

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

Article

Substituent Effects on the Basicity of Patriscabrin A and Lettucenin A: Evolution Favors the Aromatic?

Supreeth Prasad and Dean J. Tantillo*

Cite This: AC	S Omega 2021, 6, 29685–29691	Read Online		
ACCESS	III Metrics & More	E Article Recommendations	s Supporting Information	
ABSTRACT: Bas	icities for derivatives of patri	R	R	

calculated with density functional theory. A significant correlation is observed between the basicity and Hammett σ parameters. Protonation increases the aromatic character of the cyclic moieties of each natural product. The naturally occurring structures are predicted to be the most aromatic.



INTRODUCTION

Natural products have proven themselves extremely useful within the fields of drug discovery and pharmacotherapy toward the treatment of major diseases and disorders, such as cancer.¹⁻⁴ This is in no small part due to the fact that natural products possess both high structural diversity and a broad range of biological activities and functions as a result of natural selection.⁵ A detailed understanding of differences in structural and energetic properties of the neutral versus protonated and deprotonated forms of natural products can be key to understanding their properties, as participants in proton-transfer reactions^{6,7} but also as partners in noncovalent interactions. Here, we explore how the basicity of several natural products is tied to changes in aromaticity.^{8,9}

Exploration of the fundamental thermochemistry of (*de*)protonated species informs our understanding of chemical transformations and, in some cases, drives the design of new reactions, substrates, and reagents.^{10–12} The intrinsic acidity and basicity of natural products provide clues to both their binding properties and reactivity, and the observation of these acids and bases often aids in structure elucidation via mass spectrometry.¹³ A key quantity is the gas-phase basicity.¹⁴ Probing basicity in the gas phase, an environment free from any solvent interactions, provides a measure of the fundamental basicity,¹⁵ a standard against which the effects of solvent, membranes, protein binding pockets, etc. can be evaluated.

Gas-phase basicities are typically measured by means of high-pressure mass spectrometry (MS),^{16,17} ion-cyclotron resonance $(ICR)^{18}$ techniques, and flowing-afterglow experiments,¹⁹ but connecting these results to subtle structural

features is not always straightforward.^{20,21} Fortunately, there exists a myriad of theoretical approaches that can be employed to provide insights into the structures of these neutral and ionized species.^{22–27} For example, Hehre and co-workers previously studied substituent effects on the basicity of benzene and benzene derivatives,^{23,24} mainly by way of isodesmic proton-transfer reactions.^{28–30} In this work, it was found that the relative extent of protonation depends on the temperature and solvent (which also plays an instrumental role in determining the site of protonation). In another example, a complete set of theoretical basicity values was obtained at the MP2/6-31+G(d,p) and B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) levels of theory for the entire series of α -amino acids.^{15,31,32}

Herein, we assess the effects of substituents on the basicity of two natural products, patriscabrin A and lettucenin A,^{33,34} that we anticipate have a significant zwitterionic character as a result of containing potentially aromatic substructures (*cf.* Figure 1). Nature arrived at these particular secondary metabolites as a result of evolution. In this work, we probe how the properties of these molecules would be different if different substituents had been included. While we do not endeavor to elucidate the evolutionary pathways to the natural products in question, we do intend to shine a light on the

 Received:
 July 29, 2021

 Accepted:
 October 18, 2021

 Published:
 October 29, 2021



Article



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the protonation of substituted (a) patriscabrin A and (b) lettucenin A under investigation in the present work, where $R = -H_1 - F_2 - M_2 - CR_3 - CR_2 - NR_2 - NO_2$, and -COH. The aromatic moieties are shown in bold.

unique features of these evolutionary endpoints, contrasting what is with what might have been.

COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

The optimized structural coordinates and harmonic vibrational frequency data reported in this work have been obtained using the *Gaussian 09* suite of programs (G09).³⁵ These quantities were computed using the B3LYP functional,^{36,37} as it is known to reproduce the experimental results well (in addition to being in good agreement with those reported at the CCSD(T) and G2 levels^{38,39}) for intrinsic acid/base properties.^{40,41} Supplementary geometrical, frequency, and energy calculations were also performed with the M06-2X method to investigate the robustness of our chosen method (see the Supporting Information (SI));⁴² our overall qualitative conclusions persist with this method.

