been used to investigate stool microbiota (15–19). However, the use of DNA microarrays for the identification of enteropathogenic bacteria in human diarrheal stool specimens has been rarely reported (10, 12, 13, 20–23). These microarrays detected a few bacterial pathogens, and few DNA microarrays allowed for the multiplexed detection of pathogens (10–14).

We therefore customized a DNA microarray for the multiplex detection of 40 bacterial and viral enteropathogens and the archaeon *Methanobrevibacter smithii* as an internal control, which should be positive in all cases (24).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Stool specimens

Nine pathogen-free stool specimens with normal consistency collected from healthy individuals were used as negative controls in all experiments. The control of carriage Staphylococcus aureus in stool is mandatory for some workers in hospital under French law. These stools were used as 'healthy individuals' without diarrhea. One of these control specimens was spiked with 10⁸ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL (final concentration) Salmonella enterica CIP 60.62 serotype Typhimurium (Collection de l'Institut Pasteur, Paris, France) in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) and used as a positive control. diarrheal stool specimens Human routinely submitted to the Méditerranée Infection clinical microbiology laboratory, Marseille, containing S. enterica (n = 30), enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) (n = 1), enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) (n = 1), Campylobacter jejuni (n = 4), and adenoviruses (n = 4) were collected. Bacteria were routinely detected by culture methods, as previously described (2). Caliciviruses and enteroviruses were routinely detected by a specific real-time PCR method using previously described primers (25, 26). Rotaviruses were detected by an immunochromatographic assay (Standard Diagnostics, Gurgaon Haryana, India). All of the viruses were further detected by electron microscopy observation. Among these 40 diarrheal stools, no stool specimen was co-infected. No written consent was needed for this work in accordance with the 'LOI n° 2004-800 relative à la bioéthique' published in the 'Journal Officiel de la République Française' on August 6, 2004 because no additional sample was taken for the study. According to this law, patients were informed that stool specimens could be used for anonymized studies. This study was approved by the local ethics committee of the Institut Fédératif de Recherche 48, Faculty of Medicine, Marseille, France, reference number 08-002.

The archaeon M. smithii was used as an internal positive control, as we previously showed that it was detected in 95.7% of human stool specimens (24). To choose the 40 pathogens present on our DNA microarray, we based on a recent review of infectious diarrhea (2). DNA probes were designed on the basis of the 16S rRNA gene sequence for 15 bacterial enteropathogens and specific gene sequences for an additional 13 bacterial enteropathogens as well as for viruses spotted on our microarray (Tables 1 and 2). Among these pathogens, we designed one specific probe for the detection of Grimontia hollisae that is responsible of human diarrhea for people who consumed raw shellfish, especially ovsters or more rarely raw or undercooked fish (27), and *Klebsiella oxytoca* that is responsible of antibiotic-associated hemorrhagic colitis (AAHC) especially in children (28). There are unpublished internal evidences of the association between Planctomvcetes and the intestinal microbiota (Drancourt M, 2012, unpublished data), that is why we designed specific probes for the detection of Gemmata obscuriglobus, Pirellula staleyi, Planctomyces brasiliensis/maris, Planctomyces limnophilus, and Rhodopirellula baltica. In particular, five probes were spotted for the detection of pathogenic *E. coli*: for enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC), we spotted eae and stx1 gene probes (29); for enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC), we spotted ipaB and ipaD gene probes (30); for enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), we spotted the eae gene probe (31); and for Shiga toxinproducing E. coli (STEC), we spotted stx1 and stx2 genes probes (32). The DNA microarray (Agilent Technologies, Massy, France) comprised eight hybridization arrays containing 15 744 features, each consisting of two interlaced rectangular grids of 96 rows at 0.073323-millimeter spacing by 82 columns at 0.127-millimeter spacing. Each 60-mer probe had an approximately 80 °C hybridization temperature. Each probe was spotted 194 times on each hybridization array.

