

Isolation, Whole-Genome Sequencing, and Annotation of Three Unclassified Antibiotic-Producing Bacteria, Enterobacter sp. Strain RIT 637, Pseudomonas sp. Strain RIT 778, and Deinococcus sp. Strain RIT 780

Marissa N. Schroeter,^{a,b} Safiya J. Gazali,^a Anutthaman Parthasarathy,^a Crista B. Wadsworth,^a Renata Rezende Miranda,^a Bolaji N. Thomas,^b ©[André O.](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5690-4322) Hudsonª

^aThe Gosnell School of Life Sciences, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, New York, USA bCollege of Health Science and Technology, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, New York, USA

Marissa N. Schroeter and Safiya J. Gazali contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined by drawing straws.

ABSTRACT We report the isolation, whole-genome sequencing, and annotation of Enterobacter sp. strain RIT 637, Pseudomonas sp. strain RIT 778, and Deinococcus sp. strain RIT 780. Disk diffusion assays using spent medium demonstrated that all bacteria produced bactericidal compounds against Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923.

Three bacteria belonging to the genera Enterobacter, Pseudomonas, and Deinococcus
were isolated for their antimicrobial-producing properties. Enterobacter species are generally considered human pathogens, but some strains have been shown to possess antibacterial [\(1](#page-1-0)[–](#page-1-1)[3\)](#page-1-2) and antifungal [\(4\)](#page-1-3) activities. Pseudomonas species also produce antibacterial [\(5](#page-1-4)–[9\)](#page-2-0) and antifungal compounds [\(10](#page-2-1), [11\)](#page-2-2); their genomes have been mined for the ability to synthesize secondary metabolites and drug-like natural products [\(12\)](#page-2-3), and P. putida produces a number of natural product families ([13](#page-2-4)). Deinococcus radiodurans produces an antioxidant exopolysaccharide, while a Deinococcus strain isolated from ants produces cancer-preventing aminoglycolipids [\(14\)](#page-2-5).

RIT 637 was isolated from the rhizosphere of the tree Malus sylvestris on Reasoner's 2A (R2A) medium, and RIT 778 and RIT 780 were both isolated from a water sample (Lake Ontario) on a 1:1 mixture of R2A and LB media. The bacteria are shown in electron microscopy images ([Fig. 1](#page-0-0)) using published methods [\(5](#page-1-4)). The inhibition activity of their spent medium extracts against Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, and the Gram-positive strain Staphylococcus

FIG 1 Scanning electron microscopy images of the bacteria: (A) RIT 637 (magnification, 20,000 \times), (B) RIT 637 (magnification, 14,700x), and (C) a mixed culture of RIT 778 (rods) and RIT 780 (cocci) (magnification, $32,100\times$).

Editor Catherine Putonti, Loyola University Chicago

Copyright © 2021 Schroeter et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to André O. Hudson, aohsbi@rit.edu.

Received 30 August 2021 Accepted 6 November 2021 Published 2 December 2021

TABLE 1 Sequencing and annotation results for Enterobacter sp. strain RIT 637, Pseudomonas sp. strain RIT 778, and Deinococcus sp. strain RIT 780

aureus ATCC 25923 was verified using disk diffusion assays, according to published methods ([5\)](#page-1-4).

The GenElute kit (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol; DNA was quantified using the Qubit 3.0 high-sensitivity (HS) assay and diluted to 0.25 ng/ μ L. Sequencing libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT kit according to the manufacturer's specifications (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA). Unique dual-indexed libraries were pooled, diluted to 4 nM, and denatured and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform (v3 600-cycle cartridge; paired-end, 2×300 -bp format). Adapter trimming was conducted using Trimmomatic v0.39 to remove bases with a Phred quality score of $<$ [15](#page-2-6) over a 4-bp sliding window (15). Reads $<$ 36 bp long, or those missing a mate, were removed. SPAdes v3.14.1 was used for de novo assembly with default parameters ([16\)](#page-2-7). QUAST [\(http://cab.cc.spbu.ru/quast/](http://cab.cc.spbu.ru/quast/)) was used for quality assessment, excluding any contigs of <500 bp ([17](#page-2-8)). The genera and species of the genomes were identified using the Type Strain Genome Server (<https://tygs.dsmz.de>) ([18\)](#page-2-9). An assembly could not be assigned to a particular species with $<80\%$ sequence identity to the type strains. The completeness and contamination were assessed using CheckM v1.0.18 ([19\)](#page-2-10) and determined to be 99.96% and 2.08% for RIT 637, 99.95% and 0.6% for RIT 778, and 99.15% and 0.43% for RIT 780, respectively. The assemblies were submitted to GenBank for annotation of the open reading frames (ORFs), tRNAs, and rRNAs using the Prokaryotic Genome Assembly Pipeline v5.2 [\(20](#page-2-11), [21\)](#page-2-12) ([Table 1](#page-1-5)).