We calculated basicities (B_{NP}) of natural products and analogues (NP) using a proton-transfer reaction between each NP and water (eq 1). We chose this method to avoid problems with reliably modeling the solvation energy of a proton.^{43,44} While the absolute B_{NP} values have no convenient experimental counterparts, they are useful in a relative sense. More negative values correspond to more basic NPs.

$$B_{NP} = E(NPH^{+} + H_2O) - E(NP + H_3O^{+})$$
(1)

In the present work, the site of protonation was chosen to be the oxygen on the carbonyl for both natural products. To accurately obtain the basicities, we chose to use the correlation-consistent triple- ζ (cc-pVTZ) basis set,^{45,46} as previous studies on calculating basicities have used related basis sets.^{47,48} This choice of the basis set was also used previously in accurately obtaining the similar values of the naturally occurring amino acids.¹⁵

Nucleus independent chemical shift (NICS) analyses also were carried out in this work as a tool for assessing aromaticity.^{49–51} The NICS_{zz} values for planar rings were calculated (at and directly above the ring center) using the gauge-independent atomic orbital (GIAO) method^{52,53} at the

B3LYP/cc-pVTZ level of theory. The values were calculated from the ring center (0.0 Å) through to 8.0 Å above center in 0.2 Å increments.

Solvation effects were studied using an implicit solvation model. For all species examined in solution, geometry optimizations and frequency analyses were carried out using the solvation model based on density (SMD).⁵⁴ This model was selected on the basis of its reliable performance in other investigations into similar systems and properties.⁵⁴ Chloroform and water (with $\varepsilon = 4.71$ and 78.36, respectively) were considered. Chloroform, in particular, was chosen, as its dielectric constant is similar to that found within the interior of a protein (an admittedly crude model but a useful one nonetheless).^{55,56} Additional calculations using the polarizable continuum model (PCM)^{57,58} also were performed and can be found in the Supporting Information. All molecular representations were generated using the *Chemdraw*⁵⁹ and *Chemcraft*⁶⁰ programs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aromaticity. For patriscabrin A, which was isolated in 2018,³⁴ one can draw a resonance form that is zwitterionic and contains an aromatic substructure, a pyrylium ion (Figure 1).^{61,62} The extended π -system in patriscabrin A also can be viewed as a vinylogous pyrone (Figure 2).⁶³ For lettucenin A, which was first isolated in 1985,³³ one can draw a resonance form that is zwitterionic and contains an aromatic tropylium ion substructure (Figure 1).^{64,65}

To assess the aromatic character of the 6- and 7-membered rings in patriscabrin A and lettucenin A, we computed $NICS_{zz}$



Figure 2. Resonance structures of 4-pyrone.



Figure 3. NICS_{zz} values obtained using the B3LYP-optimized geometries in water of (a) patriscabrin A and (c) lettucenin A. Tables in (b) and (d) show the NICS_{zz} values obtained at 1 Å above the surface of the pyrylium and tropylium rings in patriscabrin A and lettucenin A, respectively.

–NH,

-13.91

values (Figure 3).⁶⁶ For patriscabrin A, the neutral form does not show significant aromatic character, even in water, where the zwitterionic character is favored.^{66,67} For completeness, we include the gas-phase results in the Supporting Information.⁶⁸

-20.0

O-Protonated patriscabrin A, however, is predicted to have aromatic character but significantly less than that of benzene and pyrylium (NICS_{zz} (1 Å) at the same level of theory: -29.69 and -24.56, respectively). Adding substituents to the six-membered ring, whether π -donating or -withdrawing, reduces the magnitude of the computed NICS_{zz} values.

For lettucenin A, the NICS_{zz} data indicates that both the neutral and protonated forms have significant aromatic character in water, with the 7-membered ring in the protonated form approaching that of isolated tropylium (NICS_{zz} at the same level of theory: -26.86). Again, adding substituents reduces aromatic character.

The difference in the neutral $NICS_{zz}$ values between R = -OH and R = $-OCH_3$ in Figure 3d can be attributed to a proximity effect that facilitates a stabilizing (and, seemingly, aromaticizing) interaction with the hydroxy substituent in the

lowest-energy conformer of lettucenin A (Figure 4). $^{69-73}$ A hydrogen bond between the OH group and the carbonyl oxygen atom of the adjacent ester group is present in both the neutral and protonated forms, but this hydrogen bond is weakened in the protonated version as a competing hydrogen bond is present between the now-protonated oxygen and the ester.