DNA extraction

Diarrheal stools were lyophilized before DNA extraction. Briefly, stool specimens were freeze-dried for 24 h in 1-mL glass containers (Dominique Dustcher, Brumath, France) in the same lyophilizer with the negative control stool specimen. After lyophilization, stool specimens were regenerated in 250 μ L PBS, resulting in a four-fold concentration of the diarrheal stool specimens. Lyophilized specimens were then manipulated in parallel with non-diarrheal stool specimens, which were not lyophilized. Instead, one gram of non-diarrheal stool specimen was diluted into 5 mL PBS and vortexed with 3-mm glass beads (Dominique Dustcher) for 30 s. In total, 250 μ L of

Table 1. Probe sequences targeting bacteria

	Bacteria	Sequences	TM (°C)	Length (bp)
Intestinal pathogens	Aeromonas caviae	TTGTATGGAT ACCTTTTTAG AACAATTAAA GTGTGGATTC GATCGCATTC	80.4	60
	Arcobacter butzleri	GTTGATTTCT ATATGAACTT CTGCATTCAC TGTTCCCATT T CTATTGCTT CAACTATACC	79	60
	Campylobacter coli	AGTTATTTGG TGTTCTTACT TCAAGAGATG GTAGAGGGGAT	79.5	60
	Campylobacter fetus	GTGTAGGAGC GAAACTACTC GCAAATTTTA AGGCTCAAAA	78.4	62
	Campylobacter jejuni	AGATCACATC TT CGAAGGTATC ATCATAAGTT TAAATGCTTA TGCAACCATA CTAGGACAAG	79.9	62
	Campylobacter upsaliensis	AAATCACACT CG TAAGGGTAAT ATTATCGAGG AATTTGTAGA GGCAAGGCAA GATGGCGAAA	81.6	56
	Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli	Refer to <i>eae</i> and <i>stx1</i> probes		
	Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC)	(ipaB) GATTATCCGA ACTCGA CCCAGATTCACCAG AAAAT AAAAATTAAGACGGGGAG	80.9	60
		(ipaD) TTATTACATT CAGCCCCG AAAGAAGCTGAGCTTGATGGAT ATGAAATGAT ATCTCATAGA	80.9	60
	Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC)	(eae) CATGAAGACT ATATCTATAA CATCCACACA ATAAAAAACC CTCCGAAGAG GGGGAAGAGG	81	60
	Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC)	(stx1) ACAAATAATG TTTTTTATCG CTTTGCTGAT TTTTCACATG TTACCTTTCC TGGTACAACT	79.2	60
		(stx2) AAATACTTTC TACCGTTTTT CAGATTTTAC ACATATATCA GTGCCCGGTG TGACAACG	80.4	58
	Grimontia hollisae	AAGGTAATTA GAAGTGAAAT TATCAAGGAC GTTTATAACC AACCCCTTCA CCCTGGCC	81	58
	Klebsiella oxytoca	ACTTATCACT CTCAAGGAAT CAGAAATGAT AAAAAGTTCG TGGCGTAAAA TTGCAATGCT	81.1	60
	Laribacter hongkongensis	GAACTGGGCT CTGGAAGAGT AAGCTGCATA TTTGTGGTAT ACAAATATAT CGTTGTTTTA	78.8	60
	Listeria monocytogenes	AGCATCCATT TACATTACAT AAAAAGGGGG GGTACTAGTG CAATCAATTG AAGACATCTG	81	60

Table 1 (continued)