Antibiotic biosynthetic gene clusters (BGC) were identified using antiSMASH v5.0 ([22\)](#page-2-13) and ARTS [\(23\)](#page-2-14). RIT 637 contains 7 BGC with 1 self-resistance gene; RIT 778 contains 14 antibiotic BGC with one case of self-resistance, while RIT 780 contains 5 BGC, all with no similarity to known BGC. The potential novelty of the secondary metabolites is indicated by the low similarity of 5 of 7 BGC (RIT 637), low/no similarity for 12 of 15 BGC (RIT 778), and the complete lack of similar BGC for all 5 entries (RIT 780).

Data availability. The whole-genome assemblies have been deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers [JAIHAZ000000000.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JAIHAZ000000000.1), [JAIHBA000000000.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JAIHBA000000000.1), and [JAIHBB000000000.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JAIHBB000000000.1) [\(Table 1\)](#page-1-5).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.N.S. and S.J.G. were respectively supported by The College of Health Sciences and Technology Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship and the Ronald E. McNair Post-baccalaureate Achievement Program, funded by the U.S. Department of Education (P217A120083). We also acknowledge ongoing support from the Thomas H. Gosnell School of Life Sciences (GSoLS), the College of Science (COS), and the College of Health Sciences and Technology at the Rochester Institute of Technology (RIT).

REFERENCES

- 1. Gopi M, Kumaran S, Kumar TTA, Deivasigamani B, Alagappan K, Prasad SG. 2012. Antibacterial potential of sponge endosymbiont marine Enterobacter sp at Kavaratti Island, Lakshadweep archipelago. Asian Pac J Trop Med 5:142–146. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1995-7645\(12\)60013-3.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1995-7645(12)60013-3)
- 2. Almutairi MH, Helal MMI. 2021. Biological and microbiological activities of isolated Enterobacter sp. ACD2 exopolysaccharides from Tabuk region of Saudi Arabia. J King Saud Univ Sci 33:101328. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2020.101328) [.101328](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2020.101328).
- 3. Takahashi S, Iwai H, Kosaka K, Miyazaki T, Osanai Y, Arao N, Tanaka K, Nagai K, Nakagawa A. 2007. Byelyankacin: a novel melanogenesis inhibitor produced

by Enterobacter sp. B20. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 60:717–720. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2007.93) [ja.2007.93.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2007.93)