-11.91

-2.00

Basicity. Computed basicities for patriscabrin A and lettucenin A are collected in Table 1. In addition to gasphase values, basicities for chloroform and water are included. Not surprisingly, since protonation converts a neutral structure to an ion in the cases examined here, basicities uniformly increase with solvent polarity. In other words, both natural products are most basic in water and lease basic in the gas phase. In line with expectations, the amino-substituted systems are predicted to possess the highest basicities and the trifluromethyl-substituted systems are predicted to be the least basic for lettucenin A. On the other hand, the hydroxy-substituted systems are predicted to be the highest basicities and the nitro-substituted systems are predicted to be highest basicities and the nitro-substituted systems are predicted to be highest basicities and the nitro-substituted systems are predicted to be highest basicities and the nitro-substituted systems are predicted to be highest basicities and the nitro-substituted systems are predicted to be highest basicities and the nitro-substituted systems are predicted to be highest basicities and the nitro-substituted systems are predicted to be highest basicities and the nitro-substituted systems are predicted to be



Figure 4. Top views of the neutral (left) and ionic (right) forms of lettucenin A for R = -OH, calculated using the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ level of theory in water. This phenomenon persists even at the M06-2X/cc-pVTZ level of theory.

Table 1. Computed Basicities, B_{NP} , in kcal mol⁻¹, of Patriscabrin A and Lettucenin A, Calculated at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ Level of Theory, as a Function of the Substituent on the Ring and the Solvent Environment, Where $R = -CF_3$, $-CH_3$, -CN, -COH, -F, -H, $-NH_2$, $-NO_2$, -OH, and -OMe

	patriscabrin A			lettucenin A		
R	$\varepsilon = 1.00$	$\varepsilon = 4.71$	$\varepsilon = 78.36$	$\varepsilon = 1.00$	$\varepsilon = 4.71$	$\varepsilon = 78.36$
$-NO_2$	-42.03	-78.12	-90.76	-51.94	-83.94	-93.98
-CN	-43.14	-80.67	-93.06	-51.30	-83.82	-92.30
$-CF_3$	-49.07	-83.78	-94.69	-48.48	-81.96	-91.10
-COH	-51.85	-85.90	-95.75	-56.31	-87.39	-94.25
-F	-53.52	-88.48	-98.15	-54.39	-86.38	-93.77
-Н	-57.71	-92.31	-100.55	-64.13	-92.88	-97.61
$-CH_3$	-63.32	-95.94	-102.62	-68.06	-95.28	-99.22
-OCH ₃	-64.72	-96.63	-104.27	-64.62	-93.06	-98.78
-OH	-66.48	-99.86	-108.39	-68.44	-95.12	-98.89
-NH ₂	-65.82	-99.53	-107.79	-75.04	-101.10	-103.43

the least basic for patriscabrin A. This effect, however, does not track with the changes in aromatic character (assessed via NICS calculations) described above.

To put the observed trend on firmer footing, we deployed the venerable Hammett σ constants.^{74,75} Figure 5 shows the computed basicities as a function of the *meta* and *para* Hammett σ constants ($\sigma_{\rm m}$ and $\sigma_{\rm p}$) for both patriscabrin A and lettucenin A. Better fits are achieved with $\sigma_{\rm p}$ values, and the best correlation is observed for patriscabrin A.

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

Computed basicities of patriscabrin A and lettucenin A differ by 10 kcal mol⁻¹ in the gas phase, 6 kcal mol⁻¹ in chloroform, and 10 kcal mol⁻¹ in water. It appears that nature converged on maximum aromaticity in both protonated species. However, NICS_{zz} values do not correlate with computed basicities for substituted systems, suggesting that the driving force for maximizing aromatic character is not simply modulation of basicity. Maximizing cyclic π -delocalization could have implications for noncovalent interactions with the natural products.^{76–78} For example, changes in the aromatic character of a ring have been shown by Wu and co-workers to influence the binding properties of attached substituents.^{72,73} In analogy, enhanced hydrogen bonding with the $\sigma_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated carbonyl groups of patriscabrin A and lettucenin A could be associated with aromaticity gain.