	Bacteria	Sequences	TM (°C)	Length (bp)
	Salmonella enterica	ACATGAACAA GTTTCGGAAT	80.9	60
		GTGATCAATT TAAAAATTTA		
		TTGACTTAGG CGGGCAGATA		
	Shigella sonnei	ATTTATATCG GCGTAATATT	78.3	62
		ATCAGTCGTT ATTATCTCAG		
		GTACGGGATA TGGTAGATGC AC		
	Tropheryma whipplei	TAGCCATCTT GCCTCTGTTA	80.1	61
		TGGATGATAT TGAGGTATAC		
		GATGCAACAA AAAAGACTAT T		
	Vibrio alginolyticus	TTGTTTGTTC TCTCATTCGT	78.6	61
		ATTATTTATT TCAAGTACAT		
		CATGTCTTCT GGCTGGAGTT A		
	Vibrio cholerae	AAGGTTCCTT TTTGTAGAGG	81.3	60
		TGGGGAAAAG TGCATGTTTC		
		TCTTCTTATT CATAGCCAAT		
	Vibrio	AAATCTCCAG AGTTTGTTAA	80.8	60
	parahaemolyticus	AACCGTTCCA AAACGAGGCT		
		ATCAACTCAT TTGTACTGTT		
	Vibrio vulnificus	CTTAATAACA AAAATAGAAA	80.7	59
		TGTAGGACGC CTTACCCTAC		
		TCTGCTGTTT GTTTGCGGC		
	Yersinia enterocolitica	TTTTTAGAA AAGGGACAGT	80.4	60
		TTGTACAAGT TTTCGGCCTA		
		ACAATAAAAC CAAACAAGCC		
Intestinal	Gemmata	TAGATAGTAG ACCCAGATAT	80.2	60
microbiota	obscuriglobus	GGGTTTACTG TCGAAGTTAA		
		AATGCTAAGT ACCCCGCCTG		
	Pirellula staleyi	ATCCCTAGAT TCCCTAATTA	81.9	58
		TTGCATACTG AATCCATAGG		
		TATGCAAGGC CAACCCAG		
	Planctomyces	AAGCGACTTT TTCAATCATT	81.9	57
	brasiliensis/maris	TTTGAAAGAG TTTTTTGCTT		
		GCTGAGTGAA ACACTCG		
	Planctomyces	ATTTTCTCGA TAATACGCGG	80.7	58
	limnophilus	GTGATACGCG AAGAGTTTCT		
		ACATACATTT ACCGAACT		
	Rhodopirellula baltica	AAGAACCTTA TCCTAGACTT	80.3	60
		GACATGCTTG AGAATCCCTA		
		TGAAAGTAGA GAGTGCCCTT		
Internal	Methanobrevibacter	CCTCCAACAT TAAAAGGTCG	80	60
control	smithii	TGAAACTTTA ACATGGCCAT		
		CATGTATTAA ATAGAAAGGA		

supernatant was collected to avoid fecal debris, and glass beads (size <106 μ m; Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France) were added to grind the specimen using the FastPrep[®] apparatus (MP Biomedicals, Illkirch, France) at 6.5 m/s for 90 s. This step was repeated once. A total of 25 μ L of proteinase K (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) and 180 μ L of lysis buffer provided by the Nucleospin Tissue kit (Macherey Nagel, Hoerdt, France) were added before overnight incubation at 56 °C. Next, 100 μ L total DNA was extracted from 200 μ L specimen using the EZ1 DNA Tissue kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). Extracted DNA was further purified using a phenol-chloroform protocol (33). Each extracted specimen was analyzed with a Nanodrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA) to evaluate DNA amounts. The non-diarrheal stool specimens were not lyophilized because we used lyophilization to concentrate diarrheal stool where the pathogens could be in low inoculums to avoid the dilution effect.

Viruses	Sequences	TM (°C)	Length (bp)
Adenovirus	AAAACAAAAC AAACTCCTTT GGACAAGCTC	80.9	60
	CCTATATAGG ACAAAAAATC ACCAATCAGG		
Astrovirus	TTAAGCCTGG GAAGGTCATC TGTAGTGACA	81.4	58
	GTATAGTTGG GTTATCCTTT TGTGGCTT		
Bocavirus	AATTGAGTAT TAAACCTATA TAAGCTGCTG	79.3	58
	CACTTCCTGA TTCAATCAGA CTGCATCC		
Hepatitis A	TAATACTTCT ATGAAGAGAT GCTTTGGATA	80.4	60
virus	GGGTAACAGC GGCGGATATT GGTGAGTTAT		
Norovirus	GGAGAAGCCT CACTCCATGG TGAAAAATTT	79.8	60
	TACAGGAAAA TATCTAGCAA AGTCATACAT		
Rotavirus	AAAGGAATTG ATCAAAAGAT GAGAGTACTT	78.7	62
	AATGCTTGCT TTAGTGTGAA AAGAATACCA GG		
Calicivirus	AACCACTCCC CAGGTAGCTC AAATGTTTAA	81.3	59
	ATTTTATTTC CTTAACTGTG ATGCCACAC		