- 4. Jadhav M, Kagalkar A, Jadhav S, Govindwar S. 2011. Isolation, characterization, and antifungal application of a biosurfactant produced by Enterobacter sp. MS16. Eur J Lipid Sci Technol 113:1347–1356. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201100023) [.1002/ejlt.201100023.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201100023)
- 5. Steiner KK, Parthasarathy A, Wong NH, Cavanaugh NT, Chu J, Hudson AO. 2020. Isolation and whole-genome sequencing of Pseudomonas sp. RIT 623, a slow-growing bacterium endowed with antibiotic properties. BMC Res Notes 13:370. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-020-05216-w>.
- 6. Dubern J-F, Coppoolse ER, Stiekema WJ, Bloemberg GV. 2008. Genetic and functional characterization of the gene cluster directing the biosynthesis of putisolvin I and II in Pseudomonas putida strain PCL1445. Microbiology (Reading) 154:2070–2083. [https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2008/016444-0) [.2008/016444-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2008/016444-0).
- 7. Olorunleke FE, Kieu NP, De Waele E, Timmerman M, Ongena M, Höfte M. 2017. Coregulation of the cyclic lipopeptides orfamide and sessilin in the biocontrol strain Pseudomonas sp. CMR12a. Microbiologyopen 6:e00499. [https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.499.](https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.499)
- 8. Arrebola E, Cazorla FM, Durán VE, Rivera E, Olea F, Codina JC, Pérez-García A, de Vicente A. 2003. Mangotoxin: a novel antimetabolite toxin produced by Pseudomonas syringae inhibiting ornithine/arginine biosynthesis. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 63:117–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2003.11.003>.
- 9. Bouthillette LM, Darcey CA, Handy TE, Seaton SC, Wolfe AL. 2017. Isolation of the antibiotic pseudopyronine B and SAR evaluation of C3/C6 alkyl analogs. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 27:2762–2765. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2017.04.067) [.bmcl.2017.04.067.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2017.04.067)
- 10. Mevers E, Saurí J, Helfrich EJN, Henke M, Barns KJ, Bugni TS, Andes D, Currie CR, Clardy J. 2019. Pyonitrins A–D: chimeric natural products produced by Pseudomonas protegens. J Am Chem Soc 141:17098–17101. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b09739>.
- 11. Ligon JM, Hill DS, Hammer PE, Torkewitz NR, Hofmann D, Kempf H-J, van Pée K-H. 2000. Natural products with antifungal activity from Pseudomonas biocontrol bacteria. Pest Manag Sci 56:688-695. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1002/1526-4998(200008)56:8%3c688::AID-PS186%3e3.0.CO;2-V) [.1002/1526-4998\(200008\)56:8](https://doi.org/10.1002/1526-4998(200008)56:8%3c688::AID-PS186%3e3.0.CO;2-V)<688::AID-PS186>3.0.CO;2-V.
- 12. Aiman S, Shehroz M, Munir M, Gul S, Shah M, Khan A. 2018. Species-wide genome mining of Pseudomonas putida for potential secondary metabolites and drug-like natural products characterization. J Proteomics Bioinform 11:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.4172/jpb.1000460>.
- 13. Loeschcke A, Thies S. 2015. Pseudomonas putida—a versatile host for the production of natural products. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:6197–6214. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6745-4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6745-4)
- 14. Shin B, Park SH, Kim B-Y, Jo S-I, Lee SK, Shin J, Oh D-C. 2017. Deinococcucins A–D, aminoglycolipids from Deinococcus sp., a gut bacterium of the carpenter ant Camponotus japonicus. J Nat Prod 80:2910–2916. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.7b00426) doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.7b00426.
- 15. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170) [.1093/bioinformatics/btu170](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170).
- 16. Nurk S, Bankevich A, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Korobeynikov A, Lapidus A, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin A, Sirotkin A, Sirotkin Y, Stepanauskas R, Clingenpeel SR, Woyke T, Mclean JS, Lasken R, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2013. Assembling single-cell genomes and mini-metagenomes from chimeric MDA products. J Comput Biol 20:714–737. [https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2013](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2013.0084) [.0084.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2013.0084)
- 17. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086) doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.
- 18. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M. 2019. TYGS is an automated high-throughput platform for state-of-the-art genome-based taxonomy. Nat Commun 10: 1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10210-3.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10210-3)
- 19. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043–1055. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114) [doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114)
- 20. Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Brover V, Chetvernin V, O'Neill K, Li W, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Yamashita RA, Zheng C, Thibaud-Nissen F, Geer LY, Marchler-Bauer A, Pruitt KD. 2018. RefSeq: an update on prokaryotic genome annotation and curation. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D851–D860. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1068) [doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1068.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1068)
- 21. Li W, O'Neill KR, Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Chetvernin V, Badretdin A, Coulouris G, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Durkin AS, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lanczycki CJ, Song JS, Thanki N, Wang J, Yamashita RA, Yang M, Zheng C, Marchler-Bauer A, Thibaud-Nissen F. 2021. RefSeq: expanding the Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline reach with protein family model curation. Nucleic Acids Res 49:D1020–D1028. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1105) [nar/gkaa1105.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1105)
- 22. Blin K, Shaw S, Steinke K, Villebro R, Ziemert N, Lee SY, Medema MH, Weber T. 2019. antiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome mining pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W81–W87. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz310) [.1093/nar/gkz310](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz310).
- 23. Alanjary M, Kronmiller B, Adamek M, Blin K, Weber T, Huson D, Philmus B, Ziemert N. 2017. The Antibiotic Resistant Target Seeker (ARTS), an exploration engine for antibiotic cluster prioritization and novel drug target discovery. Nucleic Acids Res 45:W42–W48. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx360) [gkx360](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx360).