■ CITATION DIVERSITY STATEMENT⁷⁹

Studies have found, unsurprisingly, that women and other under-represented minorities remain under-cited and unacknowledged within their respective fields. 80,81 We aim to help rectify said disparity by attempting to accurately cite studies relevant to this study while being mindful of the gender balance of the reference list. The references contain 60% man/ man, 13% woman/man, 21% man/woman, 0% woman/ woman, and 5% unknown categorization (this list is unfortunately limited to binary gender identities and we are hopeful for the day when we are able to recognize, and endorse, those of intersex, transgender, and/or nonbinary identities). These percentages reflect the gender imbalances that persist across many fields of chemistry and related science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) fields.⁸² We believe that much more needs to be done to correct this imbalance.



Figure 5. Proton affinity of lettucenin A (*top*) and patriscabrin A (*bottom*) as functions of σ_p and σ_m in various environments, where R = -H, -F, -Me, $-CF_3$, -CN, $-NH_2$, $-NO_2$, and -COH. The graphs share a common y-axis to illustrate the relative distribution of values. Graphs (a) and (b) share a common x-axis, as do (c) and (d). The order of data points for the R groups is identical for (a) and (b), as well as (c) and (d). To minimize congestion on the graph, data points are explicitly labeled only for (a) and (c) in water.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.1c04051.

Coordinates of minima, NICS_{zz} data, and the proton affinities at the B3LYP and M06-2X methods. Additional investigations into patriscabrin B and lettucenin B are also included

(PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Dean J. Tantillo – Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, Davis, California 95616, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-2992-8844; Email: djtantillo@ ucdavis.edu

Author

Supreeth Prasad – Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, Davis, California 95616, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-3496-4388

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c04051

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (CHE-1856416 and supercomputing resources from the XSEDE program).

REFERENCES

(1) Atanasov, A. G.; Zotchev, S. B.; Dirsch, V. M.; Orhan, I. E.; Banach, M.; Rollinger, J. M.; Barreca, D.; Weckwerth, W.; Bauer, R.; Bayer, E. A.; Majeed, M.; Bishayee, A.; Bochkov, V.; Bonn, G. K.; Braidy, N.; Bucar, F.; Cifuentes, A.; D'Onofrio, G.; Bodkin, M.; Diederich, M.; Dinkova-Kostova, A. T.; Efferth, T.; El Bairi, K.; Arkells, N.; Fan, T. P.; Fiebich, B. L.; Freissmuth, M.; Georgiev, M. I.; Gibbons, S.; Godfrey, K. M.; Gruber, C. W.; Heer, J.; Huber, L. A.; Ibanez, E.; Kijjoa, A.; Kiss, A. K.; Lu, A.; Macias, F. A.; Miller, M. J. S.; Mocan, A.; Müller, R.; Nicoletti, F.; Perry, G.; Pittalà, V.; Rastrelli, L.; Ristow, M.; Russo, G. L.; Silva, A. S.; Schuster, D.; Sheridan, H.; Skalicka-Woźniak, K.; Skaltsounis, L.; Sobarzo-Sánchez, E.; Bredt, D. S.; Stuppner, H.; Sureda, A.; Tzvetkov, N. T.; Vacca, R. A.; Aggarwal, B. B.; Battino, M.; Giampieri, F.; Wink, M.; Wolfender, J. L.; Xiao, J.; Yeung, A. W. K.; Lizard, G.; Popp, M. A.; Heinrich, M.; Berindan-Neagoe, I.; Stadler, M.; Daglia, M.; Verpoorte, R.; Supuran, C. T. Natural Products in Drug Discovery: Advances and Opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2021**, *20*, 200–216.

(2) Cragg, G. M.; Newman, D. J. Natural Products: A Continuing Source of Novel Drug Leads. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* 2013, 1830, 3670–3695.

(3) Harvey, A. L.; Edrada-Ebel, R.; Quinn, R. J. The Re-Emergence of Natural Products for Drug Discovery in the Genomics Era. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2015**, *14*, 111–129.