 Table 2. Probe sequences targeting viruses

 Table 3. Real-Time PCR system use for the specific detection of Salmonella enterica, Escherichia coli, adenovirus and Methanobrevibacter smithii

Microorganisms	Sequences	Length (bp)
Salmonella enterica	CAAGAAATACCTGGCGGAAA	20
	CGGGACAAAAGAACGGATTA	20
	GTTCGGCATCGAAATCCGCG	20
Escherichia coli	GCTGCGCGTGCAAATGCG	18
	CATGGTCATCGCTTCGGTCT	20
	CATCAGAAACTGAACACCAC	20
Methanobrevibacter smithii	GCGCGAACCGGATTAGATAC	20
	GCGACCGTACTTCCCAGG	18
	CGATGCGGACTTGGTGTTGGGGG	22
Adenovirus	GCCACGGTGGGGTTTCTAAACTT	23
	GCCCCAGTGGTCTTACATGCACATC	25
	TGCACCAGACCCGGGCTCAGGTACTCCGA	29

PCR and real-time PCR

In parallel with the DNA microarray experiment, each stool specimen was tested with real-time PCR for the specific detection of S. enterica, EHEC, EIEC, EPEC, STEC, adenovirus, and M. smithii. Primers and probes (Table 3) were diluted to 20 pmol/ μ L and 25 pmol/ μ L, respectively. PCR mixtures (20 µL) contained 10 µL Master Mix (Qiagen), 0.5 µL each primer, 0.5 µL uracil-DNA-glycosylase (UDG) (Invitrogen-Life Technologies, Saint Aubin, France), 4 µL water, and 4 µL DNA. Real-time PCR conditions included 2 min of UDG decontamination at 50 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ and 10 min of denaturation at 95 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$, followed by 40 cycles of 1 s at 95 °C, 35 s at 60 °C and 45 s at 72 °C. Each specific real-time PCR assay included a positive and a negative control. The cut-off for positivity was established at 38 cycle threshold (Ct). All the specimens were tested in duplicate. The extraction of C. jejuni was validated by classical PCR using two specific pairs of primers. The first pair targeted the fla gene (34), and the second one targeted the wlaCgene (35). These primer pairs were designed in our laboratory and generated 3 390- and 600-bp fragments, respectively. Each PCR was performed in a 25- μ L mixture containing 2.5 μ L of 10 × buffer (Qiagen), 0.5 µL of each primer, 2.5 µL of deoxynucleotide triphosphate mix (Euromedex, Souffelweversheim, France), one unit of Hot Start (Qiagen), 10.8 µL water and 5 µL DNA. PCR was performed under the following conditions: a 5-min denaturation at 95 °C; 40 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 2 min at 60 °C and 1 min at 72 °C; and a final extension step of 5 min at 72 °C for the *fla* gene; and denaturation for 5 min at 95 °C; 40 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C; and a final extension step of 5 min at 72 °C for the *wlaC* gene.

DNA microarray assay

The Genomic DNA ULS Labeling Kit^{TM} and the ULS-CyTM3 reagent were used according to the

supplier's instructions for an 8×15 K microarray (Agilent Technologies). This protocol allowed for labeling 10 µL of DNA. Hybridization was then performed according to the Agilent protocol by adding 25 µL of reaction mixture [2 µL of Cot-1 DNA 1.0 mg/mL (Life Technologies), 0.5 µL of Agilent 100X Blocking agent, and 22.5 µL of Agilent 2X Hi-RPM hybridization buffer] to each labeled DNA specimen. Specimens were then incubated at 95 °C for 3 min and 37 °C for 30 min. In total, 11 µL of Agilent-CGHblock was added to each specimen and hybridized in a total volume of 45 µL at 65 °C for 40 h. All of the samples were hybridized in duplicate on our microarray. The background value was fixed at four fluorescence units, and the positivity threshold was set at nine fluorescence units. A positive detection was defined by over two-thirds of the specific probes exhibiting a fluorescence value higher than nine. Fluorescence intensity values were expressed as the mean of intensities measured for all homologous positive probes. All data were then normalized using 'R' software, available online at http://cran.r-project.org/doc/ manuals/R-admin.htmL#Top.