(4) Atanasov, A. G.; Waltenberger, B.; Pferschy-Wenzig, E. M.; Linder, T.; Wawrosch, C.; Uhrin, P.; Temml, V.; Wang, L.; Schwaiger, S.; Heiss, E. H.; Rollinger, J. M.; Schuster, D.; Breuss, J. M.; Bochkov, V.; Mihovilovic, M. D.; Kopp, B.; Bauer, R.; Dirsch, V. M.; Stuppner, H. Discovery and Resupply of Pharmacologically Active Plant-Derived Natural Products: A Review. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **2015**, *33*, 1582–1614.

(5) Hong, J. Natural Product Diversity and Its Role in Chemical Biology and Drug Discovery. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2011, 15, 350–354.

(6) Blomberg, M. R. A.; Siegbahn, P. E. M. Different Types of Biological Proton Transfer Reactions Studied by Quantum Chemical Methods. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* **2006**, *1757*, 969–980.

(7) Ahn, Y.; Jun, Y. Measurement of Pain-like Response to Various NICU Stimulants for High-Risk Infants. *Early Hum. Dev.* 2007, 83, 255–262.

(8) Wu, C. H.; Karas, L. J.; Ottosson, H.; Wu, J. I. C. Excited-State Proton Transfer Relieves Antiaromaticity in Molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2019**, *116*, 20303–20308.

(9) Sokolov, A. Y.; Magers, D. B.; Wu, J. I.; Allen, W. D.; Schleyer, P. V. R.; Schaefer, H. F. Free Cyclooctatetraene Dianion: Planarity, Aromaticity, and Theoretical Challenges. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 4436–4443.

(11) Raab, V.; Gauchenova, E.; Merkoulov, A.; Harms, K.; Sundermeyer, J.; Kovačević, B.; Maksić, Z. B. 1,8-Bis-(Hexamethyltriaminophosphazenyl)Naphthalene, HMPN: A Superbasic Bisphosphazene "Proton Sponge.". J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15738–15743.

(12) Olah, G. A. Crossing Conventional Boundaries in Half a Century of Research. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2413–2429.

(13) Bouchoux, G.; Huang, S.; Inda, B. S. Acid-Base Thermochemistry of Gaseous Aliphatic α -Aminoacids. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, 13, 651–668.

(14) Moser, A.; Range, K.; York, D. M. Accurate Proton Affinity and Gas-Phase Basicity Values for Molecules Important in Biocatalysis. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, *114*, 13911–13921.

(15) Maksić, Z. B.; Kovačević, B. Towards the Absolute Proton Affinities of 20 α -Amino Acids. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1999**, 307, 497–504.

(16) Briggs, J. P.; Yamdagni, R.; Kebarle, P. Intrinsic Basicities of Ammonia, Methylamines, Anilines, and Pyridine from Gas-Phase Proton-Exchange Equilibria. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1972**, 94, 5128–5130.

(17) Yamdagni, R.; Kebarle, P. Gas-Phase Basicities and Proton Affinities of Compounds between Water and Ammonia and Substituted Benzenes from a Continuous Ladder of Proton Transfer Equilibria Measurements. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, 98, 1320–1324.

(18) Bowers, M. T.; Aue, D. H.; Webb, H. M.; Mclver, R. T. Equilibrium Constants for Gas-Phase Ionic Reactions. Accurate Determination of Relative Proton Affinities. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4314–4315.

(19) Bohme, D. K.; Hemsworth, R. S.; Rundle, H. W.; Schiff, H. I. Determination of Proton Affinity from the Kinetics of Proton Transfer Reactions. II. Kinetic Analysis of the Approach to the Attainment of Equilibrium. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1973**, *58*, 3504–3518.

(20) Linstrom, P. J.; Mallard, W. G. NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, July 2001, National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, 20899. Webbook. Nist. Gov, 2014.

(21) Niu, Y.; Wang, N.; Muñoz, A.; Xu, J.; Zeng, H.; Rovis, T.; Lee, J. K. Experimental and Computational Gas Phase Acidities of Conjugate Acids of Triazolylidene Carbenes: Rationalizing Subtle Electronic Effects. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14917–14930.

(22) Chalvet, O.; Decoret, C.; Royer, J. The Use of Molecular Electrostatic Potentials in the Study of the Protonation of Toluene. *Tetrahedron* **1976**, *32*, 2927–2929.

(23) McKelvey, J. M.; Alexandratos, S.; Streitwieser, A.; Abboud, J. L. M.; Hehre, W. J. Correlation of STO-3G Calculated Substituent Effects on the Proton Affinity of Benzene with Σ^+ Parameters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 244–246.

(24) Summerhays, K. D.; Pollack, S. K.; Taft, R. W.; Hehre, W. J. Gas-Phase Basicities of Substituted Anilines. Inferences about the Role of Solvent in Dictating Site of Protonation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 4585–4587.

(25) Pollack, S. K.; Devlin, J. L.; Summerhays, K. D.; Taft, R. W.; Hehre, W. J. The Site of Protonation in Aniline. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 4583–4584.

(26) DeFrees, D. J.; Mclver, R. T.; Hehre, W. J. The Proton Affinities of Phenol. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3853-3854.

(27) Catalán, J.; Mó, O.; Pérez, P.; Yáñez, M. Prediction of Proton Affinities and Protonation Sites Using a Multivariate Linear Correlation. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 **1982**, *11*, 1409–1418.

(28) Hare, S. R.; Hudson, B. M.; Tantillo, D. J. Modeling Organic Reactions - General Approaches, Caveats, and Concerns. *Appl. Theor. Org. Chem.* **2018**, 1–29.

(29) Ponomarev, D. A.; Takhistov, V. V. What Are Isodesmic Reactions? J. Chem. Educ. 1997, 74, 201–203.

(30) Exner, O.; Böhm, S. Validity of the Hammett Equation for Isolated Molecules: Basicity of 3- and 4-Substituted Benzonitriles. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *6*, 3864–3871. (31) Cao, C.; Chen, Y.; Wu, Y.; Deumens, E. OPAL: A Multiscale Multicenter Simulation Package Based on MPI-2 Protocol. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2011**, *111*, 4020–4029.

(32) Bleiholder, C.; Suhai, S.; Paizs, B. Revising the Proton Affinity Scale of the Naturally Occurring α -Amino Acids. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2006, 17, 1275–1281.

(33) Takasugi, M.; Okinaka, S.; Katsui, N.; Masamune, T.; Shirata, A.; Ohuchi, M. Isolation and Structure of Lettucenin A, a Novel Guaianolide Phytoalexin from Lactuca Sativa Var. Capitata-(Compositae). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. **1985**, 10, 621–622.

(34) Lee, D. H.; Shin, J. S.; Kang, S. Y.; Lee, S.; Bin Lee, J. S.; Ryu, S. M.; Lee, K. T.; Lee, D.; Jang, D. S. Iridoids from the Roots of Patrinia Scabra and Their Inhibitory Potential on LPS-Induced Nitric Oxide Production. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2018**, *81*, 1468–1473.

(35) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Li, X.; Caricato, M.; Marenich, A.; Bloino, J.; Janesko, B. G.; Gomperts, R.; Mennucci, B.; Hratchian, H. P.; Ortiz, J. V.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Williams-Young, D.; Ding, F.; Lipparini, F.; Egidi, F.; Goings, J.; Peng, B.; Petrone, A.; Henderson, T.; Ranasinghe, D.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Gao, J.; Rega, N.; Zheng, G.; Liang, W.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Throssell, K.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Keith, T.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Adamo, C.; Cammi, R.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Fox, D. J.. Gaussian 09, Revision D.01; Gaussian, Inc: Wallingford CT, 2016.

(36) Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact Exchange. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 98, 5648-5652.

(37) Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Chabalowski, C. F.; Frisch, M. J. Ab Initio Calculation of Vibrational Absorption and Circular Dichroism Spectra Using Density Functional Force Fields. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1994**, *98*, 11623–11627.

(38) Noguera, M.; Rodríguez-Santiago, L.; Sodupe, M.; Bertran, J. Protonation of Glycine, Serine and Cysteine. Conformations, Proton Affinities and Intrinsic Basicities. *J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM* **2001**, 537, 307–318.

(39) Smith, B. J.; Radom, R. Assigning absolute values to proton affinities: a differentiation between competing scales. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 4885–4888.

(40) Dávalos, J. Z.; Lima, C. F. R. A. C.; Silva, A. M. S.; Santos, L. M. N. B. F.; Erra-Balsells, R.; Salum, M. L. Energetics of Neutral and Deprotonated (Z)-Cinnamic Acid. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2016**, *95*, 195–201.