Multiplexed detection

To test the capacity of the DNA microarray to simultaneously detect several pathogens in one stool specimen, we collected a stool sample that was naturally infected by adenovirus. An aliquot of this stool specimen was spiked with 10^4-10^6 CFU/mL (final concentration) *C. jejuni* CIP 70.2 in PBS.

RESULTS

PCR and real-time PCR

The DNA extraction protocol used in this article yielded 41 ± 28 ng/mL total DNA.

M. smithii DNA was detected in the nine negative control stool specimens (Ct mean value, 30.14), in the stool sample spiked with *S. enterica* (Ct value, 34.18) and in the 40 pathological stools (Ct values, 21.18 to 31.23).

S. enterica DNA was not detected in the negative control stool specimens, but it was detected in the stool sample spiked with *S. enterica* (Ct value, 19.46). Thirty *S. enterica*-infected diarrheal stools were lyophilized before DNA extraction. The real-time PCR detection of *S. enterica* was positive in all specimens, with Ct values between 24.31 and 29.47. *S. enterica* was not detected in the remaining ten pathological stool specimens.

Regarding pathogenic *E. coli*, none of the five targets were detected in the negative controls or the positive control. The *ipaB* gene was detected in one pathological stool infected with *C. jejuni* (Ct value, 32.61). One pathological stool sample infected with EPEC was positive for the *stx1* gene (Ct value, 22.78). The *stx2* gene was detected in two pathological stools infected with *C. jejuni* (Ct values, 29.08 and 33.40, respectively). The *ipaD* and *eae* genes were negative for all stool samples tested.

Four adenovirus-contaminated stool specimens yielded Ct values between 16.43 and 21.68; adenovirus was not detected in the other pathological stools or control stools.

Four *C. jejuni*-infected pathological stool specimens yielded positive results for *fla* and *wlaC* genes, while the negative and positive controls and the remaining pathological stool specimens were negative.

DNA microarray detection

The *M. smithii* internal control was detected in 47/49 (95.9%) stool specimens tested, with fluorescence signals between 9 and 14.5 units.

Twenty-nine of 30 (96.7%) *S. enterica*-infected pathological stool specimens yielded 194 positive *S. enterica*-specific probes, with fluorescence signals between 9 and 11.1; no other pathogen was detected in the 30 specimens, and *S. enterica* was not detected in the remaining stool specimens.

The pathological stool specimen infected with EPEC yielded 194 positive stx1 gene probes, with a mean fluorescence value >10 units. The pathological stool contaminated with EHEC yielded 178 positive *eae* gene probes, with a mean fluorescence value of 10.4 units. The *ipaB* probe was positive in 13/47 (27.7%) remaining stools without a pathogenic *E. coli*. The nine control stools and the 38 remaining pathological stools were negative for all probes specific for pathogenic *E. coli*.

Four *C. jejuni*-contaminated pathological stool specimens yielded 132 positive probes, with a mean fluorescence of 9.1 in all specimens; the remaining specimens were negative for *C. jejuni*.

Four adenovirus-infected pathological stool specimens yielded positive detection, with fluo-rescence values between 9.1 and 10.9, and the

remaining specimens were negative for adenovirus. One of these pathological stools infected with adenovirus and spiked with *C. jejuni* yielded a positive detection of 10^5 and 10^6 *C. jejuni* CFU/mL with fluorescence values of 14.3 for adenovirus and 11.9 and 12.1 for *C. jejuni*, respectively; the 10^4 CFU/mL inoculum was not detected.

DISCUSSION

The results here obtained in a clinical microbiology laboratory, were interpreted as valid because all the negative controls remained negative in all of the experiments. In addition, DNA microarray data were controlled in parallel with real-time PCR, including the detection of M. smithii DNA as an internal positive control. Indeed, we previously showed that this archaeal DNA was detected in 95.7% of individuals (24), making this archaeon a suitable target to control for total DNA extraction and the absence of PCR inhibition in extracted stool specimens. Detecting M. smithii DNA was further used to confirm that the dilution of diarrheal stool specimens did not prevent the DNAbased detection of pathogens. In this study, we lyophilized diarrheal stools as lyophilization has previously been used to suppress PCR inhibition in animal stool specimens (36,37). We therefore recommend lyophilizing diarrheal stool specimens before detecting enteropathogenic DNA.