(41) Dàvalos, J. Z.; Guerrero, A.; Herrero, R.; Jimenez, P.; Chana, A.; Abboud, J. L. M.; Lima, C. F. R. A. C.; Santos, L. M. N. B. F.; Lago, A. F. Neutral, Ion Gas-Phase Energetics and Structural Properties of Hydroxybenzophenones. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 2564–2571.

(42) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 Suite of Density Functionals for Main Group Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, Noncovalent Interactions, Excited States, and Transition Elements: Two New Functionals and Systematic Testing of Four M06-Class Functionals and 12 Other Functions. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215–241.

(43) Eckert-Maksić, M.; Antol, I.; Klessinger, M.; Maksić, Z. B. Proton Affinity of Substituted Naphthalenes. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 1999, 12, 597–604.

(44) Ho, J.; Coote, M. L. A Universal Approach for Continuum Solvent pKa Calculations: Are We There Yet? *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2010**, *125*, 3–21.

(45) Dunning, T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. **1989**, 90, 1007–1023.

(46) Dutra, F. R.; Silva, C. D. S.; Custodio, R. On the Accuracy of the Direct Method to Calculate p Kafrom Electronic Structure Calculations. J. Phys. Chem. A **2021**, 125, 65–73.

(47) Del Bene, J. E. Proton Affinities of NH_3 , H_2O , and HF and Their Anions: A Quest for the Basis-Set Limit Using the Dunning Augmented Correlation-Consistent Basis Sets. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1993**, 97, 107–110.

(48) Merrill, G. N.; Kass, S. R. Calculated Gas-Phase Acidities Using Density Functional Theory: Is It Reliable? *J. Phys. Chem. C* **1996**, *100*, 17465–17471.

(49) Schleyer, P. V. R.; Maerker, C.; Dransfeld, A.; Jiao, H.; Van Eikema Hommes, N. J. R. Nucleus-Independent Chemical Shifts: A Simple and Efficient Aromaticity Probe. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 6317–6318.

(50) Chen, Z.; Wannere, C. S.; Corminboeuf, C.; Puchta, R.; von Ragué Schleyer, P. Nucleus-Independent Chemical Shifts (NICS) as an Aromaticity Criterion. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 3842–3888.

(51) Merino, G.; Heine, T.; Seifert, G. The Induced Magnetic Field in Cyclic Molecules. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 4367–4371.

(52) Wolinski, K.; Hinton, J. F.; Pulay, P. Efficient Implementation of the Gauge-Independent Atomic Orbital Method for NMR Chemical Shift Calculations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 8251–8260.

(53) Ebrahimi, H. P.; Shaghaghi, H.; Tafazzoli, M. Gauge Invariant Atomic Orbital-Density Functional Theory Prediction of Accurate Gas Phase ¹H and ¹³C NMR Chemical Shifts. *Concepts Magn. Reson.*, *Part A* **2011**, *38 A*, 269–279.

(54) Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. Universal Solvation Model Based on Solute Electron Density and on a Continuum Model of the Solvent Defined by the Bulk Dielectric Constant and Atomic Surface Tensions. J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 6378–6396.

(55) Li, L.; Li, C.; Zhang, Z.; Alexov, E. On the Dielectric "Constant" of Proteins: Smooth Dielectric Function for Macromolecular Modeling and Its Implementation in DelPhi. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 2126–2136.

(56) Scheiner, S. Relative Strengths of NH··O and CH··O Hydrogen Bonds between Polypeptide Chain Segments. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, 109, 16132–16141.

(57) Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cammi, R. Quantum Mechanical Continuum Solvation Models. *Chem. Rev.* 2005, *105*, 2999–3093.

(58) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. Energies, Structures, and Electronic Properties of Molecules in Solution with the C-PCM Solvation Model. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2003**, *24*, 669–681.

(59) Chemdraw Is a Registered Trademark of PerkinElmer Informatics.

(60) Chemcraft - Graphical Software for Visualization of Quantum Chemistry Computations, https://www.chemcraftprog.com.

(61) Ellis, G. P. Pyrans and Fused Pyrans: (Ii) Reactivity. Compr. Heterocycl. Chem. 1984, 3, 647–736.