The DNA microarray reported in this article allowed for the simplex detection of enteropathogens in stool, with a sensitivity of 97.5%. However, detecting pathogenic E. coli was problematic. We designed probes based on published virulence genes reported to be specific for each pathogenic E. coli. EIEC strains were detected with *ipaB* and *ipaD* probes (30). *IpaB* is a gene encoding an invasion protein found in not only E. coli strains but also Shigella and Salmonella strains. This gene is known to be specific for these strains (38), but we found that our ipaBprobe gave positive results for 13/47 (27.7%) stool samples tested. This result may be due to a lack of specificity of the probe despite our favorable in silico analysis. Alternatively, this observation could be explained by the fact that this gene is much more ubiquitous than previously reported. For example, only 6% of genes are common between all *E. coli* strains, which are called the core genome (39), and in fact, we do not really know the virulence genes that can reliably identify strains of *E. coli*.

Intestinal infections could be caused by several pathogens at the same time, but the simultaneous detection of enteric bacteria and viruses has never been performed using a DNA microarray. Developing a protocol for the multiplex detection of human enteric pathogens was challenging, but our data indicate that it is possible to achieve the multiplexed detection of some enteropathogens.

Previously reported DNA microarrays allowed for the detection of only a few bacteria (12, 13, 20). Regarding viruses, DNA chips have allowed for the detection of the rotaviruses A group (40–43). In 2009, a DNA microarray was designed for the detection of common foodborne viruses, including human rotaviruses (44); however, this system was not adapted for the diagnosis of human acute enteritis. No DNA microarray has been published for the dual detection of viral and bacterial enteropathogens.

Our data confirm the proof-of-concept of multiplex detection for enteric pathogens using a DNA microarray. Further studies will aim to reduce the turn-over time, which was 3 h in this study. The DNA microarray technique is amenable to automation and could be used for epidemiological studies and the selection of stool specimens devoid of any known pathogen for further investigations using additional approaches. The cost of DNA microarray remains a negative point as this technique in our laboratory is estimated at about 130 € per sample. In addition, a more complete version of the DNA microarray could be used for the repertoire of the gut microbiota using the protocol developed in this study.

REFERENCES

- Flahaut A, Chauvin P, Massari V, Carrat F, Farran N, Retel O, et al. Epidémiologie des maladies transmissibles en médecine générale libérale: bilan du réseau Sentinelles en 1995. BEH 1996;33:143–45.
- Drancourt M. Acute Diarrhea. In Cohen E, Powderly WG, Opal SM, editors. Infectious Diseases 3e. London,UK: Mosby, 2009 381– 389.

- Alain S, Denis F. Epidemiology of infectious acute diarrhea in France and Europe. Arch Pediatr 2007;14:132–44.
- Patel MM, Hall AJ, Vinje J, Parashar UD. Noroviruses: a comprehensive review. J Clin Virol 2009;44:1–8.
- Ahmed SF, Klena JD, Mostafa M, Dogantemur , Middleton T, Hanson J, et al. Viral gastroenteritis associated with genogroup II Norovirus among U.S. military personnel in Turkey, 2009. PLoS ONE 2012;7:e35791.
- Gilmour MW, Tabor H, Wang G, Clark CG, Tracz DM, Olson AB, et al. Isolation and genetic characterization of a coinfection of non-O157 shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45:3771–3.
- Koh H, Baek SY, Shin JI, Chung KS, Jee YM. Coinfection of viral agents in Korean children with acute watery diarrhea. J Korean Med Sci 2008;23:937–40.
- Pereira AL, Silva TN, Gomes AC, Araujo AC, Giugliano LG. Diarrhea-associated biofilm formed by enteroaggregative Escherichia coli and aggregative *Citrobacter* freundii: a consortium mediated by putative F pili. BMC Microbiol 2010;10:57.
- Sanchez-Fauquier A, Montero V, Colomina J, Gonzales-Galan V, Aznar J, Aisa ML, et al. Global study of viral diarrhea in hospitalized children in Spain: Results of Structural Surveillance of Viral Gastroenteritis Net Work (VIGESS-net) 2006–2008. J Clin Virol 2011;52:353–8.
- Jin DZ, Wen SY, Chen SH, Lin F, Wang SQ. Detection and identification of intestinal pathogens in clinical specimens using DNA microarrays. Mol Cell Probes 2006;20:337–47.
- Jin D, Qi H, Chen S, Zeng T, Liu Q, Wang S. Simultaneous detection of six human diarrheal pathogens by using DNA microarray combined with tyramide signal amplification. J Microbiol Methods 2008;75:365–8.
- 12. You Y, Fu C, Zeng X, Fang D, Yan X, Sun B, et al. A novel DNA microarray for rapid diagnosis of enteropathogenic bacteria in stool specimens of patients with diarrhea. J Microbiol Methods 2008;75:566–71.
- Kim DH, Lee BK, Kim YD, Rhee SK, Kim YC. Detection of representative enteropathogenic bacteria, Vibrio spp., pathogenic Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., Shigella spp., and Yersinia enterocolitica, using a virulence factor gene-based oligonucleotide microarray. J Microbiol 2010;48:682–8.
- Suo B, He Y, Paoli G, Gehring A, Tu SI, Shi X. Development of an oligonucleotide-based microarray to detect multiple foodborne pathogens. Mol Cell Probes 2010;24:77–86.
- 15. Bik EM, Eckburg PB, Gill SR, Nelson KE, Purdom EA, Francois F, et al. Molecular analysis of the bacterial microbiota in the human

stomach. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103: 732–7.

- 16. Claesson MJ, O'Sullivan O, Wang Q, Nikkila J, Marchesi JR, Smidt H, et al. Comparative analysis of pyrosequencing and a phylogenetic microarray for exploring microbial community structures in the human distal intestine. PLoS ONE 2009;4:e6669.
- 17. Rajilic-Stojanovic M, Heilig HG, Molenaar D, Kajander K, Surakka A, Smidt H, et al. Development and application of the human intestinal tract chip, a phylogenetic microarray: analysis of universally conserved phylotypes in the abundant microbiota of young and elderly adults. Environ Microbiol 2009;11:1736–51.
- Candela M, Consolandi C, Severgnini M, Biagi E, Castiglioni B, Vitali B, et al. High taxonomic level fingerprint of the human intestinal microbiota by ligase detection reaction–universal array approach. BMC Microbiol 2010;10:116.
- 19. Rigsbee L, Agans R, Foy BD, Paliy O. Optimizing the analysis of human intestinal microbiota with phylogenetic microarray. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2011;75:332–42.
- Wang RF, Beggs ML, Robertson LH, Cerniglia CE. Design and evaluation of oligonucleotidemicroarray method for the detection of human intestinal bacteria in fecal samples. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2002;213:175–82.
- Wang RF, Beggs ML, Erickson BD, Cerniglia CE. DNA microarray analysis of predominant human intestinal bacteria in fecal samples. Mol Cell Probes 2004;18:223–34.
- 22. Li Y, Liu D, Cao B, Han W, Liu Y, Liu F, et al. Development of a serotype-specific DNA microarray for identification of some Shigella and pathogenic Escherichia coli strains. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44:4376–83.
- Paliy O, Kenche H, Abernathy F, Michail S. High-throughput quantitative analysis of the human intestinal microbiota with a phylogenetic microarray. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75: 3572–9.
- 24. Dridi B, Henry M, El Kechine A, Raoult D, Drancourt M. High prevalence of Methanobrevibacter smithii and Methanosphaera stadmanae detected in the human gut using an improved DNA detection protocol. PLoS ONE 2009;4: e7063.
- 25. Watkins-Riedel T, Woegerbauer M, Hollemann D, Hufnagl P. Rapid diagnosis of enterovirus infections by real-time PCR on the Light Cycler using the Taqman format. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2002;42:99–105.
- 26. Höhne M, Schreier E. Detection and characterization of norovirus outbreaks in Germany: application of a one-tube RT-PCR using a fluorogenic real-time detection system. J Med Virol 2004;72:312–9.