(62) Pang, Y.; Moser, D.; Cornella, J. Pyrylium Salts: Selective Reagents for the Activation of Primary Amino Groups in Organic Synthesis. *Synthesis* **2020**, *52*, 489–503.

(63) Lappin, G. R.; Summerbell, R. K. On the Aromatic Character of Dioxadiene. J. Org. Chem. 1948, 13, 671–673.

(64) (a) Balaban, A. T.; Oniciu, D. C.; Katritzky, A. R. Aromaticity as a Cornerstone of Heterocyclic Chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* 2004, 104, 2777–2812. (b) Von E.Doering, W.; Knox, L. H. The Cycloheptatrienylium (Tropylium) Ion. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1954, 76, 3203– 3206.

(65) Parallels also can be drawn to mesoionic heterocycles such as sydnones and münchnones, which sport charge separated resonance forms.

(66) Ulman, K.; Busch, S.; Hassanali, A. A. Quantum Mechanical Effects in Zwitterionic Amino Acids: The Case of Proline, Hydroxyproline, and Alanine in Water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *148*, No. 222826.

(67) Abraham, M. H.; Acree, W. E. Solvation Descriptors for Zwitterionic α -Aminoacids; Estimation of Water-Solvent Partition

Coefficients, Solubilities, and Hydrogen-Bond Acidity and Hydrogen-Bond Basicity. ACS Omega 2019, 4, 2883–2892.

(68) Note that NICS values are dependent on geometries, which do not change much for our compounds in the solvent and the gas phase. (69) Karas, L. J.; Wu, C. H.; Das, R.; Wu, J. I. C. Hydrogen Bond Design Principles. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, No. e1477.

(70) Kakeshpour, T.; Wu, J. I.; Jackson, J. E. AMHB: (Anti)-Aromaticity-Modulated Hydrogen Bonding. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3427–3432.

(71) Wu, C. H.; Zhang, Y.; Van Rickley, K.; Wu, J. I. Aromaticity Gain Increases the Inherent Association Strengths of Multipoint Hydrogen-Bonded Arrays. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 3512–3515.

(72) Wu, C. H.; Ito, K.; Buytendyk, A. M.; Bowen, K. H.; Wu, J. I. Enormous Hydrogen Bond Strength Enhancement through π -Conjugation Gain: Implications for Enzyme Catalysis. *Biochemistry* **2017**, *56*, 4318–4322.

(73) Wu, J. I.; Jackson, J. E.; Schleyer, P. V. R. Reciprocal Hydrogen Bonding-Aromaticity Relationships. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13526–13529.

(74) Hammett, L. P. The Effect of Structure upon the Reactions of Organic Compounds. Benzene Derivatives. J.Am. Chem. Soc. 1937, 125, 96–103.

(75) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. A Survey of Hammett Substituent Constants and Resonance and Field Parameters. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, *165–195*.

(76) Hunter, C. A.; Lawson, K. R.; Perkins, J.; Urch, C. J. Aromatic Interactions. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2001, 5, 651–669.

(77) Wheeler, S. E.; Bloom, J. W. G. Toward a More Complete Understanding of Noncovalent Interactions Involving Aromatic Rings. J. Phys. Chem. A **2014**, 118, 6133–6147.

(78) Wheeler, S. E. Understanding Substituent Effects in Noncovalent Interactions Involving Aromatic Rings. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2013, 46, 1029–1038.

(79) Dworkin, J.; Zurn, P.; Bassett, D. S. (In)Citing Action to Realize an Equitable Future. *Neuron* **2020**, *106*, 890–894.

(80) Caplar, N.; Tacchella, S.; Birrer, S. Quantitative Evaluation of Gender Bias in Astronomical Publications from Citation Counts. *Nat. Astron.* **2017**, *1*, No. 0141.

(81) Dworkin, J. D.; Linn, K. A.; Teich, E. G.; Zurn, P.; Shinohara, R. T.; Bassett, D. S. The Extent and Drivers of Gender Imbalance in Neuroscience Reference Lists. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2020**, *23*, 918–926.

(82) Reisman, S. E.; Sarpong, R.; Sigman, M. S.; Yoon, T. P. Organic Chemistry: A Call to Action for Diversity and Inclusion. *Org. Lett.* **2020**, 22, 6223–6228.