- 27. Edouard S, Daumas A, Branger S, Durand JM, Raoult D, Fournier PE. Grimontia hollisae, a potential agent of gastroenteritis and bacteraemia in the Mediterranean area. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009;28:705–7.
- Hoffmann KM, Deutschmann A, Weitzer C, Joainig M, Zechner E, Högenauer C, et al. Antibiotic-associated hemorrhagic colitis caused by cytotoxin-producing Klebsiella oxytoca. Pediatrics 2010;125:e960–3.
- 29. Bugarel M, Martin A, Fach P, Beutin L. Virulence gene profiling of enterohemorrhagic (EHEC) and enteropathogenic (EPEC) Escherichia coli strains: a basis for molecular risk assessment of typical and atypical EPEC strains. BMC Microbiol 2011; 11:142.
- Farshad S, Sheikhi R, Japoni A, Basiri E, Alborzi A. Characterization of Shigella strains in Iran by plasmid profile analysis and PCR amplification of ipa genes. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44:2879–83.
- Vidal M, Kruger E, Duran C, Lagos R, Levine M, Prado V, et al. Single multiplex PCR assay to identify simultaneously the six categories of diarrheagenic Escherichia coli associated with enteric infections. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:5362–5.
- 32. Guion CE, Ochoa TJ, Walker CM, Barletta F, Cleary TG. Detection of diarrheagenic Escherichia coli by use of melting-curve analysis and real-time multiplex PCR. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46:1752–7.
- Zoetendal EG, Heilig HG, Klaassens ES, Booijink CC, Kleerebezem M, Smidt H, et al. Isolation of DNA from bacterial samples of the human gastrointestinal tract. Nat Protoc 2006;1:870–3.
- 34. Fitzgerald C, Helsel LO, Nicholson MA, Olsen SJ, Swerdlow DL, Flahart R, et al. Evaluation of methods for subtyping Campylobacter jejuni during an outbreak involving a food handler. J Clin Microbiol 2001;39:2386–90.
- 35. Misawa N, Kawashima K, Kondo F, Allos BM, Blaser MJ. DNA diversity of the wla gene cluster among serotype HS:19 and non-HS:19 Campylobacter jejuni strains. J Endotoxin Res 2001;7:349–58.

- 36. Ruiz R, Rubio LA. Lyophilization improves the extraction of PCR-quality community DNA from pig faecal samples. J Sci Food Agric 2009;89:723–7.
- 37. Rapp D, Waller J, Brightwell G, Muirhead RW. Lyophilization prior to detect direct DNA extraction from bovine feces improves the quantification of Escherichia coli O157:H7 and Campylobacter jejuni. Appl Environ Microbiol 2010;76:1686–8.
- Escobar-Paramo P, Giudicelli C, Parsot C, Denamur E. The evolutionary history of Shigella and Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli revised. J Mol Evol 2003;57:140–8.
- Lukjancenko O, Wassenaar TM, Ussery DW. Comparison of 61 sequenced Escherichia coli genomes. Microb Ecol 2010;60:708–20.
- Chizhikov V, Wagner M, Ivshina A, Hoshino Y, Kapikian AZ, Chumakov K. Detection and genotyping of human group A rotaviruses by oligonucleotide microarray hybridization. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:2398–407.
- 41. Lovmar L, Fock C, Espinoza F, Bucardo F, Syvanen AC, Bondeson K. Microarrays for genotyping human group A rotavirus by multiplex capture and type-specific primer extension. J Clin Microbiol 2003;41:5153–8.
- 42. Honma S, Chizhikov V, Santos N, Tastumi M. Timenetsky Mdo CLinhares C, et al. Development and validation of DNA microarray for genotyping group A Rotavirus VP4 (P[4], P[6], P[8], P[9], and P[14]) and VP7 (G1 to G6, G8 to G10, and G12) genes. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 45:2641–8.
- 43. Mattison KJ, Corneau N, Berg I, Bosch A, Duizer E, Gutierrez-Aguirre I, et al. Development and validation of a microarray for the confirmation and typing of Norovirus RT-PCR products. J Virol Methods 2011;173:233–50.
- 44. Ayodeji M, Kulka M, Jackson SA, Patel I, Mammel M, Cebula TA, et al. A microarray based approach for the identification of common foodborne viruses. Open Virol J 2009;3: 7–20.